

HYPERSPACES OF FINITE SUBSETS OF NON-SEPARABLE HILBERT SPACES

By

Masato YAGUCHI

Abstract. Let $\ell_2(\tau)$ be the Hilbert space with weight τ and ℓ_2^f be the linear span of the canonical orthonormal basis of the separable Hilbert space ℓ_2 . In this paper, we prove that if a metric space X is homeomorphic to $\ell_2(\tau)$ or $\ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f$ then the hyperspace $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ of non-empty finite subsets of X with the Hausdorff metric is homeomorphic to $\ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f$.

1. Introduction

Let $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ be the space of all non-empty closed subsets of a metric space $X = (X, d)$ which admits the (infinite-valued) Hausdorff metric $d_H : \text{Cld}_H(X)^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ defined as follows:

$$d_H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in B} d(x, A), \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B) \right\},$$

where $d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, a) \mid a \in A\}$. By $\text{Fin}_H(X)$, we denote the subspace of $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ consisting of all finite subsets of X , where the topology of $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ coincides with the Vietoris topology. For an infinite cardinality τ , let $\ell_2(\tau)$ be the Hilbert space with weight τ , that is,

$$\ell_2(\tau) = \left\{ (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \tau} \in \mathbf{R}^\tau \mid \sum_{\alpha \in \tau} x_\alpha^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Let ℓ_2^f be the linear span of the canonical orthonormal basis of the separable Hilbert space $\ell_2 = \ell_2(\aleph_0)$, that is,

$$\ell_2^f = \{(x_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \in \ell_2 \mid x_i = 0 \text{ except for finitely many } i \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

In [5], D. Curtis and Nguyen To Nhu proved that $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ is homeomorphic to (\approx) the space ℓ_2^f if and only if X is non-degenerate, strongly countable-dimensional, connected, locally path-connected and σ -compact. Recently, the hyperspace $\text{Fin}_{AW}(X)$ with the Attouch-Wets topology and $\text{Fin}_W(X)$ with the Wijsman topology have been studied. In [11], it has been shown that if X is an infinite-dimensional Banach space with weight $w(X) = \tau$ then $\text{Fin}_{AW}(X) \approx \ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f$, and in [6] that if X is an infinite-dimensional separable Banach space then $\text{Fin}_W(X) \approx \ell_2 \times \ell_2^f$.

Let $\text{Comp}_H(X)$ be the subspace of $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ consisting of all compact sets in X . In [4], it is proved that $\text{Comp}_H(\ell_2) \approx \ell_2$.

In this paper, we prove the following:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let τ be an infinite cardinal. If a metric space X is homeomorphic to $\ell_2(\tau)$ or $\ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f$ then $\text{Fin}_H(X) \approx \ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f$. Moreover, in case $X \approx \ell_2(\tau)$, $\text{Comp}_H(X) \approx \ell_2(\tau)$ and $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ is homotopy dense in $\text{Comp}_H(X)$.*

2. The Characterization of $\ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f$

Let S_X be the unit sphere in a normed linear space $X = (X, \|\cdot\|)$. For each $x \in X$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$, let $B(x, r) = \{x' \in X \mid \|x - x'\| < r\}$. For a subset $A \subset X$, $\text{cl } A$ is the closure of A , $\text{card } A$ is the cardinality of A , and $\text{diam } A = \sup\{\|a - b\| \mid a, b \in A\}$.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we use the characterization of the space $\ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f$ which is obtained in [10]. Before introducing this characterization, we need several definitions.

A σ -completely metrizable space is a metrizable space which is a countable union of completely metrizable closed subsets.

For each open cover \mathcal{U} of Y , two maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ are \mathcal{U} -close (or f is \mathcal{U} -close to g) if each $\{f(x), g(x)\}$ is contained in some $U \in \mathcal{U}$. When $Y = (Y, d)$ is a metric space, there exists a map $\alpha : Y \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that each open ball $B(y, \alpha(y)) = \{z \in Y \mid d(y, z) < \alpha(y)\}$ is contained in some $U \in \mathcal{U}$, whence if g is α -close to f , that is, $d(f(x), g(x)) < \alpha(f(x))$ for each $x \in X$, then g is \mathcal{U} -close to f .

A closed set $A \subset X$ is called a (strong) Z -set in X provided, for each open cover \mathcal{U} of X , there is a map $f : X \rightarrow X$ such that f is \mathcal{U} -close to id_X and $f(X) \cap A = \emptyset$ ($\text{cl } f(X) \cap A = \emptyset$). The union of countably many (strong) Z -sets in X is called a (strong) Z_σ -set in X . When X itself is a (strong) Z_σ -set in X , we call X a (strong) Z_σ -space. A Z -embedding is an embedding whose image is a Z -set.

A space X is said to be *universal for a class \mathcal{C}* (simply, \mathcal{C} -*universal*) if every map $f : C \rightarrow X$ of $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is approximated by Z -embeddings, that is, for each $C \in \mathcal{C}$, each map $f : C \rightarrow X$, and for each open cover \mathcal{U} of X , there is a Z -embedding $g : C \rightarrow X$ such that g is \mathcal{U} -close to f .

It is said that X is *strongly universal for \mathcal{C}* (simply, *strongly \mathcal{C} -universal*) when the following condition is satisfied:

- (su $_{\mathcal{C}}$) for each $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and each closed set $D \subset C$, if $f : C \rightarrow X$ is a map such that $f|_D$ is a Z -embedding, then, for each open cover \mathcal{U} of X , there is a Z -embedding $h : C \rightarrow X$ such that $h|_D = f|_D$ and h is \mathcal{U} -close to f .

Let $\mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)$ be the class of completely metrizable spaces with weight $\leq \tau$. The next proposition is the characterization of $\ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f$:

PROPOSITION 2.1. *A metrizable space X is homeomorphic to $\ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f$ if and only if X is a strongly $\mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)$ -universal AR, which is a σ -completely metrizable strong Z_σ -space of $w(X) = \tau$.*

3. AR-property

The following is due to D. Curtis and Nguyen To Nhu. In fact, it is a combination of Lemmas 3.5, 2.3 and the proof of Theorem 2.4 in [5].

PROPOSITION 3.1. *The hyperspace $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ is an ANR (an AR) if and only if X is locally path-connected (and connected).*

Here, we shall prove a result stronger than Proposition 3.1 above. In [8], Michael introduced uniform AR's and uniform ANR's. A *uniform ANR* is a metric space X with the property: for an arbitrary metric space $Z = (Z, d)$ containing X isometrically as a closed subset, there exist a uniform neighborhood U of X in Z (i.e., $U = N(X, \gamma)$ for some $\gamma > 0$) and a retraction $r : U \rightarrow X$ which is uniformly continuous at X , that is, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is some $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \in X$, $z \in U$ and $d(x, z) < \delta$ then $d(x, r(z)) < \varepsilon$. When $U = Z$ in the above, X is called a *uniform AR*.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *The hyperspace $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ is a uniform ANR (a uniform AR) if and only if X is uniformly locally path-connected (and connected).*

PROOF. Since $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ is a Lawson semilattice, by Theorem 3.4 in [7], it suffices to show that $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ is uniformly locally path-connected (and connected) if and only if so is X .

To see the “if” part, let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then we have $\delta > 0$ such that each δ -close points $x, y \in X$ can be connected by a path with $\text{diam} < \varepsilon/2$. If $A, B \in \text{Fin}_H(X)$ with $d_H(A, B) < \delta$ then for each $a \in A$ there is $b_a \in B$ such that $d(a, b_a) < \delta$, hence we have a path $f_a : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that $f(0) = a$, $f(1) = b_a \in B$ and $\text{diam } f_a([0, 1]) < \varepsilon/2$. Now we define $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Fin}_H(X)$ as follows:

$$f(t) = B \cup \{f_a(t) \mid a \in A\} \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, 1].$$

Since A is finite, it is easy to see that f is continuous. Note that $f(0) = A \cup B$ and $f(1) = B$. Thus, f is a path from $A \cup B$ to B with $\text{diam} < \varepsilon/2$. Similarly, we can construct a path f' in $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ from $A \cup B$ to A with $\text{diam} < \varepsilon/2$. Therefore, by connecting f and f' , we have a path in $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ from A to B with $\text{diam} < \varepsilon$.

Next, we show the “only if” part. By the uniform local path-connectedness of $\text{Fin}_H(X)$, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $\delta > 0$ such that each δ -close $A, B \in \text{Fin}_H(X)$ can be connected by an ε -path in $\text{Fin}_H(X)$. Now, let $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < \delta$. Then, there is a path $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Fin}_H(X)$ such that $\text{diam}_{d_H} f([0, 1]) < \varepsilon/2$, $f(0) = \{x\}$ and $f(1) = \{y\}$. It suffices to show that x and y can be connected by a path in $\bigcup f([0, 1]) = \bigcup_{t \in [0, 1]} f(t)$ because $\text{diam}_d \bigcup f([0, 1]) < \varepsilon$. By Lemma 2.2 in [5], $\bigcup f([0, 1])$ is compact and locally connected. Moreover, $\bigcup f([0, 1])$ is connected. Otherwise, there would be disjoint open sets U and V in X such that both U and V meet $\bigcup f([0, 1])$ and $\bigcup f([0, 1]) \subset U \cup V$. Then, $[0, 1]$ could be separated into non-empty open sets $U' = \{t \in [0, 1] \mid f(t) \subset U\}$ and $V' = \{t \in [0, 1] \mid f(t) \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$, which contradicts to the connectedness of $[0, 1]$. Thus, $\bigcup f([0, 1])$ is a Peano continuum, so $x, y \in \bigcup f([0, 1])$ are connected by a path in $\bigcup f([0, 1])$.

By replacing ε by ∞ , it is shown that X is path-connected if and only if $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ is path-connected. \square

For a normed linear space X , $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ is a uniform AR by 3.2. Observe that $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ is dense in $\text{Comp}_H(X)$. Then, by Theorem 2 in [9], we have the following:

COROLLARY 3.3. *For every normed linear space X , $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ and $\text{Comp}_H(X)$ are uniform AR's and $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ is homotopy dense in $\text{Comp}_H(X)$.*

4. Weight of $\text{Fin}_H(X)$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\text{Fin}^k(X) = \{A \in \text{Fin}(X) \mid \text{card } A \leq k\}$. The following proposition is similarly proved as Proposition 5.1 of [11].

PROPOSITION 4.1. *For every metric space X , $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ has the same weight as X .*

PROOF. Let D be a dense set in X with $\text{card } D = w(X)$. Then, $\text{card } \text{Fin}(D) = w(X)$ because

$$\text{card } D \leq \text{card } \text{Fin}(D) = \text{card } \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Fin}^k(D) \leq \aleph_0 \text{card } D = w(X).$$

For each $A \in \text{Fin}_H(X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $B \in \text{Fin}(D)$ such that $d_H(A, B) < \varepsilon$. Therefore $\text{Fin}_H(D)$ is dense in $\text{Fin}_H(X)$. Thus $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ has the same weight as X . □

Since $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ is dense in $\text{Comp}_H(X)$, we have the following:

COROLLARY 4.2. *For every metric space X , $\text{Comp}_H(X)$ has the same weight as X .*

5. σ -complete Metrizable

In this section, we show that the hyperspace $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ is σ -completely metrizable.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let $X = (X, d)$ be a complete metric space. Then the hyperspace $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ is σ -completely metrizable.*

PROOF. Note that $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ is complete if X is complete [2, Theorem 3.2.4]. Since $\text{Fin}_H(X) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Fin}^k(X)$, it is enough to prove that $\text{Fin}^k(X)$ is closed in $\text{Cld}_H(X)$. For each $B \in \text{Cld}_H(X) \setminus \text{Fin}^k(X)$, we have $k + 1$ many distinct points $b_1, \dots, b_{k+1} \in B$ and $r > 0$ such that $B(b_i, r) \cap B(b_j, r) = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. If $C \in \text{Cld}_H(X)$ satisfies $d_H(B, C) < r$ then there are $c_1, \dots, c_{k+1} \in C$ such that $d(b_i, c_i) < r$, whence $c_i \neq c_j$ if $i \neq j$. Then $\text{card } C \geq \text{card}\{c_1, \dots, c_{k+1}\} > k$. This implies that $C \in \text{Cld}_H(X) \setminus \text{Fin}^k(X)$, hence the complement of $\text{Fin}^k(X)$ is open in $\text{Cld}_H(X)$. □

For each closed subset Y of a metric space X , $\text{Cld}_H(Y)$ can be regarded as a closed subspace of $\text{Cld}_H(X)$.

COROLLARY 5.2. *If a metric space X is σ -completely metrizable, then so is $\text{Fin}_H(X)$.*

PROOF. We can denote $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} X_n$, where X_n is a completely metrizable closed subset of X with $X_n \subset X_{n+1}$. By Proposition 5.1, $\text{Fin}_H^k(X_k)$ is a completely metrizable closed subset of $\text{Cld}_H(X_k)$. Since $\text{Cld}_H(X_n)$ is closed in $\text{Cld}_H(X)$, it follows that $\text{Fin}_H(X) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \text{Fin}_H^k(X_k)$ is σ -completely metrizable. \square

The following is well-known. For completeness, we give a proof.

PROPOSITION 5.3. *For every complete metric space $X = (X, d)$, $\text{Comp}_H(X)$ is complete.*

PROOF. Since $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ is complete, it suffices to show that $\text{Comp}_H(X)$ is closed in $\text{Cld}_H(X)$. Let $A \in \text{Cld}_H(X) \setminus \text{Comp}_H(X)$. Since A is complete, A is not totally bounded. Then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $a_i \in A$ ($i \in \mathbf{N}$) such that $d(a_i, a_j) > \varepsilon$ if $i \neq j$. If $B \in \text{Cld}_H(X)$ and $d_H(A, B) < \varepsilon/3$ then we have $b_i \in B$ ($i \in \mathbf{N}$) such that $d(b_i, a_i) < \varepsilon/3$ for each $i \in \mathbf{N}$, whence $d(b_i, b_j) > \varepsilon/3$ if $i \neq j$. Thus, B is not totally bounded, hence B is not compact. Therefore, $\text{Cld}_H(X) \setminus \text{Comp}_H(X)$ is open. \square

6. Strong Z_σ -space

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Let X be a normed linear space with $\dim X \geq 1$. Then, $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ is a strong Z_σ -space.*

PROOF. Since $\text{Fin}_H(X) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \text{Fin}^k(X)$, it is sufficient to prove that each $\text{Fin}^k(X)$ is a strong Z -set in $\text{Fin}_H(X)$. As shown in the proof of Proposition 5.1, $\text{Fin}_H^k(X)$ is a closed subset in $\text{Fin}_H(X)$. Let $\alpha: \text{Fin}_H(X) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be any map. Take $v \in \mathbf{S}_X$ and define a map $f: \text{Fin}_H(X) \rightarrow \text{Fin}_H(X)$ as follows:

$$f(A) = \left\{ a + \frac{j}{k+1} \alpha(A)v \mid a \in A, j = 0, \dots, k \right\}.$$

Then it is easy to see that $\text{card } f(A) \geq k+1$ and f is α -close to id.

We will show that $\text{Fin}^k(X) \cap \text{cl } f(\text{Fin}_H(X)) = \emptyset$. Assume the contrary, that is, there is a sequence $A_i \in \text{Fin}_H(X)$ ($i \in \mathbf{N}$) such that the sequence $f(A_i)$ has a limit point $A \in \text{Fin}^k(X)$. If $\liminf \alpha(A_i) = 0$ then by taking a subsequence, we can assume that $\alpha(A_i) \rightarrow 0$. Since f is α -close to id, it follows that $d_H(A_i, f(A_i)) \rightarrow 0$, which implies that A_i converges to A . But this contradicts the continuity of α and $\alpha(A) > 0$. Therefore, we have $\beta = \liminf \alpha(A_i) > 0$. By taking a subsequence, we can assume that $\alpha(A_i) \rightarrow \beta$. For each $i \in \mathbf{N}$, let

$$A'_i = \left\{ a + \frac{j}{k+1}\beta v \mid a \in A_i, j = 0, \dots, k \right\}.$$

Then, $A'_i \rightarrow A$ because $d_H(f(A_i), A'_i) < |\alpha(A_i) - \beta|$.

Let $\eta = \beta/(k+1) > 0$. Then we have an open neighborhood U_a for each $a \in A$ $\text{diam } U_a < \eta$ and $U_a \cap U_{a'} = \emptyset$ if $a \neq a'$. Since $d_H(A'_i, A) \rightarrow 0$, there is $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A'_i \subset \bigcup_{a \in A} U_a$. Take any $x \in A_i$. Then

$$\left\{ x + \frac{j}{k+1}\beta v \mid j = 0, \dots, k \right\} \subset A'_i \subset \bigcup_{a \in A} U_a.$$

Since $\text{card } A \leq k$, there are $a \in A$ and $j \neq j' \leq k$ such that

$$x + \frac{j}{k+1}\beta v, \quad x + \frac{j'}{k+1}\beta v \in U_a.$$

Then, it follows that

$$\eta = \frac{1}{k+1}\beta \leq \left\| \left(x + \frac{j}{k+1}\beta v \right) - \left(x + \frac{j'}{k+1}\beta v \right) \right\| \leq \text{diam } U_a < \eta.$$

But this is a contradiction. □

7. Universality

The following is Proposition 2.4 of [11]:

PROPOSITION 7.1. *An ANR X with weight τ is strongly $\mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)$ -universal if every open set in X is $\mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)$ -universal.*

The following is well-known (cf. [3, Chapter VI, Theorem 5.1]):

LEMMA 7.2. *The unit sphere S_X of an infinite-dimensional Banach space X with weight τ is homeomorphic to $X \approx \ell_2(\tau)$.* □

PROPOSITION 7.3. *Let X be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space with weight τ . Then $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ is strongly $\mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)$ -universal.*

PROOF. By Corollary 3.3 and Proposition 7.1, it suffices to show that every open subset $W \subset \text{Fin}_H(X)$ is $\mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)$ -universal. Let $Y \in \mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)$, $f : Y \rightarrow W$ and $\alpha : W \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be maps. Our purpose is to construct a Z -embedding $g : Y \rightarrow W$ which are α -close to f . Define $\beta : W \rightarrow (0, 1)$ by

$$\beta(A) = \frac{1}{2} \min\{\alpha(A), d_H(A, \text{Fin}_H(X) \setminus W)\}.$$

Note that if $g: Y \rightarrow \text{Fin}_H(X)$ is 2β -close to f then g is α -close to f and $g(Y) \subset W$. Each $v \in \mathbf{S}_X$ has an open neighborhood U in \mathbf{S}_X such that $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle > 0$ for each $v_1, v_2 \in U$, where $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ is the inner product. Since $\mathbf{S}_X \approx \ell_2(\tau)$ is $\mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)$ -universal, we have a closed embedding $h: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_X$ such that $\langle h(y), h(y') \rangle > 0$ for each $y, y' \in Y$.

First, we define $p: Y \rightarrow \text{Fin}_H(\mathbf{R})$ by

$$p(y) = \{\langle h(y), a \rangle \mid a \in f(y)\} \quad \text{for each } y \in Y.$$

To see the continuity of p , let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $y \in Y$. For each $a \in f(y)$, there is $\delta_a > 0$ such that

$$v \in \mathbf{S}_X, \quad b \in X, \quad \|h(y) - v\|, \quad \|a - b\| < \delta_a \Rightarrow |\langle h(y), a \rangle - \langle v, b \rangle| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $f(y)$ is finite, we have $\delta = \min\{\delta_a \mid a \in f(y)\} > 0$. By the continuity of h and f , we have $\eta > 0$ such that if $y' \in Y$ and $d(y, y') < \eta$ then

$$\|h(y) - h(y')\| < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad d_H(f(y), f(y')) < \delta.$$

The last inequality implies that for each $a \in f(y)$, there is $b_a \in f(y')$ with $\|a - b_a\| < \delta \leq \delta_a$, whence

$$d(\langle h(y), a \rangle, p(y')) \leq |\langle h(y), a \rangle - \langle h(y'), b_a \rangle| < \varepsilon.$$

Conversely, for each $b \in f(y')$, there is $a_b \in f(y)$ with $\|b - a_b\| < \delta \leq \delta_{a_b}$, whence

$$d(\langle h(y'), b \rangle, p(y)) \leq |\langle h(y'), b \rangle - \langle h(y), a_b \rangle| < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, $d(y, y') < \eta$ implies $d_H(p(y), p(y')) < \varepsilon$, so p is continuous.

Next, define $q, r: Y \rightarrow \text{Fin}_H(\mathbf{R})$ by

$$q(y) = \{0\} \cup \{s_i - s_{i-1} \mid 2 \leq i \leq m\},$$

$$r(y) = \{0, \beta(f(y))\} \cup \{x \in q(y) \mid x \leq \beta(f(y))\},$$

where $s_1 < \cdots < s_m$ with $p(y) = \{s_i \mid i \leq m\}$. For each $y \in Y$, let

$$u(y) = \min\{x > 0 \mid x \in r(y)\}.$$

To see the continuity of q , let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $y \in Y$. Assume that $y' \in Y$ is sufficiently close to y so that $p(y')$ satisfies $d_H(p(y), p(y')) < \eta$, where $\eta = \min\{\varepsilon/2, u(y)/3\} > 0$. Denote $p(y') = \{t_j \mid j \leq n\}$, where $t_1 < \cdots < t_n$. Then, for

each $i \leq m$, we have $j \leq n$ such that $|s_i - t_j| < \eta$. Since $p(y') \subset \bigcup_{i \leq m} B(s_i, \eta)$ and η -balls $B(s_i, \eta)$ are pairwise disjoint, for each $i \leq m$, there is $k \leq n$ such that

$$k = \max\{j \leq n \mid t_j \in B(s_i, \eta)\} = \min\{j \leq n \mid t_j \in B(s_{i+1}, \eta)\} - 1.$$

Then, it follows that

$$|(s_{i+1} - s_i) - (t_{k+1} - t_k)| \leq |s_{i+1} - t_{k+1}| + |t_k - s_i| < 2\eta \leq \varepsilon.$$

This means that $d((s_{i+1} - s_i), q(y')) < \varepsilon$. On the other hand, for each $j \leq n$, we have $i, i' \leq m$ such that $|t_j - s_i|, |t_{j+1} - s_{i'}| < \eta$. Then, it is easy to see that $i \leq i' \leq i + 1$. If $i' = i$ then

$$|(t_{j+1} - t_j) - 0| = |t_{j+1} - t_j| \leq |t_{j+1} - s_{i'}| + |t_j - s_i| < 2\eta \leq \varepsilon.$$

If $i' = i + 1$ then

$$|(t_{j+1} - t_j) - (s_{i+1} - s_i)| \leq |t_{j+1} - s_{i'}| + |s_i - t_j| < 2\eta \leq \varepsilon.$$

These mean that $d((t_{j+1} - t_j), q(y)) < \varepsilon$. Thus, we have $d_H(q(y), q(y')) < \varepsilon$. Consequently, q is continuous.

To see the continuity of r , let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $y \in Y$. By the continuity of q and β , we have $\delta > 0$ such that if $y' \in Y$ and $d(y, y') < \delta$ then

$$|\beta(f(y)) - \beta(f(y'))| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad d_H(q(y), q(y')) < \varepsilon.$$

For each $a \in q(y)$ with $a < \beta(f(y))$, there is $b_a \in q(y')$ such that $|a - b_a| < \varepsilon$. If $a \leq \beta(f(y')) - \varepsilon$ then $b_a \leq \beta(f(y'))$, whence $d(a, r(y')) \leq |a - b_a| < \varepsilon$. If $\beta(f(y')) - \varepsilon < a$ then $d(a, r(y')) \leq |a - \beta(f(y'))| < \varepsilon$ because $a < \beta(f(y)) < \beta(f(y')) + \varepsilon$. On the other hand, for each $b \in q(y')$ with $b < \beta(f(y'))$, there is $a_b \in q(y)$ such that $|b - a_b| < \varepsilon$. If $b \leq \beta(f(y)) - \varepsilon$ then $a_b \leq \beta(f(y))$, i.e., $a_b \in r(y)$. Hence, $d(b, r(y)) \leq |b - a_b| < \varepsilon$. If $\beta(f(y)) - \varepsilon < b$ then $d(b, r(y)) \leq |b - \beta(f(y))| < \varepsilon$ because $b < \beta(f(y')) < \beta(f(y)) + \varepsilon$. Therefore, $d(y, y') < \delta$ implies $d_H(r(y), r(y')) < \varepsilon$, hence r is continuous.

Next, we define a map $g : Y \rightarrow \text{Fin}_H(X)$ as follows:

$$g(y) = \{a + bh(y) \mid a \in f(y), b \in r(y)\}.$$

Since f and r are continuous, it is easy to see that $g : Y \rightarrow \text{Fin}_H(X)$ is continuous. Since $\text{diam } r(y) = \beta(f(y))$, it follows that $d_H(f(y), g(y)) < 2\beta(f(y))$. It should be remarked that

$$(*) \quad \langle h(y), x \rangle - \min p(y) \in \{0\} \cup [u(y), \infty) \quad \text{for each } x \in g(y).$$

Indeed, let $x = a + bh(y) \in g(y)$, where $a \in f(y)$ and $b \in r(y)$. Then,

$$\min p(y) \leq \langle h(y), a \rangle \leq \langle h(y), a \rangle + b\|h(y)\| = \langle h(y), a + bh(y) \rangle = \langle h(y), x \rangle.$$

Since $b = 0$ or $b \geq u(y) > 0$, we have (*).

To see that g is injective, assume that there are $y \neq y' \in Y$ with $g(y) = g(y')$. Since $h(y) \neq h(y')$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \|h(y) - h(y')\|^2 &= \|h(y)\|^2 + \|h(y')\|^2 - 2\langle h(y), h(y') \rangle \\ &= 2(1 - \langle h(y), h(y') \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

hence $0 < \langle h(y), h(y') \rangle < 1$. Let $a \in f(y)$ with $\langle h(y), a \rangle = \min p(y)$. Note $a \in g(y')$ because $f(y) \subset g(y) = g(y')$. If $a \notin f(y')$ then there are $a' \in f(y')$ $c \in g(y') = g(y)$ and $0 < b \leq \beta(f(y'))$ such that $a = a' + bh(y')$, whence

$$\langle h(y), a' \rangle = \langle h(y), (a - bh(y')) \rangle = \langle h(y), a \rangle - b\langle h(y), h(y') \rangle < \min p(y).$$

This contradicts to (*). Therefore, $a \in f(y')$, hence $a + u(y')h(y') \in g(y') = g(y)$. On the other hand, we have no points $c \in g(y)$ with $\min p(y) < \langle h(y), c \rangle < \min p(y) + u(y)$ by (*). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \min p(y) + u(y) &\leq \langle h(y), (a + u(y')h(y')) \rangle \\ &= \langle h(y), a \rangle + u(y')\langle h(y), h(y') \rangle \\ &= \min p(y) + u(y')\langle h(y), h(y') \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $0 < u(y)/u(y') \leq \langle h(y), h(y') \rangle < 1$. By replacing y and y' by each others, we get $0 < u(y')/u(y) < 1$ but this is impossible.

To see that g is a closed map, let $A \subset Y$ be a closed set in Y and $y_i \in A$, $i \in \mathbf{N}$, such that $g(y_i)$ converges to $G \in \mathcal{W}$. Then $\liminf \beta(f(y_i)) > 0$. Otherwise, by taking a subsequence, we could assume that $\text{diam } r(y_i) = \beta(f(y_i)) \rightarrow 0$, hence $d_H(f(y_i), g(y_i)) \rightarrow 0$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$). In this case, $f(y_i)$ converges to G , hence $\beta(f(y_i)) \rightarrow \beta(G) > 0$, which is a contradiction. Now, for each $i \in \mathbf{N}$, let

$$x_i \in f(y_i) \quad \text{and} \quad x'_i = x_i + \beta(f(y_i))h(y_i) \in g(y_i).$$

Since $x_i \in g(y_i)$ and $g(y_i) \rightarrow G$, we have $z_i \in G$ such that $d(x_i, z_i) \rightarrow 0$. Since G is finite, by taking a subsequence, it can be assumed that all z_i are the same point $z \in G$, whence $x_i \rightarrow z$. By the same way, we can assume that there is $z' \in G$ such that $x'_i \rightarrow z'$. Note that $z \neq z'$ because $\liminf \beta(f(y_i)) > 0$. Hence, this implies that $h(y_i)$ converges to $(z' - z)/\|z' - z\| \in \mathbf{S}_X$. Since h is a closed embedding, y_i converges to some $y \in A$, which implies that $G = g(y) \in g(A)$.

To see that $g(Y)$ is a Z -set in \mathcal{W} , for each a map $\alpha : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow (0, 1)$, take $y_0 \in Y$ and let

$$\gamma(A) = \frac{1}{2} \min\{\alpha(A), d_H(A, \text{Fin}_H(X) \setminus \mathcal{W}), u(y_0)\} > 0.$$

Define maps $p', q', r' : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \text{Fin}_H(\mathbf{R})$ and $\varphi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} p'(A) &= \{\langle h(y_0), a \rangle \mid a \in A\}, \\ q'(A) &= \{0\} \cup \{s_i - s_{i-1} \mid 2 \leq i \leq m\}, \\ r'(A) &= \{0, \gamma(A)\} \cup \{x \in q'(A) \mid x \leq \gamma(A)\}, \\ \varphi(A) &= \{a + bh(y_0) \mid a \in A, b \in r'(y)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $s_1 < \dots < s_m$ with $p'(A) = \{s_i \mid i \leq m\}$. If $g(Y) \cap \varphi(\mathcal{W}) \neq \emptyset$ then this intersection is $\{g(y_0)\}$ by the same way as above which shows the injectivity of g . If there is $A \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\varphi(A) = g(y_0)$ then for each $a \in A$ with $\langle h(y_0), a \rangle = \min p(y_0)$, we have $a' = a + \gamma(A)h(y_0) \in \varphi(A)$. But this is impossible because $\gamma(A) < u(y_0)$. \square

REMARK. In the above proof, when α is extended to a map $\tilde{\alpha} : \tilde{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ of an open set $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ in $\text{Comp}_H(X)$ such that $\mathcal{W} = \tilde{\mathcal{W}} \cap \text{Fin}_H(X)$, it can be seen that $g(Y)$ is closed in $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ as follows: In this case, β has the natural extension $\tilde{\beta} : \tilde{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow (0, 1)$. If $g(y_i)$ converges to $G \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}$, we have $\liminf \beta(f(y_i)) > 0$ by the same arguments. Moreover, even if G is not finite, there is a subsequence of $(z_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ converging to some $z \in G$ because G is compact. Then, the corresponding subsequence of $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ converges to z . Thus, we can assume that $x_i \rightarrow z$. Similarly, we can assume that $(x'_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ converges to some $z' \in G$. Hence, we have $G \in g(Y)$ by the same way.

PROPOSITION 7.4. *Let X be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space with weight τ . Then $\text{Comp}_H(X)$ is strongly $\mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)$ -universal.*

PROOF. The proof is similar to Proposition 7.3. Let $f : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ be a map from $Y \in \mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)$ to an open set $\mathcal{W} \subset \text{Comp}_H(X)$. For each open cover \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{W} , let \mathcal{V} be an open star-refinement of \mathcal{U} . Since $\text{Fin}_H(X)$ is homotopy dense in $\text{Comp}_H(X)$, it easily follows that $\mathcal{W} \cap \text{Fin}_H(X)$ is homotopy dense in \mathcal{W} . Then, f is \mathcal{V} -close to a map $f' : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \cap \text{Fin}_H(X)$. By Proposition 7.3, f' is \mathcal{V} -close to a Z -embedding $g : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \cap \text{Fin}_H(X)$, where $g(Y)$ is closed in \mathcal{W} by the above remark. Then, it follows that $g : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is a Z -embedding which is \mathcal{U} -close to f . \square

THEOREM 7.5. *If a metric space X is homeomorphic to $\ell_2(\tau)$ then*

$$\text{Fin}_H(X) \approx \ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Comp}_H(X) \approx \ell_2(\tau).$$

PROOF. Since the topology of $\text{Comp}_H(X)$ coincides with the Vietoris topology, we have $\text{Fin}_H(X) \approx \text{Fin}_H(\ell_2(\tau))$ and $\text{Comp}_H(X) \approx \text{Comp}_H(\ell_2(\tau))$. It has been proved that $\text{Fin}_H(\ell_2(\tau))$ satisfies the all conditions in Proposition 2.1. Then $\text{Fin}_H(X) \approx \ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f$. On the other hand, $\text{Comp}_H(\ell_2(\tau))$ is a strongly $\mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)$ -universal complete metric AR with weight τ . By Toruńczyk's characterization of $\ell_2(\tau)$ [12, Proposition 2.1] (cf. [13]), we have $\text{Comp}_H(X) \approx \ell_2(\tau)$. \square

For a dense subspace $Z = \ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f$ of $\ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2 \approx \ell_2(\tau)$, the unit sphere \mathbf{S}_Z contains a copy $\mathbf{S}_{\ell_2(\tau)} \times \{0\}$ of $\mathbf{S}_{\ell_2(\tau)}$ as closed set. Then there is a closed embedding $h : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_Z$ for each $Y \in \mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)$. By the same proof as Proposition 7.3, we can show the $\mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)$ -universality of $\text{Fin}_H(Z)$. Consequently, we have the following:

THEOREM 7.6. *If a metric space X is homeomorphic to $\ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f$ then $\text{Fin}_H(X) \approx \ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f$.* \square

8. Acknowledgment

The author would like to express thanks to Katsuro Sakai and Kotaro Mine for their helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] T. Banach, M. Kurihara and K. Sakai, Hyperspaces of normed linear spaces with the Attouch-Wets topology, *Set-Valued Analysis* **11** (2003), 21–36.
- [2] Gerald Beer, *Topologies on Closed and Closed Convex Sets*, MIA **268**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1993.
- [3] C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *Selected Topics in Infinite-Dimensional Topology*, MM **58**, Polish Sci. Publ., Warsaw, 1975.
- [4] D. W. Curtis, Hyperspaces homeomorphic to hilbert space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75** no. 1 (1979), 126–130.
- [5] D. Curtis and Nguyen To Nhu, Hyperspaces of finite subsets which are homeomorphic to \aleph_0 -dimensional linear spaces, *Topology Appl.* **19** (1985), 251–260.
- [6] W. Kubiś, K. Sakai and M. Yaguchi, Hyperspaces of separable Banach spaces with the Wijsman topology, *Topology Appl.* **148** (2005), 7–32.
- [7] M. Kurihara, K. Sakai and M. Yaguchi, Hyperspaces with the Hausdorff metric and uniform ANR's, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **57** no. 2 (2005), 523–535.
- [8] E. Michael, Uniform AR's and ANR's, *Composito Math.* **39** (1979), 129–139.
- [9] K. Sakai, The completions of metric ANR's and homotopy dense subsets, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **52** (2000), 835–846.

- [10] K. Sakai and M. Yaguchi, Characterizing manifolds modeled on certain dense subspaces of non-separable Hilbert spaces, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **27** (2003), 143–159.
- [11] K. Sakai and M. Yaguchi, Hyperspaces of Banach spaces with the Attouch-Wets topology, *Set-Valued Anal.* **12** (2004), 329–344.
- [12] H. Toruńczyk, Characterizing Hilbert space topology, *Fund. Math.* **111** (1981), 247–262.
- [13] H. Toruńczyk, A correction of two papers concerning Hilbert manifolds, *Fund. Math.* **125** (1985), 89–93.

(M. Yaguchi)
Institute of Mathematics
University of Tsukuba
Tsukuba, 305-8571, Japan
E-mail address: masato@math.tsukuba.ac.jp