

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Features of superconducting magnets used in various fields	5
Table 2.1	Specifications of the strand.	19
Table 2.2	Specifications of the Rutherford cable	19
Table 2.3	Specifications of the pickup coil	20
Table 2.4	Cable conditions of Region A and Region B.	20

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	Schematic view of a Rutherford cable and a strand cross section.	6
Fig. 1.2	Example of MIITs calculation. The parameters are those of the outer cable parameters for LHC insertion quadrupole magnets.	6
Fig. 1.3	Simple protection circuit with an external dump resistor.	7
Fig. 1.4	Example of calculated MIITs as a function of the quench propagation velocity.	7
Fig. 2.1	Critical current of the short strand before and after the heat treatment.	21
Fig. 2.2	Schematic view of the sample cable and the dipole magnet.	22
Fig. 2.3	Cross section of the sample holder.	23
Fig. 2.4	Overview of the experimental setup.	24
Fig. 2.5	Positions of the heaters, the voltage taps and the set of pickup coils. VT, HT and PC represent the voltage taps, the carbon paste heater, and the set of pickup coils. The numbers in parentheses are the relative positions of the devices with respect to VTA or VTN.	25
Fig. 2.6	Schematic view of the carbon paste heater.	26
Fig. 2.7	Schematic view of pickup coils.	26
Fig. 2.8	Photograph of pickup coils with GFRP fixtures.	27
Fig. 2.9	Calculated flux across the coil made by a current piece. The illus- tration on the right-top represents the configuration for the calculation. . .	28
Fig. 2.10	Two types of coil arrangements. Type-A is the arrangement used in the present study.	29
Fig. 2.11	Calculated mutual inductance normalized by the maximum inductance.	30

Fig. 2.12 Example of the induced voltages of the pickup coils in the calibration test, where the current with amplitude of 4.6 A and frequency of 512.5 Hz flowed in strand 8.	30
Fig. 2.13 Repeatability of the attachment of the pickup coil set. The current of 10 A _{peak-to-peak} flows through only strand 1. (a) shows the calculated current of each strand. (b) shows the calculated current of strand 1 normalized by the actual current flows in strand 1.	31
Fig. 2.14 Example of the longitudinal quench propagation of the cable for the cable current of about 3100 A. Quench heater HTB was attached to strand 5. The quench was initiated at 0 msec. The signals represent the voltages between voltage taps attached to strand 5.	32
Fig. 2.15 Average quench propagation velocities for the four cable conditions as a function of cable current. The error bars represent the amounts of spreads in the velocities at each section. The heaters used were HTB for original cable and low contact cable, and HTC for cable without polyimide and high contact cable.	33
Fig. 2.16 Quench propagation velocities of original cable at the four sections with the cable current of 3100 A.	34
Fig. 2.17 Example of the pickup coil signals in original cable. The cable current was about 3100 A, and the quench heater used was HTA.	34
Fig. 2.18 Example of the pickup coil signals in cable without polyimide. The cable current was about 3100 A, and the quench heater used was HTC.	35
Fig. 2.19 Example of the pickup coil signals in low contact cable. The cable current was about 3100 A, and the quench heater used was HTB.	35
Fig. 2.20 Example of the pickup coil signals in high contact cable. The cable current was about 3100 A, and the quench heater used was HTD.	36
Fig. 2.21 Example of current changes of each strand calculated from the pickup coil signals of PCA in original cable at 3100 A.	36
Fig. 2.22 Magnetic field applied to the cable.	37

Fig. 2.23	Example of current changes of each strand in original cable at the time when the first current redistribution occurs.	37
Fig. 2.24	Examples of average current changes for the four cables.	38
Fig. 2.25	First current redistributions at some longitudinal position in original cable. The amount of the current changes is distinguished by colors. . . .	39
Fig. 2.26	Example of current changes of each strand calculated from the pickup coil signals of PCH in cable without polyimide at 3100 A.	40
Fig. 2.27	Example of current changes of each strand in cable without polyimide at the time when the first current redistribution occurs.	40
Fig. 2.28	First current redistributions at some longitudinal positions in cable without polyimide. The amount of the current changes is distinguished by colors.	41
Fig. 2.29	Example of current changes of each strand calculated from the pickup coil signals of PCA in low contact cable at 3100 A.	42
Fig. 2.30	Example of current changes of each strand in low contact cable at the time when the first current redistribution occurs.	42
Fig. 2.31	The current redistribution at some longitudinal positions in low contact cable. The amount of the current changes is distinguished by colors. The quench heater is HTB.	43
Fig. 2.32	Example of current changes of each strand calculated from the pickup coil signals in high contact cable at 3100 A. The set of pickup coils is PCG.	44
Fig. 2.33	Example of current changes of each strand at the first current redistribution in high contact cable. The set of pickup coils is PCG.	44
Fig. 2.34	The current redistribution at some longitudinal positions in high contact cable. The amount of the current changes is distinguished by colors. The quench heater is HTD.	45
Fig. 3.1	Overview of the experimental setup for the single strand tests. . . .	50

Fig. 3.2	Arrangement of three cooling conditions and positions of the voltage taps and the heaters. Condition A is the strand without thermal insulation, condition B is the strand covered with epoxy for thermal insulation, and condition C is the strand wrapped by polyimide tape with 50% overlap. The numbers in parentheses are the relative distances from heater HT1 or HT3.	51
Fig. 3.3	Quench propagation velocities of a single strand measured under three cooling conditions as a function of strand current.	52
Fig. 3.4	Quench propagation velocities as a function of distance from the heater to the center of voltage tap couple at B_{max}	53
Fig. 3.5	Quench propagation velocities on every pair of voltage taps in condition C at the strand current of 394 A at B_{max}	54
Fig. 3.6	Illustration of helium in a cooling channel around the cable. (a): before quench, (b): during quench propagation.	55
Fig. 4.1	Two-parallel strand model.	70
Fig. 4.2	Electrical circuit model of two-parallel strands.	71
Fig. 4.3	Example of critical current of the strand.	72
Fig. 4.4	Example of the specific heat of the strand.	73
Fig. 4.5	Example of the resistivity of the strand. The strand current is 350 A.	74
Fig. 4.6	Example of the thermal conductivity of the strand.	75
Fig. 4.7	Relative positions of wire elements for the inductance calculation.	76
Fig. 4.8	Field distribution of the Rutherford cable and the two-parallel strand model.	77
Fig. 4.9	Example of quench propagation in strands 1 and 2.	78
Fig. 4.10	Calculated quench propagation velocities as a function of strand current.	79
Fig. 4.11	Quench propagation velocity as a function of electrical contact conductance at the strand current of 387.5 A.	80

Fig. 4.12	Calculated current change and quench front position of strand 1 at 387.5 A, $x = 240.3$ mm and $\sigma_c = 2.5 \times 10^7$ S/m.	81
Fig. 4.13	Calculated current change of strand 1 at the strand current of 387.5 A, $x = 240.3$ mm and $\sigma_c = 2.5 \times 10^5$ S/m. The dotted line represents the magnetic field at the quench front position of strand 1.	82
Fig. 4.14	Sinusoidal wave circuit.	82
Fig. 4.15	Region of the current redistribution calculated at the strand current of 387.5 A. The vertical axis is the calculated length between the quench front and the element that the current changes more than 0.1 A.	83
Fig. 4.16	Calculated current changes in two twist pitches, where $\sigma_c = 2.5 \times 10^5$ S/m and $x = 240.3$ mm. The solid and dashed lines represent the current changes in the twist pitch of 31 mm and 62 mm, respectively.	84
Fig. 4.17	Calculated current change and quench front position of strand 1 at the strand current of 387.5 A, $x = 240.3$ mm and $\sigma_c = 2.5 \times 10^{10}$ S/m.	85
Fig. 4.18	Calculated current changes of strand 1 for three thermal conductivities between strands for the strand current of 387.5 A, $x = 240.3$ mm and $\sigma_c = 2.5 \times 10^{10}$ S/m.	86
Fig. 5.1	Current change of strand 1 and element voltages of strands 1 and 2 at $\sigma_c = 2.5 \times 10^8$ S/m and the strand current of 387.5 A.	91
Fig. 5.2	Current change of strand 1 and element voltages of strands 1 and 2 at $\sigma_c = 2.5 \times 10^5$ S/m and the strand current of 387.5 A.	92
Fig. 5.3	Maximum current change of strand 1 and the quench propagation velocity at the strand current of 387.5 A as a function of the electrical contact conductance between strands.	93
Fig. A.1	Circuit of a differential amplifier with a low pass filter.	96