

List of Tables	Page No.
Table 2-1 Distribution of major land use classes in slope gradient, slope aspect, and elevation.	19
Table 3-1 Simplified classification of slope movements (after Varnes, 1978).	23
Table 3-2 General characteristics of various bands of TM sensor of LANDSAT -4, -5 (Altitude 705 km, repeat cycle 16 days).	31
Table 3-3 Various decision components and their elements necessary to be considered primarily before the initiation of landslide hazard assessment.	37
Table 3-4 Scale of the analysis and objectives of hazard maps at different scales.	37
Table 3-5 Grid-cell vs. slope/catchment analysis unit: advantages and disadvantages.	38
Table 3-6 Various methods of landslide hazard analysis [Developed from the concept of Hartle'n and Viberg (1988) and Mantovani <i>et. al</i> (1996)].	39
Table 4-1 Preliminary understanding based on the measured sites about the characteristics of six different bands for detecting different type of landslide affected.	54
Table 4-2 Change detection techniques employed in the study.	54
Table 4-3 Generation of error matrix and calculation of different accuracy measures in order to set threshold value. An example of Band 1 change image; threshold value = mean + standard deviation (i.e. N = + 1).	59
Table 4-4 Sector code definitions for change vector analysis processing using three bands, and two bands. "+" indicates the increase in spectral value in 1993 (post disaster scene) and "-" indicates the decrease in spectral value in 1993.	65
Table 4-5 The minimum and maximum magnitudes in eight sector codes for change vector analysis processing with TM bands 1, 2 and 3. Only sector code 8 shows significant higher values. This was the sector of interest.	65
Table 4-6 Minimum and maximum magnitudes in four sector codes for change vector analysis processing with TM bands 3 and 4.	

Only sector code 3 was of interest (see text for the explanation).	65
Table 4-7 Eigenvectors and eigenvalues computed from the covariance matrix for the principal component analysis.	68
Table 4-8 Threshold values and change pixel corresponding to value of the standard deviation x N to the mean (N = 0.25-3.0) for bands 1, 2, 3, and 7 change images. The shaded portion is the range of threshold depicting pixels affected by landsliding. The accuracy assessment based on the overall accuracy and the Khat was performed to decide the threshold from this range.	71
Table 4-9 Threshold values, accuracies and pixel number corresponding to the different values of the standard deviation x N to the mean for the three vegetation index change images.	71
Table 4-10 Threshold values, accuracies and pixel number corresponding to different values of the standard deviation x N to the mean for tasseled cap brightness function image differencing.	77
Table 4-11 Threshold values, accuracies and pixel number corresponding to different subjectively selected threshold values for two spectral change vector analysis. The results of principle component analysis is also listed.	77
Table 4-12 Comparison of accuracies of different change detection methods at the optimal threshold. Spectral change vector analysis using bands 1, 2, and 3 performed best for detecting landslide affected areas (landslides/sediment deposition). NDVI performed best to detect the vegetation responses to landsliding.	81
Table 4-13 Number of pixels depicted as sediment deposition or landslides by two change images. NDVI was not expected to reveal changes in non vegetated areas. The pixels that fall in the category of slope gradient < 15° are basically non vegetated area hence, such pixels were discarded.	85
Table 4-14 Modified error matrix generated for the spectral change vector analysis (bands 1, 2 and 3; sector code 8), which produced highest accuracy among the change detection methods employed. The matrix was generated to see the capability of the algorithm to detect different types of changes as landslides and sediment deposition areas.	85

Table 5-1	Factors and their classes in GIS for a Q-S II analysis.	103
Table 5-2	Combinations of landslide and non-landslide sample groups for the Q-S II analysis.	108
Table 5-3	Correlations between factors (Sample of combination 1).	108
Table 5-4	The results of the Q-S II analysis. A large range of scores indicates more discriminating potential of the factor and a negative class score implies the contribution to landsliding.	111
Table 5-5	The results of FR (A) and FR (B) analyses. A large class score implies the contribution to landsliding. For comparison the results of the Q-S II analysis (Combination 1) is also added (first five important factors are listed).	113
Table 5-6	Percentages area of different hazard classes in five hazard maps (Q-S II analysis).	113
Table 5-7	Test landslide grid-cells falling on unstable category for five different combinations used for Q-S II analysis. For comparison Q-S II accuracy is also listed.	119
Table 5-8	Landslide grid-cells (all) falling on unstable category for FR (A) and FR (B) analyses. For comparison of methods used all landslide grid-cells falling on unstable category of hazard map produced from Q-S II (combination 1) analysis is also listed.	119
Table 5-9	Error matrix of spatial agreement assessment for the landslide hazard map of Combination 2 against that of combination 1 in Q-S II analysis.	124
Table 5-10	Comparison of spatial agreement (%) between five hazard maps produced from the results of five different combinations in Q-S II analysis.	124
Table 5-11	Overall spatial agreements between three hazard maps produced from FR (A), FR (B) and Q-S II (combination1) analyses. Overall spatial agreement is calculated for hazard maps produced with four hazard classes as well as two hazard classes.	124

List of Figures	Page No.
Figure 2-1 The study area, Kulekhani watershed, Nepal.	6
Figure 2-2 Block diagram of the Himalaya (modified after, ICIMOD, 1991)	7
Figure 2-3 (A) Landslides in the study area, around the hills of Palung and Daman (Top), and upper catchment of the Phedi river (Bottom).	8
Figure 2-3 (B) Different forms of "landslide affected areas"; slope failures and sand deposited area, Plaung river (Top), and cobble and boulder type debrisflow deposition areas in Phedi and Kitini rivers (Bottom), respectively.	9
Figure 2-3 (C) Sand deposited areas due to flooding or debris flow in the upper catchment in the Palung river, near Palung village. Cluster of houses in the middle of the photograph is Palung village.	10
Figure 2-3 (D) Cobble type debris deposition area and the surrounding land use in Phedi river. The debris flow occurred on the night of 19 July 1993, which claimed 58 lives and destroyed 45 houses.	11
Figure 2-3 (E) Boulder type debris deposition area and the surrounding land use in Kitini river. The debris flow occurred on the morning of 20 July 1993 and claimed 10 lives.	12
Figure 2-4 (A-F) Maps showing topographical, geological and land use/cover characteristics of the Kulekhani watershed.	14
Figure 2-5 Monthly maximum and minimum temperature (1993) and monthly average rainfall (1982 -1992) of Kulekhani watershed.	17
Figure 2-6 Distribution of landslides in the slope gradient measured in the field.	21
Figure 3-1 Simplified sketches of different types of slope movements (modified after Varnes, 1978).	24
Figure 3-2 Schematic illustration of relationship between remote sensing and GIS employed for landslide hazard assessment.	28
Figure 3-3 Phases of preliminary decision and confirmation during the initiation of landslide hazard assessment.	36
Figure 4-1 Landsat thematic mapper image (full scene) of path-141 row-041 of 29 December 1993 (bulk rectified) with the band 4, 3 and 2 displayed in red, green and blue, respectively. The scene covers 185 km x 172 km.	

Kulekhani watershed is bounded by a rectangle in the fourth quadrant (bottom right).	43
Figure 4-2 Flow diagram of change detection processes for the assessment of landslide affected areas using satellite digital data.	44
Figure 4-3 Post- and pre-disaster Landsat TM images (rectified) used for the study.	47
Figure 4-4 (A-F) Relationship between the same wet and dry regions found in both 20 December 1990 and 29 December 1993 TM bands 1-7 (excluding band 6). The regression equations are used to normalize radiometric characteristics of the 1990 TM data.	49
Figure 4-5 (A-L) Change in brightness value for areas affected by landsliding extracted from TM 1993 and TM 1990. The values depicted are an average of 9 pixels (3 x 3 window) in most of cases excluding for few landslides which show an average of 4 pixels. For place names see Figure 2-1.	50
Figure 4-6 (A-D) Histograms of change images for spectral image differencing change detection method. Bands and statistics are shown.	56
Figure 4-7 (A-C) Histograms of change images for vegetation index image differencing, (A) Difference vegetation index differencing, (B) Ratio vegetation index differencing, (C) Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) differencing.	62
Figure 4-8 Histogram of change image for tasseled cap brightness function.	62
Figure 4-9 Histogram of the 3rd principal component image.	69
Figure 4-10 (A-D) The results of the spectral image differencing showing change pixels at different threshold values using the standard deviation (St. Deviation) and the mean. Highest accuracies were obtained at one standard deviation to the mean (N = 1).	72
Figure 4-11 (A-C) The results of three vegetation index image differencing showing change pixels at different threshold values using the standard deviation (St. Deviation) and the mean.	74
Figure 4-12 The result of the tasseled cap brightness image differencing showing change pixels at different threshold values using standard deviation (St. Deviation) and the mean.	75

Figure 4-13 (A-B) The results of the spectral change vector analysis showing change pixels at different threshold values. The values were subjectively selected by trial and error in order to find the optimal threshold value.	78
Figure 4-14 The result of the principal component image analysis showing change pixels at different threshold values. The third principal component image represented for the areas affected by landslides. The change pixels shown are detected from the third principal component image.	79
Figure 4-15 (A-B) Change pixels at the optimal threshold value detected by two best change detection methods. Spectral change vector (sector code 8) depicts the landslide affected areas and NDVI depicts the vegetation responses to landsliding.	82
Figure 4-16 (A) Change areas depicted by spectral change vector analysis (sector code 8) by slope gradient.	84
Figure 4-16 (B) Change areas depicted by NDVI. Black spots are the change pixels in the slope gradient greater than 15° (see text for the illustration).	84
Figure 4-17 (A-B) Comparison of landslides interpreted from the multitemporal TM data using spectral change vector and NDVI, and produced from the interpretation of large scale aerial photographs.	86
Figure 4-18 Different steps in the processes of creating catchment units using the DEM.	90
Figure 4-19 Schematic illustration of different steps in the processes of creating catchment units using the DEM.	91
Figure 4-20 Change pixels (white) identified as landslides from the analysis of multitemporal Landsat TM data on the top of landslide and non-landslide catchment units identified from landslides interpreted from aerial photographs.	92
Figure 5-1 Flow diagram of landslide hazard assessment, mapping and evaluation.	100
Figure 5-2 Landslide (black spots) distribution map of Kulekhani watershed (interpreted from aerial photo of (March 1994). A total of 1,246 landslides were identified. The size of the landslides were greater than	

600 m ² , which was the size which could be surely identified.	102
Figure 5-3 Various factor layers for GIS (see Table 1 for class codes of the factors) generated for the landslide hazard assessment process.	104
Figure 5-4 Concept of discriminant analysis (quantification scaling type II) modified after, Davis (1986).	106
Figure 5-5 Cumulative frequencies and Q-S II scores for landslide and non-landslide groups (combination 1; see Table 5-2 for explanation of combination 1).	114
Figure 5-6 Landslide hazard maps produced from the results of five different combinations in the Q-S II analysis.	116
Figure 5-7 Landslide hazard maps produced from the results of the FR analyses. The hazard map produced from the Q-S II analysis (combination 1) is also shown for comparison.	117
Figure 5-8 Spatial agreements between the hazard maps produced from results of Q-S II analysis; (A) combination 1 and combination 2, (B) combination 1 and combination 3, (C) combination 1 and combination 4, and (D) combination 1 and combination 5.	122
Figure 5-9 Spatial agreements between the hazard maps produced from different methods. (A) Q-S II (combination 1) and FR(A), Q-S II (combination 1) and FR(B), and FR(A) and FR(B).	123