

団体の基礎構造に関する調査 (インド・市民社会組織調査) コードブック

Cross National Survey on Civil Society Organizations and Interest Groups

INDIA

IN-JIGS Codebook

辻中豊・スワスティラオ・小橋洋平 編著

2015年1月

独立行政法人日本学術振興会基盤研究S (平成22～26年度、2011年から2016年春)
『政治構造変動と圧力団体、政策ネットワーク、市民社会の変容に関する比較実証研究』
(課題番号：22223001)

Cross National Survey on Civil Society Organizations and Interest Groups

INDIA

IN-JIGS Codebook

Editor: Yutaka Tsujinaka, Swasti Rao and Yohei Kobashi,
University of Tsukuba

January 2015

Japan Society for the Promotion of Science: Grant in Aid for Scientific Research (S)
“A Comparative and Empirical Study of the Structural Changes in Politics and Transformations
in Pressure Groups, Policy Networks, and Civil Society in Japan since 2009”

はじめに (Preface)

「団体の基礎構造に関する調査」研究グループ(辻中豊代表、略称:団体基礎構造研究会)では、15年以上にわたり日本および世界の市民社会組織、利益団体に関する実証的調査研究を行ってきた。現在は筑波大学人文社会系、人文社会国際比較研究機構(ICR)、国際比較日本研究センター(CAJS)に拠点を置いている。

本報告書は、独立行政法人日本学術振興会基盤研究S(平成22~26年度、2011年から2016年春)『政治構造変動と圧力団体、政策ネットワーク、市民社会の変容に関する比較実証研究』(課題番号:22223001、辻中豊代表、略称:基盤S)による成果である。2009年と2012年、2度にわたる政権交代という政治変動はなぜ起きたのか。その背後では、どのような社会変容が生じていたのか。また、政治変動は社会にどのような影響を与えたのか。基盤Sでは、政治変動と社会変容の関係を立体的に描き出すことを目的として、頂上団体調査、政策ネットワーク調査、市民社会組織調査という3つの異なるレベル調査を企画した。また基盤Sでは、これまで行ってきたように、国際比較の中で日本を位置づけ理解することにも力点を置き、アジアの自由民主主義大国、インドの実態調査も行った。

本報告書は、先の3レベル調査のうち市民社会組織調査を、日本との比較を念頭にインドで行った「団体の基礎構造に関する調査(インド・市民社会組織調査)」(Cross-national Survey on Civil Society Organizations and Interest Groups in India, [India, Survey on Civil Society Organizations])の結果をまとめたコードブックである。本調査は、Japan Interest Group Study (JIGS) と名付けられた世界15カ国の市民社会の実態調査(サーベイ)の一環として位置づけられる。

本調査は、現代インド調査であり、Swasti Rao(筑波大学大学院 人文社会科学研究科国際日本研究専攻(博士後期課程))が、方法論(outline of Survey Data)で述べるようにインド側の研究協力機関、協力者とともに実施した調査である。またデータの整理はSwasti Raoとともに小橋洋平研究員が行ったものである。

以下、基盤S研究開始当初の背景、目的、方法をまとめ、さらに研究組織の概要を示す。

1. 研究の背景と目的

日本における政権の変動、公共政策の変化といった一連の政治変動は、どのような衝撃を、政策過程や市民社会に与えるのだろうか。本プロジェクトでは、複数の調査を行い立体的に検証することを目指している。政治構造が実質的に変化したとすれば、最初に中央政府や政党と緊密な関係を有し利益の確保に努力する圧力団体の態度に変容が生じ、それとともにアクター間の政策ネットワークが変容し、さらに分権改革とともに地方政府や草の根の市民社会に波及すると予想される。

本研究は順次、1) 頂上レベルの圧力団体、2) 政策ネットワーク、3) 地方政府・市民社会を体系的に調査し、頂上団体、政策ネットワーク、市民社会の3レベルから日本政治の構造変動と政治・社会関係の変容を比較政治的に解明することを目的とする。世界の学界において、政治と社会の相互規定性やそれによる変動は常に議論されるが、比較実証され、検証されることは少ない。時系列的な比較やいくつかの側面からの立体的な実証研究も稀である。今回は2009年以後の現代日本を素材にそれを行う。

2. 研究の方法

国際的に「一党優位政党制以後」および10カ国以上の市民社会比較、さらに既存の日本市民社会・地方政府データから、仮説を設定する。次いで、頂上レベルの圧力団体調査、地球環境政策ネットワーク調査、4種類の市民社会組織と有権者に対する調査（サーベイおよびweb調査）を各年に行い、諸仮説を比較分析によって検証していく。

3. 研究成果

下記のウェブサイトで順次公開していく予定である。

<http://cajs.tsukuba.ac.jp/>

<http://icrhs.tsukuba.ac.jp/>

4. 研究組織

研究代表者

辻中 豊（筑波大学・人文社会系・教授）

比較市民社会論、政治過程論。全般統括。

研究分担者

森 裕城（同志社大学・法学部・教授）

日本政治、選挙研究、利益団体。サーベイ調査全般。

坪郷 實（早稲田大学・社会科学総合学院・教授）

比較市民社会論、ドイツ政治。日独比較、環境政策分析。

大西 裕（神戸大学・大学院法学研究科・教授）

現代韓国政治、行政学。日韓比較、頂上団体調査分析。

小嶋 華津子（慶応義塾大学・法学部・准教授）

現代中国政治、中国社会分析。日中比較、政治変動分析。

坂本 治也（関西大学・法学部・准教授）

現代日本政治、ソーシャルキャピタル、NPO。市民社会分析。

近藤 康史（筑波大学・人文社会系・准教授）

政治理論、比較政治。比較分析、理論的検討を中心に。

竹中 佳彦（筑波大学・人文社会系・教授）

政治史、現代日本政治論。歴史的分析、サーベイ調査分析。

Dadabaev, Timur（筑波大学・人文社会系・准教授）

国際関係、比較政治、中央アジア。比較分析全般。

山本 英弘（山形大学・地域教育文化学部・准教授）

政治社会学。調査全般、計量分析。

崔 宰栄（筑波大学・人文社会系・准教授）

公共政策工学、計量分析。計量分析全般。

濱本 真輔（北九州市立大学・政策科学部・准教授）

政治過程論。調査分析全般。

久保 慶明（琉球大学・法文学部・准教授）

地方自治、政治過程論。調査分析全般。

柳 至（琉球大学・法文学部・准教授）

行政学、地方自治。調査分析全般。

5. 科研費 (直接経費、交付決定分。2014年度は交付中、13年度を一部持越し)

2010年度	7,700千円
2011年度	31,700千円
2012年度	21,600千円
2013年度	47,100千円
2014年度	8,100千円

6. 謝辞

前プロジェクトである特別推進研究に引き続き、調査研究を継続することを可能とした日本学術振興会に感謝したい。また、研究スペースや関連研究への助成を惜しまなかった筑波大学にも心から感謝申し上げたい。特に、人文社会国際比較研究機構、国際比較日本研究センターのメンバー（特に崔宰栄准教授、大友貴史准教授、レスリータック・川崎准教授、木島譲次特任教授、Anar Koli 准教授（現、創価大学）、Willy Jou 助教）に感謝したい。

調査は、それに丁寧に応えてくれた調査対象団体の皆様なしには成り立たない。本調査ではインドのデリーおよびワラーナシー（ベナレス）における、991団体の皆様に、貴重なお時間を割いてご協力いただいた。心から感謝申し上げる。

本調査を精力的に準備した院生の Swasti Rao には特段の感謝をしている。さらに日々身を粉にして研究室を支えてくれている小橋洋平研究員ほかの科研基盤 S 等研究員各氏（阿部弘臣、Shakil Ahmed、黄媚、王冰、李炅澤、田川寛之、蒔田純）、館野喜和子非常勤職員、小杉香非常勤職員、大倉沙江、竜聖人、和嶋克洋の大学院生各氏、人文社会エリア支援室の関係職員にも深く感謝したい。

2015年1月
辻中 豊

筑波大学学長特別補佐
人文社会系教授
基盤研究 S 研究代表者

Table of Contents

Outline of Data	i
Frequency	1
Section I : Basic information about the civil society organization	
Q1.1 Which of the 14 classifications listed below best describes your organization? [Q11]	
Q1.1 1.Agriculture and farmer related organization [Q11_1]	1
Q1.1 2.Economic or business organization [Q11_2]	1
Q1.1 3.Labour Union or Federation [Q11_3]	1
Q1.1 4.Education and research related organization [Q11_4]	1
Q1.1 5.Cultural Organization [Q11_5]	2
Q1.1 6.Government or administration related organization [Q11_6]	2
Q1.1 7.Social Welfare Organization [Q11_7]	2
Q1.1 8.Professional Organization (medical, legal, education, engineers etc.) [Q11_8]	2
Q1.1 9.Citizen's Group [Q11_9]	2
Q1.1 10.NGO (including foreign or International Organization) [Q11_10]	2
Q1.1 11.Hindu religious organization [Q11_11]	2
Q1.1 12.Religious organization oher than Hindu Organization [Q11_12]	3
Q1.2 Indicate below which national and local policies are of interest or relevant to your organization's activities? Choose all that apply.	
Q1.2 1.Financial Policies [Q12_01]	4
Q1.2 2.Fiscal Policies [Q12_02]	4
Q1.2 3.Trade and international commerce policies [Q12_03]	4
Q1.2 4.Industrial promotional policies [Q12_04]	4
Q1.2 5.Civic engineering, construction and public works policies [Q12_05]	4
Q1.2 6.Transportation and traffic policies [Q12_06]	4
Q1.2 7.Communication and information policies [Q12_07]	4
Q1.2 8.Scientific technology and research policies [Q12_08]	5
Q1.2 9.Local development policies/Rural Development [Q12_09]	5
Q1.2 10.Foreign Policies [Q12_10]	5
Q1.2 11.International exchange, cooperation and aid policies [Q12_11]	5
Q1.2 12.National defense and security policies [Q12_12]	5
Q1.2 13.Law and order, Justice and Human Rights [Q12_13]	5
Q1.2 14.Local government and administrative policies [Q12_14]	5
Q1.2 15.Labor polices [Q12_15]	6
Q1.2 16.Social welfare [Q12_16]	6
Q1.2 17.Agriculture [Q12_17]	6
Q1.2 18.Consumer protection policies [Q12_18]	6
Q1.2 19.Environment, energy and natural resources policies [Q12_19]	6
Q1.2 20.Healthcare policies [Q12_20]	6
Q1.2 21.Education, academic, sports and recreational policies [Q12_21]	6
Q1.2 22.Poverty Alleviation [Q12_22]	7
Q1.2 23.Culture and religion [Q12_23]	7
Q1.2 24.Others [Q12_24]	7
Q1.3 What are the main purpose of your organization and the primary goal of your organization's activities?	
Q1.3 1.Providing information to members [Q13_01]	8
Q1.3 2.Pursuing economic profit for members [Q13_02]	8
Q1.3 3.Protecting the standard of living and rights of the members/clients [Q13_03]	8
Q1.3 4.Providing education and training opportunities for members/clients [Q13_04]	8
Q1.3 5.Advocating on behalf of the members in order to gain subsidies [Q13_05]	8

Q1.3 6.Assisting members in licensing and accreditation procedures [Q13_06]	8
Q1.3 7.Providing policy recommendations for public policy making [Q13_07]	8
Q1.3 8.Providing education and information for the good of the general public [Q13_08]	9
Q1.3 9.Providing service to the general public [Q13_09]	9
Q1.3 10.Providing funds to other organizations [Q13_10]	9
Q1.3 11.Others [Q13_11]	9
Q1.4.A Is your organization registered with any ministry or institution? [Q14A]	10
Q1.5 When was the organization founded? [Q15]	10
Q1.5 When was the organization founded? (Ten-year interval) [Q15]	11
Q1.6 How many members does your organization have?	
Q1.6.1 Number of Individual members (categorical) [Q16_01]	12
Q1.6.2 Number of Member organizations (Categorical) [Q16_02]	12
Q1.7.A Financial assistance from outside sources [Q17A]	12
Q1.7.B Financial assistances accompanied by condition [Q17B]	12
Q1.8 How many employees does your organization have?	
Q1.8.1 Number of employees (full time) (Categorical) [Q18_01]	13
Q1.8.2 Number of employees (part time) (Categorical) [Q18_02]	13
Q1.8.3 Number of employees (volunteers) (Categorical) [Q18_03]	13
Q1.8.1.1 Number of employees (full time; total) (Categorical) [Q18_11]	13
Q1.8.1.2 Number of employees (full time; women) (Categorical) [Q18_12]	14
Q1.8.1.3 Number of employees (full time; socially backward castes) (Categorical) [Q18_13]	14
Q1.8.2.1 Number of employees (part time; total) (Categorical) [Q18_21]	14
Q1.8.2.2 Number of employees (part time; women) (Categorical) [Q18_22]	14
Q1.8.2.3 Number of employees (part time; socially backward castes) (Categorical) [Q18_23]	15
Q1.8.3.1 Number of employees (volunteers; total) (Categorical) [Q18_31]	15
Q1.8.3.2 Number of employees (volunteers; women) (Categorical) [Q18_32]	15
Q1.8.3.3 Number of employees (volunteers; socially backward castes) (Categorical) [Q18_33]	15
Q1.9 What was your organization's budget in INR in the year 2009 and 2010?	
Q1.9.1 Budget in 2009 (Categorical) [Q19_01]	16
Q1.9.2 Budget in 2010 (Categorical) [Q19_02]	16
Q1.10.A Geographic area of activities (Narrowest) [Q110A1]	17
Q1.10.A Geographic area of activities (Widest) [Q110A2]	17
Q1.10.B Influence of your organization [Q110B]	17
Q1.11 Which of the following categories best describe your organization? [Q111]	17
Q1.12 How would you describe your organization's opinions? (inclination) [Q112]	17

Section II : Nature of Interaction with the state and political Parties

Q2.1 Check the statement that describes the relationship your organization has with the government or local government.	
Q2.1 1.Accredited or approved [Q21_01]	18
Q2.1 2.Licensed [Q21_02]	18
Q2.1 3.Administrative guidance [Q21_03]	18
Q2.1 4.Cooperating with and supporting policies and budget activities [Q21_04]	18
Q2.1 5.Exchanging opinions [Q21_05]	18
Q2.1 6.Sending representative [Q21_06]	18
Q2.1 7.Offering positions to government officials after retirement [Q21_07]	18
Q2.1 8.Receiving government funds or grants [Q21_08]	19
Q2.1 9.Receiving foreign fund through the government [Q21_09]	19
Q2.1 10.Having joint projects or program [Q21_10]	19
Q2.2 How often does your organization contact (by phone or directly) people from the list below for lobbying (direct lobbying)? Specify the frequency today and 10 years ago.	

Q2.2.1 Now/Direct Lobbying by contacting Ministers, public servants of higher ranks by phone [Q22_01]	20
Q2.2.2 Now/Direct Lobbying by contacting public servants of central administration on managerial positions by phone [Q22_02]	20
Q2.2.3 Now/Direct Lobbying by contacting Chief Ministers/Mayors, high ranking officers of Local Government phone [Q22_03]	20
Q2.2.4 Now/Direct Lobbying by contacting Public Servants of Local Administration by phone [Q22_04]	20
Q2.2.1.1 10yrs/Direct Lobbying by contacting Ministers, public servants of higher ranks by phone [Q22_11]	21
Q2.2.1.2 10yrs/Direct Lobbying by contacting public servants of central administration on managerial positions by phone [Q22_12]	21
Q2.2.1.3 10yrs/Direct Lobbying by contacting Chief Ministers/Mayors, high ranking officers of Local Government phone [Q22_13]	21
Q2.2.1.4 10yrs/Direct Lobbying by contacting Public Servants of Local Administration by phone [Q22_14]	21
Q2.3 How often does your organization contact people from the list below to ask them to influence the politics of local or central governments (indirect lobbying)? Specify the frequency today and 10 years ago.	
Q2.3.1 Now/Indirect Direct Lobbying by contacting MPs from your election district [Q23_01]	22
Q2.3.2 Now/Indirect Lobbying by contacting MPs from other election district [Q23_02]	22
Q2.3.3 Now/Indirect Lobbying by contacting leaders of local government [Q23_03]	22
Q2.3.4 Now/Indirect Lobbying by contacting public servants of local administration [Q23_04]	22
Q2.3.5 Now/Indirect Lobbying by contacting local council members [Q23_05]	22
Q2.3.1.1 10yrs/Indirect Direct Lobbying by contacting MPs from your election district [Q23_11]	23
Q2.3.1.2 10yrs/Indirect Lobbying by contacting MPs from other election district [Q23_12]	23
Q2.3.1.3 10yrs/Indirect Lobbying by contacting leaders of local government [Q23_13]	23
Q2.3.1.4 10yrs/Indirect Lobbying by contacting public servants of local administration [Q23_14]	23
Q2.3.1.5 10yrs/Indirect Lobbying by contacting local council members [Q23_15]	23
Q2.4 How often do the following persons or institutions contact your organization seeking your opinion on new policies related to the area of activity of your organization?	
Q2.4.1 Now/MPs contacting people of your organization [Q24_01]	24
Q2.4.2 Now/Central administration officials contacting people of your organization [Q24_02]	24
Q2.4.3 Now/Local Council members contacting people of your organization [Q24_03]	24
Q2.4.4 Now/Local Government contacting people of your organization [Q24_04]	24
Q2.4.1.1 10yrs/MPs contacting people of your organization [Q24_11]	25
Q2.4.1.2 10yrs/Central administration officials contacting people of your organization [Q24_12]	25
Q2.4.1.3 10yrs/Local Council members contacting people of your organization [Q24_13]	25
Q2.4.1.4 10yrs/Local Government contacting people of your organization [Q24_14]	25
Q2.5 What type of relationship and contact do you have with political parties? Choose the best statement which corresponds to your organization's actions today and ten years before.	
Q2.5.1 Now/Relationship with Bahujan Samaj Party [Q25_01]	26
Q2.5.2 Now/Relationship with Bhartiya Janata Party [Q25_02]	26
Q2.5.3 Now/Relationship with Communist Party of India [Q25_03]	26
Q2.5.4 Now/Relationship with Communist Party of India (Marxist) [Q25_04]	26
Q2.5.5 Now/Relationship with Indian National Congress [Q25_05]	26
Q2.5.6 Now/Relationship with Nationalist Congress Party [Q25_06]	27

Q2.5.7 Now/Relationship with Others [Q25_07]	27
Q2.5.1.1 10yrs/Relationship with Bahujan Samaj Party [Q25_11]	28
Q2.5.1.2 10yrs/Relationship with Bhartiya Janata Party [Q25_12]	28
Q2.5.1.3 10yrs/Relationship with Communist Party of India [Q25_13]	28
Q2.5.1.4 10yrs/ Relationship with Communist Party of India (Marxist) [Q25_14]	28
Q2.5.1.5 10yrsRelationship with Indian National Congress [Q25_15]	28
Q2.5.1.6 10 yrs/Relationship with Nationalist Congress Party [Q25_16]	29
Q2.5.1.7 10 yrs/Relationship with Others [Q25_17]	29
Q2.6.A During National Elections- did your organization take any of the following actions, and if so how frequently? Choose the statement which best corresponds to your organization's actions today and ten years before.	
Q2.6.A.1.Today/Played a role for nomination [Q26A_01]	30
Q2.6.A.2 Today/Recommended a member/client of your organization [Q26A_02]	30
Q2.6.A.3 Today/Launched campaign for free and fair election [Q26A_03]	30
Q2.6.A.4 Today/Participated as independent observers [Q26A_04]	30
Q2.6.A.5 Today/Others [Q26A_05]	30
Q2.6.A.1.1 10y/Played a role for nomination [Q26A_11]	31
Q2.6.A.1.2 10y/Recommended a member/client of your organization [Q26A_12]	31
Q2.6.A.1.3 10y/Launched campaign for free and fair election [Q26A_13]	31
Q2.6.A.1.4 10y/Participated as independent observers [Q26A_14]	31
Q2.6.A.1.5 10y/Others [Q26A_15]	31
Q2.6.B During local elections- did your organization take any of the following actions, and if so how frequently? Choose the statement which best corresponds to your organization's actions today and ten years ago.	
Q2.6.B.1 Today/Played a role for nomination [Q26B_01]	32
Q2.6.B.2 Today/Recommended a member/client of your organization [Q26B_02]	32
Q2.6.B.3 Today/Launched campaign for free and fair election [Q26B_03]	32
Q2.6.B.4 Today/Participated as independent observers [Q26B_04]	32
Q2.6.B.5 Today/Others [Q26B_05]	32
Q2.6.B.1.1 10yrs/Played a role for nomination [Q26B_11]	33
Q2.6.B.1.2 10yrs/Recommended a member/client of your organization [Q26B_12]	33
Q2.6.B.1.3 10yrs/Launched campaign for free and fair election [Q26B_13]	33
Q2.6.B.1.4 10yrs/Participated as independent observers [Q26B_14]	33
Q2.6.B.1.5 10yrs/Others [Q26B_15]	33
Q2.7 When your organization appeals to political decision making bodies like political parties or government administrations, how often do you take any of the measures listed below?	
Q2.7.1 Contacting political parties in the cabinet [Q27_01]	34
Q2.7.2 Contacting the opposition parties [Q27_02]	34
Q2.7.3 Contacting governmental departments and agencies [Q27_03]	34
Q2.7.4 Contacting Local government personnel [Q27_04]	34
Q2.7.5 Helping to draft legislative bills [Q27_05]	34
Q2.7.6 Presenting research results of technical information [Q27_06]	35
Q2.7.7 Sending representatives [Q27_07]	35
Q2.7.8 Asking members to write letters or make phone calls [Q27_08]	35
Q2.7.9 Engaging in mass protests or demonstrations [Q27_09]	35
Q2.7.10 Organizing seminar, round table meeting, rally etc [Q27_10]	35
Q2.7.11 Holding press conferences [Q27_11]	36
Q2.7.12 Forming coalition with other organizations [Q27_12]	36
Q2.7.13 Contacting corporate house executives [Q27_13]	36
Q2.7.14 Contacting United Nations organizations and agencies [Q27_14]	36
Q2.8 Does your organization or any member has personal relationship with any of the following persons?	
Q2.8 1.An elected parliament member [Q28_01]	37
Q2.8 2.A leader of the ruling party [Q28_02]	37
Q2.8 3.A leader of the opposition parties [Q28_03]	37
Q2.8 4.A Mayor or Ward Commissioner [Q28_04]	37

Q2.8 5.A journalist [Q28_05]	37
Q2.8 6.A chief from a department of a government [Q28_06]	37
Q2.8 7.A chief or a staff of an international organization [Q28_07]	37
Q2.8 8.A judge or a magistrate of the court [Q28_08]	38
Q2.8 9.Executive level contact with Corporate Groups [Q28_09]	38
Q2.9.A Succeeded in having a policy being implemented [Q29A]	38
Q2.9.B Succeeded in altering or blocking a policy [Q29B]	38
Q2.10 Does your organization involve in politics by itself or is your organization approached by outside sources to become involved in politics? [Q210]	38
Q2.11 Which of the following institutions would you consider most effective and contact them in order to lobby (for the protection of interest and rights, and representation of opinions of your organization)? Write numbers from 1 (most effective) to 3 (least effective) in order of importance, separately for today and 10 years ago.	
Q2.11.1 Today/ considering Political parties or lower/upper houses as effective for lobbying [Q211_01]	39
Q2.11.2 Today/considering public administration as effective for lobbying [Q211_02]	39
Q2.11.3 Today/considering Courts as effective for lobbying [Q211_03]	39
Q2.11.1.1 10yrs/considering Political parties or lower/upper houses as effective for lobbying [Q211_11]	39
Q2.11.1.2 10yrs/considering public administration as effective for lobbying [Q211_12]	39
Q2.11.1.3 10yrs/considering Courts as effective for lobbying [Q211_13]	39

Section III : Relationship among the civil society organizations

Q3.1 What source does your organization use to get information for its activities? Rank in order of importance, three from the list below.	
Q3.1.1 1st source of information [Q31_01]	40
Q3.1.2 2nd source of information [Q31_02]	40
Q3.1.3 3rd source of information [Q31_03]	40
Q3.2 Number of times mentioned in mass media (Categorical) [Q32]	41
Q3.3 To what extent do you think the following groups influence politics in India? Rate each of the following groups on a scale from 1 to 7 in terms of political influence.	
Q3.3 1.Influence in Politics/Trade unions and federations [Q33_01]	41
Q3.3 2.Influence in Politics/Agricultural Organizations [Q33_02]	41
Q3.3 3.Influence in Politics/ Economic, business, and employers organizations [Q33_03]	41
Q3.3 4.Influence in Politics/ National Bureaucrats [Q33_04]	42
Q3.3 5.Influence in Politics/ Political Parties [Q33_05]	42
Q3.3 6.Influence in Politics/ The mass media [Q33_06]	42
Q3.3 7.Influence in Politics/ Large business/corporations [Q33_07]	42
Q3.3 8.Influence in Politics/ Scholars and academicians [Q33_08]	42
Q3.3 9.Influence in Politics/ Consumer Organizations [Q33_09]	43
Q3.3 10.Influence in Politics/ Welfare Organizations [Q33_10]	43
Q3.3 11.Influence in Politics/ Professional organizations [Q33_11]	43
Q3.3 12.Influence in Politics/ Women movement organizations [Q33_12]	43
Q3.3 13.Influence in Politics/ Local governments [Q33_13]	43
Q3.3 14.Influence in Politics/ Foreign government and International organizations [Q33_14]	44
Q3.3 15.Influence in Politics/ Hindu organizations [Q33_15]	44
Q3.3 16.Influence in Politics/ Religious organization other than Hindu organization [Q33_16]	44
Q3.4 What type of relation and cooperation does your organization have with the following groups? Rate the following groups on a scale from 1 to 7 in terms of cooperation with your organization.	
Q3.4 1.How cooperative/ Trade unions and federations [Q34_01]	45

Q3.4 2.How cooperative /Agricultural Organizations [Q34_02]	45
Q3.4 3.How cooperative/Economic, business, and employers organizations [Q34_03]	45
Q3.4 4.How cooperative/National Bureaucrats [Q34_04]	45
Q3.4 5.How cooperative/Political Parties [Q34_05]	46
Q3.4 6.How cooperative/ The mass media [Q34_06]	46
Q3.4 7.How cooperative/ Large business/corporations [34_07]	46
Q3.4 8.How cooperative/ Scholars and academicians [34_08]	46
Q3.4 9.How cooperative/ Consumer Organizations [34_09]	47
Q3.4 10.How cooperative/ Welfare Organizations [Q34_10]	47
Q3.4 11.How cooperative/ Professional organizations [Q34_11]	47
Q3.4 12.How cooperative/ Women movement organizations [Q34_12]	47
Q3.4 13.How cooperative/ Local governments [Q34_13]	47
Q3.4 14.How cooperative/ Foreign government and International organizations [Q34_14]	48
Q3.4 15.How cooperative/ Hindu organizations [Q34_15]	48
Q3.4 16.How cooperative/ Religious organization other than Hindu organization [Q34_16]	48

Section IV [Problems and Prospects in the context of Civil Society in India]

Q4.1 Below are listed important political decisions and events that have taken place in the last 15 years. Please check your organization's Participation, Position and Satisfaction to each of these decisions and events. (if the event took place before the establishment of your organization the you are free to skip those events)

Q4.1.1.1 Participation/ 73rd-74th amendment [Q411_01]	49
Q4.1.1.2 Position/ 73rd-74th amendment [Q411_02]	49
Q4.1.1.3 Satisfaction/ 73rd-74th amendment [Q411_03]	49
Q4.1.2.1 Participation/ 86th Amendment [Q412_01]	49
Q4.1.2.2 Position/ 86th Amendment [Q412_02]	49
Q4.1.2.3 Satisfaction/ 86th Amendment [Q412_03]	49
Q4.1.3.1 Participation/93rd Amendment [Q413_01]	50
Q4.1.3.2 Position/93rd Amendment [Q413_02]	50
Q4.1.3.3 Satisfaction/93rd Amendment [Q413_03]	50
Q4.1.4.1 Participation/Women's Reservation Bill [Q414_01]	50
Q4.1.4.2 Position/Women's Reservation Bill [Q414_02]	50
Q4.1.4.3 Satisfaction/Women's Reservation Bill [Q414_03]	50
Q4.1.5.1 Participation/ Anti-Corruption Movement and Jan Lokpal Bill [Q415_01]	51
Q4.1.5.2 Position/ Anti-Corruption Movement and Jan Lokpal Bill [Q415_02]	51
Q4.1.5.3 Satisfaction/ Anti-Corruption Movement and Jan Lokpal Bill [Q415_03]	51
Q4.1.6.1 Participation/ National NGO Partnership Program [Q416_01]	51
Q4.1.6.2 Position/ National NGO Partnership Program [Q416_02]	51
Q4.1.6.3 Satisfaction/ National NGO Partnership Program [Q416_03]	51
Q4.2 Is your organization involved in any of the following issues? Choose as many issues that correspond to your organization's actions. In which way are you involved in those issues- advocacy (Negotiating and pressurizing the government in different ways and providing public education) or service providing (materially helping citizens on those matters such as health clinic, financial aid, legal aid, school, skill training etc) ? You can check both if it applies to you organization.	
Q4.2.1.a By advocacy/ Political and Human Rights [Q42_01a]	52
Q4.2.1.b By service providing/ Political and Human Rights [Q42_01b]	52
Q4.2.2.a By advocacy/ Rural Development [Q42_02a]	52
Q4.2.2.b By service providing/ Rural Development [Q42_02b]	52
Q4.2.3.a By advocacy/ Law and order [Q42_03a]	52
Q4.2.3.b By service providing Law and order [Q42_03b]	52
Q4.2.4.a By advocacy/ Women's Rights [Q42_04a]	53
Q4.2.4.b By service providing/ Women's Rights [Q42_04b]	53

Q4.2.5.a By advocacy/ Education [Q42_05a]	53
Q4.2.5.b By service providing/ Education [Q42_05b]	53
Q4.2.6.a By advocacy/ Public health [Q42_06a]	53
Q4.2.6.b By service providing/ Public Health [Q42_06b]	53
Q4.2.7.a By advocacy/ Environment [Q42_07a]	54
Q4.2.7.b By service providing/ Environment [Q42_07b]	54
Q4.2.8.a By advocacy/ Labour rights and Unemployment [Q42_08a]	54
Q4.2.8.b By service providing/ Labour rights and Unemployment [Q42_08b]	54
Q4.2.9.a By advocacy/ Corruption [Q42_09a]	54
Q4.2.9.b By service providing/ Corruption [Q42_09b]	54
Q4.2.10.a By advocacy/ Street Politics and Hartal (strike) culture [Q42_10a]	55
Q4.2.10.b By service providing/ Street Politics and Hartal (strike) culture [Q42_10b]	55
Q4.2.11.a By advocacy/ Separation of Judiciary from the executive [Q42_11a]	55
Q4.2.11.b By service providing/ Separation of Judiciary from the executive [Q42_11b]	55
Section V [Influence of Foreign-funded NGOs and Religious Civil Society in the context of state-society relation in India]	
Q5.1.A Is your organization involved in grass-roots level activities? [Q51A]	56
Q5.1.B If yes, please specify your area of activity [Q51B]	
Q5.1.B.1 GO-NGO cooperation for rural development [Q51B_01]	56
Q5.1.B.2 Developing group network [Q51B_02]	56
Q5.1.B.3 Women empowerment [Q51B_03]	56
Q5.1.B.4 Local representation and ventilation of grievances [Q51B_04]	56
Q5.1.B.5 Education and literacy [Q51B_05]	56
Q5.1.B.6 Health education and health service [Q51B_06]	57
Q5.1.B.7 Legal and human rights [Q51B_07]	57
Q5.1.B.8 Economic empowerment [Q51B_08]	57
Q5.1.B.9 Social and political awareness and participation [Q51B_09]	57
Q5.1.B.10 Others [Q51B_10]	57
Q5.2 How would you evaluate the role of foreign funded NGOs/ organizations in strengthening civil societies in India? [Q52]	57
Q5.3 Do you think the issues (like good governance, civil society, women empowerment etc.) of foreign funded NGOs can affect at the community level? [Q53]	58
Q5.4 As foreign-funded NGOs are not based on membership so their effect is nominal. [Q54]	58
Q5.5 As foreign funded NGOs have good connection with national elite so they can influence the national policy [Q55]	58
Q5.6 Do you think the relation between foreign funded NGOs and religious civil society is confrontational? [Q56]	58
Q5.7 How does your organization view the following groups? Rate the following groups on a scale from 1 to 7 in terms of articulating the demand of the people at the community level.	58
Q5.7.A Rating of articulation of Religious civil society [Q57A]	58
Q5.7.B Rating of articulation of Foreign funded NGOs [Q57B]	58
Survey Sheet	
Hindi version	61
English version	75

◆ *Outline of Data*

Combined Report for IN-JIGS

The survey for collecting data about different types of registered Civil Society Organizations was carried out in three phases in India under the academic project funded by the University of Tsukuba, Japan. The survey was headed by Prof. Yutaka Tsujinaka and was carried out by Swasti Rao, University of Tsukuba.

The survey started in December 2011 and concluded in February 2014. In this span, three phases were carried out as follows:

1. **First Phase- Delhi** . In this phase the registered population of Non – Governmental Organizations was targeted. A total of 486 responses were collected in this phase that started 3.12.2011 and concluded 14.1.2012.
2. **Second Phase- Delhi**. In this phase the registered population of Cooperative societies, Labour Unions and Business Organizations was targeted. A total of 251 responses were collected in this phase that started 13.7.2012 and concluded on 29.8.2012
3. **Third Phase- Varanasi**. In this phase we targeted different types of registered civil society organizations in the city of Varanasi. A total of 253 responses were collected in this phase that started 14.01.2014 and concluded on 24.02.2014

Finally a total of 990 responses were collected from India. The data was inputted in excel sheets, cleaned and cross tabs were generated by SPSS programming.

Outline of Survey Data

IN-JIGS, Delhi, India

3 December 2011 ~ 14 January 2012

Table 1: Summary

Title : Cross National Survey on Civil Society Organizations and Interest Groups in India (IN-JIGS)

Sample Area : Delhi, India

Target Population : 3000 active CSOs¹

Number of Respondents : 486 CSOs

Turn-out Percentage: 486/3000 (16.2%)

Interview Method : Filling a Structured Questionnaire

Period of Data Collection : 3.12.2011- 14.1.2012

Project Leader : Professor Yutaka Tsujinaka

Survey Conducted By : Swasti Rao

Sponsored By : University of Tsukuba, Japan

Objectives of the survey

The objective of the survey is to understand the basic trend and characteristics of the civil society organizations (CSO) in India and their relationship to society, state and politics. It is also a part of a larger academic project of the University of Tsukuba, “*Cross-national Survey on Civil Society and Interest Groups*” under which similar surveys have been conducted in thirteen other countries including Japan, Korea, U.S.A., Germany, China, Turkey, Russia, Brazil, Philippines, Bangladesh, Estonia etc. This survey in India (IN-JIGS) has attempted to synchronize the main theme of the project with explicit focus on Indian context.

¹ The total number of registered organizations in Delhi is 68423. But several organizations covered under this list have not submitted their details which make it difficult to follow. However, it does give an idea about the total population density of registered civil society organizations in Delhi. Hence, we followed the list of organizations listed with the National Planning Commission NGO Partnership System program, which is a government of India initiative for providing better networking amongst the civil society sector. Registering with the NPS program also reflects a certain ‘active’ inclination of the organization and thus makes it useful to study for our purpose.

Constructing the Appropriate Questionnaire for IN-JIGS

The survey was based on filling a closed structured questionnaire that was modeled along the lines of a survey conducted in Bangladesh (BD-JIGS), which in turn was modeled on surveys for civil society organizations in Japan (J-JIGS). A round of meetings ensured that a fitting questionnaire was constructed for the Indian context. Each question was delved upon one by one and its applicability to the case of India was assessed.

The **major 4 changes** that were brought were as follows

1. Changing Islamic Civil Society organizations to Hindu organizations (Q1.1 and whenever references were made to Hindu religious organizations like in Q 3.3 , Q 3.4)
2. A separate question was added as Q1.8 that asked about the composition of the employees the organization had in terms of women, socially and backward castes and from minorities.²
3. The names of the political parties were changed according to the situation in India in Q2.5
4. A new question about the role of civil society and important political and social events in the last fifteen years that have greatly changed the Indian socio-political debate and reality was inserted. This became Q 4.1

Target population/ sampling frame

Non-profit organizations in Delhi can be registered/ incorporated under any one or more of the following statutes.³

- (i) The Societies Registration Act, 1860,
- (ii) The Indian Trust Act, 1882,
- (iii) Section 25 of the Indian Companies Act, 1956,
- (iv) The Delhi Co-operative Societies Act, 1972,
- (v) The Trade Union Act, 1926.

² This was done because the social composition in Indian society is greatly affected by the affirmative action policies since the time it gained independence in 1947 and this break up would give an insight into the working of the affirmative action and the real ground situation of the social structure.

³ <http://164.100.52.9/dept/industries/service1.asp>

However, there is no yellow book or a proper directory of the non-profit organizations that would provide information for the purpose of a quantitative survey. For identifying a workable population, only the registered NPOs were shortlisted out of a far bigger cloud of non-registered civil society organizations.

For the survey, the registered population of 69,748 organizations was procured from the Delhi Government.⁴

The Cooperatives and Trade unions (along with business organizations) were left out for the first phase as they were covered in the second phase survey.

Problems with the list- Though it was an important procurement but was grossly limited in its applicability for the following reasons

1. Despite the mandatory submission of their annual reports, many organizations don't do so that in effect makes them redundant
2. Several organizations register once and fail to renew the registration that subsequently makes them nonfunctional
3. The list was incomplete as the details like addresses, phone numbers or email ids of the organizations were missing in many instances. Several organizations do not follow the necessary method of intimating change in their address of registered office for communication. This deadlock makes them untraceable.

Benefits of the list-

Listing major areas of functions- However, the list was instrumental in helping us draw important conclusions about the civil society sector in India. For example the list helped us understand the 'major areas of functions' into which the civil society organizations are engaged. Major 13 kinds of areas of functions were outlined (as given below in Table two)

⁴ <http://164.100.52.9/dept/industries/service1.asp> first accessed on 18.11.2011

Table 2: Major Areas of functions Registered Organizations on Delhi Gov. (Multiple)

Category	Freq.	%
Welfare Society	49559	72.4%
Educational Society/Trust	6344	9.3%
Social Welfare Society	4845	7.1%
Residents Welfare Society	2107	3.1%
Religious Society	1928	2.8%
Charitable Society	1017	1.5%
Cultural Society	699	1.0%
Natural Environment Pollution	476	0.7%
Games/Sports Society	373	0.5%
Medical Hospital Society	284	0.4%
Fine Arts Society	269	0.4%
Science/Health Research Society	209	0.3%
Literature Society	26	0.0%
Misc.	1448	2.1%
Total	68423	100.00%

Constructing the final List and Resolving the Problem- After several email exchanges with Confederation of National Rural NGOs in India (CNRI), our collaborator in India, we reached the conclusion that following the Delhi Government list will not be a feasible way to do the field survey. CNRI and our research associates team suggested following changes:

1. Drawing the sample from The Planning Commission List as provided in the NPS system⁵ because the NGOs in Planning Commission list can be regarded as 'active' civil society organizations. This list had 2740 organizations from the Delhi government list with complete details of address, emails and telephone numbers.⁶

⁵ NPS is the NGO partnership system started by the Planning Commission on India which has started by making a network of registered organizations in the region of Delhi. The list was procured from the website of Planning Commission and can be cross checked for reference at this link <http://ngo.india.gov.in/auth/default.php> First accessed on 21..11.2011

⁶ This method employed in this list was first come first serve basis which is an on going project of the National Planning Commission. The National Planning Commission invited registered non-profits to facilitate networking. The details of the National Planning Commission NGO Partnership system In India can be looked up in the following link <http://ngo.india.gov.in/auth/default.php>

2. About 300 organizations were also suggested by CNRI who were our collaborator in the first phase of the survey⁷. Out of these 300, about 40 were already mentioned in the Planning Commission list. The repeated organizations were omitted and extra 260 organizations were included.
3. Our research team telephoned each one of those organizations and asked them their main functions. 5 belonged to the category of Education and Literacy, 50 to Agriculture, 110 to Social Welfare and 95 to Religious.
4. Combining the above- The research team shortlisted 3000 organizations which became our target population for the field survey.

Table 3: Target Population

<p>National Planning Commission List: 2740</p> <p>Suggested organizations by CNRI: 260</p> <p>(Breakdown of addition by CNRI</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Agricultural Organizations: 50</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Social Welfare Organizations: 110</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Educational Organizations 5</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Religious Organizations: 95)</p> <p>Total: 3000</p>
--

Table 4: Our target population broken down into types of sectors
(NPC: National Planning Commission List, multiple; CNRI, single)


Category	Freq.	% in NPC	% in CNRI	% in Total
Education & Literacy	1912	69.8%		63.7%
(CNRI) Educational	5		1.9%	0.2%
Health & Family Welfare	1500	54.7%		50.0%
(CNRI) Social Welfare	110		42.3%	3.7%
Children	1428	52.1%		47.6%

⁷ This was done because, being the collaborator, CNRI also wanted to actively participate in the making of lists and has considerable ground level exposure and experience in the said field.

Women's Development & Empowerment	1105	40.3%		36.8%
HIV/AIDS	1065	38.9%		35.5%
Environment & Forests	1051	38.4%		35.0%
Art & Culture	1001	36.5%		33.4%
Vocational Training	965	35.2%		32.2%
Human Rights	887	32.4%		29.6%
Rural Development & Poverty Alleviation	861	31.4%		28.7%
Legal Awareness & Aid	850	31.0%		28.3%
Labour & Employment	760	27.7%		25.3%
Civic Issues	751	27.4%		25.0%
Drinking Water	675	24.6%		22.5%
Aged/Elderly	673	24.6%		22.4%
Dalit Upliftment	668	24.4%		22.3%
Micro Finance (SHGs)	658	24.0%		21.9%
Information & Communication Technology	656	23.9%		21.9%
Disaster Management	654	23.9%		21.8%
Agriculture	644	23.5%		21.5%
(CNRI) Agricultural	50		19.2%	1.7%
Youth Affairs	615	22.4%		20.5%
Minority Issues	575	21.0%		19.2%
Food Processing	544	19.9%		18.1%
Urban Development & Poverty Alleviation	525	19.2%		17.5%
Differently Aabled	499	18.2%		16.6%
Nutrition	498	18.2%		16.6%
Micro Small & Medium Enterprises	483	17.6%		16.1%
Right to Information & Advocacy	428	15.6%		14.3%
Housing	397	14.5%		13.2%
Panchayati Raj	395	14.4%		13.2%
Land Resources	359	13.1%		12.0%
Dairying & Fisheries	357	13.0%		11.9%
Animal Husbandry	357	13.0%		11.9%
Tribal Affairs	344	12.6%		11.5%
Sports	343	12.5%		11.4%
Biotechnology	320	11.7%		10.7%
New & Renewable Energy	318	11.6%		10.6%
Science & Technology	290	10.6%		9.7%
Water Resources	288	10.5%		9.6%
Tourism	215	7.8%		7.2%
Scientific & Industrial Research	182	6.6%		6.1%
Prisoner's Issues	88	3.2%		2.9%
Any Other	314	11.5%		10.5%
(CNRI) Religious	95		36.5%	3.2%
Total	3000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Survey Method and Data Collection

Table 5: Summary Flowchart



1. The actual Field Work details

Duration- 3.12.2.011- 14.1.2012; One month and ten days

Area- Delhi –divided into 5 sub regions for the convenience of allocating work to the researchers.⁸

Section -A Mode of Working

➤ Pre field-work preparation:

Orientation through four Lectures-Demonstrations to the research team

One hour each (including feedback and questions). The details of these are as follows:

First (8.12.11)- **General introduction about the project, University of Tsukuba, JIGS etc.**

Second (9.12.11)-**About IN-JIGS, Civil Society Scenario in India, Section 25, Required Sample Size, Delhi Govt. List, NPS list from Planning Commission, CNRI**

Third(10.12.11)- **Logistics (Salaries, Allowances, Working Hours etc)**

⁸ The basis of this division was convenience of the research assistants to ensure speedy and timely procurement of responses. This clarified the exact break up of performance and helped in logistical planning.

Fourth(12.10.11)- **Specific way of carrying out the research, introduction to the questionnaire, interview method, assigning area to each researcher**

- General meeting with the research team every night for feedback and daily progress report
- Meeting with CNRI every week for discussing progress issues and problems encountered.

Section-B CNRI and its role as a collaborator

First formal meeting with the Chief Nodal Officer, Mr. Binod Anand was held on 12.12.2011. He provided us with the following:

- Provided office space
- Logistical discussion
- Meeting with prominent organizations was fixed.

Section-C Actual Working on the ground

- Delhi Government List has to be discarded (addresses not complete, no emails, or phone numbers)
- NPS list from planning commission and CNRI's list then merged into one giving a population of 3000 NGOs with full addresses and emails (based on the data from Delhi Government)
- **First Step-** Telephoning and sending the questionnaire by email
 Ringing up each NGO, briefing them about IN-JIGS and sending the questionnaire by email. This went on for about one week- (13th-20th December)
 - Meetings with prominent organizations⁹:
 - 1. Vada na todo abhiyan (Ms. Radha Khan)
 - 2. Bachpan Bachao Andolan(Mr. Rakesh Sengar)
 - 3. United Nations Information Centre(Mr. Rajeev Chandran)
 - 4. CAPART(the chief Librarian)
 - 5. Nehru Youth Organization (Mr. Chandrashekhar Pran)
 - 6. VANI (Mr. Singh)
 - 7. All India Muslim Waqf Board (Mr. Israil Khan)
 - 8. PRIA (Mr. Rai)
 - 9. Corporate NGOs (Mr. Punit; representative)

⁹ The primary motive of meeting these organizations was their prominence in their respective fields and their reputation as dynamic members of the Indian Civil Society Sector. However, most of these organizations are not registered and remained unregistered for the sake of their autonomy in the public sphere. Most of these unregistered organizations have several registered organizations working with them and it was thought that they could facilitate cooperation from the registered organizations.

The agenda for the meetings: We had meetings with each of these organizations/persons. We briefed them about the Project and gave them detailed handouts. We gave them hard copies of questionnaires

Each researcher was assigned to do the follow up (which included ringing up, sending mails, collecting their list, answering questions) of one peak organization¹⁰

Section-D Adapting to changing circumstances

1. Limitations of emailing: Extremely poor response rate through email 3500-4000 emails were sent from three email ids while only about 150 emails were received finally.
2. Resorting to telephoning: Turned out expensive as each organization had to be phoned at least three to four times and even this did not ensure timely response. Several times, the person in charge did not answer the call or did not respond well enough.
3. Sending researcher assistants physically to the organizations: Finally, in order to overcome the block, each researcher was assigned one area in Delhi and had to be sent to the organizations physically to the addresses given.

Extension in the period of field survey:

1. The week of Christmas and New Year was a low turnout of response period because of holidays setting in. The time lost in this week had to be offset in the later week with the research team having to work doubly hard.
2. Extension of stay in India: the low response rate and other intervening variables resulted in extending the field work period diverting from the original schedule of completion. The field work had to be extended until the 14 of January 2012.

¹⁰ This was more of a secondary task as the primary motive was facilitation of communication as the response rate to the emails sent by the research team was very poor.

Problem with the questionnaire and unfavorable NGO behavior:

1. Several NGOs wanting money or some compensation in exchange of filling up the questionnaire
2. Several NGOs wanting more time complaining about the length of the questionnaire.
3. Several NGOs wanted certificate of participation from Japan (that we handed out after consulting with Prof. Tsujinaka) ; about 250 certificates
4. Most importantly, it turned out that several responses were required to be done again. It was realized that the respondent has not understood the question properly. About twenty responses had to be discarded on this basis as the organization could not be contacted

Data input

The data inputting for both the kind of responses, in hard copy as well as soft copy were done by the Inamoto Printing Ltd. in Tsukuba, Japan. The necessary tables were completed by the research team at the University of Tsukuba.

IN-JIGS; Phase Two

Title : Cross National Survey on Civil Society Organizations, Interest Groups, Cooperative Societies and Trade Unions in India (IN-JIGS)
Sample Area : Delhi, India
Target (Total) Population: 4968 Registered Cooperative Societies, Trade Unions and Business Organizations in Delhi
Sampling Frame : 1559 CSOs
Number of Respondents : 251 CSOs
Turn-out Percentage : 16.1%
Sampling Method : Stratified Random Sampling
Interview Method : Filling a Structured Questionnaire
Period of Data Collection : 13.7.2012- 29.8.2012
Project Leader : Professor Yutaka Tsujinaka
Survey Conducted By : Swasti Rao
Sponsored By : University of Tsukuba, Japan

Objectives of the survey

The objective of the survey is to understand the basic trend and characteristics of the registered Cooperative Societies, Trade Unions and Business Organizations in India and their relationship to society, state and politics. It is also a part of a larger academic project of the University of Tsukuba, " *Cross-national Survey on Civil Society and Interest Groups*" under which similar surveys have been conducted in thirteen other countries including Japan, Korea, U.S.A., Germany, China, Turkey, Russia, Brazil, Philippines, Bangladesh, Estonia etc. This survey in India has attempted to synchronize the main theme of the project with explicit focus on Indian context.

Constructing the Appropriate Questionnaire for IN-JIGS

The survey was based on filling a closed structured questionnaire that was modeled along the lines of a survey conducted in Bangladesh (BD-JIGS), which in turn was modeled on surveys for civil society organizations in Japan (J-JIGS). A round of meetings ensured that a fitting questionnaire was constructed for the Indian context. Each question was delved upon one by one and its applicability to the case of India was assessed.

The **major 5 changes** that were brought were as follows

1. In the title of the questionnaire, the term Cooperative Societies and Trade/Labour Unions was incorporated as several of these organizations refused a response pointing out that they had a clear status in the civil society sector and cannot just be clubbed in the larger population.
2. Changing Islamic Civil Society organizations to Hindu organizations (Q1.1 and whenever references were made to Hindu religious organizations like in Q 3.3 , Q 3.4)
3. A separate question was added as Q1.8 that asked about the composition of the employees the organization had in terms of women, socially and backward castes and from minorities.¹¹
4. The names of the political parties were changed according to the situation in India in Q2.5
5. A new question about the role of civil society and important political and social events in the last fifteen years that have greatly changed the Indian socio-political debate and reality was inserted. This became Q 4.1

Target population/sampling frame

Non-profit organizations in Delhi can be registered/ incorporated under any one or more of the following statutes.

- (i) The Societies Registration Act, 1860,
- (ii) The Indian Trust Act, 1882,
- (iii) Section 25 of the Indian Companies Act, 1956,
- (iv) The Delhi Co-operative Societies Act, 1972,
- (v) The Trade Union Act, 1926.

In the second phase, the concentration was on the last two categories i.e. Cooperative Societies and Trade/Labor Unions.¹² For gaining the exact number of the registered population, the Delhi Government website was accessed.¹³

¹¹ This was done because the social composition in Indian society is greatly affected by the affirmative action policies since the time it gained independence in 1947 and this break up would give an insight into the working of the affirmative action and the real ground situation of the social structure.

1. For Cooperatives: Section 4 of the Indian Co-operative Societies Act, 1912 defines a cooperative "as a society which has its objective the promotion of economic interest of its members in accordance with co-operative principles". The exact list was procured from the Office of the Registrar Cooperative Societies.¹⁴

Number of Cooperative Societies- 5500

Number of active Cooperative Societies- 3831 (This became the total population for this survey).¹⁵

2. For Trade/Labour Unions: The Indian Trade Unions Bill, 1925 was introduced in the Central Legislative Assembly to provide for the registration of Trade Unions and in certain respects to define the law relating to registered Trade Unions in Provinces of India. The Indian Trade Unions Bill, 1925 was passed by the Legislature received its assent on 25th March, 1926. It came into force on 1st June, 1927 as the Indian Trade Unions Act, 1926 (16 of 1926). By section 3 of the Indian Trade Unions (Amendment) Act, 1964 (38 of 1964) the word "Indian" has been omitted and now it is known as THE TRADE UNIONS ACT, 1926 (16 of 1926).¹⁶

The Total Number of registered Trade/Labour unions: 1078. This became the total population for the survey.

3. Business Organizations/ Chambers of Associations:¹⁷ Because of the special case of these organizations as they are neither clearly included in the civil society sector nor in the market sector, it was thought necessary that some sample of this category must be included in the survey.

The website of Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry (FICCI), which is the largest and oldest prominent business organization in India, was referred to procure the list. The total number was 59.

¹² The first three categories were covered in the first phase survey..

¹³ <http://rcs.delhigovt.nic.in/zonebreak.asp?typ=INDL>

¹⁴ Office of the Registrar Cooperative Societies, Old Court Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi 110001. The details of the list can be procured from their website <http://rcs.delhigovt.nic.in/zonebreak.asp?typ=GH>

¹⁵ The number of active societies was smaller than that of cooperative societies on the list because many societies fail to renew registration or other governmental provisions.

¹⁶ http://www.delhi.gov.in/wps/wcm/connect/doiit_labour/Labour/Home/Acts+Implemented/Details+of+the+Acts+Implemented/The+Trade+Unions+Act,+1926/

¹⁷ According to the FICCI website these organizations are also registered under the Section 25 of Companies Act but in due course have come to serve a slightly different role than non-profit companies.

Table 1: Target Population/Sampling frame

Cooperative Societies
Total population: 3831
Targeted Population: 1000
Labour Unions
Total Population: 1078
Targeted Populations: 500
Chambers/ Associations
Total Populations: 59
Targeted Population 59

Table 2: Collective representation of Data

Total population: 4968
Total targeted population: 1559 ¹⁸
Response collected: 251

¹⁸ We targeted roughly half of the cooperative societies (3831) and trade unions (1078) and targeted all the chambers of association as their number was very small.

Survey Method:

Duration

13 July – 29 August 2012.

Research Team

This time the Research team comprised thus

Research Conductor and overall In charge - Swasti Rao (Tsukuba University)

Research Associate- Mr. Sajjan Kumar (on salary)(PhD, Jawaharlal Nehru University)

Research associate- Mr. Bipul Kumar (on salary) (Prof. Delhi University)

Research Assistants- Mr. Prabhat kumar (on salary) (Freelancer)

Ms. Lakshmi (on salary) (Research associate at CNRI)

Mr. Srinivasan (on salary) (Research Associate at CNRI)

Mr. Vishwakarma(on salary) (Research Associate at CNRI)

Two rounds of Orientation Lectures were delivered by me.

1. Introductory (13.07.2012)- As the people joining from CNRI were new to our project the first orientation lecture was about introducing them to the survey, first Phase's outcome and experience. This lecture was also attended by Mr. Saptharshi, Chairperson of CNRI.

2. Advanced (17.07.2012)- this lecture focused on specific requirements of the second phase, targeting population and collecting response.

3. Apart from above – the team met every alternate day and as and when necessary to discuss the developments and problems on a daily basis

Field Work

The major problem that was the unavailability of email addresses of labour unions and cooperatives from their respective lists. Hence we had to send the researcher to their respective areas following the list from the government.

- The area of Delhi was divided into 6 parts and each researcher was designated one part.¹⁹

- The Labour Unions and Cooperative societies were classified according to their area of location.

- Each researcher was supposed to target and collect responses based on the statistical proportion mentioned in regard to the targeted population..

¹⁹ The primary reason behind dividing Delhi into 6 parts was to assign one part to each member from the research team. This ensured a better utilization of time and resources.

However, for the Business Organizations, whose list could be procured from FICCI which contained details like email addresses and phone numbers, we resorted to the old method of telephoning and sending the questionnaire via emails.

Meeting with prominent Organizations

The purpose was to facilitate communication so that the collection of responses could become easier.

Meeting with FICCI- Mr Sajjan Kumar had a round of meetings with FICCI

Meeting with All India Student's Federation – We had a meeting with Mr. Amneeq Jamei who is a senior member of this organization. This organization works in collaboration with several trade Unions in Delhi.

Meeting with Mr. Chandrashekhar Pran – He is the Director of Nehru Youth Organization and helped us getting across to Cooperative societies.

Meeting with Mr. Rajeev Narayan- He is an experienced senior worker in the third sector and facilitated our response collection.

Meeting with Mr. Vinod Kumar Tripathi- He heads the Forum for Positive Action (an apex organization) and helped us reach out to many trade unions.

Mr. Bipul Kumar and Mr. Sajjan also held respective meetings with prominent organizations.

Table 3: Number of responses obtained per week

First Week 13.07.2012-20.07.2012 : 18
Second week 20.07.2012-27.07.2012: 25
Third Week 27.07.2012-03.08.2012: 34
Fourth Week 03.08.2012-10.08.2012: 17
Fifth Week 10.08.2012-17.08.2012: 48
Sixth Week 17.08.2012-24.08.2012: 69
Seventh Week 24.08.2012-29.08.2012: 40

Data Inputting:

Data inputting for the second phase was done by CNRI research associates in New Delhi and the hard copies of the questionnaires were sent by post to Tsukuba.

IN-JIGS; Phase Three

Title : Cross National Survey on Civil Society Organizations, Interest Groups, Cooperative Societies and Trade Unions in India (IN-JIGS)
Sample Area : Varanasi, India
Target (Total) Population: 16040 Registered Civil Society Organizations, 103 Trade Unions and 1000 Cooperatives in Varanasi
Sampling Frame : 3315 CSOs
Number of Respondents : 253 CSOs
Turn-out Percentage: 7.6%
Sampling Method : Stratified Random Sampling/ Simple Random Sampling
Interview Method : Filling a Structured Questionnaire
Period of Data Collection : 14.01.2014-24.02.2014
Project Leader : Professor Yutaka Tsujinaka
Survey Conducted By : Swasti Rao
Sponsored By : University of Tsukuba, Japan

Objectives of the survey

The objective of the survey is to understand the basic trend and characteristics of the registered Civil Society Organizations particularly, registered Non-Governmental Organizations, Cooperative Societies and Trade Unions in the city of Varanasi which is an important city of the most populous prefecture of Uttar Pradesh in north India; and their relationship to society, state and politics. It is also a part of a larger academic project of the University of Tsukuba, " *Cross-national Survey on Civil Society and Interest Groups*" under which similar surveys have been conducted in thirteen other countries including Japan, Korea, U.S.A., Germany, China, Turkey, Russia, Brazil, Philippines, Bangladesh, Estonia etc. This survey in India has attempted to synchronize the main theme of the project with explicit focus on Indian context.

Constructing the Appropriate Questionnaire for IN-JIGS

The survey was based on filling a closed structured questionnaire that was modeled along the lines of a survey conducted in Bangladesh (BD-JIGS), which in turn was modeled on surveys for civil society organizations in Japan (J-JIGS). A round of meetings ensured that a fitting questionnaire was constructed for the Indian context.

Each question was delved upon on by one and its applicability to the case of India was assessed.

The **major 6 changes** that were brought were as follows

1. In the opening page of the questionnaire, the new collaborator for this phase, NIPUN²⁰, was mentioned instead of the old collaborator.
2. The questionnaire was translated into Hindi as it was unrealistic to expect organisations based in the Hindi-heartland belt²¹ of India to be proficient in English (unlike in the case of Delhi)
3. Changing Islamic Civil Society organizations to Hindu organizations (Q1.1 and whenever references were made to Hindu religious organizations like in Q 3.3 , Q 3.4)
4. A separate question was added as Q1.8 that asked about the composition of the employees the organization had in terms of women, socially and backward castes and from minorities.²²
5. The names of the political parties were changed according to the situation in India in Q2.5
6. A new question about the role of civil society and important political and social events in the last fifteen years that have greatly changed the Indian socio-political debate and reality was inserted. This became Q 4.1

Target population/ sampling frame

Non-profit organizations in Varanasi can be registered/ incorporated under any one or more of the following statutes.

(i) The Societies Registration Act, 1860,

(ii) The Indian Trust Act, 1882,

²⁰ New Initiative for Political Understanding and Nurturing, A Delhi based NGO with its branch in Varanasi.

²¹ It is the Hindi speaking belt of north India with a very high population density

²² This was done because the social composition in Indian society is greatly affected by the affirmative action policies since the time it gained independence in 1947 and this break up would give an insight into the working of the affirmative action and the real ground situation of the social structure.

(iii) Section 25 of the Indian Companies Act, 1956,

(iv) The Trade Union Act, 1926.

The important offices from where their data base can be collected are listed as follows:

1. Office of the Chit Fund Registrar (based in Varanasi).
2. Office of the Deputy Registrar for Cooperative societies (Based in Varanasi)
3. Office of Additional Commissioner Labour (based in Varansi)

In the third phase, the main task was to make a sample frame where all the three main types of civil society organizations may be covered well enough. Unlike in the first two phases, where two separate surveys were carried out, in the case of Varanasi, all the three types were covered under the same survey.

The method of gaining the exact number of civil society organizations is elaborated as follows:

1. NGOs- The NGOs registered in the Varansi region are registered with the Office of the Chit Fund Registrar (based in Varanasi). This office has the details of the total number of registered NGOs in the Varanasi *Mandal*(Zone) that comprises not only of Varanasi but also of neighboring districts such as Chandauli, Mirzapur and Gazipur. However, the total number of registered NGOs in the district of Varanasi was procured from this office. This was made possible from the direct orders of the head of this office. According to the official figures gained from the official concerned, the total number of registered NGOs in Varanasi Zone is 68,067. Out of this, 16040 organizations are registered in the district of Varanasi. Given below is the break up of the status of the number of organizations registered in Varanasi:²³
 - Total number of NGOs- 68067
 - Total number for Varanasi-16040
 - Total number of active organizations-**9000**
 - Total number of inactive organizations- 7040
 - Out of the total number of organizations registered in Varanasi (16040), given below is the breakup of the category they are registered under.
 - Total number of organizations related with education- 69%
 - Total number of organizations related with social welfare (social organizations)- 12%

²³ All these figures are updated on December 2013.

- Total number of organizations related with religion- 9%
- Total number of organizations related with environment- 5%
- Total number of organizations related with culture - 3%
- Others- 2%

The total number of active registered non-governmental organizations in Varanasi - 9000

2. Cooperative Societies- Section 4 of the Indian Co-operative Societies Act, 1912 defines a cooperative "as a society which has its objective the promotion of economic interest of its members in accordance with co-operative principles". This detail was procured from the Office of the Deputy Registrar for Cooperative societies (Based in Varanasi). There are different types of cooperative societies in Varanasi. The main types are handloom, agriculture, milk and housing. The number of each category was obtained from the respective office and the concerned officer.²⁴

- Agricultural Cooperatives- The number of agricultural cooperatives was found to be **448**.
- Handloom Cooperatives-The total number of Handloom societies was procured from the office of the Director of Handloom Societies based in Varanasi and the number was **350** for the district of Varanasi.²⁵
- Housing Cooperatives- The total number of Housing Cooperatives was procured from the registrar of Housing cooperative societies based in Varanasi Zone which was found to be **46** for the Varanasi district .
- Milk Cooperatives- The total number of milk cooperatives was procured from the office of the Assistant Director Milk Cooperatives in the same fashion and the total number for the district of Varanasi was found to be **55**.

The total number of Cooperatives in Varanasi is **899**.

3. Labour Unions- The Indian Trade Unions Bill, 1925 was introduced in the Central Legislative Assembly to provide for the registration of Trade Unions and

²⁴ The numbers procured for all kinds of cooperatives indicate the number of active cooperatives.

²⁵ For most of the information, the total number was a difficulty to obtain as most of the official figures cover the entire Varanasi region which comprises not only of Varanasi but also adjoining three other districts. It was an added task to separate out the number of each of those organizations/ cooperatives for the city of Varanasi alone. However, with the help of all the concerned officers, it was made possible.

in certain respects to define the law relating to registered Trade Unions in Provinces of India. The Indian Trade Unions Bill, 1925 was passed by the Legislature received its assent on 25th March, 1926. It came into force on 1st June, 1927 as the Indian Trade Unions Act, 1926 (16 of 1926). By section 3 of the Indian Trade Unions (Amendment) Act, 1964 (38 of 1964) the word "Indian" has been omitted and now it is known as THE TRADE UNIONS ACT, 1926 (16 of 1926).²⁶

The number of registered labour unions was procured from the Office of Additional Commissioner Labour. The total number of Labour Unions was procured from this office and the number was found to be 103 for the Varanasi Zone. Out of this, only 85 were registered in the city of Varanasi and out of these 85 only about 40-45 were functional according to the information obtained from the Additional Commissioner. Hence, the total number of active labour unions in Varanasi was **45**

Total target population- Adding the above three categories of 9000 NGOs, 899 Cooperatives and 45 labour Unions; the total target population comes out to be 9944.

Table 1 shows the respective details. From each category, one third population was targeted for the purpose of the survey.

²⁶http://www.delhi.gov.in/wps/wcm/connect/doiit_labour/Labour/Home/Acts+Implemented/Details+of+the+Acts+Implemented/The+Trade+Unions+Act,+1926/

Table 1: Target Population/Sampling frame

Non-Governmental Organizations
Total population: 9000
Targeted Population- 3000
Cooperatives
Total Population: 899
Targeted Populations:300
Labour Unions
Total Populations: 45
Targeted Population :15
Total size of targeted population- 3315

Table 2: Collective representation of Data

Sample Population- 9944
Total targeted population: 3315
Response collected: 253

Survey Method:

Duration

14 January – 24 February 2014.

Research Team

For the third phase, the Research team comprised thus

- Research Conductor and overall In charge - Swasti Rao (Tsukuba University)
 - Advisor and research facilitator (honorary)- Prof Shubha Rao. Professor, Department of Political Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi
 - Advisor and research facilitator (honorary) - Prof. Manjeet Chaturvedi, Professor , Department of Sociology, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi
 - Advisor and research facilitator (honorary)- Prof. Sadanand Shahi, Professor, Department of Hindi, Banaras Hindu University and Director, Lokayat Foundation, Varanasi.
1. Research Associate- Dr. Kamal Kumar(on salary) (PhD, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi)
 2. Research associate- Mr. Ravi Kumar Tripathi (on salary) (Junior Research Fellowship recipient in Hindi, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi)
 3. Research Assistants- Mr. Keshwar Kumar Maurya (on salary) (Junior Research Fellowship recipient in Political Science , Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi)
 4. Research Assistant- Mr.Niranjan Kumar Yadav (on salary) (Junior Research Fellowship recipient, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi)
 5. Research Assistant- Ms. Kanishka Tripathi (on salary) (Masters in Social Work , Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi)
 6. Research Assistant- Ms. Sonam (on salary) Computer expert, Varanasi
 7. Research Assistant- Mr. Dileep Kumar Singh (on salary) Technical expert for data entry, Varanasi)
- A. Four rounds of Orientation Lectures were delivered by Swasti Rao.
1. Introductory- part 1 (10.01.2013)- As it was the first time the JIGS survey was happening in Varansi, the introductory orientation was a must. All the members were new to our project. The first orientation lecture was about introducing them to the survey and introducing the outcome and experience of the first two phases conducted in Delhi. This orientation lecture was attended by all the members of the research team.
 2. Introductory – Part 2 (14.01.2013) this lecture focused on specific requirements of the third phase, targeting population and collecting response. Emphasis was

placed on the methodology and maintaining records of number of organizations targeted on a day to day basis.

3. Advanced Part 1 (20.01.2013)- This set of lectures was more as review of the work done and also emphasizing on problem tackling.
4. Advanced Part 2 (05.02.2013)- This was the same as above because the main problem encountered was the indifferent behavior of the targeted population. Basically this was more emphasizing on the problem solving methods and re-contacting the organizations.

B. Unofficial meetings every alternate day- Apart from above lectures, the team met every alternate day and as and when necessary to discuss the developments and problems on a daily basis . Mr. Dileep was given the task of calling each researcher every day in the night and updating their work for the day.

Field Work

As we had found out in the preliminary research about the state of NGOs in Varanasi, it was not practical to expect them to have their addresses and email ids updated. The major problem that was the unavailability of email addresses of NGOs, labour unions and cooperatives from their respective lists. Hence it was decided that one third numbers would be randomly selected from the lists and then researchers would be sent to their addresses directly without wasting time in the procurement of telephone numbers etc.

-Because Varanasi is very small compared to Delhi, the researchers found it easier to collect responses by physically contacting the organizations.

- Each researcher was assigned to target 470 organizations from the combined list (NGOs+Cooperatives+Labour unions) –

- Each researcher was told to go to them and give away hard copies of questionnaires and then follow up on their portions.

- The response earlier was very luke warm but slowly picked up on repeated follow up.

Meeting with Prominent Organizations

The purpose was to facilitate communication so that the collection of responses could become easier. The honorary Advisors were instrumental in making these meetings possible

Meeting with Chit Fund Registrar, Mr Subhash Singh- Prof. Shubha Rao and Mr Keshwar Kumar Maurya had a round of meetings with the office of the Chit Fund Registrar

Meeting with Deputy Director, Handloom Societies – We had a meeting with Mr. K P Varma who is a senior official there. This office made us reach out to several handloom cooperatives in Varanasi.

Meeting with Additional Commissioner of Labour—We had a meeting with Mr. P. K Singh who holds the office right now and this meeting helped the research team reach out to the active labour unions in Varanasi. .

Meeting Prof. Sadanand Shahi—He is a Professor and heads a famous non-profit organization in Varanasi. He not only helped with providing human resource but also facilitated meetings with several key persons active in the non-profit sector in Varanasi. He eventually became our honorary advisor.

Meeting with Registrar of Housing Cooperatives—Mr. D. K Shukla helped us get more information and addresses of the active housing cooperatives

Meeting with Mr. Shri Hari Pratap Shahi—He is a senior official in the government and helped us connect to the Milk cooperatives office which enabled us to get an exact number of active milk cooperatives in Varansi.

Table 3: Number of responses obtained per week

First Week 14.01.2013-21.01.2013 : 33
Second week 22.01.2013-28.01.2013: 26
Third Week 29.01.2013-04.02.2013: 30
Fourth Week 05.02.2013-12.02.2013: 21
Fifth Week 13.02.2013-19.02.2013: 65
Sixth Week 20.02.2013-24.02.2013: 78

Data Inputting:

Data inputting for the second phase was done by Mr Dileep Kumar Singh who was our research assistant and also the technical expert. This was done in Varanasi and the hard copies were sent to Japan by post. The data entry was mailed to Tsukuba in an excel sheet. .

Differences in the survey conducted in Japan (2006-2007) and India

Japan	India	Differences
Q1	----	n/a
Q2	Q1.5	----
Q3	Q1.11	----
Q4	Q1.6	Japan: Two more options are added. - The numbers ten years before - The total number of members who belong to the member organizations
Q5	Q1.8	India: Three more options are added. - The numbers of women employees - The numbers of employees of socially backward castes - The numbers of employees from minorities
Q6	----	n/a
Q7	Q1.1	See Table 1.
Q8	Q1.2	See Table 2.
Q9	Q1.3	See Table 3.
Q10	Q1.4	----
Q11	Q1.10.A	See Table 4.
Q12	Q1.10.B	----
Q13	----	n/a
Q14	Q1.7	----
Q15	Q3.1	See Table 5.
Q16	Q2.8	See Table 6.
Q17	Q2.1	See Table 7. Japan: Asks about relationships with the central and local governments separately.
Q18	Q2.2	----
Q19	Q2.3	See Table 8. Japan: Asks about contacts to the central and local governments separately.
Q20	Q2.5	----
Q21	Q2.4	----
Q22	----	n/a
Q23	----	n/a
Q24	----	n/a
Q25	----	n/a
Q26	Q3.3	See Table 9. Japan: Influences to interesting policies are also asked.
Q27	Q3.4	See Table 9.
Q28	Q3.2	----
Q29	----	n/a
Q30	----	n/a
Q31	----	n/a
Q32	Q2.6	See Table 10.
Q33	----	n/a
Q34	Q2.7	See Table 11.
Q35	Q2.9A	Japan: Asks about success in the central and local

	Q2.9B	government separately.
Q36	----	n/a
Q37	----	n/a
Q38	----	n/a
Q39	----	n/a
Q40	----	n/a
Q41	Q1.9	Japan: Asks a detail of the budget.
Q42	----	n/a
Q43	----	n/a
Q44	Q1.12	Japan: Asks respondent's ideology.
Q45	Q2.11	----
Q46		
----	Q2.10	n/a
----	Q4.1	n/a
----	Q4.2	n/a
----	Q5.1A	n/a
----	Q5.1B	n/a
----	Q5.2	n/a
----	Q5.3	n/a
----	Q5.4	n/a
----	Q5.5	n/a
----	Q5.6	n/a
----	Q5.7	n/a

Table 1: Types of Organizations (Q1.1)

Japan	India
1. 農林水産業団体	1. Agriculture and farmer related organization
2. 経済・業界団体	2. Economic or business organization
3. 労働団体	3. Labor union or federation
4. 教育団体 (Japan: Research related organization is not included)	4. Education and research related organization
10. 学術・文化団体 (Japan: academic organization is also included)	5. Cultural organization
5. 行政関係団体	6. Government or administration-related organization
6. 福祉団体	7. Social Welfare organization
7. 専門家団体	8. Professional organization (medical, legal, education, engineers etc.)
9. 市民団体 (Japan: It is uncertain as to whether or not NGO is included)	9. Citizen's group
12. 宗教団体	10. NGO (including foreign or international organization)
	11. Hindu Religious organization
	12. Religious organization other than Hindu organization
11. 趣味・スポーツ団体	13. Recreational or sports related social organization

Table 2: Policy Interests (Q1.2)

Japan	India
1. 財政政策	1. Financial policies (management of govt. money)
2. 金融政策	2. Fiscal policies (government tax, debt...)
3. 通商政策	3. Trade and international commerce policies
4. 業界の産業振興政策	4. Industrial promotional policies
5. 土木・建設・公共事業政策	5. Civic engineering, construction and public works policies
6. 運輸・交通政策	6. Transportation and traffic policies
7. 通信・情報政策	7. Communication and information policies
8. 科学技術政策	8. Scientific technology and research policies
9. 地域開発政策	9. Local development policies/Rural Development
10. 外交政策	10. Foreign policies
22. 国際交流・協力・援助政策	11. International exchange, cooperation and aid policies including foreign debt problem
11. 平和・安全保障政策	12. National defense and security policies.
12. 治安政策	13. Criminal Judicial Administration policies (Law and order) Justice and human rights
13. 司法・人権政策	
16. 地方行政政策	14. Local government and administrative policies
17. 労働政策	15. Labor polices
18. 農業・林業・水産政策	17. Agriculture
19. 消費者政策	18. Consumer protection policies
20. 環境政策 (Japan: Energy and resources policies are not included)	19. Environment, energy and natural resources policies
14. 教育政策	21. Education, academic, sports and recreational policies
23. 文教・学術・スポーツ政策	
----	23. Culture and religion
21. 厚生・福祉・医療政策	16. Social welfare
	20. Healthcare policies
	22. Poverty Alleviation
15. 女性政策	----
24. 団体支援政策	----

Table 3: Main Purpose (Q1.3)

Japan	India
1. 会員に情報を提供する	1. Providing information to members
2. 会員のために経済的利益を追求する	2. Pursuing economic well-being for members
3. 会員の生活や権利を防衛する	3. Protecting the standard of living and rights of the members/clients
4. 会員に教育・訓練・研修を行う	4. Providing education and training opportunities for members/clients
5. 会員に国や自治体からの補助金や奨励金を斡旋する	5. Advocating on behalf of the members in order to gain subsidies from local and national governments
6. 会員に許認可や契約などの行政上の便宜をはかる	6. Assisting members in licensing and accreditation procedures
9. 専門知識に基づく政策案を行政や会員以外の組織・団体・個人に提言する	7. Providing policy recommendations based on technical knowledge for public policy making
8. 情報を収集し、会員以外の組織・団体・個人に提供する	8. Providing education and information for the good of the general public
10. 公共利益を実現するために啓蒙活動を行う (Japan: “啓蒙活動” is not education but enlightenment.)	
12. 一般向けに有償でサービスを提供する	9. Providing service to the general public (Japan: Separates paid and unpaid services.)
13. 一般向けに無償でサービスを提供する	
11. 他の団体や個人に資金を助成する	10. Providing funds to other organizations

Table 4: Geographic Area of Activity (Q1.10A)

Japan	India
1. 市町村レベル	1. Union/Ward
2. 都道府県レベル	2. Subdistrict/Municipality
3. 複数県にまたがる広域圏レベル	3. District
4. 日本全国レベル	4. National
5. 世界レベル	5. Global

Table 5: Information Source (Q3.1)

Japan	India
1. 中央省庁	1. National government
3. 自治体	2. Local government
2. 政党	3. Political parties
----	4. Parliament members
5. 学者・専門家	5. Scholars or professionals, think tank
7. マスメディア関係者	6. The mass media
8. 専門紙・業界紙関係者 (Japan: This is not papers themselves but the publishers.)	7. Technical or trade papers
----	8. Foreign government
----	9. Foreign NGOs, international organizations etc.
9. 系列団体	10. Other domestic organization with similar interests
10. 協力団体	
11. あなたの団体の会員	11. Members of your organization
6. 企業	12. Business companies
4. 地方議員	----
12. NPO	----

Table 6: Personal Relationship (Q2.8)

Japan	India
1. 国会議員	1. An elected parliament member
----	2. A leader of the ruling party
----	3. A leader of the opposition parties
4. 首長など自治体幹部	4. A Mayor or Word Commissioner
6. 新聞記者	5. A journalist (Japan: Newspaper and TV are separated.)
7. テレビ放送記者	
2. 中央省庁の課長以上	6. A chief or a section chief from a department of a government/local administration (minister, D.C, S.P, UNO etc.) (Japan: Separates central and local governments.)
5. 自治体の課長以上	
----	7. A chief or a staff of an international organization or international NGO
----	8. A judge or a magistrate of the national or local court
----	9. Executive-level contact with Corporate groups
3. 地方議員	----

Table 7: Relationship with the Central or Local Government (Q2.1)

Japan	India
A. 許認可を受ける関係にある	1. Accredited or approved by the government
B. 何らかの法的規制を受ける関係にある (Japan: “法的規制” is not licence but legal regulation. “Licence” might be included in “許認可”.)	2. Licensed by the government
C. 何らかの行政指導を受ける関係にある。	3. Administrative guidance provided by the government
D. 政策決定や予算活動に対して協力や支持をしている。	4. Cooperating with and supporting policies and budget activities of the government
F. 政策執行に対して協力や援助をしている。	
E. 団体や業界などの事情についての意見交換をしている。	5. Exchanging opinions with the government
G. 審議会や諮問機関に委員を派遣している。	6. Sending representative to council and /or advisory bodies of the national government
I. 行政機関の退職者にポストを提供している。	7. Offering positions to government officials after retirement
----	8. Receiving government funds or grants
----	9. Receiving foreign fund through the government
----	10. Having joint projects or programs with the government

Table 8: Frequencies of Contacts (Q2.3)

Japan	India
【National】 A. 地元の国会議員	1. Parliament members from your election district
【National】 B. 地元以外の国会議員	2. Parliament members from other election districts
【Local】 A. 国会議員	3. City, town presidents, village chiefs or other leaders of local government
【National】 C. 首長など自治体幹部	4. Public servants of local administration on managerial positions
【National】 D. 地方議員	5. Local council members
【Local】 B. 地方議員	
【Local】 C. 地域の有力者	----

Table 9: Influences and Relationship (Q3.3 & Q3.4)

Japan	India
C. 労働団体	1. Trade (labor) unions and federations
A. 農林漁業団体	2. Agricultural organizations
B. 経済・業界団体	3. Economic, business, and employers organizations
官僚 (additional survey)	4. National bureaucrats
O. 与党	5. Political parties (Japan: Separates the ruling party and opposition parties.)
P. 野党	
V. マスメディア	6. The mass media
U. 大企業	7. Large business /corporations
J. 学術・文化団体 (Japan: Includes not scholars themselves but academic organizations. Besides, This also includes cultural organizations.)	8. Scholars and academicians
----	9. Consumer organizations
F. 福祉団体	10. Welfare organizations
G. 専門家団体	11. Professional organizations (doctor, engineer, lawyer etc.)
----	12. Women movement organizations
Q. 都道府県	13. Local governments (Japan: Separates prefectures and cities.)
R. 市町村	
X. 外国政府	14. Foreign government and International organizations (Japan: Separates foreign governments and international organizations)
Y. 国際機関	
L. 宗教団体	15. Hindu Religious organizations
	16. Religious organizations other than Hindu organizations
D. 教育団体	----
E. 行政関係団体	----
H. 政治団体	----
I. 市民団体	----
K. 趣味・スポーツ団体	----
M. 町内会・自治会	----
N. 首相官邸	----
S. 裁判所	----
T. 警察	----
W. 暴力団	----
Z. 外国の団体	----

Table 10: Actions during National/Local Election (Q2.6)

Japan	India
A. 会員への投票の呼びかけ	1. Played a role for nomination and election for a particular candidate (Japan: Subcategorizes the role)
B. 一般の人への投票の呼びかけ	
C. 資金の援助	
D. 選挙運動への人員の援助	
F. 選挙区での候補者選出に関与	
E. 会員を候補者として推薦	2. Recommended a member/client of your organization as a party/election candidate
----	3. Launched campaign for free and fair election
----	4. Participated as independent observers at different polling stations during the election day

Table 11: How to appeal to political parties or government administrations (Q2.7)

Japan	India
A. 与党との接触（電話、会見など）	(1) Contacting the parties in the cabinet (by personal interviews, phone calls etc.)
B. 野党との接触（電話、会見など）	(2) Contacting the opposition parties (by personal interviews, phone calls etc.)
C. 中央省庁との接触（電話、会見など）	(3) Contacting governmental department and agencies (by personal interviews, phone call etc.)
D. 自治体との接触（電話、会見など）	(4) Contacting the local government personnel (by personal interviews, phone call etc.)
F. 政党や行政の法案作成の支援	(5) Helping to draft legislative bills for political parties or governmental organization
G. 技術的、専門的情報や知識の提供	(6) Presenting research results or technical information to political parties and/or government ministries
----	(7) Sending representatives to local or national councils and /or advisory bodies
I. 電話、手紙、電子メールなどで働きかけるよう会員に要請	(8) Asking general organizational members to write letters or make phone calls to political parties or government administration
L. 直接的行動（デモ、ストライキなど）	(9) Engaging in mass protests or demonstrations
----	(10) Organizing seminars, round table meeting, rally etc.
N. 記者会見による立場表明	(11) Holding press conferences in order to publicize ideas and let know organizations position on different issues and incidents
P. 他団体との連合の形成	(12) Forming coalition with other organizations or umbrella organizations
----	(13) Contacting corporate house executives (by personal interviews, phone calls etc.)
----	(14) Contacting United Nations organizations and agencies (by personal interviews, phone calls etc.)
E. 政党や行政に発言力を持つ人との接触	----
H. パブリックコメント	----
J. 請願のための署名	----
K. 集会への参加	----
M. マスメディアへの情報提供	----
O. 意見広告の掲載	----

◆ *Frequency*

Section I : Basic information about the civil society organization

Q1.1 Which of the 14 classifications listed below best describes your organization? [Q11]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
agriculture and farmer related organization	8	2	10	agriculture and farmer related organization	1.1	0.8	1.0
Economic or business	61	2	63	Economic or business	8.3	0.8	6.4
Labor Union or federation	95	3	98	Labor Union or federation	12.9	1.2	9.9
Education and research related organization	74	38	112	Education and research related organization	10.0	15.0	11.3
Cultural organization	17	3	20	Cultural organization	2.3	1.2	2.0
Government or administration related organization	13	0	13	Government or administration related organization	1.8	0.0	1.3
Social welfare organization	210	63	273	Social welfare organization	28.5	24.9	27.6
Professional organization (medical, legal, education, Citizen's group	20	5	25	Professional organization (medical, legal, education, Citizen's group	2.7	2.0	2.5
NGO (including foreign or international organization)	20	1	21	NGO (including foreign or international organization)	2.7	0.4	2.1
Hindu religious organization	19	12	31	Hindu religious organization	2.6	4.7	3.1
Religious organization other than hindu organization	2	0	2	Religious organization other than hindu organization	0.3	0.0	0.2
Recreational or sports related organization	8	0	8	Recreational or sports related organization	1.1	0.0	0.8
Others	4	0	4	Others	0.5	0.0	0.4
	76	25	101		10.3	9.9	10.2
EN	627	154	781	EN	85.1	60.9	78.9
N-EN	110	99	209	N-EN	14.9	39.1	21.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Many CSOs answered multiple although Q1.1 is a single answer question. It implies that CSOs in India take various roles or positions. We show the frequencies below on the supposition that Q1.1 were a multiple answer question.

Q1.1 1.Agriculture and farmer related organization [Q11_1]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
No	685	241	926	No	92.9	95.3	93.5
Yes	47	12	59	Yes	6.4	4.7	6.0
EN	732	253	985	EN	99.3	100.0	99.5
N-EN	5	0	5	N-EN	0.7	0.0	0.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.1 2.Economic or business organization [Q11_2]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	631	232	863	no	85.6	91.7	87.2
yes	101	21	122	yes	13.7	8.3	12.3
EN	732	253	985	EN	99.3	100.0	99.5
N-EN	5	0	5	N-EN	0.7	0.0	0.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.1 3.Labour Union or Federation [Q11_3]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	627	248	875	no	85.1	98.0	88.4
yes	105	5	110	yes	14.2	2.0	11.1
EN	732	253	985	EN	99.3	100.0	99.5
N-EN	5	0	5	N-EN	0.7	0.0	0.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.1 4.Education and research related organization [Q11_4]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	607	164	771	no	82.4	64.8	77.9
yes	125	89	214	yes	17.0	35.2	21.6
EN	732	253	985	EN	99.3	100.0	99.5
N-EN	5	0	5	N-EN	0.7	0.0	0.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.1 5.Cultural Organization [Q11_5]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	692	225	917	no	93.9	88.9	92.6
yes	40	28	68	yes	5.4	11.1	6.9
EN	732	253	985	EN	99.3	100.0	99.5
N-EN	5	0	5	N-EN	0.7	0.0	0.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.1 6.Government or administration related organization [Q11_6]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	714	250	964	no	96.9	98.8	97.4
yes	18	3	21	yes	2.4	1.2	2.1
EN	732	253	985	EN	99.3	100.0	99.5
N-EN	5	0	5	N-EN	0.7	0.0	0.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.1 7.Social Welfare Organization [Q11_7]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	453	125	578	no	61.5	49.4	58.4
yes	279	128	407	yes	37.9	50.6	41.1
EN	732	253	985	EN	99.3	100.0	99.5
N-EN	5	0	5	N-EN	0.7	0.0	0.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.1 8.Professional Organization (medical, legal, education, engineers etc.) [Q11_8]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	692	232	924	no	93.9	91.7	93.3
yes	40	21	61	yes	5.4	8.3	6.2
EN	732	253	985	EN	99.3	100.0	99.5
N-EN	5	0	5	N-EN	0.7	0.0	0.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.1 9.Citizen's Group [Q11_9]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	705	243	948	no	95.7	96.0	95.8
yes	27	10	37	yes	3.7	4.0	3.7
EN	732	253	985	EN	99.3	100.0	99.5
N-EN	5	0	5	N-EN	0.7	0.0	0.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.1 10.NGO (including foreign or International Organization) [Q11_10]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	680	230	910	no	92.3	90.9	91.9
yes	52	23	75	yes	7.1	9.1	7.6
EN	732	253	985	EN	99.3	100.0	99.5
N-EN	5	0	5	N-EN	0.7	0.0	0.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.1 11.Hindu religious organization [Q11_11]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	727	244	971	no	98.6	96.4	98.1
yes	5	9	14	yes	0.7	3.6	1.4
EN	732	253	985	EN	99.3	100.0	99.5
N-EN	5	0	5	N-EN	0.7	0.0	0.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.1 12. Religious organization other than Hindu Organization [Q11_12]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	721	243	964	no	97.8	96.0	97.4
yes	11	10	21	yes	1.5	4.0	2.1
EN	732	253	985	EN	99.3	100.0	99.5
N-EN	5	0	5	N-EN	0.7	0.0	0.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.1 13. Recreational or Sports related organization [Q11_13]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	718	238	956	no	97.4	94.1	96.6
yes	14	15	29	yes	1.9	5.9	2.9
EN	732	253	985	EN	99.3	100.0	99.5
N-EN	5	0	5	N-EN	0.7	0.0	0.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.1 14. Others [Q11_14]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	646	213	859	no	87.7	84.2	86.8
yes	86	40	126	yes	11.7	15.8	12.7
EN	732	253	985	EN	99.3	100.0	99.5
N-EN	5	0	5	N-EN	0.7	0.0	0.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 Indicate below which national and local policies are of interest or relevant to your organization's activities? Choose all that apply.

Q1.2 1.Financial Policies [Q12_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	546	217	763	no	74.1	85.8	77.1
yes	190	36	226	yes	25.8	14.2	22.8
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 2.Fiscal Policies [Q12_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	645	228	873	no	87.5	90.1	88.2
yes	91	25	116	yes	12.3	9.9	11.7
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 3.Trade and international commerce policies [Q12_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	678	244	922	no	92.0	96.4	93.1
yes	58	9	67	yes	7.9	3.6	6.8
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 4.Industrial promotional policies [Q12_04]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	687	208	895	no	93.2	82.2	90.4
yes	49	45	94	yes	6.6	17.8	9.5
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 5.Civic engineering, construction and public works policies [Q12_05]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	704	244	948	no	95.5	96.4	95.8
yes	32	9	41	yes	4.3	3.6	4.1
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 6.Transportation and traffic policies [Q12_06]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	706	216	922	no	95.8	85.4	93.1
yes	30	37	67	yes	4.1	14.6	6.8
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 7.Communication and information policies [Q12_07]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	687	197	884	no	93.2	77.9	89.3
yes	49	56	105	yes	6.6	22.1	10.6
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 8. Scientific technology and research policies [Q12_08]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	689	207	896	no	93.5	81.8	90.5
yes	47	46	93	yes	6.4	18.2	9.4
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 9. Local development policies/Rural Development [Q12_09]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	606	152	758	no	82.2	60.1	76.6
yes	130	101	231	yes	17.6	39.9	23.3
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 10. Foreign Policies [Q12_10]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	719	249	968	no	97.6	98.4	97.8
yes	17	4	21	yes	2.3	1.6	2.1
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 11. International exchange, cooperation and aid policies [Q12_11]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	726	248	974	no	98.5	98.0	98.4
yes	10	5	15	yes	1.4	2.0	1.5
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 12. National defense and security policies [Q12_12]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	723	244	967	no	98.1	96.4	97.7
yes	13	9	22	yes	1.8	3.6	2.2
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 13. Law and order, Justice and Human Rights [Q12_13]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	713	202	915	no	96.7	79.8	92.4
yes	23	51	74	yes	3.1	20.2	7.5
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 14. Local government and administrative policies [Q12_14]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	672	189	861	no	91.2	74.7	87.0
yes	64	64	128	yes	8.7	25.3	12.9
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 15.Labor polices [Q12_15]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	595	179	774	no	80.7	70.8	78.2
yes	141	74	215	yes	19.1	29.2	21.7
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 16.Social welfare [Q12_16]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	320	117	437	no	43.4	46.2	44.1
yes	416	136	552	yes	56.4	53.8	55.8
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 17.Agriculture [Q12_17]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	645	229	874	no	87.5	90.5	88.3
yes	91	24	115	yes	12.3	9.5	11.6
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 18.Consumer protection policies [Q12_18]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	641	241	882	no	87.0	95.3	89.1
yes	95	12	107	yes	12.9	4.7	10.8
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 19.Environment, energy and natural resources policies [Q12_19]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	581	200	781	no	78.8	79.1	78.9
yes	155	46	201	yes	21.0	18.2	20.3
EN	736	246	982	EN	99.9	97.2	99.2
N-EN	1	7	8	N-EN	0.1	2.8	0.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 20.Healthcare policies [Q12_20]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	510	185	695	no	69.2	73.1	70.2
yes	226	68	294	yes	30.7	26.9	29.7
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 21.Education, academic, sports and recreational policies [Q12_21]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	413	126	539	no	56.0	49.8	54.4
yes	323	127	450	yes	43.8	50.2	45.5
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 22.Poverty Alleviation [Q12_22]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	454	167	621	no	61.6	66.0	62.7
yes	282	86	368	yes	38.3	34.0	37.2
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 23.Culture and religion [Q12_23]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	605	221	826	no	82.1	87.4	83.4
yes	131	32	163	yes	17.8	12.6	16.5
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.2 24.Others [Q12_24]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	703	243	946	no	95.4	96.0	95.6
yes	33	10	43	yes	4.5	4.0	4.3
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.3 What are the main purpose of your organization and the primary goal of your organization's activities?

Q1.3 1. Providing information to members [Q13_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	296	165	461	no	40.2	65.2	46.6
yes	323	88	411	yes	43.8	34.8	41.5
EN	619	253	872	EN	84.0	100.0	88.1
N-EN	118	0	118	N-EN	16.0	0.0	11.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.3 2. Pursuing economic profit for members [Q13_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	437	160	597	no	59.3	63.2	60.3
yes	182	93	275	yes	24.7	36.8	27.8
EN	619	253	872	EN	84.0	100.0	88.1
N-EN	118	0	118	N-EN	16.0	0.0	11.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.3 3. Protecting the standard of living and rights of the members/clients [Q13_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	427	169	596	no	57.9	66.8	60.2
yes	192	84	276	yes	26.1	33.2	27.9
EN	619	253	872	EN	84.0	100.0	88.1
N-EN	118	0	118	N-EN	16.0	0.0	11.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.3 4. Providing education and training opportunities for members/clients [Q13_04]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	484	164	648	no	65.7	64.8	65.5
yes	135	89	224	yes	18.3	35.2	22.6
EN	619	253	872	EN	84.0	100.0	88.1
N-EN	118	0	118	N-EN	16.0	0.0	11.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.3 5. Advocating on behalf of the members in order to gain subsidies [Q13_05]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	559	249	808	no	75.8	98.4	81.6
yes	60	4	64	yes	8.1	1.6	6.5
EN	619	253	872	EN	84.0	100.0	88.1
N-EN	118	0	118	N-EN	16.0	0.0	11.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.3 6. Assisting members in licensing and accreditation procedures [Q13_06]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	567	230	797	no	76.9	90.9	80.5
yes	52	23	75	yes	7.1	9.1	7.6
EN	619	253	872	EN	84.0	100.0	88.1
N-EN	118	0	118	N-EN	16.0	0.0	11.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.3 7. Providing policy recommendations for public policy making [Q13_07]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	583	244	827	no	79.1	96.4	83.5
yes	36	9	45	yes	4.9	3.6	4.5
EN	619	253	872	EN	84.0	100.0	88.1
N-EN	118	0	118	N-EN	16.0	0.0	11.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.3 8. Providing education and information for the good of the general public [Q13_08]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	471	109	580	no	63.9	43.1	58.6
yes	148	144	292	yes	20.1	56.9	29.5
EN	619	253	872	EN	84.0	100.0	88.1
N-EN	118	0	118	N-EN	16.0	0.0	11.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.3 9. Providing service to the general public [Q13_09]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	411	123	534	no	55.8	48.6	53.9
yes	208	130	338	yes	28.2	51.4	34.1
EN	619	253	872	EN	84.0	100.0	88.1
N-EN	118	0	118	N-EN	16.0	0.0	11.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.3 10. Providing funds to other organizations [Q13_10]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	600	252	852	no	81.4	99.6	86.1
yes	19	1	20	yes	2.6	0.4	2.0
EN	619	253	872	EN	84.0	100.0	88.1
N-EN	118	0	118	N-EN	16.0	0.0	11.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.3 11. Others [Q13_11]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	578	246	824	no	78.4	97.2	83.2
yes	41	7	48	yes	5.6	2.8	4.8
EN	619	253	872	EN	84.0	100.0	88.1
N-EN	118	0	118	N-EN	16.0	0.0	11.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.4.A Is your organization registered with any ministry or institution? [Q14A]

	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	198	164	362	no	26.9	64.8	36.6
yes	493	60	553	yes	66.9	23.7	55.9
EN	691	224	915	EN	93.8	88.5	92.4
N-EN	46	29	75	N-EN	6.2	11.5	7.6
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.5 When was the organization founded? [Q15]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
1814	0	1	1	1814	0.0	0.4	0.1
1882	1	0	1	1882	0.1	0.0	0.1
1903	0	1	1	1903	0.0	0.4	0.1
1904	0	1	1	1904	0.0	0.4	0.1
1906	1	0	1	1906	0.1	0.0	0.1
1912	1	0	1	1912	0.1	0.0	0.1
1920	1	0	1	1920	0.1	0.0	0.1
1924	0	1	1	1924	0.0	0.4	0.1
1929	1	0	1	1929	0.1	0.0	0.1
1934	1	0	1	1934	0.1	0.0	0.1
1936	1	0	1	1936	0.1	0.0	0.1
1937	0	1	1	1937	0.0	0.4	0.1
1939	2	0	2	1939	0.3	0.0	0.2
1942	1	0	1	1942	0.1	0.0	0.1
1946	2	0	2	1946	0.3	0.0	0.2
1947	2	0	2	1947	0.3	0.0	0.2
1948	1	0	1	1948	0.1	0.0	0.1
1950	2	0	2	1950	0.3	0.0	0.2
1951	2	0	2	1951	0.3	0.0	0.2
1953	3	0	3	1953	0.4	0.0	0.3
1954	1	0	1	1954	0.1	0.0	0.1
1955	2	0	2	1955	0.3	0.0	0.2
1956	2	0	2	1956	0.3	0.0	0.2
1957	2	0	2	1957	0.3	0.0	0.2
1959	4	0	4	1959	0.5	0.0	0.4
1960	5	1	6	1960	0.7	0.4	0.6
1961	2	0	2	1961	0.3	0.0	0.2
1962	3	0	3	1962	0.4	0.0	0.3
1963	0	1	1	1963	0.0	0.4	0.1
1964	3	1	4	1964	0.4	0.4	0.4
1965	5	0	5	1965	0.7	0.0	0.5
1967	1	0	1	1967	0.1	0.0	0.1
1968	5	0	5	1968	0.7	0.0	0.5
1969	2	0	2	1969	0.3	0.0	0.2
1970	14	2	16	1970	1.9	0.8	1.6
1971	2	2	4	1971	0.3	0.8	0.4
1972	5	2	7	1972	0.7	0.8	0.7
1973	5	1	6	1973	0.7	0.4	0.6
1974	7	0	7	1974	0.9	0.0	0.7
1975	2	0	2	1975	0.3	0.0	0.2
1976	3	2	5	1976	0.4	0.8	0.5
1977	6	0	6	1977	0.8	0.0	0.6
1978	7	3	10	1978	0.9	1.2	1.0
1979	6	1	7	1979	0.8	0.4	0.7
1980	15	4	19	1980	2.0	1.6	1.9
1981	2	1	3	1981	0.3	0.4	0.3
1982	3	1	4	1982	0.4	0.4	0.4
1983	6	2	8	1983	0.8	0.8	0.8
1984	3	1	4	1984	0.4	0.4	0.4
1985	5	1	6	1985	0.7	0.4	0.6
1986	4	0	4	1986	0.5	0.0	0.4
1987	8	4	12	1987	1.1	1.6	1.2
1988	11	3	14	1988	1.5	1.2	1.4
1989	14	2	16	1989	1.9	0.8	1.6
1990	6	6	12	1990	0.8	2.4	1.2
1991	4	8	12	1991	0.5	3.2	1.2
1992	6	5	11	1992	0.8	2.0	1.1
1993	3	2	5	1993	0.4	0.8	0.5
1994	3	3	6	1994	0.4	1.2	0.6
1995	8	1	9	1995	1.1	0.4	0.9
1996	8	4	12	1996	1.1	1.6	1.2
1997	7	4	11	1997	0.9	1.6	1.1

1998	13	10	23	1998	1.8	4.0	2.3
1999	9	17	26	1999	1.2	6.7	2.6
2000	9	16	25	2000	1.2	6.3	2.5
2001	14	7	21	2001	1.9	2.8	2.1
2002	15	8	23	2002	2.0	3.2	2.3
2003	14	6	20	2003	1.9	2.4	2.0
2004	18	5	23	2004	2.4	2.0	2.3
2005	29	8	37	2005	3.9	3.2	3.7
2006	30	7	37	2006	4.1	2.8	3.7
2007	36	4	40	2007	4.9	1.6	4.0
2008	33	9	42	2008	4.5	3.6	4.2
2009	40	25	65	2009	5.4	9.9	6.6
2010	38	14	52	2010	5.2	5.5	5.3
2011	51	15	66	2011	6.9	5.9	6.7
2012	0	11	11	2012	0.0	4.3	1.1
2013	0	7	7	2013	0.0	2.8	0.7
2014	0	1	1	2014	0.0	0.4	0.1
EN	581	243	824	EN	78.8	96.0	83.2
N-EN	156	10	166	N-EN	21.2	4.0	16.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.5 When was the organization founded? (Ten-year interval) [Q15]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
<= 1899	1	1	2	<= 1899	0.1	0.4	0.2
1900 - 1909	1	2	3	1900 - 1909	0.1	0.8	0.3
1910 - 1919	1	0	1	1910 - 1919	0.1	0.0	0.1
1920 - 1929	2	1	3	1920 - 1929	0.3	0.4	0.3
1930 - 1939	4	1	5	1930 - 1939	0.5	0.4	0.5
1940 - 1949	6	0	6	1940 - 1949	0.8	0.0	0.6
1950 - 1959	18	0	18	1950 - 1959	2.4	0.0	1.8
1960 - 1969	26	3	29	1960 - 1969	3.5	1.2	2.9
1970 - 1979	57	13	70	1970 - 1979	7.7	5.1	7.1
1980 - 1989	71	19	90	1980 - 1989	9.6	7.5	9.1
1990 - 1999	67	60	127	1990 - 1999	9.1	23.7	12.8
2000 - 2009	238	95	333	2000 - 2009	32.3	37.5	33.6
2010-	89	48	137	2010-	12.1	19.0	13.8
EN	581	243	824	EN	78.8	96.0	83.2
N-EN	156	10	166	N-EN	21.2	4.0	16.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.6 How many members does your organization have?

Q1.6.1 Number of Individual members (categorical) [Q16_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
1 - 49	305	158	463	1 - 49	41.4	62.5	46.8
50 - 99	42	17	59	50 - 99	5.7	6.7	6.0
100 - 499	142	18	160	100 - 499	19.3	7.1	16.2
500 - 999	26	5	31	500 - 999	3.5	2.0	3.1
1000 - 4999	32	3	35	1000 - 4999	4.3	1.2	3.5
5000 - 19999	23	0	23	5000 - 19999	3.1	0.0	2.3
20000 - 99999	4	0	4	20000 - 99999	0.5	0.0	0.4
100000-	2	0	2	100000-	0.3	0.0	0.2
EN	576	201	777	EN	78.2	79.4	78.5
N-EN	161	52	213	N-EN	21.8	20.6	21.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.6.2 Number of Member organizations (Categorical) [Q16_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
<= 0	3	0	3	<= 0	0.4	0.0	0.3
1 - 9	205	36	241	1 - 9	27.8	14.2	24.3
10 - 19	97	55	152	10 - 19	13.2	21.7	15.4
20 - 29	20	10	30	20 - 29	2.7	4.0	3.0
30 - 49	6	6	12	30 - 49	0.8	2.4	1.2
50 - 99	8	2	10	50 - 99	1.1	0.8	1.0
100 - 249	7	3	10	100 - 249	0.9	1.2	1.0
250 - 999	1	1	2	250 - 999	0.1	0.4	0.2
1000-	2	1	3	1000-	0.3	0.4	0.3
EN	349	114	463	EN	47.4	45.1	46.8
N-EN	388	139	527	N-EN	52.6	54.9	53.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.7.A Financial assistance from outside sources [Q17A]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	549	181	730	no	74.5	71.5	73.7
yes	172	33	205	yes	23.3	13.0	20.7
EN	721	214	935	EN	97.8	84.6	94.4
N-EN	16	39	55	N-EN	2.2	15.4	5.6
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.7.B Financial assistances accompanied by condition [Q17B]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
No	418	93	511	No	56.7	36.8	51.6
Yes	150	25	175	Yes	20.4	9.9	17.7
EN	568	118	686	EN	77.1	46.6	69.3
N-EN	169	135	304	N-EN	22.9	53.4	30.7
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.8 How many employees does your organization have?

Q1.8.1 Number of employees (full time) (Categorical) [Q18_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
<= 0	1	0	1	<= 0	0.1	0.0	0.1
1 - 1	23	1	24	1 - 1	3.1	0.4	2.4
2 - 2	54	2	56	2 - 2	7.3	0.8	5.7
3 - 4	72	19	91	3 - 4	9.8	7.5	9.2
5 - 9	103	30	133	5 - 9	14.0	11.9	13.4
10 - 29	114	35	149	10 - 29	15.5	13.8	15.1
30 - 49	18	13	31	30 - 49	2.4	5.1	3.1
50 - 99	24	5	29	50 - 99	3.3	2.0	2.9
100-	19	7	26	100-	2.6	2.8	2.6
EN	428	112	540	EN	58.1	44.3	54.5
N-EN	309	141	450	N-EN	41.9	55.7	45.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.8.2 Number of employees (part time) (Categorical) [Q18_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
<= 0	3	0	3	<= 0	0.4	0.0	0.3
1 - 1	9	1	10	1 - 1	1.2	0.4	1.0
2 - 2	30	11	41	2 - 2	4.1	4.3	4.1
3 - 4	64	7	71	3 - 4	8.7	2.8	7.2
5 - 9	106	15	121	5 - 9	14.4	5.9	12.2
10 - 29	56	15	71	10 - 29	7.6	5.9	7.2
30 - 49	12	2	14	30 - 49	1.6	0.8	1.4
50 - 99	16	1	17	50 - 99	2.2	0.4	1.7
100-	7	1	8	100-	0.9	0.4	0.8
EN	303	53	356	EN	41.1	20.9	36.0
N-EN	434	200	634	N-EN	58.9	79.1	64.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.8.3 Number of employees (volunteers) (Categorical) [Q18_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
<= 0	1	0	1	<= 0	0.1	0.0	0.1
1 - 1	1	3	4	1 - 1	0.1	1.2	0.4
2 - 2	9	4	13	2 - 2	1.2	1.6	1.3
3 - 4	17	4	21	3 - 4	2.3	1.6	2.1
5 - 9	70	10	80	5 - 9	9.5	4.0	8.1
10 - 29	143	27	170	10 - 29	19.4	10.7	17.2
30 - 49	23	1	24	30 - 49	3.1	0.4	2.4
50 - 99	30	9	39	50 - 99	4.1	3.6	3.9
100-	70	6	76	100-	9.5	2.4	7.7
EN	364	64	428	EN	49.4	25.3	43.2
N-EN	373	189	562	N-EN	50.6	74.7	56.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.8.1.1 Number of employees (full time; total) (Categorical) [Q18_11]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
<= 0	3	0	3	<= 0	0.4	0.0	0.3
1 - 1	66	24	90	1 - 1	9.0	9.5	9.1
2 - 2	61	15	76	2 - 2	8.3	5.9	7.7
3 - 4	46	24	70	3 - 4	6.2	9.5	7.1
5 - 9	49	18	67	5 - 9	6.6	7.1	6.8
10 - 29	35	16	51	10 - 29	4.7	6.3	5.2
30 - 49	6	4	10	30 - 49	0.8	1.6	1.0
50 - 99	5	1	6	50 - 99	0.7	0.4	0.6
100-	2	1	3	100-	0.3	0.4	0.3
EN	273	103	376	EN	37.0	40.7	38.0
N-EN	464	150	614	N-EN	63.0	59.3	62.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.8.1.2 Number of employees (full time; women) (Categorical) [Q18_12]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
<= 0	4	209	213	<= 0	0.5	82.6	21.5
1 - 1	54	7	61	1 - 1	7.3	2.8	6.2
2 - 2	68	12	80	2 - 2	9.2	4.7	8.1
3 - 4	36	12	48	3 - 4	4.9	4.7	4.8
5 - 9	24	7	31	5 - 9	3.3	2.8	3.1
10 - 29	21	6	27	10 - 29	2.8	2.4	2.7
30 - 49	6	0	6	30 - 49	0.8	0.0	0.6
50 - 99	2	0	2	50 - 99	0.3	0.0	0.2
100-	1	0	1	100-	0.1	0.0	0.1
EN	216	253	469	EN	29.3	100.0	47.4
N-EN	521	0	521	N-EN	70.7	0.0	52.6
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.8.1.3 Number of employees (full time; socially backward castes) (Categorical) [Q18_13]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
<= 0	3	0	3	<= 0	0.4	0.0	0.3
1 - 1	24	3	27	1 - 1	3.3	1.2	2.7
2 - 2	43	8	51	2 - 2	5.8	3.2	5.2
3 - 4	28	9	37	3 - 4	3.8	3.6	3.7
5 - 9	48	6	54	5 - 9	6.5	2.4	5.5
10 - 29	46	8	54	10 - 29	6.2	3.2	5.5
30 - 49	12	2	14	30 - 49	1.6	0.8	1.4
50 - 99	9	4	13	50 - 99	1.2	1.6	1.3
100-	19	2	21	100-	2.6	0.8	2.1
EN	232	42	274	EN	31.5	16.6	27.7
N-EN	505	211	716	N-EN	68.5	83.4	72.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.8.2.1 Number of employees (part time; total) (Categorical) [Q18_21]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
<= 0	3	0	3	<= 0	0.4	0.0	0.3
1 - 1	55	9	64	1 - 1	7.5	3.6	6.5
2 - 2	37	13	50	2 - 2	5.0	5.1	5.1
3 - 4	37	10	47	3 - 4	5.0	4.0	4.7
5 - 9	30	13	43	5 - 9	4.1	5.1	4.3
10 - 29	16	2	18	10 - 29	2.2	0.8	1.8
30 - 49	3	2	5	30 - 49	0.4	0.8	0.5
50 - 99	1	0	1	50 - 99	0.1	0.0	0.1
100-	3	1	4	100-	0.4	0.4	0.4
EN	185	50	235	EN	25.1	19.8	23.7
N-EN	552	203	755	N-EN	74.9	80.2	76.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.8.2.2 Number of employees (part time; women) (Categorical) [Q18_22]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
<= 0	3	0	3	<= 0	0.4	0.0	0.3
1 - 1	37	11	48	1 - 1	5.0	4.3	4.8
2 - 2	45	5	50	2 - 2	6.1	2.0	5.1
3 - 4	38	6	44	3 - 4	5.2	2.4	4.4
5 - 9	17	4	21	5 - 9	2.3	1.6	2.1
10 - 29	10	5	15	10 - 29	1.4	2.0	1.5
30 - 49	1	0	1	30 - 49	0.1	0.0	0.1
50 - 99	1	1	2	50 - 99	0.1	0.4	0.2
100-	2	0	2	100-	0.3	0.0	0.2
EN	154	32	186	EN	20.9	12.6	18.8
N-EN	583	221	804	N-EN	79.1	87.4	81.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.8.2.3 Number of employees (part time; socially backward castes) (Categorical) [Q18_23]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
<= 0	5	0	5	<= 0	0.7	0.0	0.5
1 - 1	15	2	17	1 - 1	2.0	0.8	1.7
2 - 2	28	4	32	2 - 2	3.8	1.6	3.2
3 - 4	24	11	35	3 - 4	3.3	4.3	3.5
5 - 9	37	7	44	5 - 9	5.0	2.8	4.4
10 - 29	32	9	41	10 - 29	4.3	3.6	4.1
30 - 49	6	0	6	30 - 49	0.8	0.0	0.6
50 - 99	6	2	8	50 - 99	0.8	0.8	0.8
100-	9	2	11	100-	1.2	0.8	1.1
EN	162	37	199	EN	22.0	14.6	20.1
N-EN	575	216	791	N-EN	78.0	85.4	79.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.8.3.1 Number of employees (volunteers; total) (Categorical) [Q18_31]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
<= 0	1	1	2	<= 0	0.1	0.4	0.2
1 - 1	47	10	57	1 - 1	6.4	4.0	5.8
2 - 2	26	11	37	2 - 2	3.5	4.3	3.7
3 - 4	18	4	22	3 - 4	2.4	1.6	2.2
5 - 9	22	1	23	5 - 9	3.0	0.4	2.3
10 - 29	17	1	18	10 - 29	2.3	0.4	1.8
30 - 49	1	0	1	30 - 49	0.1	0.0	0.1
100-	2	0	2	100-	0.3	0.0	0.2
EN	134	28	162	EN	18.2	11.1	16.4
N-EN	603	225	828	N-EN	81.8	88.9	83.6
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.8.3.2 Number of employees (volunteers; women) (Categorical) [Q18_32]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
<= 0	4	1	5	<= 0	0.5	0.4	0.5
1 - 1	30	14	44	1 - 1	4.1	5.5	4.4
2 - 2	23	4	27	2 - 2	3.1	1.6	2.7
3 - 4	9	1	10	3 - 4	1.2	0.4	1.0
5 - 9	7	3	10	5 - 9	0.9	1.2	1.0
10 - 29	7	1	8	10 - 29	0.9	0.4	0.8
100-	1	0	1	100-	0.1	0.0	0.1
EN	81	24	105	EN	11.0	9.5	10.6
N-EN	656	229	885	N-EN	89.0	90.5	89.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.8.3.3 Number of employees (volunteers; socially backward castes) (Categorical) [Q18_33]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
<= 0	3	1	4	<= 0	0.4	0.4	0.4
1 - 1	6	6	12	1 - 1	0.8	2.4	1.2
2 - 2	24	8	32	2 - 2	3.3	3.2	3.2
3 - 4	14	6	20	3 - 4	1.9	2.4	2.0
5 - 9	21	6	27	5 - 9	2.8	2.4	2.7
10 - 29	42	4	46	10 - 29	5.7	1.6	4.6
30 - 49	9	0	9	30 - 49	1.2	0.0	0.9
50 - 99	6	0	6	50 - 99	0.8	0.0	0.6
100-	15	1	16	100-	2.0	0.4	1.6
EN	140	32	172	EN	19.0	12.6	17.4
N-EN	597	221	818	N-EN	81.0	87.4	82.6
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.9 What was your organization's budget in INR in the year 2009 and 2010?

Q1.9.1 Budget in 2009 (Categorical) [Q19_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
<= 9999	2	2	4	<= 9999	0.3	0.8	0.4
10000 - 29999	4	1	5	10000 - 29999	0.5	0.4	0.5
30000 - 49999	3	0	3	30000 - 49999	0.4	0.0	0.3
50000 - 99999	8	2	10	50000 - 99999	1.1	0.8	1.0
100000 - 299999	38	24	62	100000 - 299999	5.2	9.5	6.3
300000 - 999999	60	27	87	300000 - 999999	8.1	10.7	8.8
1000000 - 1999999	61	9	70	1000000 - 1999999	8.3	3.6	7.1
2000000 - 9999999	66	2	68	2000000 - 9999999	9.0	0.8	6.9
10000000 - 29999999	4	2	6	10000000 - 29999999	0.5	0.8	0.6
30000000 - 99999999	6	0	6	30000000 - 99999999	0.8	0.0	0.6
100000000 - 199999999	1	1	2	100000000 - 199999999	0.1	0.4	0.2
200000000-	2	0	2	200000000-	0.3	0.0	0.2
EN	255	70	325	EN	34.6	27.7	32.8
N-EN	482	183	665	N-EN	65.4	72.3	67.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.9.2 Budget in 2010 (Categorical) [Q19_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
<= 9999	2	1	3	<= 9999	0.3	0.4	0.3
10000 - 29999	6	4	10	10000 - 29999	0.8	1.6	1.0
30000 - 49999	3	0	3	30000 - 49999	0.4	0.0	0.3
50000 - 99999	7	1	8	50000 - 99999	0.9	0.4	0.8
100000 - 299999	30	16	46	100000 - 299999	4.1	6.3	4.6
300000 - 999999	62	31	93	300000 - 999999	8.4	12.3	9.4
1000000 - 1999999	63	12	75	1000000 - 1999999	8.5	4.7	7.6
2000000 - 9999999	79	5	84	2000000 - 9999999	10.7	2.0	8.5
10000000 - 29999999	6	2	8	10000000 - 29999999	0.8	0.8	0.8
30000000 - 99999999	5	0	5	30000000 - 99999999	0.7	0.0	0.5
100000000 - 199999999	2	1	3	100000000 - 199999999	0.3	0.4	0.3
200000000-	11	0	11	200000000-	1.5	0.0	1.1
EN	276	73	349	EN	37.4	28.9	35.3
N-EN	461	180	641	N-EN	62.6	71.1	64.7
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.10.A Geographic area of activities (Narrowest) [Q110A1]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Union/Ward	92	83	175	Union/Ward	12.5	32.8	17.7
Subdistrict/Municipality	103	18	121	Subdistrict/Municipality	14.0	7.1	12.2
District	279	107	386	District	37.9	42.3	39.0
National	202	36	238	National	27.4	14.2	24.0
Global	10	4	14	Global	1.4	1.6	1.4
EN	686	248	934	EN	93.1	98.0	94.3
N-EN	51	5	56	N-EN	6.9	2.0	5.7
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.10.A Geographic area of activities (Widest) [Q110A2]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Union/Ward	69	78	147	Union/Ward	9.4	30.8	14.8
Subdistrict/Municipality	72	16	88	Subdistrict/Municipality	9.8	6.3	8.9
District	307	108	415	District	41.7	42.7	41.9
National	219	41	260	National	29.7	16.2	26.3
Global	19	5	24	Global	2.6	2.0	2.4
EN	686	248	934	EN	93.1	98.0	94.3
N-EN	51	5	56	N-EN	6.9	2.0	5.7
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.10.B Influence of your organization [Q110B]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Strong Influence	95	23	118	Strong Influence	12.9	9.1	11.9
Some Influence	278	97	375	Some Influence	37.7	38.3	37.9
Little Influence	145	37	182	Little Influence	19.7	14.6	18.4
Hardly any influence	61	49	110	Hardly any influence	8.3	19.4	11.1
No influence	88	28	116	No influence	11.9	11.1	11.7
EN	667	234	901	EN	90.5	92.5	91.0
N-EN	70	19	89	N-EN	9.5	7.5	9.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.11 Which of the following categories best describe your organization? [Q111]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Headquarter with local branches	274	17	291	Headquarter with local branches	37.2	6.7	29.4
Organization that is a member of a larger organization	45	6	51	Organization that is a member of a larger organization	6.1	2.4	5.2
Local branch of an organization that is located in other place	53	16	69	Local branch of an organization that is located in other place	7.2	6.3	7.0
Organization without local branches and not a member of other organization	177	155	332	Organization without local branches and not a member of other organization	24.0	61.3	33.5
Division, representative office tec of an organization	16	7	23	Division, representative office tec of an organization	2.2	2.8	2.3
Others	38	13	51	Others	5.2	5.1	5.2
EN	603	214	817	EN	81.8	84.6	82.5
N-EN	134	39	173	N-EN	18.2	15.4	17.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q1.12 How would you describe your organization's opinions? (inclination) [Q112]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Left (liberal)	28	8	36	Left (liberal)	3.8	3.2	3.6
2	31	6	37	2	4.2	2.4	3.7
3	99	12	111	3	13.4	4.7	11.2
Moderate	452	218	670	Moderate	61.3	86.2	67.7
5	56	6	62	5	7.6	2.4	6.3
6	22	0	22	6	3.0	0.0	2.2
Right (conservative)	11	1	12	Right (conservative)	1.5	0.4	1.2
EN	699	251	950	EN	94.8	99.2	96.0
N-EN	38	2	40	N-EN	5.2	0.8	4.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Section II : Nature of Interaction with the state and political Parties

Q2.1 Check the statement that describes the relationship your organization has with the government or local government.

Q2.1 1. Accredited or approved [Q21_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	273	103	376	no	37.0	40.7	38.0
yes	462	150	612	yes	62.7	59.3	61.8
EN	735	253	988	EN	99.7	100.0	99.8
N-EN	2	0	2	N-EN	0.3	0.0	0.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.1 2. Licensed [Q21_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	567	164	731	no	76.9	64.8	73.8
yes	165	89	254	yes	22.4	35.2	25.7
EN	732	253	985	EN	99.3	100.0	99.5
N-EN	5	0	5	N-EN	0.7	0.0	0.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.1 3. Administrative guidance [Q21_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	679	219	898	no	92.1	86.6	90.7
yes	54	34	88	yes	7.3	13.4	8.9
EN	733	253	986	EN	99.5	100.0	99.6
N-EN	4	0	4	N-EN	0.5	0.0	0.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.1 4. Cooperating with and supporting policies and budget activities [Q21_04]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	688	246	934	no	93.4	97.2	94.3
yes	47	7	54	yes	6.4	2.8	5.5
EN	735	253	988	EN	99.7	100.0	99.8
N-EN	2	0	2	N-EN	0.3	0.0	0.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.1 5. Exchanging opinions [Q21_05]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	640	238	878	no	86.8	94.1	88.7
yes	96	15	111	yes	13.0	5.9	11.2
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.1 6. Sending representative [Q21_06]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	699	245	944	no	94.8	96.8	95.4
yes	37	8	45	yes	5.0	3.2	4.5
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.1 7. Offering positions to government officials after retirement [Q21_07]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	721	247	968	no	97.8	97.6	97.8
yes	15	6	21	yes	2.0	2.4	2.1
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.1 8.Receiving government funds or grants [Q21_08]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	680	234	914	no	92.3	92.5	92.3
yes	56	19	75	yes	7.6	7.5	7.6
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.1 9.Receiving foreign fund through the government [Q21_09]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	716	247	963	no	97.2	97.6	97.3
yes	20	6	26	yes	2.7	2.4	2.6
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.1 10.Having joint projects or program [Q21_10]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	684	237	921	no	92.8	93.7	93.0
yes	52	16	68	yes	7.1	6.3	6.9
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.2 How often does your organization contact (by phone or directly) people from the list below for lobbying (direct lobbying)? Specify the frequency today and 10 years ago.

Q2.2.1 Now/Direct Lobbying by contacting Ministers, public servants of higher ranks by phone [Q22_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Never	389	167	556	Never	52.8	66.0	56.2
Seldom	158	54	212	Seldom	21.4	21.3	21.4
Often	81	14	95	Often	11.0	5.5	9.6
Quite Often	26	4	30	Quite Often	3.5	1.6	3.0
Very Often	17	7	24	Very Often	2.3	2.8	2.4
EN	671	246	917	EN	91.0	97.2	92.6
N-EN	66	7	73	N-EN	9.0	2.8	7.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.2.2 Now/Direct Lobbying by contacting public servants of central administration on managerial positions by phone [Q22_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Never	337	173	510	Never	45.7	68.4	51.5
Seldom	179	50	229	Seldom	24.3	19.8	23.1
Often	85	14	99	Often	11.5	5.5	10.0
Quite Often	43	6	49	Quite Often	5.8	2.4	4.9
Very Often	29	3	32	Very Often	3.9	1.2	3.2
EN	673	246	919	EN	91.3	97.2	92.8
N-EN	64	7	71	N-EN	8.7	2.8	7.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.2.3 Now/Direct Lobbying by contacting Chief Ministers/Mayors, high ranking officers of Local Government phone [Q22_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Never	340	147	487	Never	46.1	58.1	49.2
Seldom	177	76	253	Seldom	24.0	30.0	25.6
Often	111	24	135	Often	15.1	9.5	13.6
Quite Often	28	1	29	Quite Often	3.8	0.4	2.9
Very Often	23	4	27	Very Often	3.1	1.6	2.7
EN	679	252	931	EN	92.1	99.6	94.0
N-EN	58	1	59	N-EN	7.9	0.4	6.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.2.4 Now/Direct Lobbying by contacting Public Servants of Local Administration by phone [Q22_04]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Never	306	143	449	Never	41.5	56.5	45.4
Seldom	189	41	230	Seldom	25.6	16.2	23.2
Often	114	52	166	Often	15.5	20.6	16.8
Quite Often	39	11	50	Quite Often	5.3	4.3	5.1
Very Often	31	4	35	Very Often	4.2	1.6	3.5
EN	679	251	930	EN	92.1	99.2	93.9
N-EN	58	2	60	N-EN	7.9	0.8	6.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.2.1.1 10yrs/Direct Lobbying by contacting Ministers, public servants of higher ranks by phone [Q22_11]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Never	127	37	164	Never	17.2	14.6	16.6
Seldom	83	21	104	Seldom	11.3	8.3	10.5
Often	31	5	36	Often	4.2	2.0	3.6
Quite Often	14	0	14	Quite Often	1.9	0.0	1.4
Very Often	5	4	9	Very Often	0.7	1.6	0.9
EN	260	67	327	EN	35.3	26.5	33.0
N-EN	477	186	663	N-EN	64.7	73.5	67.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.2.1.2 10yrs/Direct Lobbying by contacting public servants of central administration on managerial positions by phone [Q22_12]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Never	130	38	168	Never	17.6	15.0	17.0
Seldom	80	24	104	Seldom	10.9	9.5	10.5
Often	29	0	29	Often	3.9	0.0	2.9
Quite Often	13	1	14	Quite Often	1.8	0.4	1.4
Very Often	6	0	6	Very Often	0.8	0.0	0.6
EN	258	63	321	EN	35.0	24.9	32.4
N-EN	479	190	669	N-EN	65.0	75.1	67.6
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.2.1.3 10yrs/Direct Lobbying by contacting Chief Ministers/Mayors, high ranking officers of Local Government phone [Q22_13]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Never	130	36	166	Never	17.6	14.2	16.8
Seldom	72	22	94	Seldom	9.8	8.7	9.5
Often	40	8	48	Often	5.4	3.2	4.8
Quite Often	10	1	11	Quite Often	1.4	0.4	1.1
Very Often	6	2	8	Very Often	0.8	0.8	0.8
EN	258	69	327	EN	35.0	27.3	33.0
N-EN	479	184	663	N-EN	65.0	72.7	67.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.2.1.4 10yrs/Direct Lobbying by contacting Public Servants of Local Administration by phone [Q22_14]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Never	121	35	156	Never	16.4	13.8	15.8
Seldom	80	21	101	Seldom	10.9	8.3	10.2
Often	43	12	55	Often	5.8	4.7	5.6
Quite Often	10	1	11	Quite Often	1.4	0.4	1.1
Very Often	9	2	11	Very Often	1.2	0.8	1.1
EN	263	71	334	EN	35.7	28.1	33.7
N-EN	474	182	656	N-EN	64.3	71.9	66.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.3 How often does your organization contact people from the list below to ask them to influence the politics of local or central governments (indirect lobbying)? Specify the frequency today and 10 years ago.

Q2.3.1 Now/Indirect Direct Lobbying by contacting MPs from your election district [Q23_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Never	477	133	610	Never	64.7	52.6	61.6
Seldom	109	100	209	Seldom	14.8	39.5	21.1
Often	56	15	71	Often	7.6	5.9	7.2
Quite Often	13	1	14	Quite Often	1.8	0.4	1.4
Very Often	11	4	15	Very Often	1.5	1.6	1.5
EN	666	253	919	EN	90.4	100.0	92.8
N-EN	71	0	71	N-EN	9.6	0.0	7.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.3.2 Now/Indirect Lobbying by contacting MPs from other election district [Q23_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Never	495	198	693	Never	67.2	78.3	70.0
Seldom	79	47	126	Seldom	10.7	18.6	12.7
Often	44	1	45	Often	6.0	0.4	4.5
Quite Often	28	0	28	Quite Often	3.8	0.0	2.8
Very Often	21	2	23	Very Often	2.8	0.8	2.3
EN	667	248	915	EN	90.5	98.0	92.4
N-EN	70	5	75	N-EN	9.5	2.0	7.6
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.3.3 Now/Indirect Lobbying by contacting leaders of local government [Q23_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Never	406	133	539	Never	55.1	52.6	54.4
Seldom	140	82	222	Seldom	19.0	32.4	22.4
Often	64	17	81	Often	8.7	6.7	8.2
Quite Often	33	9	42	Quite Often	4.5	3.6	4.2
Very Often	25	7	32	Very Often	3.4	2.8	3.2
EN	668	248	916	EN	90.6	98.0	92.5
N-EN	69	5	74	N-EN	9.4	2.0	7.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.3.4 Now/Indirect Lobbying by contacting public servants of local administration [Q23_04]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Never	342	133	475	Never	46.4	52.6	48.0
Seldom	164	62	226	Seldom	22.3	24.5	22.8
Often	94	44	138	Often	12.8	17.4	13.9
Quite Often	36	7	43	Quite Often	4.9	2.8	4.3
Very Often	26	3	29	Very Often	3.5	1.2	2.9
EN	662	249	911	EN	89.8	98.4	92.0
N-EN	75	4	79	N-EN	10.2	1.6	8.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.3.5 Now/Indirect Lobbying by contacting local council members [Q23_05]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Never	380	137	517	Never	51.6	54.2	52.2
Seldom	126	48	174	Seldom	17.1	19.0	17.6
Often	72	41	113	Often	9.8	16.2	11.4
Quite Often	53	7	60	Quite Often	7.2	2.8	6.1
Very Often	36	10	46	Very Often	4.9	4.0	4.6
EN	667	243	910	EN	90.5	96.0	91.9
N-EN	70	10	80	N-EN	9.5	4.0	8.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.3.1.1 10yrs/Indirect Direct Lobbying by contacting MPs from your election district [Q23_11]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Never	178	37	215	Never	24.2	14.6	21.7
Seldom	48	18	66	Seldom	6.5	7.1	6.7
Often	30	3	33	Often	4.1	1.2	3.3
Quite Often	3	1	4	Quite Often	0.4	0.4	0.4
Very Often	4	2	6	Very Often	0.5	0.8	0.6
EN	263	61	324	EN	35.7	24.1	32.7
N-EN	474	192	666	N-EN	64.3	75.9	67.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.3.1.2 10yrs/Indirect Lobbying by contacting MPs from other election district [Q23_12]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Never	206	42	248	Never	28.0	16.6	25.1
Seldom	40	14	54	Seldom	5.4	5.5	5.5
Often	16	2	18	Often	2.2	0.8	1.8
Quite Often	1	0	1	Quite Often	0.1	0.0	0.1
Very Often	2	1	3	Very Often	0.3	0.4	0.3
EN	265	59	324	EN	36.0	23.3	32.7
N-EN	472	194	666	N-EN	64.0	76.7	67.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.3.1.3 10yrs/Indirect Lobbying by contacting leaders of local government [Q23_13]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Never	182	31	213	Never	24.7	12.3	21.5
Seldom	53	15	68	Seldom	7.2	5.9	6.9
Often	18	11	29	Often	2.4	4.3	2.9
Quite Often	7	1	8	Quite Often	0.9	0.4	0.8
Very Often	8	3	11	Very Often	1.1	1.2	1.1
EN	268	61	329	EN	36.4	24.1	33.2
N-EN	469	192	661	N-EN	63.6	75.9	66.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.3.1.4 10yrs/Indirect Lobbying by contacting public servants of local administration [Q23_14]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Never	155	34	189	Never	21.0	13.4	19.1
Seldom	67	19	86	Seldom	9.1	7.5	8.7
Often	30	7	37	Often	4.1	2.8	3.7
Quite Often	7	0	7	Quite Often	0.9	0.0	0.7
Very Often	7	1	8	Very Often	0.9	0.4	0.8
EN	266	61	327	EN	36.1	24.1	33.0
N-EN	471	192	663	N-EN	63.9	75.9	67.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.3.1.5 10yrs/Indirect Lobbying by contacting local council members [Q23_15]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Never	172	31	203	Never	23.3	12.3	20.5
Seldom	52	17	69	Seldom	7.1	6.7	7.0
Often	23	3	26	Often	3.1	1.2	2.6
Quite Often	6	7	13	Quite Often	0.8	2.8	1.3
Very Often	10	1	11	Very Often	1.4	0.4	1.1
EN	263	59	322	EN	35.7	23.3	32.5
N-EN	474	194	668	N-EN	64.3	76.7	67.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.4 How often do the following persons or institutions contact your organization seeking your opinion on new policies related to the area of activity of your organization?

Q2.4.1 Now/MPs contacting people of your organization [Q24_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	537	209	746	never	72.9	82.6	75.4
seldom	58	27	85	seldom	7.9	10.7	8.6
often	34	6	40	often	4.6	2.4	4.0
quite often	6	6	12	quite often	0.8	2.4	1.2
very often	5	0	5	very often	0.7	0.0	0.5
EN	640	248	888	EN	86.8	98.0	89.7
N-EN	97	5	102	N-EN	13.2	2.0	10.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.4.2 Now/Central administration officials contacting people of your organization [Q24_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	489	196	685	never	66.4	77.5	69.2
seldom	64	41	105	seldom	8.7	16.2	10.6
often	58	10	68	often	7.9	4.0	6.9
quite often	21	3	24	quite often	2.8	1.2	2.4
very often	21	0	21	very often	2.8	0.0	2.1
EN	653	250	903	EN	88.6	98.8	91.2
N-EN	84	3	87	N-EN	11.4	1.2	8.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.4.3 Now/Local Council members contacting people of your organization [Q24_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	434	168	602	never	58.9	66.4	60.8
seldom	83	60	143	seldom	11.3	23.7	14.4
often	81	15	96	often	11.0	5.9	9.7
quite often	31	6	37	quite often	4.2	2.4	3.7
very often	21	0	21	very often	2.8	0.0	2.1
EN	650	249	899	EN	88.2	98.4	90.8
N-EN	87	4	91	N-EN	11.8	1.6	9.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.4.4 Now/Local Government contacting people of your organization [Q24_04]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	425	159	584	never	57.7	62.8	59.0
seldom	93	64	157	seldom	12.6	25.3	15.9
often	76	16	92	often	10.3	6.3	9.3
quite often	31	9	40	quite often	4.2	3.6	4.0
very often	29	3	32	very often	3.9	1.2	3.2
EN	654	251	905	EN	88.7	99.2	91.4
N-EN	83	2	85	N-EN	11.3	0.8	8.6
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.4.1.1 10yrs/MPs contacting people of your organization [Q24_11]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	222	41	263	never	30.1	16.2	26.6
seldom	21	10	31	seldom	2.8	4.0	3.1
often	14	2	16	often	1.9	0.8	1.6
quite often	4	1	5	quite often	0.5	0.4	0.5
very often	2	2	4	very often	0.3	0.8	0.4
EN	263	56	319	EN	35.7	22.1	32.2
N-EN	474	197	671	N-EN	64.3	77.9	67.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.4.1.2 10yrs/Central administration officials contacting people of your organization [Q24_12]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	222	41	263	never	30.1	16.2	26.6
seldom	23	11	34	seldom	3.1	4.3	3.4
often	10	0	10	often	1.4	0.0	1.0
quite often	3	2	5	quite often	0.4	0.8	0.5
very often	4	2	6	very often	0.5	0.8	0.6
EN	262	56	318	EN	35.5	22.1	32.1
N-EN	475	197	672	N-EN	64.5	77.9	67.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.4.1.3 10yrs/Local Council members contacting people of your organization [Q24_13]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	216	31	247	never	29.3	12.3	24.9
seldom	21	14	35	seldom	2.8	5.5	3.5
often	13	8	21	often	1.8	3.2	2.1
quite often	7	0	7	quite often	0.9	0.0	0.7
very often	8	2	10	very often	1.1	0.8	1.0
EN	265	55	320	EN	36.0	21.7	32.3
N-EN	472	198	670	N-EN	64.0	78.3	67.7
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.4.1.4 10yrs/Local Government contacting people of your organization [Q24_14]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	210	33	243	never	28.5	13.0	24.5
seldom	25	14	39	seldom	3.4	5.5	3.9
often	16	3	19	often	2.2	1.2	1.9
quite often	8	4	12	quite often	1.1	1.6	1.2
very often	7	4	11	very often	0.9	1.6	1.1
EN	266	58	324	EN	36.1	22.9	32.7
N-EN	471	195	666	N-EN	63.9	77.1	67.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.5 What type of relationship and contact do you have with political parties? Choose the best statement which corresponds to your organization's actions today and ten years before.

Q2.5.1 Now/Relationship with Bahujan Samaj Party [Q25_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	595	192	787	never	80.7	75.9	79.5
seldom	26	41	67	seldom	3.5	16.2	6.8
often	20	4	24	often	2.7	1.6	2.4
quite often	7	2	9	quite often	0.9	0.8	0.9
very often	8	2	10	very often	1.1	0.8	1.0
EN	656	241	897	EN	89.0	95.3	90.6
N-EN	81	12	93	N-EN	11.0	4.7	9.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.5.2 Now/Relationship with Bhartiya Janata Party [Q25_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	558	190	748	never	75.7	75.1	75.6
seldom	34	34	68	seldom	4.6	13.4	6.9
often	35	16	51	often	4.7	6.3	5.2
quite often	14	5	19	quite often	1.9	2.0	1.9
very often	18	2	20	very often	2.4	0.8	2.0
EN	659	247	906	EN	89.4	97.6	91.5
N-EN	78	6	84	N-EN	10.6	2.4	8.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.5.3 Now/Relationship with Communist Party of India [Q25_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	575	197	772	never	78.0	77.9	78.0
seldom	27	26	53	seldom	3.7	10.3	5.4
often	24	9	33	often	3.3	3.6	3.3
quite often	13	3	16	quite often	1.8	1.2	1.6
very often	12	1	13	very often	1.6	0.4	1.3
EN	651	236	887	EN	88.3	93.3	89.6
N-EN	86	17	103	N-EN	11.7	6.7	10.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.5.4 Now/Relationship with Communist Party of India (Marxist) [Q25_04]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	571	197	768	never	77.5	77.9	77.6
seldom	28	26	54	seldom	3.8	10.3	5.5
often	20	7	27	often	2.7	2.8	2.7
quite often	10	4	14	quite often	1.4	1.6	1.4
very often	9	1	10	very often	1.2	0.4	1.0
EN	638	235	873	EN	86.6	92.9	88.2
N-EN	99	18	117	N-EN	13.4	7.1	11.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.5.5 Now/Relationship with Indian National Congress [Q25_05]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	543	193	736	never	73.7	76.3	74.3
seldom	33	28	61	seldom	4.5	11.1	6.2
often	37	17	54	often	5.0	6.7	5.5
quite often	24	2	26	quite often	3.3	0.8	2.6
very often	19	3	22	very often	2.6	1.2	2.2
EN	656	243	899	EN	89.0	96.0	90.8
N-EN	81	10	91	N-EN	11.0	4.0	9.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.5.6 Now/Relationship with Nationalist Congress Party [Q25_06]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	564	196	760	never	76.5	77.5	76.8
seldom	34	16	50	seldom	4.6	6.3	5.1
often	24	3	27	often	3.3	1.2	2.7
quite often	14	3	17	quite often	1.9	1.2	1.7
very often	13	1	14	very often	1.8	0.4	1.4
EN	649	219	868	EN	88.1	86.6	87.7
N-EN	88	34	122	N-EN	11.9	13.4	12.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.5.7 Now/Relationship with Others [Q25_07]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	303	190	493	never	41.1	75.1	49.8
seldom	16	20	36	seldom	2.2	7.9	3.6
often	24	4	28	often	3.3	1.6	2.8
quite often	24	3	27	quite often	3.3	1.2	2.7
very often	14	2	16	very often	1.9	0.8	1.6
EN	381	219	600	EN	51.7	86.6	60.6
N-EN	356	34	390	N-EN	48.3	13.4	39.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.5.1.1 10yrs/Relationship with Bahujan Samaj Party [Q25_11]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	247	40	287	never	33.5	15.8	29.0
seldom	3	7	10	seldom	0.4	2.8	1.0
often	4	1	5	often	0.5	0.4	0.5
quite often	1	1	2	quite often	0.1	0.4	0.2
very often	2	2	4	very often	0.3	0.8	0.4
EN	257	51	308	EN	34.9	20.2	31.1
N-EN	480	202	682	N-EN	65.1	79.8	68.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.5.1.2 10yrs/Relationship with Bhartiya Janata Party [Q25_12]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	238	40	278	never	32.3	15.8	28.1
seldom	9	8	17	seldom	1.2	3.2	1.7
often	7	5	12	often	0.9	2.0	1.2
quite often	2	2	4	quite often	0.3	0.8	0.4
very often	3	1	4	very often	0.4	0.4	0.4
EN	259	56	315	EN	35.1	22.1	31.8
N-EN	478	197	675	N-EN	64.9	77.9	68.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.5.1.3 10yrs/Relationship with Communist Party of India [Q25_13]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	246	40	286	never	33.4	15.8	28.9
seldom	5	6	11	seldom	0.7	2.4	1.1
often	3	1	4	often	0.4	0.4	0.4
quite often	0	1	1	quite often	0.0	0.4	0.1
very often	2	1	3	very often	0.3	0.4	0.3
EN	256	49	305	EN	34.7	19.4	30.8
N-EN	481	204	685	N-EN	65.3	80.6	69.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.5.1.4 10yrs/ Relationship with Communist Party of India (Marxist) [Q25_14]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	246	40	286	never	33.4	15.8	28.9
seldom	5	6	11	seldom	0.7	2.4	1.1
often	2	1	3	often	0.3	0.4	0.3
quite often	0	1	1	quite often	0.0	0.4	0.1
very often	3	1	4	very often	0.4	0.4	0.4
EN	256	49	305	EN	34.7	19.4	30.8
N-EN	481	204	685	N-EN	65.3	80.6	69.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.5.1.5 10yrsRelationship with Indian National Congress [Q25_15]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	223	43	266	never	30.3	17.0	26.9
seldom	8	4	12	seldom	1.1	1.6	1.2
often	10	4	14	often	1.4	1.6	1.4
quite often	9	3	12	quite often	1.2	1.2	1.2
very often	9	1	10	very often	1.2	0.4	1.0
EN	259	55	314	EN	35.1	21.7	31.7
N-EN	478	198	676	N-EN	64.9	78.3	68.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.5.1.6 10 yrs/Relationship with Nationalist Congress Party [Q25_16]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	244	41	285	never	33.1	16.2	28.8
seldom	5	5	10	seldom	0.7	2.0	1.0
often	2	1	3	often	0.3	0.4	0.3
quite often	2	1	3	quite often	0.3	0.4	0.3
very often	2	1	3	very often	0.3	0.4	0.3
EN	255	49	304	EN	34.6	19.4	30.7
N-EN	482	204	686	N-EN	65.4	80.6	69.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.5.1.7 10 yrs/Relationship with Others [Q25_17]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	92	42	134	never	12.5	16.6	13.5
seldom	1	3	4	seldom	0.1	1.2	0.4
often	0	1	1	often	0.0	0.4	0.1
quite often	1	2	3	quite often	0.1	0.8	0.3
very often	1	1	2	very often	0.1	0.4	0.2
EN	95	49	144	EN	12.9	19.4	14.5
N-EN	642	204	846	N-EN	87.1	80.6	85.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

**Q2.6.A During National Elections- did your organization take any of the following actions, and if so how frequently?
Choose the statement which best corresponds to your organization's actions today and ten years before.**

Q2.6.A.1.Today/Played a role for nomination [Q26A_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	581	221	802	never	78.8	87.4	81.0
seldom	29	24	53	seldom	3.9	9.5	5.4
often	13	4	17	often	1.8	1.6	1.7
quite often	8	0	8	quite often	1.1	0.0	0.8
very often	12	0	12	very often	1.6	0.0	1.2
EN	643	249	892	EN	87.2	98.4	90.1
N-EN	94	4	98	N-EN	12.8	1.6	9.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.A.2 Today/Recommended a member/client of your organization [Q26A_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	559	228	787	never	75.8	90.1	79.5
seldom	31	18	49	seldom	4.2	7.1	4.9
often	24	2	26	often	3.3	0.8	2.6
quite often	11	0	11	quite often	1.5	0.0	1.1
very often	14	1	15	very often	1.9	0.4	1.5
EN	639	249	888	EN	86.7	98.4	89.7
N-EN	98	4	102	N-EN	13.3	1.6	10.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.A.3 Today/Launched campaign for free and fair election [Q26A_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	487	191	678	never	66.1	75.5	68.5
seldom	62	40	102	seldom	8.4	15.8	10.3
often	54	12	66	often	7.3	4.7	6.7
quite often	23	6	29	quite often	3.1	2.4	2.9
very often	25	3	28	very often	3.4	1.2	2.8
EN	651	252	903	EN	88.3	99.6	91.2
N-EN	86	1	87	N-EN	11.7	0.4	8.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.A.4 Today/Participated as independent observers [Q26A_04]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	512	216	728	never	69.5	85.4	73.5
seldom	45	20	65	seldom	6.1	7.9	6.6
often	40	7	47	often	5.4	2.8	4.7
quite often	33	3	36	quite often	4.5	1.2	3.6
very often	16	3	19	very often	2.2	1.2	1.9
EN	646	249	895	EN	87.7	98.4	90.4
N-EN	91	4	95	N-EN	12.3	1.6	9.6
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.A.5 Today/Others [Q26A_05]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	252	198	450	never	34.2	78.3	45.5
seldom	28	7	35	seldom	3.8	2.8	3.5
often	24	2	26	often	3.3	0.8	2.6
quite often	21	1	22	quite often	2.8	0.4	2.2
very often	14	2	16	very often	1.9	0.8	1.6
EN	339	210	549	EN	46.0	83.0	55.5
N-EN	398	43	441	N-EN	54.0	17.0	44.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.A.1.1 10y/Played a role for nomination [Q26A_11]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	246	46	292	never	33.4	18.2	29.5
seldom	8	8	16	seldom	1.1	3.2	1.6
often	3	1	4	often	0.4	0.4	0.4
quite often	2	0	2	quite often	0.3	0.0	0.2
very often	3	0	3	very often	0.4	0.0	0.3
EN	262	55	317	EN	35.5	21.7	32.0
N-EN	475	198	673	N-EN	64.5	78.3	68.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.A.1.2 10y/Recommended a member/client of your organization [Q26A_12]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	248	44	292	never	33.6	17.4	29.5
seldom	9	8	17	seldom	1.2	3.2	1.7
often	5	2	7	often	0.7	0.8	0.7
quite often	1	0	1	quite often	0.1	0.0	0.1
very often	3	0	3	very often	0.4	0.0	0.3
EN	266	54	320	EN	36.1	21.3	32.3
N-EN	471	199	670	N-EN	63.9	78.7	67.7
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.A.1.3 10y/Launched campaign for free and fair election [Q26A_13]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	231	41	272	never	31.3	16.2	27.5
seldom	14	6	20	seldom	1.9	2.4	2.0
often	10	6	16	often	1.4	2.4	1.6
quite often	5	2	7	quite often	0.7	0.8	0.7
very often	4	1	5	very often	0.5	0.4	0.5
EN	264	56	320	EN	35.8	22.1	32.3
N-EN	473	197	670	N-EN	64.2	77.9	67.7
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.A.1.4 10y/Participated as independent observers [Q26A_14]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	237	43	280	never	32.2	17.0	28.3
seldom	14	6	20	seldom	1.9	2.4	2.0
often	8	4	12	often	1.1	1.6	1.2
quite often	4	1	5	quite often	0.5	0.4	0.5
very often	3	2	5	very often	0.4	0.8	0.5
EN	266	56	322	EN	36.1	22.1	32.5
N-EN	471	197	668	N-EN	63.9	77.9	67.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.A.1.5 10y/Others [Q26A_15]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	96	42	138	never	13.0	16.6	13.9
seldom	2	1	3	seldom	0.3	0.4	0.3
often	1	1	2	often	0.1	0.4	0.2
quite often	2	1	3	quite often	0.3	0.4	0.3
very often	1	2	3	very often	0.1	0.8	0.3
EN	102	47	149	EN	13.8	18.6	15.1
N-EN	635	206	841	N-EN	86.2	81.4	84.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.B During local elections- did your organization take any of the following actions, and if so how frequently? Choose the statement which best corresponds to your organization's actions today and ten years ago.

Q2.6.B.1 Today/Played a role for nomination [Q26B_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	575	217	792	never	78.0	85.8	80.0
seldom	23	30	53	seldom	3.1	11.9	5.4
often	19	3	22	often	2.6	1.2	2.2
quite often	10	0	10	quite often	1.4	0.0	1.0
very often	11	0	11	very often	1.5	0.0	1.1
EN	638	250	888	EN	86.6	98.8	89.7
N-EN	99	3	102	N-EN	13.4	1.2	10.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.B.2 Today/Recommended a member/client of your organization [Q26B_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	542	225	767	never	73.5	88.9	77.5
seldom	28	23	51	seldom	3.8	9.1	5.2
often	19	2	21	often	2.6	0.8	2.1
quite often	20	0	20	quite often	2.7	0.0	2.0
very often	21	0	21	very often	2.8	0.0	2.1
EN	630	250	880	EN	85.5	98.8	88.9
N-EN	107	3	110	N-EN	14.5	1.2	11.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.B.3 Today/Launched campaign for free and fair election [Q26B_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	472	189	661	never	64.0	74.7	66.8
seldom	64	47	111	seldom	8.7	18.6	11.2
often	53	10	63	often	7.2	4.0	6.4
quite often	34	4	38	quite often	4.6	1.6	3.8
very often	22	3	25	very often	3.0	1.2	2.5
EN	645	253	898	EN	87.5	100.0	90.7
N-EN	92	0	92	N-EN	12.5	0.0	9.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.B.4 Today/Participated as independent observers [Q26B_04]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	503	218	721	never	68.2	86.2	72.8
seldom	51	23	74	seldom	6.9	9.1	7.5
often	25	4	29	often	3.4	1.6	2.9
quite often	28	2	30	quite often	3.8	0.8	3.0
very often	24	2	26	very often	3.3	0.8	2.6
EN	631	249	880	EN	85.6	98.4	88.9
N-EN	106	4	110	N-EN	14.4	1.6	11.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.B.5 Today/Others [Q26B_05]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	238	198	436	never	32.3	78.3	44.0
seldom	27	14	41	seldom	3.7	5.5	4.1
often	30	1	31	often	4.1	0.4	3.1
quite often	22	1	23	quite often	3.0	0.4	2.3
very often	9	2	11	very often	1.2	0.8	1.1
EN	326	216	542	EN	44.2	85.4	54.7
N-EN	411	37	448	N-EN	55.8	14.6	45.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.B.1.1 10yrs/Played a role for nomination [Q26B_11]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	245	45	290	never	33.2	17.8	29.3
seldom	7	8	15	seldom	0.9	3.2	1.5
often	2	2	4	often	0.3	0.8	0.4
quite often	3	0	3	quite often	0.4	0.0	0.3
very often	3	0	3	very often	0.4	0.0	0.3
EN	260	55	315	EN	35.3	21.7	31.8
N-EN	477	198	675	N-EN	64.7	78.3	68.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.B.1.2 10yrs/Recommended a member/client of your organization [Q26B_12]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	243	46	289	never	33.0	18.2	29.2
seldom	8	8	16	seldom	1.1	3.2	1.6
often	3	1	4	often	0.4	0.4	0.4
quite often	1	0	1	quite often	0.1	0.0	0.1
very often	3	0	3	very often	0.4	0.0	0.3
EN	258	55	313	EN	35.0	21.7	31.6
N-EN	479	198	677	N-EN	65.0	78.3	68.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.B.1.3 10yrs/Launched campaign for free and fair election [Q26B_13]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	234	42	276	never	31.8	16.6	27.9
seldom	12	8	20	seldom	1.6	3.2	2.0
often	4	3	7	often	0.5	1.2	0.7
quite often	4	2	6	quite often	0.5	0.8	0.6
very often	8	1	9	very often	1.1	0.4	0.9
EN	262	56	318	EN	35.5	22.1	32.1
N-EN	475	197	672	N-EN	64.5	77.9	67.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.B.1.4 10yrs/Participated as independent observers [Q26B_14]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	235	45	280	never	31.9	17.8	28.3
seldom	10	6	16	seldom	1.4	2.4	1.6
often	4	3	7	often	0.5	1.2	0.7
quite often	4	0	4	quite often	0.5	0.0	0.4
very often	3	1	4	very often	0.4	0.4	0.4
EN	256	55	311	EN	34.7	21.7	31.4
N-EN	481	198	679	N-EN	65.3	78.3	68.6
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.6.B.1.5 10yrs/Others [Q26B_15]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	81	43	124	never	11.0	17.0	12.5
seldom	3	2	5	seldom	0.4	0.8	0.5
often	0	0	0	often	0.0	0.0	0.0
quite often	2	1	3	quite often	0.3	0.4	0.3
very often	1	2	3	very often	0.1	0.8	0.3
EN	87	48	135	EN	11.8	19.0	13.6
N-EN	650	205	855	N-EN	88.2	81.0	86.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.7 When your organization appeals to political decision making bodies like political parties or government administrations, how often do you take any of the measures listed below?

(1) Contacting the parties in the cabinet [Q27_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	501	169	670	never	68.0	66.8	67.7
seldom	80	37	117	seldom	10.9	14.6	11.8
often	33	7	40	often	4.5	2.8	4.0
quite often	6	0	6	quite often	0.8	0.0	0.6
very often	8	1	9	very often	1.1	0.4	0.9
EN	628	214	842	EN	85.2	84.6	85.1
N-EN	109	39	148	N-EN	14.8	15.4	14.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

(2) Contacting the opposition parties [Q27_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	480	170	650	never	65.1	67.2	65.7
seldom	92	32	124	seldom	12.5	12.6	12.5
often	31	3	34	often	4.2	1.2	3.4
quite often	6	0	6	quite often	0.8	0.0	0.6
very often	10	1	11	very often	1.4	0.4	1.1
EN	619	206	825	EN	84.0	81.4	83.3
N-EN	118	47	165	N-EN	16.0	18.6	16.7
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

(3) Contacting governmental department and agencies [Q27_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	407	152	559	never	55.2	60.1	56.5
seldom	129	39	168	seldom	17.5	15.4	17.0
often	55	31	86	often	7.5	12.3	8.7
quite often	13	2	15	quite often	1.8	0.8	1.5
very often	19	1	20	very often	2.6	0.4	2.0
EN	623	225	848	EN	84.5	88.9	85.7
N-EN	114	28	142	N-EN	15.5	11.1	14.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

(4) Contacting Local overnment personnel [Q27_04]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	380	151	531	never	51.6	59.7	53.6
seldom	158	49	207	seldom	21.4	19.4	20.9
often	55	36	91	often	7.5	14.2	9.2
quite often	19	1	20	quite often	2.6	0.4	2.0
very often	13	2	15	very often	1.8	0.8	1.5
EN	625	239	864	EN	84.8	94.5	87.3
N-EN	112	14	126	N-EN	15.2	5.5	12.7
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

(5) Helping to draft legislative bills [Q27_05]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	472	170	642	never	64.0	67.2	64.8
seldom	83	26	109	seldom	11.3	10.3	11.0
often	28	7	35	often	3.8	2.8	3.5
quite often	12	4	16	quite often	1.6	1.6	1.6
very often	11	1	12	very often	1.5	0.4	1.2
EN	606	208	814	EN	82.2	82.2	82.2
N-EN	131	45	176	N-EN	17.8	17.8	17.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

(6) Presenting research results or technical information [Q27_06]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	454	173	627	never	61.6	68.4	63.3
seldom	94	25	119	seldom	12.8	9.9	12.0
often	35	4	39	often	4.7	1.6	3.9
quite often	13	3	16	quite often	1.8	1.2	1.6
very often	10	1	11	very often	1.4	0.4	1.1
EN	606	206	812	EN	82.2	81.4	82.0
N-EN	131	47	178	N-EN	17.8	18.6	18.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

(7) Sending representatives [Q27_07]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	435	171	606	never	59.0	67.6	61.2
seldom	95	23	118	seldom	12.9	9.1	11.9
often	44	7	51	often	6.0	2.8	5.2
quite often	15	2	17	quite often	2.0	0.8	1.7
very often	13	0	13	very often	1.8	0.0	1.3
EN	602	203	805	EN	81.7	80.2	81.3
N-EN	135	50	185	N-EN	18.3	19.8	18.7
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

(8) Asking members to write letters or make phone calls [Q27_08]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	404	159	563	never	54.8	62.8	56.9
seldom	112	38	150	seldom	15.2	15.0	15.2
often	37	15	52	often	5.0	5.9	5.3
quite often	21	1	22	quite often	2.8	0.4	2.2
very often	21	0	21	very often	2.8	0.0	2.1
EN	595	213	808	EN	80.7	84.2	81.6
N-EN	142	40	182	N-EN	19.3	15.8	18.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

(9) Engaging in mass protests or demonstrations [Q27_09]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	328	147	475	never	44.5	58.1	48.0
seldom	132	55	187	seldom	17.9	21.7	18.9
often	93	13	106	often	12.6	5.1	10.7
quite often	61	2	63	quite often	8.3	0.8	6.4
very often	21	1	22	very often	2.8	0.4	2.2
EN	635	218	853	EN	86.2	86.2	86.2
N-EN	102	35	137	N-EN	13.8	13.8	13.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

(10) Organizing seminars, round table meeting, rally etc [Q27_10]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	213	151	364	never	28.9	59.7	36.8
seldom	172	52	224	seldom	23.3	20.6	22.6
often	146	38	184	often	19.8	15.0	18.6
quite often	76	4	80	quite often	10.3	1.6	8.1
very often	23	2	25	very often	3.1	0.8	2.5
EN	630	247	877	EN	85.5	97.6	88.6
N-EN	107	6	113	N-EN	14.5	2.4	11.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

(11) Holding press conferences [Q27_11]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	246	152	398	never	33.4	60.1	40.2
seldom	171	67	238	seldom	23.2	26.5	24.0
often	136	15	151	often	18.5	5.9	15.3
quite often	60	2	62	quite often	8.1	0.8	6.3
very often	15	1	16	very often	2.0	0.4	1.6
EN	628	237	865	EN	85.2	93.7	87.4
N-EN	109	16	125	N-EN	14.8	6.3	12.6
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

(12) Forming coalition with other organizations [Q27_12]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	267	155	422	never	36.2	61.3	42.6
seldom	144	45	189	seldom	19.5	17.8	19.1
often	130	22	152	often	17.6	8.7	15.4
quite often	55	2	57	quite often	7.5	0.8	5.8
very often	23	2	25	very often	3.1	0.8	2.5
EN	619	226	845	EN	84.0	89.3	85.4
N-EN	118	27	145	N-EN	16.0	10.7	14.6
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

(13) Contacting corporate house executives (by persona interviews, phone callsetc.) [Q27_13]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	366	163	529	never	49.7	64.4	53.4
seldom	141	33	174	seldom	19.1	13.0	17.6
often	70	5	75	often	9.5	2.0	7.6
quite often	19	0	19	quite often	2.6	0.0	1.9
very often	13	0	13	very often	1.8	0.0	1.3
EN	609	201	810	EN	82.6	79.4	81.8
N-EN	128	52	180	N-EN	17.4	20.6	18.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

(14) Contacting United Nations organizations and agencies [Q27_14]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
never	388	174	562	never	52.6	68.8	56.8
seldom	102	15	117	seldom	13.8	5.9	11.8
often	84	5	89	often	11.4	2.0	9.0
quite often	20	3	23	quite often	2.7	1.2	2.3
very often	18	1	19	very often	2.4	0.4	1.9
EN	612	198	810	EN	83.0	78.3	81.8
N-EN	125	55	180	N-EN	17.0	21.7	18.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.8 Does your organization or any member has personal relationship with any of the following persons?

Q2.8 1. An elected parliament member [Q28_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	656	221	877	no	89.0	87.4	88.6
yes	80	32	112	yes	10.9	12.6	11.3
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.8 2. A leader of the ruling party [Q28_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	658	224	882	no	89.3	88.5	89.1
yes	77	29	106	yes	10.4	11.5	10.7
EN	735	253	988	EN	99.7	100.0	99.8
N-EN	2	0	2	N-EN	0.3	0.0	0.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.8 3. A leader of the opposition parties [Q28_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	675	235	910	no	91.6	92.9	91.9
yes	61	18	79	yes	8.3	7.1	8.0
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.8 4. A Mayor or Word Commissioner [Q28_04]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	630	222	852	no	85.5	87.7	86.1
yes	106	31	137	yes	14.4	12.3	13.8
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.8 5. A journalist [Q28_05]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	482	123	605	no	65.4	48.6	61.1
yes	253	130	383	yes	34.3	51.4	38.7
EN	735	253	988	EN	99.7	100.0	99.8
N-EN	2	0	2	N-EN	0.3	0.0	0.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.8 6. A chief from a department of a government [Q28_06]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	652	222	874	no	88.5	87.7	88.3
yes	84	31	115	yes	11.4	12.3	11.6
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.8 7. A chief or a staff of an international organization [Q28_07]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	687	242	929	no	93.2	95.7	93.8
yes	49	11	60	yes	6.6	4.3	6.1
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.8 8.A judge or a magistrate of the court [Q28_08]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	673	241	914	no	91.3	95.3	92.3
yes	63	12	75	yes	8.5	4.7	7.6
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.8 9.Executive level contact with Corporate Groups [Q28_09]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	653	235	888	no	88.6	92.9	89.7
yes	83	18	101	yes	11.3	7.1	10.2
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.9.A Succeeded in having a policy being implemented [Q29A]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	615	179	794	no	83.4	70.8	80.2
yes	78	42	120	yes	10.6	16.6	12.1
EN	693	221	914	EN	94.0	87.4	92.3
N-EN	44	32	76	N-EN	6.0	12.6	7.7
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.9.B Succeeded in altering or blocking a policy [Q29B]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	605	193	798	no	82.1	76.3	80.6
yes	69	22	91	yes	9.4	8.7	9.2
EN	674	215	889	EN	91.5	85.0	89.8
N-EN	63	38	101	N-EN	8.5	15.0	10.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.10 Does your organization involve in politics by itself or is your organization approached by outside sources to become involved in politics? [Q210]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Most of the time approached by outside sources	101	9	110	Most of the time approached by outside sources	13.7	3.6	11.1
Balanec between internal and extrenal drives	178	122	300	Balanec between internal and extrenal drives	24.2	48.2	30.3
Getting involved on our own for existence no other way but to meddle in politics	141	27	168	Getting involved on our own for existence no other way but to meddle in politics	19.1	10.7	17.0
	27	5	32		3.7	2.0	3.2
EN	447	163	610	EN	60.7	64.4	61.6
N-EN	290	90	380	N-EN	39.3	35.6	38.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.11 Which of the following institutions would you consider most effective and contact them in order to lobby (for the protection of interest and rights, and representation of opinions of your organization)? Write numbers from 1 (most effective) to 3 (least effective) in order of importance, separately for today and 10 years ago.

Q2.11.1 Today/ considering Political parties or lower/upper houses as effective for lobbying [Q211_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Political Parties, lower/upper	73	12	85	Political Parties, lower/upper	9.9	4.7	8.6
Public administration	156	35	191	Public administration	21.2	13.8	19.3
Courts	240	72	312	Courts	32.6	28.5	31.5
EN	469	119	588	EN	63.6	47.0	59.4
N-EN	268	134	402	N-EN	36.4	53.0	40.6
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.11.2 Today/considering public administration as effective for lobbying [Q211_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Political Parties, Lower/Upper	127	56	183	Political Parties, Lower/Upper	17.2	22.1	18.5
Public Administration	217	45	262	Public Administration	29.4	17.8	26.5
Courts	131	31	162	Courts	17.8	12.3	16.4
EN	475	132	607	EN	64.5	52.2	61.3
N-EN	262	121	383	N-EN	35.5	47.8	38.7
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.11.3 Today/considering Courts as effective for lobbying [Q211_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Political Parties, Lower/Upper	169	81	250	Political Parties, Lower/Upper	22.9	32.0	25.3
Public Administration	180	38	218	Public Administration	24.4	15.0	22.0
Courts	122	13	135	Courts	16.6	5.1	13.6
EN	471	132	603	EN	63.9	52.2	60.9
N-EN	266	121	387	N-EN	36.1	47.8	39.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.11.1.1 10yrs/considering Political parties or lower/upper houses as effective for lobbying [Q211_11]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Political Parties, Lower/Upper	51	18	69	Political Parties, Lower/Upper	6.9	7.1	7.0
Public administration	72	13	85	Public administration	9.8	5.1	8.6
Courts	94	10	104	Courts	12.8	4.0	10.5
EN	217	41	258	EN	29.4	16.2	26.1
N-EN	520	212	732	N-EN	70.6	83.8	73.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.11.1.2 10yrs/considering public administration as effective for lobbying [Q211_12]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Political Parties, Lower/Upper	65	24	89	Political Parties, Lower/Upper	8.8	9.5	9.0
Public Administration	88	24	112	Public Administration	11.9	9.5	11.3
Courts	73	12	85	Courts	9.9	4.7	8.6
EN	226	60	286	EN	30.7	23.7	28.9
N-EN	511	193	704	N-EN	69.3	76.3	71.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2.11.1.3 10yrs/considering Courts as effective for lobbying [Q211_13]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Political Parties, Lower/Upper	68	26	94	Political Parties, Lower/Upper	9.2	10.3	9.5
Public Administration	85	10	95	Public Administration	11.5	4.0	9.6
Courts	70	13	83	Courts	9.5	5.1	8.4
EN	223	49	272	EN	30.3	19.4	27.5
N-EN	514	204	718	N-EN	69.7	80.6	72.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Section III : Relationship among the civil society organizations

Q3.1 What source does your organization use to get information for its activities? Rank in order of importance, three from the list below.

Q3.1.1 1st source of information [Q31_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
National Government	63	9	72	National Government	8.5	3.6	7.3
Local Government	55	27	82	Local Government	7.5	10.7	8.3
Political Parties	9	2	11	Political Parties	1.2	0.8	1.1
Parliament Members	11	1	12	Parliament Members	1.5	0.4	1.2
Scholars or professional think tanks	59	28	87	Scholars or professional think tanks	8.0	11.1	8.8
Mass Media	156	100	256	Mass Media	21.2	39.5	25.9
Technical or Trade Papers	21	2	23	Technical or Trade Papers	2.8	0.8	2.3
Foreign Government	4	0	4	Foreign Government	0.5	0.0	0.4
Foreign NGOs	4	0	4	Foreign NGOs	0.5	0.0	0.4
Other domestic organizations	12	5	17	Other domestic organizations	1.6	2.0	1.7
members of your organization	165	79	244	members of your organization	22.4	31.2	24.6
Business companies	4	0	4	Business companies	0.5	0.0	0.4
Others	55	0	55	Others	7.5	0.0	5.6
EN	618	253	871	EN	83.9	100.0	88.0
N-EN	119	0	119	N-EN	16.1	0.0	12.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.1.2 2nd source of information [Q31_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
National Government	22	5	27	National Government	3.0	2.0	2.7
Local Government	52	23	75	Local Government	7.1	9.1	7.6
Political Parties	17	3	20	Political Parties	2.3	1.2	2.0
Parliament members	8	4	12	Parliament members	1.1	1.6	1.2
Scholars, think tanks	69	48	117	Scholars, think tanks	9.4	19.0	11.8
Mass media	108	70	178	Mass media	14.7	27.7	18.0
Technical or trade papers	43	7	50	Technical or trade papers	5.8	2.8	5.1
Foreign Government	6	0	6	Foreign Government	0.8	0.0	0.6
Foreign NGOs	4	2	6	Foreign NGOs	0.5	0.8	0.6
Other domestic organizations	61	43	104	Other domestic organizations	8.3	17.0	10.5
Members of your organization	91	44	135	Members of your organization	12.3	17.4	13.6
Business Companies	7	2	9	Business Companies	0.9	0.8	0.9
Others	37	2	39	Others	5.0	0.8	3.9
EN	525	253	778	EN	71.2	100.0	78.6
N-EN	212	0	212	N-EN	28.8	0.0	21.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.1.3 3rd source of information [Q31_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
National Government	29	18	47	National Government	3.9	7.1	4.7
Local Government	27	14	41	Local Government	3.7	5.5	4.1
Political Parties	12	3	15	Political Parties	1.6	1.2	1.5
Parliament members	6	3	9	Parliament members	0.8	1.2	0.9
Scholars, think tanks	55	26	81	Scholars, think tanks	7.5	10.3	8.2
Mass media	68	44	112	Mass media	9.2	17.4	11.3
Technical or trade papers	42	7	49	Technical or trade papers	5.7	2.8	4.9
Foreign Government	1	0	1	Foreign Government	0.1	0.0	0.1
Foreign NGOs	9	4	13	Foreign NGOs	1.2	1.6	1.3
Other domestic organizations	60	44	104	Other domestic organizations	8.1	17.4	10.5
Members of your organization	83	70	153	Members of your organization	11.3	27.7	15.5
Business Companies	9	5	14	Business Companies	1.2	2.0	1.4
Others	39	15	54	Others	5.3	5.9	5.5
EN	440	253	693	EN	59.7	100.0	70.0
N-EN	297	0	297	N-EN	40.3	0.0	30.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.2 Number of times mentioned in mass media (Categorical) [Q32]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
<= 0	5	124	129	<= 0	0.7	49.0	13.0
1 - 4	79	17	96	1 - 4	10.7	6.7	9.7
5 - 9	69	21	90	5 - 9	9.4	8.3	9.1
10 - 19	71	31	102	10 - 19	9.6	12.3	10.3
20 - 29	16	16	32	20 - 29	2.2	6.3	3.2
30 - 49	8	14	22	30 - 49	1.1	5.5	2.2
50 - 99	10	19	29	50 - 99	1.4	7.5	2.9
100	3	7	10	100	0.4	2.8	1.0
101-	8	4	12	101-	1.1	1.6	1.2
EN	269	253	522	EN	36.5	100.0	52.7
N-EN	468	0	468	N-EN	63.5	0.0	47.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.3 To what extent do you think the following groups influence politics in India? Rate each of the following groups on a scale from 1 to 7 in terms of political influence.

Q3.3 1.Influence in Politics/Trade unions and federations [Q33_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	131	17	148	very little influence	17.8	6.7	14.9
2	122	14	136	2	16.6	5.5	13.7
3	93	9	102	3	12.6	3.6	10.3
moderate point	131	57	188	moderate point	17.8	22.5	19.0
5	98	30	128	5	13.3	11.9	12.9
6	32	7	39	6	4.3	2.8	3.9
lot of influence	25	13	38	lot of influence	3.4	5.1	3.8
EN	632	147	779	EN	85.8	58.1	78.7
N-EN	105	106	211	N-EN	14.2	41.9	21.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.3 2.Influence in Politics/Agricultural Organizations [Q33_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	90	24	114	very little influence	12.2	9.5	11.5
2	150	10	160	2	20.4	4.0	16.2
3	140	19	159	3	19.0	7.5	16.1
moderate point	134	51	185	moderate point	18.2	20.2	18.7
5	48	31	79	5	6.5	12.3	8.0
6	15	11	26	6	2.0	4.3	2.6
lot of influence	16	5	21	lot of influence	2.2	2.0	2.1
EN	593	151	744	EN	80.5	59.7	75.2
N-EN	144	102	246	N-EN	19.5	40.3	24.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.3 3.Influence in Politics/ Economic, business, and employers organizations [Q33_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	52	19	71	very little influence	7.1	7.5	7.2
2	97	6	103	2	13.2	2.4	10.4
3	108	9	117	3	14.7	3.6	11.8
moderate point	147	51	198	moderate point	19.9	20.2	20.0
5	123	31	154	5	16.7	12.3	15.6
6	47	12	59	6	6.4	4.7	6.0
lot of influence	23	7	30	lot of influence	3.1	2.8	3.0
EN	597	135	732	EN	81.0	53.4	73.9
N-EN	140	118	258	N-EN	19.0	46.6	26.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.3 4. Influence in Politics/ National Bureaucrats [Q33_04]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	33	20	53	very little influence	4.5	7.9	5.4
2	54	8	62	2	7.3	3.2	6.3
3	97	8	105	3	13.2	3.2	10.6
moderate point	139	43	182	moderate point	18.9	17.0	18.4
5	128	37	165	5	17.4	14.6	16.7
6	96	26	122	6	13.0	10.3	12.3
lot of influence	50	8	58	lot of influence	6.8	3.2	5.9
EN	597	150	747	EN	81.0	59.3	75.5
N-EN	140	103	243	N-EN	19.0	40.7	24.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.3 5. Influence in Politics/ Political Parties [Q33_05]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	23	11	34	very little influence	3.1	4.3	3.4
2	24	10	34	2	3.3	4.0	3.4
3	23	7	30	3	3.1	2.8	3.0
moderate point	37	38	75	moderate point	5.0	15.0	7.6
5	95	30	125	5	12.9	11.9	12.6
6	217	35	252	6	29.4	13.8	25.5
lot of influence	219	39	258	lot of influence	29.7	15.4	26.1
EN	638	170	808	EN	86.6	67.2	81.6
N-EN	99	83	182	N-EN	13.4	32.8	18.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.3 6. Influence in Politics/ The mass media [Q33_06]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	19	8	27	very little influence	2.6	3.2	2.7
2	18	10	28	2	2.4	4.0	2.8
3	41	8	49	3	5.6	3.2	4.9
moderate point	75	25	100	moderate point	10.2	9.9	10.1
5	149	30	179	5	20.2	11.9	18.1
6	221	69	290	6	30.0	27.3	29.3
lot of influence	110	74	184	lot of influence	14.9	29.2	18.6
EN	633	224	857	EN	85.9	88.5	86.6
N-EN	104	29	133	N-EN	14.1	11.5	13.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.3 7. Influence in Politics/ Large business/corporations [Q33_07]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	33	17	50	very little influence	4.5	6.7	5.1
2	35	8	43	2	4.7	3.2	4.3
3	48	6	54	3	6.5	2.4	5.5
moderate point	97	35	132	moderate point	13.2	13.8	13.3
5	103	36	139	5	14.0	14.2	14.0
6	151	27	178	6	20.5	10.7	18.0
lot of influence	108	23	131	lot of influence	14.7	9.1	13.2
EN	575	152	727	EN	78.0	60.1	73.4
N-EN	162	101	263	N-EN	22.0	39.9	26.6
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.3 8. Influence in Politics/ Scholars and academicians [Q33_08]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	54	7	61	very little influence	7.3	2.8	6.2
2	71	3	74	2	9.6	1.2	7.5
3	124	20	144	3	16.8	7.9	14.5
moderate point	156	40	196	moderate point	21.2	15.8	19.8
5	120	39	159	5	16.3	15.4	16.1
6	25	46	71	6	3.4	18.2	7.2
lot of influence	14	53	67	lot of influence	1.9	20.9	6.8
EN	564	208	772	EN	76.5	82.2	78.0
N-EN	173	45	218	N-EN	23.5	17.8	22.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.3 9.Influence in Politics/ Consumer Organizations [Q33_09]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	88	19	107	very little influence	11.9	7.5	10.8
2	101	12	113	2	13.7	4.7	11.4
3	117	11	128	3	15.9	4.3	12.9
moderate point	129	55	184	moderate point	17.5	21.7	18.6
5	96	11	107	5	13.0	4.3	10.8
6	10	3	13	6	1.4	1.2	1.3
lot of influence	15	2	17	lot of influence	2.0	0.8	1.7
EN	556	113	669	EN	75.4	44.7	67.6
N-EN	181	140	321	N-EN	24.6	55.3	32.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.3 10.Influence in Politics/ Welfare Organizations [Q33_10]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	84	8	92	very little influence	11.4	3.2	9.3
2	96	11	107	2	13.0	4.3	10.8
3	117	18	135	3	15.9	7.1	13.6
moderate point	167	44	211	moderate point	22.7	17.4	21.3
5	52	39	91	5	7.1	15.4	9.2
6	21	20	41	6	2.8	7.9	4.1
lot of influence	21	21	42	lot of influence	2.8	8.3	4.2
EN	558	161	719	EN	75.7	63.6	72.6
N-EN	179	92	271	N-EN	24.3	36.4	27.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.3 11.Influence in Politics/ Professional organizations [Q33_11]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	84	12	96	very little influence	11.4	4.7	9.7
2	102	7	109	2	13.8	2.8	11.0
3	110	18	128	3	14.9	7.1	12.9
moderate point	98	75	173	moderate point	13.3	29.6	17.5
5	112	15	127	5	15.2	5.9	12.8
6	28	7	35	6	3.8	2.8	3.5
lot of influence	13	10	23	lot of influence	1.8	4.0	2.3
EN	547	144	691	EN	74.2	56.9	69.8
N-EN	190	109	299	N-EN	25.8	43.1	30.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.3 12.Influence in Politics/ Women movement organizations [Q33_12]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	41	10	51	very little influence	5.6	4.0	5.2
2	73	7	80	2	9.9	2.8	8.1
3	113	21	134	3	15.3	8.3	13.5
moderate point	225	44	269	moderate point	30.5	17.4	27.2
5	82	36	118	5	11.1	14.2	11.9
6	24	19	43	6	3.3	7.5	4.3
lot of influence	17	32	49	lot of influence	2.3	12.6	4.9
EN	575	169	744	EN	78.0	66.8	75.2
N-EN	162	84	246	N-EN	22.0	33.2	24.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.3 13.Influence in Politics/ Local governments [Q33_13]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	35	13	48	very little influence	4.7	5.1	4.8
2	45	6	51	2	6.1	2.4	5.2
3	93	13	106	3	12.6	5.1	10.7
moderate point	203	40	243	moderate point	27.5	15.8	24.5
5	151	24	175	5	20.5	9.5	17.7
6	26	35	61	6	3.5	13.8	6.2
lot of influence	21	8	29	lot of influence	2.8	3.2	2.9
EN	574	139	713	EN	77.9	54.9	72.0
N-EN	163	114	277	N-EN	22.1	45.1	28.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.3 14. Influence in Politics/ Foreign government and International organizations [Q33_14]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	56	25	81	very little influence	7.6	9.9	8.2
2	52	4	56	2	7.1	1.6	5.7
3	68	8	76	3	9.2	3.2	7.7
moderate point	111	48	159	moderate point	15.1	19.0	16.1
5	125	26	151	5	17.0	10.3	15.3
6	127	11	138	6	17.2	4.3	13.9
lot of influence	19	3	22	lot of influence	2.6	1.2	2.2
EN	558	125	683	EN	75.7	49.4	69.0
N-EN	179	128	307	N-EN	24.3	50.6	31.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.3 15. Influence in Politics/ Hindu organizations [Q33_15]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	71	16	87	very little influence	9.6	6.3	8.8
2	65	8	73	2	8.8	3.2	7.4
3	69	10	79	3	9.4	4.0	8.0
moderate point	107	50	157	moderate point	14.5	19.8	15.9
5	157	17	174	5	21.3	6.7	17.6
6	115	14	129	6	15.6	5.5	13.0
lot of influence	24	9	33	lot of influence	3.3	3.6	3.3
EN	608	124	732	EN	82.5	49.0	73.9
N-EN	129	129	258	N-EN	17.5	51.0	26.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.3 16. Influence in Politics/ Religious organization other than Hindu organization [Q33_16]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	85	19	104	very little influence	11.5	7.5	10.5
2	59	5	64	2	8.0	2.0	6.5
3	59	17	76	3	8.0	6.7	7.7
moderate point	125	42	167	moderate point	17.0	16.6	16.9
5	163	19	182	5	22.1	7.5	18.4
6	80	11	91	6	10.9	4.3	9.2
lot of influence	32	3	35	lot of influence	4.3	1.2	3.5
EN	603	116	719	EN	81.8	45.8	72.6
N-EN	134	137	271	N-EN	18.2	54.2	27.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.4 What type of relation and cooperation does your organization have with the following groups? Rate the following groups on a scale from 1 to 7 in terms of cooperation with your organization.

Q3.4 1.How cooperative/ Trade unions and federations [Q34_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	220	22	242	very little influence	29.9	8.7	24.4
2	49	28	77	2	6.6	11.1	7.8
3	24	7	31	3	3.3	2.8	3.1
moderate point	193	67	260	moderate point	26.2	26.5	26.3
5	44	10	54	5	6.0	4.0	5.5
6	33	2	35	6	4.5	0.8	3.5
lot of influence	15	4	19	lot of influence	2.0	1.6	1.9
EN	578	140	718	EN	78.4	55.3	72.5
N-EN	159	113	272	N-EN	21.6	44.7	27.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.4 2.How cooperative /Agricultural Organizations [Q34_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	187	23	210	very little influence	25.4	9.1	21.2
2	90	21	111	2	12.2	8.3	11.2
3	44	14	58	3	6.0	5.5	5.9
moderate point	180	64	244	moderate point	24.4	25.3	24.6
5	21	11	32	5	2.8	4.3	3.2
6	9	1	10	6	1.2	0.4	1.0
lot of influence	11	1	12	lot of influence	1.5	0.4	1.2
EN	542	135	677	EN	73.5	53.4	68.4
N-EN	195	118	313	N-EN	26.5	46.6	31.6
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.4 3.How cooperative/Economic, business, and employers organizations [Q34_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	162	20	182	very little influence	22.0	7.9	18.4
2	86	16	102	2	11.7	6.3	10.3
3	55	11	66	3	7.5	4.3	6.7
moderate point	169	62	231	moderate point	22.9	24.5	23.3
5	41	5	46	5	5.6	2.0	4.6
6	13	2	15	6	1.8	0.8	1.5
lot of influence	9	0	9	lot of influence	1.2	0.0	0.9
EN	535	116	651	EN	72.6	45.8	65.8
N-EN	202	137	339	N-EN	27.4	54.2	34.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.4 4.How cooperative/National Bureaucrats [Q34_04]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	155	19	174	very little influence	21.0	7.5	17.6
2	99	15	114	2	13.4	5.9	11.5
3	48	13	61	3	6.5	5.1	6.2
moderate point	180	67	247	moderate point	24.4	26.5	24.9
5	20	12	32	5	2.7	4.7	3.2
6	12	1	13	6	1.6	0.4	1.3
lot of influence	13	1	14	lot of influence	1.8	0.4	1.4
EN	527	128	655	EN	71.5	50.6	66.2
N-EN	210	125	335	N-EN	28.5	49.4	33.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.4 5.How cooperative/Political Parties [Q34_05]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
0	0	102	102	0	0.0	40.3	10.3
very little influence	178	22	200	very little influence	24.2	8.7	20.2
2	86	17	103	2	11.7	6.7	10.4
3	34	16	50	3	4.6	6.3	5.1
moderate point	161	70	231	moderate point	21.8	27.7	23.3
5	32	23	55	5	4.3	9.1	5.6
6	22	1	23	6	3.0	0.4	2.3
lot of influence	15	2	17	lot of influence	2.0	0.8	1.7
EN	528	151	679	EN	71.6	59.7	68.6
N-EN	209	102	311	N-EN	28.4	40.3	31.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.4 6.How cooperative/ The mass media [Q34_06]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	114	14	128	very little influence	15.5	5.5	12.9
2	84	56	140	2	11.4	22.1	14.1
3	64	18	82	3	8.7	7.1	8.3
moderate point	136	62	198	moderate point	18.5	24.5	20.0
5	105	35	140	5	14.2	13.8	14.1
6	34	20	54	6	4.6	7.9	5.5
lot of influence	24	2	26	lot of influence	3.3	0.8	2.6
EN	561	207	768	EN	76.1	81.8	77.6
N-EN	176	46	222	N-EN	23.9	18.2	22.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.4 7.How cooperative/ Large business/corporations [34_07]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	165	23	188	very little influence	22.4	9.1	19.0
2	65	12	77	2	8.8	4.7	7.8
3	42	15	57	3	5.7	5.9	5.8
moderate point	159	54	213	moderate point	21.6	21.3	21.5
5	58	4	62	5	7.9	1.6	6.3
6	16	1	17	6	2.2	0.4	1.7
lot of influence	13	3	16	lot of influence	1.8	1.2	1.6
EN	518	112	630	EN	70.3	44.3	63.6
N-EN	219	141	360	N-EN	29.7	55.7	36.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.4 8.How cooperative/ Scholars and academicians [34_08]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	127	6	133	very little influence	17.2	2.4	13.4
2	57	83	140	2	7.7	32.8	14.1
3	63	8	71	3	8.5	3.2	7.2
moderate point	133	34	167	moderate point	18.0	13.4	16.9
5	102	20	122	5	13.8	7.9	12.3
6	31	18	49	6	4.2	7.1	4.9
lot of influence	27	10	37	lot of influence	3.7	4.0	3.7
EN	540	179	719	EN	73.3	70.8	72.6
N-EN	197	74	271	N-EN	26.7	29.2	27.4
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.4 9.How cooperative/ Consumer Organizations [34_09]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	143	15	158	very little influence	19.4	5.9	16.0
2	81	12	93	2	11.0	4.7	9.4
3	55	13	68	3	7.5	5.1	6.9
moderate point	147	68	215	moderate point	19.9	26.9	21.7
5	68	5	73	5	9.2	2.0	7.4
6	20	2	22	6	2.7	0.8	2.2
lot of influence	13	1	14	lot of influence	1.8	0.4	1.4
EN	527	116	643	EN	71.5	45.8	64.9
N-EN	210	137	347	N-EN	28.5	54.2	35.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.4 10.How cooperative/ Welfare Organizations [Q34_10]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	142	5	147	very little influence	19.3	2.0	14.8
2	57	46	103	2	7.7	18.2	10.4
3	45	13	58	3	6.1	5.1	5.9
moderate point	69	49	118	moderate point	9.4	19.4	11.9
5	92	21	113	5	12.5	8.3	11.4
6	87	17	104	6	11.8	6.7	10.5
lot of influence	35	6	41	lot of influence	4.7	2.4	4.1
EN	527	157	684	EN	71.5	62.1	69.1
N-EN	210	96	306	N-EN	28.5	37.9	30.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.4 11.How cooperative/ Professional organizations [Q34_11]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	126	8	134	very little influence	17.1	3.2	13.5
2	65	32	97	2	8.8	12.6	9.8
3	70	13	83	3	9.5	5.1	8.4
moderate point	120	58	178	moderate point	16.3	22.9	18.0
5	83	27	110	5	11.3	10.7	11.1
6	42	10	52	6	5.7	4.0	5.3
lot of influence	19	1	20	lot of influence	2.6	0.4	2.0
EN	525	149	674	EN	71.2	58.9	68.1
N-EN	212	104	316	N-EN	28.8	41.1	31.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.4 12.How cooperative/ Women movement organizations [Q34_12]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	149	7	156	very little influence	20.2	2.8	15.8
2	65	57	122	2	8.8	22.5	12.3
3	51	11	62	3	6.9	4.3	6.3
moderate point	165	51	216	moderate point	22.4	20.2	21.8
5	66	22	88	5	9.0	8.7	8.9
6	28	6	34	6	3.8	2.4	3.4
lot of influence	22	5	27	lot of influence	3.0	2.0	2.7
EN	546	159	705	EN	74.1	62.8	71.2
N-EN	191	94	285	N-EN	25.9	37.2	28.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.4 13.How cooperative/ Local governments [Q34_13]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	120	16	136	very little influence	16.3	6.3	13.7
2	81	24	105	2	11.0	9.5	10.6
3	71	20	91	3	9.6	7.9	9.2
moderate point	137	60	197	moderate point	18.6	23.7	19.9
5	76	20	96	5	10.3	7.9	9.7
6	25	8	33	6	3.4	3.2	3.3
lot of influence	22	1	23	lot of influence	3.0	0.4	2.3
EN	532	149	681	EN	72.2	58.9	68.8
N-EN	205	104	309	N-EN	27.8	41.1	31.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.4 14.How cooperative/ Foreign government and International organizations [Q34_14]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%		Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	205	34	239	very little influence		27.8	13.4	24.1
2	51	9	60	2		6.9	3.6	6.1
3	30	6	36	3		4.1	2.4	3.6
moderate point	176	43	219	moderate point		23.9	17.0	22.1
5	34	2	36	5		4.6	0.8	3.6
6	12	1	13	6		1.6	0.4	1.3
lot of influence	11	2	13	lot of influence		1.5	0.8	1.3
EN	519	97	616	EN		70.4	38.3	62.2
N-EN	218	156	374	N-EN		29.6	61.7	37.8
N	737	253	990	N		100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.4 15.How cooperative/ Hindu organizations [Q34_15]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%		Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	228	17	245	very little influence		30.9	6.7	24.7
2	47	15	62	2		6.4	5.9	6.3
3	27	11	38	3		3.7	4.3	3.8
moderate point	183	56	239	moderate point		24.8	22.1	24.1
5	17	1	18	5		2.3	0.4	1.8
6	11	0	11	6		1.5	0.0	1.1
lot of influence	12	3	15	lot of influence		1.6	1.2	1.5
EN	525	103	628	EN		71.2	40.7	63.4
N-EN	212	150	362	N-EN		28.8	59.3	36.6
N	737	253	990	N		100.0	100.0	100.0

Q3.4 16.How cooperative/ Religious organization other than Hindu organization [Q34_16]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%		Delhi	Varanasi	Total
very little influence	231	16	247	very little influence		31.3	6.3	24.9
2	48	6	54	2		6.5	2.4	5.5
3	19	8	27	3		2.6	3.2	2.7
moderate point	148	55	203	moderate point		20.1	21.7	20.5
5	33	2	35	5		4.5	0.8	3.5
6	30	1	31	6		4.1	0.4	3.1
lot of influence	19	5	24	lot of influence		2.6	2.0	2.4
EN	528	93	621	EN		71.6	36.8	62.7
N-EN	209	160	369	N-EN		28.4	63.2	37.3
N	737	253	990	N		100.0	100.0	100.0

Section IV [Problems and Prospects in the context of Civil Society in India]

Q4.1 Below are listed important political decisions and events that have taken place in the last 15 years. Please check your organization's *Participation, Position and Satisfaction* to each of these decisions and events. (if the event took place before the establishment of your organization the you are free to skip those events)

Q4.1.1.1 Participation/ 73rd-74th amendment [Q411_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%		Delhi	Varanasi	Total
No Participation	456	233	689	No Participation		61.9	92.1	69.6
Participation	50	6	56	Participation		6.8	2.4	5.7
Strong Participation	13	1	14	Strong Participation		1.8	0.4	1.4
EN	519	240	759	EN		70.4	94.9	76.7
N-EN	218	13	231	N-EN		29.6	5.1	23.3
N	737	253	990	N		100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.1.1.2 Position/ 73rd-74th amendment [Q411_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%		Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Against	10	0	10	Against		1.4	0.0	1.0
neutral	131	33	164	neutral		17.8	13.0	16.6
favor	104	156	260	favor		14.1	61.7	26.3
EN	245	189	434	EN		33.2	74.7	43.8
N-EN	492	64	556	N-EN		66.8	25.3	56.2
N	737	253	990	N		100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.1.1.3 Satisfaction/ 73rd-74th amendment [Q411_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%		Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Dissatisfied	30	11	41	Dissatisfied		4.1	4.3	4.1
satisfied	125	165	290	satisfied		17.0	65.2	29.3
Very satisfied	22	11	33	Very satisfied		3.0	4.3	3.3
EN	177	187	364	EN		24.0	73.9	36.8
N-EN	560	66	626	N-EN		76.0	26.1	63.2
N	737	253	990	N		100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.1.2.1 Participation/ 86th Amendment [Q412_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%		Delhi	Varanasi	Total
No Participation	439	203	642	No Participation		59.6	80.2	64.8
Participation	61	24	85	Participation		8.3	9.5	8.6
Strong Participation	27	6	33	Strong Participation		3.7	2.4	3.3
EN	527	233	760	EN		71.5	92.1	76.8
N-EN	210	20	230	N-EN		28.5	7.9	23.2
N	737	253	990	N		100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.1.2.2 Position/ 86th Amendment [Q412_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%		Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Against	11	1	12	Against		1.5	0.4	1.2
neutral	120	29	149	neutral		16.3	11.5	15.1
favor	221	195	416	favor		30.0	77.1	42.0
EN	352	225	577	EN		47.8	88.9	58.3
N-EN	385	28	413	N-EN		52.2	11.1	41.7
N	737	253	990	N		100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.1.2.3 Satisfaction/ 86th Amendment [Q412_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%		Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Dissatisfied	31	26	57	Dissatisfied		4.2	10.3	5.8
satisfied	192	164	356	satisfied		26.1	64.8	36.0
Very satisfied	57	31	88	Very satisfied		7.7	12.3	8.9
EN	280	221	501	EN		38.0	87.4	50.6
N-EN	457	32	489	N-EN		62.0	12.6	49.4
N	737	253	990	N		100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.1.3.1 Participation/93rd Amendment [Q413_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
No Participation	437	220	657	No Participation	59.3	87.0	66.4
Participation	64	8	72	Participation	8.7	3.2	7.3
Strong Participation	24	1	25	Strong Participation	3.3	0.4	2.5
EN	525	229	754	EN	71.2	90.5	76.2
N-EN	212	24	236	N-EN	28.8	9.5	23.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.1.3.2 Position/93rd Amendment [Q413_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Against	44	12	56	Against	6.0	4.7	5.7
neutral	170	61	231	neutral	23.1	24.1	23.3
favor	93	146	239	favor	12.6	57.7	24.1
EN	307	219	526	EN	41.7	86.6	53.1
N-EN	430	34	464	N-EN	58.3	13.4	46.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.1.3.3 Satisfaction/93rd Amendment [Q413_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Dissatisfied	74	43	117	Dissatisfied	10.0	17.0	11.8
satisfied	120	168	288	satisfied	16.3	66.4	29.1
Very satisfied	30	5	35	Very satisfied	4.1	2.0	3.5
EN	224	216	440	EN	30.4	85.4	44.4
N-EN	513	37	550	N-EN	69.6	14.6	55.6
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.1.4.1 Participation/Women's Reservation Bill [Q414_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
No Participation	401	206	607	No Participation	54.4	81.4	61.3
Participation	133	23	156	Participation	18.0	9.1	15.8
Strong Participation	28	1	29	Strong Participation	3.8	0.4	2.9
EN	562	230	792	EN	76.3	90.9	80.0
N-EN	175	23	198	N-EN	23.7	9.1	20.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.1.4.2 Position/Women's Reservation Bill [Q414_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Against	20	3	23	Against	2.7	1.2	2.3
neutral	174	43	217	neutral	23.6	17.0	21.9
favor	239	185	424	favor	32.4	73.1	42.8
EN	433	231	664	EN	58.8	91.3	67.1
N-EN	304	22	326	N-EN	41.2	8.7	32.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.1.4.3 Satisfaction/Women's Reservation Bill [Q414_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Dissatisfied	128	24	152	Dissatisfied	17.4	9.5	15.4
satisfied	181	198	379	satisfied	24.6	78.3	38.3
Very satisfied	35	6	41	Very satisfied	4.7	2.4	4.1
EN	344	228	572	EN	46.7	90.1	57.8
N-EN	393	25	418	N-EN	53.3	9.9	42.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.1.5.1 Participation/ Anti-Corruption Movement and Jan Lokpal Bill [Q415_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
No Participation	296	157	453	No Participation	40.2	62.1	45.8
Participation	214	69	283	Participation	29.0	27.3	28.6
Strong Participation	70	6	76	Strong Participation	9.5	2.4	7.7
EN	580	232	812	EN	78.7	91.7	82.0
N-EN	157	21	178	N-EN	21.3	8.3	18.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.1.5.2 Position/ Anti-Corruption Movement and Jan Lokpal Bill [Q415_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Against	35	3	38	Against	4.7	1.2	3.8
neutral	130	24	154	neutral	17.6	9.5	15.6
favor	360	202	562	favor	48.8	79.8	56.8
EN	525	229	754	EN	71.2	90.5	76.2
N-EN	212	24	236	N-EN	28.8	9.5	23.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.1.5.3 Satisfaction/ Anti-Corruption Movement and Jan Lokpal Bill [Q415_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Dissatisfied	166	16	182	Dissatisfied	22.5	6.3	18.4
satisfied	223	169	392	satisfied	30.3	66.8	39.6
Very satisfied	57	42	99	Very satisfied	7.7	16.6	10.0
EN	446	227	673	EN	60.5	89.7	68.0
N-EN	291	26	317	N-EN	39.5	10.3	32.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.1.6.1 Participation/ National NGO Partnership Program [Q416_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
No Participation	298	221	519	No Participation	40.4	87.4	52.4
Participation	182	6	188	Participation	24.7	2.4	19.0
Strong Participation	33	0	33	Strong Participation	4.5	0.0	3.3
EN	513	227	740	EN	69.6	89.7	74.7
N-EN	224	26	250	N-EN	30.4	10.3	25.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.1.6.2 Position/ National NGO Partnership Program Q416_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Against	15	7	22	Against	2.0	2.8	2.2
neutral	168	97	265	neutral	22.8	38.3	26.8
favor	177	78	255	favor	24.0	30.8	25.8
EN	360	182	542	EN	48.8	71.9	54.7
N-EN	377	71	448	N-EN	51.2	28.1	45.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.1.6.3 Satisfaction/ National NGO Partnership Program [Q416_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Dissatisfied	45	44	89	Dissatisfied	6.1	17.4	9.0
satisfied	185	120	305	satisfied	25.1	47.4	30.8
Very satisfied	45	1	46	Very satisfied	6.1	0.4	4.6
EN	275	165	440	EN	37.3	65.2	44.4
N-EN	462	88	550	N-EN	62.7	34.8	55.6
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2 Is your organization involved in any of the following issues? Choose as many issues that correspond to your organization's actions. In which way are you involved in those issues- advocacy (Negotiating and pressurizing the government in different ways and providing public education) or service providing (materially helping citizens on those matters such as health clinic, financial aid, legal aid, school, skill training etc) ? You can check both if it applies to you organization.

Q4.2.1.a By advocacy/ Political and Human Rights [Q42_01a]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	555	212	767	no	75.3	83.8	77.5
yes	181	41	222	yes	24.6	16.2	22.4
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.1.b By service providing/ Political and Human Rights [Q42_01b]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	639	209	848	no	86.7	82.6	85.7
yes	97	44	141	yes	13.2	17.4	14.2
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.2.a By advocacy/ Rural Development [Q42_02a]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	572	173	745	no	77.6	68.4	75.3
yes	164	80	244	yes	22.3	31.6	24.6
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.2.b By service providing/ Rural Development [Q42_02b]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	613	161	774	no	83.2	63.6	78.2
yes	123	92	215	yes	16.7	36.4	21.7
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.3.a By advocacy/ Law and order [Q42_03a]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	519	215	734	no	70.4	85.0	74.1
yes	217	38	255	yes	29.4	15.0	25.8
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.3.b By service providing Law and order [Q42_03b]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	647	215	862	no	87.8	85.0	87.1
yes	89	38	127	yes	12.1	15.0	12.8
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.4.a By advocacy/ Women's Rights [Q42_04a]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	522	150	672	no	70.8	59.3	67.9
yes	214	103	317	yes	29.0	40.7	32.0
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.4.b By service providing/ Women's Rights [Q42_04b]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	586	158	744	no	79.5	62.5	75.2
yes	150	95	245	yes	20.4	37.5	24.7
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.5.a By advocacy/ Education [Q42_05a]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	442	112	554	no	60.0	44.3	56.0
yes	294	141	435	yes	39.9	55.7	43.9
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.5.b By service providing/ Education [Q42_05b]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	477	112	589	no	64.7	44.3	59.5
yes	259	141	400	yes	35.1	55.7	40.4
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.6.a By advocacy/ Public health [Q42_06a]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	530	152	682	no	71.9	60.1	68.9
yes	206	101	307	yes	28.0	39.9	31.0
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.6.b By service providing/ Public Health [Q42_06b]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	535	145	680	no	72.6	57.3	68.7
yes	201	108	309	yes	27.3	42.7	31.2
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.7.a By advocacy/ Environment [Q42_07a]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	557	167	724	no	75.6	66.0	73.1
yes	179	86	265	yes	24.3	34.0	26.8
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.7.b By service providing/ Environment [Q42_07b]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	580	175	755	no	78.7	69.2	76.3
yes	156	78	234	yes	21.2	30.8	23.6
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.8.a By advocacy/ Labour rights and Unemployment [Q42_08a]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	558	169	727	no	75.7	66.8	73.4
yes	178	84	262	yes	24.2	33.2	26.5
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.8.b By service providing/ Labour rights and Unemployment [Q42_08b]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	601	163	764	no	81.5	64.4	77.2
yes	135	90	225	yes	18.3	35.6	22.7
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.9.a By advocacy/ Corruption [Q42_09a]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	561	196	757	no	76.1	77.5	76.5
yes	175	57	232	yes	23.7	22.5	23.4
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.9.b By service providing/ Corruption [Q42_09b]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	665	214	879	no	90.2	84.6	88.8
yes	71	39	110	yes	9.6	15.4	11.1
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.10.a By advocacy/ Street Politics and Hartal (strike) culture [Q42_10a]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	666	224	890	no	90.4	88.5	89.9
yes	70	29	99	yes	9.5	11.5	10.0
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.10.b By service providing/ Street Politics and Hartal (strike) culture [Q42_10b]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	697	241	938	no	94.6	95.3	94.7
yes	39	12	51	yes	5.3	4.7	5.2
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.11.a By advocacy/ Separation of Judiciary from the executive [Q42_11a]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	677	227	904	no	91.9	89.7	91.3
yes	59	26	85	yes	8.0	10.3	8.6
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q4.2.11.b By service providing/ Separation of Judiciary from the executive [Q42_11b]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	706	238	944	no	95.8	94.1	95.4
yes	30	15	45	yes	4.1	5.9	4.5
EN	736	253	989	EN	99.9	100.0	99.9
N-EN	1	0	1	N-EN	0.1	0.0	0.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Section V [Influence of Foreign-funded NGOs and Religious Civil Society in the context of state-society relation in India]

Q5.1.A Is your organization involved in grass-roots level activities? [Q51A]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	314	82	396	no	42.6	32.4	40.0
yes	356	136	492	yes	48.3	53.8	49.7
EN	670	218	888	EN	90.9	86.2	89.7
N-EN	67	35	102	N-EN	9.1	13.8	10.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q5.1.B If yes, please specify your area of activity [Q51B]

Q5.1.B.1 GO-NGO cooperation for rural development [Q51B_01]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	311	196	507	no	42.2	77.5	51.2
yes	45	57	102	yes	6.1	22.5	10.3
EN	356	253	609	EN	48.3	100.0	61.5
N-EN	381	0	381	N-EN	51.7	0.0	38.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q5.1.B 2 Developing group network [Q51B_02]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	299	204	503	no	40.6	80.6	50.8
yes	64	49	113	yes	8.7	19.4	11.4
EN	363	253	616	EN	49.3	100.0	62.2
N-EN	374	0	374	N-EN	50.7	0.0	37.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q5.1.B 3 Women empowerment [Q51B_03]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	249	178	427	no	33.8	70.4	43.1
yes	119	75	194	yes	16.1	29.6	19.6
EN	368	253	621	EN	49.9	100.0	62.7
N-EN	369	0	369	N-EN	50.1	0.0	37.3
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q5.1.B 4 Local representation and ventilation of grievances [Q51B_04]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	312	226	538	no	42.3	89.3	54.3
yes	49	27	76	yes	6.6	10.7	7.7
EN	361	253	614	EN	49.0	100.0	62.0
N-EN	376	0	376	N-EN	51.0	0.0	38.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q5.1.B 5 Education and literacy [Q51B_05]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	169	122	291	no	22.9	48.2	29.4
yes	213	131	344	yes	28.9	51.8	34.7
EN	382	253	635	EN	51.8	100.0	64.1
N-EN	355	0	355	N-EN	48.2	0.0	35.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q5.1.B 6 Health education and health service [Q51B_06]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	213	176	389	no	28.9	69.6	39.3
yes	158	77	235	yes	21.4	30.4	23.7
EN	371	253	624	EN	50.3	100.0	63.0
N-EN	366	0	366	N-EN	49.7	0.0	37.0
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q5.1.B 7 Legal and human rights [Q51B_07]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	258	229	487	no	35.0	90.5	49.2
yes	114	24	138	yes	15.5	9.5	13.9
EN	372	253	625	EN	50.5	100.0	63.1
N-EN	365	0	365	N-EN	49.5	0.0	36.9
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q5.1.B 8 Economic empowerment [Q51B_08]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	274	186	460	no	37.2	73.5	46.5
yes	90	67	157	yes	12.2	26.5	15.9
EN	364	253	617	EN	49.4	100.0	62.3
N-EN	373	0	373	N-EN	50.6	0.0	37.7
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q5.1.B 9 Social and political awareness and participation [Q51B_09]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	211	204	415	no	28.6	80.6	41.9
yes	158	49	207	yes	21.4	19.4	20.9
EN	369	253	622	EN	50.1	100.0	62.8
N-EN	368	0	368	N-EN	49.9	0.0	37.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q5.1.B 10 Others [Q51B_10]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	324	236	560	no	44.0	93.3	56.6
yes	39	17	56	yes	5.3	6.7	5.7
EN	363	253	616	EN	49.3	100.0	62.2
N-EN	374	0	374	N-EN	50.7	0.0	37.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q5.2 How would you evaluate the role of foreign funded NGOs/ organizations in strengthening civil societies in India?

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
Negligent	30	10	40	Negligent	4.1	4.0	4.0
2	41	10	51	2	5.6	4.0	5.2
3	74	15	89	3	10.0	5.9	9.0
medium	389	167	556	medium	52.8	66.0	56.2
5	108	32	140	5	14.7	12.6	14.1
6	31	6	37	6	4.2	2.4	3.7
highest	23	9	32	highest	3.1	3.6	3.2
EN	696	249	945	EN	94.4	98.4	95.5
N-EN	41	4	45	N-EN	5.6	1.6	4.5
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q5.3 Do you think the issues (like good governance, civil society, women empowerment etc.) of foreign funded NGOs can affect at the community level? [Q53]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	209	103	312	no	28.4	40.7	31.5
yes	478	135	613	yes	64.9	53.4	61.9
EN	687	238	925	EN	93.2	94.1	93.4
N-EN	50	15	65	N-EN	6.8	5.9	6.6
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q5.4 As foreign-funded NGOs are not based on membership so their effect is nominal. [Q54]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	225	120	345	no	30.5	47.4	34.8
yes	445	133	578	yes	60.4	52.6	58.4
EN	670	253	923	EN	90.9	100.0	93.2
N-EN	67	0	67	N-EN	9.1	0.0	6.8
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q5.5 As foreign funded NGOs have good connection with national elite so they can influence the national policy [Q55]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	164	131	295	no	22.3	51.8	29.8
yes	512	122	634	yes	69.5	48.2	64.0
EN	676	253	929	EN	91.7	100.0	93.8
N-EN	61	0	61	N-EN	8.3	0.0	6.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q5.6 Do you think the relation between foreign funded NGOs and religious civil society is confrontational? [Q56]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
no	338	226	564	no	45.9	89.3	57.0
yes	329	27	356	yes	44.6	10.7	36.0
EN	667	253	920	EN	90.5	100.0	92.9
N-EN	70	0	70	N-EN	9.5	0.0	7.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q5.7 How does your organization view the following groups? Rate the following groups on a scale from 1 to 7 in terms of articulating the demand of the people at the community level.

Q5.7.A Rating of articulation of Religious civil society [Q57A]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
weak articulation	72	23	95	weak articulation	9.8	9.1	9.6
2	123	38	161	2	16.7	15.0	16.3
3	142	32	174	3	19.3	12.6	17.6
moderate point	168	102	270	moderate point	22.8	40.3	27.3
5	98	23	121	5	13.3	9.1	12.2
6	44	8	52	6	6.0	3.2	5.3
strong articulation	23	3	26	strong articulation	3.1	1.2	2.6
EN	670	229	899	EN	90.9	90.5	90.8
N-EN	67	24	91	N-EN	9.1	9.5	9.2
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q5.7.B Rating of articulation of Foreign funded NGOs [Q57B]

Freq.	Delhi	Varanasi	Total	%	Delhi	Varanasi	Total
weak articulation	82	9	91	weak articulation	11.1	3.6	9.2
2	77	14	91	2	10.4	5.5	9.2
3	77	29	106	3	10.4	11.5	10.7
moderate point	211	114	325	moderate point	28.6	45.1	32.8
5	132	22	154	5	17.9	8.7	15.6
6	74	8	82	6	10.0	3.2	8.3
strong articulation	28	33	61	strong articulation	3.8	13.0	6.2
EN	681	229	910	EN	92.4	90.5	91.9
N-EN	56	24	80	N-EN	7.6	9.5	8.1
N	737	253	990	N	100.0	100.0	100.0

◆ *Survey Sheet*

खण्ड-1 : सिविल सोसाइटी संगठन के बारे में बुनियादी जानकारी

प्र01.1 आपका संगठन निम्नलिखित में से किस वर्ग में आता है?

- 1. कृषि एवं किसान संगठन
- 2. आर्थिक अथवा व्यावसायिक संगठन
- 3. लेबर यूनियन
- 4. शिक्षा एवं शोध सम्बन्धी संगठन
- 5. सांस्कृतिक संगठन
- 6. सरकार अथवा प्रशासन सम्बन्धी संगठन
- 7. समाज कल्याण के निमित्त संगठन
- 8. व्यावसायिक संगठन (चिकित्सा, कानूनी, शिक्षा, इंजीनियरिंग आदि)
- 9. नागरिक समूह
- 10. गैर सरकारी संगठन (विदेशी और अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय संगठनों को लेकर)
- 11. हिन्दू धार्मिक संगठन
- 12. गैर-हिन्दू धार्मिक संगठन
- 13. मनोरंजन या खेलकूद सम्बन्धी सामाजिक संगठन
- 14. अन्य

प्र01.2 कृपया चिन्हित करें कि निम्नलिखित में से कौन-कौन सी राष्ट्रीय और स्थानीय नीतियाँ आपके संगठन के लिये महत्व रखती हैं ?

- 1. वित्तीय नीतियाँ (सरकारी धन का प्रबन्धन)
- 2. राजस्व नीतियाँ (सरकारी कर, ऋण प्रबन्धन)
- 3. व्यापार और अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय वाणिज्य सम्बन्धी नीतियाँ
- 4. उद्योग को बढ़ावा देने वाली नीतियाँ
- 5. सिविल इंजीनियरिंग, निर्माण और लोक निर्माण सम्बन्धी नीतियाँ
- 6. परिवहन एवं यातायात सम्बन्धी नीतियाँ
- 7. जनसंचार एवं सूचना सम्बन्धी नीतियाँ
- 8. विज्ञान, तकनीक और शोध सम्बन्धी नीतियाँ
- 9. स्थानीय विकास/ग्रामीण विकास सम्बन्धी नीतियाँ
- 10. वैदेशिक नीतियाँ
- 11. अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय विनिमय, सहयोग और सहायता/सम्बन्धी नीतियाँ
- 12. राष्ट्रीय सुरक्षा नीतियाँ
- 13. कानून-व्यवस्था, न्याय और और मानवाधिकार सम्बन्धी नीतियाँ
- 14. स्थानीय स्तर पर सरकारी एवं प्रशासकीय नीतियाँ
- 15. श्रम सम्बन्धी नीतियाँ

- 16. समाज कल्याण
- 17. कृषि
- 18. उपभोक्ता संरक्षण की नीतियाँ
- 19. पर्यावरण, ऊर्जा और प्राकृतिक संसाधन नीतियाँ
- 20. स्वास्थ्य नीतियाँ
- 21. शिक्षा, खेल और मनोरंजन विषयक नीतियाँ
- 22. गरीबी निवारण
- 23. संस्कृति और धर्म
- 24. अन्य

प्र01.3 कृपया चिन्हित करें कि निम्नलिखित में से कौन सी गतिविधियाँ संगठन के उद्देश्य और प्रधान लक्ष्यों से मेल खाती हैं :

- 1. सदस्यों को सूचना उपलब्ध कराना
- 2. सदस्यों की आर्थिक खुशहाली के प्रयास करना
- 3. अपने सदस्य के जीवन स्तर और अधिकारों की रक्षा करना
- 4. अपने सदस्यों को शिक्षा और ट्रेनिंग उपलब्ध कराना
- 5. अपने क्लाइंट को सब्सिडी दिलवाने के लिये स्थानीय और राष्ट्रीय सरकारों पर दबाव डालना।
- 6. लाइसेंस और प्रत्ययपत्र(accreditation) प्राप्त करने में सदस्यों की मदद करना।
- 7. नीतियाँ बनाने के लिये सरकार को तकनीकी सलाह देना
- 8. आम जनता के हित में उसे जागरूक करना और जानकारी उपलब्ध कराना
- 9. आम जनता को सेवा उपलब्ध कराना
- 10. दूसरे संगठनों को फण्ड उपलब्ध कराना
- 11. अन्य

प्र01.4.अ. क्या आपका संगठन भारत सरकार के किसी मंत्रालय या संस्था के साथ पंजीकृत है?

- हाँ नहीं

प्र01.4.ब. यदि हाँ तो उस मंत्रालय अथवा संस्था का नाम बतायें ()

प्र01.5. आपका संगठन कब स्थापित हुआ था?

प्र01.6. आपके संगठन में कितने सदस्य हैं? (सही/अनुमानित)

सदस्य व्यक्ति	सदस्य संगठन

प्र07.1.अ. क्या आपके संगठन को सदस्यता शुल्क के अलावा बाहरी स्रोतों से किसी प्रकार की वित्तीय सहायता अथवा धन प्राप्त होता है?

- हाँ नहीं

यदि हाँ तो कृपया उस संगठन अथवा एजेन्सी का नाम बतायें।

प्र07.1.ब. क्या उक्त वित्तीय सहायताओं के साथ शर्तें जुड़ी हैं

हाँ नहीं

प्र01.8. आपके संगठन में कितने कर्मचारी हैं?

	कुल	स्त्रियाँ	पिछड़े वर्ग से	अल्पसंख्यकों से
पूर्णकालिक कर्मचारी				
अंशकालिक कर्मचारी				
स्वयंसेवक				

प्र01.9 2009 और 2010 में (भारतीय रूपयों में) आपके संगठन का अनुमानित बजट क्या था?

1) 2009 में () 2) 2010 में ()

प्र01.10.अ. आपका संगठन किस स्तर पर अपनी गतिविधियाँ संचालित करता है?

यूनियन/वार्ड उपजिला/नगरपालिका जिला
 राष्ट्रीय अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय


प्र01.10.ब. जब प्र01.10.अ. में चिन्हित आपके क्षेत्र में कोई नीति सम्बन्धी समस्या या घटना घटती है तो उस पर आपके संगठन का कितना प्रभाव होता है?

बहुत अधिक प्रभाव थोड़ा प्रभाव बहुत कम प्रभाव
 नहीं के बराबर प्रभाव कोई प्रभाव नहीं

प्र01.11. निम्नलिखित में से कौन सा परिचय आपके संगठन पर सटीक बैठता है? कृपया किसी एक को चिन्हित करें।

1. ऐसा मुख्यालय है जिसकी अनेक स्थानीय शाखायें हैं।
 2. ऐसा संगठन/जो अनेक स्थानीय शाखाओं वाले किसी बड़े संघीय अथवा राष्ट्रीय संगठन का सदस्य है?
 3. किसी ऐसे संगठन की स्थानीय शाखा है जो किसी दूसरे स्थान पर स्थित है।
 4. ऐसा संगठन है जिसकी स्थानीय शाखायें नहीं हैं और जो दूसरे संगठनों का सदस्य भी नहीं है।
 5. वह स्वयं किसी संगठन का भाग है अथवा उसका प्रतिनिधि आदि है।
 6. अन्य

प्र01.12 नीचे दिये गये स्केल पर आप अपने संगठन की विचारधारा को कहाँ रखना चाहेंगे? कृपया उपयुक्त निशान लगायें।



खण्ड-2 : राज्य और राजनीतिक पार्टियों के साथ आपके संगठन की अन्तःक्रिया

प्र02.1. निम्नलिखित में से उन सभी वाक्यों को चिन्हित करें जो राष्ट्रीय या स्थानीय सरकार के साथ आपके संगठन के सम्बन्ध को बताते हैं?

- 1. सरकार से मान्यता प्राप्त
- 2. सरकार से लाइसेंस प्राप्त
- 3. सरकार से प्रशासकीय मार्गदर्शन प्राप्त
- 4. सरकार की नीतियों और उसके बजट सम्बन्धी क्रियाकलापों को समर्थन देना और उसे सहयोग करना
- 5. सरकार से राय-मशविरे का आदान-प्रदान
- 6. राष्ट्रीय सरकार की समितियों या परामर्शदात्री कौंसिलों में अपना प्रतिनिधि भेजना
- 7. अवकाशप्राप्त सरकारी पदाधिकारियों को अपने संगठन में पद देना
- 8. सरकारी फण्ड या अनुदान प्राप्त करना
- 9. सरकार के माध्यम से विदेशी फण्ड प्राप्त करना
- 10. सरकार के साथ संयुक्त प्रोजेक्ट या कार्यक्रम चलाना

प्र02.2. नीचे दिये गये लोगों से प्रत्यक्ष पैरवी करने के लिये आपका संगठन कितनी बार उनसे सीधे या फोन पर संपर्क करता है? आज की और दस साल पहले की स्थिति को स्पष्ट बताइये?

	1. कभी नहीं	2. कभी-कभार	3. अक्सर	4. बहुधा	5. काफी जल्दी-जल्दी									
				अब						दस वर्ष पहले				
1. मंत्री, आला अफसर	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. मैनेजर पदों पर आसीन केन्द्रीय सरकार के अफसर	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. मुख्यमंत्री, मेयर और स्थानीय सरकार के उच्च अफसर	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. मैनेजर पदों पर आसीन स्थानीय सरकार के अफसर	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

प्र02.3. स्थानीय या राष्ट्रीय सरकारों की नीतियों को अप्रत्यक्षतः प्रभावित करने के लिये आपका संगठन कितनी बार नीचे लिखे लोगों से सम्पर्क करता है। आज भी और 10 वर्ष पहले की स्थिति को स्पष्ट करिये।

	1. कभी नहीं	2. कभी-कभार	3. अक्सर	4. बहुधा	5. काफी जल्दी-जल्दी									
				अब						दस वर्ष पहले				
1. आपके क्षेत्र के सांसद	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. दूसरे क्षेत्रों के सांसद	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. नगर प्रमुख कस्बे के प्रमुख, ग्राम-प्रधान या स्थानीय शासन में नेतृत्व प्रदान करने वाले अन्य महत्वपूर्ण व्यक्ति	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. स्थानीय प्रशासन के अफसर जो मैनेजर पदों पर है	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. स्थानीय समितियों के सदस्य	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

प्र02.4. उसके कार्यक्षेत्र से सम्बन्ध रखने वाले नई सरकारी नीतियों के विषय में उसकी राय जानने के लिये निम्नलिखित लोग या संस्थाएं कितनी बार आपके संगठन से सम्पर्क करते हैं।

	1. कभी नहीं	2. कभी-कभार	3. अक्सर	4. बहुधा	5. काफी जल्दी-जल्दी
	अब				दस वर्ष पहले
1. संसद सदस्य	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. केन्द्रीय प्रशासन के अधिकारी	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. स्थानीय कौंसिलों के सदस्य	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. स्थानीय शासन	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

प्र02.5. राजनीतिक दलों से आपका सम्बन्ध और सम्पर्क कैसा है? इस संदर्भ में आज और दस साल पहले आपके संगठन की स्थिति को सबसे अच्छी तरह बताने वाले वाक्य को चिन्हित करें।

	1. कभी नहीं	2. कभी-कभार	3. अक्सर	4. बहुधा	5. काफी जल्दी-जल्दी
राजनीतिक दल	अब				दस वर्ष पहले
1. बहुजन समाज पार्टी	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. भारतीय जनता पार्टी	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. भारतीय कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. भारतीय कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी (मार्क्सवादी)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. भारतीय राष्ट्रीय कांग्रेस	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. राष्ट्रवादी कांग्रेस पार्टी	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. अन्य (स्पष्ट करें)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

प्र02.6.अ. राष्ट्रीय आम चुनाव के समय क्या आपके संगठन ने नीचे दिये कामों में से कोई काम किया? यदि किया तो कितनी बार किया? आप वह वाक्य चुनें जो अभी और दस साल पहले किये गये आपके उन कामों के बारे में सबसे अच्छी तरह बताता हो।

	1. कभी नहीं	2. कभी-कभार	3. अक्सर	4. बहुधा	5. काफी जल्दी-जल्दी
	अब				दस वर्ष पहले
1. एक खास प्रत्याशी के नामांकन व चुनाव के लिये काम किया	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. आपने संगठन के एक सदस्य/क्लाइंट की पार्टी या चुनाव प्रत्याशी के रूप में सिफारिश की	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. स्वतंत्र व ईमानदार चुनाव के लिये आन्दोलन किया	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. चुनाव के दिन अलग-अलग बूथों पर एक स्वतंत्र निरीक्षक के तौर पर काम किया।	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. अन्य	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

प्र02.6.ब. स्थानीय चुनावों के समय क्या आपके संगठन ने नीचे दिये कामों में से कोई काम किया, और यदि हाँ तो कितनी बार। निम्नलिखित में से वह वाक्य चुनें जो इस संदर्भ में आपके संगठन के बारे में आज की और दस साल पहले की स्थिति सबसे अच्छी तरह बताता है।

1. कभी नहीं 2. कभी-कभार 3. अक्सर 4. बहुधा 5. काफी जल्दी-जल्दी

प्र02.7. जब आपका संगठन राजनीतिक दल या सरकारी प्रशासन से जैसी राजनीतिक निर्णय लेने वाली संस्थाओं से अपील करता है तो निम्नलिखित कार्य वह कितनी बार करता है?

1. कभी नहीं 2. कभी-कभार 3. अक्सर 4. बहुधा 5. काफी जल्दी-जल्दी

1. कैबिनेट में शामिल राजनीतिक पार्टियों से सम्पर्क (व्यक्तिगत इन्टरव्यू, फोन से बात, आदि) 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
2. विरोधी पार्टियों से सम्पर्क (व्यक्तिगत इन्टरव्यू, फोन से बात, आदि) 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
3. सरकारी विभागों या एजेंसियों से सम्पर्क (व्यक्तिगत इन्टरव्यू, फोन से बात, आदि) 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
4. स्थानीय सरकार के लोगों से सम्पर्क (व्यक्तिगत इन्टरव्यू, फोन से बात, आदि) 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
5. कानूनी मसविदा (लेजिस्लेटिव बिल) लिखकर तैयार करने में राजनीतिक दलों या सरकारी संगठनों की मदद 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
6. राजनीतिक दलों या सरकारी मंत्रालयों के लिये रिसर्च के नतीजे या तकनीकी सूचना उपलब्ध करना 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
7. स्थानीय या राष्ट्रीय कौंसिलों या उनकी सलाहकार समितियों के लिये प्रतिनिधि भेजना 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
8. अपने संगठन के सामान्य सदस्यों को राजनीतिक दलों या सरकारी प्रशासन को पत्र लिखने के लिये कहना 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
9. सामाजिक प्रतिरोध या प्रदर्शन में शामिल होना 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
10. सेमिनार, राउंडटेबुल मीटिंग, रैली आदि करना 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
11. विभिन्न मुद्दों और घटनाओं पर विचारों के प्रचार व दूसरे संगठनों की जानकारी के लिये प्रेस कान्फ्रेंस बुलाना 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
12. दूसरे संगठनों या उनके सहयोगी संगठनों के साथ गठबन्धन करना 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
13. कॉर्पोरेट घरानों के एकजीक्यूटिव से सम्पर्क करना (व्यक्तिगत इन्टरव्यू, फोन से बात, आदि) 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
14. संयुक्त राष्ट्र संघ की एजेन्सियों से सम्पर्क करना (व्यक्तिगत इन्टरव्यू, फोन से बात, आदि) 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

प्र02.8. क्या नीचे लिखे किसी व्यक्ति से आपके संगठन के किसी सदस्य का व्यक्तिगत सम्बन्ध है? उन सभी को चिन्हित करें जो आप पर लागू होते हैं?

- 1. चुनाव जीतकर आया हुआ सांसद
- 2. सत्ताधारी दल का नेता
- 3. विरोधी दलों में से किसी एक का नेता
- 4. मेयर
- 5. पत्रकार
- 6. सरकार अथवा स्थानीय प्रशासन के किसी विभाग का प्रधान (मन्त्री, डी0सी0, एस0पी0, यू0एन0ओ0 आदि)
- 7. किसी अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय संगठन या अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय एन0जी0ओ0 का प्रमुख या कोई कर्मचारी
- 8. राष्ट्रीय या स्थानीय न्यायालय का कोई जज या मजिस्ट्रेट
- 9. कॉरपोरेट समूहों से एकजीक्यूटिव स्तर पर सम्पर्क

प्र02.9.अ. क्या कभी आपका संगठन राष्ट्रीय अथवा स्थानीय सरकार से कोई ऐसा बजट, नीति, कार्य या निर्णय लागू करवाने में सफल रहा है, जिसका उसने समर्थन किया हो?

- हाँ नहीं

प्र02.9.ब. क्या कभी आपका संगठन सरकारी बजट, नीति, कार्य अथवा निर्णय को कोई परिवर्तन करवाने में सफल रहा है? या किसी ऐसी नीति अथवा निर्णय को रोकने में वह सफल रहा हो जिसका उसने विरोध किया हो।

- हाँ नहीं

प्र02.10. आपका संगठन स्वतः राजनीति में शामिल होता है या किसी बाहरी शक्ति द्वारा प्रेरित करने पर? (केवल एक विकल्प को चिन्हित करें)

- 1. ज्यादातर हमें बाहरी लोग प्रेरित करते हैं
- 2. हमारी इच्छा और बाहरी इच्छा में संतुलन होता है
- 3. ज्यादातर हम स्वयं ही राजनीति में शामिल होते हैं
- 4. अपने अस्तित्व की रक्षा के लिये हमारे पास राजनीति में शामिल होने के अलावा कोई विकल्प नहीं है

प्र02.1. अपने संगठन के हितों, अधिकारों की रक्षा के लिये और उसकी राय को प्रतिनिधित्व देने की पैरवी करने के लिये आप निम्नलिखित में से किन संस्थाओं को सबसे प्रभावी समझेंगे और इसलिये उनसे सम्पर्क करेंगे? आज की स्थिति और दस साल पहले की स्थिति को अलग-अलग दर्शाने के लिये वरीयता क्रम में संख्या एक (सबसे अधिक प्रभावी) से संख्या तीन (सबसे कम प्रभावी) तक की संख्याओं को अंकित करें।

	राजनीतिक दल (या निचला/ऊपरी सदन)	लोक प्रशासन	न्यायालय
आज	()	()	()
दस साल पहले	()	()	()

खण्ड-3 : सिविल सोसायटी संगठनों के मध्य संबंध

प्र03.1. आपके संगठन की गतिविधियों हेतु सूचनाओं का स्रोत क्या है? दी हुई सूची में से कोई तीन नाम वरीयता क्रम में लिखें।

1. 2. 3.

1. राष्ट्रीय सरकार
2. स्थानीय सरकार
3. राजनीतिक दल
4. संसद सदस्य
5. बुद्धिजीवी अथवा प्रोफेशनल्स थिंक टैंक
6. मीडिया
7. तकनीकी अथवा व्यापारिक पत्र
8. विदेशी सरकार
9. विदेशी एन0जी0ओ0, अंतर्राष्ट्रीय संस्थायें आदि
10. अन्य समान हित वाले घरेलू संगठन
11. आपके संगठन के सदस्य
12. व्यापारिक प्रतिष्ठान
13. अन्य

प्र03.2. पिछले तीन सालों में मीडिया द्वारा कितनी बार आपके संगठन का उल्लेख किया गया है? राष्ट्रीय या निजी टेलीविजन पर अथवा राष्ट्रीय या स्थानीय समाचार पत्रों या पत्रिकाओं में आपके संगठन के उल्लेख किये जाने की अनुमानित संख्या लिखें—

.....बार

प्र03.3. आपके अनुसार निम्नलिखित समूहों/संस्थाओं का भारत की राजनीति पर कितना असर हो सकता है? दिये गये विकल्पों को 1 से 7 के स्केल पर अपने विकल्प व्यवस्थित करें (क्रमांक 1 पर आने वाले समूह/संस्था का सबसे कम प्रभाव होगा और क्रमांक 7 पर आने वाला समूह/संख्या का प्रभाव सर्वाधिक होगा और क्रमांक 4 का प्रभाव मध्यम होगा)

- | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. व्यापार (श्रमिक) संगठन और फेडरेशन | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. कृषि संगठन | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. आर्थिक, व्यापारिक और नियोक्ता संगठन | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. राष्ट्रीय स्तर के बड़े अधिकारी | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. राजनीतिक दल | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. मीडिया | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. बड़े औद्योगिक घराने | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 8. बुद्धिजीवी और अकादमिक लोग | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

- | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 9. उपभोक्ता संगठन | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 10. कल्याणकारी संगठन | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 11. डॉक्टर, वकील, इंजीनियर आदि प्रोफेशनल लोग | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 12. महिला संगठन | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 13. स्थानीय सरकारें | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 14. विदेशी सरकारें व अंतर्राष्ट्रीय संगठन | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 15. हिंदू-धार्मिक संगठन | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 16. गैर-हिन्दू धार्मिक संगठन | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |

प्र03.4 आपके संगठन का निम्नलिखित समूहों से कैसा सम्बन्ध है?

1-7 के स्केल पर बताएं। 1- पूरा असहयोग, 2- पूरा सहयोग, 4- उदासीन

- | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1. व्यापार (श्रमिक) संगठन और फेडरेशन | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 2. कृषि संगठन | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 3. आर्थिक, व्यापारिक और नियोक्ता संगठन | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 4. राष्ट्रीय स्तर के बड़े अधिकारी | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 5. राजनीतिक पार्टियाँ | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 6. मीडिया | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 7. बड़े उद्योग घराने | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 8. बुद्धिजीवी और अकादमिक लोग | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 9. उपभोक्ता संगठन | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 10. कल्याणकारी संगठन | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 11. डॉक्टर, इंजीनियर, वकील आदि प्रोफेशनल लोग | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 12. स्त्री संगठन | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 13. लोकल सरकार | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 14. विदेशी सरकारों व विदेशी संगठन | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 15. हिन्दू धार्मिक संगठन | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |
| 16. गैर-हिन्दू धार्मिक संगठन | <input type="checkbox"/> 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> 7. |

खण्ड-4 : सिविल सोसाइटी के सन्दर्भ में होने वाली समस्याएं व उनके समाधान

प्र0.4.1. नीचे कुछ महत्वपूर्ण सामाजिक, राजनैतिक घटनाओं का उल्लेख है। इन घटनाओं के संदर्भ में अपने संगठन की भागीदारी, पोजीशन और संतुष्टि स्तर बताइए। (अगर यह घटना आपके संगठन के बनने से पहले हुई है तो आप जवाब न दें)

1. 73वें, 74वें संवैधानिक संशोधन (1993) स्थानीय शासन के लिए
2. 86वें संशोधन (2002) : शिक्षा को मौलिक अधिकार बनाना 6-14 साल के बच्चों के लिए
3. 93वें संशोधन (2005) : ओबीसी के लिए आरक्षण
4. 2010 में महिला आरक्षण अधिनियम पर संसद में बहस
5. 2011 का भ्रष्टाचार विरोध अन्ना हजारे आन्दोलन और जन लोकपाल बिल
6. राष्ट्रीय योजना आयोग का एन0जी0ओ0 पार्टनरशिप प्रोग्राम

प्र0.4.2. क्या आपका संगठन नीचे लिखे मुद्दों में से किसी पर काम करता है आप ऐसे सभी मुद्दों को चुन सकते हैं जो आपके संगठन की गतिविधियों से मेल रखते हों। इन मुद्दों पर आपकी सक्रियता मुख्यतः दो प्रकार की हो सकती है— एडवोकेसी तरह तरह से सरकार से सौदेबाजी करना, उस पर दबाव डालना और जनता को शिक्षित करना या सेवा प्रदान करना (स्वास्थ्य क्लिनिक, वित्तीय सहायता, कानूनी परामर्श, स्कूल, स्किल ट्रेनिंग आदि ठोस सेवाएँ प्रदान करके नागरिकों की सहायता करना)।

यदि आपका संगठन दोनों प्रकार से सक्रिय है तो आप दोनों कोष्ठकों को चिन्हित कर सकते हैं :

1. राजनीतिक अधिकार और मानवाधिकार
 अ. एडवोकेसी ब. सेवा प्रदान करके
2. ग्रामीण विकास
 अ. एडवोकेसी ब. सेवा प्रदान करके
3. कानून व्यवस्था
 अ. एडवोकेसी ब. सेवा प्रदान करके
4. स्त्री अधिकार
 अ. एडवोकेसी ब. सेवा प्रदान करके
5. शिक्षा
 अ. एडवोकेसी ब. सेवा प्रदान करके
6. जन-स्वास्थ्य
 अ. एडवोकेसी ब. सेवा प्रदान करके
7. पर्यावरण
 अ. एडवोकेसी ब. सेवा प्रदान करके
8. श्रमिक अधिकार एवं बेरोजगारी
 अ. एडवोकेसी ब. सेवा प्रदान करके
9. भ्रष्टाचार
 अ. एडवोकेसी ब. सेवा प्रदान करके

10. जनाधारित राजनीति और हड़ताल संस्कृति

अ. एडवोकेसी

ब. सेवा प्रदान करके

11. सरकार में न्यायपालिका को कार्यपालिका से अलग करना

अ. एडवोकेसी

ब. सेवा प्रदान करके

खण्ड-5 : भारत में राज्य-समाज सम्बन्धों के संदर्भ में विदेशी सहायता प्राप्त एन0जी0ओ0 और धार्मिक सिविल सोसाइटी के प्रभाव का आंकलन

प्र05.1.अ. क्या आपका संगठन माइक्रो क्रेडिट, शिक्षा, स्किल ट्रेनिंग परिवार नियोजन, स्वास्थ्य और घोषणा, राहत और पुनर्वास जैसी जमीनी स्तर की गतिविधियों से सम्बन्ध रखता है।

हाँ

नहीं

प्र05.1.ब. यदि हाँ तो कृपया अपने कार्यक्षेत्र को चिन्हित करें। आप उन सब पर निशान लगायें जो आप पर लागू होते हैं :

1. ग्राम विकास के लिये सरकारी-गैर सरकारी सहयोग

2. समूहों के नेटवर्क का विकास

3. स्त्री सशक्तीकरण

4. स्थानीय प्रतिनिधित्व और शिकायतों को व्यक्त करना

5. शिक्षा एवं साक्षरता

6. स्वास्थ्य शिक्षा और स्वास्थ्य सेवा


7. कानूनी और मानव अधिकार

8. आर्थिक सशक्तीकरण

9. सामाजिक-राजनीतिक जागरूकता और प्रतिभागिता

10. अन्य

प्र05.2. भारत में सिविल सोसाइटी को मजबूत करने में विदेशी सहायता प्राप्त एन0जी0ओ0 अथवा संगठनों की भूमिका को आप कैसे आँकते हैं?



प्र05.3. क्या आपको लगता है कि सुशासन, सिविल सोसाइटी, स्त्री सशक्तीकरण जैसे विदेशी सहायता प्राप्त एन0जी0ओ0 के मुद्दे सामुदायिक स्तर पर असर डालते हैं?

हाँ

नहीं

कृपया नीचे दिये कथन पर अपनी राय बतायें।

प्र05.4. चूँकि विदेशी सहायता प्राप्त एन.जी.ओ. सदस्यता पर निर्भर नहीं रहते, उनका असर बहुत कम होता है।

हाँ

नहीं

प्र05.5. चूँकि विदेशी सहायता प्राप्त एन0जी0ओ0 के देश के उच्च वर्ग से अच्छे सम्बन्ध होते हैं, इसलिये वे राष्ट्रीय नीति को प्रभावित कर सकते हैं।

हाँ

नहीं

प्र05.6. क्या आपको लगता है कि विदेशी सहायता प्राप्त एन0जी0ओ0 और धार्मिक सिविल सोसाइटी एक दूसरे की विरोधी है?

हाँ

नहीं

प्र05.7. निम्नलिखित समूहों के बारे में आपके संगठन की क्या राय है? सामुदायिक स्तर पर लोगों की माँगों को अभिव्यक्ति देने में इन समूहों की कुशलता को आप 1-7 के स्केल पर कहाँ अंकित करेंगे। क्रमांक 1 से तात्पर्य है कमजोर अभिव्यक्ति, क्रमांक 7 से तात्पर्य है सशक्त अभिव्यक्ति और क्रमांक चार से तात्पर्य है मध्यम स्तर की अभिव्यक्ति।

अ. धार्मिक सिविल सोसाइटी

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.

ब. विदेशी सहायता प्राप्त एन0जी0ओ0

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.

आपके सहयोग के लिये अनेकशः धन्यवाद

.....

प्र0. 2.6.ब. स्थानीय चुनावों के समय क्या आपके संगठन ने नीचे दिये कामों में से कोई काम किया, और यदि हाँ तो कितनी बार। निम्नलिखित में से वह वाक्य चुनें जो इस संदर्भ में आपके संगठन के बारे में आज की और दस साल पहले की स्थिति सबसे अच्छी तरह बताता है।

1. कभी नहीं 2. कभी-कभार 3. अक्सर 4. बहुधा 5. काफी जल्दी-जल्दी

	अब					दस वर्ष पहले				
1. किसी उम्मीदवार के नामांकन या चुनाव में महत्वपूर्ण भूमिका निभाई	<input type="checkbox"/> 1.	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.	<input type="checkbox"/> 4.	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.	<input type="checkbox"/> 1.	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.	<input type="checkbox"/> 4.	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.
2. आपके संगठन के किसी सदस्य या क्लाइंट को किसी दल या चुनाव में उम्मीदवार के रूप में प्रस्तुत किया	<input type="checkbox"/> 1.	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.	<input type="checkbox"/> 4.	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.	<input type="checkbox"/> 1.	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.	<input type="checkbox"/> 4.	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.
3. बाधा एवं भ्रष्टाचार से मुक्त चुनाव के लिए अभियान चलाया	<input type="checkbox"/> 1.	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.	<input type="checkbox"/> 4.	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.	<input type="checkbox"/> 1.	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.	<input type="checkbox"/> 4.	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.
4. चुनाव के दौरान विभिन्न पोलिंग स्टेशन पर स्वतंत्र प्रेक्षक के रूप में भाग लिया	<input type="checkbox"/> 1.	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.	<input type="checkbox"/> 4.	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.	<input type="checkbox"/> 1.	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.	<input type="checkbox"/> 4.	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.
5. अन्य.....	<input type="checkbox"/> 1.	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.	<input type="checkbox"/> 4.	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.	<input type="checkbox"/> 1.	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.	<input type="checkbox"/> 4.	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.

प्र0.4.1. नीचे कुछ महत्वपूर्ण सामाजिक, राजनैतिक घटनाओं का उल्लेख है। इन घटनाओं के संदर्भ में अपने संगठन की भागीदारी, पोजीशन और संतुष्टि स्तर बताइए। (अगर यह घटना आपके संगठन के बनने से पहले हुई है तो आप जवाब न दें)

1. 73वें, 74वें संवैधानिक संशोधन (1993) स्थानीय शासन के लिए

भागीदारी – 1. कोई भागीदारी नहीं 2. भागीदारी 3. अत्यधिक भागीदारी
 स्थिति (Position) – 1. विरोध में 2. उदासीन 3. समर्थन में
 संतुष्टि स्तर– 1. असंतुष्ट 2. संतुष्ट 3. अतिसंतुष्ट

2. 86वें संशोधन (2002) : शिक्षा को मौलिक अधिकार बनाना 6–14 साल के बच्चों के लिए

भागीदारी – 1. कोई भागीदारी नहीं 2. भागीदारी 3. अत्यधिक भागीदारी
 स्थिति (Position) – 1. विरोध में 2. उदासीन 3. समर्थन में
 संतुष्टि स्तर– 1. असंतुष्ट 2. संतुष्ट 3. अति संतुष्ट

3. 93वें संशोधन (2005) : ओबीसी के लिए आरक्षण

भागीदारी – 1. कोई भागीदारी नहीं 2. भागीदारी 3. अत्यधिक भागीदारी
 स्थिति (Position) – 1. विरोध में 2. उदासीन 3. समर्थन में
 संतुष्टि स्तर– 1. असंतुष्ट 2. संतुष्ट 3. अतिसंतुष्ट

4. 2010 में महिला आरक्षण अधिनियम पर संसद में बहस

भागीदारी – 1. कोई भागीदारी नहीं 2. भागीदारी 3. अत्यधिक भागीदारी
 स्थिति (Position) – 1. विरोध में 2. उदासीन 3. समर्थन में
 संतुष्टि स्तर– 1. असंतुष्ट 2. संतुष्ट 3. अतिसंतुष्ट

5. 2011 का भ्रष्टाचार विरोध अन्ना हजारे आन्दोलन और जन लोकपाल बिल

भागीदारी – 1. कोई भागीदारी नहीं 2. भागीदारी 3. अत्यधिक भागीदारी
 स्थिति (Position) – 1. विरोध में 2. उदासीन 3. समर्थन में
 संतुष्टि स्तर– 1. असंतुष्ट 2. संतुष्ट 3. अतिसंतुष्ट

6. राष्ट्रीय योजना आयोग का एन0जी0ओ0 पार्टनरशिप प्रोग्राम

भागीदारी – 1. कोई भागीदारी नहीं 2. भागीदारी 3. अत्यधिक भागीदारी
 स्थिति (Position) – 1. विरोध में 2. उदासीन 3. समर्थन में
 संतुष्टि स्तर– 1. असंतुष्ट 2. संतुष्ट 3. अतिसंतुष्ट



筑波大学
University of Tsukuba



CONFEDERATION OF
NGOs OF RURAL INDIA
ग्रामीण भारत के गैर-सरकारी
संगठनों का परिसंघ

Cross-national Survey on Civil Society Organizations and Interest Groups - Survey on Non-Governmental Organizations in Delhi, India -

Name of the Organization:

Address:

Name of the respondent:

Respondent's Official designation in the organization:

Seal or Monogram of the office:

Code (you don't need to fill up):

Any additional comments or opinions are welcome here.

[Section I: Basic information about the civil society organization]

Q1.1. Which of the 14 classifications listed below best describes your organization? (Please circle only one)

1. Agriculture and farmer related organization
2. Economic or business organization
3. Labor union or federation
4. Education and research related organization
5. Cultural organization
6. Government or administration-related organization
7. Social Welfare organization
8. Professional organization (medical, legal, education, engineers etc.)
9. Citizen's group
10. NGO (including foreign or international organization)
11. Hindu Religious organization
12. Religious organization other than Hindu organization
13. Recreational or sports related social organization
14. Others

Q1.2. Indicate below which national and local policies are of interest or relevant to your organization's activities? Choose all that apply.

1. Financial policies (management of govt. money)
2. Fiscal policies (government tax, debt...)
3. Trade and international commerce policies
4. Industrial promotional policies
5. Civic engineering, construction and public works policies
6. Transportation and traffic policies
7. Communication and information policies
8. Scientific technology and research policies
9. Local development policies/Rural Development
10. Foreign policies
11. International exchange, cooperation and aid policies including foreign debt problem
12. National defense and security policies.
13. Criminal Judicial Administration policies (Law and order) Justice and human rights
14. Local government and administrative policies
15. Labor polices
16. Social welfare
17. Agriculture
18. Consumer protection policies
19. Environment, energy and natural resources policies
20. Healthcare policies
21. Education, academic, sports and recreational policies
22. Poverty Alleviation
23. Culture and religion
24. Others,.....

Q1.3. what are the main purpose of your organization and the primary goal of your organization's activities? Choose all that apply.

1. Providing information to members
2. Pursuing economic well-being for members
3. Protecting the standard of living and rights of the members/clients
4. Providing education and training opportunities for members/clients
5. Advocating on behalf of the members in order to gain subsidies from local and national governments
6. Assisting members in licensing and accreditation procedures
7. Providing policy recommendations based on technical knowledge for public policy making
8. Providing education and information for the good of the general public
9. Providing service to the general public
10. Providing funds to other organizations
11. Others _____

Q1.4.A Is your organization registered with any ministry or institution?

Yes No

Q1.4.B If yes, Name the ministry or institution _____

Q1.5. When was your organization founded?

--	--	--	--

Q1.6. How many members does your organization have? (If you don't know the exact number then please round off the figure)

Individual members	Member organization

Q1.7.A. Does your organization receive funds or any other type of financial assistance from outside sources besides membership fee? If yes, in the space below, please write the names of the organizations or government agencies that have provided financial assistance to your organization.

Yes No

If yes, Name of the organization or agency _____

Q1.7.B. Are the financial assistances accompanied by condition?

Yes No

Q1.8. How many employees does your organization have?

	Total	Women	Socially Backward Castes	From Minorities
Full time employees				
Part time employees				
Volunteeries				

Q1.9. What was your organization's budget in INR in the year 2009 and 2010

1) In 2009 _____ 2) In 2010 _____ (a round figure is Ok)

Q1.10.A. What geographic area does your organization mainly encompass in conducting its activities?

1. Union/Ward 2.Subdistrict/Municipality 3. District 4. National 5. Global.


Q1.10.B. When a policy related problem or incident occurs in the geographical area indicated in Q1.10.A. how much influence does your organization have on these problems? Please Identify.

1. Strong Influence 2. Some Influence 3. Little influence
4. Hardly any influence 5. No influence.

Q1.11. Which of the following categories best describe your organization? Circle one answer.

1. Headquarter with local branches in other places.
2. Organization that is a member of a larger organization (federation, union, etc.) with local branches in other places.
3. Local branch of an organization that is located in other place.
4. Organization without local branches and not a member of other organizations.
5. Division, representative office, etc. of an organization.
6. Other

Q1.12. How would you describe your organization's opinions on the scale below? Circle the appropriate number.



[Section II Nature of Interaction with the State and Political Parties]

Q2.1. Circle the statement that describes the relationship your organization has with the government or local government. Choose all that apply.

1. Accredited or approved by the government
2. Licensed by the government
3. Administrative guidance provided by the government
4. Cooperating with and supporting policies and budget activities of the government
5. Exchanging opinions with the government
6. Sending representative to council and /or advisory bodies of the national government
7. Offering positions to government officials after retirement
8. Receiving government funds or grants
9. Receiving foreign fund through the government
10. Having joint projects or programs with the government

Q2.2. How often does your organization contact (by phone or directly) people from the list below for lobbying (direct lobbying)? Specify the frequency today and 10 years ago.

1=Never, 2=Seldom, 3=Often, 4=Quite often, 5=Very often

	Now					Ten years before				
1. Ministers, public servants of higher ranks	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
2. Public servants of central administration on managerial positions	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
3. Chief Ministers/Mayors, public servants of higher ranks of local government	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
4. Public servants of local administration on managerial positions	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.

Q2.3. How often does your organization contact people from the list below to ask them to influence the policies of local or central governments (indirect lobbying)? Specify the frequency today and 10 years ago.

1=Never, 2=Seldom, 3=Often, 4=Quite often, 5=Very often

	Now					Ten years before				
1. Parliament members from your election district	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
2. Parliament members from other election districts	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
3. City, town presidents, village chiefs or other leaders of local government	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
4. Public servants of local administration on managerial positions	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
5. Local council members	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.

Q2.4. How often do the following persons or institutions contact your organization seeking your opinion on new policies related to the area of activity of your organization?

1=Never, 2=Seldom, 3=Often, 4=Quite often, 5=Very often

	Now					Ten years before				
1. Parliament members	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
2. Central administration officials	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
3. Local council members	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
4. Local government	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.

Q2.5. What type of relationship and contact do you have with political parties? Choose the statement which best corresponds to your organization's action today and ten years before.

1=Never, 2=Seldom, 3=Often, 4=Quite often, 5=Very often

Political Parties	Now					Ten years before				
1. Bahujan Samaj Party	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
2. Bhartiya Janata Party	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
3. Communist Party of India	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
4. Communist Party of India (Marxist)	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
5. Indian National Congress	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
6. Nationalist Congress Party	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
7. Others (please specify)	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.

Q2.6.A. During national elections- did your organization take any of the following actions, and if so how frequently? Choose the statement which best corresponds to your organization's actions today and ten years ago.

1=Never, 2=Seldom, 3=Often, 4=Quite often, 5=Very often

	Today					Ten years ago				
1. Played a role for nomination and election for a particular candidate	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
2. Recommended a member/client of your organization as a party/election candidate	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
3. Launched campaign for free and fair election	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
4. Participated as independent observers at different polling stations during the election day	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
5. Others.....	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.

Q2.6.B. During local elections- did your organization take any of the following actions, and if so how frequently? Choose the statement which best corresponds to your organization’s actions today and ten years ago.

1=Never, 2=Seldom, 3=Often, 4=Quite often, 5=Very often

	Today	Ten years ago
1. Played a role for nomination and election for a particular candidate	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
2. Recommended a member/client of your organization as a party/election candidate	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
3. Launched campaign for free and fair election	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
4. Participated as independent observers at different polling stations during the election day	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
5. Others.....	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Q2.7. When your organization appeals to political decision making bodies like political parties or government administrations, how often do you take any of the measures listed below?

1=Never, 2=Seldom, 3=Often, 4=Quite often, 5=Very often

(1) Contacting the parties in the cabinet (by personal interviews, phone calls etc.)	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
(2) Contacting the opposition parties (by personal interviews, phone calls etc.)	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
(3) Contacting governmental department and agencies (by personal interviews, phone call etc.)	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
(4) Contacting the local government personnel (by personal interviews, phone call etc.)	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
(5) Helping to draft legislative bills for political parties or governmental organization	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
(6) Presenting research results or technical information to political parties and/or government ministries	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
(7) Sending representatives to local or national councils and /or advisory bodies	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
(8) Asking general organizational members to write letters or make phone calls to political parties or government administration	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
(9) Engaging in mass protests or demonstrations	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
(10) Organizing seminars, round table meeting, rally etc.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
(11) Holding press conferences in order to publicize ideas and let know organizations position on different issues and incidents	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
(12) Forming coalition with other organizations or umbrella organizations	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
(13) Contacting corporate house executives (by personal interviews, phone calls etc.)	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
(14) Contacting United Nations organizations and agencies (by personal interviews, phone calls etc.)	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Q2.8. Does your organization or any member has personal relationship with any of the following persons? Choose all that apply.

1. An elected parliament member
2. A leader of the ruling party
3. A leader of the opposition parties
4. A Mayor or Word Commissioner
5. A journalist
6. A chief or a section chief from a department of a government/local administration (minister, D.C, S.P, UNO etc.)
7. A chief or a staff of an international organization or international NGO
8. A judge or a magistrate of the national or local court
9. Executive-level contact with Corporate groups

Q2.9.A. Did your organization ever succeed in having a budget, policy, action or decision it favored being implemented by a national or local government?

Yes No

Q2.9.B. Did your organization ever succeed altering a budget, policy, action, decision, or blocking the implementation of a policy, decision, it did not favor?

Yes No

Q2.10. Does your organization involve in politics by itself or is your organization approached by outside sources to become involved in politics? (Choose only one)

1. Most of the time we are approached by outside sources
2. There is a balance between internal and external drives
3. Most of the time, we get involved by our own
4. For our existence there is no other way but to meddle in politics

Q2.11. Which of the following institutions would you consider most effective and contact them in order to lobby (for the protection of interest and rights, and representation of opinions of your organization)? Write numbers from 1 (most effective) to 3 (least effective) in order of importance, separately for today and 10 years ago.

	Political parties (or Lower/Upper Houses)	Public administration	Courts
	↓	↓	↓
Today	()	()	()
10 years ago	()	()	()

[Section III Relationship among the civil society organizations]

Q3.1. what source does your organization uses to get information for its activities? Rank, in order of importance, three from the list below.

1. _____, 2. _____, 3. _____

1. National government
2. Local government
3. Political parties
4. Parliament members
5. Scholars or professionals, think tank
6. The mass media
7. Technical or trade papers
8. Foreign government
9. Foreign NGOs, international organizations etc.
10. Other domestic organization with similar interests
11. Members of your organization
12. Business companies
13. Others _____

Q3.2. How many times did mass media mention your organization during past 3 years? Write the approximate number of times your organization appeared on national or private television and/or in any national or local newspaper or magazine. _____ times

Q3.3. To what extent do you think the following groups influence politics in India? Rate each of the following groups on a scale from 1 to 7 in terms of political influence. (1 stands for very little influence, 7 stands for a lot of influence, and 4 is the moderate point.

1. Trade (labor) unions and federations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
2. Agricultural organizations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
3. Economic, business, and employers organizations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
4. National bureaucrats	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
5. Political parties	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
6. The mass media	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
7. Large business /corporations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
8. Scholars and academicians	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
9. Consumer organizations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
10. Welfare organizations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
11. Professional organizations (doctor, engineer, lawyer etc.)	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
12. Women movement organizations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
13. Local governments	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
14. Foreign government and International organizations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
15. Hindu Religious organizations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
16. Religious organizations other than Hindu organizations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.

Q3.4. what type of relation and cooperation does your organization has with the following groups? Rate the following groups on a scale from 1 to 7 in terms of cooperation with your organization. 1 stands for uncooperative, 7 stand for cooperative and 4 is the neutral point.

1. Trade (labor) unions and federations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
2. Agricultural organizations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
3. Economic, business, and employers organizations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
4. National bureaucrats	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
5. Political parties	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
6. The mass media	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
7. Large business /corporations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
8. Scholars and academicians	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
9. Consumer organizations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
10. Welfare organizations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
11. Professional organizations (doctor, engineer, lawyer etc.)	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
12. Women movement organizations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
13. Local governments	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
14. Foreign government and International organizations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
15. Hindu Religious organizations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
16. Religious organizations other than Hindu organizations	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.

[Section IV Problems and Prospect in the context of Civil Society in India]

Q4.1. Below are listed important political decisions and events that have taken place in the last 15 years. Please circle your organization’s *Participation, Position and Satisfaction* to each of these decisions and events. (if the event took place before the establishment of your organization then you are free to skip those events)

1. 73rd and 74th Amendment of the Constitution for Local Governance in 1993

Participation: 1. No participation 2. Participation 3. Strong participation
Position: 1. Against 2 Neutral. 3. Favor
Satisfaction: 1. Dissatisfied 2. Satisfied 3. Very Satisfied

2. 86th Amendment 2002 : Making education a fundamental right for children in the age group of 6 – 14 years

Participation: 1. No participation 2. Participation 3. Strong participation
Position: 1. Against 2 Neutral. 3. Favor
Satisfaction: 1. Dissatisfied 2. Satisfied 3. Very Satisfied

3. 93rd Amendment 2005 : To reserve seats for socially and educationally backward classes, besides the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes, in private unaided institutions other than those run by minorities

Participation: 1. No participation 2. Participation 3. Strong participation
Position: 1. Against 2 Neutral. 3. Favor
Satisfaction: 1. Dissatisfied 2. Satisfied 3. Very Satisfied

4. Debate on Women’s Reservation Bill in the Parliament 2010

<i>Participation:</i>	1. No participation	2. Participation	3. Strong participation
<i>Position:</i>	1. Against	2. Neutral.	3. Favor
<i>Satisfaction:</i>	1. Dissatisfied	2. Satisfied	3. Very Satisfied

5. Anti-Corruption Movement and the *Jan Lokpal* Bill (People’s Ombudsman) in 2011

<i>Participation:</i>	1. No participation	2. Participation	3. Strong participation
<i>Position:</i>	1. Against	2. Neutral.	3. Favor
<i>Satisfaction:</i>	1. Dissatisfied	2. Satisfied	3. Very Satisfied

6. National NGO Partnership Programme by the Planning Commission, Central Government

<i>Participation:</i>	1. No participation	2. Participation	3. Strong participation
<i>Position:</i>	1. Against	2. Neutral.	3. Favor
<i>Satisfaction:</i>	1. Dissatisfied	2. Satisfied	3. Very Satisfied

Q4.2. Is your organization involved in any of the following issues? Choose as many issues that correspond to your organization’s actions. In which way you are involved in those issues- *advocacy* (Negotiating and pressurizing the government in different ways and providing public education) or *service providing* (materially helping citizens on those matters such health clinic, financial aid, legal aid, school, skill training etc.)? You can circle both if it applies to your organization.

1. Political and human rights
a. by advocacy b. by service providing.....
2. Rural development
a. by advocacy..... b. by service providing
3. Law and order
a. by advocacy..... b. by service providing
4. Women’s right
a. by advocacy..... b. by service providing
5. Education
a. by advocacy..... b. by service providing
6. Public health
a. by advocacy..... b. by service providing
7. Environment
a. by advocacy..... b. by service providing
8. Labor right and Unemployment
a. by advocacy..... b. by service providing
9. Corruption
a. by advocacy..... b. by service providing
10. Street Politics and Hartal (strike) Culture
a. by advocacy..... b. by service providing
11. Separation of the Judiciary from the executive branch of the Government
a. by advocacy..... b. by service providing

[Section V Influence of Foreign- funded NGOs and Religious Civil Society in the context of state-society relation in India]


Q5.1.A. Is your organization involved in grass-roots level activities (for example micro-credit, education, skill training, family planning, health and nutrition, relief and rehabilitation and so on)?

Yes No

Q5.1.B. If Yes, Please specify your area of activity, you may select all that apply.

1. GO-NGO cooperation for rural development
2. Developing group network
3. Women empowerment
4. Local representation and ventilation of grievances
5. Education and literacy
6. Health education and health service
7. Legal and human rights
8. Economic Empowerment
9. Social and political awareness and participation
10. Others.....

Q5.2. How would you evaluate the role of foreign funded NGOs/ organizations in strengthening civil societies in India? (1= negligent, 7= highest and 4= medium).



Q5.3. Do you think the issues (like good governance, civil society, women empowerment etc.) of foreign funded NGOs can affect at the community level?

Yes No

Please give your opinion on the following statement.

Q5.4. As foreign-funded NGOs are not based on membership so their effect is nominal.

Yes No

Q5.5. As foreign funded NGOs have good connection with national elite so they can influence the national policy.

Yes No

Q5.6. Do you think the relation between foreign funded NGOs and religious civil society is confrontational?

Yes No

Q5.7. How does your organization view the following groups? Rate the following groups on a scale from 1 to 7 in terms of articulating the demand of the people at the community level. 1 stands for weak articulation, 7 stand for strong articulation and 4 is the moderate point.

A. Religious civil society	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
B. Foreign funded NGOs	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.

Thank you very much for your kind cooperation.

Copyright page

Project leader, General Editor

Dr. Yutaka Tsujinaka is a professor in the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences at the University of Tsukuba. He received a PhD in law from Kyoto University. His research focuses on interest groups and civil society, and he has written or edited more than a dozen books on these topics. His most recent work in English is *Nonprofits and Advocacy: Engaging Community and Government in an Era of Retrenchment* (Johns Hopkins University Press, 2014), co-edited with Robert J. Pekkanen and Steven Rathgeb Smith.

Principal Investigator: Swasti Rao is a doctoral candidate of PhD program of International Advanced Japanese Studies in the Graduate School of Humanities and Social Sciences at the University of Tsukuba. She received her masters degree from Jawaharlal Nehru University in New Delhi, India.

Collaborator Delhi- Confederation of National Rural NGOs , Delhi

Head- Mr. L.V.Saptharshi

Collaborator Varanasi- New Initiative for Political Understanding and Nurturing, Varanasi branch

Head- Mr. Sandeep Kumar

Data Analyst : Yohei Kobashi is a researcher, PhD in social engineering from Tokyo Institute of Technology. While his major is social science, the approach is interdisciplinary. He is the author of refereed journal articles about Japanese Linguistics and Natural Language Processing, and book chapters of a Japanese book about Chinese civil society.

Cross National Survey on Civil Society Organizations and Interest Groups (India)
IN-JIGS Codebook

2015年1月30日

編著者 辻中豊・Swasti Rao・小橋洋平 / 発行者 辻中豊

発行所 筑波大学 (〒305-8571 茨城県つくば市天王台1-1-1)

Tel: 029-853-6289 Fax: 029-853-7454

印刷所 (株)いなもと印刷 (〒300-0007 茨城県土浦市板谷6丁目28-8)

Tel: 029-826-1221 Fax: 029-826-1080
