文 献

Adams, J. A. (1971). A closed-loop theory of motor learning. *J. Motor Behavior*, 3, 111-150.

安西祐一郎，苧阪直行，前田敏博，彦坂興秀 (1994). *岩波講座 認知科学9：注意と意識*. 東京: 岩波書店.

Anzola, G. P., Bertoloni, G., Buchtel, H. A., & Rizzolatti, G. (1977). Spatial compatibility and anatomical factors in simple and choice reaction time. *Neuropsychologia*, 15, 295-302.

浅見高明 (1971). 反射と反応に関する基礎的研究. *東京教育大学スポーツ研究所報*, 9, 31-42.

浅見高明，多田 繁，岡田修一 (1981). スポーツ選手の一側優位性（左右差）の比較検討. *筑波大学体育科学系紀要*, 4, 98-109.

Bashore, T. R. (1990). Stimulus-response compatibility viewed from a cognitive psychophysiological perspective. In R. W. Proctor & T. G. Reeve (Eds.), *Stimulus-response compatibility: An integrated perspective*, pp.183-260. Amsterdam: North-Holland.

Basmajian, J. V., & De Luca, C. J. (1985). *Muscle Alive: their functions revealed by electromyography (5th ed.)*. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins.

Berlucchi, G., Crea, F., Di Stefano, M., & Tassinari, G. (1977). Influence of spatial stimulus-response compatibility on reaction time of ipsilateral and contralateral hand to lateralized light stimuli. *J. Exp. Psychol.: Hum. Perc. Perf.*, 3, 505-517.

Berlucchi, G., Heron, W., Hyman, R., Rizzolatti, G., & Umiltà, C. (1971). Simple reaction times of ipsilateral and contralateral hand to lateralized light stimuli. *Brain*, 94, 419-430.

Bonnet, M., Requin, J., & Semjen, A. (1981). Human reflexology and motor preparation. In D. I. Miller (Ed.), *Exercise and sport science review*, pp. 119-157, Philadelphia, PA: Franklin Institute Press.

Bowers, D., Heilman, K. M., & Van Den Abell, T. (1981). Hemispace-visual half field compatibility. *Neuropsychologia*, 19, 757-765.

Bradshaw, J. L., Bradshaw, J. A., Pierson-Savage, J. M., & Nettleton, N. C. (1988). Overt and covert attention and vibrotactile reaction times: Gaze direction, spatial compatibility and hemispatial asymmetry. *Canadian J. Psychology*, 42, 44-56.

Bradshaw, J. L., Nathan, G., Nettleton, N. C., Pierson, J. M., & Wilson, L. E. (1983). Head and

body hemispace to left and right-III: Vibrotactile stimulation and sensory and motor components. *Perception*, 12, 651-661.

Bradshaw, J. L., Nettleton, N. C., Pierson, J. M., Wilson, L. E., & Nathan, G. (1987). Coordinate of extracorporeal space. In M. Jeannerod (Ed.), *Neurophysiological and neuropsychological aspects of spatial neglect*, pp. 41-67. Amsterdam: North Holland.

Bradshaw, J. L., & Umiltà, C. (1984). A reaction time paradigm can simultaneously index spatial compatibility and neural pathway effects: A reply to Levy. *Neuropsychologia*, 22, 99-101.

Brebner, J., Shephard, M., & Cairney, P. (1972). Spatial relationships and S-R compatibility. *Acta Psychologica*, 36, 1-15.

Brebner, J. (1979). The compatibility of spatial and non-spatial relationships. *Acta Psychologica*, 43, 23-32.

Buchthal, F., & Schmalbruch, M. (1980). Motor unit of mammalian muscle. *Physiological Reviews*, 60, 90-142.

Cheyne, D., & Weinberg, H. (1989). Neuromagnetic fields accompanying unilateral finger movements: pre-movement and movement-evoked fields. *Experimental Brain Research*, 78, 604-612.

Chiarenza, G. A., Hari, R. K., Karhu, J. J., & Tessore, S. (1991). Brain activity associated with skilled finger movements: multichannel magnetic recordings. *Brain Topography*, 3, 433-439.

De Jong, R., Liang, C. C., & Lauber, E. (1994). Conditional and unconditional automaticity: A dual-process model of effects of spatial stimulus-response correspondence. *J. Exp. Psychol.: Hum. Perc. Perf.*, 20, 731-750.

Delagi, E. F., & Perotto, A. （田島達也監訳）(1985). *筋電図のための解剖ガイド*. 西村書店.

Donders, F. C. (1969). On the speed of mental processes. In W. E. Koster (Eds.), *Attention and Performance II*, pp. 389-408. Amsterdam: North Holland.

Eimer, M. (1995). Stimulus-response compatibility and automatic response activation: evidence from psychophysiological studies. *J. Exp. Psychol.: Hum. Perc. Perf.*, 21, 837-854.

Eimer, M., Hommel, B., & Prinz, W. (1995). S-R compatibility and response selection. *Acta Psychologica*, 90, 301-313.

Endo, H., Kizuka, T., Masuda, T., & Takeda, T. (1999). Automatic activation in the human primary motor cortex synchronized with movement preparation. *Cognitive Brain Research*, 8, 229-239.

Evarts, E. V. (1984). Neurophysiological approaches to brain mechanisms for preparatory set. In S. Kornbulm & J. Requin (Eds.), *Preparatory states and processes*, pp. 155-178, Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Fitts, P.M., & Deininger, R. L. (1954). S-R compatibility: Correspondence among paired elements within stimulus and response codes. *J. Exp. Psychol.*, 48, 483-492.

Fitts, P. M., & Seeger, C. M. (1953). S-R compatibility: Spatial characteristics of stimulus and response code. *J. Exp. Psychol.*, 46, 193-210.

Frith, C. D., & Done, D. J. (1986). Routes to action in reaction time tasks. *Psychological Research*, 48, 169-177.

Gentner, D. R. (1987). Timing of skilled motor performance: Tests of the proportion duration model. *Psychological Review*, 94, 255-276.

Georgopoulos, A., Lurito, J., Petrides, M., Schwartz, A., & Massey, J. (1989). Mental rotation of the neuronal population vector. *Science*, 243, 234-236.

Ghez, C. (1991). Voluntary movement. In E. R. Kandel & J. H. Schwartz (Eds.), *Principles of neural science (3rd ed.)*, pp. 609-625. New York: Elsevier.

Hasbroucq, T., Guiard, Y., & Kornblum, S. (1989). The adaptivity of stimulus-response compatibility with the effects of sensory and motor factors in tactile choice reaction time task. *Acta Psychologica*, 72, 139-144.

Heilman, K. M., Bowers, D., Coslett, H. B., Whelan, H., & Watson, R. T. (1985). Directional hypokinesia: Prolonged reaction times for leftward movements in patients with right hemispace lesions and neglect. *Neurology*, 35, 855-859.

Heilman, K. M., Bowers, D., Valenstein, E., & Watson, R. T. (1987). Hemispace and hemispatial neglect. In M. Jeannerod (Ed.), *Neurophysiological and neuropsychological aspects of spatial neglect*, pp. 115-150. Amsterdam: North Holland.

Heilman, K. M., & Valenstein, E. (1979). Mechanisms underlying hemispatial neglect. *Annals of Neurology*, 5, 166-170.

Henry, F. M., & Rogers, D. E. (1960). Increasing response latency for complicated movements and a "memory drum" theory of neuromotor reaction. *Research Quarterly*, 31, 448-458.

Heuer, H. (1991). Invariance relative timing in motor-program theory. In J. Fagard & P. H. Wolf (Eds.), *The development of timing control and temporal organization in coordinated action*, pp.

37-68. Amsterdam: Elsevier.

Hick, W. E. (1952). On the rate of gain of information. *Quar. J. Exp. Psychol.*, 4, 11-26.

Hyman, R. (1953). Stimulus information as a determinant of reaction time. *J. Exp. Psychol.*, 45, 188-196.

Imanaka, K., Abernethy, B., Yamauchi, M., Funase, K., & Nishihira, Y. (1995). Hemispace asymmetries and laterality effects in arm positioning. *Brain and Cognition*, 29, 232-253.

Imanaka, K., Funase, K., & Nishihira, Y. (1994). Reconsidering the 90° head-rotation paradigm used in neuropsychological research: Are there reflexive rather than hemispatial effects? *Neuropsychologia*, 32, 569-578.

Jeeves, M. A. (1969). A comparison of interhemispheric transmission times in acallosals and normals. *Psychonomic Science*, 16, 245-246.

Jeeves, M. A. (1972). Hemispheric differences in response rates to visual stimuli in children. *Psychonomic Science*, 20, 201-203.

笠井達哉 (1980). 脊髄の興奮性と反応時間の関係－下肢底屈・背屈動作について－. *体育学研究*, 25, 97-104.

笠井達哉 (1981). 下肢肢位変化による反応時間とH波の変動性. *体育学研究*, 26, 129-135.

Kato, Y., & Asami, T. (1998). Difference in stimulus-response compatibility effect in premotor and motor time between upper and lower limbs. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 87, 939-946.

加藤雄一郎, 浅見高明, 木塚朝博, 村瀬智彦 (1995). 注視状態における中心・周辺視野での光刺激に対する手の反応時間－CUDの非対称と利き眼の関連性－. *いばらき健康・スポーツ科学*, 12,15-23.

加藤雄一郎, 浅見高明, 古志薗実, 木塚朝博 (2000). 運動肢と反応動作空間の違いがS-R整合性の効果に及ぼす影響. *バイオメカニズム学会誌*, 24, (印刷中).

河辺章子, 大築立志 (1982). フェイント刺激による誤反応の修正－対側前腕屈筋への運動指令の切り換え時間について－. *体育学研究*, 27, 217-227.

川人光男, 佐々木正人, 三嶋博之, 丹治　順, 酒田英夫, 村田　哲, 藤田昌彦 (1994). *岩波講座　認知科学4：運動*. 東京: 岩波書店.

Keele, S. W. (1968). Movement control in skilled motor performance. *Psychological Bulletin*, 70, 387-403.

Kelso, J. A. S. (1982). *Human motor behavior*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Klapp, S. T. (1977). Response programming as assessed by reaction time dose not establish commands for particular muscles? *J. Motor Behavior*, 9, 301-312.

Klapp, S. T., & Wyatt, E. P. (1974). Response programming in simple and choice reactions. *J. Motor Behavior*, 6, 263-271.

小宮山伴与志, 笠井達哉 (1993). 単純及び選択反応課題遂行時の主動筋 H 反射の促通動態. *体力科学*, 42, 189-200.

Kornblum, S., Hasbroucq, T., & Osman, A. (1990). Dimensional overlap: A cognitive basis for a model and taxonomy of stimulus-response compatibility. *Psychological Review*, 97, 253-270.

Kornblum, S. (1995). Stimulus-response compatibility with relevant and irrelevant stimulus dimensions that do and do not overlap with the response. *J. Exp. Psychol.: Hum. Perc. Perf.*, 21, 855-875.

工藤孝機 (1989). 動作制御の心理学的研究. *J. J. Sports Sci.*, 8, 284-288.

Larish, D. D. (1986). Influence of stimulus response translations on response programming: Examining the relationship of arm, direction, and extent of movement. *Acta Psychologica*, 61, 53-70.

Larish, D. D., & Frekany, G. A. (1985). Planning and preparing expected and unexpected movement: Reexamining the relationship of arm, direction, and extent of movement. *J. Motor Behavior*, 17, 168-189.

Magill, R. A. (1993). *Motor learning: Concepts and applications (4th ed.)*. WCB Brown & Benchmark.

南部 篤 (1994). 脳磁図計測 (MEG) によるヒトの感覚運動機構の解析. *神経研究の進歩*, 38, 225-237.

Nicoletti, R., Anzola, G. P., Luppino, G., Rizzolatti, G., & Umiltà, C. (1982). Spatial compatibility effects on the same side of the body midline. *J. Exp. Psychol.: Hum. Perc. Perf.*, 8, 664-673.

Nicoletti, R., & Umiltà, C. (1984). Right-left prevalence in spatial compatibility. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 35, 333-343.

Nicoletti, R., & Umiltà, C. (1985). Responding with hand and foot: The right-left prevalence in spatial compatibility is still present. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 38, 211-216.

Nicoletti, R., Umiltà, C., & Ladavas, E. (1984). Compatibility due to the coding of the relative position of the effectors. *Acta Psychologica*, 57, 133-143.

西澤 昭, 浅見高明 (1978). 敏捷性の研究方法について. *体育の科学*, 28, 262-268.

Oldfield, R. C. (1971). The assessment and analysis of handedness: The Edinburgh inventory. *Neuropsychologia*, 9, 97-113.

大築立志 (1988). *「たくみ」の科学*. pp. 53-87. 東京: 朝倉書店.

Pierson, J. M., Bradshaw, J. L., Meyer, T. F., Howard, M. J., & Bradshaw, J. A. (1991). Direction of gaze during vibrotactile choice reaction time tasks. *Neuropsychologia*, 29, 925-928.

Poffenberger, A. T. (1912). Reaction time to retinal stimulation with spatial reference to the time lost in conduction through nerve centers. *Archives of Neurology*, 23, 1-73.

Posner, M. I. (1978). *Chronometric exploration of mind*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Proctor, R. W., & Reeve, T. G. (1985). Compatibility effects in assignment of symbolic stimuli to discrete finger responses. *J. Exp. Psychol.: Hum. Perc. Perf.*, 11, 623-639.

Proctor, R. W., & Reeve, T. G. (1986). Salient-feature coding operations in spatial precuing tasks. *J. Exp. Psychol.: Hum. Perc. Perf.*, 12, 277-285.

Proctor, R. W., & Reeve, T. G. (1990). Research on stimulus-response compatibility: Toward a comprehensive account. In R. W. Proctor & T. G. Reeve (Eds.), *Stimulus-response compatibility: An integrated perspective*, pp. 483-494. Amsterdam: North-Holland.

Reeve, T. G., & Proctor, R. W. (1984). On advance preparation of discrete finger response. *J. Exp. Psychol.: Hum. Perc. Perf.*, 10, 541-553.

Requin, J., Brener, J., & Ring, C. (1991). Preparation for action. In J. R. Jenning & M. G. H. Coles (Eds.), *Handbook of cognitive psychophysiology: Central and automatic nervous system approaches*, pp. 357-448. New York: Wiley.

Riggio, L., Gawryszewski, L., & Umiltà, C. (1986). What is crossed in crossed-hand effects? *Acta Psychologica*, 62, 89-100.

Rosenbaum, D. A. (1980). Human movement initiation: Specification of arm, direction, and extent. *J. Exp. Psychol.: General*, 109, 444-474.

Rosenbaum, D. A. (1983). The movement precuing technique: Assumptions, applications, and extensions. In R. A. Magill (Ed.), *Memory and control in motor behavior*, pp. 231-274. Amsterdam: North Holland.

Rosenbaum, D. A. (1991). *Human motor control*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

Rosenbaum, D. A., & Kornblum, S. (1982). A priming method for investigating the selection of

motor responses. *Acta Psychologica*, 51, 223-243.

Sanders, A. F. (1967). Some aspects of reaction processes. *Acta Psychologica*, 27, 115-130.

Schmidt, R. A. (1988). *Motor control and learning: A behavioral emphasis (2nd ed.)*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

Schmidt, R. A. (1991). *Motor learning and performance: From principles to practice*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

Schmidt, R. A., & Sherwood, D. E. (1982). An inverted-U relation between spatial error and force requirements in rapid limb movements: Further Evidence for the impulse-variability model. *J. Exp. Psychol.: Hum. Perc. Perf.*, 8, 158-170.

Simon, J. R. (1968). Effect of ear stimulated on reaction time and movement time. *J. Exp. Psychol.*, 78, 344-346.

Simon, J. R. (1969). Reactions toward the source of stimulation. *J. Exp. Psychol.*, 81, 174-176.

Simon, J. R. (1990). The effects of an irrelevant directional cue on human information processing. In R. W. Proctor & T. G. Reeve (Eds.), *Stimulus-response compatibility: An integrated perspective*, pp. 31-86. Amsterdam: North-Holland.

Simon, J. R., Hinrichs, J. V., & Craft, J. L. (1970). Auditory S-R compatibility: Reaction time as a function of ear-hand correspondence and ear-response-location correspondence. *J. Exp. Psychol.*, 86, 97-102.

Simon, J. R., & Ruddel, A. P. (1967). Auditory S-R compatibility: The effect of an irrelevant cue on information processing. *J. Applied Psychology*, 51, 300-304.

Simon, J. R., Sly, P. E., & Vilapakkam, S. (1981). Effect of compatibility of S-R mapping on reactions toward the stimulus source. *Acta Psychologica*, 47, 63-81.

Sternberg, S. (1969). The discovery of processing stages: Extension of Donder's method. In W. G. Koster (Ed.), *Attention and Performance II*, pp. 276-315. Amsterdam: North Holland.

丹治 順, 蔵田 潔 (1993). 随意運動と脳の機能. 星宮 望, 赤澤堅造 (編集), *MBE トピックシリーズ第3巻 筋運動制御系*, pp. 73-105, 東京: 昭晃堂.

Teichner, W. H., & Kerbs, M. L. (1974). Laws of visual choice reaction time. *Psychological Review*, 81, 75-98.

Theios, J. (1975). The components of response latency in simple human information processing tasks. In P. M. A. Rabbit & S. Dornic (Eds.), *Attention and Performance V*, pp. 418-440,

London: Academic Press.

Umiltà, C., & Nicoletti, R. (1985). Attention and coding effects in S-R compatibility due to irrelevant spatial cues. In I. Posner & O. S. M. Marin (Eds.), *Attention and Performance XI*, pp. 457-471, Hillsdale, NJ: Erbaum.

Umiltà, C., & Nicoletti, R. (1990). Spatial stimulus-response compatibility. In R. W. Proctor &T. G. Reeve (Eds.), *Stimulus-response compatibility: An integrated perspective*, pp. 89-116. Amsterdam: North-Holland.

Van Duren, L. L., & Sanders, A. F. (1988). On the robustness of the additive factors stage structure in blocked and mixed choice reaction designs. *Acta Psychologica*, 69, 83-94.

Verfaellia, M., Bowers, D., & Heilman, K. M. (1988). Attentional factors in the occurrence of stimulus-response compatibility effect. *Neuropsychologia*, 26, 435-444.

Verfaellia, M., Bowers, D., & Heilman, K. M. (1990). Attentional processes in spatial stimulus-response compatibility. In R. W. Proctor & T. G. Reeve (Eds.), *Stimulus-response compatibility: An integrated perspective*, pp. 261-275. Amsterdam: North-Holland.

Wallace, R. J. (1971). S-R compatibility and idea of the response code. *J. Exp. Psychol.*, 88, 354-360.

Wallace, R. J. (1972). Spatial S-R compatibility effects involving kinesthetic cues. *J. Exp. Psychol.*, 93, 163-168.

Weiss, A. D. (1965). The locus of reaction time change with set, motivation, and age. *J. Gerontology*, 20, 60-64.

Zelaznik, H. N., & Franz, E. (1990). Stimulus-response compatibility and the programming of motor activity: Pitfalls and possible new directions. In R. W. Proctor & T. G. Reeve (Eds.), *Stimulus-response compatibility: An integrated perspective*, pp. 279-295. Amsterdam: North-Holland.

Zelaznik, H. N., & Hahn, R. (1985). Reactive time method in the study of motor programming: The precuing of hand, digit, and duration. *J. Motor Behavior*, 17, 190-218.

Zelaznik, H. N., Shapiro, D. C., & Carter, M. (1982). The specification of digit and duration during motor programming: A new method of precuing. *J. Motor Behavior*, 14, 57-68.