# CHAIN MIXING ENDOMORPHISMS ARE APPROXIMATED BY SUBSHIFTS ON THE CANTOR SET

### By

Takashi Shimomura

Abstract. Let f be a chain mixing continuous onto mapping from the Cantor set onto itself. Let g be a homeomorphism on the Cantor set that is topologically conjugate to a subshift. Then, homeomorphisms that are topologically conjugate to q approximate f in the topology of uniform convergence if a trivial necessary condition on the periodic points holds. In particular, if f is a chain mixing continuous onto mapping from the Cantor set onto itself with a fixed point, then homeomorphisms on the Cantor set that are topologically conjugate to a subshift approximate f in the topology of uniform convergence. In addition, homeomorphisms on the Cantor set that are topologically conjugate to a subshift without periodic points approximate any chain mixing continuous onto mappings from the Cantor set onto itself. In particular, let f be a homeomorphism on the Cantor set that is topologically conjugate to a full shift. Let g be a homeomorphism on the Cantor set that is topologically conjugate to a subshift. Then, a sequence of homeomorphisms that is topologically conjugate to g approximates f.

## 1. Introduction

Let (X,d) be a compact metric space. Let  $f: X \to X$  be a continuous onto mapping. In this manuscript, the pair (X, f) is called a *topological dynamical* system. Let  $\mathscr{H}^+(X)$  be the set of all topological dynamical systems on X. For any f and g in  $\mathscr{H}^+(X)$ , we define  $d(f,g) := \sup_{x \in X} d(f(x), g(x))$ . Then,  $(\mathscr{H}^+(X), d)$ 

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37B10, 54H20.

Key words and phrases. Cantor set, shift, subshift, subshift of finite type, chain mixing, approximation. Received July 20, 2010.

is a metric space of uniform convergence.  $\mathscr{H}(X)$  denotes the set of all homeomorphisms from X onto itself. In this manuscript, we mainly consider the case in which X is homeomorphic to the Cantor set, denoted by C. T. Kimura [3, ]Theorem 1] and I [4] have shown that the subset of  $\mathcal{H}(C)$  consisting of all expansive homeomorphisms with the pseudo-orbit tracing property is dense in  $\mathscr{H}(C)$ . SFT(C) denotes the set of all  $f \in \mathscr{H}(C)$  that is topologically conjugate to some two-sided subshift of finite type. Then, SFT(C) coincides with the set of all expansive  $f \in \mathcal{H}(C)$  with the pseudo-orbit tracing property (P. Walters [5, Theorem 1]). Therefore, SFT(C) is dense in  $\mathcal{H}(C)$ . A topological dynamical system (X, f) is said to be *topologically mixing* if for any pair of non-empty open sets  $U, V \subset X$ , there exists a non-negative integer N such that  $f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for all n > N. In [4], it is shown that if  $f \in \mathscr{H}(C)$  is topologically mixing, then there exists a sequence  $\{g_k\}_{k=1,2,...}$  of topologically mixing elements of SFT(C) such that  $g_k \to f$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Let f be a chain mixing element of  $\mathscr{H}^+(C)$  and g, an element of  $\mathscr{H}(C)$  that is topologically conjugate to a two-sided subshift. In this manuscript, we consider the condition in which homeomorphisms that are topologically conjugate to g approximate f. Let (X, f) be a topological dynamical system and  $\delta > 0$ . A sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,l}$  of elements of X is a  $\delta$ chain from  $x_0$  to  $x_l$  if  $d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \delta$  for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1$ . Then, l is called the length of the chain. A topological dynamical system (X, f) is *chain mixing* if for every  $\delta > 0$  and every pair  $x, y \in X$ , there exists a positive integer N such that for all n > N, there exists a  $\delta$  chain from x to y of length n. Let (X, f)and (Y,g) be topological dynamical systems. We write  $(Y,g) \succ (X,f)$  if there exists a sequence of homeomorphisms  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1,2,...}$  from Y onto X such that  $\psi_k \circ g \circ \psi_k^{-1} \to f$  as  $k \to \infty$ . If  $(Y,g) \succ (X,f)$  and if  $g^n$  has a fixed point for some positive integer n, then  $f^n$  must also have a fixed point. We write  $(Y,g) \stackrel{\text{per}}{\to} (X,f)$  if this trivial necessary condition on periodic points holds. We show the following:

THEOREM 1.1. Let X be homeomorphic to the Cantor set. Let (X, f) be a chain mixing topological dynamical system. Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  be a two-sided subshift such that  $\Lambda$  is homeomorphic to C. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \xrightarrow{\text{per}} (X, f);$
- (2)  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \succ (X, f)$ .

COROLLARY 1.2. Let X be homeomorphic to the Cantor set. Let (X, f) be a chain mixing topological dynamical system with a fixed point. Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  be a twosided subshift such that  $\Lambda$  is homeomorphic to C. Then,  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \succ (X, f)$ . COROLLARY 1.3. Let X be homeomorphic to the Cantor set. Let (X, f) be a chain mixing topological dynamical system. Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  be a two-sided subshift such that  $\Lambda$  is homeomorphic to C without periodic points. Then,  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \succ (X, f)$ .

COROLLARY 1.4. Let n > 1 be an integer. Let  $(\Sigma_n, \sigma)$  be the two-sided full shift of n symbols. Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  be a two-sided subshift such that  $\Lambda$  is homeomorphic to C. Then,  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \succ (\Sigma_n, \sigma)$ .

#### Acknowledgments

I am grateful to the referee for his comments. I would like to thank Professor K. Shiraiwa for the valuable conversations and the suggestions regarding the first version of this manuscript.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let Z denote the set of all integers; N, the set of all nonnegative integers; and  $Z_+$ , the set of all positive integers. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space. A topological dynamical system (X, f) is *topologically conjugate* to a topological dynamical system (Y, g) if there exists a homeomorphism  $\psi : Y \to X$  such that  $f \circ \psi = \psi \circ g$ . Such a homeomorphism is called a *topological conjugacy*.

LEMMA 2.1. Let (X, f) be a topological dynamical system. Let  $(Y_k, g_k)$ (k = 1, 2, ...) be a sequence of topological dynamical systems. Suppose that there exists a sequence of homeomorphisms  $\psi_k : Y_k \to X$  such that  $\psi_k \circ g_k \circ \psi_k^{-1} \to f$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Let (Z, h) be a topological dynamical system such that  $(Z, h) \succ (Y_k, g_k)$ for all k = 1, 2, ... Then,  $(Z, h) \succ (X, f)$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $d(\psi_k \circ g_k \circ \psi_k^{-1}, f) < \varepsilon/2$  for all k > N. Assume k > N. Let  $\delta > 0$  be such that if  $d(y, y') < \delta$ , then  $d(\psi_k(y), \psi_k(y')) < \varepsilon/2$ . Because  $(Z, h) \succ (Y_k, g_k)$ , there exists a homeomorphism  $\psi' : Z \to Y_k$  such that  $d(\psi' \circ h \circ {\psi'}^{-1}, g_k) < \delta$ . Therefore, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} d((\psi_k \circ \psi') \circ h \circ (\psi_k \circ \psi')^{-1}, f) &< d(\psi_k \circ (\psi' \circ h \circ \psi'^{-1}) \circ \psi_k^{-1}, \psi_k \circ g_k \circ \psi_k^{-1}) \\ &+ d(\psi_k \circ g_k \circ \psi_k^{-1}, f) < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

For a topological dynamical system (X, f), we define

 $\operatorname{Per}(X, f) := \{ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid f^n(x) = x \text{ for some } x \in X \}.$ 

Let (X, f) and (Y, g) be topological dynamical systems. Suppose that (Y, g) > (X, f). Then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $(Y, g^n) > (X, f^n)$ . Consider a sequence of homeomorphisms  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1,2,\dots}$  from Y onto X such that  $\psi_k \circ g \circ \psi_k^{-1} \to f$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , the fixed points of  $\psi_k \circ g^n \circ \psi_k^{-1}$  approach some of the fixed points of  $f^n$ . Thus, we obtain  $\operatorname{Per}(Y,g) \subset \operatorname{Per}(X,f)$ . We write  $(Y,g) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{per}} (X,f)$  if  $\operatorname{Per}(Y,g) \subset \operatorname{Per}(X,f)$ . Thus, we obtain the following:

LEMMA 2.2. Let (X, f) and (Y, g) be topological dynamical systems. If  $(Y, g) \succ (X, f)$ , then  $(Y, g) \xrightarrow{\text{per}} (X, f)$ .

Let *C* be the Cantor set in the interval [0, 1]. A compact metrizable totally disconnected perfect space is homeomorphic to *C*. Therefore, any non-empty open and closed subset of *C* is homeomorphic to *C*. Let  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$  be a finite set of n > 0 symbols with discrete topology. Let  $\Sigma(V) := V^{\mathbb{Z}}$  with the product topology. Then,  $\Sigma(V)$  is a compact metrizable totally disconnected perfect space, and hence, it is homeomorphic to *C*. We define a homeomorphism  $\sigma : \Sigma(V) \to \Sigma(V)$  as follows:

$$\sigma(x)_i = x_{i+1}$$
 for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The pair  $(\Sigma(V), \sigma)$  is called a *two-sided full shift* of *n* symbols. If a closed set  $\Lambda \subset \Sigma(V)$  is invariant under  $\sigma$ , i.e.  $\sigma(\Lambda) = \Lambda$ , then  $(\Lambda, \sigma|_{\Lambda})$  is called a *two-sided subshift*. In this manuscript,  $\sigma|_{\Lambda}$  is abbreviated to  $\sigma$ . A directed graph *G* is a pair (V, E) of a finite set *V* of vertices and a set of directed edges  $E \subset V \times V$ . Let G = (V, E) be a directed graph.  $\Sigma(G)$  denotes the two-sided subshift defined as follows:

$$\Sigma(G) := \{ x \in V^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid (x_i, x_{i+1}) \in E \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z} \}.$$

A two-sided subshift is said to be of *finite type* if it is topologically conjugate to  $(\Sigma(G), \sigma)$  for some directed graph G. Throughout this manuscript, unless otherwise stated, we assume that all the vertices appear in some element of  $\Sigma(G)$ , i.e. all the vertices of G have both at least one indegree and at least one outdegree. We define a set of words of length k in  $\Sigma(G)$  as follows:

$$W(k,G) := \{w_0 w_1 \cdots w_{k-1} \in V^{\{0,1,\dots,k-1\}} \mid (w_i, w_{i+1}) \in E \text{ for all } i = 0, 1,\dots, k-2\}.$$

For a word  $w = a_0 a_1 \cdots a_{k-1}$  of length k and an integer m, we define a subset  $C_m(w) \subset \Sigma(G)$  as follows:

$$C_m(w) = \{x \in \Sigma(G) \mid x_{m+i} = a_i \text{ for all } i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1\}.$$

Such a set is called a *cylinder*. Because  $C_m(w)$  is an open and closed subset of  $\Sigma(G)$ , if  $\Sigma(G)$  is homeomorphic to C and if  $C_m(w)$  is not empty, then  $C_m(w)$  is also homeomorphic to C. A word  $a_0a_1 \cdots a_{k-1} \in W(k, G)$  is also called a *path* of length k-1 from  $a_0$  to  $a_{k-1}$  in G. Let x be an element of some two-sided subshift. Let  $i \leq j$  be integers. Then, a word  $x_i \cdots x_j$  is also called a *segment* of length j-i+1.

LEMMA 2.3 (Lemma 1.3 of R. Bowen [1]). Let G = (V, E) be a directed graph. Suppose that every vertex of V has both at least one outdegree and at least one indegree. Then,  $\Sigma(G)$  is topologically mixing if and only if there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that for any pair of vertices u and v of V, there exists a path from u to v of length n > N.

PROOF. See Lemma 1.3 of R. Bowen [1].

Let  $f: X \to X$  be a mapping and  $\mathcal{U}$ , a covering of X. For the sake of conciseness, we define a directed graph  $G_{f,\mathcal{U}} = (V_{f,\mathcal{U}}, E_{f,\mathcal{U}})$  as follows:

$$V_{f,\mathscr{U}} = \mathscr{U}$$
 and  
 $(a_0, a_1) \in E_{f,\mathscr{U}}$  if  $f(a_0) \cap a_1 \neq \emptyset$ .

Note that if  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{U}$ , then all the vertices have at least one outdegree. In addition, if f is an onto mapping, then all the vertices have at least one indegree. Let (X,d) be a compact metric space and  $K \subset X$ . The diameter of K is defined by diam $(K) := \sup\{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in K\}$ . For a finite covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of X, we define mesh $(\mathcal{U}) := \max\{\operatorname{diam}(U) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ .

LEMMA 2.4. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and  $f: X \to X$ , a continuous mapping. Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta = \delta(f, \varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{2};$$

if 
$$d(x, y) \le \delta$$
, then  $d(f(x), f(y)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**PROOF.** This lemma directly follows from the uniform continuity of f.

For two directed graphs G = (V, E) and G' = (V', E'), G is said to be a subgraph of G' if  $V \subseteq V'$  and  $E \subseteq E'$ .

### Takashi Shimomura

LEMMA 2.5. Let (X,d) be a compact metric space,  $f: X \to X$  be a continuous mapping, and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\delta = \delta(f, \varepsilon)$  be as in lemma 2.4 and  $\mathcal{U}$ , a finite covering of X such that  $\operatorname{mesh}(\mathcal{U}) < \delta$ . Let  $g: X \to X$  be a mapping such that  $G_{g,\mathcal{U}}$ is a subgraph of  $G_{f,\mathcal{U}}$ . Then,  $d(f,g) < \varepsilon$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $x \in X$ . Then,  $x \in U$  and  $g(x) \in U'$  for some  $U, U' \in \mathcal{U}$ . Because  $G_{g,\mathcal{U}}$  is a subgraph of  $G_{f,\mathcal{U}}$ , there exists a  $y \in U$  such that  $f(y) \in U'$ . Therefore, from lemma 2.4, it follows that

$$d(f(x),g(x)) \le d(f(x),f(y)) + d(f(y),g(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \operatorname{diam}(U') < \varepsilon. \qquad \Box$$

From this lemma, we obtain the following:

LEMMA 2.6. Let (X,d) be a compact metric space;  $f: X \to X$ , a continuous mapping; and  $\{\mathcal{U}_k\}_{k=1,2,\ldots}$ , a sequence of finite coverings of X such that  $\operatorname{mesh}(\mathcal{U}_k) \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Let  $\{g_k\}_{k=1,2,\ldots}$  be a sequence of mappings from X to X such that  $G_{g_k,\mathcal{U}_k}$  is a subgraph of  $G_{f,\mathcal{U}_k}$  for all k. Then,  $g_k \to f$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

A covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of X is called a partition if  $U \cap U' = \emptyset$  for all  $U, U' \in \mathcal{U}$ , where  $U \neq U'$ . The Cantor set has a partition by open and closed subsets of an arbitrarily small mesh.

LEMMA 2.7. Let G = (V, E) be a directed graph. Suppose that every vertex of G has both at least one outdegree and at least one indegree. Suppose that  $\Sigma(G)$ is topologically mixing and that  $\Sigma(G)$  is not a single point. Then,  $\Sigma(G)$  is homeomorphic to C.

PROOF. Suppose that  $\Sigma(G)$  is topologically mixing. Then, by lemma 2.3, there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that for any pair u and v of vertices of G, there exists a path from u to v of length n for all n > N. Then, it is easy to check that every point  $x \in \Sigma(G)$  is not isolated. Hence,  $\Sigma(G)$  is homeomorphic to C.

#### 3. Proof of the Main Result

In this section, we prove certain lemmas and propositions in order to prove the main result. For a mapping  $\pi: Y \to X$  and a covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of X, the covering  $\{\pi^{-1}(U) \mid U \in \mathscr{U}\}\$  is denoted by  $\pi^{-1}(\mathscr{U})$ . For any mapping  $g: Y \to Y$ , we define a directed graph  $G_{g,\pi,\mathscr{U}} = (V, E)$  as follows:

$$V = \mathscr{U};$$
$$E = \{(a_0, a_1) \in \mathscr{U} \times \mathscr{U} \mid \pi(g(\pi^{-1}(a_0))) \cap a_1 \neq \varnothing\}.$$

A vertex a in  $G_{q,\pi,\mathcal{U}}$  has at least one outdegree if  $\pi^{-1}(a) \neq \emptyset$ .

LEMMA 3.1. Let X and Y be homeomorphic to C. Let  $f: X \to X$  be a continuous mapping;  $g: Y \to Y$ , a mapping; and  $\mathcal{U}_k$ , a sequence of finite partitions of X by non-empty open and closed subsets such that  $\operatorname{mesh}(\mathcal{U}_k) \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Suppose that there exists a sequence  $\pi_k$  (k = 1, 2, ...) of continuous mappings from Y to X such that  $\pi_k(Y) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$  and that the directed graph  $G_{g,\pi_k,\mathcal{U}_k}$  is a subgraph of  $G_{f,\mathcal{U}_k}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then, there exists a sequence  $\psi_k$  (k = 1, 2, ...) of homeomorphisms from Y onto X such that  $\psi_k \circ g \circ \psi_k^{-1} \to f$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

PROOF. Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  be fixed. By assumption, for each  $U \in \mathscr{U}_k$ ,  $\pi_k^{-1}(U)$  is a non-empty open and closed subset of Y. Therefore, there exists a homeomorphism  $\psi_k : Y \to X$  such that  $\psi_k(\pi_k^{-1}(U)) = U$  for each  $U \in \mathscr{U}_k$ . By construction,  $G_{\psi_k \circ g \circ \psi_k^{-1}, \mathscr{U}_k} = G_{g, \pi_k, \mathscr{U}_k}$ . By assumption,  $G_{\psi_k \circ g \circ \psi_k^{-1}, \mathscr{U}_k}$  is a subgraph of  $G_{f, \mathscr{U}_k}$ . Therefore, the conclusion follows from lemma 2.6.

LEMMA 3.2. Let X and Y be homeomorphic to C. Let  $f: X \to X$  be a continuous mapping;  $g: Y \to Y$ , a mapping; and  $\mathcal{U}_k$ , a sequence of finite partitions of X by non-empty open and closed subsets such that  $\operatorname{mesh}(\mathcal{U}_k) \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Suppose that there exists a sequence of continuous mappings  $\pi_k: Y \to X$  such that  $\pi_k \circ g = f \circ \pi_k$  and that  $\pi_k(Y) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ . Then, there exists a sequence  $\psi_k$  (k = 1, 2, ...) of homeomorphisms from Y onto X such that  $\psi_k \circ g \circ \psi_k^{-1}$  converges uniformly to f.

PROOF. Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  be fixed. By assumption, for each  $U \in \mathscr{U}_k$ ,  $\pi_k^{-1}(U)$  is a non-empty open and closed subset of Y. Therefore, there exists a homeomorphism  $\psi_k : Y \to X$  such that  $\psi_k(\pi_k^{-1}(U)) = U$  for each  $U \in \mathscr{U}_k$ . Because  $\pi_k(g(\pi_k^{-1}(U))) = f(\pi_k(\pi_k^{-1}(U))) \subset f(U)$ ,  $G_{g,\pi_k,\mathscr{U}_k}$  is a subgraph of  $G_{f,\mathscr{U}_k}$ . Therefore, the conclusion follows from lemma 3.1.

Let  $\Lambda$  be a two-sided subshift and  $x \in \Lambda$ . Then, for k < l, a word  $x_k x_{k+1} \cdots x_l$  is said to be *j*-periodic if  $k \le i < i + j \le l$  implies  $x_i = x_{i+j}$ .

LEMMA 3.3 (Krieger's Marker Lemma, (2.2) of M. Boyle [2]). Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  be a two-sided subshift. Given k > N > 1, there exists a closed and open set F such that

(1) the sets  $\sigma^{l}(F)$ ,  $0 \leq l < N$ , are disjoint, and

(2) if  $x \in \Lambda$  and  $x_{-k} \cdots x_k$  is not a *j*-periodic word for any j < N, then

$$x \in \bigcup_{-N < l < N} \sigma^l(F).$$

PROOF. See M. Boyle [2, (2.2)].

The next lemma is essentially a part of the proof of the extension lemma given in M. Boyle [2, (2.4)]. The proof essentially follows that of the extension lemma.

LEMMA 3.4. Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  be a two-sided subshift and  $(\Sigma, \sigma)$ , a mixing two-sided subshift of finite type. Let W be a finite set of words that appear in some elements of  $\Sigma$ . Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is not a finite set of periodic points and that  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \stackrel{\text{per}}{\to} (\Sigma, \sigma)$ . Then, there exists a continuous shift-commuting mapping  $\pi : \Lambda \to \Sigma$  such that there exists an element  $x \in \pi(\Lambda)$  in which all words of W appear as segments of x.

PROOF.  $\Sigma$  is isomorphic to  $\Sigma(G)$  for some directed graph G = (V, E). Therefore, without loss of generality, we assume that  $\Sigma = \Sigma(G)$ . Because  $(\Sigma(G), \sigma)$ is a mixing subshift of finite type, there exists an n > 0 such that for every pair of elements  $v, v' \in V$  and every  $m \ge n$ , there exists a word of the form  $v \cdots v'$  of length m. In addition, there exists an element  $\overline{x} \in \Sigma(G)$  such that  $\overline{x}$  contains all words of W as segments. Let  $w_0$  be a segment of  $\overline{x}$  that contains all words of W. Let  $n_0$  be the length of the word  $w_0$ . Let  $N = 2n + n_0$ . If  $v, v' \in V$  and  $m \ge N$ , then there exists a word of the form  $v \cdots w_0 \cdots v'$  of length  $m \ge N$ . Let k > 2N. Using Krieger's marker lemma, there exists a closed and open subset  $F \subset \Lambda$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (1) the sets  $\sigma^{l}(F)$ ,  $0 \leq l < N$ , are disjoint;
- (2) if  $x \in \Lambda$  and  $x \notin \bigcup_{-N < l < N} \sigma^{l}(F)$ , then  $x_{-k} \cdots x_{k}$  is a *j*-periodic word for some j < N;
- (3) the number k is large enough to ensure that if j is less than N and a j-periodic word of length 2k + 1 occurs in some element of Λ, then that word defines a j-periodic orbit which actually occurs in Λ.

74

The existence of k follows from the compactness of A. Let  $x \in A$ . If  $\sigma^i(x) \in F$ , then we mark x at position i. There exists a large number L > 0 such that whether or not  $\sigma^i(x) \in F$  is determined only by the 2L + 1 block  $x_{i-L} \cdots x_{i+L}$ . If x is marked at position i, then x is unmarked for position l with i < l < i + N. Suppose that  $x_i \cdots x_{i'}$  is a segment of x such that x is marked at i and i' and that x is unmarked at l for all i < l < i'. Then,  $i' - i \ge N$ . If  $x \in \bigcup_{N \le l \le N} \sigma^l(F)$ , then x is marked at some i where -N < i < N. Suppose that  $x_{-N+1} \cdots x_{N-1}$  is an unmarked segment. Then,  $x \notin \bigcup_{N \le l \le N} \sigma^l(F)$ , and according to condition (2)  $x_{-k} \cdots x_k$  is a *j*-periodic word for some j < N. Suppose that  $x_i \cdots x_{i'}$  is an unmarked segment of length at least 2N - 1, i.e.  $i' - i \ge 2N - 2$ . Then, for each l with  $i + N - 1 \le l \le i' - N + 1$ ,  $x_{l-k} \cdots x_{l+k}$  is a *j*-periodic word for some j < N. Therefore, it is easy to check that  $x_{i+N-1-k} \cdots x_{i'-N+1+k}$  is a *j*-periodic word for some j < N. In this proof, we call a maximal unmarked segment an *interval*. Let  $x \in \Lambda$ . Let ...  $x_i$  be a left infinite interval. Then, it is *j*-periodic for some j < N. Similarly, a right infinite interval  $x_i \dots$  is *j*-periodic for some j < N. If x itself is an interval, then it is a periodic point with period j < N. If an interval is finite, then it has a length of at least N - 1. We call intervals of length less than 2N - 1as short intervals. We call intervals of length greater than or equal to 2N - 1as long intervals. If x has a long interval  $x_i \cdots x_{i'}$ , then  $x_{i+N-1-k} \cdots x_{i'-N+1+k}$  is *j*-periodic for some j < N. We have to construct a shift-commuting mapping  $\phi: \Lambda \to \Sigma$ . Let V' be the set of symbols of  $\Lambda$ . Let  $\Phi: V' \to V$  be an arbitrary mapping. Let  $x \in \Lambda$ . Suppose that x is marked at i. Then, we let  $(\phi(x))_i$  be  $\Phi(x_i)$ . We map periodic points of period j < N to periodic points of  $\Sigma$ . Then, we construct a coding of  $\phi(x)$  in three parts. For any  $(v, v', l) \in V \times V \times \{N = 1, N, N\}$  $N + 1, \ldots, 2N - 2$ , we choose a word  $\Psi(v, v', l)$  in G of length l such that the word of the form  $v\Psi(v, v', l)v'$  is a path in G.

(A) Coding for short interval: Let  $x_i \cdots x_{i'}$  be a short interval. Then, x is marked at i - 1 and i' + 1. We have already defined a code for position i - 1 and i' + 1 as  $\Phi(x_{i-1})$  and  $\Phi(x_{i'+1})$ , respectively. The coding for  $\{i, i + 1, i + 2, \dots, i'\}$  is defined by the path  $\Psi(\Phi(x_{i-1}), \Phi(x_{i'+1}), i' - i + 1)$ .

(B) Coding for periodic segment: For an infinite or a long interval, there exists a corresponding periodic point of  $\Lambda$ . The periodic points of  $\Lambda$  are already mapped to periodic points of  $\Sigma$ . Therefore, an infinite or a long periodic segment can be mapped to a naturally corresponding periodic segment.

(C) Coding for transition part: To consider a transition segment, let  $x_i \cdots x_{i'}$  be a long interval. Then,  $x_{i-1}$  has already been mapped to  $\Phi(x_{i-1})$  and  $x_{i+N-1}$  is mapped according to periodic points. Assume  $x_{i+N-1}$  is mapped to  $v_0$ . The segment  $x_{i-1} \cdots x_{i+N-1}$  has length N + 1. We map the segment  $x_i \cdots x_{i+N-2}$  to

 $\Psi(\Phi(x_{i-1}), v_0, N-1)$ . In the same manner, the transition coding of right hand side of a long interval is defined. In the same manner, the transition coding of the left or the right infinite interval is defined. It is easy to check that there exists a large number L' > 0 such that the coding of  $(\phi(x))_i$  is determined only by the block  $x_{i-L'} \cdots x_{i+L'}$ . Therefore,  $\phi : \Lambda \to \Sigma$  is continuous. Because  $\Lambda$  is not a set of finite periodic points, there exists an  $x \in \Lambda$  such that x contains at least one transition segment or at least one short interval. In the above coding, we can take  $\Psi$  such that a short interval or a transition segment is mapped to a word that involves  $w_0$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.5.** Let  $(\Sigma, \sigma)$  be a topologically mixing two-sided subshift of finite type such that  $\Sigma$  is homeomorphic to C. Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  be a two-sided subshift such that  $\Lambda$  is homeomorphic to C.

Then,  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \succ (\Sigma, \sigma)$  if and only if  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \stackrel{\text{per}}{\rightarrow} (\Sigma, \sigma)$ .

PROOF. If  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \succ (\Sigma, \sigma)$ , then by lemma 2.2, we obtain  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \xrightarrow{\text{per}} (\Sigma, \sigma)$ . Suppose that  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \xrightarrow{\text{per}} (\Sigma, \sigma)$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\Sigma = \Sigma(G)$  for some directed graph G = (V, E). We assume that every vertex of V has both at least one outdegree and at least one indegree. Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Because  $(\Sigma, \sigma)$  is topologically mixing, by lemma 3.4, there exists a continuous shift-commuting mapping  $\pi_k : \Lambda \to \Sigma$  and  $x \in \pi_k(\Lambda)$  such that x contains all words of length 2k + 1 of  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_k = \{C_{-k}(w) \mid w \in W(2k + 1, G)\}$ . Then,  $\pi_k(\Lambda) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ . Because k is arbitrary, by lemma 3.2, we conclude that  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \succ (\Sigma(G), \sigma)$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

PROOF. If  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \succ (X, f)$ , then by lemma 2.2, we obtain  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \stackrel{\text{per}}{\to} (X, f)$ . Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \stackrel{\text{per}}{\to} (X, f)$  hold. Consider a sequence  $\{\mathscr{U}_k\}_{k=1,2,\dots}$  of partitions of X by non-empty open and closed subsets such that  $\operatorname{mesh}(\mathscr{U}_k) \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Assume  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Let  $G_k = G_{f,\mathscr{U}_k}$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be such that any  $x, x' \in X$  with  $d(x, x') < \delta$  are contained in the same element of  $\mathscr{U}_k$ . Let  $\{x_0, x_1\}$  be a  $\delta$  chain. Let  $U, U' \in \mathscr{U}_k$ be such that  $x_0 \in U$  and that  $x_1 \in U'$ . Then,  $f(U) \cap U' \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore, (U, U')is an edge of  $G_k$ . Let  $U, V \in \mathscr{U}_k$ . Let  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Because f is chain mixing, there exists an N > 0 such that for every n > N, there exists a  $\delta$  chain from x to y of length n. Therefore, for every n > N, there exists a path in  $G_k$ from U to V of length n. From Lemma 2.3,  $(\Sigma(G_k), \sigma)$  is topologically mixing. By lemma 2.7,  $\Sigma(G_k)$  is homeomorphic to C. Therefore, there exists a homeomorphism  $\psi_k : \Sigma(G_k) \to X$  such that for any vertex u of  $G_k$ ,  $\psi_k(C_0(u)) = u$ . By construction, we obtain  $G_{\psi_k \circ \sigma \circ \psi_k^{-1}, \mathscr{U}_k} = G_{f, \mathscr{U}_k}$ . Because  $\operatorname{mesh}(\mathscr{U}_k) \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ , by lemma 2.6, we find that  $\psi_k \circ \sigma \circ \psi_k^{-1} \to f$  as  $k \to \infty$ . On the other hand, it is easy to verify that  $\operatorname{Per}(X, f) \subset \operatorname{Per}(\Sigma(G_k), \sigma)$ . By assumption, we obtain  $\operatorname{Per}(\Lambda, \sigma) \subset \operatorname{Per}(\Sigma(G_k), \sigma)$ . From proposition 3.5, we obtain  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \succ (\Sigma(G_k), \sigma)$ . Therefore, by lemma 2.1, we obtain  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \succ (X, f)$ .

**PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.2** 

**PROOF.** If a topological dynamical system (X, f) has a fixed point  $x_0$ , then  $Per(X, f) = \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Therefore, the proof is a direct consequence of theorem 1.1.

**PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.3** 

**PROOF.** Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  be a two-sided subshift without periodic points. Then,  $Per(\Lambda, \sigma) = \emptyset$ . Therefore, from theorem 1.1, the conclusion follows.

**PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.4** 

PROOF. A two-sided full shift is chain mixing and has a fixed point. Therefore, the conclusion is a direct consequence of corollary 1.2.  $\Box$ 

#### References

- Bowen, R., Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms, Lecture Notes in Math. 470, Springer, 1975.
- [2] Boyle, M., Lower entropy factors of sofic systems, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. 4 (1984), 541–557.
- [3] Kimura, T., Homeomorphisms of zero-dimensional spaces, Tsukuba J. Math. 12 (1988), 489–495.
- [4] Shimomura, T., The pseudo-orbit tracing property and expansiveness on the Cantor set, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 106 (1989), 241–244.
- [5] Walters, P., On the pseudo orbit tracing property and its relation to stability, The Structure of Attraction in Dynamical Systems, Lecture Notes in Math. 668, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1978, pp. 231–244.

Nagoya Keizai University, Uchikubo 61-1 Inuyama 484-8504, Japan E-mail address: tkshimo@nagoya-ku.ac.jp