

Hasegawa, Ryu**A categorical reduction system for linear logic.** (English) Zbl 07281443
Theory Appl. Categ. 35, 1833-1870 (2020).

Computational aspects of the lambda calculus suggests that the corresponding Cartesian closed category may well be given a dynamic computational mechanism. The idea in [R. Seely, “Modelling computations: a 2-categorical framework”, in: Proceedings of the symposium on logic in computer science, LICS’87. Los Alamitos: IEEE Computer Society. 65–71 (1987)] looks natural, but has not been pursued further.

This paper introduces a rewriting system on the categorical semantics of linear logic, namely, a free (intuitionistic or classical) linear category put down as a calculus. It is shown that this calculus abides by the weak termination property. The author intends in a forthcoming paper to show that it is pretty much confluent. These two results surely imply that each morphism has a unique normal form as far as no units are involved. A dividend is mechanization of diagram chasing.

Reviewer: Hirokazu Nishimura (Tsukuba)

MSC:

- 03B40 Combinatory logic and lambda calculus
68N18 Functional programming and lambda calculus

Keywords:

type theory; linear logic; rewriting system

Full Text: [Link](#)**References:**

- [1] A. Asperti, Linear logic, comonads and optimal reductions, *Fundamenta Informaticae*, 22(1-2):3- 22, 1993. · Zbl 0818.03007
- [2] A. Asperti and G. Guerrini, *The Optimal Implementation of Functional Programming Languages*, Cambridge University Press, 1998. · Zbl 1282.68005
- [3] A. Barber, Dual intuitionistic linear logic, preprint, Laboratory for Foundations of Computer Science, The University of Edinburgh, U.K., 1996.
- [4] M. Barr, *-Autonomous Categories, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 752, Springer Verlag, 1979. · Zbl 0415.18008
- [5] N. Benton, A mixed linear and non-linear logic: proofs, terms, models, in *Computer Science Logic*, CSL 1994, L. Pacholski, J. Tiuryn, eds., *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 933, pp.121-135, Springer Verlag, 1994.
- [6] N. Benton, G. Bierman, V. de Paiva, and M. Hyland, Linear λ -calculus and categorical models revisited, in *Computer Science Logic*. CSL 1992, E. Börger, G. Jäger, H. Kleine Buning, S. Martini, M. M. Richter, eds., *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 702, pp.61-84, Springer Verlag, 1993. · Zbl 0840.03003
- [7] R. F. Blute, J. R. B. Cockett, R. A. G. Seely, and T. H. Trimble, Natural deduction and coherence for weakly distributive categories, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 113(3):229-296, 1996. · Zbl 0858.03064
- [8] J. R. B. Cockett and R. A. G. Seely, Weakly distributive categories, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 114(2):133-173, 1997. · Zbl 0867.18008
- [9] G. Cousineau, P.-L. Curien, and M. Mauny, The categorical abstract machine, *Science of Computer Programming*, 8(2):173-202, 1987. · Zbl 0634.68078
- [10] P.-L. Curien, Categorical combinators, *Information and Control*, 69(1-3):188-254, 1986. · Zbl 0607.03005
- [11] D. B. A. Epstein, Functors between tensored categories, *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 1(3):221-228, 1966. · Zbl 0146.02502
- [12] N. Ghani, Adjoint rewriting, preprint, Laboratory for Foundations of Computer Science, The University of Edinburgh, U.K., 1995.
- [13] J.-Y. Girard, Linear logic, *Theoretical Computer Science*, 50(1):1-101, 1987.
- [14] G. Gonthier, M. Abadi, and J.-J. Lévy, The geometry of optimal lambda reduction, in *Proceedings of the 19th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of programming languages*, POPL ’92, pp.15-26, ACM, 1992.
- [15] W. Heijltjes and R. Houston, No proof nets for MLL with units: Proof equivalence in MLL is PSPACE complete, in *Proceedings of the Joint Meeting of the Twenty-Third EACSL Annual Conference on Computer Science Logic and the Twenty-Ninth Annual*

- ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, CSL-LICS '14, ACM, 2014. · [Zbl 1395.03036](#)
- [16] D. J. D. Hughes, Simple free star-autonomous categories and full coherence, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 216(11):2386-2410, 2012. · [Zbl 1264.18009](#)
- [17] B. Jacobs, *Categorical Logic and Type theory*, Elsevier, 2001. · [Zbl 0911.03001](#)
- [18] C. B. Jay, Modelling reduction in confluent categories, preprint, Laboratory for Foundations of Computer Science, The University of Edinburgh, U.K., 1991. · [Zbl 0784.68048](#)
- [19] G. M. Kelly, On MacLane's conditions for coherence of natural associativities, commutativities, etc., *Journal of Algebra*, 1(4):397-402, 1964. · [Zbl 0246.18008](#)
- [20] G. M. Kelly and S. MacLane, Coherence in closed categories, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 1(1):97-140, 1971. · [Zbl 0212.35001](#)
- [21] J. Lambek and P. J. Scott, *Introduction to Higher-Order Categorical Logic*, Cambridge Universit Press, 1988. · [Zbl 0642.03002](#)
- [22] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Springer, 1978.
- [23] M. E. Maietti, P. Maneggia, V. de Paiva, and E. Ritter, Relating categorical semantics for intuitionsitic linear logic, *Applied Categorical Structures*, 13(1):1-36, 2005. · [Zbl 1067.03067](#)
- [24] P.-A. Melli'es, Categorical models of linear logic revisited, preprint, HAL-Inria, France, 2002.
- [25] P.-A. Melli'es, Categorical semantics of linear logic, manuscript, CNRS and Universit 'e Paris 7, France, 2007.
- [26] D. E. Rydeheard and J. G. Stell, Foundations of equational deduction: A categorical treatment of equational proofs and unification algorithm, in *Category Theory and Computer Science*, D. H. Pitt, A. Poign'e, eds., Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 283, pp.114-139, 1987. · [Zbl 0664.03041](#)
- [27] A. Schalk, What is a categorical model for linear logic?, manuscript, Univ. of Manchester, U.K., 2004.
- [28] R. A. G. Seely, Modelling computations: a 2-categorical framework, *Proceedings of the Symposium on Logic in Computer Science*, LICS '87, pp.65-71, IEEE, 1987.
- [29] P. Tranchini, Confluence of pure differential nets with promotion, in *Computer Science Logic*, CSL 2009, E. Gr"adel, R. Kahle, eds., Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 5771, pp.504-514, 2009. · [Zbl 1257.03085](#)

This reference list is based on information provided by the publisher or from digital mathematics libraries. Its items are heuristically matched to zbMATH identifiers and may contain data conversion errors. It attempts to reflect the references listed in the original paper as accurately as possible without claiming the completeness or perfect precision of the matching.