

DB
1635 (116)
2000

Hierarchically Sorted Inductive Logic Programming
and Its Application to Information Extraction

Graduate School of Systems and Information Engineering

University of Tsukuba

September, 2000

Yutaka Sasaki

寄	贈
佐 々 木 裕 氏	平 成 年 月 日

01301683

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Mathematical Preliminary	5
2.1	Logic Programs	5
2.1.1	Syntax	5
2.1.2	Semantics	7
2.1.3	Deduction	9
2.2	Generalization of Logic Programs	9
2.2.1	Least General Generalization	9
2.2.2	Relative Least General Generalization	12
2.3	ψ -terms	13
2.3.1	Syntax	13
2.3.2	Semantics	15
2.3.3	Deduction over ψ -terms	16
I	Extension of Inductive Logic Programming	21
3	Inductive Learning of Logic Programs	23
3.1	Inductive Concept Learning	23
3.2	Inductive Logic Programming (ILP)	24
3.2.1	Framework	24
3.2.2	Hypothesis Space	26
3.2.3	Search Bias	26
3.2.4	Language Bias	27
3.2.5	Previous ILP Systems	28

4	RHB: ILP System that Learns Logic Programs with Sorts from Positive and Negative Examples	31
4.1	Problems in Previous ILP	31
4.1.1	Problems in Top-Down Learners	31
4.1.2	Problems in Bottom-Up Learners	32
4.2	System Overview	32
4.2.1	Framework	33
4.3	Model Complexity Measure	34
4.4	Code Length of τ -terms	35
4.5	Algorithm of RHB	37
4.5.1	Least General Generalization of τ -terms	37
4.5.2	Dynamic Sort Restriction	38
4.6	Experiments and Results	39
4.6.1	Learning Time and Sort Hierarchy Size	39
4.6.2	Comparison of Accuracy	40
4.7	Related Work	41
4.8	Summary	42
5	RHB⁺: ILP System that Learns Logic Programs with Sorts from Positive Examples	45
5.1	Overview	45
5.1.1	Framework	46
5.2	Algorithms of RHB ⁺	47
5.2.1	Dynamic Sort Restriction by Positive Examples	48
5.2.2	Use of Sorts in Computing Informativity	51
5.2.3	Use of Sorts in the Stopping Condition	52
5.3	Experiments and Results	53
5.3.1	Learning Time and Sort Hierarchy Size	53
5.4	Related Work	54
5.5	Summary	55
6	ψ-RHB⁺: ILP System that Learns Logic Programs based on ψ-terms	57
6.1	Overview of ψ -RHB ⁺	57
6.1.1	Framework	57
6.1.2	Characteristics of ψ -terms	58
6.1.3	Least General Generalization	59

6.2	Algorithm of ψ -RHB ⁺	60
6.3	Discussion	62
6.4	Summary	63
7	Sample Trace of the Three Learners	65
7.1	RHB	65
7.2	RHB ⁺	67
7.3	ψ -RHB ⁺	68
II	Application to Information Extraction	69
8	Applying Extended Inductive Logic Programming to In- formation Extraction	71
8.1	Overview	71
8.2	Problems in IE	72
8.3	Our Approach to IE Tasks	72
8.4	Evaluation Metrics	73
8.5	Natural Language Processing Resources	74
8.5.1	The Semantic Attribute System	74
8.5.2	Verb Case Frame Dictionary	75
8.5.3	Natural Language Processing Tools	76
9	Application to a New Product Release Domain	79
9.1	Applying RHB ⁺	79
9.1.1	Illustration of a Learning Process	79
9.1.2	Setting of Experiments	82
9.1.3	Results	83
9.2	Applying ψ -RHB ⁺	83
9.2.1	Setting of Experiments	84
9.2.2	Results	84
9.3	Discussion	84
9.4	Summary	85
10	Application to an Accident Domain	87
10.1	Applying RHB ⁺	87
10.1.1	Setting of Experiments	87

10.1.2 Results	88
10.2 Applying ψ -RHB ⁺	89
10.2.1 Setting of Experiments	89
10.2.2 Results	90
10.3 Discussion	91
10.4 Summary	92
11 Related Work	93
12 Conclusions	95
12.1 Summary	95
12.2 Future Work	95

List of Figures

2.1	OSF Clause Normalization Rules	18
3.1	Sample Data	23
3.2	Four Types of Coverage	24
3.3	Sample Hypothesis Space	26
4.1	Learning Time vs Sort Hierarchy Size	40
4.2	Accuracy vs Example Size	41
4.3	Learning Time vs Example Size	42
5.1	Learning Time vs Sort Hierarchy Size	54
8.1	Block Diagram of IE using ILP	72
8.2	Upper Levels of a Sort Hierarchy	75

List of Tables

3.1	Previous ILP Systems	30
4.1	Code Length of Example Clauses	36
8.1	Case Frame of the Sample Sentence	77
8.2	Case-Roles	78
9.1	Sample Sentences	79
9.2	Learning Results of New Product Release	83
9.3	Learning Results of New Product Release	85
10.1	Learning Results of “death toll”	88
10.2	Learning Results of “injury”	88
10.3	Comparison between RHB ⁺ and ψ -RHB ⁺	90
10.4	Learning Results of Accidents	91