



DB
7/6
1991



DIASTASIS AND GEOMETRY OF COMPLEX SUBMANIFOLDS

by

Masaaki Umehara

THESIS

Submitted in partial fulfillment of
the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Science in Mathematics
in the University of Tsukuba

June 1991

95301690

Contents

Introduction	1
Chapter I. Diastasis	
§1. Diastasis of analytic Kaehler tensors	5
§2. Extended signature of analytic Kaehler tensors	11
§3. Holomorphic mapping into ℓ^2	25
Chapter II. Geometry of complex submanifolds	
§4. Real analytic functions on complex manifolds	31
§5. Kaehler submanifolds of complex space forms	38
§6. Einstein Kaehler submanifold	42
§7. Non-flat indefinite complex space forms	49
References	54

Introduction.

Kaehler submanifold of the complex space form have been investigated by several authors. (For example, see Ogiue [7].) Especially, local rigidity theorem of Kaehler submanifolds in the complex space forms is one of the most fundamental result in geometry of complex submanifolds. In this paper, we shall generalize Calabi's theory of diastasis and several applications to geometry of complex submanifolds.

Let M be a complex manifold with the complex structure J . A J -invariant symmetric tensor g is called a Kaehler tensor if the associated 2-form $\omega_g(X,Y) = g(X,JY)$ ($X,Y \in TM$) is closed. In addition, if g is non-degenerate, it is called an indefinite Kaehler metric. A Kaehler tensor is called analytic if it is real analytic. Let $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$ be a complex linear space \mathbb{C}^N ($N=r+s$) with the indefinite Kaehler metric:

$$g_{r,s} = 2 \left\{ \sum_{\sigma=1}^r d\xi^\sigma \otimes d\bar{\xi}^\sigma - \sum_{\sigma=1}^s d\xi^{\sigma+r} \otimes d\bar{\xi}^{\sigma+r} \right\},$$

where (ξ^1, \dots, ξ^N) denotes the canonical complex coordinate system.

Calabi [2] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a Kaehler manifold to be locally immersed into a complex space form as a Kaehler submanifold, and showed the rigidity of such

immersions. In this paper we discuss the existence and the local rigidity of a full holomorphic mapping of M into $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$ preserving a Kaehler tensor, and give several applications to geometry of complex submanifolds, where "full" means that the image of the mapping does not lie in any complex hyperplane in $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$

In §1, we generalize the concept of diastases (introduced by Calabi [2] for analytic Kaehler metrics) for analytic Kaehler tensors and prepare some basic facts. In §2, we define the rank of an analytic Kaehler tensor. For a Kaehler tensor of finite rank, a pair of integers, called "extended signature", is introduced. The Calabi's condition for a local existence of holomorphic and isometric immersions into $\mathbb{C}^{N,0}$ (which is said to be resolvable of rank N) coincides with the condition that the extended signature is $(N,0)$. We prove the following: (See Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.8.)

Theorem. A simply connected complex manifold M with a Kaehler tensor g admits a full holomorphic mapping Φ into $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$ such that $\Phi^*g_{r,s} = g$ if and only if g is analytic and the extended signature is (r,s) . Moreover Φ is rigid.

Furthermore, In §3, we mention some facts about holomorphic mappings into the Hilbert space ℓ^2 .

In §4, we investigate the algebra $\Lambda(M)$ of real analytic functions on a complex manifold M , which is generated by

holomorphic functions and anti-holomorphic functions on M , and show that if M is simply connected, then for an arbitrarily fixed point $p \in M$ such a function $f \in \Lambda(M)$ is decomposed into the following form

$$f = \operatorname{Re}(\phi^0) + \sum_{\sigma=1}^r |\phi^\sigma|^2 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^s |\phi^{\sigma+r}|^2 ,$$

$$\phi^\sigma(p) = 0 \quad (\sigma = 1, \dots, r+s) ,$$

where $\phi^0, \dots, \phi^{r+s}$ are holomorphic functions on M such that $\phi^1, \dots, \phi^{r+s}$ are \mathbb{C} -linearly independent. In this decomposition, a pair of integers (r, s) , which is called the type of f , is uniquely determined and coincides with the extended signature of the Kaehler tensor corresponding to $-2\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f$. Furthermore, ϕ^0 and $\{\phi^1, \dots, \phi^{r+s}\}$ are also uniquely determined up to a constant term and a \mathbb{C} -linear transformation in the unitary group $U(r, s)$ of type (r, s) respectively. It is easily seen that the preceding theorem is geometrical restatement of this decomposition theorem. Accordingly, the rigidity of indefinite Kaehler submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}^{r, s}$ comes to the uniqueness of the decomposition as above. This suggests that $\Lambda(M)$ is deeply concerned with the geometry of complex submanifolds. In fact, we show in §5 that any two of complex space forms of different type

have no Kaehler submanifolds in common, by applying the following transcendental properties concerned with $\Lambda(M)$: (See Proposition 4.5.)

Proposition. Let $p \in M$ be a fixed point of a complex manifold M and let h^1, \dots, h^N be non-constant holomorphic functions on M such that $h^\sigma(p) = 0$ ($\sigma = 1, \dots, N$). Then

$$(1) \quad \exp\left(\sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^\sigma|^2\right) \notin \Lambda(M),$$

$$(2) \quad \log\left(1 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^\sigma|^2\right) \notin \Lambda(M),$$

$$(3) \quad \left(1 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^\sigma|^2\right)^{-\alpha} \notin \Lambda(M) \quad (\alpha > 0).$$

These properties will be also applied in §6 to prove that every Einstein Kaehler submanifold of a complex linear or hyperbolic space is totally geodesic.

In §7, we mention conditions on the existence and the rigidity of holomorphic mappings preserving a Kaehler tensor into a non-flat indefinite complex space form.

The author is grateful to Professors Tsunerō Takahashi and Hisao Nakagawa for their suggestion and encouragement.

Chapter I. Diastasis

§1 Diastases of analytic Kaehler tensors

The diastases of analytic Kaehler metrics were originally introduced by Calabi [2]. In this section diastases are introduced for analytic Kaehler tensors on complex manifolds.

Let M be a complex manifold with complex structure J . A (real) covariant symmetric 2-tensor g on M is said to be J-invariant if it satisfies $g(X,Y) = g(JX,JY)$ ($X,Y \in TM$). For a J-invariant symmetric tensor g , we define the associated 2-form ω_g by

$$\omega_g(X,Y) = g(X,JY) \quad (X,Y \in TM).$$

If ω_g is closed, then g is called a Kaehler tensor. In addition, if g is non-degenerate, it is called an indefinite Kaehler metric. A Kaehler tensor is called analytic if it is real analytic.

On the complex tangent space, the associated 2-form ω_g of a Kaehler tensor g is a self-conjugate closed (1,1)-form, namely it satisfies the following:

- (1) $\bar{\omega}_g = \omega_g$,
- (2) $d\omega_g = 0$,
- (3) $\omega_g(Z,W) = 0$ if Z and W are both of (1,0) or (0,1)-type.

Conversely, for a given self-conjugate closed (1,1)-form ω , we can construct a Kaehler tensor g_ω by

$$g_{\omega}(X, Y) = \omega(JX, Y) \quad (X, Y \in TM).$$

Hence there is a one to one correspondence between Kaehler tensors and self-conjugate closed (1,1)-forms.

Let g be an analytic Kaehler tensor on a complex n -manifold. Then locally, there exists a real analytic function f such that $\omega_g = -2\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f$, where f is called a primitive function of g . The primitive function f is determined up to the real part of a holomorphic function, that is, for any holomorphic function h , $f + h + \bar{h}$ is also a primitive function.

Now we introduce the multi-index defined as follows: We arrange all n -tuples of non-negative integers in the sequence $\{(m_{I,1}, \dots, m_{I,n})\}_{I=0,1,2,\dots}$ such that

$$m_0 = (0, \dots, 0),$$

$$|m_I| < |m_{I+1}| \quad (I = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

where $m_I = (m_{I,1}, \dots, m_{I,n})$ and $|m_I| = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n m_{I,\alpha}$. Then we denote by $(z)^{m_I}$ the monomial $\prod_{\alpha=1}^n (z^\alpha)^{m_{I,\alpha}}$ in n -variables.

Let f be a primitive function of g . For a complex local coordinate system (z^1, \dots, z^n) . f is expressed as a power series expansion:

$$f(q) = \sum_{I, K=0}^{\infty} b_{I\bar{K}} (z(q))^{m_I} (\bar{z}(q))^{m_K}.$$

Using this expression we define a complex-valued function F as follows;

$$F(p,q) = \sum_{I,K=0}^{\infty} b_{I\bar{K}} (z(p))^{m_I} (\overline{z(q)})^{m_K} ,$$

where p,q are points in the convergence domain of f .

Now a functional element of a diastasis $D_g(p,q)$ is defined as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad D_g(p,q) = F(p,p) + F(q,q) - F(p,q) - F(q,p) .$$

Since F is independent of local coordinate systems, so is D_g . Using the same discussion as in Calabi [1], the following properties are easily verified:

(1) D_g is independent of the choice of a primitive function, namely it is uniquely determined by g .

$$(2) \quad D_g(p,q) = D_g(q,p) .$$

(3) D_g is a real analytic function.

The diastasis $D_g(p,q)$ is defined on some neighborhood of the diagonal set $\{(p,p); p \in M\}$ of the product space $M \times M$. For $p \in M$ fixed, $D_g(p,q)$ is a primitive function of g . So we may regard the diastasis as a normalization of the primitive functions at the point p .

Example 1. The space $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$ ($r,s=0,\dots,N,r+s=N$) is the complex linear space \mathbb{C}^N with the indefinite Kaehler metric:

$$g_{r,s} = 2 \left\{ \sum_{\sigma=1}^r d\xi^\sigma \otimes d\bar{\xi}^\sigma - \sum_{\sigma=1}^s d\xi^{\sigma+r} \otimes d\bar{\xi}^{\sigma+r} \right\},$$

where (ξ^1, \dots, ξ^N) is the canonical complex coordinate system of \mathbb{C}^N . The associated 2-form $\omega_{r,s}$ is given by

$$\omega_{r,s} = -2\sqrt{-1} \left\{ \sum_{\sigma=1}^r d\xi^\sigma \wedge d\bar{\xi}^\sigma - \sum_{\sigma=1}^s d\xi^{\sigma+r} \wedge d\bar{\xi}^{\sigma+r} \right\},$$

and the diastasis is given by

$$(1.2) \quad D_{r,s}(p, q) = \sum_{\sigma=1}^r |\xi^\sigma(p) - \xi^\sigma(q)|^2 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^s |\xi^{\sigma+r}(p) - \xi^{\sigma+r}(q)|^2 \quad (p, q \in \mathbb{C}^{r,s}).$$

Example 2. The indefinite complex projective space

$\mathbb{C}P_s^N(b)$ ($0 \leq s \leq N$) of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $b > 0$ is the open submanifold $\{ (\xi^0, \xi^1, \dots, \xi^N) \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}; \sum_{\sigma=0}^{N-s} |\xi^\sigma|^2 - \sum_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} |\xi^{N-\sigma}|^2 > 0 \} / \mathbb{C}^*$ of $\mathbb{C}P^N = (\mathbb{C}^{N+1} \setminus (0)) / \mathbb{C}^*$.

The associated 2-form of the indefinite Kaehler metric of $\mathbb{C}P_s^N(b)$

is defined by $(-4\sqrt{-1}/b) \partial\bar{\partial} \log(\sum_{\sigma=1}^{N-s} |\xi^\sigma|^2 - \sum_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} |\xi^{N-s-\sigma}|^2)$.

The diastasis is given by

$$(1.3) \quad D(p, q) = (2/b) \log(1 + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N-s} |\xi^\sigma(q)/\xi^0(q)|^2 - \sum_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} |\xi^{N-s-\sigma}(q)/\xi^0(q)|^2) .$$

ere $p = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\xi^0(q) = 0$. In case $s = 0$, this space coincides with the ordinary complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^N(b)$ with the Fubini-Study metric.

Example 3. The indefinite complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H_s^N(b)$ ($0 \leq s \leq N$) of constant holomorphic sectional curvature < 0 is obtained from $\mathbb{C}P_{N-s}^N(-b)$ by replacing the metric of $\mathbb{C}P_{N-s}^N(-b)$ by its negative. In case $s = 0$, this space coincides with the ordinary complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^N(b)$.

The indefinite Kaehler manifolds $\mathbb{C}P^{r,s}$, $\mathbb{C}P_s^N(b)$ and $\mathbb{C}H_s^N(b)$ are all called the indefinite complex space forms with index $2s$.

The diffeomorphisms have the following useful property.

Proposition 1. 1. Let M and \tilde{M} be complex manifolds with analytic Kaehler tensors g and \tilde{g} respectively and Φ a holomorphic mapping of M into \tilde{M} . Then $\Phi^*\tilde{g} = g$ if and only if

$$4) \quad D_g(p, q) = D_{\tilde{g}}(\Phi(p), \Phi(q)),$$

where $p, q \in M$ in the region of definition.

Proof. We suppose that $\Phi^*\tilde{g} = g$. Then for a primitive vector field \tilde{f} of \tilde{g} , we have

$$-2\sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial}(\tilde{f}\cdot\phi) = -2\sqrt{-1} \phi^* \partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{f} = \phi^* \omega_{\tilde{g}} = \omega_g \quad .$$

This implies that $\tilde{f}\cdot\phi$ is a primitive function of g . Since ϕ is holomorphic, by the definition of the diastasis, we have the relation (1.4). The converse is easily shown by differentiating (1.4) with respect to the variable q .

§2 Extended signature of analytic Kaehler tensors.

First of all, we prepare some properties of infinite dimensional matrices.

Definition. The rank of an infinite dimensional matrix $B = (b_{I\bar{K}})_{I,K=1,2,3,\dots}$ is defined by

$$\text{rank}(B) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \text{rank}(B_{mm}),$$

where $B_{mm} = (b_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,m}$.

Let $a^\sigma = (a^\sigma_I)_{I=1,2,3,\dots}$ ($\sigma = 1, \dots, N$) be systems of sequences. If we set

$$b_{I\bar{K}} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^r a^\sigma_I \bar{a}^\sigma_{\bar{K}} - \sum_{\sigma=1}^s a^{\sigma+r}_{\bar{K}} \bar{a}^{\sigma+r}_I \quad (r + s = N),$$

then $B = (b_{I\bar{K}})_{I,K=1,2,3,\dots}$ is an infinite dimensional

Hermitian matrix, that is $b_{I\bar{K}} = \overline{b_{K\bar{I}}}$. If we put $P_m =$

$(a^\sigma_i)_{\sigma=1,\dots,N, i=1,\dots,m}$, then $B_{mm} = {}^t \bar{P}_m I_{r,s} P_m$, where

$$I_{r,s} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & -1 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence we have

$$\text{rank}(B) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \text{rank}(B_{mm}) \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \text{rank}(P_m) \leq N .$$

The equality holds if and only if $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \text{rank}(P_m) = N$, namely $\{a^\sigma\}_{\sigma=1, \dots, N}$ are \mathbb{C} -linearly independent.

Conversely, every Hermitian matrix of finite rank is obtained in such a way. In fact, let $B = (b_{I\bar{K}})_{I, K=1, 2, 3, \dots}$ be a Hermitian matrix of rank $N < \infty$. First, we suppose $\text{rank}(B_{NN}) = N$, then B_{NN} is known to be written as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad B_{NN} = {}^t \bar{P} I_{r,s} P ,$$

where r (resp. s) is the number of positive (resp. negative) eigenvalue of B_{NN} and $P = (p_{\sigma\tau}^\sigma)_{\sigma, \tau=1, 2, 3, \dots}$ is a non-singular matrix. Since every column is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of the first N -th columns, there exist $u_I^\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$ ($\sigma = 1, \dots, N$, $I = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) such that

$$(2.2) \quad b_{I\bar{K}} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^N b_{I\bar{\sigma}} u_K^\sigma \quad (I, K = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Now if we set $a_I^\sigma = \sum_{\tau=1}^N \bar{p}_{\tau}^\sigma \bar{u}_K^\tau$ ($\sigma = 1, \dots, N$, $I=1, 2, 3, \dots$), then

$$(2.3) \quad b_{I\bar{K}} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^r a_I^\sigma \bar{a}_K^\sigma - \sum_{\sigma=1}^s a_I^{\sigma+r} \bar{a}_K^{\sigma+r}.$$

Next we consider the case $\text{rank}(B_{NN}) < N$. Since B is of rank N , there exists a positive integer m such that $\text{rank}(B_{mm}) = N$. By a suitable simultaneous permutation of the first m -th columns and rows, we can obtain a new Hermitian matrix $B' = (b'_{IK})_{I,K=1,2,3,\dots}$ such that $\text{rank}(B'_{NN}) = N$. We denote this permutation by

$$\iota = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & m \\ \iota(1) & \dots & \iota(m) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have already shown that each component of B' is written as follows:

$$b'_{IK} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^r c_I^\sigma \bar{c}_K^\sigma - \sum_{\sigma=1}^s c_I^{\sigma+r} \bar{c}_K^{\sigma+r}.$$

Hence if we put

$$a_i^\sigma = c_{\iota(i)}^\sigma \quad (i = 1, \dots, m),$$

$$a_{I+m}^\sigma = c_{I+m}^\sigma \quad (I = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

then each component of B is also expressed as (2.3). The pair of integers (r, s) determined by (2.3) is called the signature of the Hermitian matrix B . For a sufficiently large integer m , r (resp. s) is the number of positive (resp. negative) eigenvalues of B_{mm} .

Moreover our construction (2.3) is determined up to a linear transformation. Let $U(r,s)$ ($r+s=N$) be the group of linear transformations of $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$ which preserve the indefinite Kaehler metric $g_{r,s}$. Each element of $U(r,s)$ is also regarded as an $N \times N$ complex matrix T which satisfies ${}^t \bar{T} I_{r,s} T = I_{r,s}$. We have the following:

Lemma 2. 1. Let $B = (b_{I\bar{K}})_{I,K=1,2,3,\dots}$ be a Hermitian matrix of rank $N < \infty$ satisfying (2.3) with respect to a system of sequences $a^\sigma = \{a_I^\sigma\}_{I=1,2,3,\dots}$ ($\sigma = 1, \dots, N$). Suppose that each component of B has another such decomposition:

$$(2.4) \quad b_{I\bar{K}} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{r'} c_I^\sigma \bar{c}_K^\sigma - \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s'} c_I^{\sigma+r} \bar{c}_K^{\sigma+r} \quad (r+s=N),$$

for $I, K = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then $(r', s') = (r, s)$ and there exists a matrix $T = (t_{ij}) \in U(r, s)$ ($r+s=N$) such that

$$c_K^\sigma = \sum_{\tau=1}^{\sigma} t_{\tau}^\sigma a_{\tau}^\sigma \quad (\sigma = 1, \dots, n, \quad K = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Proof. Let

$$x_K = {}^t (a_K^1, \dots, a_K^N),$$

$$y_K = {}^t (c_K^1, \dots, c_K^N) \quad (K = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Then (2.3) and (2.4) give us

$$(2.5) \quad b_{I\bar{K}} = {}^t\bar{x}_K I_{r,s} x_I = {}^t\bar{y}_K I_{r',s'} y_I .$$

Since the matrix B is of rank N , we can choose \mathbb{C} -linearly independent vectors $\{x_{i\sigma}\}_{\sigma=1,\dots,N}$ and $\{y_{j\sigma}\}_{\sigma=1,\dots,N}$. Then there exists an $N \times N$ complex matrix T such that $T x_{i\sigma} = y_{j\sigma}$ ($\sigma = 1, \dots, n$). Let X, Y be non-singular matrices defined by $X = (a_{i\sigma}^\sigma)_{\sigma,\tau=1,\dots,N}$ and $Y = (c_{j\sigma}^\sigma)_{\sigma,\tau=1,\dots,N}$. By (2.5) it follows that

$${}^t\bar{X} I_{r,s} X = {}^t\bar{Y} I_{r',s'} Y = {}^t\bar{X} ({}^t\bar{T} I_{r',s'} T) X .$$

Since X is non-singular, we have

$${}^t\bar{T} I_{r',s'} T = I_{r,s} .$$

Hence $(r', s') = (r, s)$ and $T \in U(r, s)$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t\bar{X} I_{r,s} x_K &= {}^t\bar{Y} I_{r,s} y_K \\ &= {}^t\bar{X} {}^t\bar{T} I_{r,s} y_K \\ &= {}^t\bar{X} ({}^t\bar{T} I_{r,s} T) T^{-1} y_K \\ &= {}^t\bar{X} I_{r,s} (T^{-1} y_K) , \end{aligned}$$

thus $x_K = T^{-1}y_K$ ($K=1,2,3,\dots$), which concludes our assertion.

Let g be a Kaehler tensor on a complex n -manifold M . For a complex local coordinate system (z^1, \dots, z^n) , we put $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = g(\partial/\partial z^\alpha, \partial/\partial \bar{z}^\beta)$, then $(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})_{\alpha, \beta=1, \dots, n}$ is a Hermitian matrix. At each point $p \in M$, the signature of the matrix $(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$ is called the signature of the Kaehler tensor g . Obviously this definition is independent of local complex coordinate systems.

Now we define the extended signature of an analytic Kaehler tensor g on M . Let $p \in M$ be a point of a coordinate neighborhood $\{U; (z^1, \dots, z^n)\}$. The diastasis $D_g(p, q)$ has the power series expansion at p as a function of variable q :

$$D_g(p, q) = \sum_{I, K=1}^{\infty} b_{I\bar{K}} (z(q) - z(p))^{m_I} (\overline{z(q) - z(p)})^{m_K}.$$

Then $B = (b_{I\bar{K}})_{I, K=1, 2, 3, \dots}$ is considered as an infinite dimensional Hermitian matrix. The rank of the matrix B is called the rank of the analytic Kaehler tensor g at p . If the rank of B is finite, we call the signature of B the extended signature of g at p in this paper. Note that the extended signature is defined only for analytic Kaehler tensors of finite rank. We will show later that the extended signature is independent of local coordinate systems and the choice of a point in M .

Remark. Let (r,s) and (r',s') be the signature and the extended signature of an analytic Kaehler tensor g at p respectively. Since $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = b_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$, the matrix $(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})_{\alpha,\bar{\beta}=1,\dots,n}$ is considered as a submatrix of B . So we can easily check the inequalities $r \leq r', s \leq s'$.

A holomorphic mapping of a complex manifold into $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$ is called full if the image of mapping spans $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$. Now we prove the following:

Theorem 2. 2. ([15]) Let M be a complex manifold with a Kaehler tensor g . Suppose that there exists a full holomorphic mapping Φ of M into $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$ such that $\Phi^*g_{r,s} = g$. Then g is analytic and its extended signature is (r,s) at every point with respect to any coordinate systems. Conversely, if g is analytic and its extended signature is (r,s) ($r+s=N<\infty$) at $p \in M$ with respect to a coordinate system, then there exists a full holomorphic mapping Φ of some neighborhood of p into $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$ such that $\Phi^*g_{r,s} = g$.

To prove this, we prepare some Lemmas.

Lemma 2. 3. Let $\Phi = (\phi^1, \dots, \phi^N)$ be a holomorphic mapping of a complex n -manifold M into \mathbb{C}^N such that $\Phi(p) = 0$ for fixed $p \in M$ and let $\phi^\sigma = \sum_{I=0}^{\infty} a_{I}^{\sigma} (z - z(p))^{m_I}$ ($\sigma = 1, \dots, N$),

where (z^1, \dots, z^n) is a local coordinate system of M .

Then the system of sequences $a^\sigma = (a^\sigma_I)_{I=1,2,3,\dots}$ ($\sigma = 1, \dots, N$) is \mathbb{C} -linearly independent if and only if Φ is full.

Proof. For an open subset U , $\Phi|_U$ is full if and only if so is Φ by the analyticity of Φ . Now our assertion is immediate.

Lemma 2. 4. Let M be a complex manifold with a Kaehler tensor g and Φ a holomorphic mapping of M into $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$ ($r+s=N$) such that $\Phi^*g_{r,s} = g$. Then g is analytic and its rank is less than or equal to N at each point. Moreover if Φ is full then the rank of g is everywhere N and its extended signature is (r,s) .

Proof. Since $g_{r,s}$ is analytic, so is g . Let $p \in M$ be an arbitrarily fixed point. Without loss of generality, we may put $\Phi(p) = 0$ and take a coordinate system (z^1, \dots, z^n) with the origin p . Then by Proposition 1. 1,

$$(2.6) \quad D_g(p, q) = D_{r,s}(0, \Phi(q)) \\ = \sum_{\sigma=1}^r |\phi^\sigma(z(q))|^2 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^s |\phi^{\sigma+r}(z(q))|^2.$$

Substituting $\phi^\sigma = \sum_{I=1}^{\infty} a^\sigma_I(z)^{m_I}$ into (2.6), we have

$$D_g(p, q) = \sum_{I, K=1}^{\infty} \{ \sum_{\sigma=1}^r a_I^{\sigma} \bar{a}_K^{\sigma} - \sum_{\sigma=1}^s a_I^{\sigma+r} \bar{a}_K^{\sigma+r} \} (z(q))^{m_I} (\overline{z(q)})^{m_K} .$$

Now our assertion follows immediately from Lemma 2. 3 and the discussion of infinite dimensional matrices in this section.

Proof of Theorem 2. 2. By Lemma 2. 4, the first assertion is obvious. We prove the converse. By using a complex local coordinate system (z^1, \dots, z^n) with the origin $p \in M$, D_g has the power series expansion:

$$(2.7) \quad D_g(p, q) = \sum_{I, K=1}^{\infty} b_{I\bar{K}} (z(q))^{m_I} (\overline{z(q)})^{m_K} .$$

Let ρ_1, \dots, ρ_n be positive numbers such that the power series (2.7) converges absolutely in $|z^\alpha| < \rho_\alpha$ ($\alpha=1, \dots, n$). By the assumption, the Hermitian matrix $B = (b_{I\bar{K}})_{I, K=1, 2, 3, \dots}$ determined by (2.7) is of rank N . Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\text{rank}(B_{NN}) = N$ and that B satisfies the relations (2.1) and (2.2) for some nonsingular matrix $P = (p_{\sigma\tau}^I)_{\sigma, \tau=1, \dots, N}$ and $u_I^\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ ($\sigma = 1, \dots, N$, $I = 1, 2, 3, \dots$)

Now let

$$a_I^\sigma = \sum_{\sigma=1}^N \bar{p}_{\sigma\tau}^I \bar{u}_I^\tau \quad (\sigma = 1, \dots, N , I = 1, 2, 3, \dots) ,$$

then we have already shown that each component of B is

expressed by

$$b_{I\bar{K}} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^r a_I^\sigma \bar{a}_K^\sigma - \sum_{\sigma=1}^s a_I^{\sigma+r} \bar{a}_K^{\sigma+r} .$$

By setting $\phi^\sigma = \sum_{I=1}^\infty a_I^\sigma (z)^{m_I}$, from (2.7) and (2.8), we have the relation (2.6). Therefore it suffices to show that each ϕ^σ converges absolutely on some neighborhood of p . Let B_I be the I -th column ${}^t(b_{1\bar{I}}, \dots, b_{N\bar{I}})$ of the matrix $B_{N\infty} = (b_{\sigma\bar{I}})_{\sigma=1, \dots, N, I=1, 2, 3, \dots}$. Then it is regarded as an element of \mathbb{C}^N . Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^N . Since (2.2) implies $B_I = \sum_{\sigma=1}^N B_\sigma u_I^\sigma$, we have

$$u_I^\sigma = \sum_{\tau=1}^N h^{\sigma\tau} \langle e_\tau, B_I \rangle ,$$

where $(h^{\sigma\tau})_{\sigma, \tau=1, \dots, N}$ is the inverse matrix of $(\langle e_\sigma, B_\tau \rangle)_{\sigma, \tau=1, \dots, N}$. We set $d_1 = \max_{\sigma, \tau=1, \dots, N} \{ |p_\tau^\sigma| \}$ and $d_2 = \max_{\sigma, \tau=1, \dots, N} \{ |h_\tau^\sigma| \}$. Then we have the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} |a_I^\sigma| &\leq \sum_{\tau=1}^N |p_\tau^\sigma| |u_I^\sigma| \\ &\leq d_1 \sum_{\tau=1}^N |u_I^\sigma| \\ &\leq d_1 \sum_{\sigma, \tau=1}^N |h^{\tau\sigma}| |\langle e_\sigma, B_I \rangle| \\ &\leq N^2 d_1 d_2 \left(\sum_{\sigma=1}^N |b_{\sigma\bar{I}}|^2 \right)^{1/2} . \end{aligned}$$

Taking numbers ρ'_α ($\alpha = 1, \dots, n$) such that $0 < \rho'_\alpha < \rho_\alpha$ and putting $R = \sum_{I, K=1}^{\infty} |b_{I\bar{K}}| (\rho')^{m_I} (\rho')^{m_K}$, we have $b_{I\bar{K}} \leq R / (\rho')^{m_I + m_K}$. This, together with the convention of our multi-indices $|m_\sigma| \leq |m_N|$ ($\sigma = 1, \dots, N$), yields

$$\begin{aligned} |a_I^\sigma| &\leq N^2 d_1 d_2 \left\{ \sum_{\sigma=1}^N R^2 / (\rho')^{2(m_\sigma + m_I)} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq N^2 d_1 d_2 \left\{ \sum_{\sigma=1}^N R^2 / \delta^{2|m_N|} (\rho')^{2m_I} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= (N^{5/2} d_1 d_2 R / \delta^{|m_N|}) (1 / (\rho')^{m_I}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = \min\{1, \rho'_1, \dots, \rho'_n\}$. Hence each ϕ^σ ($\sigma=1, \dots, N$) converges absolutely in the region $\{|z^\alpha| < \rho'_\alpha, \alpha=1, \dots, n\}$. By Lemma 2.3, the mapping $\Phi = (\phi^1, \dots, \phi^N)$ is full.

Remark. In the proof of Theorem 2.2, we assumed that the matrix B satisfies the relations (2,1) and (2,2). But this normalization of the infinite dimensional Hermitian matrix is obtained by finite exchanges of columns and rows. So these assumptions give no influence on our estimates.

Proposition 2.5. Let g be an analytic Kaehler tensor on a connected complex manifold M . If the rank of g is $N < \infty$ at some point, then so is everywhere.

Proof. Let A be the subset of the points at which the rank is N . It is sufficient to show that A is open and closed. By Theorem 2. 2, A is obviously open. Now we take a sequence $\{x_\ell\}_{\ell=1,2,3,\dots}$ of points in A , which converges to a point $x_\infty \in M$. On a local complex coordinate neighborhood $\{U : (z^1, \dots, z^n)\}$ around x_∞ , the diastasis is expressed by

$$D_g(p, q) = \sum_{I,K=1}^{\infty} b_{I\bar{K}}(p) (z(q) - z(p))^{m_I} \overline{(z(q) - z(p))}^{m_K}.$$

Since each $b_{I\bar{K}}(p)$ is a continuous function of the variable p , we have $\text{rank}\{ (b_{I\bar{K}}(x_\infty)) \} \leq \text{rank}\{ (b_{I\bar{K}}(x_\ell)) \} = N < \infty$

By Theorem 2. 2, the rank of g is locally constant unless it is infinite. Hence $x_\infty \in A$, which implies that A is closed.

Corollary 2. 6. The rank and the extended signature of a Kaehler tensor on a connected complex manifold are invariant under the change of complex local coordinate systems and the choice of a point.

The rigidity holds for full holomorphic mappings into $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$ which preserve a Kaehler tensor as follows:

Theorem 2. 7. ([15]) Let Φ_i ($i=1,2$) be full holomorphic mappings of a connected complex manifold M with an analytic Kaehler tensor g into \mathbb{C}^{r_i, s_i} such that $\Phi_i^* g_{r_i, s_i} = g$. Then (r_i, s_i) ($i=1,2$) coincide with the extended signature (r, s) of g . In addition, Φ_1 and Φ_2 differ by a motion in $\mathbb{C}^{r, s}$.

Proof. By Theorem 2. 2, we have $(r_1, s_1) = (r_2, s_2) = (r, s)$. We may assume $\Phi_i(p) = 0$ ($i=1,2$) for some fixed point $p \in M$. Each component of $\Phi_i = (\phi_i^1, \dots, \phi_i^N)$ has a power series expansion on a coordinate neighborhood $\{U; (z^1, \dots, z^n)\}$ with the origin p :

$$\phi_1^\sigma = \sum_{I=1}^{\infty} a_{I}^\sigma (z)^{m_I},$$

$$\phi_2^\sigma = \sum_{I=1}^{\infty} c_{I}^\sigma (z)^{m_I}.$$

On the other hand, $D_g(p, q)$ has a power series expansion:

$$D_g(p, q) = \sum_{I, K=1}^{\infty} b_{I\bar{K}} (z(q))^{m_I} (\overline{z(q)})^{m_K}.$$

In the proof of Lemma 2. 4, we have already seen that

$$\begin{aligned} b_{I\bar{K}} &= \sum_{\sigma=1}^r a_{I}^\sigma \bar{a}_{K}^\sigma - \sum_{\sigma=1}^s a_{I}^{\sigma+r} \bar{a}_{K}^{\sigma+r} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma=1}^r c_{I}^\sigma \bar{c}_{K}^\sigma - \sum_{\sigma=1}^s c_{I}^{\sigma+r} \bar{c}_{K}^{\sigma+r} \end{aligned}$$

By applying Lemma 2. 1, there exists a linear transformation $T \in U(r,s)$ such that $T \cdot \Phi_1 = \Phi_2$. This proves our assertion.

Remark. Without the assumption 'full', the local rigidity is not expected. In fact, the holomorphic mapping of $\mathbb{C}^{1,0}$ into $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ defined by $\Phi(z) = (z, 0, 0)$ ($z \in \mathbb{C}^{1,0}$) is not rigid. Though $\Psi(z) = (z, z^2, -z^2)$ also satisfies $\Psi^*g_{2,1} = g_{1,0}$, its components cannot be expressed by \mathbb{C} -linear combinations of the components of Φ . But in case $s = 0$, our assumption 'full' can be omitted, because the restriction of the metric $g_{r,s}$ to any subspace in $\mathbb{C}^{N,0}$ is also non-degenerate.

Now we suppose that M is connected and simply connected. Since the extended signature is independent of the choice of a point, Theorem 2. 2 and Theorem 2. 7 imply that every full holomorphic mapping of some open subset of M into $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$, which preserves a Kaehler tensor, is uniquely extended to the whole of M . So we obtain the following:

Theorem 2. 8. ([15]) Let M be a connected and simply connected complex manifold. Then for a Kaehler tensor g on M , the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (1) g is analytic and its extended signature is (r,s) .
- (2) There exists a full holomorphic mapping Φ of M into $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$ ($r+s=N$) such that $\Phi^*g_{r,s} = g$.

§3 Holomorphic mapping into ℓ^2

Isometric mappings of Kaehler manifolds into infinite dimensional spaces have been treated in Calabi [2]. As a generalization of these maps, we consider holomorphic mappings of a complex manifold with a Kaehler tensor into the Hilbert space ℓ^2 . The space ℓ^2 consists of the points with the coordinates $(\xi^1, \xi^2, \xi^3, \dots)$ such that $\sum_{\sigma=1}^{\infty} |\xi^\sigma|^2 < \infty$ ($\xi^\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$). The Hermitian innerproduct $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is defined by $\langle p, q \rangle = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\infty} \xi^\sigma(p) \overline{\xi^\sigma(q)}$ ($p, q \in \ell^2$).

Definition. Let M be a complex manifold and Φ a mapping of M into ℓ^2 . Then Φ is said to be holomorphic if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1) $\phi^\sigma = \xi^\sigma \cdot \Phi$ is holomorphic for all $\sigma = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
- (2) Φ is locally bounded, that is, for every $p \in M$, there exist a neighborhood U of p and a positive number C such that $|\Phi| = \langle \Phi, \Phi \rangle^{1/2} < C$ on U .

The following lemma holds

Lemma 3.1. Let Φ and Ψ be holomorphic mappings of a complex manifold M into ℓ^2 . If we define a function f by

$$f(p, q) = \langle \Phi(p), \Psi(q) \rangle \quad (p, q \in M),$$

then f is a holomorphic function on $M \times \bar{M}$, where \bar{M} denotes the conjugate manifold of M .

Proof. Let p_0 and q_0 be arbitrary points on M and \bar{M} respectively. Then there exist complex coordinate systems $(U: z^1, \dots, z^n)$ and $(V: w^1, \dots, w^n)$ of $p_0 \in M$ and $q_0 \in \bar{M}$ so that

$$z^\alpha(p_0) = 0, \quad w^\beta(q_0) = 0 \quad (\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n),$$

$$U = \{(z^1, \dots, z^n) : |z^\alpha| < r_0 \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, n)\},$$

$$V = \{(w^1, \dots, w^n) : |w^\beta| < r_0 \quad (\beta = 1, \dots, n)\},$$

$$|\Phi| < C_1, \quad |\Psi| < C_2,$$

where r_0, C_1 and C_2 are positive numbers. We take numbers r_1 and r_2 so that $0 < r_1 < r_2 < r_0$. Let $U_1 = \{|z^\alpha| < r_1\}$ and $V_1 = \{|w^\beta| < r_1\}$. We put

$$f_m(p, q) = \sum_{\sigma=1}^m \phi^\sigma(p) \overline{\psi^\sigma(q)} \quad (m=1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

Then $\{f_m\}$ are holomorphic functions on $M \times \bar{M}$. We show that $\{f_m\}$ converges uniformly to f . By Cauchy's integral formula, we have

$$\phi^\sigma(p) \overline{\psi^\sigma(q)} = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right)^{2n} \oint_{\Delta} \frac{\phi^\sigma(z) \overline{\psi^\sigma(\bar{w})}}{\prod_{\gamma=1}^n (z^\gamma - z^\gamma(p)) (\bar{w}^\gamma - \bar{w}^\gamma(q))} d(z) d(\bar{w}),$$

where $\Delta = \{|z^\alpha| = r_2, |w^\beta| = r_2 \ (\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n)\}$. If we denote

$$L_\sigma = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi(r_2 - r_1)} \right)^{2n} \oint_{\Delta} |\phi^\sigma(z)| |\psi^\sigma(w)| |d(z)| |d(\bar{w})|,$$

then $|\phi^\sigma(p) \overline{\psi^\sigma(q)}| < L_\sigma \ (p \in U_1, q \in V_1)$. Since

$$\sum_{\sigma=1}^m |\phi^\sigma| |\psi^\sigma| \leq \left(\sum_{\sigma=1}^m |\phi^\sigma|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\sigma=1}^m |\psi^\sigma|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C_1 C_2,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma=1}^m L_\sigma &\leq \left(\frac{C_1 C_2}{2\pi(r_2 - r_1)} \right)^{2n} \oint_{\Delta} |d(z)| |d(\bar{w})| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{C_1 C_2}{2\pi(r_2 - r_1)} \right)^{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

This estimates implies that the sequence $\{f_m\}_{m=1,2,3,\dots}$ converges uniformly to f in the wider sense on $M \times \bar{M}$. Hence f is a holomorphic functions on $M \times \bar{M}$.

Now we define a diastasis of ℓ^2 by

$$D^\infty(p, q) = |p - q|^2 \quad (p, q \in \ell^2).$$

Lemma 3. 2. Let M be a complex manifold with an analytic Kaehler tensor and Φ a holomorphic mapping of M into ℓ^2 . Then the following two assertions are equivalent to each other.

- (1) Φ preserves the diastasis, that is, $D_g(p, q) = D^\infty(\Phi(p), \Phi(q))$ ($p, q \in M$).
- (2) $|\Phi|^2$ is a primitive function of g .

Proof. We have

$$(3.1) \quad D^\infty(\Phi(p), \Phi(q)) = \langle \Phi(p), \Phi(p) \rangle + \langle \Phi(q), \Phi(q) \rangle - \langle \Phi(p), \Phi(q) \rangle - \langle \Phi(q), \Phi(p) \rangle .$$

By Lemma 3.1, $D^\infty(\Phi(p), \Phi(q))$ ($p, q \in M$) is a real analytic function on $M \times M$. If $|\Phi|^2$ is a primitive function of g , Then by (1.1) and (3.1), $D^\infty(\Phi(p), \Phi(q))$ coincides with $D_g(p, q)$. On the other hand, for a fixed $p \in M$, (3.1) implies that $D^\infty(\Phi(p), \Phi(q))$ and $|\Phi|^2$ differ by the real part of a holomorphic function with respect to the variable q . Hence the converse is obvious.

The following proposition plays an important role in the later section.

Proposition 3. 3. ([15]) Let M be a complex manifold with an analytic Kaehler tensor of extended signature (r,s) $(r+s=N)$ and Φ a holomorphic mapping of M into ℓ^2 which preseves the diastasis. Then $s = 0$ and $\Phi(M)$ lies in some complex N-plane in ℓ^2 .

Proof. Let (ξ^1, \dots, ξ^N) be the canonical complex coordinate system of \mathbb{C}^N $(r+s=N)$. We define a real bilinear form on \mathbb{C}^N by

$$\beta_{r,s}(p,q) = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{\sigma=1}^r \xi^\sigma(p) \overline{\xi^\sigma(q)} - \sum_{\sigma=1}^s \xi^{\sigma+r}(p) \overline{\xi^{\sigma+r}(q)} \right\} \quad (p,q \in \mathbb{C}^N).$$

Obviously the following identity holds:

$$(3.2) \quad \beta_{r,s}(\overrightarrow{pq_1}, \overrightarrow{pq_2}) = (1/2) \{ D_{r,s}(p, q_1) + D_{r,s}(p, q_2) + D_{r,s}(q_1, q_2) \} \quad (p, q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{r,s}),$$

where $\overrightarrow{pq} = q - p$ $(p, q \in \mathbb{C}^N)$.

Let $p \in M$ be an arbitrary point. Since the extended signature of g is (r,s) , there exists a full holomorphic

mapping Ψ of some neighborhood U of p into $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$ such that $\Psi^*g_{r,s} = g$. Now we suppose that $\Phi^*(U)$ does not lie in any real $2N$ -plane. Then there exist points $p, q_1, \dots, q_{2N+1} \in U$ such that $\{\overline{\Phi(p)\Phi(q_j)}\}_{j=1, \dots, 2N+1}$ are \mathbb{R} -linearly independent. On the other hand, since $\{\overline{\Psi(p)\Psi(q_j)}\}_{j=1, \dots, 2N+1}$ are \mathbb{R} -linearly dependent, there exists a $(2N+1)$ -tuple of real numbers $(a^1, \dots, a^{2N+1}) \neq 0$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{2N+1} a^j \overline{\Psi(p)\Psi(q_j)} = 0$. By (3.2) and Proposition 1. 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \beta_{r,s} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2N+1} a^j \overline{\Psi(p)\Psi(q_j)}, \sum_{k=1}^{2N+1} a^k \overline{\Psi(p)\Psi(q_k)} \right) \\
&= (1/2) \sum_{j,k=1}^{2N+1} a^j a^k \{ D_g(p, q_j) + D_g(p, q_k) - D_g(q_j, q_k) \} \\
&= \operatorname{Re} \langle \sum_{j=1}^{2N+1} a^j \overline{\Psi(p)\Psi(q_j)}, \sum_{k=1}^{2N+1} a^k \overline{\Psi(p)\Psi(q_k)} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sum_{j=1}^{2N+1} a^j \overline{\Phi(p)\Phi(q_j)} = 0$, which yields a contradiction. So $\Phi(U)$ lies in some real $2N$ -plane. Since p is arbitrary, $\Phi(M)$ spans a finite dimensional complex plane. Thus, by Theorem 2. 7, $s = 0$ and $\Phi(M)$ lies in a complex N -plane in ℓ^2 .

Chapter II.

Geometry of complex submanifolds

§4 Real analytic functions on complex manifolds.

A real analytic function on a connected complex manifold M is said to be of finite rank if the Kaehler tensor g associated to the form $-2\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f$ is of finite rank. We call the extended signature (r,s) of g the type of f . Suppose that f has the power series expansion at a fixed point $p \in M$

$$f = \sum_{I,K=0}^{\infty} b_{I\bar{K}} (z)^{m_I} (\bar{z})^{m_K} ,$$

where (z^1, \dots, z^n) is a local coordinate system with the origin p . Then f is of finite rank if and only if the rank of the matrix $(b_{I\bar{K}})_{I,K=0,1,2,\dots}$ is finite. The type of f coincides with the signature of the Hermitian matrix $(b_{I\bar{K}})_{I,K=1,2,3,\dots}$. Let $\Lambda(M)$ denote the set of \mathbb{R} -linear combinations of real analytic functions $h\bar{k} + k\bar{h}$ (h and k are holomorphic functions on M). Obviously $\Lambda(M)$ forms an associative algebra. If M is compact, $\Lambda(M)$ is nothing but the set of constant functions.

Proposition 4. 1. If $f \in \Lambda(M)$, then f is of finite rank.

Proof. By using the identity $h\bar{k} + k\bar{h} = |h+k|^2 - |h|^2 - |k|^2$, f is expressed as

$$f = \sum_{\sigma=1}^r |\phi^\sigma|^2 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^s |\phi^{\sigma+r}|^2 ,$$

where ϕ^σ ($\sigma=1, \dots, N$) are holomorphic functions. We define a holomorphic mapping Φ of M into $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$ by $\Phi = (\phi^1, \dots, \phi^N)$. Then $-2\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f = \Phi^*\omega_{r,s}$, this implies that f is of finite rank.

The converse is also true.

Theorem 4. 2. ([15]) Let M be a connected and simply connected complex manifold and f a real analytic function of finite rank defined on M . Then for a fixed point $p \in M$, there exist a pair of non-negative integers (r,s) and holomorphic functions $\phi^0, \phi^1, \dots, \phi^N$ ($r+s=N$) on M such that

$$f = \text{Re}(\phi^0) + \sum_{\sigma=1}^r |\phi^\sigma|^2 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^s |\phi^{\sigma+r}|^2 ,$$

$$\phi^\sigma(p) = 0 \quad (\sigma = 1, \dots, N),$$

where ϕ^1, \dots, ϕ^N are \mathbb{C} -linearly independent. In addition, if f has the decomposition as above, then (r,s) coincides with the type of f , and ϕ^0 is uniquely determined up to a constant term. And $\Phi = (\phi^1, \dots, \phi^N)$ are also uniquely determined up to a complex linear transformation in $U(r,s)$.

Proof. Let f be a real analytic function of type (r,s) ($r+s=N<\infty$). Since the extended signature of the Kaehler tensor g associated with the form $-2\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f$ is (r,s) , by Theorem 2. 8, there exists a full holomorphic mapping $\Phi = (\phi^1, \dots, \phi^N)$ of M into $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$ such that $\Phi^*g_{r,s} = g$ and $\Phi(p) = 0$. This implies that

$$D(p,q) = \sum_{\sigma=1}^r |\phi^\sigma(q)|^2 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^s |\phi^{\sigma+r}(q)|^2 \quad (q \in M).$$

Since f is a primitive function of g , there exists a holomorphic function ϕ^0 such that

$$(4.1) \quad f = \operatorname{Re}(\phi^0) + \sum_{\sigma=1}^r |\phi^\sigma|^2 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^s |\phi^{\sigma+r}|^2.$$

Now we prove the uniqueness of this decomposition. Suppose that we have another such decomposition:

$$(4.2) \quad f = \operatorname{Re}(\psi^0) + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{r'} |\psi^\sigma|^2 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s'} |\psi^{\sigma+r'}|^2$$

$$\psi^0(p) = 0 \quad (\sigma = 1, \dots, N'; \quad N = r' + s').$$

Then we obtain a full holomorphic mapping Ψ of M into $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$ defined by $\Psi = (\psi^1, \dots, \psi^{N'})$. Hence $(r',s') = (r,s)$, and by Theorem 2. 7, Φ and Ψ differ by a linear transformation of $\mathbb{C}^{r,s}$ which preserves the metric $g_{r,s}$. Moreover, since $\Phi(p) = \Psi(p) = 0$, by Proposition 1. 1, we have

$$f(q) - \operatorname{Re}(\psi^0(q)) = D_{r,s}(0, \Psi(q)) = D_g(p, q)$$

$$= D_{r,s}(0, \Psi(q)) = f(q) - \operatorname{Re}(\psi(q)) \quad .$$

Hence $\operatorname{Re}(\phi^0) = \operatorname{Re}(\psi^0)$, this implies that ϕ^0 and ψ^0 differ by a constant term.

Corollary 4. 3. Let M be a simply connected complex manifold. Then $\Lambda(M)$ coincides with the set of real analytic functions of finite rank.

Next we show some transcendental properties concerned with $\Lambda(M)$. The following lemma is a direct consequence of Proposition 3. 3.

Lemma 4. 4. Let Φ be a holomorphic mapping of a complex manifold M into ℓ^2 . If $\Lambda(M)$ does not lie in any finite dimensional subspace in ℓ^2 . Then $|\Phi|^2 \notin \Lambda(M)$.

Proof. Let g be a Kaehler tensor associated with the 2-form $-2\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}|\Phi|^2$. Then by Lemma 3. 2, Φ preserves the diastasis D_g . Since $\Lambda(M)$ does not lie in any finite dimensional subspace, the rank of g is infinite by Proposition 3. 3. Hence $|\Phi|^2 \notin \Lambda(M)$.

Proposition 4. 5. ([15]) Let $p \in M$ be a fixed point of a
complex manifold M and let h^1, \dots, h^N be non-constant
holomorphic function on M such that $h^\sigma(p) = 0$ ($\sigma = 1, \dots, N$).
Then

$$(1) \quad \exp\left(\sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^\sigma|^2\right) \notin \Lambda(M),$$

$$(2) \quad \log\left(1 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^\sigma|^2\right) \notin \Lambda(M),$$

$$(3) \quad \left(1 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^\sigma|^2\right)^{-\alpha} \notin \Lambda(M) \quad (\alpha > 0).$$

Proof. We obtain the series expansions:

$$(4.3) \quad \exp\left(\sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^\sigma|^2\right) \\
= \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_N \geq 1} \left| (h^1)^{i_1} \dots (h^N)^{i_N} / \sqrt{i_1! \dots i_N!} \right|^2,$$

$$(4.4) \quad -\log\left(1 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^\sigma|^2\right) \\
= \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_N \geq 1} \left| \sqrt{\frac{(i_1 + \dots + i_N - 1)!}{i_1! \dots i_N!}} (h^1)^{i_1} \dots (h^N)^{i_N} \right|^2.$$

Since $h^\sigma(p) = 0$ ($\sigma = 1, \dots, N$), those series converge in a sufficiently small neighborhood U of p . Thus we rewrite those series as follows:

$$(4.5) \quad \exp\left(\sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^\sigma|^2\right) - 1 = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} |\phi^\ell|^2,$$

$$(4.6) \quad -\log\left(1 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^\sigma|^2\right) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} |\psi^\ell|^2,$$

where ϕ^ℓ and ψ^ℓ ($\ell = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) are holomorphic functions determined by the series expansions (4.3) and (4.4) respectively. Then we may define holomorphic mappings $\Phi = (\phi^1, \phi^2, \phi^3, \dots)$ and $\Psi = (\psi^1, \psi^2, \psi^3, \dots)$ of U into ℓ^2 . Since $\{\phi^\ell\}$ and $\{\psi^\ell\}$ have the \mathbb{C} -linearly independent subsequences $\{(h^1)^m/m!\}_{m=1,2,3,\dots}$ and $\{(h^1)^m/m\}_{m=1,2,3,\dots}$ respectively, by Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\exp\left(\sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^\sigma|^2\right) = 1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} |\phi^\ell|^2 \notin \Lambda(M),$$

$$\log\left(1 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^\sigma|^2\right) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} |\psi^\ell|^2 \notin \Lambda(M).$$

This proves (1) and (2). Next we prove (3). By using the expression (4,6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^\sigma|^2\right)^{-\alpha} \\ &= \exp\left\{-\alpha \log\left(1 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^\sigma|^2\right)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \exp(\alpha \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} |\psi^{\ell}|^2) \\
&= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_k \geq 1}^{\infty} |(\sqrt{\alpha})^k \psi^{i_1} \dots \psi^{i_k}|^2 .
\end{aligned}$$

If we arrange the holomorphic functions $\{(\sqrt{\alpha})^k \psi^{i_1} \dots \psi^{i_k}\}$ as a sequence $\{\psi^{\ell}\}_{\ell=1,2,3,\dots}$, we have the following:

$$(1 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^{\sigma}|^2)^{-\alpha} = 1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} |\psi^{\ell}|^2 .$$

So we obtain a holomorphic mapping of U into ℓ^2 defined by $\Psi = (\psi^1, \psi^2, \psi^3, \dots)$. Now we can easily take a subsequence of $\{\psi^{\ell}\}_{\ell=1,2,3,\dots}$ which is linearly independent. Hence, by Lemma 4. 4, we have

$$(1 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^{\sigma}|^2)^{-\alpha} = 1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} |\psi^{\ell}|^2 \notin \Lambda(M) .$$

Calabi [2] proved that a complex linear space, a complex hyperbolic space and complex projective space can not be holomorphically and isometrically immersed in each other. In this section, as an extension of this result, we show that any two of complex space forms of different types have no Kaehler submanifold in common. In this paper, Kaehler submanifold is assumed to be isometrically immersed into its ambient space.

We denote by $\widehat{M}^N(b)$ the complete and simply connected Kaehler N-manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $b \in \mathbb{R}$. We prepare the following lemma.

Lemma 5. 1.(Calabi [2]) Let (M, g) be an analytic Kaehler manifold, and $p \in M$ an arbitrary fixed point. Then a neighborhood $(U, g|_U)$ of p can be a Kaehler submanifold of $\widehat{M}^N(2b)$ if and only if there exist holomorphic functions ϕ^1, \dots, ϕ^N defined on U such that $\phi^\sigma(p) = 0$ ($\sigma = 1, \dots, N$) and

$$(5.1) \quad D_g(p, q) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |\phi^\sigma(q)|^2 & (b = 0) \\ (1/b) \log(1 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |\phi^\sigma(q)|^2) & (b < 0) \\ (1/b) \log(1 + \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |\phi^\sigma(q)|^2) & (b > 0), \end{cases}$$

for all $q \in U$.

Proof. Suppose $(U, g|_U)$ is a Kaehler submanifold of $\tilde{M}^N(2b)$ immersed by $\Phi = (\phi^1, \dots, \phi^N)$. In case $b \leq 0$, by a suitable motion in $\tilde{M}^N(2b)$, we may put $\Phi(p) = 0$. Then by (1.2) and (1.3), we see that (5.1) holds if and only if the diastasis of $(U, g|_U)$ is the restriction of that of $\tilde{M}^N(2b)$. So we have (5.1) by Proposition 1. 1. Similarly, in case $b > 0$, using the homogeneous coordinate, we may suppose $\Phi(p) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then $\Phi = (1, \phi^1, \dots, \phi^N)$ satisfies (5.1). The converse is now obvious.

Proposition 5. 2. ([13]) Let (M, g) be a Kaehler n -submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^{N, 0}$. Then any open subset of (M, g) can not be a Kaehler submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^{N'}(b')$ for any N' and $b' > 0$.

Proof. We suppose that an open subset $(U, g|_U)$ of M is a Kaehler submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^{N'}(b')$ ($b' = 2b$). For a fixed $p \in U$, $\exp\{bD_g(p, *)\} \in \Lambda(U)$ by Lemma 5. 1. Since $b > 0$ and (M, g) is a Kaehler submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^{N, 0}$, by Theorem 4. 2, there exist holomorphic functions h^1, \dots, h^N such that

$$b D_g(p, q) = \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^\sigma(q)|^2 \quad (q \in U),$$

$$h^\sigma(p) = 0 \quad (\sigma = 1, \dots, N).$$

Hence $\exp(\sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^\sigma|^2) \in \Lambda(U)$. But this contradicts (1) of Proposition 4. 5.

Proposition 5. 3. ([13]) Let (M, g) be a Kaehler
n-submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^{N, 0}$. Then any open subset of (M, g) can not
be a Kaehler submanifold of $\mathbb{C}H^{N'}(b')$ for any N' and $b' < 0$.

Proof. We suppose that an open subset $(U, g|_U)$ of M is
a Kaehler submanifold of $\mathbb{C}H^{N'}(2b)$ ($b' = 2b$). Let $p \in U$ be
a fixed point. Then by Lemma 5. 1, there exist holomorphic
functions $h^1, \dots, h^{N'}$ defined on U such that

$$D_g(p, q) = (1/b) \log(1 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N'} |h^\sigma(q)|^2) \quad (q \in U),$$

$$h^\sigma(p) = 0 \quad (\sigma = 1, \dots, N').$$

Since (M, g) is a Kaehler submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^{N, 0}$, we have
 $(1/b) \log(1 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N'} |h^\sigma|^2) \in \Lambda(U)$. But this contradicts (2)
of Proposition 4. 5.

Proposition 5. 4. ([13]) Let (M, g) be a Kaehler
n-submanifold of $\mathbb{C}H^N(b')$. Then any open subset of M can not
be a Kaehler submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^{N'}(c')$ for any N' and $c' > 0$.

Proof. Since (M, g) is a Kaehler submanifold of $\mathbb{C}H^N(2b)$
($b' = 2b$), for a fixed $p \in M$, there exist holomorphic
functions h^1, \dots, h^N defined on some sufficiently small
neighborhood U of p such that

$$(5.2) \quad D_g(p, q) = (1/b) \log(1 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^\sigma|^2) \quad (q \in U),$$

$$h^\sigma(p) = 0 \quad (\sigma = 1, \dots, N).$$

Now we assume that $(U, g|_U)$ is a Kaehler submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^{N'}(2c)$ ($c' = 2c$). By Lemma 5.1, there exist holomorphic functions $\phi^1, \dots, \phi^{N'}$ such that

$$(5.3) \quad D_g(p, q) = (1/c) \log(1 - \sum_{\tau=1}^{N'} |\phi^\tau|^2) \quad (q \in U).$$

From (5.2) and (5.3) we have

$$\sum_{\tau=1}^{N'} |\phi^\tau|^2 = \left(\sum_{\sigma=1}^N |h^\sigma|^2 \right) c/b.$$

Since $c/b < 0$, this contradicts (3) of Proposition 4.5.

§6 Einstein Kaehler submanifold

Einstein Kaehler submanifolds of complex space forms have been studied by several authors. In the case of codimension one, Smyth [9] and Chern [3] showed them to be either totally geodesic or certain hyperquadrics of a complex projective space. In this classification, Takahashi [10] showed that the Einstein condition can be weakened to the condition that Ricci tensor is parallel. Recently, Tsukada [12] studied the case of codimension two and obtained the same classification. In this section, we completely classify Einstein Kaehler submanifolds of a complex linear or hyperbolic space and prove the following:

Theorem. Every Einstein Kaehler submanifold of a complex linear or hyperbolic space is totally geodesic.

Note that our theorem holds for any codimension. It should be also remarked that the theorem does not hold in the complex projective space, that is, homogeneous Einstein Kaehler submanifolds which are not totally geodesic are known. Homogeneous Einstein Kaehler submanifolds in the complex projective spaces are completely classified. (See Nakagawa-Takagi [6] and Takeuchi [11].)

Let (M, g) be an isometrically immersed Kaehler submanifold of a Kaehler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $2c$. Then the Ricci tensor, denoted by Ric_M , satisfies

$$(6.1) \quad \text{Ric}_M \leq (n+1)cg,$$

The equality holds if and only if (M, g) is totally geodesic. This inequality is an immediate consequence of the Gauss equation (c.f. [5;p177]). In particular, the Ricci tensor is always negative semi-definite if $c \leq 0$.

Now we suppose that $c \leq 0$ and (M, g) is an Einstein manifold. Let (z^1, \dots, z^n) be a local complex coordinate system of M . Then the Ricci tensor $\text{Ric}_M = 2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n K_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} dz^\alpha d\bar{z}^\beta$ is related to the Kaehler metric $g = 2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} dz^\alpha d\bar{z}^\beta$ by

$$(6.2) \quad K_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = -\mu g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \quad (\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n),$$

where $\mu \geq 0$ is a constant. On the other hand, it is known (c.f. [5;p158]) that the Ricci tensor is given by

$$(6.3) \quad K_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = -\partial^2 (\log G) / \partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta \quad (\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n),$$

where G denotes the determinant of the Hermitian matrix $(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})_{\alpha, \beta=1, \dots, n}$. In case $\mu \neq 0$, (6.2) and (6.3) imply that $(1/\mu) \log G$ is a primitive function of g . Since the primitive is determined up to the real part of a holomorphic function, we have the following local expression:

$$D_g(p, *) = (1/\mu) (h + \bar{h} + \log G),$$

where $p \in M$ is a fixed point and h is a holomorphic function defined on some neighborhood of p . So we have

$$(6.4) \quad \exp\{ \mu D_g(p, *) \} = |\exp(h)|^2 G.$$

First of all, we consider Einstein Kaehler submanifolds of \mathbb{C}^N .

Theorem 6. 1. ([14]) Let (M, g) be an Einstein Kaehler
n-submanifold of \mathbb{C}^N ($n \geq 1$). Then (M, g) is totally geodesic.

Proof. Since (M, g) is an Einstein manifold, it satisfies (6.2) and (6.3) on a sufficiently small coordinate neighborhood $\{U; (z^1, \dots, z^n)\}$ of a fixed point $p \in M$. If M is not totally geodesic, then (6.1) implies that $\mu > 0$. By a homothetic transformation of \mathbb{C}^N , we may assume $\mu = 1$. By Lemma 5. 1, there exist holomorphic functions ϕ^1, \dots, ϕ^N on U such that

$$D_g(p, q) = \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |\phi^\sigma(q)|^2 \quad (q \in U),$$

$$\phi^\sigma(p) = 0 \quad (\sigma = 1, \dots, N).$$

So we have

$$g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^N (\partial\phi^\sigma/\partial z^\alpha) \overline{(\partial\phi^\sigma/\partial z^\beta)} \quad (\alpha, \beta=1, \dots, n).$$

Since the matrix $(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})_{\alpha, \beta=1, \dots, n}$ is Hermitian, its determinant G is real valued. So $G \in \Lambda(U)$. On the other hand, by (6.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\sum_{\sigma=1}^N |\phi^\sigma|^2) &= D_g(p, *) \\ &= |\exp(h)|^2 G \in \Lambda(U). \end{aligned}$$

But this contradicts (1) of Proposition 4. 5.

Next we consider the hyperbolic case. The following Lemma holds.

Lemma 6. 2. Let M be a complex n -manifold and $\{U;$
 $(z^1, \dots, z^n)\}$ a complex local coordinate neighborhood of M .

If $f \in \Lambda(M)$, then $f^{n+1} \det(\partial^2 f / \partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta) \in \Lambda(M)$.

Proof. For the sake of simplicity, we set $f_\alpha = \partial f / \partial z^\alpha$,
 $f_{\bar{\beta}} = \partial f / \partial \bar{z}^\beta$ and $f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \partial^2 f / \partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta$ ($\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n$). Then

$$\partial^2 \log f / \partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta = f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} / f - f_\alpha f_{\bar{\beta}} / f^2.$$

So we have

$$f^{n+1} \det(\partial^2 f / \partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta) = f \det(f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - f_\alpha f_{\bar{\beta}} / f)$$

$$= f \det \begin{pmatrix} f_{1\bar{1}} - f_1 f_{\bar{1}} / f, \dots, f_{1\bar{n}} - f_1 f_{\bar{n}} / f, 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_{n\bar{1}} - f_n f_{\bar{1}} / f, \dots, f_{n\bar{n}} - f_n f_{\bar{n}} / f, 0 \\ f_{\bar{1}} / f, \dots, f_{\bar{n}} / f, 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= f \det \begin{pmatrix} f_{1\bar{1}}, \dots, f_{1\bar{n}}, f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_{n\bar{1}}, \dots, f_{n\bar{n}}, f_n \\ f_{\bar{1}} / f, \dots, f_{\bar{n}} / f, 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \det \begin{pmatrix} f_{1\bar{1}}, \dots, f_{1\bar{n}}, f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_{n\bar{1}}, \dots, f_{n\bar{n}}, f_n \\ f_{\bar{1}}, \dots, f_{\bar{n}}, f \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $f^{n+1} \det(\partial^2 f / \partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta)$ is finitely generated by holomorphic or anti-holomorphic functions on U . In addition, it is real

valued, because the matrix $(\partial^2 f / \partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta)$ is Hermitian. So we conclude that $f^{n+1} \det(\partial^2 f / \partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta) \in \Lambda(M)$.

Theorem 6. 3. ([14]) Let M be an Einstein Kaehler n-submanifold (n>1) of the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^N(b)$.
Then M is totally geodesic.

Proof. By (6.1), the Ricci tensor of M is negative definite. Hence $\mu \neq 0$ and M satisfies (2.4) on a sufficiently small coordinate neighborhood $\{U; (z^1, \dots, z^n)\}$ of a fixed point $p \in M$. By Lemma 1. 2, there exist holomorphic functions ϕ^1, \dots, ϕ^N defined on U such that

$$(6.5) \quad D_g(p, q) = - \log(1 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |\phi^\sigma|^2) \quad (q \in U),$$

$$\phi^\sigma(p) = 0 \quad (\sigma = 1, \dots, N).$$

If we put $f = 1 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |\phi^\sigma|^2$, then

$$(6.6) \quad G = (-1)^n \det(\partial^2 f / \partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta).$$

From (6.4), (6.5) and (6.6), we have

$$f^{-\mu} = (-1)^n |\exp(h)|^2 \det(\partial^2 f / \partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta).$$

Hence

$$f^{n+1-\mu} = (-1)^n |\exp(h)|^2 \{f^{n+1} \det(\partial^2 f / \partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta)\}.$$

By Lemma 6.2, we obtain

$$(1 - \sum_{\sigma=1}^N |\phi^\sigma|^2)^{n+1-\mu} = f^{n+1-\mu} \in \Lambda(U).$$

Then (3) of Proposition 4.5, implies that $n+1-\mu \geq 0$. On the other hand, $n+1-\mu \leq 0$ by (6.1). Thus $\mu = n+1$ and M is totally geodesic.

From Theorem 6.2 and Theorem 6.3, the theorem stated in the first of this section is directly obtained.

§7 Non-flat indefinite complex space forms

In this section we consider holomorphic mappings into non-flat indefinite complex space forms preserving Kaehler tensor. Since the metrics of the indefinite complex hyperbolic and projective spaces differ by the sign, we may only discuss on the indefinite complex projective spaces. In §1, we defined the indefinite complex projective spaces as open subsets of ordinary complex projective spaces. A holomorphic mapping into $\mathbb{C}P_s^N(b)$ ($c \mathbb{C}P^N$) is called full if its image does not lie in any hyperplane of $\mathbb{C}P^N$.

Theorem 7. 1. ([15]) Let M be a complex manifold with an analytic Kaehler tensor g and $p \in M$ be an arbitrarily fixed point. Then there exists a full holomorphic mapping Φ of some sufficiently small neighborhood U into $\mathbb{C}P_s^N(2b)$ such that $\Phi^*g_0 = g$ if and only if the function $\exp\{bD_g(p,*)\}$ is of type $(N-s, s)$, where g_0 is the metric of $\mathbb{C}P_s^N(2b)$.

Proof. Now we suppose that there is such a holomorphic mapping expressed by $\Phi = (\phi^0, \dots, \phi^N)$ in terms of the homogeneous coordinate system. By a suitable motion in $\mathbb{C}P_s^N(2b)$, we may put $\Phi(p) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then by (1.3) and Proposition 1. 1, we have

$$D_g(p,*) = (1/b) \log(1 + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N-s} |\phi^\sigma/\phi^0|^2 - \sum_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} |\phi^{N-\sigma}/\phi^0|^2),$$

that is,

$$\exp\{ bD_g(p,*) \} = 1 + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N-s} |\phi^\sigma/\phi^0|^2 - \sum_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} |\phi^{N-\sigma}/\phi^0|^2 .$$

Since Φ is full, $\{\phi^\sigma/\phi^0\}_{\sigma=1, \dots, N}$ are \mathbb{C} -linearly independent. Hence Theorem 4. 2 implies that $\exp\{ bD_g(p,*) \}$ is of type $(N - s, s)$. Conversely, we suppose that $\exp\{ bD_g(p,*) \}$ is of type $(N - s, s)$. Then by Theorem 4. 2, there exist holomorphic functions $\psi^0, \psi^1, \dots, \psi^N$ on a simply connected neighborhood U of p such that

$$\exp\{ bD_g(p,*) \} = \operatorname{Re}(\psi^0) + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N-s} |\psi^\sigma|^2 - \sum_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} |\psi^{N-\sigma}|^2 ,$$

$$\psi^\sigma(p) = 0 \quad (\sigma = 1, \dots, N),$$

where $\{\psi^1, \dots, \psi^N\}$ are \mathbb{C} -linearly independent. Since $D_g(p,*)$ is the diastasis, we can easily check that $\psi^0 = 1$.

Now if we define a holomorphic mapping of U into $\mathbb{C}P_S^N(2b)$ by $\Psi = (1, \psi^1, \dots, \psi^N)$, then

$$D_g(p,*) = (1/b) \log(1 + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N-s} |\psi^\sigma|^2 - \sum_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} |\psi^{N-\sigma}|^2).$$

By Proposition 1. 1, we have $\Psi^*g_0 = g$.

Theorem 7. 2. ([15]) Let M be a complex manifold with a
Kaehler tensor g and let Φ_i ($i=1,2$) be full holomorphic
mappings of M into $\mathbb{C}P_{s_i}^{N_i}(2b)$ such that $\Phi_i^*g_i = g$, where g_i
is the metric of $\mathbb{C}P_{s_i}^{N_i}(2b)$. Then $N_1 = N_2$ and $s_1 = s_2$. In
addition Φ_1 and Φ_2 differ by a motion in $\mathbb{C}P_{s_1}^{N_1}(2b)$.

Proof. Obviously $N_1 = N_2$ ($= N$) and $s_1 = s_2$ ($= s$)
(by Theorem 7. 1). By a suitable motion in $\mathbb{C}P_s^N(2b)$, we may put
 $\Phi_1(p) = \Phi_2(p) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ for a fixed point $p \in M$. By using
the homogeneous coordinate system (ξ^0, \dots, ξ^N) , Φ_i ($i=1,2$)
are expressed by $\Phi_i = (1, \phi_i^1, \dots, \phi_i^N)$. Then we have

$$\exp\{ bD_g(p, *) \} = 1 + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N-s} |\phi_i^\sigma|^2 - \sum_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} |\phi_i^{N-\sigma}|^2 \quad (i=1,2) ,$$

$$\phi_i^\sigma(p) = 0 \quad (\sigma = 1, \dots, N) .$$

Since Φ_i ($i=1,2$) are full, by the uniqueness of such
decompositions, there exists a matrix $(t_{\tau}^{\sigma})_{\sigma, \tau=1, \dots, N} \in U(N-s, s)$
such that

$$\phi_2^\sigma = \sum_{\tau=1}^N t_{\tau}^{\sigma} \phi_1^\tau \quad (\sigma = 1, \dots, N) .$$

The transformation T of $\mathbb{C}P_s^N(2b)$, which is defined by

$T(q) = (\xi^0(q), \sum_{\sigma=1}^N t_{\sigma}^1 \xi^{\sigma}(q), \dots, \sum_{\sigma=1}^N t_{\sigma}^N \xi^{\sigma}(q)) \quad (q \in \mathbb{C}P^N(2b)),$
 is obviously an isometry of $\mathbb{C}P_S^N(2b)$ and $T \circ \Phi_1 = \Phi_2$.

Remark. Recall that a Kaehler tensor g is called an indefinite Kaehler metric if g is non-degenerate at each point. When g is an indefinite Kaehler metric, as a special case of Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 7.2, an extension of Calabi's rigidity theorem to indefinite Kaehler submanifolds of indefinite complex space forms is obtained. Romero [8] and Abe-Magid [1] also obtained similar extensions of Calabi's rigidity theorem to indefinite Kaehler submanifolds independently of the author.

As an application of Theorem 7.2, we consider the following example.

Example. The canonical mapping $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ induces the full holomorphic mapping $\Phi: \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n+m+nm}$, which is called the Segre imbedding. It is easy to see that Φ maps $\mathbb{C}P_s^n(c) \times \mathbb{C}P_t^m(c)$ into $\mathbb{C}P_r^{n+m+nm}(c)$, where $r = s(m-t) + t(n-s) + s + t$ ($0 \leq s \leq n, 0 \leq t \leq m$). The restricted mapping $\hat{\Phi}: \mathbb{C}P_s^n(c) \times \mathbb{C}P_t^m(c) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P_r^{n+m+nm}(c)$ is called the indefinite Segre imbedding. Using Proposition 1.1, we can easily show that $\hat{\Phi}$ is isometric. By Theorem 7.2, $\hat{\Phi}$ is rigid.

Remark. The rigidity of the indefinite Segre imbedding was pointed out in Ikawa, Nakagawa and Romero [4]. For further properties of the indefinite Segre imbedding, see [4].

Now we suppose that M is connected and simply connected. Then Theorem 7. 1 and Theorem 7. 2 imply that every full holomorphic mapping of an open subset of M into $\mathbb{C}P_S^N(2b)$, which preserves a Kahler tensor, is uniquely extended to the whole of M . So we have the following:

Theorem 7. 3. ([15]) Let M be a connected and simply connected complex manifold and $p \in M$ an arbitrary point. Then for a Kaehler tensor g on M , the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (1) g is analytic and $\exp\{bD_g(p,*)\}$ is of type (r,s) .
- (2) There exists a full holomorphic mapping Φ of M into $\mathbb{C}P_S^N(2b)$ ($N=r+s$) such that $\Phi^*g_0 = g$, where g_0 is the metric of $\mathbb{C}P_S^N(2b)$.

References

- [1] K. Abe and M. Magid, Rigidity of complex submanifolds and maximal surfaces, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 106(1989), 481-494.
- [2] E. Calabi, Isometric imbedding of complex manifolds, *Ann. of Math.* 58(1953), 1-23.
- [3] S. S. Chern, On Einstein hypersurfaces in a Kaehlerian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, *J. Differential Geom.* 1(1967), 21-31
- [4] T. Ikawa, H. Nakagawa and A. Romero, Product complex submanifolds of indefinite complex space forms, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 18(1988), 601-615.
- [5] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundation of Differential Geometry*, vol.2, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1969.
- [6] H. Nakagawa and R. Takagi, On locally symmetric Kaehler submanifolds in a complex projective space. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 28(1976), 638-667.
- [7] K. Ogiue, Differential geometry of Kaehler submanifolds *Adv. in Math.* 13(1974), 73-114.
- [8] A. Romero, An extension of Calabi's rigidity theorem to complex submanifolds of indefinite complex space forms *Manuscripta Math.* 59(1987), 261-276.
- [9] B. Smyth, Differential geometry of complex hypersurface *Ann. of Math.* 85(1967), 246-266.

- [10] T. Takahashi, Hypersurface with parallel Ricci tensor in a space of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, J. Math. Soc. Japan 19(1967), 199-204.
- [11] M. Takeuchi Homogeneous Kaehler submanifolds in complex projective spaces, Japan J. Math. 4(1978), 171-219.
- [12] K. Tsukada, Einstein Kaehler submanifolds with codimension 2 in a complex space form, Math. Ann. 274 (1986), 503-516.
- [13] M. Umehara, Kaehler submanifolds of complex space forms, Tokyo J. Math. 10(1987), 203-214.
- [14] M. Umehara, Kaehler submanifolds of complex linear or hyperbolic space, Tohoku Math. J. 39(1987), 385-389.
- [15] M. Umehara, Diastases and real analytic functions on complex manifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan 40(1988), 519-539.