

Examining the relationship among students' physical activity level, students' learning behaviors, and students' formative class evaluation during elementary school physical education classes

DISSERTATION

Presented in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for
The Degree Doctor of Philosophy in Doctoral Program of Health and Sport Sciences of
University of Tsukuba

By

Suroto

* * * * *

University of Tsukuba

March 2005

Reading Committee:

Prof. Dr. Takeo TAKAHASHI
Associate Prof. Yoshinori OKADE
Prof. Dr. Kaoru TAKAMATSU
Prof. Dr. Kunijirou ARAI

Approved By

Takeo Takahashi

Advisor

Doctoral Program of Health and Sport Sciences

VITA

- September 7, 1965 Born – Banyuwangi, East Java, Indonesia
- 1989 Drs., Surabaya Institute of Teacher Training and Education (IKIP Surabaya), Surabaya, Indonesia
- 1990 - Academic Staff (Dosen), Faculty of Sport Science, Surabaya State University (FIK UNESA), Surabaya, Indonesia
- 1995 M.A., Health Physical Education and Recreation, Graduate School, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA.

FIELD OF STUDY

Major Field: Sport Pedagogy
Advisor: Dr. Takeo TAKAHASHI

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my academic advisor, Professor Takeo TAKAHASHI for his untiring encouragement and guidance from the beginning of my study in University of Tsukuba. With his recommendation, I have opportunity to be doctoral Monbukagakusho scholarship student in University of Tsukuba.

I would like to thank also to Associate Professor Yoshinori OKADE, Professor Kaoru TAKAMATSU, Professor Kunijirou ARAI, member of my dissertation committee, for their invaluable comments.

I owe thank also to Professor Etsushi HASEGAWA, Professor Hiromi MIKI, and all of Sport Pedagogy Lab Family Members for their assistance and advice so that I could finish my study.

To all of those who help with data collection I owe a special thanks. Surely, without their help this study would not have been possible: Yoshihiko FUKUGASAKO, Kohei YONEMURA, and Takeshi YOSINAGA.

All the teachers and their students who volunteered as subject in this study are owed many thanks.

I would like to thank also to Satoshi KOMATSUZAKI and Tomoyashu KONDOU for tutoring me so that I could prepare for entrance test, follow required courses, and conducting some researches in Doctoral Program in Health and Sport Sciences, Institute of Health and Sport Sciences, University of Tsukuba.

Finally, my special thanks loves are doe to my faithful wife SUCIATI for her encouragement, prayers, and patience during my study in Japan. I owe my entire education to my mothers (LASMIRAH & MISNAH), my father (DAWUD) for their continuing prayers and hopes for success of my education.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Content	Page
VITA	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
Chapter	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Statement of the problem	4
1.2. Assumptions of the study	5
1.3. Limitations of the study	6
1.4. Definition of special terms	6
1.5. Summary	8
2. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	9
2.1. Current worldwide problems in children	9
2.2. Children's physical activity	10
2.3. The role of PE classes for children	13
2.4. Characteristic of effective/good PE classes	13
2.5. Improving quality of PE classes	18
2.6. Summary	20

3.	RELATIONSHIPS AMONG STUDENTS' FORMATIVE CLASS EVALUATION (FCE) SCORE, STUDENTS' LEARNING BEHAVIORS (LB), AND STUDENTS' ENGAGEMENT IN MODERATE TO VIGOROUS PHYSICAL ACTIVITY LEVEL (MV-PAL)	21
3.1.	Purposes	21
3.2.	Methods	21
3.2.1.	Subjects	21
3.2.2.	Observation of learning behavior (LB) in PE classes	22
3.2.3.	Measurement of Students' formative class evaluation (FCE)	23
3.2.4.	Observation of physical activity level (PAL) in PE classes	24
3.2.5.	Reliability of data	25
3.3.	Results	25
3.3.1.	Students' formative class evaluation (FCE) scores	25
3.3.2.	Students' physical activity level (PAL)	26
3.3.3.	Students' learning behavior (LB)	28
3.3.4.	Relationship between students' learning behavior (LB) during A2 episode and students' formative class evaluation (FCE) score toward their PE classes	29
3.3.5.	Relationship between proportion of students' learning behavior (LB) and proportion of students' engagement in MV-PAL	30
3.3.6.	Relationship between students' engagement in MV-PAL and students' FCE score toward their PE classes	31
3.4.	Discussion	33
3.5.	Conclusion and recommendations	36

4.	STUDENTS' PHYSICAL ACTIVITY LEVEL (PAL), AND STUDENTS' FORMATIVE CLASS EVALUATION (FCE) DURING AEROBIC AND NON-AEROBIC UNITS OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL PHYSICAL EDUCATION (ES-PE) CLASSES	38
4.1.	Purposes	38
4.2.	Methods	38
4.2.1.	Subjects	38
4.2.2.	Observation of students' MV-PAL	39
4.2.3.	Measurement of students' physical activity level (PAL) using pedometer	39
4.2.4.	Measurement of students' formative class evaluation (FCE) score	40
4.2.5.	Reliability of data	40
4.3.	Results	40
4.3.1.	Comparison on purpose of aerobic and non-aerobic units	40
4.3.2.	Comparison on pattern of teacher's activities	42
4.3.3.	Comparison on proportion of each lesson's context categories	43
4.3.4.	Comparison on the average of students' MV-PAL engagement	44
4.3.5.	Comparison on number of students' step	45
4.3.6.	Comparison on average intensity of PA	46
4.3.7.	Comparison on proportion of students' LB	46
4.3.8.	Comparison on the average of students' FCE	47
4.3.9.	Relationships among variable PAL, LB, and FCE	48
4.4.	Discussion	49
4.5.	Conclusion and recommendations	52

5.	STUDENTS' PHYSICAL ACTIVITY LEVEL (PAL), STUDENTS' LEARNING BEHAVIOR (LB), AND STUDENTS' FORMATIVE CLASS EVALUATION (FCE) DURING GYMNASTICS UNIT OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL PHYSICAL EDUCATION (EL-PE) CLASSES FOR 2 nd AND 3 rd GRADERS	55
5.1.	Purpose	55
5.2.	Methods	55
5.2.1.	Subjects	55
5.2.2.	Observation of students' moderate to vigorous physical activity level (MV-PAL)	56
5.2.3.	Measurement of students' physical activity level (PAL) using pedometer	56
5.2.4.	Measurement of students' formative class evaluation (FCE)	57
5.2.5.	Measurement of students' learning behaviors (LB)	57
5.2.6.	Reliability of data	58
5.2.7.	Data analysis	58
5.3.	Results	58
5.3.1.	Proportion of each lesson's context categories	58
5.3.2.	Proportion of maximum involvement rate (MIR)	60
5.3.3.	Students' PAL	61
5.3.3.1.	Number of students' steps	61
5.3.3.2.	Intensity of students' PA	62
5.3.4.	Proportion of A2 episodes used by students to engage in MV-PAL	63
5.3.5.	Students' formative class evaluation (FCE) scores	64
5.3.5.1.	Class average of students' FCE scores	64

5.3.5.2. Sample average of students' FCE scores	66
5.3.6. Students' learning behavior (LB) during A2 episodes	67
5.3.7. Relationship among variables (PAL, LB, FCE)	68
5.3.7.1. Relationships between students' formative class evaluation (FCE) and students' physical activity level (PAL)	68
5.3.7.2. Relationships between students' formative class evaluation (FCE) and students' learning behavior (LB)	70
5.3.7.3. Relationships between students' learning behavior (LB) and students' physical activity level (PAL)	70
5.4. Discussion	71
5.5. Conclusion	73
6. SUMMATION OF THE STUDY	75
6.1. A review of the study	75
6.2. Results	84
6.3. Conclusion	90
6.4. Recommendation for further study	92
BIBLIOGRAPHY	94
Appendix 4-1 T-test of lesson context, FCE, PAL, and LB between aerobics and non-aerobics unit (N=14 classes)	54
Appendix 4-2 Pearson correlation between FCE and PAL	54
Appendix 4-3 Pearson correlation between FCE and MV-PAL	54
Appendix 4-4 Pearson correlation between FCE and LB	54
Appendix 4-5 Pearson correlation between PAL and LB	54