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#### Abstract

In this paper the helicoidal surfaces in the 3-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski space are classified under the condition $\Delta^{I / I} r=$ $A r$, where $A$ is a real $3 \times 3$ matrix and $\Delta^{I I}$ is the Laplace operator with respect to the third fundamental form.


## Introduction

Let $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$ be a three-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski space with the scalar product of index 1 given by

$$
g_{L}=d s^{2}=-d x_{1}^{2}+d x_{2}^{2}+d x_{3}^{2},
$$

where $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ are the canonical coordinates in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$.
Let $r=r(u, v)$ be a regular parametric representation of a surface $M$ in the 3-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski space $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$ which does not contain parabolic points.

The notion of finite type submanifolds in Euclidean space or pseudoEuclidean space was introduced by B.-Y. Chen [5]. A surface $M$ is said to be of finite type if its coordinate functions are a finite sum of eigenfunctions of its Laplacian $\Delta$. B.-Y. Chen posed the problem of classifying the finite type surfaces in the 3-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbf{E}^{3}$. Further, the notion of finite type can be extended to any smooth functions on a submanifold of a Euclidean space or a pseudo-Euclidean space.

If $H$ is the mean curvature vector of the immersion $r$, we know that:

$$
\Delta r=-2 H .
$$

[^0]In [12] M. Choi, Y. H. Kim and G. C. Park classified helicoidal surfaces with pointwise 1-type Gauss maps and harmonic Gauss maps. In [8] G. Kaimakamis and B. J. Papantoniou classified the first three types of surfaces of revolution without parabolic points in the 3-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski space, which satisfy the condition

$$
\Delta^{I I_{r}=A r, \quad A \in \operatorname{Mat}(3, \mathbf{R}), \quad \text {, } \quad \text {, }}
$$

where $\operatorname{Mat}(3, \mathbf{R})$ is the set of $3 \times 3$ real matrices. They proved that such surfaces are either minimal or Lorentz hyperbolic cylinders or pseudospheres of real or imaginary radius.

In [1] Ch. Baba-Hamed and M. Bekkar studied the helicoidal surfaces without parabolic points in $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{3}$, which satisfy the condition

$$
\Delta^{I /} r_{i}=\lambda_{i} r_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3
$$

In [3] Chr. Beneki, G. Kaimakamis and B. J. Papantoniou obtained a classification of surfaces of revolution with constant Gauss curvature in $E_{1}^{3}$ and in [4] defined four kinds of helicoidal surfaces in $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$. C. W. Lee, Y. H. Kim and D. W. Yoon [13] studied the ruled surfaces in $\mathrm{E}_{1}^{3}$ which satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{\prime \prime \prime} r=A r \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A \in \operatorname{Mat}(3, \mathbf{R})$.
S. Stamatakis and H. Al-Zoubi in [11] classified the surfaces of revolution with non zero Gaussian curvature in $\mathbf{E}^{3}$ under the condition (1).

In [9] G. Kaimakamis, B. J. Papantoniou and K. Petoumenos classified and proved that such surfaces of revolution in the 3-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski space $\mathrm{E}_{1}^{3}$ satisfying (1) are either minimal or Lorentz hyperbolic cylinders or pseudospheres of real or imaginary radius.

Recently, the authors [2] studied the translation surfaces in $\mathrm{E}_{1}^{3}$ satisfying (1).
In this work we classify the helicoidal surfaces with non-degenerate third fundamental form in the 3-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski space under the condition (1).

## 1. Preliminaries

A vector $X$ of $\mathrm{E}_{1}^{3}$ is said to be timelike if $g_{L}(X, X)<0$, spacelike if $g_{L}(X, X)>0$ or $X=0$ and lightlike or null if $g_{L}(X, X)=0$ and $X \neq 0$. A timelike or light-like vector in $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}}^{3}$ is said to be causal.

For two vectors $X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $Y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$ in $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$ the Lorentz cross product of $X$ and $Y$ is defined by

$$
X \wedge_{L} Y=\left(x_{3} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{3}, x_{3} y_{1}-x_{1} y_{3}, x_{1} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{1}\right) .
$$

The pseudo-vector product operation $\Lambda_{L}$ is related to the determinant function by

$$
\operatorname{det}(X, Y, Z)=g_{L}\left(X \wedge_{L} Y, Z\right)
$$

The matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cosh \theta & \sinh \theta & 0 \\
\sinh \theta & \cosh \theta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cosh \theta & 0 & \sinh \theta \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\sinh \theta & 0 & \cosh \theta
\end{array}\right):\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cosh \theta & \sinh \theta \\
0 & \sinh \theta & \cosh \theta
\end{array}\right)
$$

are called the Lorentzian rotation matrix in $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$, where $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$.
For an open interval $I \subset \mathbf{R}$, let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \Pi$ be a curve in a plane $\Pi$ in $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$ and let $L$ be a straight line in $\Pi$ which does not intersect the curve $\gamma$ (axis). A helicoidal surface in Minkowski space $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$ is a surface invariant by a uniparametric group

$$
G_{l, c}=\left\{g_{v} / g_{v}: \mathbf{E}_{1}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mid}^{3} ; v \in \mathbf{R}\right\}
$$

of helicoidal motions. Each helicoidal surface is given by a group of helicoidal motions and a generating curve. A helicoidal surface parametrizes as

$$
r(u, v)=g_{v}(v(u)), \quad(u, v) \in I \times \mathbf{R} .
$$

Each group of helicoidal motions is characterized by an axis $L$ and a pitch $c \neq 0$. Depending on the axis $L$ being spacelike, timelike or null, there are three types of motion.

If the axis $L$ is spacelike (resp. timelike), then $L$ is transformed to the $y$-axis or $z$-axis (resp. $x$-axis) by the Lorentz transformation. Therefore, we may consider $z$-axis (resp. $x$-axis) as the axis if $L$ is spacelike (resp. timelike). If the axis $L$ is lightlike, then we may suppose that the axis is the line spanned by the vector $(1,1,0)$. We distinguish helicoidal surfaces in $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$ into the following types.

Case 1. The axis $L$ is spacelike, i.e., $(L=\langle(0,0,1)\rangle)$.
Without loss of generality we may assume that the profile curve $\gamma$ lies in the $y z$-plane or $x z$-plane. Hence, the curve $y$ can be represented by

$$
\gamma(u)=(0, f(u), g(u)) \quad \text { or } \quad \gamma(u)=(f(u), 0, g(u)),
$$

where $f$ is a smooth positive function and $g$ is a smooth function on $I$.

The helicoidal surfaces $M$ in $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$ given by [4] are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(u, v)=(f(u) \sinh v, f(u) \cosh v, c v+g(u)), \quad c \in \mathbf{R}^{+} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(u, v)=(f(u) \cosh v, f(u) \sinh v, c v+g(u)), \quad c \in \mathbf{R}^{+} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call (2) and (3) a helicoidal surface of type $I$ and type $I I$ respectively.
Case 2. The axis $L$ is time-like, i.e., $(L=\langle(1,0,0)\rangle)$.
In this case, we may assume that the profile curve $\gamma$ lies in the $x y$-plane. So the curve $y$ is given by

$$
\gamma(u)=(g(u), f(u), 0)
$$

for a positive function $f=f(u)$ on $l$. Hence, the helicoidal surface $M$ is given by [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(u, v)=(g(u)+c v, f(u) \cos v, f(u) \sin v), \quad f(u)>0, c \in \mathbf{R}^{+} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call (4) a helicoidal surface of type $I I$.
Case 3. The axis $L$ is light-like, i.e., $(L=\langle(1,1,0)\rangle)$.
In this case, we may assume that the profile curve $\gamma$ lies in the $x y$-plane. Then its parametrization is given by

$$
y(u)=(f(u), g(u), 0), \quad u \in I
$$

where $f$ and $g$ are functions on $I$, such that $f(u) \neq g(u), \forall u \in I$.
Therefore the helicoidal surface $M$ may be parametrized as [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(u, v)=\left(f(u)+\frac{v^{2}}{2} h(u)+c v, g(u)+\frac{v^{2}}{2} h(u)+c v, v h(u)\right), \quad c \in \mathbf{R}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(u)=f(u)-g(u)$. We call (5) a helicoidal surface of type $I V$.
If we take $c=0$, then we obtain a rotations group related to axis $L$. The helicoidal surface is a generalization of rotation surface.

The immersion $(M, r)$ is said to be of finite Chen-type if the position vector $r$ admits the following spectral decomposition

$$
r=r_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i}
$$

where $r_{i}$ are $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$-valued eigenfunctions of the Laplacian of $(M, r): \Delta r_{i}=\lambda_{i} r_{i}$, $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbf{R}, i=1,2, \ldots, k[5]$. If $\lambda_{i}$ are different, then $M$ is said to be of $k$-type.

Let $\left\{x^{i}, x^{j}\right\}$ be a local coordinate system of $M$. For the components $e_{i j}$ $(i, j=1,2)$ of the third fundamental form $I I I$ on $M$ we denote by $\left(e^{i j}\right)$ the inverse matrix of the matrix ( $e_{i j}$ ).

The Laplace operator $\Delta^{\prime \prime \prime}$ of the third fundamental form $I I I$ on $M$ is formally defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{-1}{\sqrt{|e|}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\sqrt{|e|} \left\lvert\, e^{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\right.\right)\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e=\operatorname{det}\left(e_{i j}\right)$.
The coefficients of the first fundamental form and the second fundamental form are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E=g_{11}=\left\langle r_{u}, r_{u}\right\rangle, \quad F=g_{12}=\left\langle r_{u}, r_{v}\right\rangle, \quad G=g_{22}=\left\langle r_{v}, r_{v}\right\rangle, \\
& L=h_{11}=\left\langle r_{u v}, \mathbf{N}\right\rangle, \quad M=h_{12}=\left\langle r_{w}, \mathbf{N}\right\rangle, \quad N=h_{22}=\left\langle r_{v i}, \mathbf{N}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\varphi: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R},(u, v) \rightarrow \varphi(u, v)$ is a smooth function and $\Delta^{\prime \prime \prime}$ the Laplace operator with respect the third fundamental form, then it holds [10]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{\prime \prime \prime} \varphi=\frac{-1}{\sqrt{|e|}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\left(\frac{e_{22} \varphi_{u}-e_{12} \varphi_{v}}{\sqrt{|e|}}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left(\frac{e_{12} \varphi_{u}-e_{11} \varphi_{v}}{\sqrt{|e|}}\right)\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Gaussian curvature $K_{G}$ and the mean curvature $H$ of $M$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{G} & =g_{L}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}) \frac{\left(L N-M^{2}\right)}{E G-F^{2}} \\
H & =\frac{(E N+G L-2 F M)}{2\left|E G-F^{2}\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{N}$ is the unit normal vector to $M$.

## 2. Helicoidal Surfaces of Type $I, I I$

In this section we are concerned with non-degenerate helicoidal surfaces $A$ without parabolic points satisfying the condition (1).

Suppose that $M$ is given by (2), or equivalently by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(u, v)=(u \sinh v, u \cosh v, c v+g(u)) ; \quad c \in \mathbf{R}^{+} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define smooth function $W$ as:

$$
W=\sqrt{\varepsilon g_{L} .\left(r_{u} \wedge \wedge_{L} r_{u}, r_{u} \wedge_{L} r_{v}\right)}=\sqrt{\varepsilon\left(u^{2}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)-c^{2}\right)} .
$$

The coefficients of the first and the second fundamental form are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
E=1+g^{\prime 2}, \quad F=c g^{\prime}, \quad G=c^{2}-u^{2} \\
L=\frac{-u g^{\prime \prime}}{W}, \quad M=\frac{c}{W}, \quad N=\frac{u^{2} g^{\prime}}{W}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $g^{\prime}=\frac{d g}{d q}, g^{\prime \prime}=\frac{d^{2} g}{d u^{2}}$.
The components of the third fundamental form of the surface $M$ is given, respectively, by

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{11}=\frac{\varepsilon}{W^{4}}\left(u^{4} g^{\prime \prime 2}-c^{2}\left(u g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime}\right)^{2}-c^{2}\right) \\
& e_{12}=\frac{-c}{W^{2}}\left(u g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime}\right), \quad e_{22}=\frac{1}{W^{2}}\left(c^{2}-u^{2} g^{\prime 2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

hence

$$
\sqrt{|e|}=\frac{\varepsilon_{1} R}{W^{3}},
$$

where $\varepsilon_{1}= \pm 1$ and $R=u^{3} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+c^{2}$.
From these we find that the curvature $K_{C}$ and the mean curvature $H$ of (8) are given by

$$
K_{G}=\frac{u^{3} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+c^{2}}{W^{4}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\frac{u^{2} g^{\prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)-2 c^{2} g^{\prime}-u g^{\prime \prime}\left(c^{2}-u^{2}\right)}{2 W^{3}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We rewrite the above equation as [7]

$$
H=\frac{1}{2 u}\left(\frac{u^{2} g^{\prime}}{W}\right)^{\prime}
$$

Proposition 2.1. If $H=0$, then the function on the profile curve $\gamma(u)=$ $(0, u, g(u))$ is as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(u)= \pm \int \sqrt{\frac{a^{2}\left(u^{2}-c^{2}\right)}{\varepsilon u^{4}-a^{2} u^{2}}} d u+b \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$, where $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$.

Proof. If $H=0$, then we obtain

$$
u^{2} g^{\prime}=a W, \quad a \in \mathbf{R} .
$$

Hence, if we solve

$$
g^{\prime 2}=\frac{a^{2}\left(u^{2}-c^{2}\right)}{\varepsilon u^{4}-a^{2} u^{2}}
$$

then we have (11).

If a surface $M$ in $\mathrm{E}_{1}^{3}$ has no parabolic points, then we have

$$
u^{3} g^{t} g^{\prime \prime}+c^{2} \neq 0, \quad \forall u \in I .
$$

Suppose that $L N-M^{2}>0$ (we have the same result if $L N-M^{2}<0$ ).
By a straightforward computation, the Laplacian $\Delta^{\prime \prime \prime}$ of the third fundamental form $I I I$ on $M$ with the help of (9) and (7) turns out to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta^{\prime \prime \prime}=-\frac{\varepsilon W^{3}}{R}\left(\frac { \varepsilon \varepsilon _ { 1 } } { W R ^ { 2 } } \left(-\varepsilon W^{2} u^{3} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(c^{2}-u^{2} g^{\prime 2}\right)+c^{4} u-3 c^{2} u^{3} g^{\prime 2}\right.\right. \\
&+3 c^{4} g^{\prime 2} u-3 c^{2} g^{\prime 4} u^{3}+6 c^{4} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} u^{2}-4 c^{2} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} u^{4}+c^{2} g^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{5} \\
&\left.\quad-2 g^{\prime 4} g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{7}-g^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{7}-c^{2} g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{5}+c^{4} g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{3}-6 c^{2} g^{\prime 3} g^{\prime \prime} u^{4}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \\
&+\frac{c \varepsilon \varepsilon_{1}}{W R^{2}}\left(\varepsilon W^{2} u g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(c^{2}-g^{\prime 2} u^{2}\right)-g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{5}-2 g^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime 2} u^{4}-2 g^{\prime 4} g^{\prime \prime} u^{4}\right. \\
&+3 c^{2} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{3}+3 c^{2} g^{\prime \prime} u^{2}+c^{2} g^{\prime} u+7 c^{2} g^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime 2} u^{2}+c^{2} g^{\prime 3} u \\
&\left.-2 c^{4} g^{\prime \prime}+c^{2} g^{\prime \prime 3} u^{4}-g^{\prime \prime 3} u^{6}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \\
&+\frac{2 \varepsilon_{1} W c\left(u g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime}\right)}{R} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial u \partial v}+\frac{\varepsilon_{1} W\left(c^{2}-g^{\prime 2} u^{2}\right)}{R} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial u^{2}} \\
&\left.\left.+\frac{\varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon_{1}\left(g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{4}-c^{2}\left(u g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime}\right)^{2}-c^{2}\right)}{W R} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial v^{2}}\right)\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (8) and (12) we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta^{\prime \prime \prime}(u \sinh v)=P(u) \cosh v+Q(u) \sinh v  \tag{13}\\
\Delta^{\prime \prime \prime}(u \cosh v)=Q(u) \cosh v+P(u) \sinh v \\
\Delta^{\prime \prime \prime}(c v+g(u))=T(u)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(u)=-\frac{\varepsilon W^{2}}{R^{3}}\left(\varepsilon c W^{2} u^{2} g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(c^{2}-g^{2} u^{2}\right)-c g^{\prime \prime 3} u^{7}+c\left(1+2 g^{2}\right) g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{6}\right. \\
& +c^{3} g^{\prime \prime 3} u^{5}+c^{3} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{4}+c^{3}\left(7 g^{\prime 2}+5\right) g^{\prime \prime} u^{3}+3 c^{3}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime} u^{2} \\
& \left.-4 c^{5} g^{\prime \prime} u-2 c^{5} g^{\prime}\right), \\
& Q(u)=-\frac{\varepsilon W^{2}}{R^{3}}\left(\varepsilon W^{2} u^{3} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(g^{2} u^{2}-c^{2}\right)+2 c^{4} g^{\prime 2} u+4 c^{4} g^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime} u^{2}\right. \\
& -3 c^{2}\left(g^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 4}\right) u^{3}-c^{2}\left(7 g^{\prime 3} g^{\prime \prime}+5 g^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime}\right) u^{4}-c^{2} g^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime 2} u i^{5} \\
& \left.-c^{2} g^{\prime \prime 3} g^{\prime} u^{6}-\left(2 g^{\prime 4} g^{\prime \prime 2}+g^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime 2}\right) u^{7}+g^{\prime \prime 3} g^{\prime} u^{8}\right),  \tag{14}\\
& T(u)=-\frac{\varepsilon W^{2}}{R^{3}}\left(\varepsilon W^{2} u g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(c^{2}-g^{\prime 2} u^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(-3 g^{\prime 2}-2\right) g^{\prime 3} g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{7}\right. \\
& -c^{2} g^{\prime \prime 3} u^{6}+c^{2}\left(3 g^{\prime 2}-1\right) g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{5}+c^{2}\left(c^{2} g^{\prime \prime 2}-7 g^{\prime 2}-9 g^{\prime 4}\right) g^{\prime \prime} u^{4} \\
& +3 c^{2}\left(c^{2} g^{\prime \prime 2}-g^{\prime 4}-g^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime} u^{3}+c^{4}\left(15 g^{\prime 2}+4\right) g^{\prime \prime} u^{2} \\
& \left.+2 c^{4}\left(2 g^{2}+1\right) g^{\prime} u-3 c^{6} g^{\prime \prime}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2.2. We observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
u g^{\prime} P(u)+c Q(u) & =0 \\
\left(\frac{\varepsilon K_{G}}{2 c W}\right)\left(\left(c^{2}-g^{2} u^{2}\right) P(u)-c u T(u)\right) & =H . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

The equation (1) by means of (8) and (13) gives rise to the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(P(u)-a_{12} u\right) \cosh v+\left(Q(u)-a_{11} u\right) \sinh v-a_{13}(c v+g)=0  \tag{16}\\
\left(Q(u)-a_{22} u\right) \cosh v+\left(P(u)-a_{21} u\right) \sinh v-a_{23}(c v+g)=0 \\
a_{31} u \sinh v+a_{32} u \cosh v+a_{33}(c v+g)=T(u)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a_{i j}(i, j=1,2,3)$ denote the components of the matrix $A$ given by (1).

But $\sinh v$ and $\cosh v$ are linearly independent functions of $v$, so we finally obtain $a_{32}=a_{31}=a_{33}=a_{13}=a_{23}=0$.

We put $a_{11}=a_{22}=\lambda$ and $a_{12}=a_{21}=\mu, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R}$. Therefore, this system of equations is equivalently reduced to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q(u)=i u  \tag{17}\\
P(u)=\mu u \\
T(u)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore, the problem of classifying the helicoidal surfaces $M$ in $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$ given by (8) and satisfying (1) is reduced to the integration of this system of ordinary differential equations.

Next we study this system according to the values of the constants $\lambda, \mu$.
Case 1. Let $\lambda=0$ and $\mu \neq 0$.
The system of equations. (17) takes the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g^{\prime} P(u)=0  \tag{18}\\
P(u)=\mu u \\
T(u)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $g^{\prime}(u)=0$, which is a contradiction. Hence there are no helicoidal surfaces of $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$ in this case which satisfy (1).

Case 2. Let $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\mu=0$.
In this case the system (17) is reduced equivalently to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g^{\prime} P(u)=-\lambda c \\
P(u)=0 \\
T(u)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

But this is not possible. So, in this case there are no helicoidal surfaces of $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$.
Case 3. Let $\lambda=\mu=0$ then $A=\operatorname{diag}(0,0,0)$.
In this case the system (17) is reduced equivalently to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P(u)=0 \\
Q(u)=0 \\
T(u)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

From (15) we have $H=0$. If we substitute (11) in (14) we get $Q(u)=0$. By using (15) we get $P(u)=0$ and $T(u)=0$. Consequently $M$ is a minimal surface.

Case 4. Let $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\mu \neq 0$.
In this case the system (17) is reduced equivalently to

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(u)=-\frac{\lambda c}{\mu} \ln (u)+k, \quad k \in \mathbf{R} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we substitute (19) in (14) we get $Q(u)=0$. So we have a contradiction and therefore, in this case there are no helicoidal surfaces of $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $r: M \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$ be an isometric immersion given by (8). Then $\Delta^{I I I} r=A r$ if and only if $M$ has zero mean curvature.

## 3. Helicoidal Surfaces of Type III

In this section, we study the case of helicoidal surfaces $M$ in $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$ of type III. Suppose that $M$ is given by (4), or equivalently by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(u, v)=(c v+g(u), u \cos v, u \sin v) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients of the first and the second fundamental form are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
E=1-g^{\prime 2}, \quad F=-c g^{\prime}, \quad G=u^{2}-c^{2} \\
L=\frac{u g^{\prime \prime}}{W}, \quad M=-\frac{c}{W}, \quad N=\frac{u^{2} g^{\prime}}{W} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The unit normal vector field $\mathbf{N}$ on $M$ is given by

$$
\mathbf{N}=\frac{-1}{W}\left(u,-c \sin v+g^{\prime} u \cos v, c \cos v+g^{\prime} u \sin v\right),
$$

where $W=\sqrt{\varepsilon g_{L}\left(r_{u} \wedge_{L} r_{v}, r_{u} \wedge_{L} r_{v}\right)}=\sqrt{\varepsilon\left(u^{2}\left(1-g^{2}\right)-c^{2}\right)}$.
The components of the third fundamental form of the surface $M$ is given, respectively, by

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{11}=\frac{\varepsilon}{W^{4}}\left(u^{4} g^{\prime \prime 2}-c^{2}\left(u g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+c^{2}\right) \\
& e_{12}=\frac{-c}{W^{2}}\left(u g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime}\right), \quad e_{22}=\frac{1}{W^{2}}\left(u^{2} g^{\prime 2}+c^{2}\right), \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

hence

$$
\sqrt{|e|}=\frac{\varepsilon_{1} R}{W^{3}},
$$

where $\varepsilon_{1}= \pm 1$ and $R=u^{3} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}-c^{2}$.
By a direct computation, we can see that the Gauss curvature $K_{G}$ and the mean curvature $H$ of $M$ are given by

$$
K_{G}=\frac{u^{3} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}-c^{2}}{W^{4}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{u^{2} g^{\prime}\left(1-g^{2}\right)-2 c^{2} g^{\prime}-u g^{\prime \prime}\left(c^{2}-u^{2}\right)}{2 W^{3}} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We rewrite the above equation as [7]

$$
H=\frac{1}{2 u}\left(\frac{u^{2} g^{\prime}}{W}\right)^{\prime}
$$

Proposition 3.1. If $H=0$, then the function on the profile curve $\gamma(u)=$ $(g(u), u, 0)$ is as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(u)= \pm \int \sqrt{\frac{a^{2}\left(u^{2}-c^{2}\right)}{\varepsilon u^{4}+a^{2} u^{2}}} d u+b \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{3}}$, where $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$.
Proof. If $H=0$, then we obtain

$$
u^{2} g^{\prime}=a W, \quad a \in \mathbf{R}
$$

Hence, if we solve

$$
g^{\prime 2}=\frac{a^{2}\left(u^{2}-c^{2}\right)}{\varepsilon u^{4}+a^{2} u^{2}}
$$

then we have (23).
If a surface $M$ in $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$ has no parabolic points, then we have

$$
u^{3} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}-c^{2} \neq 0
$$

Suppose that $L N-M^{2}>0$ (we have the same result if $L N-M^{2}<0$ ).
By a straightforward computation, the Laplacian $\Delta^{m}$ of the third fundamental form $I I I$ on $M$ with the help of (7) and (21) turns out to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{\varepsilon W^{3}}{R}\left(\frac { \varepsilon \varepsilon _ { 3 } } { W R ^ { 2 } } \left(\varepsilon W^{2} u^{3} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(c^{2}+g^{\prime 2} u^{2}\right)+\left(2 g^{\prime 2}-1\right) g^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{7}\right.\right. \\
&+c^{2}\left(g^{\prime 2}+1\right) g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{5}+c^{2}\left(4-6 g^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} u^{4} \\
&\left.+c^{2}\left(3 g^{\prime 2}-3 g^{\prime 4}-c^{2} g^{\prime \prime 2}\right) u^{3}-6 c^{4} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} u^{2}+c^{4}\left(1-3 g^{\prime 2}\right) u\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \\
&+\frac{\varepsilon \varepsilon_{1} c}{W R^{2}}\left(\varepsilon W^{2} u g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(c^{2}+g^{\prime 2} u^{2}\right)+g^{\prime \prime 3} u^{6}+g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{5}\right. \\
&+\left(2 g^{\prime 2}-2 g^{\prime 4}-c^{2} g^{\prime \prime 2}\right) g^{\prime \prime} u^{4}-3 c^{2} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{3}+c^{2}\left(3-7 g^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime \prime} u^{2} \\
&\left.+c^{2}\left(1-g^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime} u-2 c^{4} g^{\prime \prime}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\frac{2 \varepsilon_{1} W c\left(u g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime}\right)}{R}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial u \partial v}-\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{1} W\left(c^{2}+g^{\prime 2} u^{2}\right)}{R}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial u^{2}} \\
& \left.\left.-\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{1}\left(-g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{4}+c^{2}\left(u g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime}\right)^{2}-c^{2}\right)}{W R}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial v^{2}}\right)\right) \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (24) and (20) we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta^{I I I}(c v+g(u))=T(u)  \tag{25}\\
\Delta^{I I I}(u \cos v)=P(u) \cos v+Q(u) \sin v \\
\Delta^{I I I}(u \sin v)=-Q(u) \cos v+P(u) \sin v
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(u)=\frac{\varepsilon W^{2}}{R^{3}}\left(\varepsilon W^{2} u^{3} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(c^{2}+g^{\prime 2} u^{2}\right)+g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime 3} u^{8}+\left(2 g^{\prime 2}-1\right) g^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{7}\right. \\
& \quad-c^{2} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime 3} u^{6}-c^{2} g^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{5}+c^{2}\left(5-7 g^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} u^{4}+3 c^{2}\left(1-g^{2}\right) g^{\prime 2} u^{3} \\
& \left.\quad-4 c^{4} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} u^{2}-2 c^{4} g^{\prime 2} u\right)  \tag{26}\\
& \begin{aligned}
& Q(u)=\frac{-\varepsilon W^{2}}{R^{3}}\left(\varepsilon c W^{2} u^{2} g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(c^{2}+g^{\prime 2} u^{2}\right)+c g^{\prime \prime 3} u^{7}+c\left(-1+2 g^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{6}\right. \\
& \quad c^{3} g^{\prime \prime 3} u^{5}-c^{3} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime 2} u^{4}+\left(-7 g^{\prime 2}+5\right) c^{3} g^{\prime \prime} u^{3}+3 c^{3} g^{\prime}\left(1-g^{\prime 2}\right) u^{2} \\
&\left.\quad 4 c^{5} g^{\prime \prime} u-2 c^{5} g^{\prime}\right) \\
& T(u)=\frac{\varepsilon W^{2}}{R^{3}}\left(\varepsilon W^{2} u g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(c^{2}+g^{\prime 2} u^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(3 g^{\prime 5} g^{\prime \prime 2}-2 g^{\prime 3} g^{\prime \prime 2}\right) u^{7}+c^{2} g^{\prime \prime 3} u^{6}\right. \\
& \quad+\left(3 c^{2} g^{\prime 3} g^{\prime \prime 2}+c^{2} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime 2}\right) u^{5}+\left(-c^{4} g^{\prime \prime 3}+7 c^{2} g^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime}-9 c^{2} g^{\prime 4} g^{\prime \prime}\right) u^{4} \\
& \quad\left(-3 c^{4} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime 2}-3 c^{2} g^{\prime 5}+3 c^{2} g^{\prime 3}\right) u^{3}+\left(-15 c^{4} g^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime}+4 c^{4} g^{\prime \prime}\right) u^{2} \\
&\left.+\left(-4 c^{4} g^{\prime 3}+2 c^{4} g^{\prime}\right) u-3 c^{6} g^{\prime \prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3.2. We observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\varepsilon K_{G}}{2 c W}\right)\left(c u T(u)+\left(c^{2}+g^{\prime 2} u^{2}\right) Q(u)\right) & =-H  \tag{28}\\
c P(u)+u g^{\prime} Q(u) & =0
\end{align*}
$$

The equation (1) by means of (20) and (25) gives rise to the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{12} u \cos v+a_{13} u \sin v+a_{11}(c v+g)=T(u)  \tag{29}\\
\left(P(u)-a_{22} u\right) \cos v+\left(Q(u)-a_{23} u\right) \sin v-a_{21}(c v+g)=0 \\
\left(Q(u)+a_{32} u\right) \cos v-\left(P(u)-a_{33} u\right) \sin v+a_{31}(c v+g)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

From (29) we easily deduce that $a_{11}=a_{12}=a_{13}=a_{21}=a_{31}=0, a_{22}=a_{33}$ and $a_{32}=-a_{23}$. We put $a_{22}=a_{33}=\lambda$ and $-a_{32}=a_{23}=\mu, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R}$. Therefore, this system of equations is equivalently reduced to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P(u)=\lambda u  \tag{30}\\
Q(u)=\mu u \\
T(u)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore, the problem of classifying the helicoidal surfaces $M$ in $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$ given by (20) and satisfying (1) is reduced to the integration of this system of ordinary differential equations.

We discuss four cases according to the constants $\lambda$ and $\mu$.
Case 1. Let $\lambda=0$ and $\mu \neq 0$.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g^{\prime} Q(u)=0 \\
Q(u)=\mu u \\
c P(u)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

From this system we get $g^{\prime}=0$, which is a contradiction. Hence there are no helicoidal surfaces of $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$ in this case.

Case 2. Let $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\mu=0$.
In this case the system (30) is reduced equivalently to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g^{\prime} Q(u)=-\lambda c \\
Q(u)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

But this is not possible. So, in this case there are no helicoidal surfaces of $E_{1}^{3}$.

Case 3. Let $\lambda=\mu=0$ then $A=\operatorname{diag}(0,0,0)$.
In this case the system (30) is reduced equivalently to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g^{\prime} Q(u)=0 \\
Q(u)=0 \\
T(u)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, the equation (28) gives rise to $H=0$. If we substitute (23) in (26) we get $P(u)=0$. By using (28) we get $Q(u)=0$ and $T(u)=0$. Consequently $M$ is a minimal surface.

Case 4. Let $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\mu \neq 0$.
In this case the system (30) is reduced equivalently to

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(u)=-\frac{\lambda c}{\mu} \ln (u)+k, \quad k \in \mathbf{R} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we substitute (31) in (27) we get $Q(u)=0$. So we have a contradiction and therefore, in this case there are no helicoidal surfaces of $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$.

We are now ready to state the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let $r: M \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{1}^{3}$ be an isometric immersion given by (20). Then $\Delta^{I I I} r=A r$ if and only if $M$ has zero mean curvature.
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