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#### Abstract

We investigate the short-distance behavior of three-nucleon forces (3NF) defined through the Nambu-Bethe-Salpeter (NBS) wave functions using the operator product expansion and calculating anomalous dimensions of ninequark operators in perturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD). As in the case of NN forces considered previously, we show that 3NF have repulsions at short distance at one-loop, which becomes exact in the short-distance limit thanks to the asymptotic freedom of QCD. Moreover, these behaviors are universal in the sense that they do not depend on the energy of the NBS wave function for three nucleons.
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## 1. Introduction

Realistic nuclear potentials between two nucleons ( 2 N ), determined precisely from 2 N scattering data together with the deuteron binding energy, have often been used to study nuclear many-body problems. These two-nucleon forces (2NF), however, generally underestimate the experimental binding energies of light nuclei $[1,2]$ and this fact indicates the necessity of taking into account three-nucleon forces (3NF). In addition, an indication of 3NF is observed in high-precision deuteron-proton elastic scattering data at intermediate energies [3-5]. Various signatures of 3 NF in experimental/theoretical studies are reviewed in [6] (the role of 3NF in the context of low-momentum nuclear forces, see Bogner et al [6]).

The 3NF may also play an important role for various phenomena in nuclear physics and astrophysics, which include (i) the backward scattering cross sections in nucleus-nucleus elastic scattering [7], (ii) the anomaly in the oxygen isotopes near the neutron drip-line [8] and (iii) the nuclear equation of state at high density relevant to the physics of neutron stars [9]. Universal short-distance repulsion for three baryons (nucleons and hyperons) is also suggested to explain the observed maximum mass of neutron stars [10].

Despite its phenomenological importance, a microscopic understanding of 3NF is still limited, due to difficulties in studying 3NF experimentally. Pioneered by Fujita and Miyazawa [11], the long-range part of 3NF has been modeled by two-pion exchange [12], which is known to be attractive at long distance. In addition, a repulsive component of 3 NF at short distance is introduced in a purely phenomenological way [13].

To go beyond phenomenology, it is most desirable to determine 3NF directly from the fundamental degrees of freedom, the quarks and the gluons, on the basis of quantum chromodynamics (QCD). Recently, the first investigation of this kind was attempted using lattice QCD simulations, where 3NF have been extracted from the Nambu-Bethe-Salpeter (NBS) wave function for a specific alignment of three nucleons [14-16]. The method used there had been previously employed to extract nucleon-nucleon potentials (i.e. 2NF) [17-20] as follows. The NBS wave function for two nucleons is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{E}(\vec{r})=\langle 0| N(\vec{x}+\vec{r}, 0) N(\vec{x}, 0)|2 \mathrm{~N}, W\rangle, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|2 \mathrm{~N}, W\rangle$ is a QCD eigenstate with energy $W=2 \sqrt{m_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}+k^{2}}$ with $m_{\mathrm{N}}$ being the nucleon mass, $E=k^{2} / m_{\mathrm{N}}$ represents the non-relativistic kinetic energy and $N$ is a nucleon interpolating operator made of three quarks such as $N(x)=\epsilon^{a b c} q^{a}(x) q^{b}(x) q^{c}(x)$. The non-local but energyindependent potential (more precisely the half off-shell $T$-matrix) is extracted from this NBS wave function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E-H_{0}\right) \varphi_{E}(\vec{r})=\int U\left(\vec{r}, \overrightarrow{r^{\prime}}\right) \varphi_{E}\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d}^{3} r^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{0}=-\nabla^{2} / m_{N}$. The non-local potential can be expanded in terms of the velocity (derivative) with local function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(\vec{r}, \overrightarrow{r^{\prime}}\right)=V(\vec{r}, \vec{\nabla}) \delta^{3}\left(\vec{r}-\overrightarrow{r^{\prime}}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\vec{r}, \vec{\nabla})=V_{0}(r)+V_{\sigma}(r) \vec{\sigma}_{1} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{2}+V_{T}(r) S_{12}+V_{\mathrm{LS}}(r) \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}+O\left(\nabla^{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

at the lowest few orders, where $r=|\vec{r}|, \vec{\sigma}_{i}$ represents the Pauli matrices acting on the spin index of the $i$ th nucleon, $\vec{S}=\left(\vec{\sigma}_{1}+\vec{\sigma}_{2}\right) / 2$ is the total spin, $\vec{L}=\vec{r} \times \vec{p}$ is the angular momentum and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{12}=3 \frac{\left(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{1}\right)\left(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{2}\right)}{r^{2}}-\vec{\sigma}_{1} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the tensor operator. This method has been shown to work well. The central potentials at leading order in the expansion have qualitatively reproduced the common features of phenomenological 2 N potentials: the force is attractive at medium to long distance, while it has a characteristic repulsive core at short distance. See also [21, 22] for a summary of the results and recent developments.

The present authors have investigated short-distance behaviors of the 2 NF defined in the framework mentioned above using the operator product expansion (OPE) and perturbation theory thanks to asymptotic freedom (AF) of QCD [23-25]. (See also a similar attempt for the solvable models in two dimensions [26].) The behavior of the NBS wave function $\varphi_{E}(\vec{r})$ at short distance $(r \rightarrow 0)$ is encoded in the OPE of the 2 N operators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\vec{x} / 2,0) N(-\vec{x} / 2,0) \approx \sum_{k} D_{k}(\vec{x}) \mathcal{O}_{k}(\overrightarrow{0}, 0), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\mathcal{O}_{k}\right\}$ is a set of local color singlet six-quark operators with 2 N quantum numbers. Asymptotically, the $\vec{x}$-dependence and energy dependence of the wave function are factorized into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{E}(\vec{x}) \approx \sum_{k} D_{k}(\vec{x})\langle 0| \mathcal{O}_{k}(\overrightarrow{0}, 0)|2 \mathrm{~N}, W\rangle . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Standard renormalization group (RG) analysis gives [24] the leading short-distance behavior of the OPE coefficient function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{k}(\vec{x}) \approx\left(\ln \frac{r_{0}}{r}\right)^{v_{k}} c_{k}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{k}$ is related to the one-loop coefficient of the anomalous dimension of the operator $\mathcal{O}_{k}$, $c_{k}$ is the tree-level contribution of $D_{k}(\overrightarrow{0})$ and finally $r_{0}$ is some typical non-perturbative QCD
scale. Assuming that its matrix element does not vanish, the operator with largest RG power $\nu_{k}$ dominates the wave function (7) at short distances. We denote the largest power by $\nu_{1}$ and the second largest one by $\nu_{2}$.

If $v_{1}$ is nonzero, this leads to the leading asymptotics of the s-wave potential of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r) \approx-\frac{\nu_{1}}{r^{2}\left(\ln \frac{r_{0}}{r}\right)}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is attractive for $v_{1}>0$ and repulsive for $v_{1}<0$.
If $v_{1}=0$, the situation is more complicated. The relative sign of the ratio $R$ between the leading and the subleading contributions becomes important and we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r) \approx-R \frac{\nu_{2}}{r^{2}\left(\ln \frac{r_{0}}{r}\right)^{1-\nu_{2}}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $R$ is positive, the potential is repulsive, while it is attractive for negative $R$. A system of 2 N corresponds to this degenerate case. Unfortunately, in this case $R$ depends on the energy $E$. In [24] it is argued that in the relevant energy range the relative coefficient $R$ is positive, so that the short-distance limit of the nucleon potential is repulsive.

In this paper, we extend the above OPE analysis to the 3 NF , in order to investigate the short-distance behavior of the 3NF in the same scheme as used in lattice QCD [14-16]. The corresponding equal time NBS wave function for three nucleons is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{3 N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho})=\langle 0| N\left(\vec{x}_{1}, 0\right) N\left(\vec{x}_{2}, 0\right) N\left(\vec{x}_{3}, 0\right)\left|E_{3 N}\right\rangle, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{3 N}$ and $\left|E_{3 N}\right\rangle$ denote the energy and the 3 N state. We introduce the Jacobi coordinates $\vec{R}=\left(\vec{x}_{1}+\vec{x}_{2}+\vec{x}_{3}\right) / 3, \vec{r}=\left(\vec{x}_{1}-\vec{x}_{2}\right) / 2, \vec{\rho}=\left(\vec{x}_{3}-\left(\vec{x}_{1}+\vec{x}_{2}\right) / 2\right) / \sqrt{3}$. From this wave function, the three nucleon potential is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-\frac{1}{2 \mu_{r}} \nabla_{r}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 \mu_{\rho}} \nabla_{\rho}^{2}+\sum_{i<j} V_{2 N}\left(\vec{r}_{i j}\right)+V_{3 N F}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho})\right] \psi_{3 N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho})=E_{3 N} \psi_{3 N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{2 N}\left(\vec{r}_{i j}\right)$ with $\vec{r}_{i j}=\vec{x}_{i}-\vec{x}_{j}$ denotes 2NF between $(i, j)$-pair, $V_{3 N F}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho})$ the 3 NF and $\mu_{r}=\mu_{\rho}=m_{N} / 2$ the reduced masses.

In section 2, we start with RG considerations and OPE, which are relevant for 3NF. The anomalous dimensions of nine-quark operators are computed in section 3. Finally, we discuss the short-distance behavior of 3NF in section 4. For the convenience of the reader we give a brief summary of our results here. The OPE analysis shows that the 3 N central potential at short distance behaves as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{3 N F}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) \simeq \frac{1}{m_{N}} \frac{-4 \beta_{A}^{\text {tree }}}{s^{2}(-\log (\Lambda s))}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $s=\sqrt{(\vec{r})^{2}+(\vec{\rho})^{2}} \rightarrow 0$, where $\beta_{A}^{\text {tree }}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{A}^{\mathrm{tree}}=-14 /\left(33-2 N_{f}\right), \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $N_{f}$ being the number of dynamical quarks, and $\Lambda$ is the perturbative $\Lambda$-parameter in the MS scheme [24].

Unlike the 2NF where the situation was not completely determined by PT alone, it is shown that the 3 N potential always has a repulsive core. Furthermore, it is universal in the sense that
it does not depend on the details of the 3 N state used to define the NBS wave function such as the energy of the state. This theoretical determination of the asymptotic behavior of the NBS 3 NF at short distance not only provides a good boundary condition for the 3 NF computed at all lengths from lattice QCD [14-16] in the near future, but also gives a deeper understanding of the short-distance repulsion of the 3 NF .

## 2. Renormalization group (RG) analysis and operator product expansion for three-nucleon forces (3NF)

### 2.1. Operator product expansion (OPE) and $R G$ equations

Let us recall the OPE for $\rho, r \rightarrow 0^{4}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{1}(\vec{r}-\vec{\rho} / \sqrt{3}) O_{2}(-\vec{r}-\vec{\rho} / \sqrt{3}) O_{3}(2 \vec{\rho} / \sqrt{3}) \simeq D_{B}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) O_{B}(0) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will need it in the special case when the operators $O_{1}, O_{2}, O_{3}$ on the left-hand side are nucleon operators and the set of operators $O_{B}$ on the right-hand side are local nine-quark operators of engineering dimension 27/2 and higher. All operators in (15) are renormalized ones. As is well known, the nucleon operators are renormalized diagonally and we recall the perturbative expansion of the corresponding RG gamma function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{N}(g)=\gamma_{N}^{(1)} g^{2}+O\left(g^{4}\right), \quad \gamma_{N}^{(1)}=24 d, \quad d=\frac{1}{32 N_{c} \pi^{2}}=\frac{1}{96 \pi^{2}} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our subsequent analysis, which is completely analogous to our discussion in [24], is based on the RG equations satisfied by the coefficient functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D} D_{B}(g, \mu, \vec{r}, \vec{\rho})+D_{A}(g, \mu, \vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) \tilde{\gamma}_{A B}(g)=0, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the RG operator, expressing the independence of physics from the renormalization scale parameter $\mu$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}=\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}+\beta(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the beta function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(g)=-\beta_{0} g^{3}+O\left(g^{5}\right), \quad \beta_{0}=\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}}\left\{\frac{11}{3} N_{c}-\frac{2}{3} N_{f}\right\} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (17) $\tilde{\gamma}_{A B}$ is the effective gamma function matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\gamma}_{A B}(g)=\gamma_{A B}(g)-\left[3 \gamma_{N}(g)\right] \delta_{A B}, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{A B}(g)=\gamma_{A B}^{(1)} g^{2}+O\left(g^{4}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the mixing matrix of nine-quark operators.

[^0]
### 2.2. Perturbative solution to the $R G$ equation and factorization of $O P E$

We want to solve the vector partial differential equation (17), and for this purpose it is useful to introduce $\hat{U}_{A B}(g)$, the solution to the matrix ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(g) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} g} \hat{U}_{A B}(g)=\tilde{\gamma}_{A C}(g) \hat{U}_{C B}(g) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its matrix inverse $U_{A B}(g)$. We will assume that the coefficient has the perturbative expansion

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{A}(g, \mu, \vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) & =\sum_{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}=\tilde{d}_{A}} r^{\alpha_{1}} \rho^{\alpha_{2}} D_{A}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}\left(g ; \mu s, \omega, \Omega_{r}, \Omega_{\rho}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}=\tilde{d}_{A}} r^{\alpha_{1}} \rho^{\alpha_{2}}\left[D_{A ; 0}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}+g^{2} D_{A ; 1}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}\left(\mu s, \omega, \Omega_{r}, \Omega_{\rho}\right)+O\left(g^{4}\right)\right] \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s^{2}=r^{2}+\rho^{2}$ and $\tan \omega=\rho / r$ with $r=|\vec{r}|, \rho=|\vec{\rho}|$, and $\Omega_{r}, \Omega_{\rho}$ are solid angles of the vectors $\vec{r}$ and $\vec{\rho}$, respectively. Here $\tilde{d}_{A}=d_{A}-\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}\right)$ is the dimension of the coefficient function. Note that in the massless theory, operators of different dimensions do not mix. In the full theory quark mass terms are also present, but they correspond to higher powers in $r$ and $\rho$ and can therefore be neglected.

We will also assume that the basis of operators has been chosen such that the one-loop mixing matrix is diagonal:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\gamma}_{A B}(g)=2 \beta_{0} \beta_{A} g^{2} \delta_{A B}+O\left(g^{4}\right) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In such a basis the solution of (22) in perturbation theory takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}_{A B}(g)=\left\{\delta_{A B}+R_{A B}(g)\right\} g^{-2 \beta_{B}}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{A B}(g)=O\left(g^{2}\right)$, with possible multiplicative $\log g^{2}$ factors, depending on the details of the spectrum of one-loop eigenvalues $\beta_{A}$.

Having solved (22) we can write down the most general solution of (17):

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{B}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}\left(g ; \mu s, \omega, \Omega_{r}, \Omega_{\rho}\right)=F_{A}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}\left(\Lambda s, \omega, \Omega_{r}, \Omega_{\rho}\right) U_{A B}(g) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the vector $F_{A}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}$ is RG-invariant. Introducing the running coupling $\bar{g}$ by the usual definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \frac{\Lambda}{\mu}=\int_{\bar{g}}^{g} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x}{\beta(x)}, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F_{B}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{B}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}\left(\Lambda s, \omega, \Omega_{r}, \Omega_{\rho}\right)=D_{A}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}\left(\bar{g} ; 1, \omega, \Omega_{r}, \Omega_{\rho}\right) \hat{U}_{A B}(\bar{g}) . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, because of AF, for $s \rightarrow 0$ also $\bar{g} \rightarrow 0$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}^{2} \approx-\frac{1}{2 \beta_{0} \ln (\Lambda s)} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F_{B}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}$ can be calculated perturbatively using (23) and (25).

Putting everything together, we find that the right-hand side of the OPE (15) can be rewritten as
$O_{1}(\vec{r}-\vec{\rho} / \sqrt{3}) O_{2}(-\vec{r}-\vec{\rho} / \sqrt{3}) O_{3}(2 \vec{\rho} / \sqrt{3}) \simeq \sum_{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}=\tilde{d}_{B}} r^{\alpha_{1}} \rho^{\alpha_{2}} F_{B}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}\left(\Lambda s, \omega, \Omega_{r}, \Omega_{\rho}\right) \tilde{O}_{B}(0)$,
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{O}_{B}=U_{B C}(g) O_{C} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is a factorization of the operator product into perturbative and non-perturbative quantities: $F_{B}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}\left(\Lambda s, \omega, \Omega_{r}, \Omega_{\tilde{\rho}}\right)$ is perturbative and calculable (for $s \rightarrow 0$ ) thanks to AF, whereas the matrix elements of $\tilde{O}_{B}$ are non-perturbative (but $s$-independent). Note that $d_{C}=d_{B}$ in (31).

### 2.3. Three-nucleon forces from the OPE

Using the results in the previous subsection, the NBS wave function for 3 N can be written at short distance as

$$
\psi_{3 N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) \simeq \sum_{A, B} \sum_{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}=\tilde{d}_{A}} r^{\alpha_{1}} \rho^{\alpha_{2}} D_{A}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}\left(\bar{g}, 1, \omega, \Omega_{r}, \Omega_{\rho}\right) \hat{U}_{A B}(\bar{g})\langle 0| \tilde{O}_{B}(0)\left|E_{3 N}\right\rangle .
$$

Since an $r^{\alpha_{1}} \rho^{\alpha_{2}}$ term produces angular momenta $l_{1} \leqslant \alpha_{1}$ and $l_{2} \leqslant \alpha_{2}$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{A}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}\left(\bar{g}, 1, \omega, \Omega_{r}, \Omega_{\rho}\right) \simeq \sum_{m_{1} m_{2}} D_{A}^{\alpha_{1} m_{1} \alpha_{2} m_{2}}(\bar{g}, 1, \omega) Y_{\alpha_{1} m_{1}}\left(\Omega_{r}\right) Y_{\alpha_{2} m_{2}}\left(\Omega_{\rho}\right), \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

up to less singular terms at short distances. Then the sum of 2 N and 3 NF potentials $V_{2 N+3 N F}$ is extracted as

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{2 N+3 N F}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) & \equiv \sum_{i<j} V_{2 N}\left(\vec{r}_{i j}\right)+V_{3 N F}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) \\
& =E_{3 N}+\frac{1}{m_{N}} \frac{\left(\nabla_{r}^{2}+\nabla_{\rho}^{2}\right) \psi_{3 N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho})}{\psi_{3 N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho})}, \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$\nabla_{r}^{2}=\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}-\frac{\hat{L}_{r}^{2}}{r^{2}} \equiv d_{r}^{2}-\frac{\hat{L}_{r}^{2}}{r^{2}}, \quad \nabla_{\rho}^{2}=\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \rho^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}-\frac{\hat{L}_{\rho}^{2}}{\rho^{2}} \equiv d_{\rho}^{2}-\frac{\hat{L}_{\rho}^{2}}{\rho^{2}}$.
Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{r}^{2} r^{l} Y_{l m}\left(\Omega_{r}\right)=\nabla_{\rho}^{2} \rho^{l} Y_{l m}\left(\Omega_{\rho}\right)=0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{r}^{2}+\nabla_{\rho}^{2}\right) \psi_{3 N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) \simeq \sum_{A B} \sum_{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}=\tilde{d}_{A}} r^{\alpha_{1}} Y_{\alpha_{1} m_{1}}\left(\Omega_{r}\right) \rho^{\alpha_{2}} Y_{\alpha_{2} m_{2}}\left(\Omega_{\rho}\right) \\
& \times\langle 0| \bar{O}_{B}(0)\left|E_{3 N}\right\rangle\left(d_{r}^{2}+d_{\rho}^{2}\right)\left[D_{A}^{\alpha_{1} m_{1} \alpha_{2} m_{2}}(\bar{g}, 1, \omega) \hat{U}_{A B}(\bar{g})\right] . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Since terms with $\tilde{d}_{A}=0$ dominate in $\Psi_{3 N}$ at short distance, contributions from $\tilde{d}_{A} \neq 0$ terms to $2 \mathrm{~N}+3 \mathrm{NF}$ potentials are suppressed by an $r^{\alpha_{1}} \rho^{\alpha_{2}}$ factor, so that they do not contribute at short
distance. Therefore we consider terms with $\tilde{d}_{A}=0\left(\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=0\right)$ hereafter and do not write $\alpha_{i}$ dependence in coefficients. We then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{r}^{2}+\nabla_{\rho}^{2}\right) \psi_{3 N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) \simeq \sum_{A B}\langle 0| \bar{O}_{B}(0)\left|E_{3 N}\right\rangle \times\left(d_{r}^{2}+d_{\rho}^{2}\right)\left[D_{A}(\bar{g}, 1, \omega) \hat{U}_{A B}(\bar{g})\right] . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of $s$ and $\omega$ we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{r}^{2}+d_{\rho}^{2}=\frac{1}{s^{5}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} s^{5} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}+\frac{1}{s^{2}}\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \omega^{2}}+4 \frac{\cos (2 \omega)}{\sin (2 \omega)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega}\right], \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the $\omega$-dependent part gives a $1 / s^{2}$ contribution unless $\omega=0, \pi / 2, \pi, 3 \pi / 2$, where either $r=0$ or $\rho=0$. We assume $r \neq 0$ and $\rho \neq 0$ in our calculation. Since an $\omega$ dependence appears only at one-loop or higher orders in $D_{A}$, we can neglect it unless an operator $O_{A}$ which appears at $\ell_{A}$ loop has large anomalous dimension such that $\beta_{A}-\ell_{A}$ is larger than other $\beta_{B}$ corresponding to operators $O_{B}$ appearing at tree level. As we will see later, such operators are absent; it is then enough to consider the tree-level contribution in $D_{A}$, so that $\omega$-dependent terms in $D_{A}$ can be neglected. The largest eigenvalue among operators appearing at tree level is thus denoted by $\beta_{A}$, which corresponds to $\nu_{1}$ discussed in the introduction for 2 N forces.

We then obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(d_{r}^{2}+d_{\rho}^{2}\right)\left[D_{A}(\bar{g}, 1, \omega) \hat{U}_{A B}(\bar{g})\right] \simeq D_{A: 0}\left(d_{r}^{2}+d_{\rho}^{2}\right) \bar{g}^{-2 \beta_{A}} \simeq D_{A: 0} \frac{-4 \beta_{A}}{s^{2}(-\log (\Lambda s))}\left(-2 \beta_{0} \log (\Lambda s)\right)^{\beta_{A}} . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The NBS wave function is dominated by the term with largest $\beta_{A}$. If we assume that $\beta_{A}$ is nonzero, we finally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2 N+3 N F}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) \simeq \frac{1}{m_{N}} \frac{-4 \beta_{A}}{s^{2}(-\log (\Lambda s))} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Anomalous dimensions for three nucleons (3N) at one-loop

### 3.1. OPE for $3 N$ operators at tree level

The general form of a gauge invariant local three-quark operator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\Gamma}^{F}(x) \equiv B_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{f g h}(x)=\varepsilon^{a b c} q_{\alpha}^{a, f}(x) q_{\beta}^{b, g}(x) q_{\gamma}^{c, h}(x) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are spinor, $f, g, h$ are flavor and $a, b, c$ are color indices of the (renormalized) quark field $q$. The color index runs from 1 to $N_{c}=3$, the spinor index from 1 to 4 , and the flavor index from 1 to $N_{\mathrm{f}}$. Note that $B_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{f g h}$ is symmetric under any interchange of pairs of indices (e.g. $\left.B_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{f g h}=B_{\beta \alpha \gamma}^{g f h}\right)$ because the quark fields anticommute. For simplicity, we sometimes use notation such as $F=f g h$ and $\Gamma=\alpha \beta \gamma$ as indicated in (40).

The usual nucleon operator that is employed in lattice simulations is constructed from the above operators as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\alpha}^{f}(x)=\left(P_{+4}\right)_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}} B_{\alpha^{\prime} \beta \gamma}^{f g h}\left(C \gamma_{5}\right)_{\beta \gamma}\left(\mathrm{i} \tau_{2}\right)^{g h} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{+4}=\left(1+\gamma_{4}\right) / 2$ is the projection to the large spinor component, $C=\gamma_{2} \gamma_{4}$ is the charge conjugation matrix, and $\tau_{2}$ is the Pauli matrix in the flavor space (for $N_{\mathrm{f}}=2$ ) given by $\left(\mathrm{i} \tau_{2}\right)^{f g}=\varepsilon^{f g}$. Both $C \gamma_{5}$ and $\mathrm{i} \tau_{2}$ are anti-symmetric under the interchange of two indices, so
that the nucleon operator has spin- $1 / 2$ and isospin- $1 / 2$. Although an explicit form of the $\gamma$ matrices is unnecessary in principle, we find it convenient to use a (chiral) convention given by

$$
\gamma_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathrm{i} \sigma_{k} \\
-\mathrm{i} \sigma_{k} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \gamma_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \mathbf{1} \\
\mathbf{1} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \gamma_{5}=\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{3} \gamma_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{1} & 0 \\
0 & -\mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

As discussed in the previous section, the OPE at the tree level (generically) dominates at short distance. The OPE of 3N operators given above at tree level becomes
$B_{\alpha}^{f}(x+y-z / \sqrt{3}) B_{\beta}^{g}(x-y-z / \sqrt{3}) B_{\gamma}^{h}(x+2 z / \sqrt{3})=B_{\alpha}^{f}(x) B_{\beta}^{g}(x) B_{\gamma}^{h}(x)+\cdots$,
where $+\cdots$ denote higher-dimensional operators, which do not contribute at short distance. The leading operators couple only to the states with $L=0$ (the $S$-state).

If we construct local 3 N operators at $L=0$ from $B_{\alpha}^{f}(x)$ for nucleons (which only involve two different flavors), there is only one such operator, which has $I=1 / 2$ and $S=1 / 2$, due to the Pauli statistics of nucleons. Explicitly, it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(B_{\text {tree }}^{(3)}\right)_{\alpha \beta \alpha}^{f f g} \equiv B_{\alpha}^{f} B_{\beta}^{f} B_{\alpha}^{g}, \quad B_{\alpha}^{f}=B_{\alpha+\hat{\alpha},[2,1]+[\hat{2}, \hat{1}]}^{f f g}, \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \neq g, f, g=u, d$ and $\alpha \neq \beta, \alpha, \beta=1,2, \hat{1}=3, \hat{2}=4$ for the explicit form of the $\gamma$ matrices. Above, no summation is taken for $f$ and $\alpha$.

### 3.2. General formula for the divergent part at one-loop

As shown in [24], using dimensional regularization in $D=4-2 \epsilon$ dimensions, the gauge invariant part of the divergence from diagrams involving exchange of a gluon between any pair of quark fields is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[q_{\alpha}^{a, f}(x) q_{\beta}^{b, g}(x)\right]^{1-\text { loop,div }}=\frac{g^{2} d}{\epsilon}\left[\mathbf{T}_{0} \cdot q^{a}(x) \otimes q^{b}(x)\right]_{\alpha, \beta}^{f g} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\left(\mathbf{T}_{0}\right)_{\alpha \alpha_{1}, \beta \beta_{1}}^{f f_{1}, g g_{1}}=\delta^{f f_{1}} \delta^{g g_{1}}\left[\delta_{\alpha \alpha_{1}} \delta_{\beta \beta_{1}}-2 \delta_{\beta \alpha_{1}} \delta_{\alpha \beta_{1}}\right]+N_{c} \delta^{g f_{1}} \delta^{f g_{1}}\left[\delta_{\beta \alpha_{1}} \delta_{\alpha \beta_{1}}-2 \delta_{\alpha \alpha_{1}} \delta_{\beta \beta_{1}}\right]$,
for either $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1} \in\{1,2\}$ (right-handed) or $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1} \in\{3,4\}$ (left-handed), and it vanishes for other combinations.

The operator in equation (43) can be written as a linear combination of simple operators $[B B B]_{\Gamma_{1} \Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{3}}^{F_{1} F_{2} F_{3}}$. According to this one-loop formula for divergences, such a simple operator mixes only with operators $[B B B]_{\Gamma_{A} \Gamma_{B} \Gamma_{C}}^{F_{A} F_{B} F_{C}}$, which preserve the set of flavor and Dirac indices in the chiral basis as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup F_{3}=F_{A} \cup F_{B} \cup F_{C}, \quad \Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2} \cup \Gamma_{3}=\Gamma_{A} \cup \Gamma_{B} \cup \Gamma_{C} . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note, however, that such operators are not all linearly independent. In the case of a 2 N operator, we have the following constraint:

$$
\begin{equation*}
3[B B]_{\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}}^{F_{1}, F_{2}}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{3}[B B]_{\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)[i, j]}^{\left(F_{1},\left[F_{2}[i, j]\right.\right.}=0, \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

which comes from the general identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{c} \varepsilon^{a_{1} \cdots a_{N_{c}}} \varepsilon^{b_{1} \cdots b_{N_{c}}}=\sum_{j, k=1}^{N_{c}} \varepsilon^{a_{1} \cdots a_{j-1} b_{k} a_{j+1} \cdots a_{N_{c}}} \varepsilon^{b_{1} \cdots b_{k-1} a_{j} b_{k+1} \cdots b_{N_{c}}} . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $[i, j]$ means a simultaneous exchange between the $i$ th indices of $F_{1}, \Gamma_{1}$ and the $j$ th indices of $F_{2}, \Gamma_{2}$. This identity can be generalized to
$N_{c} \varepsilon^{a_{1} \cdots a_{N_{c}}} \varepsilon^{b_{1} \cdots b_{N_{c}}} \varepsilon^{c_{1} \cdots c_{N_{c}}}=\sum_{i, j, k=1}^{N_{c}} \varepsilon^{a_{1} \cdots a_{i-1} b_{j} a_{i+1} \cdots a_{N_{c}}} \varepsilon^{b_{1} \cdots b_{j-1} c_{k} b_{j+1} \cdots b_{N_{c}}} \varepsilon^{c_{1} \cdots c_{k-1} a_{i} c_{k+1} \cdots c_{N_{c}}}$,
from which we have

$$
3\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B & B & B]_{\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}}^{F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}}-\sum_{i, j, k=1}^{3}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
B & B
\end{array}\right]_{\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}\right)[i j, j, k]}^{\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right)[i, k]}=0, \tag{50}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the $i$ th index of $A B C$, the $j$ th index of $D E F$ and the $k$ th index of $G H I$ are cyclically interchanged in $(A B C, D E F, G H I)[i, j, k]$. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}\right)[1,1,2]=\left(\beta_{3} \beta_{1} \gamma_{1}, \alpha_{1} \beta_{2} \gamma_{2}, \alpha_{3} \alpha_{2} \gamma_{3}\right), \\
& \left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}\right)[1,2,3]=\left(\gamma_{3} \beta_{1} \gamma_{1}, \alpha_{2} \alpha_{1} \gamma_{2}, \alpha_{3} \beta_{3} \beta_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the cyclic interchange of indices occurs simultaneously for both $\Gamma_{i}$ and $F_{i}$ in the above formula. Both 2 N and 3 N identities are incorporated into our calculation.

### 3.3. Results of anomalous dimensions for nine-quark operators at one-loop

In tables $1-4$, we give all eigenvalues of the matrix $\gamma_{A B}^{(1)}$ in units of $2 d$, which were calculated and checked independently by Mathematica and Maple programs, for $F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup F_{3}=(f f f f f g g g g)$ with $f \neq g$ and all independent combinations of $\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2} \cup \Gamma_{3}$. The five digits $n_{1}, n_{3}, n_{5}, n_{7}, n_{9}$ in the isospin column give the number of representations with isospins $1 / 2,3 / 2,5 / 2,7 / 2,9 / 2$, respectively. For example, 32100 means 3 operators with $I=1 / 2$, 2 with $I=3 / 2$, 1 with $I=5 / 2$ and 0 with $I=7 / 2,9 / 2$.

The results in the tables show some notable patterns. Firstly, the eigenvalues $\gamma_{j} / 2 d$ are all even integers; this is nontrivial since there appears to be considerable mixing in our initial operator bases. Secondly, there is a tendency for the operators with larger isospin to have smaller (more negative) eigenvalues. Thirdly, there are relations between the entries in the tables for different Dirac indices; for example, the isospin degeneracies for the indices 111113344 and 111122223 in table 1 are identical and, furthermore, all the corresponding eigenvalues are related by a common shift of -32 . These observations suggest that there is an underlying algebraic structure that we have unfortunately not yet been able to reveal. Note that a combination obtained from another one by
(i) the interchange $(1,2) \leftrightarrow(3,4)$ or
(ii) the simultaneous interchange of $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ and $3 \leftrightarrow 4$ or
(iii) the interchange $1 \leftrightarrow 2$
has obviously the same spectrum of anomalous dimensions and is for this reason not listed separately.

The star symbol * next to the eigenvalues means that there is a corresponding operator that overlaps with the tree operator in equation (43). Since the tree operator has $I=1 / 2$, this can happen only if the corresponding $n_{1}$ is different from zero. The tree operator is invariant under symmetry (i) above, whereas its two-spin components are exchanged under symmetry (ii). This means that whenever the tree operator overlaps with a particular operator, it also overlaps with

Table 1. Eigenvalues $\gamma_{j}$ of the anomalous dimension matrix $\gamma$ and isospins of the corresponding eigenvectors for the case 5 f 4 g . The five digits $n 1, n 3, n 5, n 7, n 9$ in the isospins column give the number of representations with isospins $1 / 2,3 / 2,5 / 2,7 / 2,9 / 2$, respectively.

| Dirac indices | $\gamma_{j} /(2 d)$ | Isospins |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111111222 | -84 | 01000 |
| 111111333 | -36 | 01000 |
| 111112222 | -84 | 01000 |
|  | $-60^{*}$ | 10000 |
| 111113333 | -28 | 11000 |
| 111111223 | -60 | 11000 |
| 111111334 | -36 | 01000 |
|  | -12 | 10000 |
| 111111332 | -44 | 11000 |
| 111112223 | -76 | 01100 |
|  | -60 | 11000 |
|  | -52 | 11000 |
|  | $-40^{\natural}$ | 10000 |
| 111113334 | -44 | 01100 |
|  | -28 | 11000 |
|  | -20 | 11000 |
|  | -8 | 10000 |
| 111113332 | -36 | 23100 |
|  | -24 | 10000 |
| 111112233 | -52 | 12100 |
|  | -44 | 11000 |
|  | -34 | 21000 |
| 111113344 | -44 | 01100 |
|  | -28 | 11000 |
|  | -20 | 11000 |
|  | -16 | 11000 |
|  | -8 | 10000 |
| 111133332 | -36 | 12100 |
|  | -28 | 11000 |
|  | -18 | 21000 |
|  |  |  |


| Dirac indices | $\gamma_{j} /(2 d)$ | Isospins |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111122223 | -76 | 01100 |
|  | -60 | 11000 |
|  | -52 | 11000 |
|  | $-48^{*}$ | 11000 |
|  | $-40^{*}$ | 10000 |
| 111122233 | -76 | 01110 |
|  | -52 | 12100 |
|  | -46 | 12100 |
|  | -44 | 11000 |
|  | -34 | 21000 |
|  | $-28^{\natural}$ | 21000 |
| 111133344 | -60 | 01110 |
|  | -36 | 12100 |
|  | -30 | 12100 |
|  | -28 | 11000 |
|  | -18 | 21000 |
|  | -12 | 21000 |
| 111133322 | -52 | 12210 |
|  | -36 | 23100 |
|  | -28 | 22000 |
|  | -24 | 10000 |
|  | -16 | 11000 |
| 111222333 | -84 | 01111 |
|  | -52 | 12210 |
|  | -48 | 01100 |
|  | -36 | 23100 |
|  | -28 | 22000 |
|  | -24 | 32100 |
|  | -16 | 11000 |
| 11111234 | -44 | 11000 |
|  | -28 | 10000 |

these transformed ones. The meaning of the symbol ${ }^{\natural}$ is an overlap between the tree operator and the transform of the operator under symmetry (iii). It is intriguing that the tree operator generally overlaps with the largest eigenvalue for given Dirac indices; again a fact for which we do not yet have a simple explanation.

As a simple example let us consider the Dirac index distribution 111112222 (the third entry in table 1). The part of the tree operator relevant for this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=\left(B_{112}^{f f g}-B_{121}^{f f g}\right)\left(B_{212}^{f f g}-B_{221}^{f f g}\right)\left(B_{112}^{g f g}-B_{121}^{g f g}\right) . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are altogether 53 local nine-quark operators with this distribution of Dirac indices, but the number of independent ones is reduced to 2 after imposing all the gauge identities (47) and (50).

Table 2. As in Table 1. (Continued.)

| Dirac indices | $\gamma_{j} /(2 d)$ | Isospins |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111112234 | -60 | 11100 |
|  | -52 | 12100 |
|  | -44 | 11000 |
|  | -42 | 11000 |
|  | -36 | 01000 |
|  | -34 | 21000 |
|  | -28 | 10000 |
|  | -18 | 10000 |
| 111113324 | -48 | 11100 |
|  | -36 | 24100 |
|  | -30 | 11000 |
|  | -24 | 10000 |
|  | -18 | 10000 |
|  | -12 | 21000 |
| 111122234 | -76 | 01110 |
|  | -60 | 11200 |
|  | -54 | 11100 |
|  | -52 | 12100 |
|  | -46 | 12100 |
|  | -44 | 11000 |
|  | -42 | 11000 |
|  | $-36^{*}$ | 12000 |
|  | -34 | 21000 |
|  | -30 | 01000 |
|  | $-28^{*}$ | 31000 |
|  | -18 | 10000 |
|  | $-12^{*}$ | 10000 |


| Dirac indices | $\gamma_{j} /(2 d)$ | Isospins |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111133324 | -52 | 11110 |
|  | -44 | 01100 |
|  | -40 | 11100 |
|  | -36 | 12100 |
|  | -34 | 12100 |
|  | -28 | 22100 |
|  | -22 | 21000 |
|  | -20 | 11000 |
|  | -18 | 21000 |
|  | -16 | 01000 |
|  | -10 | 11000 |
|  | -8 | 10000 |
|  | 2 | 10000 |
| 11122334 | -64 | 11110 |
|  | -52 | 12210 |
|  | -48 | 11100 |
|  | -46 | 01100 |
|  | -40 | 33200 |
|  | -36 | 24100 |
|  | -30 | 11000 |
|  | -28 | 24100 |
|  | -24 | 10000 |
|  | -22 | 22000 |
|  | -18 | 10000 |
|  | -16 | 11000 |
|  | -12 | 21000 |
|  | $-10^{\natural}$ | 10000 |
|  | 8 | 10000 |

A possible choice is

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{1}=B_{111}^{f f f} B_{221}^{f f g} B_{122}^{g g g}, \quad O_{2}=B_{112}^{\text {fff }} B_{221}^{f f g} B_{112}^{g g g} . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the gauge identities we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=\frac{5}{6} O_{1}-5 O_{2}, \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is proportional to the operator (of $I=1 / 2$ ) corresponding to anomalous dimension -60 in our units. The other combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{1}+3 O_{2} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

has anomalous dimension -84 and $I=3 / 2$ and has no overlap with $T_{1}$. As explained above, among the operators with the Dirac index distribution 333334444 there is one with anomalous dimension -60 which also overlaps with the spin $=1$ component of the tree operator and among the ones corresponding to 222221111 one which overlaps with its spin $=2$ component. The space of operators corresponding to other Dirac index distributions is considerably larger than

Table 3. As in Table 1. (Continued.)

| Dirac indices | $\gamma_{j} /(2 d)$ | Isospins |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111133442 | -60 | 11110 |
|  | -52 | 11110 |
|  | -44 | 01100 |
|  | -42 | 01100 |
|  | -40 | 11100 |
|  | -36 | 12100 |
|  | -34 | 12100 |
|  | -30 | 11000 |
|  | -28 | 22100 |
|  | -24 | 12100 |
|  | -22 | 21000 |
|  | -20 | 11000 |
|  | -18 | 21000 |
|  | -16 | 12000 |
|  | -10 | 11000 |
|  | -8 | 10000 |
|  | -6 | 21000 |
|  | 2 | 10000 |


| Dirac indices | $\gamma_{j} /(2 d)$ | Isospins |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111222334 | -84 | 01111 |
|  | -64 | 11110 |
|  | -60 | 11220 |
|  | -52 | 12210 |
|  | -48 | 12200 |
|  | -46 | 01100 |
|  | -42 | 01100 |
|  | -40 | 33200 |
|  | -36 | 35200 |
|  | -30 | 11000 |
|  | -28 | 24100 |
|  | $-24^{*}$ | 42100 |
|  | -22 | 22000 |
|  | $-18^{*}$ | 21000 |
|  | -16 | 11000 |
|  | -12 | 21000 |
|  | $-10^{*}$ | 10000 |
|  | $0^{*}$ | 11000 |
|  | $8^{*}$ | 10000 |

in this example. For example, the case 111223344 (the last entry in table 4) has 1369 operators before and 117 operators after imposing the gauge identities.

As can be seen from tables $1-4$, the largest eigenvalue of $\gamma_{A B}^{(1)}$ is $16 d$ (occurring already at the tree level). Therefore, the largest eigenvalue of $\tilde{\gamma}_{A B}^{(1)}$ becomes $2 d \times(8-36)$, which is negative, so that $\beta_{A}^{\text {tree }}=-14 /\left(33-2 N_{f}\right)$. Therefore, in conclusion, the operators at the tree level in OPE dominate at short distance in the 3N NBS wave function.

## 4. Short-distance repulsion of 3NF

As discussed before, the 3 N potential at short distance is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2 N+3 \mathrm{NF}}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) \simeq \frac{1}{m_{N}} \frac{-4 \beta_{A}^{\text {tree }}}{s^{2}(-\log (\Lambda s))}, \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{A}^{\text {tree }}$ is given in equation (14). Since this result dominates over the one appearing in the 2 N potential, which is of the form (10), the above behavior of $V_{2 N+3 N F}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho})$ at short distance must come solely from $V_{3 \mathrm{NF}}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho})$. Unlike similar computations for the 2 NF , no additional nonperturbative considerations are required in this case and the analysis shows that the NBS 3 NF is always repulsive at short distance, a conclusion that is universal in the sense that it does not depend on the details of the 3 N states used to define the NBS wave function, such as its energy.

We would like to point out that as listed in tables 1-4, the total number of nine-quark local operators is several hundreds and the spectrum of anomalous dimensions is rather dense. We

Table 4. As in Table 1. (Continued.)

| Dirac indices | $\gamma_{j} /(2 d)$ | Isospins |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111333224 | -76 | 11111 |
|  | -60 | 01110 |
|  | -52 | 12220 |
|  | -46 | 22210 |
|  | -44 | 01100 |
|  | -40 | 11200 |
|  | -36 | 12100 |
|  | -34 | 24200 |
|  | -30 | 12100 |
|  | -28 | 34200 |
|  | -22 | 32100 |
|  | -20 | 11000 |
|  | -18 | 21000 |
|  | $-16^{\natural}$ | 22000 |
|  | -12 | 21000 |
|  | -10 | 12000 |
|  | -8 | 10000 |
|  | -4 | 11000 |
|  | 2 | 10000 |
|  | $8^{\natural}$ | 10000 |


| Dirac indices | $\gamma_{j} /(2 d)$ | Isospins |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111223344 | -76 | 11111 |
|  | -60 | 12220 |
|  | -54 | 11110 |
|  | -52 | 12220 |
|  | -48 | 01110 |
|  | -46 | 22210 |
|  | -44 | 01100 |
|  | -42 | 12200 |
|  | -40 | 11200 |
|  | -36 | 13200 |
|  | -34 | 24200 |
|  | -30 | 23100 |
|  | -28 | 34200 |
|  | $-24^{*}$ | 23100 |
|  | -22 | 32100 |
|  | -20 | 11000 |
|  | -18 | 33100 |
|  | $-16^{*}$ | 33000 |
|  | -12 | 21000 |
|  | -10 | 12000 |
|  | -8 | 10000 |
|  | -6 | 21000 |
|  | -4 | 11000 |
|  | $0^{*}$ | 21000 |
|  | 2 | 10000 |
|  | $8^{*}$ | 10000 |

singled out the ones with largest anomalous dimensions which dominate at short distance, but it is not evident at what distance scale this leading behavior sets in. Even if it turns out that these individual operators really dominate at extremely short distances only, our main conclusion may remain valid due to the fact that all the eigenvalues of the effective gamma matrix are negative (corresponding to short-distance repulsion). We think a simple explanation of this fact should exist (maybe related to the Pauli principle).

An interesting and important extension of the present analysis is to investigate the shortdistance behavior of the three-baryon force (3BF) by the same method. Its results can tell us whether there is a universal short-distance repulsion also in the 3BF, which has been suggested to explain the observed maximum mass of neutron stars [10].

As a final comment we again stress that equation (55) is a priori applicable only to the specific definition of the 3 NF using the NBS wave function, since notions of 2 NF or 3 NF are scheme dependent (cf definitions of running couplings in quantum field theories). Indeed, unless one fixes the scheme for the definition of the potential, it is meaningless to ask whether the 3NF has a repulsive core or not. Our analysis is, however, meaningful and relevant since the extraction of the 3NF in lattice QCD in the same scheme is now in progress [14-16]. Our results
can be compared to the short-distance behavior of the 3 NF and that of the associated primary wave functions, once the lattice QCD data have been extrapolated to the continuum limit. As remarked above, equation (55) does not depend on the details of the 3 N state; in particular it holds even at an energy of the 3 N state larger that the inelastic threshold, although the physical interpretation of the 3NF at such a high energy needs to be reconsidered ${ }^{5}$.
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