# Group-graded and group-bigraded rings

Mitsuo Hoshino, Noritsugu Kameyama and Hirotaka Koga

### Abstract

Let I be a non-trivial finite multiplicative group with the unit element e and  $A = \bigoplus_{x \in I} A_x$  an I-graded ring. We construct a Frobenius extension  $\Lambda$  of A and study when the ring extension A of  $A_e$  can be a Frobenius extension. Also, formulating the ring structure of  $\Lambda$ , we introduce the notion of I-bigraded rings and show that every I-bigraded ring is isomorphic to the I-bigraded ring  $\Lambda$  constructed above.

Let I be a non-trivial finite multiplicative group with the unit element e and  $A = \bigoplus_{x \in I} A_x$  an I-graded ring. In this note, assuming  $A_e$  is a local ring, we study when a ring extension A of  $A_e$  can be a Frobenius extension, the notion of which we recall below. Auslander-Gorenstein rings (see Definition 1.2) appear in various fields of current research in mathematics. For instance, regular 3-dimensional algebras of type A in the sense of Artin and Schelter, Weyl algebras over fields of characteristic zero, enveloping algebras of finite dimensional Lie algebras and Sklyanin algebras are Auslander-Gorenstein rings (see [2], [5], [6] and [15], respectively). However, little is known about constructions of Auslander-Gorenstein rings. We have shown in [9, Section 3] that a left and right noetherian ring is an Auslander-Gorenstein ring. A Frobenius extension A of a left and right noetherian ring R is a typical example such that A admits an Auslander-Gorenstein resolution over R.

Now we recall the notion of Frobenius extensions of rings due to Nakayama and Tsuzuku [11, 12] which we modify as follows (cf. [1, Section 1]). We use the notation A/R to denote that a ring A contains a ring R as a subring. We say that A/R is a Frobenius extension if the following conditions are satisfied: (F1) A is finitely generated as a left R-module; (F2) A is finitely generated projective as a right R-module; (F3) there exists an isomorphism  $\phi : A \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_R(A, R)$  in Mod-A. Note that  $\phi$  induces a unique ring homomorphism  $\theta : R \to A$  such that

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 16S35, 16S50; Secondary: 16E10, 16W22.

 $Key \ words: \ Auslander-Gorenstein \ ring, \ Frobenius \ extension, \ group-graded \ ring, \ group-bigraded \ ring.$ 

 $x\phi(1) = \phi(1)\theta(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ . A Frobenius extension A/R is said to be of first kind if  $A \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(A, R)$  as R-A-bimodules, and to be of second kind if there exists an isomorphism  $\phi: A \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_R(A, R)$  in Mod-A such that the associated ring homomorphism  $\theta: R \to A$  induces a ring automorphism of R. Note that a Frobenius extension of first kind is a special case of a Frobenius extension of second kind. Let A/R be a Frobenius extension. Then A is an Auslander-Gorenstein ring if so is R, and the converse holds true if A is projective as a left R-module, and if A/R is split, i.e., the inclusion  $R \to A$  is a split monomorphism of R-R-bimodules. It should be noted that A is projective as a left R-module if A/R is of second kind.

To state our main theorem we have to construct a Frobenius extension  $\Lambda/A$  of first kind. Namely, we will define an appropriate multiplication on a free right A-module  $\Lambda$  with a basis  $\{v_x\}_{x\in I}$  so that  $\Lambda/A$  is a Frobenius extension of first kind. Denote by  $\{\gamma_x\}_{x\in I}$  the dual basis of  $\{v_x\}_{x\in I}$  for the free left A-module  $\operatorname{Hom}_A(\Lambda, A)$  and set  $\gamma = \sum_{x\in I}\gamma_x$ . Assume  $A_e$  is local,  $A_xA_{x^{-1}} \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(A_e)$  for all  $x \neq e$  and A is reflexive as a right  $A_e$ -module. Our main theorem states that the following are equivalent: (1)  $A \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(A, A_e)$  as right A-modules; (2) There exist a unique  $s \in I$  and some  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(A, A_e)$  such that  $\phi_{sx,x}: v_{sx}\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(\Lambda v_x, A_e), \lambda \mapsto (\mu \mapsto \alpha(\gamma(\lambda \mu)))$  for all  $x \in I$ ; (3) There exist a unique  $s \in I$  and some  $\alpha_s \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(A_{s^{-1}}, A_e), a \mapsto (b \mapsto \alpha_s(ab))$  for all  $x \in I$  (Theorem 3.3). Assume  $A/A_e$  is a Frobenius extension. We show that it is of second kind (Corollary 3.5), and that A is an Auslander-Gorenstein ring if and only if so is  $\Lambda$  (Theorem 3.6).

As we saw above, the ring  $\Lambda$  plays an essential role in our argument. Formulating the ring structure of  $\Lambda$ , we introduce the notion of group-bigraded rings as follows. A ring  $\Lambda$  together with a group homomorphism  $\eta : I^{\text{op}} \to \operatorname{Aut}(\Lambda), x \mapsto \eta_x$  is said to be an *I*-bigraded ring, denoted by  $(\Lambda, \eta)$ , if  $1 = \sum_{x \in I} v_x$  with the  $v_x$  orthogonal idempotents and  $\eta_y(v_x) = v_{xy}$  for all  $x, y \in I$ . A homomorphism  $\varphi : (\Lambda, \eta) \to (\Lambda', \eta')$  is defined as a ring homomorphism  $\varphi : \Lambda \to \Lambda'$  such that  $\varphi(v_x) = v'_x$  and  $\varphi\eta_x = \eta'_x\varphi$  for all  $x \in I$ . We conclude that every *I*-bigraded ring is isomorphic to the *I*-bigraded ring  $\Lambda$  constructed above (Proposition 4.3).

This note is organized as follows. In Section 1, we recall basic facts on Auslander-Gorenstein rings and Frobenius extensions. In Section 2, we construct a Frobenius extension  $\Lambda/A$  of first kind and study the ring structure of  $\Lambda$ . In Section 3, we prove the main theorem. In Section 4, we introduce the notion of group-bigraded rings and study the structure of such rings. In Section 5, we provide a systematic construction of *I*-graded rings *A* such that  $A/A_e$  is a Frobenius extension of second kind.

# **1** Preliminaries

For a ring R we denote by rad(R) the Jacobson radical of R, by  $R^{\times}$  the set of units in R, by Z(R) the center of R and by Aut(R) the group of ring automorphisms of R. Usually, the identity element of a ring is simply denoted by 1. Sometimes, we use the notation  $1_R$  to stress that it is the identity element of the ring R. We denote by Mod-R the category of right R-modules. Left R-modules are considered as right  $R^{\text{op}}$ -modules, where  $R^{\text{op}}$  denotes the opposite ring of R. In particular, we denote by inj dim R (resp., inj dim  $R^{\text{op}}$ ) the injective dimension of R as a right (resp., left) R-module and by  $\text{Hom}_R(-,-)$  (resp.,  $\text{Hom}_{R^{\text{op}}}(-,-)$ ) the set of homomorphisms in Mod-R (resp.,  $\text{Mod}-R^{\text{op}}$ ). Sometimes, we use the notation  $X_R$  (resp.,  $_RX$ ) to stress that the module X considered is a right (resp., left) R-module.

We start by recalling the notion of Auslander-Gorenstein rings.

**Proposition 1.1** (Auslander). Let R be a right and left noetherian ring. Then for any  $n \ge 0$  the following are equivalent.

- (1) In a minimal injective resolution  $I^{\bullet}$  of R in Mod-R, flat dim  $I^{i} \leq i$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .
- (2) In a minimal injective resolution  $J^{\bullet}$  of R in Mod- $R^{\text{op}}$ , flat dim  $J^i \leq i$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .
- (3) For any  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ , any  $M \in \text{mod-}R$  and any submodule X of  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) \in \text{mod-}R^{\text{op}}$  we have  $\text{Ext}_{R^{\text{op}}}^j(X, R) = 0$  for all  $0 \leq j < i$ .
- (4) For any  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ , any  $X \in \text{mod-}R^{\text{op}}$  and any submodule M of  $\text{Ext}_{R^{\text{op}}}^{i}(X, R) \in \text{mod-}R$  we have  $\text{Ext}_{R}^{j}(M, R) = 0$  for all  $0 \leq j < i$ .

Proof. See e.g. [7, Theorem 3.7].

**Definition 1.2** ([6]). A right and left noetherian ring R is said to satisfy the Auslander condition if it satisfies the equivalent conditions in Proposition 1.1 for all  $n \ge 0$ , and to be an Auslander-Gorenstein ring if it satisfies the Auslander condition and inj dim  $R = \text{inj dim } R^{\text{op}} < \infty$ .

It should be noted that for a right and left noetherian ring R we have inj dim R = inj dim  $R^{\text{op}}$  whenever inj dim  $R < \infty$  and inj dim  $R^{\text{op}} < \infty$  (see [16, Lemma A]).

Next, we recall the notion of Frobenius extensions of rings due to Nakayama and Tsuzuku [11, 12], which we modify as follows (cf. [1, Section 1]).

**Definition 1.3.** A ring A is said to be an extension of a ring R if A contains R as a subring, and the notation A/R is used to denote that A is an extension ring of R. A ring extension A/R is said to be Frobenius if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (F1) A is finitely generated as a left R-module;
- (F2) A is finitely generated projective as a right R-module;
- (F3)  $A \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(A, R)$  as right A-modules.

In case R is a right and left noetherian ring, for any Frobenius extension A/R the isomorphism  $A \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_R(A, R)$  in Mod-A yields an Auslander-Gorenstein resolution of A over R in the sense of [9, Definition 3.5].

The next proposition is well-known and easily verified.

**Proposition 1.4.** Let A/R be a ring extension and  $\phi : A \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_R(A, R)$  an isomorphism in Mod-A. Then the following hold.

- (1) There exists a unique ring homomorphism  $\theta : R \to A$  such that  $x\phi(1) = \phi(1)\theta(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ .
- (2) If  $\phi' : A \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_R(A, R)$  is another isomorphism in Mod-A, then there exists  $u \in A^{\times}$  such that  $\phi'(1) = \phi(1)u$  and  $\theta'(x) = u^{-1}\theta(x)u$  for all  $x \in R$ .
- (3)  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of R-A-bimodules if and only if  $\theta(x) = x$  for all  $x \in R$ .

**Definition 1.5** (cf. [11, 12]). A Frobenius extension A/R is said to be of first kind if  $A \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(A, R)$  as R-A-bimodules, and to be of second kind if there exists an isomorphism  $\phi : A \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_R(A, R)$  in Mod-A such that the associated ring homomorphism  $\theta : R \to A$  induces a ring automorphism  $\theta : R \xrightarrow{\sim} R$ .

**Proposition 1.6.** If A/R is a Frobenius extension of second kind, then A is projective as a left R-module.

Proof. Let  $\phi : A \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_R(A, R)$  be an isomorphism in Mod-A such that the associated ring homomorphism  $\theta : R \to A$  induces a ring automorphism  $\theta : R \xrightarrow{\sim} R$ . Then  $\theta$  induces an equivalence  $U_{\theta} : \operatorname{Mod} R^{\operatorname{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Mod} R^{\operatorname{op}}$  such that for any  $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R^{\operatorname{op}}$  we have  $U_{\theta}M = M$  as an additive group and the left R-module structure of  $U_{\theta}M$  is given by the law of composition  $R \times M \to M, (x, m) \mapsto \theta(x)m$ . Since  $\phi$  yields an isomorphism of R-A-bimodules  $U_{\theta}A \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_R(A, R)$ , and since  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(A, R)$  is projective as a left R-module, it follows that  $U_{\theta}A$  and hence A are projective as left R-modules.

**Proposition 1.7.** For any Frobenius extensions  $\Lambda/A$ , A/R the following hold.

- (1)  $\Lambda/R$  is a Frobenius extension.
- (2) Assume Λ/A is of first kind. If A/R is of second (resp., first) kind, then so is Λ/R.

*Proof.* (1) Obviously, (F1) and (F2) are satisfied. Also, we have

$$\Lambda \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(\Lambda, A)$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(\Lambda, \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(A, R))$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\Lambda \otimes_{A} A, R)$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\Lambda, R)$$

in Mod-A.

(2) Let  $\psi : \Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_A(\Lambda, A)$  be an isomorphism of A- $\Lambda$ -bimodules and  $\phi : A \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_R(A, R)$  an isomorphism in Mod-A such that the associated ring homomorphism  $\theta : R \to A$  induces a ring automorphism  $\theta : R \xrightarrow{\sim} R$ . Setting  $\gamma = \psi(1)$  and  $\alpha = \phi(1)$ , as in (1), we have an isomorphism in Mod- $\Lambda$ 

$$\xi : \Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R), \lambda \mapsto (\mu \mapsto \alpha(\gamma(\lambda \mu))).$$

For any  $x \in R$ , we have

$$\xi(1)(\mu) = x\alpha(\gamma(\mu))$$
$$= \alpha(\theta(x)\gamma(\mu))$$
$$= \alpha(\gamma(\theta(x)\mu))$$
$$= \xi(1)(\theta(x)\mu)$$

x

for all  $\mu \in \Lambda$  and  $x\xi(1) = \xi(1)\theta(x)$ .

**Definition 1.8** ([1]). A ring extension A/R is said to be split if the inclusion  $R \to A$  is a split monomorphism of *R*-*R*-bimodules.

**Proposition 1.9** (cf. [1]). For any Frobenius extension A/R the following hold.

- (1) If R is an Auslander-Gorenstein ring, then so is A with inj dim  $A \leq$ inj dim R.
- (2) Assume A is projective as a left R-module and A/R is split. If A is an Auslander-Gorenstein ring, then so is R with inj dim  $R = inj \dim A$ .

*Proof.* (1) See [9, Theorem 3.6].

(2) It follows by [1, Proposition 1.7] that R is a right and left noetherian ring with inj dim R = inj dim  $R^{op} = inj$  dim A. Let  $A \to E^{\bullet}$  be a minimal injective resolution in Mod-A. For any  $i \ge 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-, E^i) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_A(-\otimes_R A, E^i)$  as functors on Mod-R and  $E_R^i$  is injective, and  $E^i \otimes_R - \cong E^i \otimes_A A \otimes_R -$  as functors on Mod- $R^{\operatorname{op}}$  and flat dim  $E_R^i \le$  flat dim  $E_A^i \le i$ . Now, since  $R_R$ appears in  $A_R$  as a direct summand, it follows that R satisfies the Auslander condition. 

#### $\mathbf{2}$ Graded rings

Throughout the rest of this note, I stands for a non-trivial finite multiplicative group with the unit element e.

Throughout this and the next sections, we fix a ring A together with a family  $\{\delta_x\}_{x\in I}$  in  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$  satisfying the following conditions:

(D1)  $\delta_x \delta_y = 0$  unless x = y and  $\sum_{x \in I} \delta_x = \mathrm{id}_A$ ; (D2)  $\delta_x(a)\delta_y(b) = \delta_{xy}(\delta_x(a)b)$  for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $x, y \in I$ .

Namely, setting  $A_x = \text{Im } \delta_x$  for  $x \in I$ ,  $A = \bigoplus_{x \in I} A_x$  is an *I*-graded ring. In particular,  $A/A_e$  is a split ring extension.

To prove our main theorem (Theorem 3.3), we need an extension ring  $\Lambda$  of A such that  $\Lambda/A$  is a Frobenius extension of first kind. Let  $\Lambda$  be a free right A-module with a basis  $\{v_x\}_{x\in I}$  and define a multiplication on  $\Lambda$  subject to the following axioms:

(M1)  $v_x v_y = 0$  unless x = y and  $v_x v_x = v_x$  for all  $x \in I$ ;

(M2)  $av_x = \sum_{y \in I} v_y \delta_{yx^{-1}}(a)$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $x \in I$ .

We denote by  $\{\gamma_x\}_{x\in I}$  the dual basis of  $\{v_x\}_{x\in I}$  for the free left A-module  $\operatorname{Hom}_A(\Lambda, A)$ , i.e.,  $\lambda = \sum_{x\in I} v_x \gamma_x(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . It is not difficult to see that

$$\lambda \mu = \sum_{x,y \in I} v_x \delta_{xy^{-1}}(\gamma_x(\lambda)) \gamma_y(\mu)$$

for all  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ . Also, setting  $\gamma = \sum_{x \in I} \gamma_x$ , we define a mapping

$$\phi: \Lambda \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(\Lambda, A), \lambda \mapsto \gamma \lambda$$

Proposition 2.1. The following hold.

- (1)  $\Lambda$  is an associative ring with  $1 = \sum_{x \in I} v_x$  and contains A as a subring via the injective ring homomorphism  $A \to \Lambda, a \mapsto \sum_{x \in I} v_x a$ .
- (2)  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of A- $\Lambda$ -bimodules, i.e.,  $\Lambda/A$  is a Frobenius extension of first kind.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Obviously,  $\sum_{x \in I} v_x \cdot \lambda = \lambda$ . Also, by (D1) we have

$$\lambda \cdot \sum_{y \in I} v_y = \sum_{x,y \in I} v_x \delta_{xy^{-1}}(\gamma_x(\lambda))$$
$$= \sum_{x \in I} v_x \gamma_x(\lambda)$$
$$= \lambda.$$

Next, for any  $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda$  by (D2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda\mu)\nu &= \sum_{x,y,z\in I} v_x \delta_{xz^{-1}}(\delta_{xy^{-1}}(\gamma_x(\lambda))\gamma_y(\mu))\gamma_z(\nu) \\ &= \sum_{x,y,z\in I} v_x \delta_{xy^{-1}}(\gamma_x(\lambda))\delta_{yz^{-1}}(\gamma_y(\mu))\gamma_z(\nu) \\ &= \lambda(\mu\nu). \end{aligned}$$

The remaining assertions are obvious.

(2) Let  $\lambda \in \text{Ker } \phi$ . For any  $y \in I$  we have  $0 = \gamma(\lambda v_y) = \sum_{x \in I} \delta_{xy^{-1}}(\gamma_x(\lambda))$ and  $\delta_{xy^{-1}}(\gamma_x(\lambda)) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ . Thus for any  $x \in I$  we have  $\delta_{xy^{-1}}(\gamma_x(\lambda)) = 0$ for all  $y \in I$  and by (D1)  $\gamma_x(\lambda) = 0$ , so that  $\lambda = 0$ . Next, for any  $f = \sum_{x \in I} a_x \gamma_x \in \text{Hom}_A(\Lambda, A)$ , setting  $\lambda = \sum_{x,z \in I} v_x \delta_{xz^{-1}}(a_z)$ , by (D1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma\lambda)(v_y) &= \gamma(\lambda v_y) \\ &= \sum_{x \in I} \delta_{xy^{-1}}(\gamma_x(\lambda)) \\ &= \sum_{x,z \in I} \delta_{xy^{-1}}(\delta_{xz^{-1}}(a_z)) \\ &= a_y \\ &= f(v_y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $y \in I$  and  $f = \gamma \lambda$ . Finally, for any  $a \in A$  by (D1) we have

$$(\gamma a)(\lambda) = \gamma(a\lambda)$$
  
=  $\sum_{x,y \in I} \delta_{yx^{-1}}(a)\gamma_x(\lambda)$   
=  $a\gamma(\lambda)$ 

for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\gamma a = a\gamma$ .

Remark 2.2. Denote by |I| the order of I. If  $|I| \cdot 1_A \in A^{\times}$ , then  $\Lambda/A$  is a split ring extension.

Lemma 2.3. The following hold.

(1) v<sub>x</sub>λv<sub>y</sub> = v<sub>x</sub>δ<sub>xy<sup>-1</sup></sub>(γ<sub>x</sub>(λ)) for all λ ∈ Λ and x, y ∈ I.
(2) v<sub>x</sub>Λv<sub>y</sub> = v<sub>x</sub>A<sub>xy<sup>-1</sup></sub> for all x, y ∈ I.
(3) v<sub>x</sub>a · v<sub>y</sub>b = v<sub>x</sub>ab for all x, y, z ∈ I and a ∈ A<sub>xy<sup>-1</sup></sub>, b ∈ A<sub>yz<sup>-1</sup></sub>.

*Proof.* Immediate by the definition.

Setting  $\Lambda_{x,y} = v_x \Lambda v_y$  for  $x, y \in I$ , we have  $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{x,y \in I} \Lambda_{x,y}$  with  $\Lambda_{x,y} \Lambda_{z,w} = 0$  unless y = z and  $\Lambda_{x,y} \Lambda_{y,z} \subseteq \Lambda_{x,z}$  for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . Also, setting  $\lambda_{x,y} = \delta_{xy^{-1}}(\gamma_x(\lambda)) \in A_{xy^{-1}}$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $x, y \in I$ , we have a group homomorphism

$$\eta: I^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda), x \mapsto \eta_x$$

such that  $\eta_x(\lambda)_{y,z} = \lambda_{yx^{-1},zx^{-1}}$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $x, y, z \in I$ . We denote by  $\Lambda^I$  the subring of  $\Lambda$  consisting of all  $\lambda$  such that  $\eta_x(\lambda) = \lambda$  for all  $x \in I$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** The following hold.

(1) η<sub>y</sub>(v<sub>x</sub>) = v<sub>xy</sub> for all x, y ∈ I.
(2) Λ<sup>I</sup> = A.
(3) (λμ)<sub>x,z</sub> = Σ<sub>y∈I</sub> λ<sub>x,y</sub>μ<sub>y,z</sub> for all λ, μ ∈ Λ and x, z ∈ I.

*Proof.* (1) Since  $\eta_y(v_x)_{z,w} = \delta_{zw^{-1}}(\gamma_{zy^{-1}}(v_x))$  for all  $z, w \in I$ , we have

$$\eta_y(v_x)_{z,w} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = w \text{ and } x = zy^{-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) For any  $a \in A$ , since  $\eta_x(a)_{y,z} = a_{yx^{-1},zx^{-1}} = \delta_{(yx^{-1})(zx^{-1})^{-1}}(a) = \delta_{yz^{-1}}(a) = a_{y,z}$  for all  $x, y, z \in I$ , we have  $a \in \Lambda^I$ . Conversely, for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda^I$  we have  $\delta_{y^{-1}}(\gamma_x(\lambda)) = \lambda_{x,yx} = \eta_{x^{-1}}(\lambda)_{e,y} = \lambda_{e,y} = \delta_{y^{-1}}(\gamma_e(\lambda))$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , so that  $\gamma_x(\lambda) = \gamma_e(\lambda)$  for all  $x \in I$ .

(3) For any  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$  and  $x, z \in I$  by (D2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda\mu)_{x,z} &= \sum_{y \in I} \delta_{xz^{-1}}(\delta_{xy^{-1}}(\gamma_x(\lambda))\gamma_y(\mu)) \\ &= \sum_{y \in I} \delta_{xy^{-1}}(\gamma_x(\lambda))\delta_{yz^{-1}}(\gamma_y(\mu)) \\ &= \sum_{y \in I} \lambda_{x,y}\mu_{y,z}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.5. We have  $\eta_y(v_x a_x)v_y b_y = v_{xy}a_x b_y$  for all  $a_x \in A_x$  and  $b_y \in A_y$ .

Proposition 2.6. The following hold.

- (1)  $\operatorname{End}_{\Lambda}(v_x\Lambda) \cong A_e$  as rings for all  $x \in I$ .
- (2)  $v_x \Lambda \not\cong v_y \Lambda$  in Mod- $\Lambda$  for all  $x, y \in I$  with  $A_{xy^{-1}} A_{yx^{-1}} \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(A_e)$ .

*Proof.* (1) We have  $\operatorname{End}_{\Lambda}(v_x\Lambda) \cong v_x\Lambda v_x \cong A_e$  as rings.

(2) For any  $f: v_x \Lambda \to v_y \Lambda$  and  $g: v_y \Lambda \to v_x \Lambda$  in Mod- $\Lambda$ , since  $f(v_x) = v_y a$ with  $a \in A_{yx^{-1}}$  and  $g(v_y) = v_x b$  with  $b \in A_{xy^{-1}}$ , we have  $g(f(v_x)) = v_x ba$  with  $ba \in \operatorname{rad}(A_e)$ .

The proposition above asserts that if  $A_e$  is local and  $A_x A_{x^{-1}} \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(A_e)$  for all  $x \neq e$  then  $\Lambda$  is semiperfect and basic. We refer to [3] for semiperfect rings.

# 3 Auslander-Gorenstein rings

In this section, we will ask when  $A/A_e$  is a Frobenius extension.

**Lemma 3.1.** For any  $x \in I$  the following hold.

- (1)  $av_x = v_x a$  for all  $a \in A_e$  and  $\Lambda v_x$  is a  $\Lambda$ - $A_e$ -bimodule.
- (2)  $\Lambda v_x = \sum_{y \in I} v_y A_{yx^{-1}}.$
- (3)  $A \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda v_x, a \mapsto \sum_{y \in I} v_y \delta_{yx^{-1}}(a)$  as A-A<sub>e</sub>-bimodules.
- (4) If  $\Lambda v_x$  is reflexive as a right  $A_e$ -module, then  $\operatorname{End}_{\Lambda}(\operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(\Lambda v_x, A_e)) \cong A_e$  as rings.

*Proof.* (1) and (2) Immediate by the definition.

(3) By (2) we have a bijection  $f_x : A \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda v_x, a \mapsto \sum_{y \in I} v_y \delta_{yx^{-1}}(a)$ . Since every  $\delta_{yx^{-1}}$  is a homomorphism in Mod- $A_e$ , so is  $f_x$ . Finally, for any  $a, b \in A$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot (\sum_{y \in I} v_y \delta_{yx^{-1}}(b)) &= \sum_{y, z \in I} v_z \delta_{zy^{-1}}(a) \delta_{yx^{-1}}(b) \\ &= \sum_{z \in I} v_z (\sum_{y \in I} \delta_{zy^{-1}}(a) \delta_{yx^{-1}}(b)) \\ &= \sum_{z \in I} v_z \delta_{zx^{-1}} (\sum_{y \in I} \delta_{zy^{-1}}(a) b) \\ &= \sum_{z \in I} v_z \delta_{zx^{-1}}(ab) \end{aligned}$$

and  $f_x$  is a homomorphism in Mod- $A^{\text{op}}$ .

(4) Since the canonical homomorphism

$$\Lambda v_x \to \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e^{\operatorname{op}}}(\operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(\Lambda v_x, A_e), A_e), \lambda \mapsto (f \mapsto f(\lambda))$$

is an isomorphism,  $\operatorname{End}_{\Lambda}(\operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(\Lambda v_x, A_e)) \cong \operatorname{End}_{\Lambda^{\operatorname{op}}}(\Lambda v_x)^{\operatorname{op}} \cong v_x \Lambda v_x \cong A_e$  as rings.  $\Box$ 

It follows by Lemma 3.1(1) that  $\delta_e \gamma_e : \Lambda \to A_e$  is a homomorphism of  $A_e$ - $A_e$ -bimodules and  $\Lambda/A_e$  is a split ring extension.

**Lemma 3.2.** For any  $x, y \in I$  and  $a, b \in A$  we have

$$v_x a \cdot (\sum_{z \in I} v_z \delta_{zy^{-1}}(b)) = v_x (\sum_{z \in I} \delta_{xz^{-1}}(a) \delta_{zy^{-1}}(b))$$

*Proof.* Immediate by the definition.

**Theorem 3.3.** Assume  $A_e$  is local,  $A_x A_{x^{-1}} \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(A_e)$  for all  $x \neq e$  and A is reflexive as a right  $A_e$ -module. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $A \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(A, A_e)$  as right A-modules.
- (2) There exist a unique  $s \in I$  and some  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(A, A_e)$  such that

 $\phi_{sx,x}: v_{sx}\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(\Lambda v_x, A_e), \lambda \mapsto (\mu \mapsto \alpha(\gamma(\lambda \mu)))$ 

for all  $x \in I$ .

(3) There exist a unique  $s \in I$  and some  $\alpha_s \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(A_s, A_e)$  such that

$$\psi_x : A_{sx} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(A_{x^{-1}}, A_e), a \mapsto (b \mapsto \alpha_s(ab))$$

for all  $x \in I$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $A \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(A, A_e), 1 \mapsto \alpha$  in Mod-A. Then, since by Proposition 2.1(2)  $\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_A(\Lambda, A), \lambda \mapsto \gamma \lambda$  in Mod- $\Lambda$ , by adjointness we have an isomorphism in Mod- $\Lambda$ 

$$\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(\Lambda, A_e), \lambda \mapsto (\mu \mapsto \alpha(\gamma(\lambda \mu))).$$

By Proposition 2.6(1)  $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{x \in I} v_x \Lambda$  with the End<sub> $\Lambda$ </sub> $(v_x \Lambda)$  local. Also, by (1) and (4) of Lemma 3.1

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(\Lambda, A_e) \cong \bigoplus_{x \in I} \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(\Lambda v_x, A_e)$$

with the  $\operatorname{End}_{\Lambda}(\operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(\Lambda v_x, A_e))$  local. Now, according to Proposition 2.6(2), it follows by the Krull-Schmidt theorem that there exists a unique  $s \in I$  such that

 $\phi_{s,e}: v_s\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(\Lambda v_e, A_e), \lambda \mapsto (\mu \mapsto \alpha(\gamma(\lambda \mu))).$ 

Thus, setting  $\alpha_s = \alpha|_{A_s}$ , by Lemmas 3.1(2) and 3.2 we have

 $\psi: A \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(A, A_e), a \mapsto (b \mapsto \alpha_s(\delta_s(ab))).$ 

It then follows again by Lemmas 3.1(2) and 3.2 that

$$\phi_{sx,x}: v_{sx}\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(\Lambda v_x, A_e), \lambda \mapsto (\mu \mapsto \alpha(\gamma(\lambda \mu)))$$

for all  $x \in I$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Since  $A = \bigoplus_{x \in I} A_{sx} = \bigoplus_{x \in I} A_{x^{-1}}$ , and since  $A_{sx} A_{x^{-1}} \subseteq A_s$  for all  $x \in I$ ,  $\psi$  induces  $\psi_x : A_{sx} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(A_{x^{-1}}, A_e), a \mapsto (b \mapsto \alpha_s(ab))$  for all  $x \in I$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} (3) \Rightarrow (1). \text{ Setting } \psi_x : A_{sx} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(A_{x^{-1}}, A_e), a \mapsto (b \mapsto \alpha_s(ab)) \text{ for each } \\ x \in I, \text{ the } \psi_x \text{ yields } \psi : A \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(A, A_e), a \mapsto (b \mapsto \alpha_s(\delta_s(ab))). \end{array}$ 

*Remark* 3.4. In the theorem above,  $\alpha_s$  is an isomorphism and  $A_e \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{End}_{A_e}(A_s)$  canonically.

*Proof.* For any  $b \in A_e$ , setting  $f : A_e \to A_e, 1 \mapsto b$ , we have  $f = \psi_e(a)$  and hence  $b = \alpha_s(a)$  for some  $a \in A_s$ . Also, Ker  $\alpha_s = \text{Ker } \psi_s = 0$ . Then, since the composite  $A_e \to \text{End}_{A_e}(A_s) \to \text{Hom}_{A_e}(A_s, A_e)$  is an isomorphism, the last assertion follows.

**Corollary 3.5.** Assume  $A_e$  is local and  $A_x A_{x^{-1}} \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(A_e)$  for all  $x \neq e$ . If  $A/A_e$  is a Frobenius extension, then it is of second kind.

Proof. Set  $t = \alpha_s^{-1}(1) \in A_s$ . Then for any  $u \in A_s$  there exists  $f \in \operatorname{End}_{A_e}(A_s)$ such that u = f(t) and hence u = at for some  $a \in A_e$ . Thus  $A_e t = A_s$ and there exists  $\theta \in \operatorname{Aut}(A_e)$  such that  $\theta(a)t = ta$  for all  $a \in A_e$ . Then  $(\alpha_s\theta(a))(t) = \alpha_s(\theta(a)t) = \alpha_s(ta) = \alpha_s(t)a = a = (a\alpha_s)(t)$  and  $\alpha_s\theta(a) = a\alpha_s$  for all  $a \in A_e$ . Now, setting  $\psi : A \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(A, A_e), a \mapsto (b \mapsto \alpha_s(\delta_s(ab)))$ , we have  $(a\psi(1))(b) = a\alpha_s(\delta_s(b)) = (a\alpha_s)(\delta_s(b)) = (\alpha_s\theta(a))(\delta_s(b)) = \alpha_s(\theta(a)\delta_s(b)) =$  $\alpha_s(\delta_s(\theta(a)b)) = (\psi(1)\theta(a))(b)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ , so that  $a\psi(1) = \psi(1)\theta(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Assume  $A_e$  is local,  $A_x A_{x^{-1}} \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(A_e)$  for all  $x \neq e$ , and  $A/A_e$  is a Frobenius extension. Then A is an Auslander-Gorenstein ring if and only if so is  $\Lambda$ .

*Proof.* The "only if" part follows by Propositions 1.9(1) and 2.1(2). Assume  $\Lambda$  is an Auslander-Gorenstein ring. By Proposition 2.1(2)  $\Lambda/A$  is a Frobenius extension of first kind, and by Corollary 3.5  $A/A_e$  is a Frobenius extension of second kind. Thus by Proposition 1.7  $\Lambda/A_e$  is a Frobenius extension of second kind. Also, by Lemma 3.1(1)  $\Lambda/A_e$  is split. Hence by Propositions 1.6 and 1.9(2)  $A_e$  is an Auslander-Gorenstein ring and by Proposition 1.9(1) so is A.

Remark 3.7. Assume  $A_e$  is local,  $A_x A_{x^{-1}} \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(A_e)$  for all  $x \neq e$  and  $A/A_e$  is a Frobenius extension. Let  $s \in I$  be as in Theorem 3.3. Then the following hold.

- (1)  $s \neq e$  unless  $A = A_e$ .
- (2) Let J be a subgroup of I containing s and  $A_J = \bigoplus_{x \in J} A_x$ . Then  $A_J/A_e$  is a Frobenius extension and, unless s = e, the mapping cone of the multiplication map

$$\bigoplus_{x\in J} \Lambda v_x \otimes_{A_e} v_x \Lambda \to \Lambda$$

is a tilting complex for right  $\Lambda$ -modules (see [14] for tilting complexes).

*Proof.* (1) Suppose to the contrary that s = e. Let  $x \in I$  with  $x \neq e$  and  $A_x \neq 0$ . Then by Remark 3.4 there exists  $u \in A_e^{\times}$  such that  $A_x \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}(A_{x^{-1}}, A_e), a \mapsto (b \mapsto uab)$ . Note that  $uab \in \operatorname{rad}(A_e)$  for all  $a \in A_x$  and  $b \in A_{x^{-1}}$ . On the other hand, since  $A_{x^{-1}}$  is nonzero projective, and since  $A_e$  is local, there exists an epimorphism  $f : A_{x^{-1}} \to A_e$  in Mod- $A_e$ , a contradiction.

epimorphism  $f: A_{x^{-1}} \to A_e$  in Mod- $A_e$ , a contradiction. (2) Since  $\psi_x: A_{sx} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(A_{x^{-1}}, A_e), a \mapsto (b \mapsto \alpha_s(ab))$  for all  $x \in J$ , the  $\psi_x$  yields  $\psi_J: A_J \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(A_J, A_e), a \mapsto (b \mapsto \alpha_s(\delta_s(ab)))$ . The first assertion follows by Theorem 3.3.

Next, let  $v_J = \sum_{x \in J} v_x$ . Then by Lemma 3.1(1)  $av_J = v_J a$  for all  $a \in A_e$ . Since  $\Lambda/A_e$  is a Frobenius extension,  $\Lambda v_J$  is finitely generated projective as a right  $A_e$ -module and by Theorem 3.3  $v_J \Lambda \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{A_e}(\Lambda v_J, A_e)$  as right  $\Lambda$ modules. Note that  $v_x \Lambda v_x \neq 0$  and  $v_{sx} \Lambda v_x \neq 0$  for all  $x \in J$ . Thus the last assertion follows by the same argument as in [1, Example 4.3].

We will see in the final section that the element  $s \in I$  in Theorem 3.3 does not necessarily depend on the structure of the group I (Example 5.3).

## 4 Bigraded rings

Formulating the ring structure of  $\Lambda$  constructed in Section 2, we make the following.

**Definition 4.1.** A ring  $\Lambda$  together with a group homomorphism

$$\eta: I^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda), x \mapsto \eta_x$$

is said to be an *I*-bigraded ring, denoted by  $(\Lambda, \eta)$ , if  $1 = \sum_{x \in I} v_x$  with the  $v_x$  orthogonal idempotents and  $\eta_y(v_x) = v_{xy}$  for all  $x, y \in I$ . A homomorphism  $\varphi : (\Lambda, \eta) \to (\Lambda', \eta')$  is defined as a ring homomorphism  $\varphi : \Lambda \to \Lambda'$  such that  $\varphi(v_x) = v'_x$  and  $\varphi \eta_x = \eta'_x \varphi$  for all  $x \in I$ .

Throughout this section, we fix an *I*-bigraded ring  $(\Lambda, \eta)$ . Set  $A_x = v_x \Lambda v_e$ for  $x \in I$  and  $A = \bigoplus_{x \in I} A_x$ . Note that  $\eta_y(A_x) = v_{xy} \Lambda v_y$  for all  $x, y \in I$ . For any  $a_x \in A_x$  and  $b_y \in A_y$  we define the multiplication  $a_x \cdot b_y$  in A as the multiplication  $\eta_y(a_x)b_y$  in  $\Lambda$  (cf. Remark 2.5).

**Proposition 4.2.** The following hold.

- (1) A is an associative ring with  $1 = v_e$ .
- (2) A is an I-graded ring.

*Proof.* (1) For any  $a_x \in A_x$ ,  $b_y \in A_y$  and  $c_z \in A_z$  we have

$$(a_x \cdot b_y) \cdot c_z = \eta_y(a_x)b_y \cdot c_z$$
  
=  $\eta_z(\eta_y(a_x)b_y)c_z$   
=  $\eta_{yz}(a_x)\eta_z(b_y)c_z$   
=  $a_x \cdot (b_y \cdot c_z).$ 

Also, for any  $a_x \in A_x$  we have  $v_e \cdot a_x = \eta_x(v_e)a_x = v_xa_x = a_x$  and  $a_x \cdot v_e =$  $\eta_e(a_x)v_e = a_x v_e = a_x.$ 

(2) Obviously,  $A_x A_y \subseteq A_{xy}$  for all  $x, y \in I$ .

In the following, for each  $x \in I$  we denote by  $\delta_x : A \to A_x$  the projection. Then, setting  $\lambda_{x,y} = v_x \lambda v_y$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $x, y \in I$ , we have a mapping  $\varphi : A \to \Lambda$ such that  $\varphi(a)_{x,y} = \eta_y(\delta_{xy^{-1}}(a))$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $x, y \in I$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** The following hold.

- (1)  $\varphi: A \to \Lambda$  is an injective ring homomorphism with  $\operatorname{Im} \varphi = \Lambda^{I}$ .
- (2)  $v_x \Lambda v_y = v_x \varphi(A_{xy^{-1}})$  for all  $x, y \in I$ .
- (3)  $\{v_x\}_{x \in I}$  is a basis for the right A-module  $\Lambda$ .
- (4)  $\varphi(a)v_x = \sum_{u \in I} v_y \varphi(\delta_{ux^{-1}}(a))$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $x \in I$ .
- (5)  $v_x\varphi(a)v_y\varphi(b) = v_x\varphi(ab)$  for all  $x, y, z \in I$  and  $a \in A_{xy^{-1}}, b \in A_{yz^{-1}}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Obviously,  $\varphi$  is a monomorphism of additive groups. Also, we have

$$\varphi(v_e)_{x,y} = \begin{cases} v_x & \text{if } x = y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and  $\varphi(1_A) = 1_{\Lambda}$ . Let  $a_x \in A_x, b_y \in A_y$  and  $z, w \in I$ . Since  $\varphi(a_x \cdot b_y)_{z,w} =$  $\varphi(\eta_y(a_x)b_y)_{z,w} = \eta_w(\delta_{zw^{-1}}(\eta_y(a_x)b_y)), \ \varphi(a_x \cdot b_y)_{z,w} = 0 \text{ unless } xy = zw^{-1}.$  If  $xy = zw^{-1}$ , then  $\eta_w(\delta_{zw^{-1}}(\eta_y(a_x)b_y)) = \eta_{yw}(a_x)\eta_w(b_y)$ . On the other hand,

$$(\varphi(a_x)\varphi(b_y))_{z,w} = \sum_{u \in I} \varphi(a_x)_{z,u}\varphi(b_y)_{u,w}$$
$$= \sum_{u \in I} \eta_u(\delta_{zu^{-1}}(a_x))\eta_w(\delta_{uw^{-1}}(b_y)).$$

Thus  $(\varphi(a_x)\varphi(b_y))_{z,w} = 0$  unless  $zu^{-1} = x$  and  $uw^{-1} = y$ , i.e.,  $zw^{-1} = xy$ . If  $zw^{-1} = xy$ , then  $\sum_{u \in I} \eta_u(\delta_{zu^{-1}}(a_x))\eta_w(\delta_{uw^{-1}}(b_y)) = \eta_{yw}(a_x)\eta_w(b_y)$ . As a consequence,  $\varphi(a_x \cdot b_y)_{z,w} = (\varphi(a_x)\varphi(b_y))_{z,w}$ . The first assertion follows.

Next, for any  $a \in A$  and  $x, y, z \in I$  we have

$$\eta_x(\varphi(a))_{y,z} = v_y \eta_x(\varphi(a)) v_z$$
  
=  $\eta_x(v_{yx^{-1}}\varphi(a)v_{zx^{-1}})$   
=  $\eta_x(\varphi(a)_{yx^{-1},zx^{-1}})$   
=  $\eta_x(\eta_{zx^{-1}}(\delta_{yz^{-1}}(a)))$   
=  $\eta_z(\delta_{yz^{-1}}(a))$   
=  $\varphi(a)_{y,z},$ 

so that Im  $\varphi \subseteq \Lambda^{I}$ . Conversely, let  $\lambda \in \Lambda^{I}$ . Then  $\lambda_{x,y} = \eta_{y}(\lambda_{xy^{-1},e}) = \lambda_{xy^{-1},e}$ for all  $x, y \in I$ . Thus, setting  $a = \sum_{x \in I} \lambda_{x,e}$ , we have  $\varphi(a)_{x,y} = \eta_{y}(\delta_{xy^{-1}}(a)) = \eta_{y}(\lambda_{xy^{-1},e}) = \lambda_{xy^{-1},e} = \lambda_{x,y}$  for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $\varphi(a) = \lambda$ .

(2) Let  $x, y \in I$  and  $a \in A_{xy^{-1}}$ . For any  $z \neq y$  we have  $\delta_{xz^{-1}}(a) = 0$  and hence  $v_x \varphi(a) v_z = \varphi(a)_{x,z} = \eta_z(\delta_{xz^{-1}}(a)) = 0$ . Thus  $v_x \varphi(a) = \varphi(a)_{x,y} = \eta_y(a)$ . It follows that  $v_x \Lambda v_y = \eta_y(v_{xy^{-1}} \Lambda v_e) = \eta_y(A_{xy^{-1}}) = v_x \varphi(A_{xy^{-1}})$ .

(3) This follows by (2).

(4) Note that  $\eta_x(\delta_{yx^{-1}}(a)) = v_y \eta_x(\delta_{yx^{-1}}(a))$  for all  $y \in I$ . Thus  $\varphi(a)v_x = \sum_{y \in I} v_y \varphi(a)v_x = \sum_{y \in I} \eta_x(\delta_{yx^{-1}}(a)) = \sum_{y \in I} v_y \eta_x(\delta_{yx^{-1}}(a))$ . Also,

$$v_y \varphi(\delta_{yx^{-1}}(a)) = \sum_{z \in I} v_y \varphi(\delta_{yx^{-1}}(a)) v_z$$
$$= \sum_{z \in I} v_y \eta_z(\delta_{yz^{-1}}(\delta_{yx^{-1}}(a)))$$
$$= v_y \eta_x(\delta_{yx^{-1}}(a))$$

for all  $y \in I$ .

(5) This follows by (2) and (4).

Let us call the *I*-bigraded ring constructed in Section 2 standard. Then the proposition above asserts that every *I*-bigraded ring is isomorphic to a standard one. Namely, according to Lemma 2.3,  $\varphi : A \to \Lambda$  can be extended to an isomorphism of *I*-bigraded rings.

## 5 Examples

In this section, we will provide a systematic construction of *I*-graded rings A such that  $A/A_e$  is a Frobenius extension of second kind.

Let  $(s, \chi)$  be a pair of an element  $s \in I$  and a mapping  $\chi : I \to \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying the following conditions:

(X1)  $\chi(x) + \chi(y) \ge \chi(xy)$  for all  $x, y \in I$ ;

(X2)  $\chi(x) + \chi(x^{-1}s) = \chi(s)$  for all  $x \in I$ .

These are obviously satisfied if s is arbitrary and  $\chi(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ . We set

$$\omega(x, y) = \chi(x) + \chi(y) - \chi(xy)$$

for  $x, y \in I$ .

7

Lemma 5.1. The following hold.

(1)  $\omega(x, y) \ge 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$ .

- (2)  $\omega(e, x) = \omega(x, e) = \chi(e) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ .
- (3)  $\chi(x) + \chi(y) = \omega(x, y) + \chi(xy)$  for all  $x, y \in I$ .
- (4)  $\omega(xy,z) + \omega(x,y) = \omega(x,yz) + \omega(y,z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in I$ .
- (5)  $\omega(x, x^{-1}s) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ .

*Proof.* It follows by (X2) that  $\chi(e) = 0$ . The other assertions are obvious. 

In the following, we fix a ring R together with a pair  $(\sigma, c)$  of  $\sigma \in Aut(R)$ and  $c \in R$  satisfying the following condition:

(\*) 
$$\sigma(c) = c$$
 and  $ac = c\sigma(a)$  for all  $a \in R$ .

This is obviously satisfied if either  $\sigma = id_R$  and  $c \in Z(R)$ , or  $\sigma$  is arbitrary and c = 0. As usual, we require  $c^0 = 1$  even if c = 0.

Let A be a free right R-module with a basis  $\{u_x\}_{x\in I}$ . By abuse of notation we denote by  $\{\delta_x\}_{x\in I}$  the dual basis of  $\{u_x\}_{x\in I}$  for the free left *R*-module Hom<sub>R</sub>(A, R), i.e.,  $a = \sum_{x \in I} u_x \delta_x(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ . According to Lemma 5.1(1), we can define a multiplication on A subject to the following axioms:

(M1)  $u_x u_y = u_{xy} c^{\omega(x,y)}$  for all  $x, y \in I$ ;

(M2)  $au_x = u_x \sigma^{\chi(x)}(a)$  for all  $a \in R$  and  $x \in I$ .

Proposition 5.2. The following hold.

``

- (1) A is an I-graded ring with  $A_e \cong R$ .
- (2)  $A/A_e$  is a Frobenius extension of second kind.
- (3) If  $c \in \operatorname{rad}(R)$ , then  $A_x A_{x^{-1}} \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(A_e)$  for all  $x \neq e$  with  $\omega(x, x^{-1}) > 0$ .

*Proof.* (1) It follows by Lemma 5.1(2) that  $u_e \cdot u_x a = u_x a \cdot u_e$  for all  $x \in I$  and  $a \in R$ . For any  $x, y, z \in I$  and  $a_x, a_y, a_z \in R$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u_x a_x \cdot u_y a_y) \cdot u_z a_z &= u_{xy} c^{\omega(x,y)} \sigma^{\chi(y)}(a_x) a_y \cdot u_z a_z \\ &= u_{xyz} c^{\omega(xy,z)} \sigma^{\chi(z)} (c^{\omega(x,y)} \sigma^{\chi(y)}(a_x) a_y) a_z \\ &= u_{xyz} c^{\omega(xy,z)} c^{\omega(x,y)} \sigma^{\chi(z) + \chi(y)}(a_x) \sigma^{\chi(z)}(a_y) a_z \\ &= u_{xyz} c^{\omega(xy,z) + \omega(x,y)} \sigma^{\chi(z) + \chi(y)}(a_x) \sigma^{\chi(z)}(a_y) a_z, \\ u_x a_x \cdot (u_y a_y \cdot u_z a_z) &= u_x a_x \cdot u_{yz} c^{\omega(y,z)} \sigma^{\chi(z)}(a_y) a_z \\ &= u_{xyz} c^{\omega(x,yz)} \sigma^{\chi(yz)}(a_x) c^{\omega(y,z)} \sigma^{\chi(z)}(a_y) a_z \\ &= u_{xyz} c^{\omega(x,yz)} \sigma^{\chi(yz)}(a_x) c^{\omega(y,z)} \sigma^{\chi(z)}(a_y) a_z \\ &= u_{xyz} c^{\omega(x,yz) + \omega(y,z)} \sigma^{\omega(y,z) + \chi(yz)}(a_x) \sigma^{\chi(z)}(a_y) a_z \end{aligned}$$

and by (3), (4) of Lemma 5.1  $(u_x a_x \cdot u_y a_y) \cdot u_z a_z = u_x a_x \cdot (u_y a_y \cdot u_z a_z)$ . Thus *A* is an associative ring with  $1 = u_e$ . Obviously, *A* contains *R* as a subring via the injective ring homomorphism  $R \to A, a \mapsto u_e a$ , i.e., setting  $A_x = u_x R$  for  $x \in I, A = \bigoplus_{x \in I} A_x$  is an *I*-graded ring with  $A_e = R$ .

(2) It follows by (M2) that  $\delta_x a = \sigma^{\chi(x)}(a)\delta_x$  for all  $a \in R$  and  $x \in I$ . In particular,  $\{\delta_x\}_{x\in I}$  is a basis for the right *R*-module  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(A, R)$ . Also, for any  $x \in I$  by Lemma 5.1(5)  $u_x u_{x^{-1}s} = u_s$  and hence  $\delta_s u_x = \delta_{x^{-1}s}$ . It follows that  $A \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_R(A, R), a \mapsto \delta_s a$  in Mod-A. Obviously, A is a free left *R*-module with a basis  $\{u_x\}_{x\in I}$ . Thus, since  $\delta_s a = \sigma^{\chi(s)}(a)\delta_s$  for all  $a \in R$ , A/R is a Frobenius extension of second kind.

(3) Immediate by (M1).

**Example 5.3.** For any  $s \in I \setminus \{e\}$ , setting

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = e, \\ 2 & \text{if } x = s, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

we have a pair  $(s, \chi)$  satisfying the conditions (X1), (X2).

**Example 5.4.** Consider the case where  $I = I_1 \times \cdots \times I_n$  with the  $I_k$  cyclic. For each  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , fix a generator  $x_k \in I_k$  and set  $m_k = |I_k|$ . Set  $s = (x_1^{m_1-1}, \ldots, x_n^{m_n-1})$  and  $\chi((x_1^{i_1}, \ldots, x_n^{i_n})) = i_1 + \cdots + i_n$ , where  $0 \leq i_k \leq m_k - 1$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then the pair  $(s, \chi)$  satisfies the conditions (X1), (X2).

Remark 5.5. The following hold.

- (1)  $0 \le \chi(x) \le \chi(s)$  for all  $x \in I$ .
- (2)  $I_0 = \chi^{-1}(0)$  is a subgroup of *I* with  $sI_0 = I_0 s$ .
- (3)  $\chi$  takes the constant value  $\chi(x)$  on  $I_0 x I_0$  for all  $x \in I$ .
- (4)  $\omega(x, x^{-1}) > 0$  for all  $x \neq e$  if and only if  $I_0 = \{e\}$ .

*Proof.* (1) For any  $x \in I$ , since  $x^m = e$  for some m > 0, it follows by (X1) that  $m\chi(x) \ge \chi(x^m) = \chi(e) = 0$  and  $\chi(x) \ge 0$ . It then follows by (X2) that  $\chi(x) \le \chi(s)$  for all  $x \in I$ .

(2) We have  $e \in I_0$  and by (X1)  $xy \in I_0$  for all  $x, y \in I_0$ . Also, by (X2) we have  $sI_0 = \chi^{-1}(\chi(s)) = I_0 s$ .

(3) It follows by (X1) that  $\chi(x) \geq \chi(xy)$  for all  $x \in I$  and  $y \in I_0$ . It then follows that  $\chi(xy) \geq \chi(xyy^{-1}) = \chi(x)$  for all  $x \in I$  and  $y \in I_0$ . Similarly,  $\chi(x) = \chi(yx)$  for all  $x \in I$  and  $y \in I_0$ .

(4) By the fact that  $I_0$  is a subgroup of I.

Remark 5.6. Set  $A_0 = \bigoplus_{x \in I_0} A_x$ , which is the group ring of  $I_0$  over R. It follows by Remark 5.5(3) that A is free as a right (resp., left)  $A_0$ -module. Next, define mappings  $\delta_0 : A \to A_0$  and  $\theta : A_0 \to A_0$  as follows:

$$\delta_0(a) = \sum_{x \in I_0} u_x \delta_{sx}(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(b) = \sum_{x \in I_0} u_x \sigma^{\chi(s)}(\delta_{sxs^{-1}}(b))$$

for  $a \in A$  and  $b \in A_0$ , respectively. Then  $\delta_0 \in \text{Hom}_{A_0}(A, A_0)$  and  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(A_0)$ . Furthermore,  $A \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{A_0}(A, A_0), a \mapsto \delta_0 a$  in Mod-A and  $\delta_0 b = \theta(b)\delta_0$  for all  $b \in A_0$ . Consequently,  $A/A_0$  is a Frobenius extension of second kind.

Remark 5.7. Consider the case where R is commutative,  $\sigma = \mathrm{id}_R$  and s lies in the center of I. Then  $A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_R(A, R), a \mapsto \delta_s a$  as A-A-bimodules.

*Proof.* Note first that  $A \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_R(A, R), a \mapsto \delta_s a$  in Mod-A, which we have shown in the proof of Proposition 5.2(2). Next, for any  $a, b \in A$  we have

$$\delta_s(ab) = \sum_{x \in I} \delta_x(a) \delta_{x^{-1}s}(b)$$
$$= \sum_{x \in I} \delta_{sx^{-1}}(b) \delta_x(a)$$
$$= \sum_{y \in I} \delta_y(b) \delta_{y^{-1}s}(a)$$
$$= \delta_s(ba),$$

so that  $\delta_s a = a \delta_s$  for all  $a \in I$ .

# References

- H. Abe and M. Hoshino, Frobenius extensions and tilting complexes, Algebras and Representation Theory 11(3) (2008), 215–232.
- [2] M. Artin, J. Tate and M. Van den Bergh, Modules over regular algebras of dimension 3, Invent. Math. 106 (1991), no. 2, 335–388.
- [3] H. Bass, Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semiprimary rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1960), 466-488.
- [4] H. Bass, On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings, Math. Z. 82 (1963), 8–28.
- [5] J. -E. Björk, *Rings of differential operators*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, **21**. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1979.
- [6] J.-E. Björk, The Auslander condition on noetherian rings, in: Séminaire d'Algèbre Paul Dubreil et Marie-Paul Malliavin, 39ème Année (Paris, 1987/1988), 137-173, Lecture Notes in Math., 1404, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [7] R. M. Fossum, Ph. A. Griffith and I. Reiten, *Trivial extensions of abelian categories*, Lecture Notes in Math., 456, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [8] M. Hoshino, Strongly quasi-Frobenius rings, Comm. Algebra 28(8)(2000), 3585–3599.

- [9] M. Hoshino and H. Koga, Auslander-Gorenstein resolution, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 216 (2012), no. 1, 130–139.
- [10] G. Karpilovsky, The algebraic structure of crossed products, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 142, Notas de Matemática, 118. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1987.
- T. Nakayama and T. Tsuzuku, On Frobenius extensions I, Nagoya Math. J. 17 (1960), 89–110.
- [12] T. Nakayama and T. Tsuzuku, On Frobenius extensions II, Nagoya Math. J. 19 (1961), 127–148.
- [13] D. S. Passman, *Infinite crossed products*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 135, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1989.
- [14] J. Rickard, Morita theory for derived categories, J. London Math. Soc.
   (2) 39 (1989), no. 3, 436–456.
- [15] J. Tate and M. Van den Bergh, Homological properties of Sklyanin algebras, Invent. Math. 124 (1996), no. 1-3, 619–647.
- [16] A. Zaks, Injective dimension of semi-primary rings, J. Algebra 13 (1969), 73–86.

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8571, Japan *E-mail address*: hoshino@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

Interdisciplinary Graduate School of Science and Technology, Shinshu University, Nagano, 390-8621, Japan *E-mail address*: kameyama@math.shinshu-u.ac.jp

School of Information Environment, Tokyo Denki University, Chiba, 270-1382, Japan

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:$ koga@mail.dendai.ac.jp