The nature of the progenitor of the M31 north-western stream: globular clusters as milestones of its orbit

T. Kirihara,^{1*} Y. Miki^{1,2*} and M. Mori^{1,3*}

¹Center for Computational Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tennodai 1-1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8577, Japan
 ²CREST, JST, Tennodai 1-1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8577, Japan
 ³Faculty of Pure and Applied Physics, University of Tsukuba, Tennodai 1-1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8577, Japan

Accepted 2017 May 5. Received 2017 April 10; in original form 2016 November 19

ABSTRACT

We examine the nature, possible orbits and physical properties of the progenitor of the northwestern stellar stream (NWS) in the halo of the Andromeda galaxy (M31). The progenitor is assumed to be an accreting dwarf galaxy with globular clusters (GCs). It is, in general, difficult to determine the progenitor's orbit precisely because of many necessary parameters. Recently, Veljanoski et al. reported five GCs whose positions and radial velocities suggest an association with the stream. We use these data to constrain the orbital motions of the progenitor using test-particle simulations. Our simulations split the orbit solutions into two branches according to whether the stream ends up in the foreground or in the background of M31. Upcoming observations that will determine the distance to the NWS will be able to reject one of the two branches. In either case, the solutions require that the pericentric radius of any possible orbit be over 2 kpc. We estimate the efficiency of the tidal disruption and confirm the consistency with the assumption for the progenitor being a dwarf galaxy. The progenitor requires the mass $\gtrsim 2 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ and half-light radius $\gtrsim 30$ pc. In addition, *N*-body simulations successfully reproduce the basic observed features of the NWS and the GCs' line-of-sight velocities.

Key words: galaxies: individual: M31 – galaxies: interactions.

1 INTRODUCTION

The Lambda cold dark matter (Λ CDM) theory has been successful in explaining the large-scale structure in the universe, but several discrepancies with observations have arisen below the galaxy scale. For example, the observed density profile of the dark matter halo (DMH) in nearby dwarf galaxies is almost flat at the centre of these galaxies, whereas cosmological simulations based on the Λ CDM model have generally predicted a steep power-law density distribution (the 'cusp-core problem'; e.g. Moore 1994; Burkert 1995; Navarro, Frenk & White 1996; Ogiya & Mori 2014). Recently, modelling the giant southern stream in the halo of the Andromeda galaxy (M31), Kirihara, Miki & Mori (2014) showed that the outer density profile of the DMH is steeper than that of the CDM prediction.

Furthermore, cosmological *N*-body simulations have predicted that CDM haloes of the Milky Way (MW) and M31 size galaxies host 100–1000 sub-haloes, but only two to three dozen satellite galaxies have been discovered in these galaxies [the 'missing-satellite (sub-halo) problem'; e.g. Moore et al. 1999; McConnachie 2012]. The stellar debris of galaxy mergers, especially the stellar

*E-mail: kirihara@ccs.tsukuba.ac.jp (TK); ymiki@ccs.tsukuba.ac.jp (YM); mmori@ccs.tsukuba.ac.jp (MM) streams of satellite dwarfs, can be used to study this problem. If a sub-halo has little gas and/or few stars, the halo would not be detected by current telescopes. However, one powerful approach to confirm the existence of these invisible sub-haloes is to look for gaps in stellar streams perturbed by sub-haloes (Carlberg 2012). This technique has been employed to estimate the number of subhaloes in the MW halo (Carlberg, Grillmair & Hetherington 2012; Ibata, Lewis & Martin 2016).

doi:10.1093/mnras/stx1122

In this work, we direct our attention to the north-western stream in M31 (hereafter NWS). This fairly long stellar stream extending over 100 kpc in projected distance in M31's halo was reported by the Pan-Andromeda Archaeological Survey (PAndAS) in McConnachie et al. (2009) and Richardson et al. (2011). Despite the large scale, physical properties such as the distance and line-ofsight (LOS) velocity of the NWS have not been reported due to its faintness. As a result, little is known about the formation history of the NWS. Its LOS location relative to M31 is not known, and its total extent and mass are not well established. Because of the faintness, Carlberg et al. (2011) modelled a globular cluster (GC) being the progenitor. However, a satellite dwarf cannot be excluded. Only recently, Veljanoski et al. (2013) reported that a number of GCs appear to spatially coincide with the stellar debris and streams in M31's halo. Veljanoski et al. (2014) measured the LOS velocities of these GCs and found that some GCs aligned with the streams

© 2017 The Authors

exhibited a clear correlation between their positions and LOS velocities. This included five GCs aligned with the NWS. This result leads us to consider the possibility that the progenitor dwarf galaxies of large stellar streams carry GCs with them. Here, we explore progenitor orbits for the NWS, constrained by the LOS velocities of the five GCs that show kinematic evidence for being associated with the stream.

The possible orbits of the NWS progenitor are explored by a systematic parameter survey using test-particle simulations. In addition to the observed LOS velocities of the GCs located along the NWS, we use the plane of sky position of the NWS as the criterion for the likelihood of an orbit. In Section 2, we describe the observational data for the NWS. In Section 3, we outline our modelling and show the results of our test-particle simulations. Demonstrations with *N*-body progenitors are also covered in Section 3. We conclude with a brief discussion and summary in Section 4.

2 MODEL AND ASSUMPTION

The NWS is observed at distances of $30 < R_{\text{proj}} < 150 \text{ kpc}$ from the centre of M31 (McConnachie et al. 2009; Lewis et al. 2013). We estimated by eye the width of the stream and the location of the centre of the stream in eight regions along the length of the stream. The eight regions lie in the range $-5^{\circ}50 < \xi < -2^{\circ}53$ and $-0^{\circ}50 < \eta < 4^{\circ}.71$ (see Table 1), where ξ and η are the sky coordinates centred on M31 (Ferguson et al. 2002).

So far, seven GCs have been discovered along the NWS, and five (PAndAS-04, 09, 10, 11 and 12) of them show a clear correlation of their galactocentric radial velocities with projected radii from M31's centre (see table 4 and fig. 11 of Veljanoski et al. 2014). In this paper, we assume that these five GCs initially inhabited the progenitor of the NWS and still have the same orbital motion as the NWS.

In our simulations, we adopt an M31 model for which the gravitational potential is fixed, which reproduces well the observed surface brightness of the bulge and disc components, the velocity dispersion of the bulge and the rotation curve of the disc (Fardal et al. 2007). The potential consists of a Hernquist bulge (Hernquist 1990), an exponential disc and a Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) DMH (Navarro et al. 1996). The scale radius and total mass of the bulge are 0.61 kpc and $3.24 \times 10^{10} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$, respectively. The M31 disc is set to have a scaleheight of 0.6 kpc, a radial scalelength of 5.4 kpc, a total mass of 3.66 \times $10^{10}\,M_{\odot}$ and a central surface density of $2.0 \times 10^8 \,\text{M}_{\odot} \,\text{kpc}^{-2}$. The inclination and position angle of M31's disc are 77° and 37°, respectively (Geehan et al. 2006). The scaleradius and scaledensity of the NFW halo are 7.63 kpc and $6.17 \times 10^7 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot} \, kpc^{-3}}$, respectively. We adopt a distance and LOS velocity to M31 of 780 kpc and -300 km s⁻¹, respectively (Font et al. 2006).

Table 1. Position of the sampled points on the NWS.

Name	ξ	η	$W_{\rm h}$
NWS-f1	-2°.53	-0.50	0°.18
NWS-f2	-2°.93	-0°.09	0°.19
NWS-f3	-3°.59	0°.58	0°.14
NWS-f4	-4°.17	1:45	0.016
NWS-f5	-4°.65	2°.33	0°.14
NWS-f6	-4°96	3°.17	0°.18
NWS-f7	-5°.23	4°.06	0°.20
NWS-f8	$-5^{\circ}.50$	4°.71	0.25

3 SIMULATIONS

3.1 Parameter study of test-particle simulation

To investigate possible orbits of the NWS progenitor, we perform a parameter study using test-particle simulations. The test particle represents the progenitor. The initial position of the test particle is $(\xi, \eta) = (-4.57, 2.22)$ and its LOS velocity $V_{los} = -472 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. These values correspond to the observed values of GC PAndAS-12, which has the smallest uncertainty in LOS velocity. Our results are barely affected by the fixed condition for the GC PAndAS-12 (ξ, η, V_{LOS}). 6D phase-space information is required to specify an orbit. The remaining three free parameters are the distance *d* and the proper motion (V_{ξ} and V_{η}) of the NWS at the location of the initial conditions. We examine 5068 617 orbit models: The parameter ranges are $-250 \le V_{\xi} < 250 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $-200 \le V_{\eta} \le 250 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in intervals of 3 km s⁻¹ and $500 \le d \le 1100 \text{ kpc}$ in intervals of 3 kpc.

We use the second-order leap-frog method, and the time-step of the integration both forward and backward in time is 1 kyr. An orbital calculation stops at 5 Gyr in each direction of integration or at the turnaround point in the sky. To evaluate how well the orbit reproduces the observed NWS's locus, we conduct a χ_{ν}^2 analysis for the position and LOS velocity among the simulated orbit, the observed position of the NWS and the observed LOS velocity of the five GCs. With respect to the loci of the NWS, we use the eight sampled regions on the NWS mentioned in Section 2 (Table 1). Observed positional uncertainties are assumed to be 0.3, which is a conservative bound based on the largest value of the estimated stream half-width W_h (see Table 1). As for the LOS velocity, we perform the comparison with the data of the five GCs at the points of closest approach in the orbit.

Fig. 1 illustrates the regions in parameter space yielding positions and the LOS velocities that lie within the 1σ confidence limit (CL) for both criteria. The 1σ CLs for the positions ($\nu = 7$) and the LOS velocities ($\nu = 4$) are 1.36 and 1.57, respectively, and are shown in white and cyan curves, respectively. This figure clearly shows



Figure 1. Projected view of the possible parameter space of the NWS progenitor's orbit. The coloured region satisfies the 1σ CL for both the position and the LOS velocity criteria. Regions excluded by each criterion are denoted by white (NWS positions) and cyan (GCs' LOS velocities) dotted lines. A 3D plot is provided in the online-only material.



Figure 2. (a) Histogram of the pericentric radii of the successful orbits. The black long-dashed line shows the result for all successful orbits, which lie within the 1σ CL. Orbits that also have experienced two or more apocentric passages in 12 Gyr are shown with the solid black line. The red dashed line and the blue dotted line are the distributions of orbits whose northern apocentres are located in the foreground and background of M31, respectively. (b) Distributions of the apocentric distances of the orbits, which have experienced two or more apocentric passages in 12 Gyr. Colours correspond to the distributions in panel (a). The vertical dashed line marks the distance to M31 from Earth.

that the solutions that produce an NWS-like orbit consist of two main branches ($V_{\eta} > 0$ and $V_{\eta} < 0$). The criteria for the NWS positions strongly limit the possible parameter space in the $V_{\xi}-V_{\eta}$ plane. The criteria for the GCs' LOS velocities primarily constrain the $d-V_{\eta}$ and $V_{\xi}-d$ planes. A total of 102 073 orbit models satisfy both criteria, and we shall refer to this set as the set of successful orbits. A total of 615 739 and 306 968 models satisfy only the position criterion and the LOS velocity criterion, respectively. The minimum χ^2_{ν} values for the position and LOS velocity are 0.082 and 0.113, respectively.

Fig. 2(a) shows the distribution of the pericentric radii for all successful orbits. The black long-dashed line traces the distribution of such orbits. The orbits that have experienced two or more apocentric passages within 12 Gyr are shown with the solid black line and are divided into the two characteristic main branches, depending on whether their northern apocentres are located in the foreground or the background with respect to M31. The peaks of each line lie between a pericentric radius of 20 and 30 kpc. We note that no orbit can approach M31's centre within 10 kpc. Even if we adopt the constraint for 3 or CL, any orbit cannot reach 2 kpc from M31's centre. Fig. 2(b) describes the distribution of the apocentric distance at the northern side using the successful orbits that have experienced two or more apocentric passages in 12 Gyr. There clearly exist two branches: one for which the NWS extends into the foreground and the other for which it extends to the background of the M31 halo on the northern side. The constraints derived from the LOS velocity of the GCs do not allow apocentres in the vicinity of the distance of M31's centre.

3.2 Mass of NWS progenitor

The minimum pericentric radius derived by our test-particle simulations allows us to estimate the total mass of the progenitor. We first estimate the Hill radius that defines a tidal radius of a satellite in a gravitational potential of the host system. We expect that the satellite is mostly strongly tidally distorted at the pericentre in the orbital motions. In this case, the Hill radius will simply be determined by the mass of the satellite within the Hill radius r_{Hill} and the mass of M31 $M_{<\text{peri}}$ within the pericentric radius r_{peri} . Assuming a Plummer sphere for the progenitor, we obtain

$$r_{\rm Hill}^2 = \left(\frac{m_{\rm sat}}{3M_{\rm < peri}}\right)^{2/3} r_{\rm peri}^2 - r_{\rm s}^2,\tag{1}$$

where m_{sat} and r_{s} are the total mass and scaleradius of the Plummer sphere. In the case of a Plummer sphere, the half-light radius r_{h} is given exactly by $r_{\text{s}} = (2^{2/3} - 1)^{1/2} r_{\text{h}} \simeq 0.77 r_{\text{h}}$. Equation (1) enables us to estimate the mass of the satellite tidally disrupted by the gravitational potential of M31. The stripped mass m_{strip} is given as $m_{\text{sat}} - 3M_{<\text{peri}}(r_{\text{Hill}}/r_{\text{peri}})^3$.

Fig. 3 shows the stripped mass from the progenitor as a function of the total mass and scaleradius of the satellite. Figs 3(a) and (b) plot the results for the most probable orbit ($r_{peri} = 25$ kpc) and the orbit with the smallest perigalacticon ($r_{peri} = 2$ kpc), respectively. The black line in Fig. 3(a) shows the critical conditions for $r_{peri} = 25$ kpc, which is derived by setting $r_{Hill} = 0$ in equation (1). Above this line, the satellite is completely disrupted by the tidal force of the M31 gravity. In Fig. 3, we overplot physical properties of the observed GCs (magenta circles in panel b) in the MW (Harris 1996) and dwarf galaxies (black symbols) in the Local Group, including some nearby dwarf galaxies (McConnachie 2012). To convert M_V of the GCs into stellar mass, we assume a V-band mass-to-light ratio (M/L) of 2 (Pryor & Meylan 1993). As for dwarf galaxies, the stellar masses are estimated assuming a stellar mass-to-light ratio of 1 (McConnachie 2012).

One might construe from the faintness of the NWS that the progenitor was a GC. The estimation of the tidally stripped mass suggests the progenitor be a dwarf galaxy instead of a GC. The luminosity of the tidal stream was estimated by Carlberg et al. (2011) as $7.4 \times 10^5 \,\mathrm{L}_{\odot}$ using red giant branch stars obtained by the PAndAS in the metallicity range of -2.4 < [Fe/H] < -0.6. They summed over the density of the stars and corrected by the luminosity function of the GC M12, assuming that their distance is equal to that of the centre of M31. One has to assume an M/L for this debris to determine the minimum mass of the progenitor. With an M/L of 3, the minimum progenitor mass is $2.2 \times 10^6 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$, which is described with the blue thick solid curve in Fig. 3. We should note that even in the case of 3σ CL, the pericentric distance must be greater than 2 kpc. If the progenitor has a larger Hill radius compared to the radius of the progenitor, then it will not be disrupted and will not generate the required minimum amount of debris. To generate the amount of the observed debris, the progenitor must be in the upper right-hand corner of Fig. 3(b). The limitations of the progenitor mass and half-light radius are $m_{
m sat}\gtrsim 2 imes 10^6\,{
m M}_{\odot}$ and $r_{
m h}\gtrsim 30$ pc, respectively. It is not required that r_h be larger than 30 pc if the mass of the progenitor is more massive than $10^8 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$. In the mass range of GCs, the required half-light radius to create the tidal debris is too large for a GC. If a more likely perigalacticon of 25 kpc is used, then the satellite mass and half-light radius must lie in the upper right-hand corner of Fig. 3(a), above the M/L = 3 curve.

The upper right-hand corners of the panels in Fig. 3 are inhabited by many known dwarf galaxies. The blue curves show, for



Figure 3. Stripped mass from the progenitor assuming (a) $r_{peri} = 25$ kpc and (b) $r_{peri} = 2$ kpc. Black triangles, squares and diamonds are dwarf galaxies in the MW, M31 and the Local Group, including nearby ones, respectively (McConnachie 2012). Magenta circles indicate GCs in the MW (Harris 1996). The black lines show the critical condition for the progenitor to be completely disrupted. In panel (b), the dotted and solid black lines show the cases of $r_{peri} = 10$ kpc (1 σ CL) and 2 kpc (3 σ CL), respectively. The blue curves are minimum stripped mass from the progenitor to reproduce the estimated luminosity.

a particular M/L, the mass and radius combinations that will produce the observed luminosity of the NWS, assuming that mass follows light. Any satellite with properties above the solid black line is expected to be completely disrupted on an orbit with perigalacticon of 25 (panel a) or 2 kpc (panel b). For the most likely perigalacticon, 25 kpc, most of the observed satellite galaxies are near the black line, supporting the idea that the dwarf galaxy might be mostly or completely disrupted.

The progenitor's mass can also be estimated through the metallicity of stars. Recently, Ibata et al. (2014) showed that the bulk of the NWS stars have a metallicity in the range -1.7 < [Fe/H] < -1.1, which coincides with the metallicities of intermediate mass to massive ($-15 < M_V < -10$) satellite dwarf galaxies in the Local Group (McConnachie 2012). Using this mass–metallicity relation, the total stellar mass of the NWS progenitor is estimated to be $10^6-10^8 \text{ M}_{\odot}$. Therefore, this result, along with the apparent coincidence of five GCs and a metallicity similar to Local Group dwarf galaxies, supports a consistent picture that the progenitor of the NWS is a dwarf galaxy and not a GC.

3.3 N-body simulation

To demonstrate the tidal disruption of the NWS progenitor, we perform two *N*-body simulations representative of two branches discovered by the test-particle simulations. We adopt a Plummer distribution, which is constructed by the MAGI code (Miki & Umemura, in preparation) and use the gravitational octree code GOTHIC developed by Miki & Umemura (2017) to run the simulations. The total number of particles is 65 536, the Plummer softening parameter is 16 pc and the accuracy control parameter is 2^{-7} .

Here, we describe the two representative models, which we label Case A and Case B. The physical properties and initial phase-space coordinates of the progenitor for Case A are $m_{\text{sat}} = 5 \times 10^7 \,\text{M}_{\odot}$, $r_s = 1 \,\text{kpc}$ and $(\xi, \eta, d, V_{\xi}, V_{\eta}, V_{\text{los}}) = (-19^{\circ}97, -1^{\circ}46, 842.38 \,\text{kpc}, -8.53, -24.06, -308.50)$. Those for Case B are $m_{\text{sat}} = 10^7 \,\text{M}_{\odot}$, $r_s = 1.5 \,\text{kpc}$ and $(\xi, \eta, d, V_{\xi}, V_{\eta}, V_{\text{los}}) = (4^{\circ}.34, -7^{\circ}.90, 1062.49 \,\text{kpc}, -25.22, -13.42, -296.15)$. The units of velocity are km s⁻¹. The pericentric distances are $r_{\text{peri}} = 25.54 \,\text{kpc}$ for Case A and $r_{\text{peri}} = 22.11 \,\text{kpc}$ for Case B.

Fig. 4 displays snapshots of the results of the N-body simulations. In Case A, the progenitor moves from north to south, and the tidal debris forms a slender arch-shaped stream (see Fig. 4a). In contrast, in Case B, the progenitor moves from south to north (Fig. 4d). In both cases, the stream thickness becomes gradually thinner in the southern part due to M31's gravitational field. This change of the NWS thickness in the northern side of the M31 halo could be detected by the future observations if the contamination of the foreground dwarf stars in the MW is properly removed. Figs 4(b) and (e) show that both cases match the observed LOS velocities of the GCs. The velocity profiles of the NWS are almost identical between two models, and the difference is seen only around the neighbourhood of the M31 disc. On the other hand, the stream distances are completely different in the two models. It is evident that the stream in Case A (Case B) lies behind (in front of) the centre of M31. In the future, deep photometric or spectroscopic observations will be able to distinguish between the two cases.



Figure 4. Spatial distribution of the simulated NWS in sky coordinates. Panels (a) and (d) display snapshots of the surface density for Case A and Case B, respectively. The streams move in the directions of the arrows. Test particle orbits are shown with dashed lines. The elapsed time of the simulation run is shown at the lower right-hand side of each panel. The ellipse traces M31's disc, and blue circles trace a radius of 30 and 150 kpc from M31's centre. Filled black circles mark the positions of the observed GCs aligned with the NWS. The open square symbols are the eight positions of the NWS used for the comparison (see Table 1). Panels (b) and (e) show the simulated LOS velocities. Red circles are the observed velocities of the GCs (Veljanoski et al. 2014). The spatial distribution of the particles is shown in panels (c) and (f). The vertical dashed line corresponds to the distance of M31 from Earth.

4 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We provide an estimate of the total mass and phase-space information of the NWS progenitor using test-particle simulations and *N*-body simulations in a fixed M31 potential field. To find the possible orbits, we use the position of the NWS and the LOS velocities of five GCs thought to be associated with the NWS. Our test-particle simulations show that the NWS progenitor could not have passed within 2 kpc from M31's centre. Evaluation of the tidal force of M31 at the minimum perigalacticon gives the physical properties of the progenitor. Assuming a Plummer sphere as the progenitor, the minimum half-light radius is $r_{\rm h}\gtrsim 30~{\rm pc}$ in the mass range of GCs, and it is too large for a GC. The minimum mass is set by the calculated mass of the luminous debris assuming M/L = 3, while the minimum $r_{\rm h}$ is set by the requirement that the progenitor has enough stripped mass to account for the luminous debris. For the most likely perigalacticon, 25 kpc, most of the observed Local Group dwarf galaxies sit near the critical condition that the dwarf galaxy will be mostly or completely disrupted. There remains a possibility that the progenitor's central core survives. Since this analysis does not consider the evolution of the tidal debris, a comprehensive survey by N-body simulations including the effects of a progenitor's morphology, a live disc and the density profile of the DMH is necessary for further analysis (cf. Mori & Rich 2008; Kirihara, Miki & Mori 2014; Miki, Mori & Rich 2016; Kirihara et al. 2017).

We performed *N*-body simulations of representative progenitor models, which adopt a Plummer sphere with different masses and scalelengths. The resulting stellar streams reproduce the position and LOS velocity of the observed GCs and the shape of the observed NWS. Many faint stellar structures have been discovered in the M31 halo, and they often contain GCs (Veljanoski et al. 2013). The approach described in this paper using the LOS velocity of the GCs will be a powerful tool to explore their formation histories.

Depending on the orbit of the progenitor, the stream at the presentday epoch lies either in front of or behind the centre of M31. Forthcoming observational estimates of the distance of the NWS will allow us to differentiate between the two cases. In addition to this, a large-scale parameter study using *N*-body simulations is needed to determine the precise orbital parameters, physical properties of the progenitor and the current position of its core. The NWS will then become a new laboratory to examine the shape and the outer density profile of the DMH in M31 (Hayashi & Chiba 2014; Kirihara et al. 2014), and to search for sub-DMHs within (Carlberg 2012).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Y. Komiyama, M. Chiba, R. Takahashi and M. Abe for fruitful discussions and appreciate A.Y. Wagner for a careful reading and comments. We are also grateful to the anonymous referee for fruitful and helpful suggestions. This work was supported by Grantin-Aid for JSPS Fellows (TK 26.348) and for Scientific Research (25400222) and by the Japan Science and Technology Agency's (JST) CREST programme entitled 'Research and Development of Unified Environment on Accelerated Computing and Interconnection for Post-Petascale Era'. Numerical computations are carried out on the HA-PACS System in the Center for Computational Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Japan.

REFERENCES

- Burkert A., 1995, ApJ, 447, L25
- Carlberg R. G., 2012, ApJ, 748, 20
- Carlberg R. G. et al., 2011, ApJ, 731, 124
- Carlberg R. G., Grillmair C. J., Hetherington N., 2012, ApJ, 760, 75
- Fardal M. A., Guhathakurta P., Babul A., McConnachie A. W., 2007, MNRAS, 380, 15
- Ferguson A. M. N., Irwin M. J., Ibata R. A., Lewis G. F., Tanvir N. R., 2002, AJ, 124, 1452
- Font A. S., Johnston K. V., Guhathakurta P., Majewski S. R., Rich R. M., 2006, AJ, 131, 1436
- Geehan J. J., Fardal M. A., Babul A., Guhathakurta P., 2006, MNRAS, 366, 996
- Harris W. E., 1996, AJ, 112, 1487
- Hayashi K., Chiba M., 2014, ApJ, 789, 62
- Hernquist L., 1990, ApJ, 356, 359
- Ibata R. A. et al., 2014, ApJ, 780, 128
- Ibata R. A., Lewis G. F., Martin N. F., 2016, ApJ, 819, 1
- Kirihara T., Miki Y., Mori M., 2014, PASJ, 66, L10
- Kirihara T., Miki Y., Mori M., Kawaguchi T., Rich R. M., 2017, MNRAS, 464, 3509
- Lewis G. F. et al., 2013, ApJ, 763, 4
- McConnachie A. W., 2012, AJ, 144, 4
- McConnachie A. W. et al., 2009, Nature, 461, 66
- Miki Y., Umemura M., 2017, New Astron., 52, 65
- Miki Y., Mori M., Rich R. M., 2016, ApJ, 827, 82
- Moore B., 1994, Nature, 370, 629

Moore B., Quinn T., Governato F., Stadel J., Lake G., 1999, MNRAS, 310, 1147

Mori M., Rich R. M., 2008, ApJ, 674, L77

Navarro J. F., Frenk C. S., White S. D. M., 1996, ApJ, 462, 563

Ogiya G., Mori M., 2014, ApJ, 793, 46

- Pryor C., Meylan G., 1993, in Djorgovski S. G., Meylan G., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 50, Structure and Dynamics of Globular Clusters. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 357
- Richardson J. C. et al., 2011, ApJ, 732, 76
- Veljanoski J. et al., 2013, ApJ, 768, L33
- Veljanoski J. et al., 2014, MNRAS, 442, 2929

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supplementary data are available at MNRAS online.

Figure 1. A 3D plot of the projected view of the possible parameter space of the NWS progenitor's orbit.

Please note: Oxford University Press is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.