

DA
2367(HG)
1999

A Mechanistic Modeling for the Critical Heat
Flux of Subcooled Flow Boiling

管内強制流動サブクール沸騰限界熱流束
の機構論的モデリング

Doctoral Program in Engineering

University of Tsukuba

March, 2000

劉 維

Liu Wei



ABSTRACT

The critical heat flux (CHF) mechanism is considered tightly connected to the flow pattern. According to the most often-encountered subcooled boiling flow pattern (the first kind of flow pattern), a new mechanistic CHF model is developed for vertical subcooled flow boiling. The model is based on the liquid sublayer dryout mechanism. The model is simple with explicit physics meaning, and is characterized by the absence of empirical constant. The model is tested over a very large data bank, showing a general good accuracy.

The model is compared to the Celata model in the prediction ability for the CHF and important parameters (U_B , L_B , D_B , δ). Although the prediction approaches of the two models differ greatly, it is interesting to see that the important parameters are predicted with no big difference existing. The parametric trends of the CHF are summarized and interpreted in the paper from the model theory viewpoint. The CHF is an increasing function of the coolant subcooling and mass flux. The influence of the pressure on the CHF turns out to be negligible. The effect of the inner diameter is to decrease the CHF as it increases. The ratio of the tube length to inner diameter L/D is ascertained to be an independent characteristic parameter on the CHF. The effect of L/D is to decrease the CHF when L/D increases, showing a threshold inside which the L/D effect is very significant while beyond which the influence of L/D is small.

Although originally developed for peripheral uniform heating, straight tube condition with water used as coolant, the model also shows good adaptation to non-uniform, twist tape insert and non-water system (liquid nitrogen and refrigerant 113). The model is also adapted successfully to the both emergences of the twist tape and peripheral non-uniform heating conditions.

The model is found showing a tendency of over-prediction at low L/D condition, especially at high-pressure condition. The possible reasons are analyzed. Besides, the model also shows a phenomenon that under some extreme conditions, such as at extremely high pressure or extremely high mass flux, sometimes the final calculated q doesn't equal to the assumed q_m even after the assumed q_m has converged to a point. Although the CHF under such circumstance can be predicted quite well by doing a little modification to the calculation of the vapor blanket equivalent diameter D_B , the true reason is analyzed due to the change of the flow pattern (The first kind of flow pattern is replaced by the second kind of flow pattern) near the CHF, which consequently causes the change of the CHF triggering mechanism. A criterion is developed for the determination of the flow pattern near the CHF and further, the CHF triggering mechanism for the second kind of flow pattern is discussed.

CONTENTSAbstractNomenclature

<u>Chapter 1— Introduction</u>	<u>1</u>
1.1 Topic Statement.....	1
1.1.1 Critical Heat Flux.....	1
1.1.2 Subcooled Flow Boiling.....	2
1.2 Significance of the Subcooled Flow Boiling CHF.....	4
1.3 Research Objectives and Scope.....	4
1.3.1 Research Objectives.....	4
1.3.2 Research Scope.....	4
<u>Chapter 2— Review of the CHF Predictions for the Subcooled Flow Boiling</u>	<u>6</u>
2.1 Tabular Methods.....	6
2.2 Empirical Correlations.....	6
2.3 Mechanistic Models.....	8
2.3.1 Bubble-Crowding Model.....	9
2.3.2 Liquid Sublayer Dryout Mechanism.....	12
2.3.2.1 Experimental Base for the Liquid Sublayer Dryout mechanism.....	12
2.3.2.2 Assumptions of the Liquid Sublayer Dryout Mechanism	13
2.3.2.3 Main Previous Work for the Liquid Sublayer Dryout Mechanism.....	14
2.3.2.3.1 Lee-Mudarwar Model.....	14
2.3.2.3.2 Katto Model.....	14
2.3.2.3.3 Celata Model.....	15
<u>Chapter 3— Proposed Model for the Subcooled Flow Boiling CHF</u>	<u>17</u>
3.1 Basic Assumptions.....	17
3.2 Calculations for Vapor Blanket Velocity U_B	19
3.3 Calculation for L_B and D_B	21
3.4 Calculation for Liquid Sublayer Thickness.....	22
3.4.1 Calculation of U_{BL}	22
3.4.2 Calculation of Liquid Sublayer Thickness δ	23
3.5 Computation of the CHF.....	24
<u>Chapter 4— Verification of the Model</u>	<u>26</u>
4.1 Comparison with Experimental Data.....	26
4.2 Comparisons of Proposed Model with the Celata Model.....	30

4.2.1 Comparisons of the CHF Prediction.....	30
4.2.2 Comparisons of U_B and δ	30
4.2.3 Comparisons of D_B and L_B	30
4.3 Systematic Effects of Thermal Hydraulic Conditions and Geometric Parameters in the Model Behavior.....	40
4.3.1 Systematic Effects (G , P , ΔT_{in} , $\chi_{e,qout}$, D and L/D) Analysis.....	40
4.3.2 Analysis to Low L/D Data.....	43
4.4 Parametric Trends of the CHF.....	46
4.4.1 Thermal Hydraulic Conditions (G , P and ΔT_{in})	46
4.4.2 Geometric Parameters (D and L/D)	48
4.4.2.1 Inner Diameter D	48
4.4.2.2 Ratio of heated length to inner diameter L/D	49
Chapter 5— Other Adaptations of the Model	51
5.1 Peripheral Non-Uniform Heating.....	51
5.2 Presence of Swirl Flow Promoters.....	53
5.3 Presences of Both Swirl Flow Promoters and Peripheral Non-Uniform Heating.....	56
5.4 CHF Prediction for Non-Water Fluids.....	57
Chapter 6— Discussions of the Proposed Model	58
6.1 Discussions on the Existing Models for the Predictions of NVG Point, True Quality, Void Fraction and Drag Coefficient.....	58
6.1.1 The NVG Point.....	58
6.1.1.1 Comparisons for the NVG Models.....	58
6.1.1.1.1 Mass flux G Effect on ΔT_d	59
6.1.1.1.2 Heat flux q_m Effect on ΔT_d	60
6.1.1.1.3. Pressure P Effect on ΔT_d	61
6.1.1.1.4 Inner diameter D Effect on ΔT_d	62
6.1.1.1.5 Inlet Liquid Temperature Effect on ΔT_d	62
6.1.1.1.6 Heated Length Effect on ΔT_d	64
6.1.1.2 Model Recommendation for the Prediction of the NVG Point.....	64
6.1.1.3 Sensitivity Study.....	65
6.1.2 The True Quality.....	66
6.1.2.1 Comparisons for True Quality Models	66
6.1.2.2 Model Recommendations for True Quality Calculation.....	69
6.1.2.3 Sensitivity Studies.....	69
6.1.3 The Void Fraction.....	70
6.1.3.1 Comparisons for Void Fraction Models.....	70

6.1.3.2 Model Recommendation for Void Fraction Calculation.....	73
6.1.3.3 Sensitivity Studies.....	73
6.1.4 The Bubble Drag Coefficient.....	74
6.2 Discussion of the Helmholtz Instability Wavelength in Finite System.....	75
6.3 CHF under Extreme Condition.....	79
6.3.1 Corresponding Relations between the Flow Patterns and the CHF Triggering Mechanisms.....	79
6.3.2 Criterion For the Determination of the Flow Pattern near the CHF.....	80
6.3.2.1 The Criterion for the Determination of the Flow Pattern.....	80
6.3.2.2 Data Categorizing.....	83
6.3.3 CHF Prediction for the First Kind of Data Group.....	83
6.3.4 CHF Prediction for the Second Kind of Data Group.....	85
6.3.5 Summaries of the Triggering Mechanisms and Predictions for the Subcooled Flow Boiling CHF.....	86
<u>Chapter 7—Conclusions</u>	<u>88</u>
<u>Appendix 1— Table: Data Used for the Model Verification</u>	<u>90</u>
<u>Appendix 2— Mathematics Demonstration for the Equal Wavelengths at Interfaces I and II</u>	<u>91</u>
<u>Appendix 3— Detailed CHF Calculation Procedure</u>	<u>94</u>
<u>References</u>	<u>98</u>
<u>Acknowledgements</u>	<u>106</u>