1	Running head: New chlorarachnean Rhabdamoeba marina
2	
3	Rhabdamoeba marina is a heterotrophic relative of chlorarachnid algae
4	
5	Takashi Shiratori ^a and Ken-ichiro Ishida ^a
6	a Institute of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki
7	305-8572, Japan
8	
9	Correspondence
10	T. Shiratori, Institute of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1,
11	Tennoudai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan
12	Telephone number: +81 298 53 4533;
13	FAX number: +81 298 53 4533;
14	e-mail: shiratori.takashi.gm@u.tsukuba.ac.jp
15	
16	ABSTRACT
17	Rhabdamoeba marina is a unique and poorly reported amoeba with an uncertain
18	phylogenetic position. We successfully cultured R. marina from coastal seawater in Japan
19	and performed a molecular phylogenetic analysis using the small subunit ribosomal RNA
20	(SSU rRNA) gene sequence. Our phylogenetic analysis showed that <i>R. marina</i> branched as
21	a basal lineage of Chlorarachnea, a group of marine photosynthetic algae belonging to the
22	phylum Cercozoa within the supergroup Rhizaria. By comparing the ecological and
23	morphological characteristics of R. marina with those of photosynthetic chlorarachneans
24	and other cercozoans, we gained insight into the evolution and acquisition of plastids in
25	Chlorarachnida.
26	
27	Keywords
28	amoeba; Chlorarachnea; Chlorarachniophytes; flagellate; molecular phylogeny; ptotist;
29	SSU rRNA; ultrastructure
30	
31	Rhabdamoeba marina is a small marine amoeba described by Dunkerly (1921) from a
32	sample originating from Trichosphaerium material of the Plymouth Marine Laboratory,
33	England, UK. However, since it was first documented, R. marina has been absent from

1

- taxonomic and ecological studies for more than 70 years. Rogerson et al. (1998)
- 35 redescribed the amoeba based on a culture established from sand samples of Firth of Clyde,
- 36 Scotland, and revealed its unique characteristics, such as mostly immotile amoeboid cells
- 37 with rod-like bodies at the tip of flattened pseudopodia and flagellated cells with two
- 38 posterior flagella budding from the amoeboid cell. These distinct features have made it
- 39 challenging to assign *Rhabdamoeba* to any specific taxonomic group, and it has been
- 40 treated as an amoeba of uncertain affinity (Rogerson et al., 1998). Subsequently, Howe et
- 41 al. (2011) placed *Rhabdamoeba* within the cercozoan flagellate group Marimonadida owing
- 42 to the presence of two posteriorly directed flagella, as observed by Rogerson et al. (1998).
- 43 However, owing to the lack of available cultures of *Rhabdamoeba*, no phylogenetic studies
- 44 have been performed to validate this taxonomic classification. In the present study, we
- 45 established a culture of *R. marina* and performed microscopic observations and molecular
- 46 phylogenetic analysis. Based on the microscopic and phylogenetic data, we discuss the
- 47 taxonomic position and evolutionary characteristics of *R. marina*.
- 48

49 MATERIALS AND METHODS

50 Sample collection and culture establishment

Seawater sample was collected from the Tomari fishing port in Tottori, Japan (35.5142 °N, 133.9366 °E) on June 10, 2014. The sample was incubated with ESM medium at 20°C in the dark. After one month of incubation, amoeboid cells of *Rhabdamoeba marina* were detected in the sample. A clonal culture of *R. marina* (SRT404) was established from an amoeboid cell using the micropipetting isolation method. A culture of *Emiliania huxleyi* (CCMP 1516) was added to the isolated cell as prey. The two-member culture was

- 57 deposited and maintained in the National Institute for Environmental Sciences (NIES)
- 58 collection, IBARAKI, Japan, as NIES-4232.
- 59

60 Light microscopy

- 61 For light microscopy, SRT404 cells were placed in a glass-bottomed dish and observed
- 62 using an Olympus IX71 inverted microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with an
- 63 Olympus DP73 CCD camera (Olympus).
- 64

65 Electron microscopy

66 For transmission electron microscopy, SRT404 cells were removed from the bottom of the

- 67 culture vessels using a silicone rubber scraper and collected by centrifugation at $2000 \times g$
- 68 for 5 min. Pelleted cells were suspended in a mixture of 2% (w/v) glutaraldehyde, 0.2 M
- 69 sodium cacodylate, and 0.25 M sucrose and left for 1 h at room temperature for pre-
- fixation. The cells were then washed with 0.2 M sodium cacodylate buffer three times.
- 71 Cells were then post-fixed with 1% (v/v) OsO4 in 0.2 M sodium cacodylate buffer, and
- 72 dehydration was performed using a graded series of ethanol (30-100%; v/v). After
- dehydration, the cells were placed in a 1:1 mixture of 100% ethanol and acetone, followed
- by incubation in pure acetone twice. Resin replacement was performed using a 1:1 mixture
- of acetone and agar low-viscosity resin R1078 (Agar Scientific Ltd, Stansted, UK) for 1 h
- at room temperature, followed by pure resin for 2 h at room temperature. Resin was
- polymerized by heating at 60°C for 12 h. Ultrathin sections were prepared on a Reichert
- 78 Ultracut S ultramicrotome (Leica, Vienna, Austria), double-stained with 2% (w/v) uranyl
- acetate and lead citrate, and observed using a Hitachi H-7650 electron microscope (Hitachi
- 80 High-Technologies Corp. Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a Veleta TEM CCD camera
- 81 (Olympus).
- 82

83 DNA extraction and sequencing

Total DNA from the SRT404 was extracted from the cell pellets collected by centrifugation 84 85 using a DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen Science, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the 86 manufacturer's instructions. The small subunit ribosomal RNA (SSU rRNA) gene fragment 87 of the strain was amplified using PCR using primers 18F and 18R (Yabuki et al., 2010). Amplification involved 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec, annealing at 55°C for 88 89 30 sec, and extension at 72°C for 2 min, followed by an additional extension for 2 min at 90 72°C at the end of the reaction. Amplified DNA fragments were purified after gel 91 electrophoresis using a QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen Science) and then cloned into 92 the pGEM T-easy vector (Promega, Tokyo, Japan). The inserted DNA fragments were 93 sequenced using a 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Monza, Italy) and BigDye 94 Terminator v3.1 cycle sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems). The SSU rRNA gene 95 sequence of the SRT404 was deposited in GenBank under accession code xxxx. 96

97 Molecular phylogenetic analysis

- 98 For the molecular phylogenetic analysis, 79 cercozoan SSU rRNA gene sequences were
- 99 obtained from the NCBI database (<u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</u>). The SSU rRNA gene

- sequence of the SRT404 was added to the dataset and aligned using MAFFT v7.520 (Katoh
- and Standley, 2013) and edited manually by SeaView version 5.0.5 (Gouy et al., 2010).
- 102 Ambiguously aligned regions were deleted. The dataset comprised 1,571 nucleotide
- 103 positions. The maximum likelihood (ML) tree was searched using IQ-Tree v.1.6.12
- 104 (Nguyen et al., 2015) with the TIM+F+I+G4 model. Branch supports were obtained using
- an ultrafast bootstrap (BP, 1,000 replicates) with an IQ-Tree (Hoang et al., 2018). Bayesian
- analysis was carried out using MrBayes v.3.2.6 (Ronquist et al., 2012), with the GTR+ Γ
- 107 model. One cold and three heated Markov chain Monte Carlo simulations with default
- 108 chain temperatures were run for 2×10^6 generations, sampling the lnL values and trees at
- 109 100-generation intervals. Convergence was assessed using the average standard deviation
- 110 of split frequencies, and the first 25% of the total generations in each analysis were
- 111 discarded as 'burn-in'. Bayesian posterior probabilities (BPP) and branch lengths were
- 112 calculated from the remaining trees.
- 113

114 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

115 Light microscopic observations showed that the SRT404 exhibited amoeboid and

116 flagellated stages. The amoeboid cells had multiple flattened pseudopodia with rod-like

117 bodies at their tips (Fig. 1A, B). Amoeboid cells showed no obvious motility. A nucleus

- 118 with a nucleolus was observed in each amoeboid cell (Fig. 1A, B). The flagellated cells
- 119 were oval with two subequal flagella (Fig. 1C and D). The flagella were inserted from the
- 120 anterior ventral side of the cell and were directed posteriorly (Fig. 1D). The flagellates
- exhibited smooth gliding movements while trailing both flagella. Because the light
 microscopic features of the SRT404 corresponded with the description of *R. marina* by
- 123 Rogerson et al. (1998), we regarded this strain as *R. marina*.

124 Transmission electron microscopy revealed that amoeboid cells possessed a 125 nucleus with a nucleolus and food vacuoles (Fig. 1e). The cytoplasm contained many 126 vacuoles of various sizes (Fig. 1e-g). The small Golgi apparatuses, mitochondria with 127 tubular cristae, and microbodies were scattered throughout the cytoplasm (Fig. 1e, g). Large 128 cylindrical extrusomes were observed in flattened pseudopodia (Fig 1E, F). These 129 extrusomes may correspond to rod-like bodies as observed using light microscopy. In the

- 130 original description, Dunkerly (1921) used a fixed specimen in his light microscopic
- 131 observations and reported that rod-like bodies slightly project from the surface of
- 132 pseudopodia like tiny spines. We considered Dunkerly (1921) observed released extrusome

133 that caused by cell fixation.

134In the molecular phylogenetic analysis, *R. marina* grouped with environmental135sequences collected from marine lagoon, deep sea, and hydrothermal vent with strong136statistical support (BP = 100%, BPP = 1) (Fig. 1i). The *R. marina* and the environmental137sequences branched as a sister lineage of a clade comprising Chlorarachnida and138Minorisida (i.e., Chlorarachnea) with strong support (BP = 97%, BPP = 1) (Fig. 1I). Based139on the molecular phylogenetic analysis, we propose to move *Rhabdamoeba* from140Marimonadida to Chlorarachnea.

141 Chlorarachnea comprises photosynthetic Chlorarachnida and heterotrophic 142 Minorisida species. Chlorarachnida is a group of marine photosynthetic algae comprising amoebae, coccoids, and flagellates stages (Ishida et al., 2007; Keeling, 2017). The 143 144 Chlorarachnida plastid, derived from green algal symbionts, is closely related to 145 Bryopsidales through secondary endosymbiosis (Suzuki et al., 2016). Minorisida is a group 146 of heterotrophic bacterivorous uniflagellates that include the sole genus Minorisa. (del 147 Campo et al., 2013). Transmission electron microscopy did not reveal any plastids or their 148 possible remnant structures in R. marina cells. Because Minorisa also lacks plastids, 149 chlorarachnid plastids were probably acquired after the divergence of Minorisa. Chlorarachnida has a complex life cycle, comprising amoeba, coccoids, and flagellates 150 151 (Ishida et al., 2007; Keeling, 2017). In contrast, Minorisa and Rhabdamoeba have 152 excursive amoeba and flagellate stages (Shiratori et al., in press), suggesting that the 153 common ancestor of Chlorarachnea also possessed these two cell stages and lacked a 154 coccoid stage. In contrast to the bacterivorous *Minorisa*, Rogerson et al. (1998) reported 155 that *R. marina* feeds on small heterotrophic nanoflagellates and autotrophic protists. Our *R.* 156 marina culture also fed on the unicellular alga E. huxleyi. Considering plastid acquisition in 157 Chlorarachnida, it is reasonable that ancestral chlorarachneans are algivorous, and *Minorisa* 158 probably lost its algivorous ability. Rogerson et al. (1998) reported that flagellated cells 159 budded off amoeboid cells when localized prey were depleted. Budding flagellated cells 160 from amoeboid cells is reported in the granofilosean amoeba Clathrulina and 161 Reticulamoeba (Bass et al., 2009, 2012). Although the monophyly and phylogenetic 162 position of Granofilosea in Cercozoa is not clear, it is occasionally positioned as a sister 163 lineage of Chlorarachnea, with insufficient support (Cavalier-Smith et al. 2018). 164 Metromonadea is another group that is possibly a sister group of Chlorarachnea (Bass et al., 165 2009). Metromonadea comprises small but eukaryovorous flagellates that possess parallel

166	basal bodies and cylindrical extrusomes, such as R. marina (Myl'nikov et al., 1999;
167	Myl'nikova and Myl'nikov, 2011). Further taxonomic sampling and large-scale
168	phylogenetic analyses are required to resolve the relationship between Chlorarachnea and
169	other cercozoan taxa. This study shows that the poorly reported amoeba R. marina is a
170	basal lineage of Chlorarachnea. The genomic examination of Rhabdamoeba and Minorisa
171	may provide important insights into the evolution of Chlorarachnea.
172	
173	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
174	This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI, Grant Numbers 19H03282 and 18J02091.
175	
176	REFERENCES
177	Bass D., Chao E., Nikolaev S., Yabuki A., Ishida K., Berney C., Pakzad U., Wylezich C. &
178	Cavalier-Smith T. 2009. Phylogeny of novel naked Filose and Reticulose Cercozoa:
179	Granofilosea cl. n. and Proteomyxidea revised. Protist, 160:75-109.
180	Bass D., Yabuki A., Santini S., Romac S. & Berney C. 2012. Reticulamoeba is a long-
181	branched granofilosean (Cercozoa) that is missing from sequence databases. PLoS One,
182	7:e49090.
183	Cavalier-Smith T., Chao E. E. & Lewis R. 2018. Multigene phylogeny and cell evolution of
184	chromist infrakingdom Rhizaria: contrasting cell organisation of sister phyla Cercozoa
185	and Retaria. Protoplasma, 255:1517–1574.
186	Del Campo J., Not F., Forn I., Sieracki M. E. & Massana R. 2013. Taming the smallest
187	predators of the oceans. ISME J., 7:351-358.
188	Dunkerly J. S. 1921. Rhabdamoeba marina gen. n. et sp. n. Proc. R. Phys. Soc. Edinb.,
189	20:220-221.
190	Gouy M., Guindon S. & Gascuel O. 2010. SeaView version 4: a multiplatform graphical user
191	interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. Mol. Biol. Evol.,
192	27:221-224.
193	Hoang D. T., Chernomor O., von Haeseler A., Minh B. Q. & Vinh L. S. 2018. UFBoot2:
194	improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. Mol. Biol. Evol., 35:518-522.
195	Howe A. T., Bass D., Scoble J. M., Lewis R., Vickerman K., Arndt H. & Cavalier-Smith T.
196	2011. Novel cultured protists identify deep-branching environmental DNA clades of
197	Cercozoa: new Genera Tremula, Micrometopion, Minimassisteria, Nudifila, Peregrinia.
198	Protist, 162:332-372.

- 199 Ishida K., Yabuki A. & Ota S. 2007. The chlorarachniophytes: evolution and classification.
- In: Brodie J. and Lewis J. (eds) *Unravelling the algae: the past, present, and future of algal systematics.* CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group. 171-182.
- Katoh K. & Standley D. M. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
 improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 30:772-780.
- Keeling P. j. 2017. Chlorarachniophytes. In: Archibald J. M., Simpson A. G. B. and
 Slamovits C. (eds) *Handbook of the protists*. Springer International Publishing. 765-781.
- Myl'nikov A. P., Myl'nikova Z. M. & Tsvetkov A. I. 1999. Ultrastructure of the predatory
 marine flagellate Metopion fluens. *Tsitologiia*, 41:581-585.
- Myl'nikova A. P. & Myl'nikov Z. M. 2011. Ultrastructure of the marine predatory flagellate
 Metromonas simplex Larsen et Patterson, 1990 (Cercozoa). *Inland Water Biol.*, 4:5-10.
 Myl'nikova.
- Nguyen L. T., Schmidt H. A., von Haeseler A. & Minh B. Q. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and
 effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 32:268-274.
- Rogerson A., Hannah F. J. & Anderson O. R. 1998. A redescription of Rhabdamoeba marina,
 an inconspicuous marine amoeba from benthic sediments. *Invertebr. Biol.*, 117:261-270.
- Ronquist F., Teslenko M., van der Mark P., Ayres D. L., Darling A., Höhna S., Larget B.,
 Liu L., Suchard M. A. & Huelsenbeck J. P. 2012. MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian
 phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Syst. Biol.*, 61:539-
- 219 542.
- Shiratori T., Kato Y. & Ishida K. Molecular and morphological characterization of three
 novel *Minorisa* species (Chlorarachnea) and proposal for an emended description of the
 M. minuta. in press.
- Suzuki S., Hirakawa Y., Kofuji R., Sugita M. & Ishida K. I. 2016. Plastid genome sequences
 of *Gymnochlora stellata*, *Lotharella vacuolata*, and *Partenskyella glossopodia* reveal
 remarkable structural conservation among chlorarachniophyte species. J. Plant Res.,
 129:581-590.
- Yabuki A., Inagaki Y. & Ishida K. 2010. *Palpitomonas bilix* gen. et sp. nov.: A novel deepbranching heterotroph possibly related to Archaeplastida or Hacrobia. *Protist*, 161:523538.
- 230

231 FIGURE LEGENDS

- Figure 1 Light and electron micrographs of *Rhabdamoeba marina* SRT404 and maximum
- 233 likelihood tree of Cercozoa. A, B. Differential interference contrast (DIC) micrographs of
- living amoeboid cells of *R. marina*. **C**, **D.** DIC micrographs of living flagellated cells of *R*.
- 235 *marina*. E-G. A transmission electron micrograph of *R. marina*. H. A transmission electron
- 236 micrograph of an extrusome of *R. marina*. Arrows indicate extrusomes. Arrowheads
- 237 indicate rod-like bodies. Double arrowheads indicate microbodies. fv, food vacuole; G,
- 238 Golgi apparatus; N, nucleus; n, nucleolus; mt, mitochondria. I. Molecular phylogenetic tree
- using 1,571 nucleotide position of 80 cercozoan SSU rRNA gene sequences.
- 240 Monadofilosean sequences (37 OTUs) are indicated by a triangle. The complete
- 241 phylogenetic tree is shown in Figure S1. Values at each branch indicate the bootstrap
- probability (\geq 50% shown). Bold branches indicate Bayesian posterior probabilities \geq 0.95.
- 243

244 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S1. Maximum likelihood tree of Cercozoa using 1,571 nucleotide position of 80

- 246 cercozoan SSU rRNA gene sequences. Values at each branch indicate the bootstrap
- 247 probability (\geq 50% shown). Bold branches indicate Bayesian posterior probabilities \geq 0.95.

Figure 1



Figure S1

0.05

