A Transcriptome for the Study of Early Processes of Retinal Regeneration in the Adult Newt, *Cynops pyrrhogaster*



Kenta Nakamura², Md. Rafiqul Islam¹, Miyako Takayanagi¹, Hirofumi Yasumuro¹, Wataru Inami¹, Ailidana Kunahong¹, Roman M. Casco-Robles¹, Fubito Toyama³*, Chikafumi Chiba²*

1 Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan, 2 Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan, 3 Graduate School of Engineering, Utsunomiya University, Utsunomiya, Tochigi, Japan

Abstract

Retinal regeneration in the adult newt is a useful system to uncover essential mechanisms underlying the regeneration of body parts of this animal as well as to find clues to treat retinal disorders such as proliferative vitreoretinopathy. Here, to facilitate the study of early processes of retinal regeneration, we provide a *de novo* assembly transcriptome and inferred proteome of the Japanese fire bellied newt (Cynops pyrrhogaster), which was obtained from eyeball samples of day 0-14 after surgical removal of the lens and neural retina. This transcriptome (237,120 in silico transcripts) contains most information of cDNAs/ESTs which has been reported in newts (C. pyrrhogaster, Pleurodeles waltl and Notophthalmus viridescence) thus far. On the other hand, de novo assembly transcriptomes reported lately for N. viridescence only covered 16–31% of this transcriptome, suggesting that most constituents of this transcriptome are specific to the regenerating eye tissues of C. pyrrhogaster. A total of 87,102 in silico transcripts of this transcriptome were functionally annotated. Coding sequence prediction in combination with functional annotation revealed that 76,968 in silico transcripts encode protein/ peptides recorded in public databases so far, whereas 17,316 might be unique. gPCR and Sanger sequencing demonstrated that this transcriptome contains much information pertaining to genes that are regulated in association with cell reprogramming, cell-cycle re-entry/proliferation, and tissue patterning in an early phase of retinal regeneration. This data also provides important insight for further investigations addressing cellular mechanisms and molecular networks underlying retinal regeneration as well as differences between retinal regeneration and disorders. This transcriptome can be applied to ensuing comprehensive gene screening steps, providing candidate genes, regardless of whether annotated or unique, to uncover essential mechanisms underlying early processes of retinal regeneration.

Citation: Nakamura K, Islam MR, Takayanagi M, Yasumuro H, Inami W, et al. (2014) A Transcriptome for the Study of Early Processes of Retinal Regeneration in the Adult Newt, Cynops pyrrhogaster. PLoS ONE 9(10): e109831. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109831

Editor: Panagiotis A. Tsonis, University of Dayton, United States of America

Received January 26, 2014; Accepted August 22, 2014; Published October 7, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Nakamura et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by grants from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (23124502; 221S0002) and the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (24650229; 25870096; 21300150; 24240062). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* Email: fubito@is.utsunomiya-u.ac.jp (FT); chichiba@biol.tsukuba.ac.jp (CC)

Introduction

The newt has long been recognized as a master of regeneration from which the principles for the regeneration of body parts following traumatic injury can be learnt. This animal has an outstanding ability, when metamorphosed or sexually matured, or even as an aged adult, to regenerate missing body parts - including a part of a limb, the jaw, the tail (the spinal cord), the brain, the heart, the eye (the lens and the retina) - from remaining tissues at the site of injury. This takes place through dedifferentiationredifferentiation/-transdifferentiation (or reprogramming) of terminally differentiated cells as well as recruitment of endogenous stem/progenitor cells, their proliferation and patterning, and physiological integration of regenerates into the body system [1,2]. Although ample endeavors have been made to understand these surprising phenomena for over a century, the underlying cellular mechanisms and molecular networks are still largely uncertain primarily because of our technical limitations. However, studies in this field are now moving forward with increasing speed by

incorporating highly efficient technologies to analyze gene functions [3,4] and transcriptomes [5,6]. For studies of the newt whose genomic size is too large to be sequenced (estimated ~20 Gbp [7]), construction of an *in silico* transcriptome by RNAseq/*de novo* assembly is certainly cost effective, and the resulting data sets are highly informative for bench screening candidate genes.

Regeneration of the adult newt retina is a good system to study the early processes of regeneration, particularly the mechanisms underlying reprogramming, cell-cycle re-entry/proliferation and patterning, because of its simplicity. The cell source for retinal regeneration in the posterior eye is the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) cells only. The RPE, which is a highly specialized monolayer lining the back of the neural retina, expresses specific molecular markers such as RPE65, allowing the RPE cells and RPE-derived cells to be tracked in an early phase of retinal regeneration [8]. In addition, this system has an obvious medical target, namely *proliferative vitreoretinopathy* (*PVR*) in which RPE Among all newt species, the Japanese fire bellied newt (*Cynops pyrrhogaster*) is the best choice for the study of retinal regeneration since: 1) a surgical procedure to induce retinal regeneration has been established; 2) morphological stages of retinal regeneration have been defined in detail; 3) both *in vivo* and *in vitro* functional gene assay systems are being developed [4,8,10,11]. However, to facilitate the study of retinal regeneration in this species, one considerable obstacle still remains: limited information on genes. Thus, in the current study, to overcome this problem we carried out mRNA-seq/*de novo* assembly in this species, providing an *in silico* reference transcriptome specialized for the study of early processes of retinal regeneration.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

This study using the Japanese fire bellied newt *C. pyrrhogaster* was permitted by the University of Tsukuba Animal Use and Care Committee (AUCC). Surgical removal of the neural retina (retinectomy) and sacrifice were carried out under anesthesia [anesthetic: FA100 (4-allyl-2-methoxyphenol); DS Pharma Animal Health, Osaka, Japan] to minimize suffering [8]. No other *in vivo* experiments were done.

The field study did not involve endangered or protected species. The newts were originally captured by a provider (Mr. Kazuo Ohuchi, Misato, Saitama, 341-0037 Japan; http://homepage3. nifty.com/monmo51-kaeru/index.html) using a net from canals along the rice paddies located within ~25 km in diameter around a Miyayama area (35.130013,140.013842) in Kamogawa city, Chiba prefecture, Japan [4]. No specific permissions were required for the location of capture.

Newt strain

The newts, which have been captured since 2008 (~300/year) (see Ethics statement), have been stocked/cultured in both the laboratory (Univ. of Tsukuba) and a field 'Imori-no-Sato' (Kaizuka/Kamitakai, Toride city, Ibaraki prefecture, Japan; http://imori-net.org/) [4]. This population belongs to Kanto group in Northern lineage [12] and is called 'Toride-Imori'. In this study, sexually mature adult Toride-Imori (total body length: male, ~9 cm; female, 11–12 cm) which had been reared in the laboratory were used.

Housing condition

In the laboratory, the animals had been reared in containers/ aquarium tanks (≤ 1 newt/base area of 50 cm² square; the water depth was 5–15 cm; a stone/a piece of kitchen sponge was placed therein, serving as land) at ~18°C under a natural light condition; they had been fed with frozen mosquito larvae (Akamushi; Kyorin Co., Ltd., Japan) every day and the containers/aquarium tanks had been kept clean [4].

Anesthesia

For retinectomy, the animals were anesthetized as follows: 0.3 ml of the anesthetic FA100 (4-allyl-2-methoxyphenol) was poured in 300 ml tap water ($\sim 22^{\circ}$ C) in a bottle (φ of the bottom: ~ 7 cm; height: ~ 5 cm) with a lid; the bottle was sealed immediately and shaken several times so that the solution is mixed well; the newts (up to 5) were placed in this solution (i.e., 0.1% FA100) and the bottle was sealed again immediately; the bottle containing the animals was placed in the dark at room temperature ($\sim 22^{\circ}$ C) for 2 h, allowing dark adaptation of the retina which makes the adherence between the neural retina and the RPE weaker. After this treatment, they were rinsed in distilled water (DW) and wiped with a paper towel. Under this condition, they did not show the pupillary reflex during surgery, and not awake for at least 4 h.

For sacrifice, intact animals and those of day-14 or later after retinectomy whose wound has closed were anesthetized as done for retinectomy. However, in the case of animals between 4 h and day-14 after retinectomy, an alternative anesthetic method was applied to avoid damage of RPE cells due to invasion of the hypotonic anesthetic solution into the eye chamber: the animals were injected with 100 μ l of 20% FA100 (dissolved in PBS) into their abdominal cavity through a fine needle (27Gx3/4", NN-2719S, Terumo, Tokyo, Japan) connected to a syringe (1 ml, SS-01T, Terumo); they fell asleep in 30 min. For animals within 4 h after retinectomy, additional anesthetic treatment was not done.

Retinectomy

To induce retinal regeneration, the neural retina was removed. together with the lens, from the left eye (the eyeball size: 2 mm in diameter) of a living animal as follows ([8]; see Figure 1A): the mouth cavity of an anesthetized animal was stuffed with a roll of the absorbent cotton so that the eyeball is pushed out from the eyelid; the animal was held on the silicon bottom of an operating chamber so that the left eye faces up, using a U-shaped pin which was mounted on the neck of the animal and stuck onto the silicon bottom of the chamber; the animal on the chamber was placed under a binocular, and the dorsal half of the left eye was cut open along the position slightly below the boundary between the cornea and sclera using a blade and fine scissors; both the neural retina and the lens were carefully removed by a fine injection needle (27Gx3/4", NN-2719S, Terumo) and forceps, while gently infusing a sterile saline solution (in mM: NaCl, 115; KCl, 3.7; CaCl₂, 3; MgCl₂, 1; D-glucose, 18; HEPES, 5; pH 7.5 adjusted with 0.3N NaOH) into the vitreous chamber through the same injection needle which was connected to a syringe (1 ml, SS-01T, Terumo) via a filter cassette (0.20 µm pore size, Cellulose acetate, DISMIC-25CS, ADVANTEC, Japan); at this time the retinal margin containing the ora serrata (the tissue harboring the retinal stem/progenitor cells) which remained along the base of the ciliary epithelium was also removed by forceps. After operation, the eve flap consisting of the iris and cornea was carefully placed back to its original position. The operated animals were placed on a paper towel (lightly wet with DW) in a plastic container [≤ 5 newts/ container (width: 14 cm×depth: 19 cm×height: 4 cm)] and allowed to recover, and then reared in an incubator ($\sim 22^{\circ}$ C; the day-night cycle was 12 h:12 h) until use (up to 14 days in this study). In the mean time, the containers were kept clean and the animals were not fed to control the speed of regeneration. The stage of retinal regeneration and corresponding day post-operation (po) were determined according to previous criteria ([8]; see Figure 1B).

Collection of eyeballs

To collect eyeballs, the anesthetized animals (dried on a paper towel) were decapitated. The head was fixed on the silicon bottom of the operating chamber with a marking pin, and placed under the binocular. The eyeballs were carefully enucleated with fine scissors and forceps. In the case of retinectomized animals, especially before day-14 po, this operation was made with minute attention because their wounded eyes were very fragile.



Figure 1. Workflow from retinectomy to *de novo* **assembly. A.** Retinectomy. **B.** Sample collection, mRNA-seq and *de novo* assembly. *Stage E-0*: The RPE immediately after retinectomy. *Stage E-1*: Almost all RPE cells that have lost their epithelial characteristics and formed aggregates have entered the S-phase of the cell-cycle. *Stage E-2*: Partially depigmented cells are segregated into two rudiment layers (pro-NR and pro-RPE), which give rise to a new neural retina and the RPE layer itself. Under the current experimental conditions, regenerating retinas at Stage E-1 and E-2 are obtained at day-10 and -14 po [8].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109831.g001

Tissue samples for analyses

For *de nove* assembly transcriptome, the workflow is illustrated in Figure 1B. To obtain the transcriptome involved in early processes of retinal regeneration, especially for the study of reprogramming, cell-cycle re-entry/proliferation and patterning in full, retinectomized eyeballs (time po: 30 min, 2 h, 12 h, 24 h, 5 days, 10 days, 14 days) and the retina-less eye-cups (RLECs) of normal eyeballs were used. These retinectomized eyeballs should have contained RPE cells which just received somewhat onsetsignals for regeneration [13,11] as well as those which have undergone mitosis (stage E-1) and segregation into two layers, i.e., rudiments for a new neural retina and RPE (stage E-2) ([8]; see Figure 1B for the morphological stages of retinal regeneration). RLECs were used as a source of intact RPE cells (stage E-0). This sample was prepared as described previously [8] with some modifications: a normal eyeball was placed, the cornea side up,



Figure 2. Comparisons between transcriptomes deduced by different assemblers. Scatter plot graphs show the length distribution of *Is*-transcripts deduced by Trinity (blue), Trans-ABySS (magenta) and Velvet-Oases (green). As suggested by the total number and N50 length of *Is*-transcripts, Velvet-Oases tended to give long *Is*-transcripts at the expense of their total number, while Trans-ABySS deduces a large number of short *Is*-transcripts. Trinity was intermediate with respect to these parameters. The Venn diagram shows how much one assembler covers the *Is*-transcripts deduced by the other assemblers. The number of *Is*-transcripts is given in parentheses. Trinity covered almost all the *Is*-transcripts deduced by either Velvet-Oases or Trans-ABySS. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109831.g002

onto a filter membrane (MF^{TM} Membrane Filter, 0.45 µm HA, HAWP01300, Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) in a 35 mm plastic dish (Falcon 353001, Becton Dickinson, NJ 07417-1886), and then cut along the equator by manipulating a blade and scissors; RNase-free PBS chilled on ice was gently poured onto the eyeball; after the dish was filled up with this saline, the anterior half of the eyeball containing the iris and lens was removed to make the eye-cup; the neural retina was carefully separated from the RPE with a fine pin and forceps, and then removed from the rest of the eye-cup, i.e., the RLEC, by cutting the optic nerve with fine scissors. This operation was completed within 5 min.

For quantitative PCR (qPCR), both the right (intact) and left (retinectomized) eyeballs of animals at day-10 and -14 po were used; that is, the right eyeballs were used for day-0 po sample containing intact RPE (stage E-0), and the left ones were for samples of day-10 po (stage E-1) or day-14 po (stage E-2). Tissue samples for RNA isolation were prepared as follows. After the animal was decapitated under anesthesia, the right and left eyeballs were collected in different dishes (filled with RNase-free PBS) on ice. The right eyeball was immediately dissected into the RLEC, and the RPE sheet together with the choroid tissues was isolated by separating these from the sclera using a fine pin and forceps. On the other hand, the left eyeball of day-10 or -14 po, which was put on the filter membrane and soaked in chilled RNase-free PBS as done for the right eyeball, was carefully opened from the wound at the time of retinectomy using a fine pin and scissors; after the anterior part of the eyeball containing the iris and the ciliary marginal zone was carefully removed, RPE -derived cells in the posterior eye were collected together with the choroid tissues as done for the right eyeball. After blood cells in the choroid were removed as much as possible by shaking them in the dish, each day samples were transferred into different tubes (containing RNase-free PBS) on ice with a pipette (3.5 ml Transferpipette, Sarsted, D-51588 Nümbrecht, Germany). For one round of RNA purification, this process was repeated for at least 5 animals, collecting 5 each-day samples (good samples only) at once.

Library construction and sequencing for *de novo* assembly transcriptome

A total of 14 RLECs and 97 retinectomized eyeballs (30 min, 14; 2 h, 13; 12 h, 13; 24 h, 13; 5 days, 15; 10 days, 15; 14 days, 14) were harvested, under a conventional nuclease free condition, one by one in a 50 ml tube containing liquid nitrogen, and stored in -80°C until use (see the workflow in Figure 1B). Total RNA was purified from them all by the Isogen protocol (Nippon Gene, Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer's instructions and evaluated with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technology, Santa Clara, CA 95051). Using a qualified RNA sample (384.3 ng/µl, RIN: 8.7), a normalized cDNA library (insert size: 300-400 bp) was constructed with a TrueSeq RNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA 92122) followed by Duplex Specific Nuclease normalization (Illumina) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently, paired end sequencing (101 bp read×2) was carried out by Illumina HiSeq2000 and a set of raw read data [403,817,536 reads; 40,786 Mbases; % of high quality base ($\geq Q30$): 92.74; Mean quality score: 35.92] was



Figure 3. Comparisons with other newt transcriptomes. Black circles: *IS*-transcripts of this study. Blue circles: cDNAs and EST-contigs reported in *C. pyrrhogaster*. Red circles: cDNAs and EST-contigs reported in *P. waltl.* Green circles: cDNAs, EST-contigs and *IS*-transcripts reported in *N. viridescens*. The values in each circle (written in corresponding color) mean the number and ratio of *IS*-transcripts, cDNAs or EST-contigs. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109831.g003

produced after base calling and Chastity filtering (CASAVA ver.1.8.1., Illumina). These raw reads were filtered to remove reads with adaptors and low-quality sequences (reads with unknown sequences 'N') as follows: first, the software cutadapt (version 1.0; http://code.google.com/p/cutadapt/) [14] was used to trim adapters, and then the trimmed reads and reads containing 'N' are discarded using in-house scripts. Finally, 330,837,190 clean reads (101 bp each) were yielded for the following *de novo* assembly.

De novo assembly

To obtain contigs and *in silico* transcripts (*IS*-transcripts), the clean reads, obtained after filtering the raw reads, were assembled using *de novo* assemblers. There is no consensus in terms of the best *de novo* assemblers. So, three widely used algorisms were

applied: Trinity [15] (version 2012-10-05; http://trinityrnaseq. sourceforge.net/), Trans-ABySS [16] [for Trans-Abyss, version 1.4.4 (http://www.bcgsc.ca/platform/bioinfo/software/transabyss); for ABySS [17], version 1.3.5 (http://www.bcgsc.ca/ platform/bioinfo/software/abyss)] and Velvet-Oases [18] [for Velvet [19], version 1.2.01 (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/~zerbino/ velvet/); for Oases, version 0.2.02 (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ ~zerbino/oases/)]. Trinity was run with '-min_kmer_cov 2'. By applying the '-min_kmer_cov 2' parameter (the default is 1), only k-mers that occur more than once are assembled. This parameter is used to reduce memory requirements and runtimes. Generally, this will eliminate super rare transcripts and sequencing errors, but will not usually affect assembly quality. ABySS was run with k-mer values form 51 to 95 in steps of 2, and then all 23 assemblies from AbySS were merged into one assembly using Trans-ABySS. Velvet was run with k-mer values from 45 to 95 in steps of 5, and



Figure 4. Functional annotation. A. Summary of annotation. **B.** E-value-, similarity (% identity)- and species-distribution in NR annotation. Species-distribution indicates that many of the *C. pyrrhogaster IS*-transcripts are close to genes of amniotes as well as those of amphibians such as *Xenopus (Silurana)* and *Xenopus laevis*, rather than fishes (e.g., *Danio rerio*, 2.9%; *Oryzias latipes*, 1.9%; these are included in 'other'). Interestingly, within amphibians, the newt seems to adhere to *X. (Silurana)* rather than to *X. laevis*. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109831.g004

then the contigs produced by Velvet at each k-mer value were further assembled into transcripts using Oases. Finally, the best result (k-mer = 75) was selected as output. Although the transcript data sets assembled at different k-mer values were generally merged using Oases-M, we just treated Velvet-Oases as a single kmer assembler without Oases-M.

In this study, *IS*-transcripts deduced by Trinity were further analyzed by annotation as well as coding sequence (CDS) and protein/peptide prediction.

Annotation

Functional annotation of *IS*-transcripts was carried out by aligning them first to protein databases such as NCBI NR (release-20130408), Swiss-Prot (release-2013_03), KEGG (release 63.0) and COG (release-20090331) by blasts program (E-value threshold: e-5), and then to NCBI NT by blastn program (E-value threshold: e-5). Gene Ontology (GO) annotation was carried out by the Blast2GO program (v2.50) with NR annotation, and the data was classified by WEGO software [20]. Metabolic pathway analysis was carried out with the help of the KEGG database.

Coding sequence (CDS) and protein/peptide prediction

Both the nucleotide sequence (5'-3') and protein/peptide CDS of *IS*-transcripts were predicted using proteins with highest ranks

in the functional annotation by blastx program with NR, Swiss-Prot, KEGG and COG (see above). *IS*-transcripts that could not be aligned to any databases were scanned by ESTScan (v3.0.2) to predict CDSs [21]. This program compensates for the frame shift errors.

Quantitative PCR (qPCR)

Each day samples (5 samples/tube), which were prepared and harvested under a conventional nuclease free condition (see above), were immediately used to purify total RNA (NucleoSpin RNA kit; Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co. KG, Düren, Germany). First strand cDNAs were synthesized (SuperScriptTM II Reverse Transcriptase; Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) with 30 ng total RNA, diluted 100x, and then used as a template for qPCR. qPCR was performed by a LightCycler Nano system (Roche Applied Science, Penzberg, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions of FastStart Essential DNA Green Master (Roche) or FastStart Essential DNA Probes Master (Roche), with 45 cvcles. ID numbers of target IS-transcripts (Ef1a, RPE65, CRALBP/ RLBP1, ZO1, Otx2, Musashi1a/c, Cyclin D1, CDK4, Histone H3, c-Myc, Klf4, Sox2, N-Cadherin, α-SMA, Vimentin, Pax6, Chx10/ Vsx2, FGFR1, FGFR3, Mitf, Wnt2b), and their PCR primers and probes [selected from the Roche Universal Probe Library (https:// www.roche-applied-science.com)] are listed in Table S1. The

Table 1. GO classification.

Biological process		
Class	Count	
cellular process	29,570	
single-organism process	25,093	
metabolic process	21,542	
biological regulation	19,602	
regulation of biological process	18,451	
response to stimulus	14,838	
multicellular organismal process	13,061	
developmental process	11,328	
signaling	11,013	
cellular component organization or biogenesis	10,934	
localization	10,576	
positive regulation of biological process	8,574	
establishment of localization	8,345	
negative regulation of biological process	7,416	
immune system process	3,363	
locomotion	3,320	
reproduction	2,907	
reproductive process	2,768	
biological adhesion	2,223	
multi-organism process	2,222	
growth	1,992	
rhythmic process	442	
cell killing	97	
Cellular component		
Class	Count	
cell	29.452	
cell part	29,452	
organelle	21,715	
membrane	15.252	
organelle part	13,910	
membrane part	9.970	
macromolecular complex	2121.0	
	8.397	
membrane-enclosed lumen	8,397 6,813	
metonoccula complex membrane-enclosed lumen extracellular region	8,397 6,813 2,349	
metonolecular complex membrane-enclosed lumen extracellular region	8,397 6,813 2,349	
metomotecular complex membrane-enclosed lumen extracellular region cell junction	8,397 6,813 2,349 1,989 1,713	
metonolecula complex membrane-enclosed lumen extracellular region cell junction synapse extracellular region part	8,397 6,813 2,349 1,989 1,713 1,520	
metonolecular complex membrane-enclosed lumen extracellular region cell junction synapse extracellular region part synapse part	8,397 6,813 2,349 1,989 1,713 1,520 1,205	
metromotecular complex membrane-enclosed lumen extracellular region cell junction synapse extracellular region part synapse part extracellular matrix	8,397 6,813 2,349 1,989 1,713 1,520 1,205 894	
metromotecular complex membrane-enclosed lumen extracellular region cell junction synapse extracellular region part synapse part extracellular matrix	8,397 6,813 2,349 1,989 1,713 1,520 1,205 894 226	
metonioccula complex membrane-enclosed lumen extracellular region cell junction synapse extracellular region part synapse part extracellular matrix extracellular matrix extracellular matrix part	8,397 6,813 2,349 1,989 1,713 1,520 1,205 894 336 72	
metromotecular complex membrane-enclosed lumen extracellular region cell junction synapse extracellular region part synapse part extracellular matrix extracellular matrix extracellular matrix part nucleoid Molecular function	8,397 6,813 2,349 1,989 1,713 1,520 1,205 894 336 72	
metoniolecular complex membrane-enclosed lumen extracellular region cell junction synapse extracellular region part synapse part extracellular matrix extracellular matrix extracellular matrix part nucleoid Molecular function	8,397 6,813 2,349 1,989 1,713 1,520 1,205 894 336 72 Count	
membrane-enclosed lumen extracellular region cell junction synapse extracellular region part synapse part extracellular matrix extracellular matrix extracellular matrix part nucleoid Molecular function Class	8,397 6,813 2,349 1,989 1,713 1,520 1,205 894 336 72 Count	
membrane-enclosed lumen extracellular region cell junction synapse extracellular region part synapse part extracellular matrix extracellular matrix extracellular matrix part nucleoid Molecular function Class binding	8,397 6,813 2,349 1,989 1,713 1,520 1,205 894 336 72 Count 26,423 12 6,17	
membrane-enclosed lumen extracellular region cell junction synapse extracellular region part synapse part extracellular matrix extracellular matrix extracellular matrix part nucleoid Molecular function Class binding catalytic activity	8,397 6,813 2,349 1,989 1,713 1,520 1,205 894 336 72 Count 26,423 13,617 2600	
membrane-enclosed lumen extracellular region cell junction synapse extracellular region part synapse part extracellular matrix extracellular matrix extracellular matrix part nucleoid Molecular function Class binding catalytic activity transporter activity	8,397 6,813 2,349 1,989 1,713 1,520 1,205 894 336 72 Count 26,423 13,617 2,690 2,690	

Table 1. Cont.

Molecular function		
Class	Count	
enzyme regulator activity	2,160	
receptor activity	2,005	
nucleic acid binding transcription factor activity	1,913	
protein binding transcription factor activity	1,264	
structural molecule activity	1,085	
electron carrier activity	223	
channel regulator activity	207	
antioxidant activity	90	
chemorepellent activity	66	
receptor regulator activity	58	
translation regulator activity	50	
chemoattractant activity	30	
metallochaperone activity	10	
morphogen activity	3	
nutrient reservoir activity	3	
protein tag	1	

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109831.t001



Figure 5. CDS prediction. Blastx predicted CDSs in 71,511 *IS*-transcripts (for the length distribution, see the graph 'Blast'; for protein/peptide sequences, see Table S5) while ESTscan predicted CDSs in 22,773 *IS*-transcripts (for the length distribution, see the graph 'ESTScan'; for protein/ peptide sequences, see Table S6). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109831.g005



Figure 6. Validation by qPCR. A. Workflow of sample preparations for qPCR. RPE-choroid tissues harvested from the right eyes (intact eye) of 5 retinectomized animals at day-10 or day-14 were used for the normal RPE, i.e., the day-0 (Stage E-0) sample. RPE-derived cells harvested together with the choroid from the left eyes (retinectomized eye) of the same animals were used for the day-10 (Stage E-1) or day-14 (Stage E-2) sample. 3 different day samples (day-0, -10 and -14) were randomly grouped as one set of samples for qPCR. For more details, see Methods. **B.** qPCR analysis. 20 selected genes which have been suggested or inferred to be regulated in an early phase of retinal regeneration were found in the current *in silico* transcriptomes. Changes in their relative expression level until day-14 po were examined. Results represent means and SE. The number in parenthesis indicates the number of independent sample sets (see Methods) except for *Pax6* and *Chx10*, whose expression was detected in 2 of 8 sample sets and 4 of 5 sample sets, respectively at day-14 po only. Statistical significance based on Sheffe's test following the Friedman test (*: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.01), doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109831.g006

DDBJ/GenBank accession number of the cDNA corresponding to each *IS*-transcript is also mentioned in Table S1. Most cDNAs were cloned and their sequences, determined by the Sanger method, were deposited in DDBJ.

For each gene, qPCR, which was always run simultaneously with day-0, -10 and -14 po samples, was repeated using more than three sets of independently collected samples. The relative expression level of each transcript was calculated as follows: the amount of transcript was first compensated for $Ef1\alpha$ (the mean from several rounds of qPCR) in the same sample, and then normalized against that of the day at which the average value from all samples was highest. The data were presented as the mean \pm SEM. Statistical differences were evaluated by Sheffe's test following the Friedman test.

Data availability

All relevant raw data have been deposited to NCBI [accession numbers: Sequence Read Archive ID, SRP034152; BioProject ID, PRJNA231688; BioSample ID, SRS515156; Accession; SRX391946; Run, SRR1051839] and IMORI [URL: http:// antler.is.utsunomiya-u.ac.jp/imori/].

Results and Discussion

Three transcriptomes deduced by different *de novo* assemblers

There is no consensus in terms of the best *de novo* assemblers. So, we tested three widely used algorisms, Trinity [15], Trans-ABySS [16] and Velvet-Oases [18]. Each algorism produced a large number of *IS*-transcripts: Trinity, 237,120 [N50 (the length of an *IS*-transcript whose order is 50% of all *IS*-transcripts): 2,737 nt]; Trans-ABySS, 635,930 (N50: 1,800 nt); Velvet-Oases, 99,586 (N50: 4,001 nt) [Figure 2; note that these sets of *IS*transcripts (transcriptomes) can be downloaded from our repository site 'IMORI' (http://antler.is.utsunomiya-u.ac.jp/imori/)]. These three transcriptomes were compared with each other by blast (NCBI; v2.2.27+x64-linux; E-value threshold: e-5), revealing that Trinity covered almost all *IS*-transcripts in Trans-ABySS (~98%) and Velvet-Oases (~99%) (Figure 2). Thus, the Trinity data set seemed to be more comprehensive. So, here we applied the following analyses to this Trinity-deduced transcriptome.

Trinity-deduced transcriptome in this study considerably covers transcript information in newts

To validate the current Trinity-deduced transcriptome, we first investigated what extent it covers transcript information in newts. For this, we compared this transcriptome, by blastn (E-value threshold: e-5), with other transcriptomes (cDNAs, ESTs, and IStranscripts) in three species (C. pyrrhogaster, Pleurodeles waltl, Notophthalmus viridescence), which were collected from databases in DDBJ/NCBI and from sequence repository sites for N. viridescence: Red Spotted Newt Resource Page (RSNRP) http:// sandberg.cmb.ki.se/redspottednewt/ [5] and Newt-Omics http:// newt-omics.mpi-bn.mpg.de/ [6] (Figure 3). ESTs were assembled into contigs by the CAP3 program [22], before comparison. This analysis revealed that the current transcriptome in early regenerating eyes (day 0-14 po) contains >78% information of cDNAs/ ESTs (i.e., mRNA) reported in these three species so far, except for 59% of ESTs in P. waltl; notably, in C. pyrrhogaster ~82% of cDNAs and $\sim 95\%$ of EST-contigs were matched (Figure 3). In addition, the current transcriptome covered ${\sim}44\%$ and ${\sim}67\%$ of the IS-transcripts for N. viridescence (RSNRP and Newt-Omics, respectively). On the other hand, these N. viridescence data covered only $\sim 31\%$ and $\sim 16\%$ of the current transcriptome, respectively. Thus, the current transcriptome comprehensively covers transcript information in C. pyrrhogaster.

Characterization of the current transcriptome

Functional annotation. To further characterize the current transcriptome (237,120 *IS*-transcripts), physiological functions of each *IS*-transcript were predicted by annotation, which carried out by blast with NR, NT, Swiss-Prot, KEGG, COG and GO databases. Finally, a total of 87,102 *IS*-transcripts (range: 201–19,064 nt; N50: 1,202 nt) were annotated (Figure 4A; for details, see Table S2). For example, in NR annotation, 82,482 *IS*-transcripts (94.7% of all annotated transcripts) were enriched; 67.7% of them had an E-value of <e-5, and 41.8% had a similarity of >60%, indicating that many of the NR-annotated *IS*-

transcripts contain the sequence information of genes highly homologues to those found in NR database (Figure 4B); species distribution seemed to reflect the position of this animal in phylogeny (Figure 4B). 38,895 of the NR-annotated *IS*-transcripts were also dealt GO terms (Figure 4A). As shown in GO classification (Table 1) as well as in COG- and KEGG-classification (Table S3 and S4), these *IS*-transcripts were assigned to various functional categories and pathways. On the other hand, the remaining 150,018 *IS*-transcripts (range: 201–11,726 nt; N50: 290 nt) were not annotated.

Inferred proteome. We carried out CDS and protein/ peptide prediction independently of functional annotation (Figure 5). Protein/peptide sequences were first predicted in 71,511 of 237,120 IS-transcripts from the top hit sequence in blastx with NR, Swiss-Prot, KEGG, and COG databases (range: 20-6,124 aa; N50: 211 aa; Table S5), and then in 22,773 of the remnant IStranscripts by ESTScan (range: 29-2,448 aa; N50: 90 aa; Table S6). Finally, these data were combined to the above annotation results. By this procedure, most of the predicted protein/peptides (76,968/94,284) were annotated; 5,457 ESTScan-predicted protein/peptides (range: 31-2448 aa; N50: 107 aa), of which IStranscripts had a range of 201-11,070 nt (N50: 327 nt), were mostly unknown, uncharacterized, unnamed or hypothetical proteins. On the other hand, 142,836 IS-transcripts were unlikely to encode protein/peptides; 10,134 (range: 201-15,043 nt; N50: 454 nt) of them were annotated, mostly showing similarity to noncoding RNA and genomic sequences including the untranslated region (UTR) in other species.

17,316 *IS*-transcripts (range: 201–7,076 nt; N50: 262 nt) whose CDSs were predicted by ESTScan (Table S7) and the remaining 132,702 transcripts (range: 201–11,726 nt; N50: 290 nt) were not annotated ('Rest' in Figure 5). These were mostly short (~80% of the former and ~70% of the latter were 200–400 nt), but interestingly identical sequences [149 (range: 68–1915 aa; N50: 123 aa) of the former and 996 (range: 202–9052 nt; N50: 790 nt) of the latter] were also found in the newt limb blastema (R. M. Casco-Robles, unpublished data). Therefore, all of them cannot be associated with errors in *de novo* assembly, as revealed in *N. viridescence* [6].

In future studies, we need confirm the presence of these molecules as well as their physiological functions in this species.

qPCR analysis of gene expression which has been inferred in early processes of retinal regeneration demonstrates the applicability of the current transcriptomes

The current transcriptomes contained much information of genes which have not been identified in *C. pyrrhogaster* but whose transcription has been hypothesized to be regulated in early processes of retinal regeneration. Here, to validate the applicability of the current transcriptomes, we carried out qPCR analysis of selected 20 genes (Table S1) with cDNA samples prepared from RPE-choroid tissues or RPE-derived cells-choroid tissues which were harvested from intact (day-0) or retinectomized (day-10 or day-14) eyes respectively (Figure 6A); for unidentified genes (*CRALBP/RLBP1, ZO1, Cyclin D1, CDK4, Histone H3, c-Myc, Klf4, N-Cadherin, \alpha-SMA, Vimentin, Chx10/Vsx2, Mitf, FGFR1, FGFR3)*, the sequence of the target transcript was confirmed by conventional molecular cloning and Sanger DNA sequencing using the same tissue samples as those used for qPCR.

The results (Figure 6B) revealed that the expression levels of many genes characterizing RPE cells (*RPE65*, *CRALBP/RLBP1*, ZO1, Otx2, Musashi1a/c) gradually decreased upon retinectomy,

while the expression of genes for the cell-cycle (Cyclin D1, CDK4, Histone H3) and growth signaling (FGFR1, FGFR3) was upregulated to reach a maximum level by day-10 po when almost all RPE cells had entered the cell cycle (Stage E-1). Intriguingly, pluripotency factors except for Oct4 (i.e., c-Myc, Klf4, Sox2) were expressed between day-0 and day-10 po; Oct4 was not found in the current transcriptomes. Up-regulation of these three factors and lack of Oct4 expression were also reported in early lens and limb regeneration of N. viridescence [23]. A microphthalmia factor Mitf, a marker gene for immature or uncommitted RPE cells [24], was also expressed by day-10 po but then its expression level decreased. On the other hand, marker genes for retinal stem/ progenitor cells (Pax6, Chx10/Vsx2) were expressed at day-14 po when two rudiment layers (pro-NR and pro-RPE) for the prospective neural retina and RPE just appear (Stage-E2). Interestingly, the expression of Wnt2b was coincidental. Wnt/ β catenin signaling has been suggested to promote differentiation of the RPE while protecting cell-fate switching of the uncommitted RPE into the neural retina in embryonic eve development [11,24].

In *PVR* leading to the loss of vision after retinal injury in humans, RPE cells transform into mesenchymal cells such as myofibroblasts, probably by passing through a stem-cell state [9]. In the newt, expression of mesenchymal markers (*N-Cadherin*, *Vimentin*) seemed to be up-regulated by day-10 po and then decreased while the relative expression level of a marker of myofibroblasts, α -SMA (smooth muscle actin), obviously decreased (Figure 6B).

Consequently, the current transcriptomes could be a good tool to identify or screen candidate genes which might be involved in early processes of retinal regeneration.

Implications for reprogramming of adult newt RPE cells into a multipotent state

In the adult newt, the RPE is a sole cell source for retinal regeneration in the posterior eye [8]. Upon retinectomy, RPE cells are detached from each other and leave the basement membrane, forming cell aggregates, while entering the S-phase of cell-cycle (Stage E-1). This event typically occurs between day-5 and day-10 po. The RPE-derived cells at Stage E-1 form the pro-NR and pro-RPE layers by day-14 po (Stage E-2).

In the previous studies [25,26], we demonstrated that an RNAbinding protein Musashi-1, whose expression is restricted in the nucleus of the intact RPE cells, changes its location into the cytoplasm upon retinectomy, although the amount of the transcripts tends to be decreased as suggested in the current qPCR. This pattern of Musashi-1 expression was observed in almost all of the RPE-derived cells at Stage E-1 uniformly. As the regeneration proceeds to Stage E-2, Musashi-1 expression was down-regulated along the pro-RPE layer, while sustained along the pro-NR layer [26]. Since the cytoplasmic expression of Musashi-1 is a character of neural stem/progenitor cells [27], these observations led us to an implication that the RPE cells are reprogrammed into a multipotent state of cells by Stage E-1, and specified into 2 cell populations forming the pro-NR and pro-RPE layers between Stage E-1 and E-2. However, the nature of the RPE-derived cells remains unclear.

The current qPCR revealed that gene expression suggesting multipotent properties of cells is up-regulated upon retinectomy, while gene expression for original RPE characters is down-regulated, giving us an insight for the cell reprogramming. Interestingly, certain pluripotency factors (*c-Myc*, *Klf4*, *Sox2*) as well as *Mitf* were first detected in day-10 samples (Stage E-1). On the other hand, *Pax6* was detected only in day-14 samples. In the previous study, we detected *Pax6* expression at day-10 po, being

earlier than *Chx10-1/Vsx1* (a retinal progenitor marker) at day-14 po [28]. This inconsistency may be due to the difference in the template for PCR: in the previous study, we used a PCR-amplified library of cDNAs as the template, and therefore the detection sensitivity should have been higher. Taken together, our results suggest that the intact adult newt RPE cells are not comparable to either immature/uncommitted state of RPE cells or retinal stem/ progenitor cells, as well as a possibility of reprogramming of RPE cells into such multipotent cells upon retinectomy. On the next stage of this study, we must carry out immunohistochemistry and single-cell qPCR to address the expression of these factors in the RPE-derived cells at Stage E-1 (our study on this subject was published [29] while the current article was under review).

The current qPCR with day-14 samples detected a factor (Chx10/Vsx2) suggesting the presence of retinal progenitor cells and that (Wnt2b) inferred to be involved in the RPE differentiation. Sox2, which is also a marker of retinal stem/progenitor cells [30], increased in its expression level between day-10 and day-14, whereas c-Myc, Klf4 and Mitf were declined. These expression patterns seem to support our previous implication that the RPEderived cells are specified into two cell populations from which the pro-NR and pro-RPE layers are formed, leading a possibility that the cells in the pro-NR and pro-RPE layers might be correspond to the retinal progenitor cells and RPE cells which just started their differentiation, respectively. In addition, the expression of α -SMA, which is observed in the choroid tissues (data not shown), was obviously declined to a minimal level at day-14, revealing a contrast to human PVR in which the RPE-derived multipotent cells transform into myofibroblasts. Thus, in the adult newt system, the RPE-derived cells (possibly in a multipotent state) direct properly and seem to generate retinal progenitor cells for regeneration of a missing neural retina.

In the current study, we did not carry out further analyses because the purpose of the study was to establish a good transcriptome database. However, our study would move onto the next stage at histological and functional levels.

Conclusions

To facilitate investigations of adult newt retinal regeneration, we provided *in silico* transcriptomes covering information of genes which are expressed in eyeballs containing Stage E-0 to E-2 regenerating retinas. Gene expression patterns revealed by qPCR demonstrate the usefulness of the transcriptomes for the study of early processes of retinal regeneration. This tool can be applied to the next comprehensive gene screening steps to uncover essential mechanisms underlying reprogramming, cell-cycle re-entry/proliferation, and patterning in adult newt retinal regeneration.

Supporting Information

Table S1Primers and probes for PCR targets.(XLSX)

Table S2Summary of annotation.(XLSX)

Table S3 COG classification.

(XLSX)

Table S4KEGG classification.(XLSX)

Table S5Proteins/peptides predicted by blast.(XLSX)

Table S6Proteins/peptides predicted by ESTScan.(XLSX)

Table S7 Unknown proteins/peptides predicted by ESTScan. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Hokkaido System Science (Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan) and BGI Japan (Kobe KIMEC Center, Japan) for assistance with initial

References

- Alvarado AS, Tsonis PA (2006) Bridging the regeneration gap: genetic insights from diverse animal models. Nat Rev Genet 7: 873–884.
- Eguchi G, Eguchi Y, Nakamura K, Yadav MC, Millán JL, et al. (2011) Regenerative capacity in newts is not altered by repeated regeneration and aging. Nat Commun DOI:10.1038/ncomms1389.
- Tsonis PA, Haynes T, Maki N, Nakamura K, Casco-Robles MM, et al. (2011) Controlling gene loss of function in newts with emphasis on lens regeneration. Nat Protoc 6: 593–599.
- Casco-Robles MM, Yamada S, Miura T, Nakamura K, Haynes T, et al. (2011) Expressing exogenous genes in newts by transgenesis. Nat Protoc 6: 600–608.
- Abdullayeva I, Kirkham M, Björklund ÅK, Simon A, Sandberg R (2013) A reference transcriptome and inferred proteome for the salamander *Notophthalmus viridescens*. Exp Cell Res 319: 1187–1197.
- Looso M, Preussner J, Sousounis K, Bruckskotten M, Michel CS, et al. (2013) A de novo assembly of the newt transcriptome combined with proteomic validation identifies new protein families expressed during tissue regeneration. Genome Biol 14: R16.
- Litvinchuk SN, Rosanov JM, Borkin LJ (2007) Correlations of geographic distribution and temperature of embryonic development with the nuclear DNA content in the Salamandridae (Urodela, Amphibia). Genome 50: 333–342.
- Chiba C, Hoshino A, Nakamura K, Susaki K, Yamano Y, et al. (2006) Visual cycle protein RPE65 persists in new retinal cells during retinal regeneration of adult newt. J Comp Neurol 495: 391–407.
- Chiba C (2014) The retinal pigment epithelium: An important player of retinal disorders and regeneration. Exp Eye Res 123: 107–114.
- Susaki K, Chiba C (2007) MEK mediates *in vitro* neural transdifferentiation of the adult newt retinal pigment epithelium cells: Is FGF2 an induction factor? Pigment Cell Res 20: 364–379.
- Yoshikawa T, Mizuno A, Yasumuro H, Inami W, Vergara MN, et al. (2012) MEK-ERK and heparin-susceptible signaling pathways are involved in cellcycle entry of the wound edge retinal pigment epithelium cells in the adult newt. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res 25: 66–82.
- Tominaga A, Matsui M, Yoshikawa N, Nishikawa K, Hayashi T, et al. (2013) Phylogeny and historical demography of *Cynops pyrrhogaster* (Amphibia: Urodela): Taxonomic relationships and distributional changes associated with climatic oscillations. Mol Phylogenet Evol 66: 654–667.
- Mizuno A, Yasumuro H, Yoshikawa T, Inami W, Chiba C (2012) MEK-ERK signaling in adult newt retinal pigment epithelium cells is strengthened immediately after surgical induction of retinal regeneration. Neurosci Lett 523: 39–44.
- Martin M (2011) Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EmBnet Journal 17: 10–12.

data analyses, and all members of the Japan Newt Research Community (JNRC) for their valuable comments and discussion.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: KN FT CC. Performed the experiments: KN MRI MT HY WI AK. Analyzed the data: KN RMCR FT CC. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: FT CC. Wrote the paper: KN FT CC.

- Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, et al. (2011) Fulllength transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nat Biotechnol 29: 644–652.
- Robertson G, Schein J, Chiu R, Corbett R, Field M, et al. (2010) De novo assembly and analysis of RNA-seq data. Nat Methods 7: 909–912.
- Simpson JT, Wong K, Jackman SD, Schein JE, Jones SJM, et al. (2009) ABySS: A parallel assembler for short read sequence data. Genome Res 19: 1117–1123.
- Schulz MH, Zerbino DR, Vingron M, Birney E (2012) Oases: robust de novo RNA-seq assembly across the dynamic range of expression levels. Bioinformatics 28: 1086–92.
- Zerbino DR, Birney E (2008) Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. Genome Res 18: 821–829.
- Ye J, Fang L, Zheng H, Zhang Y, Chen J, et al. (2006) WEGO: a web tool for plotting GO annotations. Nucl Acids Res 34: W293–W297.
- İseli C, Jongeneel CV, Bucher P (1999) ESTScan: a program for detecting, evaluating, and reconstructing potential coding regions in EST sequences. Proc Int Conf Intell Syst Mol Biol 138–48.
- Huang XQ, Madan A (1999) CAP3: A DNA sequence assembly program. Genome Res 9: 868–877.
- Maki N, Suetsugu-Maki R, Tarui H, Agata K, Del Rio-Tsonis K, et al. (2009) Expression of stem cell pluripotency factors during regeneration in newts. Dev Dyn 238: 1613–1616.
- Bharti K, Gasper M, Ou J, Brucato M, Clore-Gronenborn K, et al. (2012) A regulatory loop involving PAX6, MITF, and WNT signaling controls retinal pigment epithelium development. PLoS Genet 8: e1002757.
- Susaki K, Kaneko J, Yamano Y, Nakamura K, Inami W, et al. (2009) Musashi-1, an RNA-binding protein, is indispensable for survival of photoreceptors. Exp. Eye Res 88: 347–355.
- Kaneko J, Chiba C (2009) Immunohistochemical analysis of Musashi-1 expression during retinal regeneration of adult newt. Neurosci Lett 450: 252– 257.
- Okano H, Kawahara H, Toriya M, Nakao K, Shibata T, et al. (2005) Function of RNA-binding protein Musashi-1 in stem cells. Exp Cell Res 306: 349–356.
- Chiba C, Mitashov VI (2007) Cellular and molecular events in the adult newt retinal regeneration. In: Chiba C, editor. Strategies for Retinal Tissue Repair and Regeneration in Vertebrates: from Fish to Human. India: Research Signpost. 15–33.
- Islam MR, Nakamura K, Casco-Robles MM, Kunahong A, Inami W, et al. (2014) The newt reprograms mature RPE cells into a unique multipotent state for retinal regeneration. Sci Rep 4: 6043.
- Hever AM, Williamson KA, van Heyningen V (2006) Developmental malformations of the eye: the role of *PAX6*, *SOX2* and *OTX2*. Clin Genet 69: 459–470.