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#### Abstract

Let $\varphi(n)$ be the Euler totient function of $n$. A positive integer $m$ is called a noncototient if the equation $n-\varphi(n)=m$ has no solution in positive integers $n$. The sequence $\left(2^{k} p\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ which is noncototient for some prime $p$ will be called as Sierpiński's sequence. In this paper we prove some interesting properties of the Sierpiński sequence given in the Theorem $1,2,3$.


## 1. Introduction

In 1959 Sierpiński ([6], pp. 200-201) asked whether there exist infinitely many natural numbers $m$ such that $m \neq n-\varphi(n)$, (see also, Erdős [2] and B36 in [4]). Using Riesel's result ([5]), that all numbers of the form $2^{k} p_{0}-1$ with prime $p_{0}=509203$ are composite for $k=1,2, \ldots$, Browkin and Schinzel [1] proved that all numbers $2^{k} p_{0}$ can not be presented in the form $n-\varphi(n)$. It is a positive answer to the question posed by Sierpiński.

Hence there is Sierpiński's sequence with $p_{0}=509203$.
In the paper [3] Flammenkamp and Luca proved the following sufficient condition for the sequence $\left(2^{k} p\right)_{k \geq 1}$ to be noncototient.

Let $p$ be a positive integer satisfying the following four conditions:
(i) $p$ is an odd prime
(ii) $p$ is not a Mersenne prime
(iii) the number $2^{k} p-1$ is composite for all integers $k \geq 1$
(iv) $2 p$ is a noncototient.

Then the sequence $\left(2^{k} p\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is a noncototient, so is the Sierpinski sequence.

[^0]In this connection we prove, in the Theorem 1 that the above conditions are also neccessary. Moreover in the Theorem 2 we prove that there are infinitely many primes $p$ for which the conditions (ii) and (iii) are fulfilled. Further in the Theorem 3 we prove that $2 p$ is of the form $n-\varphi(n)$ if and only if there are different odd primes $p_{j}$ where $j=1,2, \ldots, r ; r \geq 1$ such that $p=p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{r}-$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1}-1\right)\left(p_{2}-1\right) \cdots\left(p_{r}-1\right)$.

## 2. The Results

Theorem 1. Let $p$ be an odd prime. The sequence $\left(2^{k} p\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is the Sierpiński sequence if and only if:
$1^{0} .2 p$ is a noncototient
$2^{0} . p$ is not a Mersenne prime
$3^{0} .2^{k} p-1$ is composite for every positive integer $k \geq 1$.

Theorem 2. There are infinitely many primes $p$ in the arithmetical progression: $m \prod_{j=1}^{6} q_{j}+p_{0}$, where $p_{0}=509203, \prod_{j=1}^{6} q_{j}=3 \times 5 \times 7 \times 13 \times 17 \times 241$ such
that: $1^{0} .2^{k} p-1$ is composite for every positive integer $k \geq 1$
$2^{0} . p$ is not a Mersenne prime.

Theorem 3. The number $2 p$, where $p$ is an odd prime is of the form $n-\varphi(n)$ if and only if there are different odd primes $p_{j}$, where $j=1,2, \ldots, r ; r \geq 1$ such that $p=p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{r}-\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1}-1\right)\left(p_{2}-1\right) \cdots\left(p_{r}-1\right)$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

The sufficiency of conditions we prove by induction with respect to $k$. Suppose that the conditions $1^{0}-3^{0}$ are satisfied: Then we see that the first step of inductive process follows by the assumption $1^{0}$. Now, we assume that the number $2^{k-1} p$ is a noncototient and suppose that $2^{k} p$ is a cototient. Hence, for some natural number $n_{k}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{k} p=n_{k}-\varphi\left(n_{k}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varphi\left(n_{k}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ or $\varphi\left(n_{k}\right) \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ then from (3.1) we have $n_{k} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ or $n_{k}=2 q^{\alpha}, \alpha \geq 1$, where $q$ is odd prime, respectively. If $n_{k} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ then $\frac{\varphi\left(n_{k}\right)}{2}=\varphi\left(\frac{n_{k}}{2}\right)$ and by (3.1) it follows that
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$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{k-1} p=\frac{n_{k}}{2}-\varphi\left(\frac{n_{k}}{2}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we get a contradiction with inductive assumption.
In the second case (3.1) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{k} p=2 q^{\alpha}-\varphi\left(2 q^{\alpha}\right)=q^{\alpha-1}(q+1) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha=1$ then (3.3) implies $2^{k} p-1=q$, contrary to condition $3^{0}$. Hence, $\alpha>1$ and from (3.3) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\alpha-1} \mid p \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.4) we have $\alpha=2$ and $q=p$ and (3.3) implies $2^{k}-1=p$. Again we obtain a contradiction with condition $2^{0}$.

Now, we can prove the necessity of these conditions. Suppose that the sequence $\left(2^{k} p\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is noncototient for every positive integer $k$. Then we see that the condition $1^{0}$ follows immediately for $k=1$.

It remains to prove that the conditions $2^{0}$ and $3^{0}$ are satisfied. We prove this fact by contraposition. Indeed suppose that for some natural $k>1$ we have $2^{k}-1=p$ or $2^{k} p-1=q$, where $p$ and $q$ are odd primes. Let $p=2^{k}-1$. Then taking $n=2 p^{2}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
n-\varphi(n)=2 p^{2}-\varphi\left(2 p^{2}\right)=2 p^{2}-p(p-1)=p(p+1) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p=2^{k}-1$ then (3.5) implies $n-\varphi(n)=2^{k} p$.
The case $2^{k} p-1=q$ is considered similarly. Taking $n=2 q$ we get

$$
n-\varphi(n)=2 q-\varphi(2 q)=2 q-(q-1)=q+1=2^{k} p
$$

and the proof of the theorem 1 is complete.

## 4. Proof of the Theorem 2

In the proof of the Theorem 2 we use of the following Lemma:
Lemma 1. Let $p_{0}=509203$. Then we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{0} \equiv 2^{a_{j}}\left(\bmod q_{j}\right)  \tag{4.1}\\
2^{k} p_{0} \equiv 1\left(\bmod q_{j}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle q j, a_{j}\right\rangle=\{\langle 3,0\rangle,\langle 5,3\rangle,\langle 7,1\rangle,\langle 13,5\rangle,\langle 17,1\rangle,\langle 241,21\rangle\} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1,2, \ldots, 6$ and every integer $k$ satisfies of the congruences

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \equiv-a_{j}\left(\bmod m_{j}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m_{j}=2,4,3,12,8,24$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, 6$ respectively.
The proof of Lemma is given in the paper [1]. For the proof of the Theorem 2 consider the following arithmetical progression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \prod_{j=1}^{6} q_{j}+p_{0}, \quad \prod_{j=1}^{6} q_{j}=3 \times 5 \times 7 \times 13 \times 17 \times 241 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.2) it follows that $\left(p_{0}, \prod_{j=1}^{6} q_{j}\right)=1$ and consequently Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetical progression implies that there are infinitely many primes $p$ contained in the progression (4.5). Let $p=m \prod_{j=1}^{6} q_{j}+p_{0}$ be one of such primes. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{k} p-1=2^{k}\left(m \prod_{j=1}^{6} q_{j}+p_{0}\right)-1=2^{k} m \prod_{j=1}^{6} q_{j}+2^{k} p_{0}-1 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.6) and (4.2) we obtain

$$
2^{k} p-1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod q_{j}\right)
$$

hence, all numbers $2^{k} p-1$ are composite.
For the proof of the second part of the theorem 2 suppose that there is a prime number $p$ in the arithmetical progression $m \prod_{j=1}^{6} q_{j}+p_{0}$ that is a Mersenne prime. Hence for some prime $k$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=m \prod_{j=1}^{6} q_{j}+p_{0}=2^{k}-1 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.7) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{j} \mid 2^{k}-1-p_{0}, \quad \text { for some } q_{j}=3,5,7,13,17,241 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.8) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{j} \mid p_{0}\left(2^{k}-1-p_{0}\right)=2^{k} p_{0}-p_{0}\left(p_{0}+1\right)+1-1 . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Since $q_{j} \mid 2^{k} p_{0}-1$, from (4.2) then by (4.9) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{j} \mid p_{0}\left(p_{0}+1\right)-1 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using computer calculation we get the following factorization into primes

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}\left(p_{0}+1\right)-1=509203 \times 509204-1=59 \times 71 \times 809 \times 76511 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.11) it follows that none of $q_{j}=3,5,7,13,17,241$ satisfies the relation (4.10).

The proof of the theorem 2 is complete.

## 5. Proof of the Theorem 3

Suppose that for some natural number $n$ the number $2 p$ has presantation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 p=n-\varphi(n) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n=2^{\alpha} p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}$, where $p_{j}$ are different odd primes for $j=1,2, \ldots, r$; $r \geq 1$ then $\varphi(n)=2^{\alpha-1} p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1} \cdots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}-1}\left(p_{1}-1\right) \cdots\left(p_{r}-1\right)$ and by (5.1) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 p=2^{\alpha-1} p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1} \cdots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}-1}\left(2 p_{1} \cdots p_{r}-\left(p_{1}-1\right) \cdots\left(p_{r}-1\right)\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha \geq 2$ then (5.2) is impossible. Hence, $\alpha=1$ and by (5.2) follows that $\alpha_{j}=1$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, r$ and consequently (5.2) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{r}-\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1}-1\right)\left(p_{2}-1\right) \cdots\left(p_{r}-1\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, assume that (5.3) is satisfied. Then putting $n=2 p_{1} \cdots p_{r}$ we have $\varphi(n)=\left(p_{1}-1\right) \cdots\left(p_{r}-1\right)$ and we see that (5.3) implies $2 p=n-\varphi(n)$. The proof is complete.
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