1	Lineage tracing of the bivalve shell field with special interest in the descendants
2	of the 2d blastomere
3	
4	Masakuni Mohri, Naoki Hashimoto and Hiroshi Wada*
5	Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba,
6	Tsukuba 305-8572, Japan
7	
8	*Author for Correspondence;
9	Tel&Fax:+81-29-853-4671
10	e-mail: <u>hwada@biol.tsukuba.ac.jp</u>
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	

#### 18 Abstract

19By evolving bilaterally separated shell plates, bivalves acquired a unique body 20plan in which their soft tissues are completely protected by hard shell plates. In 21this unique body plan, mobility between the separated shell plates is provided by 22novel structures such as a ligament and adductor muscles. As a first step toward 23understanding how the bivalve body plan was established, we investigated the  $\mathbf{24}$ development of the separated shell plates and ligament. Over 100 years ago it 25was hypothesized that the development of separated shell plates is tightly linked 26with the unique cell cleavage (division) pattern of bivalves during development, 27wherein each bilateral daughter cell of the 2d descendant, 2d<sup>1121</sup>, develops into 28one of the bilateral shell fields. In the present study we tested this hypothesis by 29tracing the cell lineages of the Japanese purple mussel Septifer virgatus. 30 Although the shell fields were found to be exclusively derived from the bilateral descendant cells of 2d: 2d<sup>11211</sup> and 2d<sup>11212</sup>, the descendants of these cells were not 3132restricted to shell fields alone, nor were they confined to the left or right side of 33 the shell field based on their lineage. Our study demonstrated that ligament cells are also derived from 2d<sup>11211</sup> and 2d<sup>11212</sup> indicating that the ligament cells 34

emerged as a subpopulation of shell field cells. This also suggests that the
establishment of the novel developmental system for the ligament cells was
critical for the evolution of the unique bodyplan of bivalves.

39

# 40 **1.INTRODUCTION**

41Molluscs share several characteristic features, such as calcareous shells (or 42spicules) and a muscular foot. However, their body plans are highly variable, as 43demonstrated by the differences between the worm-like, shell-less Aplacophora and the highly motile Cephalopoda. With the development of bilaterally 44separated shell plates, bivalves evolved a unique body plan in which their soft 4546tissue is completely protected by hard shell plates. The muscle system was rearranged to accommodate the evolution of this shell plate morphology, resulting 4748in another evolutionary novelty, the adductor muscle, which controls the opening 49and closing of the shell plates. Determining how this unique bivalve body plan 50was achieved through the coordinated evolution of shell plate morphology and 51muscles is challenging. To address this question we first investigated how the 52bilaterally separated shell plates developed through the modification of shell development. 53Over 100 years ago Lillie and Meisenheimer [1, 2] reported a pattern of 5455spiral cleavage in bivalves. Most molluscan species exhibit spiral cleavage

56 wherein the animal blastomeres are smaller than the vegetal blastomeres.

57	However, the dorsal vegetal blastomeres (1D) produce a larger animal blastomere
58	(2d) in bivalves from the eight-cell stage to the 16-cell stage[3, 4]. This animal
59	blastomere is thought to be the precursor of the shell field cells, which underlie
60	shell plates[1, 2]. After four rounds of asymmetric cleavage, the largest
61	blastomere (2d <sup>1121</sup> ) exhibits bilateral cleavage (Figure 1a-e), and the bilateral
62	daughter cells were suggested to differentiate into the left and right shell field
63	cells of their respective side[1]. This hypothesis suggests that development of the
64	novel shell plate morphology was driven by a modification of the early cleavage
65	pattern. However, it was based solely on microscopic observations and
66	experimental validation is required through direct cell lineage tracing.
67	It is also notable that bivalves show a stereotypic pattern of spiral
68	cleavage prior to the occurrence of bilateral cleavage. The largest blastomere, 2d,
69	undergoes four rounds of asymmetric spiral cleavage prior to the bilateral cell
70	division (Figure 1a-e). The first two rounds of asymmetric cleavage give rise to
71	two smaller vegetal blastomeres, $2d^2$ and $2d^{12}$ , and a larger animal blastomere,
72	$2d^{11}$ (Figure 1a-b). When $2d^{11}$ divides the polarity is reversed, and a smaller
73	animal blastomere ( $2d^{111}$ ) and a larger vegetal blastomere ( $2d^{112}$ ) are generated

74	(Figure 1c). The cell size polarity is again reversed during the next cleavage of
75	$2d^{112}$ , yielding a smaller vegetal blastomere ( $2d^{1122}$ ) and a larger animal
76	blastomere (2d <sup>1121</sup> ; Figure 1e). Blastomere $2d^{1121}$ then divides symmetrically to
77	produce a left (2d <sup>11211</sup> ) and right daughter (2d <sup>11212</sup> )(Figure 1e).
78	In the present study we investigated how this series of cleavages is
79	linked with the development of the unique morphology of bivalves. Focusing on
80	the shell field precursors in bivalve embryos we traced the cell lineages of the
81	early blastomeres with a fluorescent photoconversion technique using Kaede
82	fluorescent protein[5].
83	
84	2.MATERIALS AND METHODS
85	Adult specimens of the Japanese purple mussel Septifer virgatus (Wiegmann,
86	1837) were collected in Tsuyazaki, Fukuoka Prefecture, Japan. Induction of
87	spawning and in vitro fertilization were performed as described in [4]. The
88	handedness of spiral cleavage was unexpectedly reversed in the eggs from
89	Tsuyazaki individuals compared with those from Kashima described in

90 [4](dextral in Kashima [4] and sinistral in Tsuyazaki: this study), and we

91	confirmed that the direction of the spiral cleavage was reversed for all cleavages
92	up to the bilateral cleavage of 2d <sup>1121</sup> for all specimens examined (Figure 1a-e,
93	Table 1). This polymorphism in the handedness of spiral cleavage has been
94	reported in another bivalve species <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> [6].
95	mRNA for Kaede was transcribed from a pBluescript RN3 vector[7], and
96	Kaede mRNA (3 µg/µl) was injected into fertilized eggs.
97	Kaede fluorescence can be irreversibly converted from green to red by
98	irradiation with ultraviolet light. Photoconversion was performed using a
99	confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM. Zeiss LSM710, Germany) at a 405 nm
100	wavelength. The laser was applied until we confirmed that sufficient
101	photoconversion was induced. Among photoconverted embryos, about $20\%$
102	showed abnormal morphology at the trochophore stage and were excluded from
103	our analysis. Swimming larvae were immobilized prior to observation by fixing
104	with 4% paraformaldehyde and observed by CLSM. The fluorescent signal could
105	be observed up to 10 h after fixation. It should be noted that some converted cells
106	appeared yellowish because unconverted green Kaede protein was translated

107 from the injected mRNA even after photoconversion. Unmerged fluorescent108 signals are shown in Figure S1.

109

# 110 **3.RESULTS**

111 To confirm that 2d blastomeres contribute shell field precursors, 2d blastomeres were photoconverted at the nine-cell stage. Following photoconversion of a 2d 112113blastomere, the converted signal was widely detected in the dorsal region of the post-trochal epidermis (Figure 2a-c). Importantly, all of the shell field cells were 114115labeled (Figure 2b, Table 1), indicating that the shell field cells were solely derived from 2d descendants. 116117Prior to the occurrence of bilateral cleavage, 2d blastomeres undergo four 118 rounds of asymmetric cleavage to produce four micromeres (Figure 1a-d). These micromeres were photoconverted after the bilateral cleavage of 2d<sup>1121</sup> because 119120each blastomere is most easily identified at this stage of development. 121At this stage, derivatives of 2d<sup>2</sup> have already undergone two rounds of 122cell division. We photoconverted all of the derivatives of 2d<sup>2</sup> (Figure 2j). The 123converted signal was detected in the left side of both the anterior and posterior of

the post-trochal epidermis in these larvae. Importantly, however, the signal wasnot detected in the shell field (Figure 2k, Table 1).

When 2d<sup>12</sup> was photoconverted, the signal was observed on the right side
of the anterior of the post-trochal epidermis, but no signal was detected in the
shell field (Figure 2l-m, Table 1).

When the 2d<sup>111</sup> micromere was photoconverted, the signal was detected in the anterior dorsal midline of the post-trochal epidermis (Figure 2o-p, Table 1). When 2d<sup>1122</sup> was labeled, the signal was detected in the posterior epidermis (Figure 2q-r, Table 1). No signal was detected in the shell field in either case (2d<sup>111</sup> or 2d<sup>1122</sup>).

We then photoconverted each bilateral daughter of 2d<sup>1121</sup> to determine whether the bilateral shell fields differentiate according to the bilateral cleavage of 2d<sup>1121</sup>. When 2d<sup>11211</sup> (the left side daughter of 2d<sup>1121</sup>) was photoconverted, the signal was detected not only in the shell field, but also in the surrounding epidermis (Figure 2d-f). Thus, even at this stage the developmental outcome is not restricted to the shell field cells. Importantly, the signal was detected not only in the left side of the shell field, but also in the right side (Figure 2e, Table 1). 141 Interestingly, the signal was biased toward the left posterior in all larvae.
142 Similarly, when 2d<sup>11212</sup> (the right side daughter of 2d<sup>1121</sup>) was photoconverted, the
143 signal was observed in both the shell field and the surrounding epidermis (Figure
144 2g-i, Table 1). The signal in the shell field was biased toward the right anterior of
145 the shell fields in all larvae.

146Bivalve shell fields are bilaterally separated by ligament cells that develop along the dorsal midline (Fig. 1f, g, [3]). Differentiation of the ligament 147148cells is clearly visible by specific upregulation of the *chitin synthase* (cs) gene 149during the trochophore stage[8]. Photoconversion indicated that all of the shell field cells are derived either from  $2d^{11211}$  or  $2d^{11212}$ . Based on *dpp* expression noted 150in oyster embryos, Kin et al.[3] suggested previously that ligament cells are 151derived from the descendants of 1d<sup>12</sup> and 2d<sup>2</sup>. Thus, we examined any possible 152153contribution from the 1d cell lineage, and found that 1d develops into the anterior epidermis, including the prototroch (Figure S2, Table 1), but not into shell field. 154Thus we concluded that the ligament cells are only derived from  $2d^{11211}$  and 155 $2d^{11212}$ . 156

### 158 **4. DISCUSSION**

159In the present study we found that all shell field precursors are derived from 1602d<sup>1121</sup>, although the developmental fate of 2d<sup>1121</sup> is not restricted to the shell field 161cells alone. Importantly, the bilateral shell fields were not derived exclusively 162from the daughter cells of 2d<sup>1121</sup> of each respective side. Instead, the derivatives of 163the daughter blastomeres contributed to both sides of the shell field by spreading 164across the midline (Figure 1f, g, 2e,h). Thus, our results did not support the 165classical hypothesis that the bilaterally separated shell plates of bivalves are derived from bilateral descendants of 2d[1]. It is notable that descendants of the 166 1672d blastomere also show bilateral cell division in gastropods, as well as in annelids (e.g., [9-13]), and together with 4d, 2d was shown to demonstrate 168organizing activity in annelids[14]. So it is likely that the bilateral cell division of 169 1702d descendants was established much earlier than the emergence of bivalves, 171possibly for the establishment of the bilateral body plan from the spiral cleavage[15]. The bilateral shell plates, however, might have evolved irrespective 172173of bilateral cleavage.

174	The innovation of ligament cells in the dorsal midline of the shell field is
175	critical for the unique body plan of bivalves[16]. Our lineage tracing indicates
176	that ligament cells differentiate from the 2d <sup>1121</sup> lineage of cells just like other
177	shell field cells (Figure 1f, g, 2d-i), indicating that the ligament cells emerged as a
178	subpopulation of shell field cells. The ligament cells are specifically marked by
179	the upregulation of $cs$ [8], and the expression of $dpp$ earlier than $cs$ [3]. Prior to
180	shell field invagination, <i>dpp</i> is also expressed in cells abutting the shell field
181	midline, both anteriorly and posteriorly [3]. Although we demonstrated that these
182	dpp positive cells (1d <sup>12</sup> and 2d <sup>2</sup> ) do not differentiate into either shell field cells or
183	ligament cells, it is still possible that <i>dpp</i> plays an inductive role in ligament
184	differentiation. Functional studies of bivalve <i>dpp</i> may advance our understanding
185	of the evolution of the unique bivalve body plan.
186	Innovation of the ligament provided mobility between the separated
187	shell plates of bivalves, and thus it may have accompanied the evolution of
188	adductor muscles to open and close the shells. Elucidation of the developmental
189	mechanism of ligament cells may provide a clue to understanding how the

190	innovation of the ligament and that of adductor muscles are linked during
191	evolution.
192	
193	
194	Ethics: Research was carried out according to the university's guideline.
195	Data accessibility: The datasets supporting this article have been uploaded as
196	part of the supplementary material.
197	Author's contribution: All authors contributed to the design of the study, collection
198	of data and writing of the article. All authors approve the final version of this
199	manuscript and agree to be held accountable for all aspects of the work
200	performed.
201	Competing interests: We declare we have no competing interests.
202	Acknowledgement: We thank Yoshihisa Kurita for providing us purple mussesls,
203	and also for sharing unpublished results.
204	Funding: NH was supported as JSPS pre-doctoral research fellow.
205	
206	References
207	[1] Lillie, F.R. 1895 The embryology of the Unionidae. A study in cell lineage. $J$ .
208	<i>Morphol.</i> <b>10</b> , 1-100.

- 209 [2] Meisenheimer, J. 1901 Entwicklungsgeschichte von Dreissensia polymorpha
- 210 Pall. Zeitschrift f. wissensch. Zoologie **69**, 1-137.

211	[3] Kin, K., Kakoi, S. & Wada, H. 2009 A novel role for <i>dpp</i> in the shaping of
212	bivalve shells revealed in a conserved molluscan developmental progaram. Dev.
213	<i>Biol.</i> <b>329</b> , 152-166.

.....

- [4] Kurita, Y., Deguchi, R. & Wada, H. 2009 Early development and cleavage
- 215 pattern of the Japanese purple mussel, *Septifer virgatus. Zool. Sci.* 26,
  216 814-820.
- 217 [5] Ando, R., Hama, H., Yamamoto-Hino, M., Mizuno, H. & Miyawaki, A. 2002 An
- 218 optical marker based on the UV-induced green-to-red photoconversion of a

fluorescent protein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99, 12651-12656.

- 220 [6] Luetjens, C.M. & Dorrestejin, A.W. 1995 Multiple, alternative cleavage
- 221 patterns precede uniform larval morphology during normal develoment of
- Dreissena polymorpha (mollusca, Lamellibranchia). Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol. 205,
  138-149.
- [7] Lemaire, P., Garrett, N. & Gurdon, J.B. 1995 Expression cloning of Siamois, a
- *Xenopus* homeobox gene expressed in dorsal vegetal cells of blastulae and able
  to induce a complete secondary axis. *Cell* 81, 85-94.
- 227 [8] Hashimoto, N., Kurita, Y., Murakami, K. & Wada, H. 2014 Cleavage pattern
- and development of isolated D blastomeres in bivalves. J. Exp. Zool. (Mol. Dev.
  Evol.) 324B, 13-21.
- 230 [9] Conklin, E.G. 1897 The embryology of *Crepidula*. J. Morphol. 13, 3-209.
- 231 [10] Schneider, S.Q. & Bowerman, B. 2007 β-catenin asymmetries after all
- animal/vegetal-oriented cell divisions in *Platynereis dumeriliiembryos* mediate
- binary cell-fate specification. *Dev. Cell* **13**, 73-86.

- [11] Meyer, N.P. & Seaver, E. 2010 Cell lineage and fate map of the primary
- somatoblast of the polychaete annelid *Captella teleta*. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 50,
  756-767.
- 237 [12] Chan, X.Y. & Lambert, J.D. 2014 Development of blastomere clones in the
- 238 Ilyanassa embryo: transformation of the spiralian blastula into the larval body
- 239 plan. Dev. Genes Evol. 224, 159-174.
- 240 [13] Lyons, D.C., Perry, K.J. & Henry, J.Q. 2015 Spiralian gastrulation: germ
- layer formation, morphogenesis, and fate of the blastopore in the slipper snail
- 242 Crepidula fornicata. EvoDevo 6, 24.
- 243 [14] Nakamoto, A., Nagy, L.M. & Shimizu, T. 2011 Secondary embryonic axis
- formation by transplantation of D quadrant micromeres in an oligochaete
- 245 annelid. *Development* **138**, 283-290.
- 246 [15] Lyons, D.C., Perry, K.J., Lesoway, M.P. & Henry, J.Q. 2012 Cleavage pattern
- and fate map of the mesentoblast, 4d, in the gastropod *Crepidula*: a hallmark
- of spiralian development. *EvoDevo* **3**, 21.
- 249 [16] Owen, G., Trueman, E.R. & Younge, C.M. 1953 The ligament in the
- 250 Lamellibranchia. *Nature* **171**, 73-75.
- 251
- 252

253	Figure	leger	ıds
-----	--------	-------	-----

254Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the cleavage pattern and cell lineage mapping of 2d descendants. 255256(a-e)Schematic illustration of the cleavage pattern of 2d descendants. (f)Summary 257of the cell lineage mapping of the 2d descendants in trochophore larva. Dorsal views, anterior to the top.(g) Tree diagram of the cell lineage and developmental 258259fate of 2d descendants. 260261Figure 2. Cell lineage tracing of 2d and descendant blastomeres. (a-c)Cell lineage of 2d. Kaede was converted at 9 cell stage (a: view from right 262side), and the fate of 2d was observed at trochophore stage (b-c). (d-r)Cell lineage 263of 2d<sup>11211</sup>(d-f), 2d<sup>11212</sup> (g-i), 2d<sup>2</sup>(j-k), 2d<sup>12</sup> (l-m), 2d<sup>111</sup>(o-p) and 2d<sup>1122</sup>(q-r). Noted that 264265some converted cells appeared yellowish because unconverted green Kaede 266protein was translated from the injected mRNA even after photoconversion. 267Shell field boundary is indicated by broken line. Anterior to the top except for (o) 268in which ventral to the top. Scale bars: 50µm.







dorsal

dorsal

# Converted signals

photoconverted cells	developmental fate at trochophore stage	no. larvae showing the fate / no. embryos observed	Figure
2d	dorsal post-trochal epidermis and shell field	4/4	2a-c
2d2	right-anterior and right-posterior dorsal post-trochal epidermis	8/8	2j-k
2d12	left-anterior dorsal post-trochal epidermis	6/6	21-m
2d111	anterior dorsal post-trochal epidermis	6/6	20-р
2d1122	right-posterior post-trochal epidermis	7/7	2q-r
2411211	right-posterior shell field and ligament	<u>8</u> /9	2d-f
2011211	right-anterior and posterior dorsal post-trochal epidermis	0/0	
0411010	left-anterior shell field and ligament	0/0	2g-i
2011212	left-anterior and posterior dorsal post-trochal epidermis	212	
ld	prototroch and pre-trochal epidermis	4/4	S1