# Classification of Noun-Concluding Sentences from a Syntactic Analysis Perspective

Hiroko SAWADA Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences University of Tsukuba (Japan)

#### **Abstract**

This paper discusses the problem of 'noun-concluding sentences' (NCS) in modern Japanese and aims to systematize classification based on syntactic analysis. An NCS refers to a noun predicate sentence in which the noun of the predicate requires a modification part and does not have an equivalent relation with the subject. The NCS is a special construction both from a linguistic typology perspective and from a Japanese syntax perspective.

NCS cover a wide range of semantic fields, and several classification systems have been attempted. However, because these classifications in the existing research depend on the lexical meanings of nouns of predicates, the individual categories are considered in parallel to each other, making it difficult to perceive a clear picture of relationships between them. This paper, therefore, focuses on a syntactic view of NCS, and on that basis, proposes the establishment of an NCS classification system.

This paper will attempt to show that NCS can be classified into two main groups: those which can take cognitive subjects as their themes (Type I), and those which cannot take cognitive subjects as their themes (Type II). Type I constructions can be further divided into two patterns based on whether the cognitive subject has a person restriction (Type I-1, I-2). Type II constructions also can be further divided into two patterns, those which take cognitive objects as their themes (Type II-1), and those which do not take any themes (Type II-2). Bringing a syntactic perspective to the classification of NCS constructions has therefore made it possible to see the systemicity between the classes.

**Keywords**: Japanese language, copula sentence, noun-concluding sentences

## 要旨

この論文は、現代日本語における「文末名詞文」を取り上げ、構文論的分析に基づく分類の体系化を行うことを目的とする。文末名詞文は、述部の名詞が連体修飾部を必須とし、かつ主題と同値関係を持たない名詞述語文を指し、類型論的に見ても日本語の構文論的に見ても、特殊な構文である。

「文末名詞文」の意味領域は多岐にわたり、従来いくつかの分類が試みられてきた。しかしこれまでの研究の分類は述部の名詞の語彙的意味に依存した分類になっているために、分類項目が並列的で、文類間の関係性が把握しにくいのが現状である。そこで本論文では、「文末名詞文」の構文論的な観点に注目し、「文末名詞文」の分類を立てることを提案する。

本論文では、「文末名詞文」を、認知主体を主題にとることができるもの (I 類) と、認知主体を主題にとることができないもの (II 類) の大きく2 つに分けられることを主張する。さらに I 類は、その認知主体に人称制限 があるかどうかで2つに分けられ (I-1 型、I-2 型)、II 類は、認知対象を主題に取るもの (II-1 型) と、どのような主題も取らないもの (II-2 型) に わけられる。このように構文の分類に統語的な観点を持ち込むことにより、分類間の体系性を示すことができる。

キーワード:日本語、コピュラ文、文末名詞文

## 1. Background

A nominal predicate sentence is a sentence structure where the predicate is a noun phrase accompanied by a copula. This structure is ubiquitous in many languages. For example, situations such as (1a) and (2a) which are expressed with a nominal predicate in Japanese are also expressed with nominal predicates in English (1b), (2b) and Chinese (1c), (2c).

(1)a. 彼は とても 賢い 学生だ。 Kare-wa totemo kashikoi gakusei-da. He-TOP very intelligent student-COP He is a very intelligent student.

- b. He is a very intelligent student.
- 他 是 很 聪明的 学生。 c. Τā shì hěn xuésheng congming-de He COP very intelligent-GEN student He is a very intelligent student.
- (2)a. これは 私の 母が 作った ケーキだ。 Kore-wa watashi-no haha-ga tsukut-ta kēki-da. this-TOP I-GEN mother-NOM make-PAST cake- COP This is the cake my mother made.
  - b. This is the cake my mother made.
  - 这 妈妈 蛋糕。 是 我 做的 c. Zhè shì wŏ māma zuò-de dàngāo COP my this mother make-GEN cake This is the cake my mother made.

However, Japanese nominal predicate sentences do not necessarily correspond to nominal predicate sentences in English and Chinese in all cases. For example, the Japanese nominal predicate sentences (3a) and (4a) cannot be expressed in English or Chinese as nominal predicate sentences, as can be seen in (3b), (4b) and (3c), (4c). Instead, they are expressed in constructions which use verbs of possession, as in (3b'), (4b') and (3c'), (4c').

- (3) a. 彼は やさしい 性格だ。 Kare-wa yasashii seikaku-da. He-TOP gentle nature-COP He has a gentle nature.
  - b. \* He is a gentle nature.
  - b'. He has a gentle nature.

- c. \* 他 是 很 温柔的 性格。
  Tā shì hěn wēnróu-de xìnggé
  He COP very gentle-GEN nature
  \*He is a gentle nature.
- c'. 他有很温柔的性格。 Tā yǒu hěn wēnróu-de xìnggé He have very gentle-GEN nature He has a gentle nature.
- (4) a. 彼は 来年 留学する 予定だ。 Kare-wa rainen ryūgaku-suru yotei-da. He-TOP next year study abroad plan-COP He has a plan to study abroad next year.
  - b. \* He is a plan to study abroad next year.
  - b'. He has a plan to study abroad next year.
  - c. \* 计划。 他 是 明年 去 留学的 Τā liúxué-de jìhuà shì míngnián qù He COP next year study abroad-GEN plan go \*He is a plan to study abroad next year.
  - 明年 留学的 计划。 c'. 他 有 去 Τā yŏu míngnián qù liúxué-de jìhuà He have next year go study abroad-GEN plan He has a plan to study abroad next year.

Thus, although nominal predicate sentences themselves are a ubiquitous construction across languages, the situations which can be expressed with this construction differ by language. In addition to the type of nominal predicate sentences seen in (1a) and (2a), Japanese also uses the type seen in (3a) and (4a), termed 'noun-concluding sentences' (NCS). (See Shinya 1989. The same construction is referred to by Tsunoda 1996 as a "noun-concluding construction" and by Tsunoda 2011 as a "mermaid construction"; in this paper we will use 'NCS'.)

Ordinary nominal predicate sentences such as (5a) and (6a) are still valid as nominal predicate sentences even if the adnominal phrases (totemo kashikoi [very intelligent] and watashi-no haha-ga tsukut-ta [my mother made]) modifying the predicative nouns are removed, such as in (5b) and (6b). However, the NCS (7a) and (8a) work differently. The nouns seikaku and yotei still combine with the copula da to make the predicate, and yet as (7b) and (8b) show, without the adnominal phrases yasashii [gentle] and rainen ryūgaku-suru [to study abroad next year], they are not valid nominal predicate sentences. Because of this, the NCS is generally treated as a special construction which differs from ordinary nominal predicate sentences. Nouns which can form nominal predicate sentences when paired with adnominal phrases, despite the lack of a valid equivalence relation, are termed 'sentence-final nouns' (examples: seikaku, yotei).

- (5)a. 彼は とても 賢い 学生だ。(=1a) Kare-wa totemo kashikoi gakusei-da. He-TOP very intelligent student-COP He is a very intelligent student.
  - b. 彼は 学生だ。 Kare-wa gakusei-da. He-TOP student-COP *He is a student*.
- 母が 作った ケーキだ。(=2a) これは 私の (6) a.Kore-wa watashi-no haha-ga tsukut-ta kēki-da. this-TOP mother-NOM I-GEN make-PAST cake- COP This is the cake my mother made.
  - b. これは ケーキだ。 Kore-wa kēki-da. this-TOP cake- COP

(7)a. 彼は やさしい 性格だ。(=3a)
Kare-wa yasashii seikaku-da.
He-TOP gentle nature-COP
He has a gentle nature.

b. \* 彼は 性格だ。 Kare-wa seikaku-da. He-TOP nature-COP \*He is a nature.

(8) a. 彼は 来年 留学する 予定だ。(=4a)
Kare-wa rainen ryūgaku-suru yotei-da.
He-TOP next year study abroad plan-COP
He has a plan to study abroad next year.

b. \* 彼は 予定だ。 Kare-wa yotei-da. He-TOP plan-COP \*He is a plan.

From a linguistic typology standpoint, it has been reported that languages which have NCS like these tend to be Asian (Tsunoda 2011), but because Korean and Chinese do not allow them on as broad a scale as Japanese does (Inoue and Kim 1999; Sawada 2003; 2010; Inoue 2010), NCS have been regarded as a distinctive construction of the Japanese language.

## 2. Contrast with possessive constructions

Most sentence-final nouns will form functional verb combinations expressing possession (cf. Muraki 1980), but the behaviors of NCS and possessive constructions are not the same in all cases (Shinya 1989: 80-82).

Firstly, there are differences in their lexical distribution. As demonstrated by (9) below, the noun  $ik\bar{o}$  in (9a) works in both NCS and possessive constructions, but ishi in (9b) only works in the possessive construction and does not work in the NCS.

Additionally,  $y\bar{o}su$  in (9c) produces a valid NCS, but cannot be used in the possessive construction. Therefore NCS and possessive constructions differ as to which sorts of nouns will make the construction valid.

### (9) Lexical Distribution

- a. 彼は 辞任する {意向だ / 意向を 持っている}。 Kare-wa jinin-suru {ikō-da / ikō-o motte-iru}. He-TOP resign {intention-COP/intention-ACC have-PROG} He has an intention to resign.
- b. 彼は 辞任する {\*意思だ / 意思を 持っている}。
  Kare-wa jinin-suru {\*ishi-da / ishi-o motte-iru}.
  He-TOP resign {mind-COP / mind-ACC have-PROG}
  He has a mind to resign.
- c. 彼は 辞任する {様子だ / \*様子を 持っている}。
   Kare-wa jinin-suru {yōsu-da / \*yōsu-o motte-iru}.
   He-TOP resign {look-COP / look-ACC have-PROG}
   It looks like he will resign.

Secondly, the two constructions differ in the behavior of the subjects of their main and adnominal clauses. As demonstrated by (10a), the possessive construction *kakugo-o motte-iru* is valid even when the subject of the main clause (*kare*) is different from the subject of the adnominal clause (*kaisha*); however, this is not true of NCS, as demonstrated in (10b). The subjects of the main and adnominal clauses must be the same for an NCS, as in (10c).

## (10) Subjects of Main Clauses and Adnominal Clauses

a. 彼は 会社が 倒産するという 覚悟を Kare-wa <u>kaisha-ga</u> tōsan-suru-toiu kakugo-o He-TOP company-NOM go bankrupt-COMP preparedness-ACC

持っている。

motte-iru.

have-PROG

He is prepared for his company to go bankrupt.

- b. \* 彼は 会社が 倒産するという 覚悟だ。 Kare-wa kaisha-ga tōsan-suru-toiu kakugo-da. He-TOP company-NOM go bankrupt-COMP preparedness-COP He is prepared for his company to go bankrupt.
- c. 彼は 会社を 倒産から 救う 覚悟だ。 Kare-wa kaisha-o tōsan-kara sukū kakugo-da. He-TOP company-ACC go bankrupt-ABL save preparedness-COP He is prepared to save his company from bankruptcy.

Thirdly, the constructions differ on which forms are allowed in their adnominal clauses. The adnominal clause with the possessive construction *ketsui-o motte-iru* can take various adnominalized forms, as demonstrated in (11a-d), but NCS are almost exclusively restricted to the attributive form of verbs (11a) or *-toiu* clauses (11b). This is another indication that an attributive structure included within an NCS is different from a regular attributive structure.

## (11) Forms Allowed in Adnominal Clauses

a. 彼は 会社を 倒産から 救う Kare-wa kaisha-o tōsan-kara sukū He-TOP company-ACC go bankrupt-ABL save

{決意だ / 決意を 持っている}。
{ketsui-da / ketsui-o motte-iru}.
{determination-COP / determination-ACC have-PROG}

He is determined to save the company from bankruptcy.

b. 彼は 会社を 倒産から 救うという Kare-wa kaisha-o tōsan-kara sukū-toiu He-TOP company-ACC go bankrupt-ABL save-COMP

{決意だ / 決意を 持っている}。
{ketsui-da / ketsui-o motte-iru}.
{determination-COP / determination-ACC have-PROG}

He is determined to save the company from bankruptcy.

c. 彼は 会社を 倒産から 救おうとする Kare-wa kaisha-o tōsan-kara suku-ō-tosuru He-TOP company-ACC go bankrupt-ABL save-try to

{\*決意だ/ 決意を 持っている}。

{ketsui-da/ ketsui-o motte-iru}. {determination-COP/ determination-ACC have-PROG}

He is determined to try to save the company from bankruptcy.

d. 彼は 会社を 倒産から 救うだけの Kare-wa kaisha-o tōsan-kara sukū-dake-no He-TOP company-ACC go bankrupt-ABL save-enough

> {\*決意だ/ 決意を 持っている}。 {ketsui-da/ ketsui-o motte-iru}.

{determination-COP/ determination-ACC have-PROG}

He is determined enough to save the company from bankruptcy.

## 3. Definition of the problem and goal of this paper

As demonstrated above, the NCS is a special construction both from a linguistic typology perspective and from a Japanese syntax perspective, yet the conditions under which an NCS achieves validity have not been very clearly determined. The sentence-final nouns capable of forming an NCS cover a wide range of semantic fields, and several classification systems for them have been attempted. Shinya (1989) classified NCS into seven types, labeled A through G, based on the semantic relationship between subject and predicate. Tsunoda (1996; 2011) later classified them into types 1 through 12 according to the semantic field of the sentence-final noun. Table 1 shows how these correspond to Shinya (1989). Noda (2006) reorganized the Shinya and Tsunoda categories and broadly classified them into types 1 through 4 based on which sort of other predicate elements they correspond to.

Table 1: Semantic classifications of sentence-final nouns in existing research

Shinya 1989	Tsunoda 1996; 2011	Noda 2006
A: Predicate positions the subject in a	6: Habit	(4) Class
paradigmatic set	7: Human personality	
shurui, rui, tagui, taipu, hō, burui,	8: Deontic expression	
kurasu, kaisō, keitō, patān	9: Bodily characteristic	
B: Predicate states an attribute of the	10: Configuration of	(3) Part or aspect of a subject
subject	something inanimate	
seishitsu, seikaku, kishitsu, kishō,	(Formal nouns: hō, muki)	
shōbun, tachi, taishitsu, hitogara,		
tachiba, kōsei, kōzō, shikumi,		
keishiki, yōshiki, kaodachi, ninsō,		
taikaku, nioi, katachi, omomuki,		
teisai, unsei, mibun, shusshin,		
naka, kankei		
C: Predicate outlines an intuitive	3: State, result	(1) Auxiliary equivalent
grasp of the subject or of a certain	5: Impression, atmosphere	a: Condition or state (~yōda)
situation		
kanji, yōsu, moyō, jōtai, fū,		
arisama, fuzei, kakkō, kūki, kehai,		
kishoku, taido, soburi, iikata,		
kuchō, kuchiburi, hyōjō, chōshi,		
guai, ikioi		
D: Predicate expresses the subjective		
viewpoint of the subject		
D-1: Bodily sensation	4: Emotion	(2) Thought or feeling verb equivalent
kanji		b: Emotion (~to kanjiru, ~to omou)
D-2: Emotion, mentality		
kanji, kimochi, omoi, inshō,		
kokoromochi, kibun, shinkyō		
D-3: Will	1: Will	(1) Auxiliary equivalent
ikō, ki, kontan, ryōken, kakugo,	(Formal noun: tsumori)	c: Will (~shiyō to omou, ~suru koto
kangae, kesshin, kokorogumi,	,	ni shite iru)
hōshin, yotei, shugi, keisan,		,
tsumori		
D-4: Consciousness/understanding,		(2) Thought or feeling verb equivalent
opinion		a: Thought (~to kangaeru)
iken, kangae, inshō, kangaekata,		
ninshiki, mikata, kaishaku, handan		
E: Expression of a situation in more	(Formal nouns: wake,	(1) Auxiliary equivalent
detail or additional commentary	shidai)	d: Explanation (~koto ni naru/natta)
from another angle		
anbai, guai, shidai, dōri, hanashi,		
rikutsu, wake, tenmatsu, shimatsu		
F: Expression of a positional	11: Temporal relationship	
relationship to the subject in terms	(Formal noun: tokoro)	
of time or location		
tokoro, kinpen, chikaku, soba,		
tonari, sunzen, saichū, tochū, koro,		
chokuzen, chokugo, ato, jibun	(Formal natural 12	-
G: Conveying a phenomenon as	(Formal noun: yoshi)	
information the speaker obtained		
from others koto, hanashi, uwasa, hyōban, yoshi		
koto, nanastu, uwasa, nyoban, yoshi	2. Plan avnostation	(1) Auxiliary agriculant
	2: Plan, expectation	(1) Auxiliary equivalent b: Conjecture (~ <i>darō</i> )
	12: Suspicion	b. Conjecture (~uaro)
	12. Suspicion	

However, because these classifications in the existing research depend on the lexical meanings of sentence-final nouns, the individual categories are considered in parallel to each other, making it difficult to perceive a clear picture of any relationships between them. In this paper, therefore, we will focus on a syntactic view of NCS, and propose the establishment of an NCS classification system on that basis rather than classifying them according to the lexical meaning of the sentence-final nouns. Specifically, we will classify NCS on the basis of the semantic relationships of the NP which are allowed to appear in their themes. Doing so enables us to take syntactic constraints, something only partially covered in the existing research to date, and incorporate them into our classification indicators. This in turn allows us to grasp the relationships between categories.

### 4. Proposed classification of this paper

In this paper we will first begin by dividing the situations expressed by NCS into 'cognitive subject', 'cognitive content', and 'cognitive object'.

Cognitive content refers to cognitive activities such as perception, will, thought, judgment, explanation, reporting, and ascription; the cognitive subject is the agent whose cognition consists of these activities. The object which returns the information gained through the cognitive activities is the cognitive object. Table 2 outlines the proposal, which divides NCS into three main groups: those which take cognitive subjects as their themes (Type I), those which take cognitive objects as their themes (Type II-1), and those which do not take themes (Type II-2).

Table 2: Syntactic classifications of noun-concluding sentences

[Classification by Shinya (1989)]			
<b>Type I:</b> Constructions which can take cognitive subjects as their	themes		
Pattern I-1: Constructions restricted to the first person			
{Watashi/*Kare}-wa karada-ga uite-iru kanji-da.	【Type D-1】		
{Watashi /*Kare}-wa jinin-shitai kimochi-da.	[Type D-2]		
Pattern I-2: Constructions with no person restriction			
{Watashi /Kare}-wa jinin-suru kangae-da.	[Type D-3]		
{Watashi /Kare }-wa kono shujutsu-wa muzukashii-toiu handan-da.			
	[Type D-4]		
<b>Type II:</b> Constructions which cannot take cognitive subjects as their themes  Pattern II-1: Constructions which take cognitive objects as their themes			
Group II-1a			
Kare-wa gaman-ga dekinai taipu-da	[Type A]		
Kare-wa yasashii seikaku-da.	[Type B]		
Kare-wa dekakeru yosu-da.	Type C		
Group II-1b	1 JI		
Kantō chihō-wa asu ame-ga furu moyō-da.	【Type C】		
Nihon-wa sakura-ga saki-hajime-ta tokoro-da.	[Type F]		
Kare-wa ojōsan-ga kekkon-suru-toiu hanashi-da.	Type G		
Pattern II-2: Constructions which do not take themes	Tipe of		
Daijin-ga o-mie-ni-nat-ta yōsu-da.	【Type C】		
Hitode-ga tarinai-toiu-node, watashi-ga yoba-re-ta shio			
Thode-ga tarmar-totu-node, watasm-ga yood-re-ta sme	Type E		
Hannin o mita hito ga imi toju hanashi da	Type G		
Hannin-o mita hito-ga iru-toiu hanashi-da.	[1 ype G]		

## 5. Occurrence of cognitive subjects

We will first examine whether or not NCS can take a cognitive subject. In (12) and (13), where the subject perceives the sensation of *karada-ga uite-iru* [body is floating] or makes the judgment *kono shujutsu-wa muzukashii* [this operation is difficult], we can express the first-person pronoun *watashi*, the subject performing cognition, with the theme marker *wa*. On the other hand, as (14a) and (15a) show, when ascribing attributes, such as in *kare-wa yasashii* [he is gentle], or making determinations, such as *Kantō chihō-wa asu ame-ga furu* [it will rain in the Kantō region tomorrow], the first-person cognitive subject cannot appear in the NCS. Only NCS which take the object of the attribution (e.g. *kare*) or determination (e.g. *Kantō chihō*) as their themes are possible, as in (14b) and (15b). And so we will classify constructions (12) and (13), which can take cognitive subjects as their themes, as Type I. Constructions which cannot take cognitive subjects as their themes will be Type II.

Type I: Constructions which can take cognitive subjects as their themes

- (12) 私は 身体が 浮いている 感じだ。 Watashi-wa karada-ga uite-iru kanji-da. I-TOP body-NOM float-PROG feeling-COP I feel like my body is floating.
- (13) 私は この 手術は 難しいという 判断だ。 Watashi-wa kono shujutsu-wa muzukashii-toiu handan-da. I-TOP this operation-TOP difficult-COMP judgement-COP I judge that this operation is difficult.

Type II: Constructions which cannot take cognitive subjects as their themes

(14) a. \* 私は 彼は やさしい 性格だ。 Watashi-wa kare-wa yasashii seikaku-da. I-TOP He-TOP gentle nature-COP I feel that he has a gentle nature. b. 彼は やさしい 性格だ。 Kare-wa yasashii seikaku-da. He-TOP gentle nature-COP He has a gentle nature.

私は 関東地方は 明日 降る (15) a.\*雨が Watashi-wa Kantō chihō-wa furu asu ame-ga I-TOP Kanto region-TOP rain-NOM fall tommorow 模様だ。 moyō-da. condition-COP

I estimate that the Kanto region will have rain tomorrow.

関東地方は 模様だ。 明日 雨が 降る b. Kantō chihō-wa furu moyō-da. asu ame-ga Kanto region-TOP rain-NOM fall condition-COP tommorow The Kanto region will have rain tomorrow.

Type I constructions which can take cognitive subjects as their themes can be further divided into two patterns based on whether the cognitive subject has a person restriction. 'Person restriction' is the phenomenon wherein sentences with adjectives of sensation or emotion in their predicates like (16) and (17) below can only take a first-person theme. Similarly, as shown in (18), (19), and (20), verbs expressing perception, will, thought, judgment such as *kanjiru*, *omou*, and *kangaeru* can take either first- or third-person themes if they are in the continuous aspect, whereas in forms without an aspect they are restricted to the first person.

(16) {私/\*彼}は お腹が 痛い。 {Watashi/\*Kare}-wa onaka-ga itai. {I/He}-TOP stomach-NOM ache {I have/He has} a stomachache.

- (17) {私/\*彼}は 故郷が 懐かしい。 {Watashi/\*Kare-wa kokyō-ga natsukashii. {I/He}-TOP hometown-NOM miss {I miss my hometown./He misses his hometown.}
- (18) a. {私/\*彼}は 身体が 浮いているように 感じる。 {Watashi/\*Kare}-wa karada-ga uite-iru yō-ni kanjiru. {I/He}-TOP body-NOM float-PROG like feel {I feel/He feels} like {my/his} body is floating.
  - b. {私/彼}は 身体が 浮いている ように {Watashi/Kare}-wa karada-ga uite-iru yō-ni {I/He}-TOP body-NOM float-PROG like

感じている。 kanjite-iru. feel-PROG

{I feel/He feels} like {my/his} body is floating..

- (19) a. {私/\*彼}は 辞任したいと 思う。 {Watashi/\*Kare}-wa jinin-shitai-to omou. {I/He}-TOP resign-want-COMP think {I want/He wants} to resign.
  - b. {私/彼}は 辞任したいと 思っている。 {Watashi/Kare}-wa jinin-shitai-to omotte-iru. {I/He}-TOP resign-want- COMP think-PROG {I want/He wants} to resign.
- a. {私/\*彼}は 手術は 難しいと (20)この {Watashi/\*Kare}-wa shujutsu-wa muzukashii-to kono {I/He}-TOP operation-TOP difficult-COMP this 考える。 kangaeru. consider

{I consider/He considers} this operation to be difficult.

b. {私/彼}は この 手術は 難しいと {Watashi/Kare}-wa kono shujutsu-wa muzukashii-to {I/He}-TOP

this

operation-TOP difficult-COMP

考えている。

kangaete-iru.

consider-PROG

{I consider/He considers} this operation to be difficult.

The same sort of person restrictions related to cognitive subjects are seen in NCS. There are both first-person-only constructions like (21) and (22), as well as constructions with no such person restriction like (23) and (24) (Shinya 1989: 78; Sawada 2014). We will designate these Pattern I-1 and Pattern I-2, respectively.

## Pattern I-1: Constructions restricted to the first person

- 身体が 浮いている (21){私/\*彼}は 感じだ。 {Watashi/\*Kare}-wa karada-ga kanji-da. uite-iru {I/He}-TOP body-NOM float-PROG feeling-COP {I feel/He feels} like {my/his} body is floating.
- {私/\*彼}は 辞任したい 気持ちだ。 (22){Watashi/\*Kare}-wa jinin-shitai kimochi-da. wish-COP {I/He}-TOP resign-want {I have/He has} a wish to resign.

### Pattern I-2: Constructions with no person restriction

- 考えだ。 {私/彼}は 辞任する (23){Watashi/Kare}-wa jinin-suru kangae-da. {I/He}-TOP resign thought-COP {I am/He is} thinking of resigning.
- この 手術は 難しいという判断だ。 {私/彼}は (24){Watashi/Kare}-wa kono shujutsu-wa muzukashii-toi handan-da. {I/He}-TOP operation-TOP difficult-CO jugement-COP this {I judge/He judges} this operation to be difficult.

Thus we are able to treat the NCS which fall under Type I in parallel with phenomena in other constructions outside of NCS.

## 6. Occurrence of cognitive objects

Next, we will take a close look at Type II constructions, which cannot take a cognitive subject. NCS which do not take a cognitive subject either take a cognitive object as their theme (Pattern II-1) or take no theme at all (Pattern II-2).

Pattern II-1: Constructions which take cognitive objects as their themes

(25) 彼は やさしい 性格だ。 Kare-wa yasashii seikaku-da. He-TOP gentle nature-COP He has a gentle nature.

(26) 関東地方は 明日 雨が 降る 模様だ。 Kantō chihō-wa asu ame-ga furu moyō-da. Kanto region-TOP tommorow rain-NOM fall condition-COP The Kanto region will have rain tomorrow.

Pattern II-2: Constructions which do not take themes

(27) 人手が 足りないので、 Hitode-ga tarinai-node, people-NOM not enough-because

> 私が 呼ばれた 次第だ。 watashi-ga yoba-re-ta shidai-da. I-NOM call-PASS-PAST cause-COP

Because there are not enough people, I was called here.

NCS can express the object of an attribution as their theme, as with kare in (25); likewise, they can express the object of a determination as their theme, as with  $Kant\bar{o}$   $chih\bar{o}$  as the object of the determination asu ame-ga furu in (26). On the other hand, there are some cases where the carrier of the attribute cannot be expressed as the theme. For example, in (27) there is no object to which to attribute the explanation watashi-ga yoba-re-ta; the nearest could possibly be 'the

circumstances at the time of the utterance'. But that cannot be expressed as the theme of the sentence, and thus the sentence becomes themeless as in (27). This means that among the constructions which cannot express a cognitive subject, there are both constructions in which cognitive objects surface as the carriers of the information (=Group II-1b), and constructions which are circumstance-reliant and where no cognitive object surfaces (=Pattern II-2).

## 7. Independence of sentence-final nouns

Finally, we will take a more detailed look at NCS of Pattern II-1, which take cognitive objects as themes. Pattern II-1 includes sentences such as the following:

Pattern II-1: Constructions which take cognitive objects as their themes

- (28) 彼は やさしい 性格だ。 Kare-wa yasashii seikaku-da. He-TOP gentle nature-COP He has a gentle nature.
- (29) 関東地方は 明日 雨が 降る 模様だ。 Kantō chihō-wa asu ame-ga furu moyō-da. Kanto region-TOP tommorow rain-NOM fall condition-COP The Kanto region will have rain tomorrow.

Assigning the variable X to the cognitive object and N to the sentence-final noun, Pattern II-1 can be further divided into two groups based on whether or not 'X-no N' returns a valid noun phrase (NP). For example, performing this operation on (30a), the cognitive object of an attribute, *kare*, and the sentence-final noun *seikaku* can create the NP *kare-no seikaku* [his nature], with which we can form the interrogative sentence (30b). (31) works the same way. In contrast, combining the cognitive object of a judgment, *Kantō chihō*, and the sentence-final noun *moyō* in (32a) creates the nonsense NP *Kantō chihō-no moyō* [the Kanto region's seeming]. The interrogative sentence formed in (32b) is likewise invalid. (33) works the same way. We will designate the constructions where 'X-no N' can apply as Group II-1a, and the constructions where 'X-no N' cannot apply as Group II-1b.

Group II-1a: Constructions where 'X-no N' returns a valid NP.

(30) a. 彼は やさしい 性格だ。 Kare-wa yasashii seikaku-da. He-TOP gentle nature-COP

He has a gentle nature.

b. 彼の 性格 Kare-no seikaku He-GEN nature his nature

(31) a. 彼は 出かける 様子だ。 Kare-wa dekakeru yōsu-da. He-GEN leave look-COP It looks like he is leaving.

b. 彼の 様子 Kare-no yōsu He-GEN look his look

Group II-1b: Constructions where 'X-no N' returns an invalid NP.

- (32) a. 関東地方は 明日 雨が 降る 模様だ。 Kantō chihō-wa asu ame-ga furu moyō-da. Kanto region-TOP tommorow rain-NOM fall condition-COP The Kanto region will have rain tomorrow.
  - b.\* 関東地方の 模様
    Kantō chihō-no moyō
    Kanto region-GEN condition
    the condition in Kanto region
- (33) a. 日本は 桜が 咲き始める ところだ。
  Nihon-wa sakura-ga saki-hajimeru tokoro-da.
  Japan-TOP cherry blossom-NOM bloom-begin situation-COP
  Cherry blossoms are just beginning to bloom in Japan.

b.\* 日本の ところ
Nihon-no tokoro
Japan-GEN situation
the situation in Japan

This classification based on whether 'X-no N' is valid is further connected to the occurrence of case particles within the adnominal clause. It has been pointed out that in Japanese, an NP within the adnominal clause which takes the nominative particle ga, the ga can be replaced with no when the modified word is an independent morpheme, but the ga cannot be replaced with no when the modified noun's independence level is low (Mikami 1953; 1972: 373-374). For example, as in (34) below, when the modified word is an independent morpheme such as hon, the nominative case watashi-ga in the adnominal clause may be replaced with watashi-no. However, as in (35), when the modified word has the nominalizing affix no and is not independent, the nominative case watashi-ga in the adnominal clause may not be replaced with watashi-no. This phenomenon is termed 'ga-no conversion'.

- (34) a. これは 私が 買った 本だ。 Kore-wa watashi-ga kat-ta hon-da. this-TOP I-NOM buy-PAST book-COP This is the book I bought.
  - b. これは 私の 買った 本だ。 Kore-wa watashi-no kat-ta hon-da. this-TOP I-GEN buy-PAST book-COP This is the book I bought.
- (35) a. この 本は 私が 買ったのだ。

  Kono hon-wa watashi-ga kat-ta-no-da.

  this book-TOP I-NOM buy-PAST-NMLZ-COP

  This is the book I bought.
  - b. \* この 本は 私の 買ったのだ。 Kono hon-wa watashi-no kat-ta-no-da. this book-TOP I-GEN buy-PAST-NMLZ-COP This is the book I bought.

Applying this test to NCS and observing the results, it can be seen that the classifications in Group II-1a and Group II-1b discussed above are also linked to the phenomenon of *ga-no* conversion. All of the Group II-1a constructions where 'X-no N' was possible also permit *ga-no* conversion. For example, the *ga*-noun *gaman* in the adnominal clause *gaman-ga dekinai* (36a) can take *no* to form *gaman-no dekinai*. (37) works the same way. Meanwhile, *ga-no* conversion is not possible in any of the Group II-1b constructions where 'X-no N' was not possible. For example, the *ga*-noun *ame* in the adnominal clause *ame-ga furu* (38a) cannot take *no* and form *ame-no furu*. (39) works the same way.

Group II-1a: Constructions where *ga-no* conversion is possible<sup>1</sup>

- (36) a. 彼は 我慢が できない タイプだ。 Kare-wa gaman-ga dekinai taipu-da. He-TOP patience-NOM cannot do type-COP He is an impatient type.
  - b. 彼は 我慢の できない タイプだ。 Kare-wa gaman-no dekinai taipu-da. He-TOP patience-GEN cannot do type-COP He is an impatient type.
- (37) a. 彼は 自信が ある 様子だ。 Kare-wa jishin-ga aru yōsu-da. He-TOP confidence-NOM be look-COP He seems to be confident.
  - b. 彼は 自信の ある 様子だ。 Kare-wa jishin-no aru yōsu-da. He-TOP confidence-GEN be look-COP He seems to be confident.

## Group II-1b: Constructions where ga-no conversion is not possible

(38) a. 関東地方は 明日 雨が 降る 模様だ。
Kantō chihō-wa asu ame-ga furu moyō-da.
Kanto region-TOP tommorow rain-NOM fall condition-COP
The Kanto region will have rain tomorrow.

- b.\*関東地方は 明日 雨の 降る 模様だ。 Kantō chihō-wa asu ame-no furu moyō-da. Kanto region-TOP tommorow rain-GEN fall condition-COP The Kanto region will have rain tomorrow.
- (39) a. 日本は 桜が 咲き始める ところだ。
  Nihon-wa sakura-ga saki-hajimeru tokoro-da.
  Japan-TOP cherry blossom-NOM bloom-begin situation-COP
  Cherry blossoms are just beginning to bloom in Japan.
  - b.\*日本は 桜の 咲き始める ところだ。 Nihon-wa sakura-no saki-hajimeru tokoro-da. Japan-TOP cherry blossom-GEN bloom-begin situation-COP Cherry blossoms are just beginning to bloom in Japan.

This demonstrates that the sentence-final nouns in Group II-1a are closer in nature to independent nouns, and the ones in Group II-1b are non-independent in nature. In terms of the existing research, Shinya (1989: 77), Tsunoda (1996: 152), and Satō (2004: 74) each touch on *ga-no* conversion in NCS, but go no further than citing examples such as (38) or (39) and pointing out that *ga-no* conversion does not apply (or is difficult to apply) to NCS. However, as we can see from Group II-1a, in reality, there are some NCS which are close in nature to an ordinary nominal predicate sentence with an adnominal clause such as (34), and to which *ga-no* conversion can be applied.

Additionally, some items in Group II-1a have been more narrowly classified in existing research.

(40) 彼は 我慢が できない タイプだ。【Type A】 Kare-wa gaman-ga dekinai taipu-da. He-TOP patience-NOM cannot do type-COP He is an impatient type.

(41) 彼は やさしい 性格だ。 【Type B】
Kare-wa yasashii seikaku-da.
He-TOP gentle nature-COP
He has a gentle nature.

(42) 彼は 出かける 様子だ。 【Type C】
Kare-wa dekakeru yōsu-da.
He-TOP leave look-COP
It looks like he is leaving.

For example, Types A/B and Type C represent what Masuoka (1987) called the contrast between "intrinsic attributes" and "non-intrinsic attributes," while also corresponding to Noda's (2006) "class/part or aspect nominal predicate sentences" and "grounds for a conjecture nominal predicate sentences." The difference between Type A and Type B corresponds to the classifications of "category attribute" and "possession attribute" according to Masuoka (2004). Furthermore, the difference between Types A/C and Type B corresponds to Inoue's (2010) distinction between "location-pattern noun-concluding constructions" and "possession-pattern noun-concluding constructions." Still, these are all functional classifications of NCS, and likely, are not related to the syntactic differences observed in this paper.

## 8. Conclusion

In this paper we have proposed a classification of NCS from a syntactic perspective. The two significant aspects of this proposal are as follows:

Firstly, we focused on the theme structure of NCS and classified them based on the semantic role of the noun phrases which appeared in the theme. This clarified the patterns NCS are capable of taking, enabling a classification that is not reliant on lexical meanings. Secondly, we were able to incorporate syntactic constraints into classification indicators. Significantly, in the case of the cognitive-subject-themed Type I, classifying constructions according to person restrictions made it possible to discuss these NCS in the same terms as modal forms in other types of sentences. In the case of the cognitive-object-themed Type II, classifying constructions according to *ga-no* conversion achieved clarification of the independence of sentence-final nouns. Bringing a syntactic perspective to the classification of NCS constructions has therefore made it possible to see the systemicity between classes and handle phenomena with characteristics that fall in the middle.

\_

### **Bibliography**

IJIMA Masahiro 井島正博 (2010). Meishi jutsugobun o tsukuru meishisetsu: keishiki meishi jutsugobun no seiritsu konkyo o kangaeru 名詞述語文を つくる名詞節—形式名詞述語文の成立根拠を考える— [Noun clauses that form noun predicates: Analyzing the formation of formal noun predicates]. *Nihongogaku* 日本語学 (Tokyo), vol.29, no.11.

INOUE Masaru 井上優 (2010). Taigen jime bun to 'ii tenki da' kobun 体言 締め文と「いい天気だ」構文 [Noun concluding construction sentences and 'ii tenki da (good weather)' constructions]. *Nihongogaku* 日本語学 (Tokyo), vol.29, no.11.

INOUE Masaru and KIM Hasoo 井上優・金河守 (1999). Meishi jutsugo no doshisei, keiyoshisei ni kansuru oboegaki: Nihongo to kankokugo no baai 名詞述語の動詞性・形容詞性に関する覚え書―日本語と韓国語の場合―[Research notes on verbal/adjectival noun predicates: The case of Japanese and Korean]. Tsukuba daigaku tozai gengo bunka no ruikeiron tokubetsu purojekuto kekyu hokokusyo 2 Heisei 10 nendo: part 1 筑波大学東西言語文化の類型論特別プロジェクト研究報告書 2: 平成 10 年

There are various factors which can block *ga-no* conversion, including the intervention of additional elements (Harada 1971; Makino 1980), the presence of an accusative object (Watanabe 1996), the fronting of accusative or oblique objects (Kageyama 1978), the intervention of ~*toiu* (U 2000; Oshima 2010), noun predicates, and content clauses which contain a speaker's claim or value judgement (Oshima 2010). It is not the case that Group II-1 constructions will allow *ga-no* conversion in all circumstances. There are some expressions where *ga-no* conversion will not work, such as *Kare-wa tsuneni meue-no hito-{ga/\*no} kawaigatte kureru taipu-da*. and *Kare-wa jishin -{ga/\*no} aru-toiu kuchiburi-da*.

- 度: part 1 [Report of the special research project for the typological investigation of languages and cultures of the East and West II, 1999, part 1.]
- HARADA Shin'ich (1971). Ga-No Conversion and Idiolectal Variations in Japanese. *Journal of the Linguistic Society of Japan* (Tokyo), vol.60.
- KAGEYAMA Taro (1978). On Identifying Grammatical Relations. *Journal* of the Linguistic Society of Japan (Tokyo), vol.73.
- MAKINO Seiichi 牧野誠一 (1980). *Kurikaeshi no bunpo: Nichi-Eigo hikaku taisho* くりかえしの文法—日英語比較対照— [Grammar of repartition: A comparative study of Japanese and English]. Tokyo: Taishukan Shoten.
- MASUOKA Takashi 益岡隆志 (1987). *Meidai no bunpo: Nihongo bunpo jyosetsu* 命題の文法—日本語文法序説— [The grammar of propositions: An introduction to Japanese grammar]. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- MASUOKA Takashi 益岡隆志 (2004). Nihongo no shudai: Jojutsu no ruikei no kanten kara 日本語の主題—叙述の類型の観点から— [Topic in Japanese language: From a typological perspective of predication]. *Shudai no taisho* 主題の対照 [Comparative study on topic]. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- MIKAMI Akira 三上章 (1953). Gendai goho josetsu 現代語法序説 [Introduction to modern usage]. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- MURAKI Shinjiro 村木新次郎 (1980). Nihongo no kinodoshi hyogen o megutte 日本語の機能動詞表現をめぐって [On function verbs in modern Japanese]. *Kokuritsu Kokugo Kenkyujo hokoku* 国立国語研究所報告 (Tokyo), vol.65.
- NODA Tokihiro 野田時寛 (2006). Fukubun kenkyu memo 7: Bunmatsu meishi o megutte 複文研究メモ(7)—文末名詞をめぐって— [Memo on complex sentences: Focusing on sentence-final nouns]. *Chuo Daigaku Jinbunken kiyo* 中央大学人文研紀要 (Tokyo), vol.56.
- OSHIMA Moto'o 大島資生 (2010). *Nihongo rentai shushokusetsu kozo no kenkyu* 日本語連体修飾構造の研究 [Noun modifying constructions in Japanese]. Tokyo: Hituzi Syobo.
- SATO Takuzo 佐藤琢三 (2004). 'Moyo' no hokoku yoho ni tsuite 「模様」の報告用法について [On the reporting usage of 'moyo']. *Kokugogaku* 国語学 (Tokyo), vol.55, no.4.

- SATO Takuzo 佐藤琢三 (2006). Meishi 'katachi' no bunmatsu yoho to setsumei no kino 名詞カタチの文末用法と説明の機能 [Grammatical usage and explanatory function of the noun 'katachi']. *Nihongo bunpo no shinchihei (3) fukubun · danwahen* 日本語文法の新地平(3) 複文・談話編 [New horizon of Japanese grammar (3): Compound sentences and discourses]. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- SAWADA Hiroko 澤田浩子 (2003). Zokusei jojutsu ni okeru meishi jutsugobun 属性叙述における名詞述語文 [Copulative sentences in property predications]. *Nihongo Kyoiku* 日本語教育(Tokyo), vol.116.
- SAWADA Hiroko 澤田浩子 (2010). 'Kare wa shinsetsu na seikaku da' to 'Kare wa seikaku ga shinsetsu da': Chugokugo kara Nihongo o kangaeru 「彼は親切な性格だ」と「彼は性格が親切だ」—中国語から日本語を考える—['kare wa sinsetsuna seikaku da' and 'kare wa seikaku ga shinsetsu da': Analyzing Japanese through Chinese]. *Nihongo kyoiku kenkyu eno shotai* 日本語教育研究への招待 [Invitation to Japanese language education research]. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- SAWADA Hiroko 澤田浩子 (2014). Chikaku, shiko, handan, ishi o arawasu 'bunmatsu meishibun' no shiyo jittai: Korokesyon kara bunkei e 知覚・思考・判断・意志を表す「文末名詞文」の使用実態—コロケーションから文型 ~— [The use of 'noun-concluding sentences' expressing perception, thought, judgment, and will]. *Nihongo/Nihongo Kyoiku Kenkyu* 日本語/日本語教育研究 (Tokyo), vol.5.
- SHINYA Teruko 新屋映子 (1989). Bunmatsu meishi ni tsuite "文末名詞"について[On sentence final nouns]. *Kokugokaku* 国語学 (Tokyo), vol.159.
- TERAMURA Hideo 寺村秀夫 (1991). Nihongo no shintakusu to imi III 日本 語のシンタクスと意味 III [Japanese syntax and meaning 3]. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- TSUNODA Tasaku 角田太作 (1996). Taigen jime bun 体言締め文[Noun concluding construction sentences]. Nihongo bunpo no shomondai: Takahashi Taro sensei koki kinen ronbunshu 日本語文法の諸問題—高橋太郎先生古希記念論文集— [Issues in modern Japanese grammar: Professor Taro Takahashi memorial volume]. Tokyo: Hituzi Syobo.

- TSUNODA Tasaku 角田太作 (2011). Ningyo kobun: Nihongogaku kara ippan gengogaku eno koken 人魚構文—日本語学から一般言語学への 貢献— [Mermaid construction: A contribution from Japanese linguistics to general linguistics]. NINJAL Research Papers (Tokyo), vol.1.
- U Shinryo 于振領 (2000). 'To iu' ga 'gano kotai' ni ataeru eikyo ni tsuite 「という」が「ガノ交替」に与える影響について [Influence of 'to iu' on 'ga no alteration']. Nihon to Chugoku kotoba no kakehashi: Saji Keizo kyoju koki kinen ronbunshu 日本と中国ことばの梯—佐治圭三教授古稀記念論文集— [Bridge between Japanese and Chinese language: Professor Keizo Saji memorial volume]. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- WATANABE Akira (1996). Nominative-Genitive Conversion and Agreement in Japanese: A Cross-linguistic Perspective, *Journal of East Asian Linguistics*, vol.5.