

# HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY RING OF THE INTEGRAL GROUP RING OF DIHEDRAL GROUPS<sup>†</sup>

By

Takao HAYAMI

**Abstract.** We will determine the ring structure of the Hochschild cohomology  $HH^*(ZD_{2n})$  of the integral group ring of the dihedral group  $D_{2n}$  of order  $2n$ .

## Introduction

Let  $RG$  be a group ring of a finite group  $G$  over a commutative ring  $R$ . If  $G$  is an abelian group, the multiplicative structure of the Hochschild cohomology  $HH^*(RG)$  is explained by Holm [12] and Cibils and Solotar [5]. In the case where  $G$  is a non-abelian group,  $HH^*(RG)$  can be very complicated ring in general, and it is more difficult to determine the multiplicative structure of  $HH^*(RG)$ .

The Hochschild cohomology ring  $HH^*(RG)$  is isomorphic to the ordinary cohomology ring  $H^*(G, \psi RG)$ , where  $\psi RG$  is regarded as a left  $RG$ -module by conjugation. So it is theoretically possible to calculate the products on the cohomology if an efficient resolution of  $G$  is given. Thus we have determined the ring structure of  $HH^*(ZQ_t)$  for arbitrary generalized quaternion groups  $Q_t$  by calculating the ordinary cup product in  $H^*(Q_t, \psi ZQ_t)$  using a diagonal approximation on a periodic resolution of period 4 (see [8]).

On the other hand, it is well known that the Hochschild cohomology  $HH^n(RG)$  is isomorphic to the direct sum of the ordinary group cohomology of the centralizers of representatives of the conjugacy classes of  $G$  (see [1, Theorem 2.11.2], [16, Section 4]):

$$HH^*(RG) \simeq \bigoplus_j H^*(G_j, R).$$

---

<sup>†</sup>AMS 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16E40, 20J06.

Key words and phrases. Hochschild cohomology ring, dihedral group, cup product, Product Formula.

Received August 29, 2005.

Revised June 13, 2006.

This isomorphism is not in general multiplicative, however Siegel and Witherspoon [16, Theorem 5.1] define a new product on  $\bigoplus_j H^*(G_j, R)$  so that the above additive isomorphism is multiplicative (see [2] for a new proof and a generalization of this result). They show that the multiplicative structure of the Hochschild cohomology of a group ring is described in terms of cup products, corestrictions and restrictions on the ordinary cohomology. This result was conjugated by Cibils [4] and Cibils and Solotar [5]. This new product gives us much helpful information about the Hochschild cohomology ring of group algebras. In this paper, we will calculate the Hochschild cohomology ring of the integral group ring of the dihedral group  $D_{2n}$  of order  $2n$  for  $n \geq 3$  by using this new product.

In Section 1, as preliminaries, we describe some definitions and properties about the Hochschild cohomology, the group cohomology, and the Product Formula given by Siegel and Witherspoon [16, Theorem 5.1].

In Section 2, we state efficient resolutions for dihedral groups and cyclic groups, and we describe the presentations of the integral cohomology rings of these groups. In fact, efficient free resolutions of dihedral groups are given by Wall [18], Hamada [6] and Handel [7]. We state a slightly different version of the Handel's resolution.

In Section 3, we calculate conjugations, restrictions and corestrictions between the integral cohomology rings of subgroups of  $D_{2n}$  (Propositions 3.4, 3.7 and 3.9). In order to calculate the cup products using the Product Formula we need their computations. These are given by calculating the images of the generators of the cohomologies on the cochain level by using chain transformations.

In Section 4, we calculate the cup products on  $H^*(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$  ( $\simeq HH^*(\mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$ ) using the Product Formula (Propositions 4.1 through 4.7), and as the main result of this paper we determine the ring structure of  $H^*(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$  ( $\simeq HH^*(\mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$ ) (Theorem 4.8).

## 1 Preliminaries

### 1.1 Hochschild Cohomology and Group Cohomology

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $\Lambda$  an  $R$ -algebra which is a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module. If  $M$  is a  $\Lambda^e (= \Lambda \otimes_R \Lambda^{\text{op}})$ -module, then the  $n$ -th Hochschild cohomology of  $\Lambda$  with coefficients in  $M$  is defined by

$$H^n(\Lambda, M) := \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^e}^n(\Lambda, M).$$

The cup product gives  $HH^*(\Lambda) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} HH^n(\Lambda)$  a graded ring structure with identity  $1 \in Z(\Lambda) \simeq HH^0(\Lambda)$ , where  $HH^n(\Lambda)$  denotes  $H^n(\Lambda, \Lambda)$  and  $Z(\Lambda)$  denotes the center of  $\Lambda$ , and  $HH^*(\Lambda)$  is called the Hochschild cohomology ring of  $\Lambda$ . The Hochschild cohomology ring  $HH^*(\Lambda)$  is graded-commutative, that is, for  $\alpha \in HH^p(\Lambda)$  and  $\beta \in HH^q(\Lambda)$  we have  $\alpha\beta = (-1)^{pq}\beta\alpha$  (see [14, Proposition 1.2] for example).

Suppose that  $G$  is a finite group and that  $A$  is a  $G$ -module. Then we have the definition of the  $n$ -th cohomology group of  $G$  with coefficients in  $A$ :

$$H^n(G, A) := \text{Ext}_{RG}^n(R, A).$$

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . We denote restriction and corestriction by  $\text{res}_H^G$  and  $\text{cor}_H^G$ , respectively (see [3], [17] or [19]):

$$\text{res}_H^G : H^n(G, A) \rightarrow H^n(H, A),$$

$$\text{cor}_H^G : H^n(H, A) \rightarrow H^n(G, A).$$

Note that

$$\text{cor}_H^G \cdot \text{res}_H^G \alpha = |G : H| \alpha \quad \text{for } \alpha \in H^n(G, A). \tag{1.1}$$

Let  ${}^gH = gHg^{-1}$  be the conjugacy subgroup of  $H$  for  $g \in G$ . Then there is a homomorphism called conjugation by  $g$ :

$$g^* : H^n(H, A) \rightarrow H^n({}^gH, A).$$

Note that  $g^*$  is the identity for  $g \in H$ , and note that  $(g_1g_2)^* = g_1^*g_2^*$  holds for  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ . These mappings of the cohomology groups are independent of the choice of resolutions.

About the group ring  $RG$  there are close relations between the Hochschild cohomology and the group cohomology. The Hochschild cohomology ring  $HH^*(RG)$  is isomorphic to the ordinary cohomology ring  $H^*(G, {}_\psi RG)$ , where  ${}_\psi RG$  is regarded as a left  $RG$ -module by conjugation (see [16, Proposition 3.2] or [13] for example).

### 1.2 Product Formula

Suppose that  $G$  is a finite group and  $R$  is a commutative ring. Let  $g_1 = 1, g_2, \dots, g_r$  be representatives of the conjugacy classes of  $G$ . Fix  $g_i$ , and let  $G_i$  be the centralizer of  $g_i$ .  $RG_i$ -homomorphisms  $\theta_{g_i} : R \rightarrow RG$ ;  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda g_i$  and  $\pi_{g_i} : RG \rightarrow R$ ;  $\sum_{a \in G} \lambda_a a \mapsto \lambda_{g_i}$  induce  $\theta_{g_i}^* : H^n(G_i, R) \rightarrow H^n(G_i, {}_\psi RG)$  and

$\pi_{g_i}^* : H^n(G_i, \psi RG) \rightarrow H^n(G_i, R)$ , respectively. We define  $\gamma_i : H^n(G_i, R) \rightarrow H^n(G, \psi RG)$  by

$$\gamma_i(\alpha) = \text{cor}_{G_i}^G \theta_{g_i}^*(\alpha), \quad \text{for } \alpha \in H^n(G_i, R).$$

Then we have the following isomorphism of graded  $R$ -modules

$$\Phi : H^n(G, \psi RG) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_i H^n(G_i, R); \quad \zeta \mapsto (\pi_{g_i}^* \text{res}_{G_i}^G(\zeta))_i, \quad (1.2)$$

and its inverse is given by  $\Phi^{-1}(\alpha) = \gamma_i(\alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in H^n(G_i, R)$  (see [16, Section 4]).

Let  $D$  be a set of double coset representatives for  $G_i \backslash G / G_j$ . For each  $a \in D$ , there is a unique  $k = k(a)$  such that

$$g_k = {}^b g_i {}^{ba} g_j \quad (1.3)$$

for some  $b \in G$ . In the above,  ${}^x g$  denotes  $xgx^{-1}$  for  $x, g \in G$ . Siegel and Witherspoon [16] define the following new product on  $\bigoplus_j H^*(G_j, R)$  so that the above additive isomorphism is multiplicative:

**THEOREM 1.1 (Product Formula).** *Let  $\alpha \in H^*(G_i, R)$ ,  $\beta \in H^*(G_j, R)$ . Then the following equation holds in  $H^*(G, \psi RG)$ :*

$$\gamma_i(\alpha) \smile \gamma_j(\beta) = \sum_{a \in D} \gamma_k(\text{cor}_W^{G_k}(\text{res}_W^{G_i} b^* \alpha \smile \text{res}_W^{G_j} (ba)^* \beta)), \quad (1.4)$$

where  $D$  is a set of double coset representatives for  $G_i \backslash G / G_j$ ,  $k = k(a)$  and  $b = b(a)$  are chosen to satisfy (1.3), and  $W = {}^{ba} G_j \cap {}^b G_i$ .

Note that the sum in (1.4) is independent of the choices of  $a$  and  $b$ , and note that  $\gamma_i$  is a monomorphism between the cohomology rings (see [16, Section 5]).

## 2 Integral Cohomology Rings of Dihedral Groups and Cyclic Groups

In this section, we describe presentations of the integral cohomology rings of dihedral groups and cyclic groups.

Let  $D_{2n}$  denote the dihedral group of order  $2n$  for any positive integer  $n \geq 2$ :

$$D_{2n} = \langle x, y \mid x^n = y^2 = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{-1} \rangle.$$

Efficient free resolutions of  $\mathbf{Z}$  over  $\mathbf{Z}D_{2n}$  are given by Wall [18] and Hamada [6]. Handel [7] reformulates this resolution and determines a diagonal approximation on the new resolution. The boundary operators of the Handel's resolution are right  $\mathbf{Z}D_{2n}$ -homomorphisms. For our convenience, we state a resolution whose boundary operators are left  $\mathbf{Z}D_{2n}$ -homomorphisms. This is a slightly different version of the Handel's resolution.

Let  $M^q$  denote a direct sum of  $q$  copies of a module  $M$ . We set  $Y_q = (\mathbf{Z}D_{2n})^{q+1}$  for  $q \geq 0$ . As elements of  $Y_q$  (or  $\mathbf{Z}^{q+1}$ ), we set

$$c_q^r = \begin{cases} \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0, \overset{r}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)}_{q+1} & (\text{if } 1 \leq r \leq q+1), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Define left  $\mathbf{Z}D_{2n}$ -homomorphisms  $\varepsilon: Y_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ ;  $c_0^1 \mapsto 1$  and  $\delta_q: Y_q \rightarrow Y_{q-1}$  ( $q > 0$ ) given by

$$\delta_q(c_q^r) = \begin{cases} (xy + (-1)^{(q-r)/2})c_{q-1}^{r-1} + (x-1)c_{q-1}^r & \text{for } q \text{ even, } r \text{ even,} \\ (y - (-1)^{(q+r+1)/2})c_{q-1}^{r-1} + Nc_{q-1}^r & \text{for } q \text{ even, } r \text{ odd,} \\ (y - (-1)^{(q+r+1)/2})c_{q-1}^{r-1} - Nc_{q-1}^r & \text{for } q \text{ odd, } r \text{ even,} \\ (xy - (-1)^{(q-r)/2})c_{q-1}^{r-1} + (x-1)c_{q-1}^r & \text{for } q \text{ odd, } r \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

In the above,  $N$  denotes  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^i$ . It is easy to check that  $\varepsilon \cdot \delta_1 = 0$  and  $\delta_q \cdot \delta_{q+1} = 0$  ( $q \geq 1$ ) hold. To see that the complex  $(Y, \delta)$  is acyclic, we state a contracting homotopy  $T_q: Y_q \rightarrow Y_{q+1}$  ( $q \geq -1$ ), where we set  $Y_{-1} = \mathbf{Z}$ :

$$T_{-1}(1) = c_0^1.$$

If  $q(\geq 0)$  is even, then

$$T_q(x^i y^j c_q^r) = \begin{cases} N_i c_{q+1}^1 & (r = 1, 0 \leq i < n, j = 0), \\ (-1)^{q(q+1)/2} N_i c_{q+1}^1 + x^i c_{q+1}^2 & (r = 1, 0 \leq i < n, j = 1), \\ 0 & (r \geq 2, 0 \leq i < n, j = 0), \\ x^{i-1} c_{q+1}^{r+1} & (r \geq 2) \text{ even, } 0 \leq i < n, j = 1), \\ x^i c_{q+1}^{r+1} & (r \geq 3) \text{ odd, } 0 \leq i < n, j = 1), \end{cases}$$

where we set

$$N_i = \begin{cases} x^{i-1} + x^{i-2} + \dots + 1 & (i \geq 1), \\ 0 & (i = 0). \end{cases}$$

If  $q(\geq 1)$  is odd, then

$$T_q(x^i y^j c_q^r) = \begin{cases} 0 & (r = 1, 0 \leq i \leq n-2, j = 0), \\ c_{q+1}^1 & (r = 1, i = n-1, j = 0), \\ (-1)^{q(q+1)/2} c_{q+1}^1 + x^{-1} c_{q+1}^2 & (r = 1, i = 0, j = 1), \\ x^{i-1} c_{q+1}^2 & (r = 1, 1 \leq i < n, j = 1), \\ 0 & (r \geq 2, 0 \leq i < n, j = 0), \\ x^i c_{q+1}^{r+1} & (r \geq 2) \text{ even, } 0 \leq i < n, j = 1), \\ x^{i-1} c_{q+1}^{r+1} & (r \geq 3) \text{ odd, } 0 \leq i < n, j = 1). \end{cases}$$

For each  $q \geq 0$ , it is not hard to see that the equation

$$(\delta_{q+1}T_q + T_{q-1}\delta_q)(x^i y^j c_q^r) = x^i y^j c_q^r$$

holds. Therefore  $(Y, \delta)$  is a free resolution of  $\mathbf{Z}$  over  $\mathbf{Z}D_{2n}$  (cf. [7, Theorems 2.1 and 3.3]).

Applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}D_{2n}}(-, \mathbf{Z})$  to the resolution  $(Y, \delta)$ , we have the following complex, where we identify  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}D_{2n}}(Y_q, \mathbf{Z})$  with  $\mathbf{Z}^{q+1}$  using an isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}D_{2n}}(Y_q, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{q+1}$ ;  $f \mapsto (f(c_q^1), f(c_q^2), \dots, f(c_q^{q+1}))$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}D_{2n}}(Y, \mathbf{Z}), \delta^\#) : 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\delta_1^\#} \mathbf{Z}^2 \xrightarrow{\delta_2^\#} \mathbf{Z}^3 \xrightarrow{\delta_3^\#} \mathbf{Z}^4 \xrightarrow{\delta_4^\#} \mathbf{Z}^5 \rightarrow \dots, \\ \delta_{q+1}^\#(a_q^r) = \begin{cases} (x-1)a_{q+1}^r + (y - (-1)^{(q+r-1)/2})a_{q+1}^{r+1} & \text{for } q \text{ even, } r \text{ odd,} \\ -Na_{q+1}^r + (xy - (-1)^{(q-r)/2})a_{q+1}^{r+1} & \text{for } q \text{ even, } r \text{ even,} \\ Na_{q+1}^r + (xy + (-1)^{(q-r)/2})a_{q+1}^{r+1} & \text{for } q \text{ odd, } r \text{ odd,} \\ (x-1)a_{q+1}^r + (y - (-1)^{(q+r-1)/2})a_{q+1}^{r+1} & \text{for } q \text{ odd, } r \text{ even,} \end{cases} \\ = \begin{cases} (1 - (-1)^{(q+r-1)/2})a_{q+1}^{r+1} & \text{for } q \text{ even, } r \text{ odd,} \\ -na_{q+1}^r + (1 - (-1)^{(q-r)/2})a_{q+1}^{r+1} & \text{for } q \text{ even, } r \text{ even,} \\ na_{q+1}^r + (1 + (-1)^{(q-r)/2})a_{q+1}^{r+1} & \text{for } q \text{ odd, } r \text{ odd,} \\ (1 - (-1)^{(q+r-1)/2})a_{q+1}^{r+1} & \text{for } q \text{ odd, } r \text{ even.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In the above,  $a_p^s$  denotes  $ac_p^s$  for  $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

If  $n \geq 2$  is even, then the module structure of  $H^k(D_{2n}, \mathbf{Z})$  is represented by the form of the subquotient of the complex as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(D_{2n}, \mathbf{Z}) \\ = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z} & \text{for } k = 0, \\ \mathbf{Z}c_{4q}^1/n \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^q \mathbf{Z} \left( \frac{n}{2} c_{4q}^{4i-1} + c_{4q}^{4i} \right) / 2 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^q \mathbf{Z} c_{4q}^{4i+1} / 2 & \text{for } k = 4q \ (q \neq 0), \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^q \mathbf{Z} \left( \frac{n}{2} c_{4q+1}^{4i-2} - c_{4q+1}^{4i-1} \right) / 2 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^q \mathbf{Z} c_{4q+1}^{4i} / 2 & \text{for } k = 4q + 1, \\ \bigoplus_{i=0}^q \mathbf{Z} \left( \frac{n}{2} c_{4q+2}^{4i+1} + c_{4q+2}^{4i+2} \right) / 2 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^q \mathbf{Z} c_{4q+2}^{4i+3} / 2 & \text{for } k = 4q + 2, \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^q \mathbf{Z} \left( \frac{n}{2} c_{4q+3}^{4i} - c_{4q+3}^{4i+1} \right) / 2 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^q \mathbf{Z} c_{4q+3}^{4i+2} / 2 & \text{for } k = 4q + 3. \end{cases} \quad (2.2) \end{aligned}$$

In the above,  $M/s$  denotes the quotient module  $M/sM$  for a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module  $M$  and an element  $s \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and we interpret  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^q$  term as 0 if  $q = 0$ . Note that we have the same module structure and ring structure if we use the Handel's resolution. We put  $\lambda := c_2^3 \in H^2(D_{2n}, \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $\mu := (n/2)c_2^1 + c_2^2 \in H^2(D_{2n}, \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $\nu := c_3^2 \in H^3(D_{2n}, \mathbf{Z})$  and  $\xi := c_4^1 \in H^4(D_{2n}, \mathbf{Z})$ . Then  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  and  $\xi$  multiplicatively generate  $H^*(D_{2n}, \mathbf{Z})$ , and the ring structure is given as follows (see [7, Theorem 5.2]):

$$H^*(D_{2n}, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}[\lambda, \mu, \nu, \xi]/(2\lambda, 2\mu, 2\nu, n\xi, \mu^2 + \lambda\mu + (n^2/4)\xi, \nu^2 + \lambda\xi),$$

$$(\deg \lambda = \deg \mu = 2, \deg \nu = 3, \deg \xi = 4). \tag{2.3}$$

In particular, we have

$$H^*(D_4, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}[\lambda, \mu, \nu]/(2\lambda, 2\mu, 2\nu, \nu^2 + \lambda\mu^2 + \lambda^2\mu),$$

$$(\deg \lambda = \deg \mu = 2, \deg \nu = 3). \tag{2.4}$$

If  $n$  is odd, the cohomology groups of  $D_{2n}$  are periodic. The integral cohomology of  $D_{2n}$  is as follows:

$$H^k(D_{2n}, \mathbf{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z} & \text{for } k = 0, \\ \mathbf{Z}c_{4q}^1/n \oplus \mathbf{Z}c_{4q}^{4q+1}/2 & \text{for } k = 4q \ (q \neq 0), \\ 0 & \text{for } k = 4q + 1, \\ \mathbf{Z}c_{4q+2}^{4q+3}/2 & \text{for } k = 4q + 2, \\ 0 & \text{for } k = 4q + 3. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

If we put  $\alpha := c_2^3 \in H^2(D_{2n}, \mathbf{Z})$  and  $\beta := c_4^1 + c_4^5 \in H^4(D_{2n}, \mathbf{Z})$ , we have the following (see [7, Theorem 5.3]):

$$H^*(D_{2n}, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}[\alpha, \beta]/(2\alpha, 2n\beta, \alpha^2 - n\beta),$$

$$(\deg \alpha = 2, \deg \beta = 4). \tag{2.6}$$

Next, we describe the integral cohomology ring of the cyclic group. Let  $H = \langle a \rangle$  denote the cyclic group of order  $l (\geq 2)$ . Then the following periodic  $\mathbf{Z}H$ -free resolution for  $\mathbf{Z}$  of period 2 is well known (see [3, Chapter XII, Section 7] for example):

$$(\mathbf{Z}_H, \partial_H) : \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}H \xrightarrow{(\partial_H)_1} \mathbf{Z}H \xrightarrow{(\partial_H)_2} \mathbf{Z}H \xrightarrow{(\partial_H)_1} \mathbf{Z}H \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$(\partial_H)_1(c) = c(a - 1),$$

$$(\partial_H)_2(c) = c \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a^i.$$

Applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_{ZH}(-, \mathbf{Z})$  to the above periodic resolution, we have the complex

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Hom}_{ZH}(Z_H, \mathbf{Z}), (\partial_H)^\#) : 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{(\partial_H)_1^\#} \mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{(\partial_H)_2^\#} \mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{(\partial_H)_1^\#} \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow \cdots, \\ (\partial_H)_1^\#(c) = (a-1)c = 0, \\ (\partial_H)_2^\#(c) = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a^i c = lc, \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$H^k(H, \mathbf{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z} & \text{for } k = 0, \\ \mathbf{Z}/l & \text{for } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, k \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

If we put  $\chi := 1 \in H^2(H, \mathbf{Z})$ , then we have the following (see [3, Chapter XII, Section 7]):

$$H^*(H, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}[\chi]/(l\chi), \quad (\deg \chi = 2). \quad (2.8)$$

### 3 Conjugation, Restriction and Corestriction

In this section, we calculate conjugations, restrictions and corestrictions between the integral cohomology rings of the centralizers of representatives of the conjugacy classes of  $D_{2n}$ . These are given by a method similar to [9, Section 2.1].

#### 3.1 The Case $n$ Even

In this subsection, we consider the case  $n = 2m$  ( $m \geq 2$ ). We take representatives of the conjugacy classes of  $D_{2n}$  as follows:

$$g_1 = 1, \quad g_2 = x^m, \quad g_{i+2} = x^i \quad (1 \leq i < m), \quad g_{m+2} = y, \quad g_{m+3} = xy.$$

Then their centralizers are

$$G_1 = G_2 = D_{2n}, \quad G_{i+2} = \langle x \rangle \quad (1 \leq i < m), \quad G_{m+2} = \langle x^m, y \rangle, \quad G_{m+3} = \langle x^m, xy \rangle.$$

Note that  $G_{m+2}$  and  $G_{m+3}$  are isomorphic to  $D_4$ .

In the following, we set

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(G_r, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}[\lambda, \mu, \nu, \xi]/(2\lambda, 2\mu, 2\nu, n\xi, \mu^2 + \lambda\mu + (n^2/4)\xi, \nu^2 + \lambda\xi), \\ (\deg \lambda = \deg \mu = 2, \deg \nu = 3, \deg \xi = 4), \end{aligned}$$



$$H^*(G_{k+2}, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}[\sigma]/(n\sigma) \quad (\deg \sigma = 2),$$

$$H^*(G_{m+r+1}, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}[\lambda_r, \mu_r, \nu_r]/(2\lambda_r, 2\mu_r, 2\nu_r, \nu_r^2 + \lambda_r\mu_r^2 + \lambda_r^2\mu_r)$$

$$(\deg \lambda_r = \deg \mu_r = 2, \deg \nu_r = 3),$$

where  $r = 1, 2$  and  $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$ . These presentations follow from (2.3), (2.4) and (2.8). By (1.2), (2.2) and (2.7), we have

$$H^k(D_{2n}, \psi \mathbf{Z} D_{2n}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}^{m+3} & \text{for } k = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } k = 1, \\ (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{4q} & \text{for } k = 2q + 1 \quad (q \geq 1), \\ (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{8(q+1)} \oplus (\mathbf{Z}/n)^{m-1} & \text{for } k = 4q + 2 \quad (q \geq 0), \\ (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{8q+2} \oplus (\mathbf{Z}/n)^{m+1} & \text{for } k = 4q \quad (q \geq 1). \end{cases}$$

In the above,  $M^r$  denotes a direct sum of  $r$  copies of a module  $M$ .

Moreover we set

$$H^*(\langle x^m \rangle, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}[\tau]/(2\tau) \quad (\deg \tau = 2).$$

First, we calculate conjugation maps. We need chain transformations in both directions between the standard resolution and the resolution given by (2.1). The following equations are useful for the proof of Lemma 3.1:

$$N_i + x^i N_j = N_{i+j}, \quad N_i(x-1) = x^i - 1, \quad y N_j = x^{-j} N_j x^j \quad (i, j \geq 0).$$

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $(X, d)$  be the standard resolution of  $D_{2n}$  and  $(Y, \delta)$  the resolution of  $D_{2n}$  given by (2.1).*

(i) *An initial part of a chain transformation  $u_k : X_k \rightarrow Y_k$  lifting the identity map on  $\mathbf{Z}$  is given as follows:*

$$u_0([\cdot]) = c_0^1;$$

$$u_1([x^i y^p]) = N_i c_1^1 + p x^i c_1^2;$$

$$u_2([x^i y^p \mid x^j y^q]) = \begin{cases} 0 & (\text{if } i + j < n \text{ and } p = 0), \\ c_2^1 & (\text{if } i + j \geq n \text{ and } p = 0), \\ x^{i-j} N_j c_2^2 + q x^{i-j} c_2^3 & (\text{if } i - j \geq 0 \text{ and } p = 1), \\ -c_2^1 + x^{i-j} N_j c_2^2 + q x^{i-j} c_2^3 & (\text{if } i - j < 0 \text{ and } p = 1), \end{cases}$$

where  $0 \leq i, j < n$  and  $p, q = 0, 1$ .

(ii) *An initial part of a chain transformation  $v_k : Y_k \rightarrow X_k$  lifting the identity map on  $\mathbf{Z}$  is given as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
v_0(c_0^1) &= [\cdot]; \\
v_1(c_1^1) &= [x], \quad v_1(c_1^2) = [y]; \\
v_2(c_2^1) &= [N|x], \quad v_2(c_2^2) = [xy|x] + [x|y], \quad v_2(c_2^3) = [y+1|y].
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We prove (i) only. It suffices to check that the equation  $u_{k-1}d_k = \delta_k u_k$  holds for  $k = 1, 2$ . In the case  $k = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u_0 d_1([x^i y^p]) &= u_0((x^i y^p - 1)[\cdot]) = (x^i y^p - 1)c_0^1 \\
&= N_i(x-1)c_0^1 + p x^i(y-1)c_0^1 = \delta_1 u_1([x^i y^p]).
\end{aligned}$$

In the case  $k = 2$ , the proof is divided into four cases.

Case  $i + j < n$ ,  $p = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1 d_2([x^i | x^j y^q]) &= u_1(x^i[x^j y^q] - [x^{i+j} y^q] + [x^i]) \\
&= (x^i N_j - N_{i+j} + N_i)c_1^1 = 0 = \delta_2 u_2([x^i | x^j y^q]).
\end{aligned}$$

Case  $i + j \geq n$ ,  $p = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1 d_2([x^i | x^j y^q]) &= (N_{i+j} - N_{i+j-n})c_1^1 = (N_{i+j} - (N_{i+j} - x^{i+j-n} N_n))c_1^1 \\
&= N c_1^1 = \delta_2 u_2([x^i | x^j y^q]).
\end{aligned}$$

Case  $i - j \geq 0$ ,  $p = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1 d_2([x^i y | x^j y^q]) &= u_1(x^i y[x^j y^q] - [x^{i-j} y^{1+q}] + [x^i y]) \\
&= (x^i y N_j - N_{i-j} + N_i)c_1^1 + (q x^{i-j} y + (q-1)x^{i-j} + x^i)c_1^2 \\
&= x^{i-j} N_j (xy+1)c_1^1 + x^{i-j} (x^j - 1 + q(y+1))c_1^2 \\
&= x^{i-j} N_j ((xy+1)c_1^1 + (x-1)c_1^2) + q x^{i-j} (y+1)c_1^2 \\
&= \delta_2 u_2([x^i y | x^j y^q]).
\end{aligned}$$

Case  $i - j < 0$ ,  $p = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1 d_2([x^i y | x^j y^q]) &= (x^i y N_j - N_{i-j+n} + N_i)c_1^1 + (q x^{i-j} y + (q-1)x^{i-j} + x^i)c_1^2 \\
&= -N c_1^1 + x^{i-j} N_j ((xy+1)c_1^1 + (x-1)c_1^2) + q x^{i-j} (y+1)c_1^2 \\
&\quad (\text{since } N_i - N_{i-j+n} = -x^i N_{n-j} = -x^{i-j} (N_n - N_j)) \\
&= \delta_2 u_2([x^i y | x^j y^q]).
\end{aligned}$$

To prove (ii), it suffices to check that the equation  $d_k v_k = v_{k-1} \delta_k$  holds for  $k = 1, 2$ . □

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $H = \langle a \rangle$  denote the cyclic group of order  $l (\geq 2)$ .  $(Z_H, \partial_H)$  denotes the periodic resolution of  $H$  and  $(X_H, d_H)$  denotes the standard resolution of  $H$ .*

(i) *An initial part of a chain transformation  $(v_H)_k : (Z_H)_k \rightarrow (X_H)_k$  lifting the identity map on  $Z$  is given as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} (v_H)_0(1) &= [\cdot]; \\ (v_H)_1(1) &= [a]; \\ (v_H)_2(1) &= \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} [a^i | a]. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *An initial part of a chain transformation  $(u_H)_k : (X_H)_k \rightarrow (Z_H)_k$  lifting the identity map on  $Z$  is given as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} (u_H)_0([\cdot]) &= 1; \\ (u_H)_1([a^i]) &= \begin{cases} a^{i-1} + a^{i-2} + \dots + 1 & (i \geq 1), \\ 0 & (i = 0); \end{cases} \\ (u_H)_2([a^i | a^j]) &= \begin{cases} 1 & (i + j \geq l), \\ 0 & (i + j < l), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq i, j < l$ .

PROOF. See [11, Proposition 1] for (i) and [9, Lemma 2.1] for (ii). □

LEMMA 3.3. *Suppose  $H$  is a subgroup of a finite group  $G$  and  $A$  is a  $G$ -module.  $(X_H, d_H)$  and  $(X_{(gH)}, d_{(gH)})$  denote the standard resolutions of  $H$  and  ${}^g H = gHg^{-1}$  for  $g \in G$ , respectively. Then the conjugation map  $g^* : H^k(H, A) \rightarrow H^k({}^g H, A)$  is given by the following on the cochain level:*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g} : \text{Hom}_{Z_H}((X_H)_k, A) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{Z_{(gH)}}((X_{(gH)})_k, A) \\ (\tilde{g}(f))([\cdot]) &= gf([\cdot]) \quad (k = 0), \\ (\tilde{g}(f))([\rho_1 | \rho_2 | \dots | \rho_k]) &= gf([g^{-1} \rho_1 g | g^{-1} \rho_2 g | \dots | g^{-1} \rho_k g]) \quad (k \geq 1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_k \in {}^g H$ .

PROOF. See [19, Proposition 2-5-1].  $\square$

In the following,  $(X, d)$  and  $(Y, \delta)$  denote the standard resolution of  $D_{2n}$  and the resolution of  $D_{2n}$  given by (2.1), respectively.

Moreover,  $(X^{(l+1)}, d^{(l+1)})$  denotes the standard resolution of  $G_{m+l+2} = \langle x^m, x^l y \rangle$  for  $l = 0, 1$ , and  $(Y^{(l+1)}, \delta^{(l+1)})$  denotes the resolution of  $G_{m+l+2} (\simeq D_4)$  for  $l = 0, 1$  given by (2.1). The boundaries of  $(Y^{(l+1)}, \delta^{(l+1)})$  are left  $\mathbf{Z}G_{m+l+2}$ -homomorphisms given by

$$(\delta^{(l+1)})_q(c_q^r) = \begin{cases} (x^{m+l}y + (-1)^{(q-r)/2})c_{q-1}^{r-1} + (x^m - 1)c_{q-1}^r & \text{for } q \text{ even, } r \text{ even,} \\ (x^l y - (-1)^{(q+r+1)/2})c_{q-1}^{r-1} + (x^m + 1)c_{q-1}^r & \text{for } q \text{ even, } r \text{ odd,} \\ (x^l y - (-1)^{(q+r+1)/2})c_{q-1}^{r-1} - (x^m + 1)c_{q-1}^r & \text{for } q \text{ odd, } r \text{ even,} \\ (x^{m+l}y - (-1)^{(q-r)/2})c_{q-1}^{r-1} + (x^m - 1)c_{q-1}^r & \text{for } q \text{ odd, } r \text{ odd,} \end{cases}$$

for  $q > 0$ . By Lemma 3.1, an initial part of a chain transformation  $(u^{(l+1)})_k : (X^{(l+1)})_k \rightarrow (Y^{(l+1)})_k$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (u^{(l+1)})_0([\cdot]) &= c_0^1; \\ (u^{(l+1)})_1([x^{mi+lq}y^q]) &= ic_1^1 + qx^{mi}c_1^2; \\ (u^{(l+1)})_2([x^{mi+lp}y^p \mid x^{mj+lq}y^q]) &= \begin{cases} 0 & (i+j < 2, p=0), \\ c_2^1 & (i+j = 2, p=0), \\ jx^{m(i-j)}c_2^2 + qx^{m(i-j)}c_2^3 & (i-j \geq 0, p=1), \\ -c_2^1 + jx^{m(i-j)}c_2^2 + qx^{m(i-j)}c_2^3 & (i-j < 0, p=1), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where  $i, j, q = 0, 1$ , and an initial part of a chain transformation  $(v^{(l+1)})_k : (Y^{(l+1)})_k \rightarrow (X^{(l+1)})_k$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (v^{(l+1)})_0(c_0^1) &= [\cdot]; \\ (v^{(l+1)})_1(c_1^1) &= [x^m], \quad (v^{(l+1)})_1(c_1^2) = [x^l y]; \\ (v^{(l+1)})_2(c_2^1) &= [x^m + 1 \mid x^m], \quad (v^{(l+1)})_2(c_2^2) = [x^{m+l}y \mid x^m] + [x^m \mid x^l y], \\ (v^{(l+1)})_2(c_2^3) &= [x^l y + 1 \mid x^l y]. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3.4. *The following hold:*

- (i)  $y^*(\sigma) = -\sigma$ ,
- (ii)  $(x^{m/2})^*(\mu_r) = \lambda_r + \mu_r$  for  $m$  even and  $r = 1, 2$ .

Moreover,  $g^*$  maps  $1 \in H^0(G_r, \mathbf{Z})$  to  $1 \in H^0({}^g G_r, \mathbf{Z})$  for  $1 \leq r \leq m+3$  and  $g \in D_{2n}$ .

PROOF. We prove  $(x^{m/2})^*(\mu_1) = \lambda_1 + \mu_1$  ( $m$  even) only. This is given by the composition of the following maps on the cochain level:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}^3 &\xrightarrow{\beta_2'^{-1}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}G_{m+2}}((Y^{(1)})_2, \mathbf{Z}) \\ &\xrightarrow{(u^{(1)})_2^\#} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}G_{m+2}}((X^{(1)})_2, \mathbf{Z}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\tilde{x}^l} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}G_{m+2}}((X^{(1)})_2, \mathbf{Z}) \\ &\xrightarrow{(v^{(1)})_2^\#} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}G_{m+2}}((Y^{(1)})_2, \mathbf{Z}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\beta_2'} \mathbf{Z}^3, \end{aligned}$$

where we set  $l = m/2$  and  $\beta_2'$  denotes the isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}G_{m+2}}((Y^{(1)})_2, \mathbf{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Z}^3$  stated in Section 2. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{x}^l(\beta_2'^{-1}(\mu_1) \cdot (u^{(1)})_2))((v^{(1)})_2(c_2^1)) &= \beta_2'^{-1}(\mu_1) \cdot (u^{(1)})_2([x^m + 1 | x^m]) = 1, \\ (\tilde{x}^l(\beta_2'^{-1}(\mu_1) \cdot (u^{(1)})_2))((v^{(1)})_2(c_2^2)) &= \beta_2'^{-1}(\mu_1) \cdot (u^{(1)})_2([y|x^m] + [x^m | x^m y]) = 1, \\ (\tilde{x}^l(\beta_2'^{-1}(\mu_1) \cdot (u^{(1)})_2))((v^{(1)})_2(c_2^3)) &= \beta_2'^{-1}(\mu_1) \cdot (u^{(1)})_2([x^m y + 1 | x^m y]) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $(x^{m/2})^*(\mu_1) = \lambda_1 + \mu_1$  holds. Similarly, we have  $(x^{m/2})^*(\mu_2) = \lambda_2 + \mu_2$ . The equation  $y^*(\sigma) = -\sigma$  is obtained by using Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3.  $\square$

Next, we calculate restriction maps. In the following,  $(Z_H, \partial_H)$  denotes the periodic resolution of a cyclic subgroup  $H$  of  $D_{2n}$ .

LEMMA 3.5. (i) A chain transformation  $w_k : (Z_{\langle x \rangle})_k \rightarrow Y_k$  lifting the identity map on  $\mathbf{Z}$  is given by  $w_k(1) = c_k^1$  ( $k \geq 0$ ).

(ii) An initial part of a chain transformation  $(w^{(l)})_k : (Y^{(l)})_k \rightarrow Y_k$  ( $l = 1, 2$ ) lifting the identity map on  $\mathbf{Z}$  is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (w^{(l)})_0(c_0^1) &= c_0^1; \\ (w^{(l)})_1(c_1^1) &= N_m c_1^1, \quad (w^{(l)})_1(c_1^2) = N_{l-1} c_1^1 + x^{l-1} c_1^2; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(w^{(l)})_2(c_2^r) &= \begin{cases} N_{l-1}c_2^{r-1} + c_2^r & (\text{if } r = 1, 3), \\ x^{l-1}N_m c_2^r & (\text{if } r = 2); \end{cases} \\
(w^{(l)})_3(c_3^r) &= \begin{cases} N_m c_3^r & (\text{if } r \text{ odd}), \\ (-1)^{r/2} N_{l-1} c_3^{r-1} + x^{l-1} c_3^r & (\text{if } r \text{ even}); \end{cases} \\
(w^{(l)})_4(c_4^r) &= \begin{cases} (-1)^{(r-1)/2} N_{l-1} c_4^{r-1} + c_4^r & (\text{if } r \text{ odd}), \\ x^{l-1} N_m c_4^r & (\text{if } r \text{ even}). \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. (i) is easily obtained. To prove (ii), it suffices to check that  $\delta_k \cdot (w^{(l)})_k = (w^{(l)})_{k-1} \cdot (\delta^{(l)})_k$  holds for  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . The proof is straightforward.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.6. (i) A chain transformation  $s_k : (Z_{\langle x^m \rangle})_k \rightarrow (Z_{\langle x \rangle})_k$  lifting the identity map on  $Z$  is given by  $s_{2k}(1) = 1$ ;  $s_{2k+1}(1) = N_m$  ( $k \geq 0$ ).

(ii) A chain transformation  $(s^{(l)})_k : (Z_{\langle x^m \rangle})_k \rightarrow (Y^{(l)})_k$  ( $l = 1, 2$ ) lifting the identity map on  $Z$  is given by  $(s^{(l)})_k(1) = c_k^1$  ( $k \geq 0$ ).

PROPOSITION 3.7. The following hold:

- (i)  $\text{res}_{\langle x \rangle}^{D_{2n}} \lambda = 0$ ,  $\text{res}_{\langle x \rangle}^{D_{2n}} \mu = m\sigma$ ,  $\text{res}_{\langle x \rangle}^{D_{2n}} \xi = \sigma^2$ .
- (ii)  $\text{res}_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}} \lambda = \lambda_1$ ,  $\text{res}_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}} \mu = \begin{cases} 0 & (m \text{ even}), \\ \mu_1 & (m \text{ odd}), \end{cases}$   $\text{res}_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}} \nu = \nu_1$ ,  
 $\text{res}_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}} \xi = \mu_1^2 + \lambda_1 \mu_1$ .
- (iii)  $\text{res}_{G_{m+3}}^{D_{2n}} \lambda = \lambda_2$ ,  $\text{res}_{G_{m+3}}^{D_{2n}} \mu = \begin{cases} \lambda_2 & (m \text{ even}), \\ \lambda_2 + \mu_2 & (m \text{ odd}), \end{cases}$   $\text{res}_{G_{m+3}}^{D_{2n}} \nu = \nu_2$ ,  
 $\text{res}_{G_{m+3}}^{D_{2n}} \xi = \mu_2^2 + \lambda_2 \mu_2$ .
- (iv)  $\text{res}_{\langle x^m \rangle}^{G_{m+r+1}} \lambda_r = 0$ ,  $\text{res}_{\langle x^m \rangle} \sigma = \text{res}_{\langle x^m \rangle}^{G_{m+r+1}} \mu_r = \tau$  ( $r = 1, 2$ ).

Moreover,  $\text{res}_{G_r}^{D_{2n}} 1 = 1 (\in H^0(G_r, Z))$  and  $\text{res}_{\langle x^m \rangle}^{G_r} 1 = 1 (\in H^0(\langle x^m \rangle, Z))$  hold for  $3 \leq r \leq m+3$ .

PROOF. These are given by using Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6. We calculate  $\text{res}_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}} \lambda$  and  $\text{res}_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}} \mu$  as examples. These are given by the composition of the following maps on the cochain level:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z^3 &\xrightarrow{\alpha_2^{-1}} \text{Hom}_{ZD_{2n}}(Y_2, Z) \\
&\xrightarrow{(w^{(1)})_2^\#} \text{Hom}_{ZG_{m+2}}((Y^{(1)})_2, Z) \\
&\xrightarrow{\beta_2'} Z^3,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_2$  denotes the isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}D_{2n}}(Y_2, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}^3$  stated in Section 2. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2^{-1}(\lambda) \cdot (w^{(1)})_2(c_2^1) &= \alpha_2^{-1}(\lambda)(c_2^1) = 0, \\ \alpha_2^{-1}(\lambda) \cdot (w^{(1)})_2(c_2^2) &= \alpha_2^{-1}(\lambda)(N_m c_2^2) = 0, \\ \alpha_2^{-1}(\lambda) \cdot (w^{(1)})_2(c_2^3) &= \alpha_2^{-1}(\lambda)(c_2^3) = 1, \\ \alpha_2^{-1}(\mu) \cdot (w^{(1)})_2(c_2^1) &= \alpha_2^{-1}(\mu)(c_2^1) = m, \\ \alpha_2^{-1}(\mu) \cdot (w^{(1)})_2(c_2^2) &= \alpha_2^{-1}(\mu)(N_m c_2^2) = m, \\ \alpha_2^{-1}(\mu) \cdot (w^{(1)})_2(c_2^3) &= \alpha_2^{-1}(\mu)(c_2^3) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $\text{res}_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}} \lambda = \lambda_1$  and  $\text{res}_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}} \mu = m\mu_1$  hold. □

Finally, we calculate corestriction maps. To compute them we need the following lemma:

**LEMMA 3.8.** *Suppose  $H$  is a subgroup of index  $l$  of a finite group  $G$  and  $A$  is a  $G$ -module. Fix a set of right coset representatives  $S = \{\omega_1 (= 1), \omega_2, \dots, \omega_l\}$  of  $H$  in  $G$ , and let  $c(g) (\in S)$  denote the representative of the right coset containing  $g \in G$ .  $(X_G, d_G)$  and  $(X_H, d_H)$  denote the standard resolutions of  $G$  and  $H$ , respectively. Then the corestriction map  $\text{cor}_H^G : H^k(H, A) \rightarrow H^k(G, A)$  is given by the following on the cochain level:*

$$T_H^G : \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}H}((X_H)_k, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}((X_G)_k, A)$$

$$(T_H^G(u))([\cdot]) = \sum_{\omega \in S} \omega^{-1} u([\cdot]) \quad (k = 0),$$

$$\begin{aligned} (T_H^G(u))([\sigma_1 | \sigma_2 | \dots | \sigma_k]) &= \sum_{\omega \in S} \omega^{-1} u([c(\omega)\sigma_1 c(\omega\sigma_1)^{-1} | c(\omega\sigma_1)\sigma_2 c(\omega\sigma_1\sigma_2)^{-1} | \dots \\ &\quad | c(\omega\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{k-1})\sigma_k c(\omega\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k)^{-1}]) \quad (k \geq 1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $u \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}H}((X_H)_k, A)$  and  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k \in G$ .

**PROOF.** See [19, Proposition 2-5-2]. □

**PROPOSITION 3.9.** *The following hold:*

$$\text{cor}_{\langle x \rangle}^{D_{2n}} \sigma = 0, \quad \text{cor}_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}} \mu_1 = \lambda + \mu \quad (m \text{ even}), \quad \text{cor}_{G_{m+3}}^{D_{2n}} \mu_2 = \mu \quad (m \text{ even}).$$

PROOF. First we calculate  $\text{cor}_{\langle x \rangle}^{D_{2n}} \sigma$ . Using Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.8, this is given by the composition of the following maps on the cochain level:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Z} &\xrightarrow{\beta_2^{-1}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}\langle x \rangle}((\mathbf{Z}\langle x \rangle)_2, \mathbf{Z}) \\
&\xrightarrow{(u_{\langle x \rangle})_2^*} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}\langle x \rangle}((X_{\langle x \rangle})_2, \mathbf{Z}) \\
&\xrightarrow{T_{\langle x \rangle}^{D_{2n}}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}D_{2n}}(X_2, \mathbf{Z}) \\
&\xrightarrow{v_2^*} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}D_{2n}}(Y_2, \mathbf{Z}) \\
&\xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \mathbf{Z}^3,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta_2$  denotes an isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}\langle x \rangle}((\mathbf{Z}\langle x \rangle)_2, \mathbf{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Z}$ ;  $f \mapsto f(1)$ . Let  $\{1, y\}$  be a set of right coset representatives of  $\langle x \rangle$  in  $D_{2n}$ . Then  $c(x^i) = 1$  and  $c(x^i y) = y$  hold. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&(T_{\langle x \rangle}^{D_{2n}}(\beta_2^{-1}(\sigma) \cdot (u_{\langle x \rangle})_2))(v_2(c_2^1)) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \beta_2^{-1}(\sigma) \cdot (u_{\langle x \rangle})_2([c(1)x^i c(x^i)^{-1} | c(x^i)xc(x^{i+1})^{-1}] \\
&\quad + [c(y)x^i c(yx^i)^{-1} | c(yx^i)xc(yx^{i+1})^{-1}]) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \beta_2^{-1}(\sigma) \cdot (u_{\langle x \rangle})_2([x^i | x] + [x^{-i} | x^{-1}]) \\
&= n,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(T_{\langle x \rangle}^{D_{2n}}(\beta_2^{-1}(\sigma) \cdot (u_{\langle x \rangle})_2))(v_2(c_2^2)) \\
&= \beta_2^{-1}(\sigma) \cdot (u_{\langle x \rangle})_2([c(1)xy c(xy)^{-1} | c(xy)xc(y)^{-1}] \\
&\quad + [c(y)xy c(x^{-1})^{-1} | c(x^{-1})xc(1)^{-1}] \\
&\quad + [c(1)xc(x)^{-1} | c(x)yc(xy)^{-1}] + [c(y)xc(yx)^{-1} | c(yx)yc(x^{-1})^{-1}]) \\
&= \beta_2^{-1}(\sigma) \cdot (u_{\langle x \rangle})_2([x | x^{-1}] + [x^{-1} | x] + [x | 1] + [x^{-1} | 1]) \\
&= 2,
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 & (T_{\langle x \rangle}^{D_{2n}}(\beta_2^{-1}(\sigma) \cdot (u_{\langle x \rangle})_2))(v_2(c_2^3)) \\
 &= \beta_2^{-1}(\sigma) \cdot (u_{\langle x \rangle})_2([c(1)yc(y)^{-1} | c(y)yc(1)^{-1}] + [c(y)yc(1)^{-1} | c(1)yc(y)^{-1}] \\
 &\quad + [c(1)1c(1)^{-1} | c(1)yc(y)^{-1}] + [c(y)1c(y)^{-1} | c(y)yc(1)^{-1}]) \\
 &= 4\beta_2^{-1}(\sigma) \cdot (u_{\langle x \rangle})_2([1|1]) \\
 &= 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

we have  $\text{cor}_{\langle x \rangle}^{D_{2n}} \sigma = 2\mu = 0$ .

Next, let  $m$  be even and  $\{1, x, \dots, x^{m-1}\}$  a set of right coset representatives of  $G_{m+2} = \langle x^m, y \rangle$  in  $D_{2n}$ . Then  $c(x^i) = c(x^{m+i}) = x^i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ ) and  $c(x^i y) = c(x^{m+i} y) = x^{m-i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) hold. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (T_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}}(\beta_2'^{-1}(\mu_1) \cdot (u^{(1)})_2))(v_2(c_2^1)) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\beta_2'^{-1}(\mu_1) \cdot (u^{(1)})_2)([c(x^i)x^j c(x^{i+j})^{-1} | c(x^{i+j})xc(x^{i+j+1})^{-1}]) \\
 &= m\beta_2'^{-1}(\mu_1) \cdot (u^{(1)})_2([x^m | x^m]) \\
 &= m,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (T_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}}(\beta_2'^{-1}(\mu_1) \cdot (u^{(1)})_2))(v_2(c_2^2)) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\beta_2'^{-1}(\mu_1) \cdot (u^{(1)})_2)([c(x^i)xy c(x^{i+1}y)^{-1} | c(x^{i+1}y)xc(x^i y)^{-1}] \\
 &\quad + [c(x^i)xc(x^{i+1})^{-1} | c(x^{i+1})yc(x^{i+1}y)^{-1}]) \\
 &= \beta_2'^{-1}(\mu_1) \cdot (u^{(1)})_2([x^m y | x^m]) \\
 &= 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (T_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}}(\beta_2'^{-1}(\mu_1) \cdot (u^{(1)})_2))(v_2(c_2^3)) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\beta_2'^{-1}(\mu_1) \cdot (u^{(1)})_2)([c(x^i)yc(x^i y)^{-1} | c(x^i y)yc(x^i)^{-1}] \\
 &\quad + [1 | c(x^i)yc(x^i y)^{-1}]) \\
 &= \beta_2'^{-1}(\mu_1) \cdot (u^{(1)})_2([y|y] + (m-1)[x^m y | x^m y]) \\
 &= m-1,
 \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $\text{cor}_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}} \mu_1 = (m-1)\lambda + \mu = \lambda + \mu$  holds.

Finally, let  $m$  be even and  $\{1, x, \dots, x^{m-1}\}$  a set of right coset representatives of  $G_{m+3} = \langle x^m, xy \rangle$  in  $D_{2n}$ . Then  $c(x^i) = c(x^{m+i}) = x^i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ ) and  $c(x^i y) = c(x^{m+i} y) = x^{m-i+1}$  ( $2 \leq i \leq m+1$ ) hold. By a similar calculation as above, we have  $\text{cor}_{G_{m+3}}^{D_{2n}} \mu_2 = (m-2)\lambda + \mu = \mu$ .  $\square$

Note that from (1.1), Propositions 3.7 and 3.9, and [19, Proposition 4-3-7], we have

$$\text{cor}_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}} (\lambda_1 \mu_1) = \text{cor}_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}} (\text{res}_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}} \lambda \cdot \mu_1) = \lambda \cdot \text{cor}_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}} \mu_1 = \begin{cases} \lambda^2 + \lambda\mu & (m \text{ even}), \\ \lambda\mu & (m \text{ odd}), \end{cases}$$

and so on.

### 3.2 The Case $n$ Odd

In the case  $n$  odd, the calculations are easily obtained. We set  $t = (n-1)/2$ . We take representatives of the conjugacy classes of  $D_{2n}$  are

$$g_1 = 1, \quad g_{i+1} = x^i \quad (1 \leq i \leq t), \quad g_{t+2} = y,$$

and their centralizers are

$$G_1 = D_{2n}, \quad G_{i+1} = \langle x \rangle \quad (1 \leq i \leq t), \quad G_{t+2} = \langle y \rangle,$$

respectively. We set

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(G_1, \mathbf{Z}) &= \mathbf{Z}[\alpha, \beta]/(2\alpha, 2n\beta, \alpha^2 - n\beta) \quad (\deg \alpha = 2, \deg \beta = 4), \\ H^*(G_{k+1}, \mathbf{Z}) &= \mathbf{Z}[\rho]/(n\rho) \quad (\deg \rho = 2), \\ H^*(G_{t+2}, \mathbf{Z}) &= \mathbf{Z}[\chi]/(2\chi) \quad (\deg \chi = 2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $1 \leq k \leq t$ . These presentations follow from (2.6) and (2.8). By (1.2), (2.5) and (2.7), we have

$$H^k(D_{2n}, \psi \mathbf{Z} D_{2n}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}^{t+2} & \text{for } k = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ (\mathbf{Z}/2)^2 \oplus (\mathbf{Z}/n)^t & \text{for } k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 & \text{for } k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ (\mathbf{Z}/2)^2 \oplus (\mathbf{Z}/n)^{t+1} & \text{for } k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, k \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

By computations similar to Propositions 3.4, 3.7 and 3.9, we have

$$y^*(\rho) = -\rho, \quad \text{res}_{\langle x \rangle}^{D_{2n}} \alpha = 0, \quad \text{res}_{\langle x \rangle}^{D_{2n}} \beta = \rho^2, \quad \text{cor}_{\langle x \rangle}^{D_{2n}} \rho = 0.$$

Moreover, by (1.1), (2.6) and (2.8), we have  $\text{res}_{\langle y \rangle}^{D_{2n}} \alpha = \chi$ ,  $\text{res}_{\langle y \rangle}^{D_{2n}} \beta = \chi^2$ .

#### 4 Products on $H^*(D_{2n}, \psi ZD_{2n})$

In this section, we will determine the ring structure of the Hochschild cohomology  $H^*(D_{2n}, \psi ZD_{2n}) (\simeq HH^*(ZD_{2n}))$  by using the Product Formula. In the following, we write  $XY$  in place of  $X \smile Y$  for brevity.

##### 4.1 The Case $n$ Even

In this subsection, we calculate the products on the Hochschild cohomology  $H^*(D_{2n}, \psi ZD_{2n}) (\simeq HH^*(ZD_{2n}))$  for the case  $n = 2m$  ( $m \geq 2$ ). In the following, we set

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \gamma_1(\lambda), & B_2 &= \gamma_1(\mu), & A_3 &= \gamma_1(\nu), & A_4 &= \gamma_1(\xi), & C_0 &= \gamma_2(1), \\ (E_i)_0 &= \gamma_{i+2}(1) \quad (1 \leq i \leq m-1), & (E_i)_2 &= \gamma_{i+2}(\sigma) \quad (1 \leq i \leq m-1), \\ S_0 &= \gamma_{m+2}(1), & S_2 &= \gamma_{m+2}(\mu_1), & T_0 &= \gamma_{m+3}(1), & T_2 &= \gamma_{m+3}(\mu_2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we set  $F_i = (E_1)_i$  ( $i = 0, 2$ ),

$$U_k = \begin{cases} (E_{-k})_0 & (-m < k < 0), \\ 2 & (k = 0), \\ (E_k)_0 & (0 < k < m), \\ 2C_0 & (k = m), \\ (E_{n-k})_0 & (m < k < n), \end{cases} \quad V_k = \begin{cases} -(E_{-k})_2 & (-m < k < 0), \\ 0 & (k = 0), \\ (E_k)_2 & (0 < k < m), \\ 0 & (k = m), \\ -(E_{n-k})_2 & (m < k < n). \end{cases}$$

In the following, we interpret  $\sum_{l=1}^{(m/2)-1}$  term as 0 if  $m = 2$ .

First, we calculate products in degree 0. The products in degree 0 correspond to the multiplication in the center of  $ZD_{2n}$ . By using this identification, we have the following proposition:

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** (i) *If  $m$  is even, the following equations hold in  $H^0(D_{2n}, \psi ZD_{2n})$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} C_0^2 &= 1, & C_0(E_i)_0 &= (E_{m-i})_0, & C_0S_0 &= S_0, & C_0T_0 &= T_0, \\ (E_i)_0S_0 &= \begin{cases} 2S_0 & (i \text{ even}), \\ 2T_0 & (i \text{ odd}), \end{cases} & (E_i)_0T_0 &= \begin{cases} 2T_0 & (i \text{ even}), \\ 2S_0 & (i \text{ odd}), \end{cases} \\ S_0^2 &= T_0^2 = m(1 + C_0) + m \sum_{l=1}^{(m/2)-1} (E_{2l})_0, & S_0T_0 &= m \sum_{l=1}^{m/2} (E_{2l-1})_0, \\ (E_i)_0(E_j)_0 &= U_{i+j} + U_{i-j}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If  $m$  is odd, the following equations hold in  $H^0(D_{2n}, \psi \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$ :

$$C_0^2 = 1, \quad C_0(E_i)_0 = (E_{m-i})_0, \quad C_0 S_0 = T_0, \quad (E_i)_0 S_0 = \begin{cases} 2S_0 & (i \text{ even}), \\ 2T_0 & (i \text{ odd}), \end{cases}$$

$$S_0^2 = m + m \sum_{l=1}^{(m-1)/2} (E_{2l})_0, \quad (E_i)_0 (E_j)_0 = U_{i+j} + U_{i-j}.$$

REMARK 1. Since the equations  $(E_2)_0 = F_0^2 - 2$  and  $(E_k)_0 = F_0(E_{k-1})_0 - (E_{k-2})_0$  ( $3 \leq k \leq m-1$ ) hold, it follows that the powers of  $F_0$  generate  $(E_k)_0$  ( $2 \leq k \leq m-1$ ). Hence  $H^0(D_{2n}, \psi \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$  is generated by the products of  $C_0$ ,  $F_0$ ,  $S_0$  and  $T_0$  (resp.  $C_0$ ,  $F_0$  and  $S_0$ ) for the case  $m$  even (resp.  $m$  odd).

Next, we compute cup products for generators of  $H^0(D_{2n}, \psi \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$  and generators of  $H^2(D_{2n}, \psi \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$ .

PROPOSITION 4.2. (i) If  $m$  is even, the following equations hold in  $H^2(D_{2n}, \psi \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$ :

- (1)  $C_0 A_2 = \gamma_2(\lambda)$ ,  $C_0 B_2 = \gamma_2(\mu)$ ,  $C_0 (E_i)_2 = -(E_{m-i})_2$ ,  $C_0 S_2 = S_0 A_2 + S_2$ ,  
 $C_0 T_2 = T_0 A_2 + T_2$ .
- (2)  $(E_i)_0 A_2 = (E_i)_0 S_2 = (E_i)_0 T_2 = 0$ ,  $(E_i)_0 B_2 = m(E_i)_2$ ,  $(E_i)_0 (E_j)_2 = V_{i+j} + V_{j-i}$ .
- (3)  $S_0 A_2 = \gamma_{m+2}(\lambda_1)$ ,  $S_0 B_2 = S_0 (E_i)_2 = 0$ ,  $S_0 S_2 = (1 + C_0)(A_2 + B_2) + m \sum_{l=1}^{(m/2)-1} (E_{2l})_2$ ,  $S_0 T_2 = m \sum_{l=1}^{m/2} (E_{2l-1})_2$ .
- (4)  $T_0 A_2 = T_0 B_2 = \gamma_{m+3}(\lambda_2)$ ,  $T_0 (E_i)_2 = 0$ ,  $T_0 S_2 = m \sum_{l=1}^{m/2} (E_{2l-1})_2$ ,  $T_0 T_2 = (1 + C_0)B_2 + m \sum_{l=1}^{(m/2)-1} (E_{2l})_2$ .

(ii) If  $m$  is odd, the following equations hold in  $H^2(D_{2n}, \psi \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$ :

- (1)  $C_0 A_2 = \gamma_2(\lambda)$ ,  $C_0 B_2 = \gamma_2(\mu)$ ,  $C_0 (E_i)_2 = -(E_{m-i})_2$ .
- (2)  $(E_i)_0 A_2 = 0$ ,  $(E_i)_0 B_2 = m(E_i)_2$ ,  $(E_i)_0 (E_j)_2 = V_{i+j} + V_{j-i}$ .
- (3)  $S_0 A_2 = \gamma_{m+2}(\lambda_1)$ ,  $S_0 B_2 = \gamma_{m+2}(\mu_1)$ ,  $S_0 (E_i)_2 = 0$ ,  $C_0 S_0 A_2 = \gamma_{m+3}(\lambda_2)$ ,  
 $C_0 S_0 (A_2 + B_2) = \gamma_{m+3}(\mu_2)$ .

PROOF. Table 1 is useful for computations.

We prove (i) only. By the Product Formula, we have

$$\gamma_l(\alpha)\gamma_r(\beta) = \gamma_r(\text{cor}_{G_r}^{G_r}(\text{res}_{G_r}^{D_{2n}} \alpha \cdot \text{res}_{G_r}^{G_r} \beta)) = \gamma_r(\text{res}_{G_r}^{D_{2n}} \alpha \cdot \beta) \quad (4.1)$$

Table 1. Data for the Product Formula.

$i$	$j$	$a$	$g_i \cdot g_j$	$b$	$k$	${}^b G_i$	${}^{ba} G_j$	$W = {}^b G_i \cap {}^{ba} G_j$
1	$r$ ( $1 \leq r \leq m+3$ )	1	$g_r$	1	$r$	$D_{2n}$	$G_r$	$G_r$
2	2	1	1	1	1	$D_{2n}$	$D_{2n}$	$D_{2n}$
2	$r+2$ ( $1 \leq r < m$ )	1	$x^{m+r}$	$y$	$m-r+2$	$D_{2n}$	$\langle x \rangle$	$\langle x \rangle$
2	$m+2$ ( $m$ even)	1	$x^m y$	$x^{m/2}$	$m+2$	$D_{2n}$	$G_{m+2}$	$G_{m+2}$
2	$m+2$ ( $m$ odd)	1	$x^m y$	$x^{(m+1)/2}$	$m+3$	$D_{2n}$	$G_{m+3}$	$G_{m+3}$
2	$m+3$ ( $m$ even)	1	$x^{m+1} y$	$x^{m/2}$	$m+3$	$D_{2n}$	$G_{m+3}$	$G_{m+3}$
2	$m+3$ ( $m$ odd)	1	$x^{m+1} y$	$x^{(m-1)/2}$	$m+2$	$D_{2n}$	$G_{m+2}$	$G_{m+2}$
$r+2$	$s+2$ ( $1 \leq r, s < m$ )	1	$x^{r+s}$	$1$ ( $r+s < m$ ) $1$ ( $r+s = m$ ) $y$ ( $r+s > m$ )	$r+s+2$ 2 $n-r-s+2$	$\langle x \rangle$ $\langle x \rangle$ $\langle x \rangle$	$\langle x \rangle$ $\langle x \rangle$ $\langle x \rangle$	$\langle x \rangle$ $\langle x \rangle$ $\langle x \rangle$
$r+2$	$m+3$ ( $1 \leq r < m$ )	$y$	$x^{r-s}$	$1$ ( $r > s$ ) $1$ ( $r = s$ ) $y$ ( $s > r$ )	$r-s+2$ 1 $s-r+2$	$\langle x \rangle$ $\langle x \rangle$ $\langle x \rangle$	$\langle x \rangle$ $\langle x \rangle$ $\langle x \rangle$	$\langle x \rangle$ $\langle x \rangle$ $\langle x \rangle$
$r+2$	$m+2$ ( $1 \leq r < m$ )	1	$x^r y$	$x^{-l/2}$ ( $r$ even) $x^{(l-1)/2}$ ( $r$ odd)	$m+2$ $m+3$	$\langle x \rangle$ $\langle x \rangle$	$\langle x^m, x^{-l} y \rangle$ $\langle x^m, x^{1-l} y \rangle$	$\langle x^m \rangle$ $\langle x^m \rangle$
$r+2$	$m+3$ ( $1 \leq r < m$ )	1	$x^{r+1} y$	$x^{-l/2}$ ( $r$ even) $x^{(-r-1)/2}$ ( $r$ odd)	$m+3$ $m+2$	$\langle x \rangle$ $\langle x \rangle$	$\langle x^m, x^{1-l} y \rangle$ $\langle x^m, x^{-r-l} y \rangle$	$\langle x^m \rangle$ $\langle x^m \rangle$
$m+2$	$m+2$ ( $m$ even)	1 $x^l$ ( $1 \leq l < \frac{m}{2}$ ) $x^{m/2}$	1 $x^{-2l}$ $x^m$	1 $y$ 1	1 $2l+2$ 2	$G_{m+2}$ $G_{m+2}$ $G_{m+2}$	$G_{m+2}$ $\langle x^m, x^{-2l} y \rangle$ $G_{m+2}$	$G_{m+2}$ $\langle x^m \rangle$ $G_{m+2}$
$m+2$	$m+3$ ( $m$ even)	$x^l$ ( $0 \leq l < \frac{m}{2}$ )	$x^{-2l-1}$	$y$	$2l+3$	$G_{m+2}$	$\langle x^m, x^{-2l-1} y \rangle$	$\langle x^m \rangle$
$m+3$	$m+3$ ( $m$ even)	1 $x^l$ ( $1 \leq l < \frac{m}{2}$ ) $x^{m/2}$	1 $x^{-2l}$ $x^m$	1 $y$ 1	1 $2l+2$ 2	$G_{m+3}$ $\langle x^m, x^{-l} y \rangle$ $G_{m+3}$	$G_{m+3}$ $\langle x^m, x^{-2l-1} y \rangle$ $G_{m+3}$	$G_{m+3}$ $\langle x^m \rangle$ $G_{m+3}$

for  $\alpha \in H^*(D_{2n}, \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $\beta \in H^*(G_r, \mathbf{Z})$  ( $1 \leq r \leq m+3$ ). By the above equation and Proposition 3.7 we have  $C_0A_2 = \gamma_2(\lambda)$ ,  $C_0B_2 = \gamma_2(\mu)$ , and so on.

The other equations are obtained by using Theorem 1.1, Propositions 3.4, 3.7 and 3.9 and Table 1. We calculate  $S_0S_2$  as an example.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_0S_2 &= \gamma_{m+2}(1)\gamma_{m+2}(\mu_1) \\
&= \gamma_1(\text{cor}_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}} \mu_1) + \gamma_2(\text{cor}_{G_{m+2}}^{D_{2n}} (x^{m/2})^* \mu_1) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{(m/2)-1} \gamma_{2l+2}(\text{cor}_{\langle x^m \rangle}^{\langle x \rangle} (\text{res}_{\langle x^m \rangle}^{\langle x^m, x^{-2l}y \rangle} (yx^l)^* (\mu_1))) \\
&= \gamma_1(\lambda + \mu) + \gamma_2(\lambda + \mu) + m \sum_{l=1}^{(m/2)-1} \gamma_{2l+2}(\sigma) \\
&= (1 + C_0)(A_2 + B_2) + m \sum_{l=1}^{(m/2)-1} (E_{2l})_2.
\end{aligned}$$

In the above calculation, note that restriction maps commute with conjugation maps and the conjugation maps are the identity on  $H^2(\langle x^m \rangle, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}/2$ . The other computations are similar.  $\square$

REMARK 2. By Proposition 4.2, we have

$$(E_i)_2 = \begin{cases} F_2 + \sum_{l=1}^{(i-1)/2} (E_{2l})_0 F_2 & (i \geq 3) \text{ odd}, \\ \sum_{l=1}^{i/2} (E_{2l-1})_0 F_2 & (i \text{ even}). \end{cases}$$

By Remark 1,  $(E_i)_2$  is generated by the products of  $F_0$  and  $F_2$ . Therefore,  $H^2(D_{2n}, \psi \mathbf{Z} D_{2n})$  is generated by the products of  $C_0$ ,  $F_0$ ,  $S_0$ ,  $T_0$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $S_2$  and  $T_2$  (resp.  $C_0$ ,  $F_0$ ,  $S_0$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $B_2$  and  $F_2$ ) for the case  $m$  even (resp.  $m$  odd).

PROPOSITION 4.3. *The following equations hold in  $H^3(D_{2n}, \psi \mathbf{Z} D_{2n})$ :*

$$C_0A_3 = \gamma_2(\nu), \quad (E_i)_0A_3 = 0, \quad S_0A_3 = \gamma_{m+2}(\nu_1), \quad T_0A_3 = \gamma_{m+3}(\nu_2).$$

PROOF. These are immediate from (4.1) and Proposition 3.7.  $\square$

REMARK 3.  $H^3(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$  is generated by the products of  $C_0, S_0, T_0$  and  $A_3$  (resp.  $C_0, S_0$  and  $A_3$ ) for the case  $m$  even (resp.  $m$  odd).

PROPOSITION 4.4. (i) *If  $m$  is even, the following equations hold in  $H^4(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$ :*

- (1)  $A_2^2 C_0 = \gamma_2(\lambda^2), A_2 B_2 C_0 = \gamma_2(\lambda\mu), A_2^2 S_0 = \gamma_{m+2}(\lambda_1^2), A_2^2 T_0 = \gamma_{m+3}(\lambda_2^2).$
- (2)  $A_4 C_0 = \gamma_2(\xi), A_4(E_i)_0 = \gamma_{i+2}(\sigma^2), A_4 S_0 = \gamma_{m+2}(\lambda_1\mu_1 + \mu_1^2), A_4 T_0 = \gamma_{m+3}(\lambda_2\mu_2 + \mu_2^2).$
- (3)  $A_2(E_i)_2 = B_2 S_2 = (E_i)_2 S_2 = (E_i)_2 T_2 = 0, A_2 S_2 = \gamma_{m+2}(\lambda_1\mu_1), A_2 T_2 = B_2 T_2 = \gamma_{m+3}(\lambda_2\mu_2), B_2(E_i)_2 = mA_4(E_i)_0, (E_i)_2(E_j)_2 = A_4(U_{i+j} - U_{i-j}), S_2^2 + A_2^2 = T_2^2 = A_2 B_2 + A_4 S_0^2, S_2 T_2 = A_4 S_0 T_0.$

(ii) *If  $m$  is odd, the following equations hold in  $H^4(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$ :*

- (1)  $A_2^2 C_0 = \gamma_2(\lambda^2), A_2 B_2 C_0 = \gamma_2(\lambda\mu), A_2^2 S_0 = \gamma_{m+2}(\lambda_1^2), A_2 B_2 S_0 = \gamma_{m+2}(\lambda_1\mu_1), B_2^2 S_0 = \gamma_{m+2}(\mu_1^2), A_2^2 C_0 S_0 = \gamma_{m+3}(\lambda_2^2), A_2 B_2 C_0 S_0 = \gamma_{m+3}(\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_2\mu_2), B_2^2 C_0 S_0 = \gamma_{m+3}(\lambda_2^2 + \mu_2^2).$
- (2)  $A_4 C_0 = \gamma_2(\xi), A_4(E_i)_0 = \gamma_{i+2}(\sigma^2).$
- (3)  $A_2(E_i)_2 = 0, B_2(E_i)_2 = mA_4(E_i)_0, (E_i)_2(E_j)_2 = A_4(U_{i+j} - U_{i-j}).$

PROOF. Note that  $\gamma_1$  is a monomorphism between the cohomology rings (see [16, Section 5]). Thus the products of  $\gamma_1(-)$  and  $\gamma_r(-)$  ( $1 \leq r \leq m+3$ ) are obtained by using (4.1) and Proposition 3.7. The other equations are obtained by using Theorem 1.1, Propositions 3.4, 3.7 and 3.9 and Table 1.  $\square$

REMARK 4. By Proposition 4.4 and Remark 1, note that  $A_4(E_i)_0$  is generated by the products of  $A_4$  and  $F_0$ . Hence  $H^4(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$  is generated by the products of  $C_0, F_0, S_0, T_0, A_2, B_2, S_2, T_2$  and  $A_4$  (resp.  $C_0, F_0, S_0, A_2, B_2$  and  $A_4$ ) for the case  $m$  even (resp.  $m$  odd).

REMARK 5. By (4.1),  $\gamma_2(\lambda^i \mu^j \nu^k \xi^l)$  is generated by the products of  $A_2, B_2, A_3, A_4$  and  $C_0$ . Similarly, from (4.1) and Propositions 3.7 and 4.4, we have

$$\gamma_{r+2}(\sigma^{2i+p}) = \gamma_1(\xi^i) \gamma_{r+2}(\sigma^p) \quad (i \geq 0, p = 0, 1),$$

where  $1 \leq r \leq m-1$ . By summarizing Remarks 1 and 2, it follows that  $\gamma_{r+2}(\sigma^i)$  is generated by the products of  $A_4, F_0$  and  $F_2$ .

Moreover, from (4.1) and Propositions 3.7 and 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{m+r+1}(\mu_r^{i+2}) &= \gamma_{m+r+1}((\text{res}_{G_{m+r+1}}^{D_{2n}} \lambda) \mu_r^{i+1} + (\text{res}_{G_{m+r+1}}^{D_{2n}} \xi) \mu_r^i) \\ &= \gamma_1(\lambda) \gamma_{m+r+1}(\mu_r^{i+1}) + \gamma_1(\xi) \gamma_{m+r+1}(\mu_r^i) \quad (i \geq 0, r = 1, 2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Using Proposition 4.4 and (4.2), it is shown that  $\gamma_{m+r+1}(\mu_r^k)$  is generated by the products of  $\gamma_1(\lambda)$ ,  $\gamma_1(\nu)$ ,  $\gamma_{m+r+1}(1)$  and  $\gamma_{m+r+1}(\mu_r)$  by the induction on  $k$ . Since

$$\gamma_{m+r+1}(\lambda_r^i \mu_r^j \nu_r^k) = \gamma_1(\lambda)^i \gamma_1(\nu)^k \gamma_{m+r+1}(\mu_r^j) \quad (i, j, k \geq 0, r = 1, 2),$$

it follows that  $\gamma_{m+r+1}(\lambda_r^i \mu_r^j \nu_r^k)$  is multiplicatively generated by  $\gamma_1(\lambda)$ ,  $\gamma_1(\mu)$ ,  $\gamma_1(\nu)$ ,  $\gamma_1(\xi)$ ,  $\gamma_{m+r+1}(1)$  and  $\gamma_{m+r+1}(\mu_r)$ .

Hence,  $H^k(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$  ( $k \geq 5$ ) is generated by the products of  $C_0$ ,  $F_0$ ,  $S_0$ ,  $T_0$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $T_2$  and  $A_4$  (resp.  $C_0$ ,  $F_0$ ,  $S_0$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $F_2$  and  $A_4$ ) for the case  $m$  even (resp.  $m$  odd).

## 4.2 The Case $n$ Odd

In this subsection, let  $n(\geq 3)$  be odd. We put  $t = (n - 1)/2$ . The computations of the products on the Hochschild cohomology  $H^*(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n}) (\simeq HH^*(\mathbf{Z}D_{2n}))$  are similar to Section 4.1. In the following, we set

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \gamma_1(\alpha), & A_4 &= \gamma_1(\beta), \\ (E_i)_0 &= \gamma_{i+1}(1) \quad (1 \leq i \leq t), & (E_i)_2 &= \gamma_{i+1}(\rho) \quad (1 \leq i \leq t), \\ S_0 &= \gamma_{t+2}(1). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we set  $F_i = (E_1)_i$  ( $i = 0, 2$ ) and

$$U_k = \begin{cases} (E_{-k})_0 & (-t < k < 0), \\ 2 & (k = 0), \\ (E_k)_0 & (1 \leq k \leq t), \\ (E_{n-k})_0 & (t < k < n), \end{cases} \quad V_k = \begin{cases} -(E_{-k})_2 & (-t < k < 0), \\ 0 & (k = 0), \\ (E_k)_2 & (1 \leq k \leq t), \\ -(E_{n-k})_2 & (t < k < n), \end{cases}$$

First, we calculate the products in degree 0. These are obtained by computations similar to Proposition 4.1.

**PROPOSITION 4.5.** *The following equations hold in  $H^0(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$ :*

$$(E_i)_0 S_0 = 2S_0, \quad S_0^2 = n + n \sum_{i=1}^t (E_i)_0, \quad (E_i)_0 (E_j)_0 = U_{i+j} + U_{i-j}.$$

**REMARK 6.**  $H^0(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$  is generated by the products of  $F_0$  and  $S_0$  (cf. Remark 1).

Next, we calculate the products in degree 2.



PROPOSITION 4.6. *The following equations hold in  $H^2(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$ :*

$$(E_i)_0 A_2 = S_0 (E_i)_2 = 0, \quad S_0 A_2 = \gamma_{i+2}(\chi), \quad (E_i)_0 (E_j)_2 = V_{i+j} + V_{j-i}.$$

REMARK 7.  $H^2(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$  is generated by the products of  $F_0, S_0, A_2$  and  $F_2$  (cf. Remark 2).

REMARK 8. Since the equations

$$\text{res}_{\langle x \rangle}^{D_{2n}} \beta^k = \rho^{2k}, \quad \text{res}_{\langle y \rangle}^{D_{2n}} \beta^k = \chi^{2k} \quad (k \geq 0)$$

hold, by (4.1) the cup product with  $A_4 = \gamma_1(\beta)$  gives a periodicity isomorphism

$$A_4 \smile - : H^k(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{k+4}(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ .

Finally, we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.7. *The following equations hold in  $H^4(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$ :*

$$A_4 (E_i)_0 = \gamma_{i+1}(\rho^2), \quad A_4 S_0 = \gamma_{i+2}(\chi^2), \quad A_2 (E_i)_2 = 0, \quad (E_i)_2 (E_j)_2 = A_4 (U_{i+j} - U_{i-j}).$$

### 4.3 Ring Structure

We will state the ring structure of  $H^*(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$  by summarizing Sections 4.1 and 4.2.

THEOREM 4.8. *Let  $D_{2n}$  denote the dihedral group of order  $2n$  for  $n \geq 3$ .*

(i) *Let  $n$  be even. We set  $m = n/2$ .*

(1) *If  $m$  is even, the Hochschild cohomology ring  $H^*(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$  ( $\simeq HH^*(\mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$ ) is commutative, generated by elements*

$$C_0, F_0, S_0, T_0 \in H^0(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n}), \quad A_2, B_2, F_2, S_2, T_2 \in H^2(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n}),$$

$$A_3 \in H^3(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n}), \quad A_4 \in H^4(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n}).$$

*The relations follow from Table 2.*

(2) *If  $m$  is odd, the Hochschild cohomology ring  $H^*(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$  ( $\simeq HH^*(\mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$ ) is commutative, generated by elements*

$$C_0, F_0, S_0 \in H^0(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n}), \quad A_2, B_2, F_2 \in H^2(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n}),$$

$$A_3 \in H^3(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n}), \quad A_4 \in H^4(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n}).$$

*The relations follow from Table 3.*

Table 2. Cohomology ring  $H^*(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n}) (\cong HH^*(\mathbf{Z}D_{2n}))$  for  $n = 2m$  ( $m \geq 2$  even).

	$C_0$	$F_0$	$S_0$	$T_0$	$A_2$	$B_2$	$F_2$	$S_2$	$T_2$	$A_3$	$A_4$
$C_0$	1	$(E_{m-1})_0$	$S_0$	$T_0$	$C_0A_2$	$C_0B_2$	$-(E_{m-1})_2$	$S_0A_2 + S_2$	$T_0A_2 + T_2$	$C_0A_3$	$C_0A_4$
$F_0$		$\begin{cases} 2(C_0 + 1) & (m = 2) \\ (E_2)_0 + 2 & (m \geq 4) \end{cases}$	$2T_0$	$2S_0$	0	$mF_2$	$\begin{cases} 0 & (m = 2) \\ (E_2)_2 & (m \geq 4) \end{cases}$	0	0	0	${}_nF_0A_4$
$S_0$			$I$	$J$	$S_0A_2$	0	0	$K$	$L$	$S_0A_3$	${}_2S_0A_4$
$T_0$				$I$	$T_0A_2$	$T_0A_2$	0	$L$	$K + (1 + C_0)A_2$	$T_0A_3$	${}_2T_0A_4$
${}_2A_2$					$A_2^2$	$A_2B_2$	0	$A_2S_2$	$A_2T_2$	$A_2A_3$	$A_2A_4$
${}_2B_2$						$A_2B_2$	$mF_0A_4$	0	$A_2T_2$	$B_2A_3$	$B_2A_4$
${}_nF_2$							$\begin{cases} 2A_4(C_0 - 1) & (m = 2) \\ A_4((E_2)_0 - 2) & (m \geq 4) \end{cases}$	0	0	0	$F_2A_4$
${}_2S_2$								$A_4I + A_2^2 + A_2B_2$	$A_4J$	$S_2A_3$	$S_2A_4$
${}_2T_2$									$A_4I + A_2B_2$	$T_2A_3$	$T_2A_4$
${}_2A_3$										$A_2A_4$	$A_3A_4$
${}_nA_4$											$A_4^2$

$W_k$  means that  $I$  is the order of  $W_k \in H^k(D_{2n, \psi} \mathbf{Z}D_{2n})$  as a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module.  $(E_i)_0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ ) are defined inductively by  $(E_1)_0 = F_0$ ,  $(E_2)_0 = F_0^2 - 2$  and  $(E_k)_0 = F_0(E_{k-1})_0 - (E_{k-2})_0$  ( $3 \leq k \leq m-1$ ).

$$(E_i)_2 \ (1 \leq i \leq m-1) \text{ are defined by } (E_1)_2 = F_2, \ (E_k)_2 = \begin{cases} F_2 + \sum_{l=1}^{(k-1)/2} (E_{2l})_0 F_2 & (k \geq 3 \text{ odd}), \\ \sum_{l=1}^{k/2} (E_{2l-1})_0 F_2 & (k \text{ even}). \end{cases}$$

$$I = m(C_0 + 1) + m \sum_{l=1}^{(m/2)-1} (E_{2l})_0, \ J = m \sum_{l=1}^{m/2} (E_{2l-1})_0, \ K = (C_0 + 1)(A_2 + B_2) + m \sum_{l=1}^{(m/2)-1} (E_{2l})_2, \ L = m \sum_{l=1}^{m/2} (E_{2l-1})_2.$$

In the above, we interpret  $\sum_{l=1}^{(m/2)-1}$  term as 0 if  $m = 2$ .

Table 3. Cohomology ring  $H^*(D_{2n, \psi} ZD_{2n}) (\simeq HH^*(ZD_{2n}))$  for  $n = 2m$  ( $m \geq 3$  odd).

	$C_0$	$F_0$	$S_0$	$A_2$	$B_2$	$F_2$	$A_3$	$A_4$
$C_0$	1	$(E_{m-1})_0$	$C_0 S_0$	$C_0 A_2$	$C_0 B_2$	$-(E_{m-1})_2$	$C_0 A_3$	${}_n C_0 A_4$
$F_0$		$(E_2)_0 + 2$	$2C_0 S_0$	0	$mF_2$	$(E_2)_2$	0	${}_n F_0 A_4$
$S_0$			$m + m \sum_{l=1}^{(m-1)/2} (E_{2l})_0$	$S_0 A_2$	$S_0 B_2$	0	$S_0 A_3$	${}_2 S_0 A_4$
${}_2 A_2$				$A_2^2$	$A_2 B_2$	0	$A_2 A_3$	$A_2 A_4$
${}_2 B_2$					$A_2 B_2 - mA_4$	$mF_0 A_4$	$B_2 A_3$	$B_2 A_4$
${}_n F_2$						$A_4((E_2)_0 - 2)$	0	$F_2 A_4$
${}_2 A_3$							$A_2 A_4$	$A_3 A_4$
${}_n A_4$								$A_4^2$

${}_l W_k$  means that  $l$  is the order of  $W_k \in H^k(D_{2n, \psi} ZD_{2n})$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

$(E_i)_0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ ) are defined inductively by  $(E_1)_0 = F_0$ ,  $(E_2)_0 = F_0^2 - 2$  and  $(E_k)_0 = F_0(E_{k-1})_0 - (E_{k-2})_0$  ( $3 \leq i \leq m-1$ ).

$$(E_i)_2 \ (1 \leq i \leq m-1) \text{ are defined by } (E_1)_2 = F_2, (E_k)_2 = \begin{cases} F_2 + \sum_{l=1}^{(k-1)/2} (E_{2l})_0 F_2 & (k \geq 3 \text{ odd}), \\ \sum_{l=1}^{k/2} (E_{2l-1})_0 F_2 & (k \text{ even}). \end{cases}$$

(ii) If  $n$  is odd, the Hochschild cohomology ring  $H^*(D_{2n, \psi} ZD_{2n}) (\simeq HH^*(ZD_{2n}))$  is commutative, generated by elements

$$F_0, S_0 \in H^0(D_{2n, \psi} ZD_{2n}), \quad A_2 \in H^2(D_{2n, \psi} ZD_{2n}), \quad A_4 \in H^4(D_{2n, \psi} ZD_{2n}).$$

The relations follow from Table 4.

Table 4. Cohomology ring  $H^*(D_{2n, \psi} ZD_{2n}) (\simeq HH^*(ZD_{2n}))$  for  $n = 2t + 1$  ( $t \geq 1$ ).

	$F_0$	$S_0$	$A_2$	$F_2$	$A_4$
$F_0$	$\begin{cases} F_0 + 2 & (t = 1) \\ (E_2)_0 + 2 & (t \geq 2) \end{cases}$	$2S_0$	0	$\begin{cases} -F_2 & (t = 1) \\ (E_2)_2 & (t \geq 2) \end{cases}$	${}_n F_0 A_4$
$S_0$		$n + n \sum_{i=1}^t (E_i)_0$	$S_0 A_2$	0	${}_n S_0 A_4$
${}_2 A_2$			$n A_4$	0	$A_2 A_4$
${}_n F_2$				$\begin{cases} A_4(F_0 - 2) & (t = 1) \\ A_4((E_2)_0 - 2) & (t \geq 2) \end{cases}$	$F_2 A_4$
${}_{2n} A_4$					$A_4^2$

${}_l W_m$  means that  $l$  is the order of  $W_m \in H^m(D_{2n, \psi} ZD_{2n})$  as a  $Z$ -module.

$(E_i)_0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ) are defined inductively by  $(E_1)_0 = F_0$ ,  $(E_2)_0 = F_0^2 - 2$  and  $(E_k)_0 = F_0(E_{k-1})_0 - (E_{k-2})_0$  ( $3 \leq i \leq t$ ).

$$(E_i)_2 \ (1 \leq i \leq t) \text{ are defined by } (E_1)_2 = F_2, (E_k)_2 = \begin{cases} F_2 + \sum_{l=1}^{(k-1)/2} (E_{2l})_0 F_2 & (k \geq 3 \text{ odd}), \\ \sum_{l=1}^{k/2} (E_{2l-1})_0 F_2 & (k \text{ even}). \end{cases}$$

### Acknowledgements

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor K. Sanada for valuable comments and suggestions. The author would also like to express his gratitude to the referee for valuable comments and suggestions towards the improvement of the paper.

### References

- [1] Benson, D. J., Representations and cohomology II: cohomology of groups and modules, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [2] Bouc, S., Hochschild constructions for Green functors, Comm. Algebra **31** (2003), 403–436.
- [3] Cartan, H. and Eilenberg, S., Homological Algebra, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 1956.
- [4] Cibils, C., Tensor product of Hopf bimodules over a group, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 1315–1321.
- [5] Cibils, C. and Solotar, A., Hochschild cohomology algebra of abelian groups, Arch. Math. **68** (1997), 17–21.
- [6] Hamada, S., On a free resolution of a dihedral group, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **15** (1963), 212–219.
- [7] Handel, D., On products in the cohomology of the dihedral groups, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **45** (1993), 13–42.
- [8] Hayami, T., Hochschild cohomology ring of the integral group ring of the generalized quaternion group, SUT J. Math. **38** (2002), 83–126.

- [9] Hayami, T., On Hochschild cohomology ring of the integral group ring of the quaternion group, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **29** (2005), 363–387.
- [10] Hayami, T. and Sanada, K., Cohomology ring of the generalized quaternion group with coefficients in an order, *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002), 3611–3628.
- [11] Hayami, T. and Sanada, K., On cohomology rings of a cyclic group and a ring of integers, *SUT J. Math.* **38** (2002), 185–199.
- [12] Holm, T., The Hochschild cohomology ring of a modular group algebra: the commutative case, *Comm. Algebra* **24** (1996), 1957–1969.
- [13] Nozawa, T. and Sanada, K., Cup products on the complete relative cohomologies of finite groups and group algebras, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **28** (1999), 545–556.
- [14] Sanada, K., On the Hochschild cohomology of crossed products, *Comm. Algebra* **21** (1993), 2727–2748.
- [15] Sanada, K., Remarks on cohomology rings of the quaternion group and the quaternion algebra, *SUT J. Math.* **31** (1995), 85–92.
- [16] Siegel, S. F. and Witherspoon, S. J., The Hochschild cohomology ring of a group algebra, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **79** (1999), 131–157.
- [17] Thomas, C. B., *Characteristic classes and the cohomology of finite groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [18] Wall, C. T. C., Resolutions for extensions of groups, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **57** (1961), 251–255.
- [19] Weiss, E., *Cohomology of groups*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1969.

Takao Hayami

Department of Mathematics, Science University of Tokyo  
Wakamiya-cho 26, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-0827, Japan  
E-mail: hayami@ma.kagu.tus.ac.jp