

List of Figures

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 1.1 | Triangles constructed by Equations 1.9 to 1.14. (a) corresponding to CP violation in K decays. (e) relates to the B decays. | 7 |
| 1.2 | The unitarity triangle | 8 |
| 1.3 | The tree (A) and EWP (B) diagrams | 12 |
| 1.4 | The prohibited gluonic penguin diagrams. | 12 |
| 2.1 | The KEKB accelerator. | 14 |
| 2.2 | The history of the peak luminosity (the first plot) of KEKB. The histories of the integrated luminosity per day (the second plot), peak currents of the two beams (the third plot) and the accumulated luminosity (the fourth plot) are also shown. | 15 |
| 2.3 | Overview of the Belle detector | 16 |
| 2.4 | Side view of the Belle detector | 17 |
| 2.5 | Detector configuration of SVD | 18 |
| 2.6 | The schematic view of the double-sided silicon strip detector | 19 |
| 2.7 | Overview of the CDC structure. The length in the figure are in units of mm. | 20 |
| 2.8 | Cell structure of CDC | 21 |
| 2.9 | Tracks reconstructed by CDC hits and SVD hits | 22 |
| 2.10 | The distribution of dE/dx versus momentum from data. Each particle species has a different dE/dx | 22 |
| 2.11 | The arrangement of ACC at the central part of the Belle detector. | 23 |
| 2.12 | Schematic drawing of a typical ACC counter module: (a) barrel and (b) end-cap ACC. | 24 |
| 2.13 | Schematic diagram of a TOF/TSC module. | 26 |
| 2.14 | Side-view of a TOF/TSC module. | 26 |
| 2.15 | Block diagram of a single channel to TOF front-end electronics | 27 |
| 2.16 | Time stretcher TDC scheme | 28 |
| 2.17 | Time resolution for μ -pair events. | 28 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 2.18 | The mass distribution from TOF measurements for particle momenta below 1.2 GeV/c. The data points are obtained from hadronic events. The hatched histogram is for MC prediction by assuming the time resolution of 100 ps. | 29 |
| 2.19 | The t_0 distribution for μ -pair events by using a fast tracking algorithm (a) before the μ -pair selection (described in text) (b) after the μ -pair selection. The t_0 distribution obtained from the full CDC track reconstruction is also shown in (c). | 30 |
| 2.20 | Overall configuration of ECL detector. | 32 |
| 2.21 | The E/p (upper left), $E9/E25$ (upper right) and dE/dx (bottom) distributions for electrons (solid) and charged pions (dashed) from data. For electrons, a sample of radiative Bhabha is used, while for charge pions, a sample of $K_s \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ decays in hadronic events is used. | 33 |
| 2.22 | Cross section of a KLM super-layer | 34 |
| 2.23 | K_L candidate and muon candidates displayed in the $r - \phi$ plane. The K_L candidate has no associated tracks in the CDC. The muon candidates penetrate KLM layers and can be associated with tracks in the CDC. . . . | 36 |
| 3.1 | The ΔE distributions for signal $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^0$ (solid), $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+K^{*0}$ (dashed), where the kaon is calculated with a pion mass assumption, and continuum (dotted line). | 42 |
| 3.2 | The cms momentum distributions of the final-state pions for the H_{00} state. | 43 |
| 3.3 | The cms momentum distributions of the final-state pions for the H_{11} state. | 43 |
| 3.4 | The χ^2/ndf distributions for signal MC (left) and data (right). | 44 |
| 3.5 | The number of events versus the π^0 momentum for the H_{00} (left) and H_{11} (right) signal MC. The hatched plots show the signal events; the open plots show the combinatorial background. A cms π^0 momentum $p > 0.5$ GeV/c (dashed line) requirement is applied in the analysis. | 45 |
| 3.6 | For signal-MC events, the top left plot is the ΔE distribution in $5.272 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < M_{bc} < 5.290 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ signal region; the top right plot shows ΔE versus M_{bc} , where the box represents the signal region; the bottom plot shows the M_{bc} distribution in the $-0.10 \text{ GeV} < \Delta E < 0.06 \text{ GeV}$ signal region. | 45 |
| 3.7 | The resolution of ΔE reconstructed from the re-fitted π^0 (left), compared to that of before (right). | 46 |
| 3.8 | The topology for the $B\bar{B}$ events (right) and the continuum events (left). . | 47 |
| 3.9 | The $\cos \theta_{thr}$ distributions for signal-MC (solid) and continuum events (dashed). | 47 |
| 3.10 | The left plot shows the \mathcal{F} distributions for signal-MC (solid) and M_{bc} side-band events (dashed); the right plot shows the $\cos \theta_B$ distributions. | 49 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 3.11 | The \mathcal{LR} distributions for signal-MC (solid) and M_{bc} sideband (dashed). . . | 50 |
| 3.12 | For 63 M generic continuum MC, top left plot shows ΔE distribution in the M_{bc} signal region; top right plot shows the distribution of ΔE versus M_{bc} ; and bottom plot is the M_{bc} distribution in the ΔE signal region. . . . | 50 |
| 3.13 | For 88 M generic $B\bar{B}$ MC, top left plot shows ΔE distribution in the M_{bc} signal region; top right plot shows the distribution of ΔE versus M_{bc} ; and bottom plot is the M_{bc} distribution in the ΔE signal region. | 51 |
| 3.14 | The ΔE (left) and M_{bc} (right) distributions for the decay $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ \eta'$ from MC (hatched) assuming the branching ratio to be the upper limit listed in Table 3.2, compared with that of $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^0$ (open). | 53 |
| 3.15 | The ΔE (left) and M_{bc} (right) distributions for a sum of $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ K^{*0}$ and $B^+ \rightarrow K^{*+} \rho^0$ from MC (hatched) assuming their branching ratios to be the upper limits listed in Table 3.2, compared to that of $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^0$ (open). | 53 |
| 3.16 | The ΔE (left) and M_{bc} (right) distributions for a sum of $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \pi^0$ and $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ \pi^-$ from MC (hatched) assuming their branching ratios to be the upper limits listed in Table 3.2, compared to that of $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^0$ (open). | 53 |
| 3.17 | The ΔE (top) and M_{bc} (bottom) distributions for $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+$, $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ from MC (left) and data (right). A slight shift in ΔE is found. | 55 |
| 3.18 | The ΔE (left) and M_{bc} (right) fit to the $B^\pm \rightarrow \rho^\pm \rho^0$ candidate events. The sum of the $B\bar{B}$ and continuum components is shown as a dashed line. The cross-hatched histogram represents the $B\bar{B}$ background. | 56 |
| 3.19 | The $M(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ (left) and $M(\pi^+ \pi^0)$ (right) invariant mass spectra for events in signal region. The distributions from sideband events are shown as hatched histograms. The peak in higher $M(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ mass is due to the contributions of $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$ with an incorrect mass hypothesis for the kaon. | 59 |
| 3.20 | The data points are the ΔE yields in bins of $M(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ (left) and $M(\pi^+ \pi^0)$ (right); the distribution from signal-MC (hatched histogram) is superimposed. | 59 |
| 3.21 | The ΔE (top) and M_{bc} (bottom) distributions for nine different $\pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\pi^+ \pi^0$ mass regions. The upper row plots are for $M(\pi^+ \pi^-) > 0.89 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and, from left to right, $M(\pi^+ \pi^0) < 0.65 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, $0.65 < M(\pi^+ \pi^0) < 0.89 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, and $M(\pi^+ \pi^0) > 0.89 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. The middle and lower rows show corresponding plots for $0.65 < M(\pi^+ \pi^-) < 0.89 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and $M(\pi^+ \pi^-) < 0.65 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, respectively. | 60 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 3.22 | The yield from ΔE fits versus $M(\pi^+\pi^-)$ (left) and the ΔE yield versus $M(\pi^+\pi^0)$ (right), compared to the distributions from non-resonant decay $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^0$ signal-MC (hatched histogram) normalized to the area of the signal. | 61 |
| 4.1 | The polarization of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^0$ and the subsequent decay $\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi$. | 62 |
| 4.2 | The cosine ρ^0 (left) and ρ^+ (right) helicity-angle distributions. The data points show the yields of ΔE fits applied to different helicity bins of signal MC with a shape determined from H_{00} signal-MC. | 64 |
| 4.3 | The ΔE resolution varies over the ρ^+ helicity-angle. The plots from top to bottom are for $-1.0 < \cos \theta_{hel(\rho^+)} < -0.6$; $-0.6 < \cos \theta_{hel(\rho^+)} < -0.2$; $-0.2 < \cos \theta_{hel(\rho^+)} < 0.2$; $0.2 < \cos \theta_{hel(\rho^+)} < 0.6$; $0.6 < \cos \theta_{hel(\rho^+)} < 1.0$. . . | 65 |
| 4.4 | The data points show the background-subtracted cosine helicity-angle distributions for ρ^0 (left) and ρ^+ (right). In each plot, the upper (lower) dashed histogram is the H_{00} (H_{11}) component of the fit; the solid histogram is their sum. The absence of events near $\cos \theta_{hel(\rho^+)} = 1$ is due to the π^0 momentum requirement. | 66 |
| 5.1 | The ΔE fit to the $B^- \rightarrow \rho^-\rho^0$ (left) and the $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^0$ (right) candidate events. The sum of the $B\bar{B}$ and continuum components is shown as a dashed line. The cross-hatched histogram represents the $B\bar{B}$ background. | 72 |
| 5.2 | The ΔE fits to the $B^- \rightarrow D^0\pi^-$ candidate events (left) and $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+$ candidate events (right). A shift of 2.9 MeV in the mean value is found. . . | 73 |
| A.1 | The helicity frame for $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^0$ | 78 |
| B.1 | (a) The tree diagram for the decays of $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^0$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\omega$. (b) The penguin diagram for the decay $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\omega$ | 81 |
| B.2 | The expected invariant mass spectra of $M(\pi^+\pi^-)$ ($N_c = 2$ and $k^2 = 0.5m_b^2$). Solid plot is for B^+ , dashed plot is for the B^- . The asymmetry pattern is due to the $\rho\omega$ interference. | 83 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 3.1 | The efficiencies and cross sections for various processes applied the hadronic selection. | 39 |
| 3.2 | The branching fractions and efficiencies for the major rare decay backgrounds. | 52 |
| 3.3 | The results of the consistency check by using $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+$ and $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays. The mean and σ are obtained from the fits to the ΔE and M_{bc} distributions for MC and data. | 54 |
| 3.4 | The momentum-dependent PID efficiencies with the requirement $pid(K, \pi) < 0.4$ | 57 |
| 3.5 | The PID efficiencies for pions in the $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^0$ final state and the total PID efficiency. | 58 |
| 3.6 | The M_{bc} and ΔE efficiencies for the H_{00} and H_{11} states. | 58 |
| 3.7 | The efficiencies for various non-resonant modes after all requirements are applied. | 61 |
| 4.1 | The results of the polarization ratio. | 67 |
| 4.2 | Cross-check results of the polarization ratios by using a $(H_{00}+H_{11})$ -determined ΔE shape, which is used to estimate the systematic errors on the signal extraction. | 67 |
| 4.3 | The systematic errors in polarization ratio | 68 |
| 4.4 | The systematic error for the ΔE fit. This is obtained by varying the fixed parameters by $\pm 1\sigma$ | 70 |
| 4.5 | Summary of systematic errors | 70 |
| 4.6 | The branching ratio error due to the uncertain mixture of helicity states, which is taken as a third error. | 70 |
| 5.1 | Bias check by using the decay of $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+$, $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and its charge conjugate mode. | 73 |
| 5.2 | The percentage change in \mathcal{A}_{CP} by varying each parameter of the fitting functions by $\pm 1\sigma$ | 74 |
| 5.3 | The \mathcal{A}_{CP} systematic errors | 74 |