

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371255450>

a review of MR4535663 Rogers, Morgan Toposes of topological monoid actions

Article · June 2023

CITATIONS

0

1 author:



Hirokazu Nishimura
University of Tsukuba

860 PUBLICATIONS 695 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Reviews [View project](#)



ABC conjecture [View project](#)

MR4535663 [18B25](#) [18B40](#) [18D25](#)

Rogers, Morgan (I-INS-NDM)

Toposes of topological monoid actions. (English summary)

Compositionality **5** (2023), no. 1, 49 pp.

The author [“Toposes of discrete monoid actions”, preprint, [arXiv:1905.10277](#)] investigated properties of presheaf toposes of the form $\text{PSh}(M)$ for a monoid M . For a topological group (G, τ) , the category $\text{Cont}(G, \tau)$ of continuous G -actions on discrete topological spaces is a Grothendieck topos. This paper studies the category of continuous actions of topological monoids.

The synopsis of the paper goes as follows:

§1 exhibits the necessary data to establish that the forgetful functor from the category $\text{Cont}(M, \tau)$ of continuous actions of a monoid with respect to an arbitrary topology τ to the topos $\text{PSh}(M)$ is left exact and comonadic (Proposition 1.4). The adjoint is to be expressed using either clopen subsets of (M, τ) or open relations. The existence of the adjunction guarantees that $\text{Cont}(M, \tau)$ is an elementary topos, so that the forgetful functor is the inverse image of a hyperconnected geometric morphism. Results from [M. Rogers, *Theory Appl. Categ.* **37** (2021), Paper No. 32, 1017–1079; [MR4326108](#)] on supercompactly generated toposes are recalled in §1.2, and applied in §1.3 to conclude that any topos of the form $\text{Cont}(M, \tau)$ is moreover a supercompactly generated Grothendieck topos, which gives rise to an intuitive Morita-equivalence result in terms of the category of continuous M -sets (Corollary 1.22). Finally, it is shown in §1.4 that the above characterization of toposes of the form $\text{Cont}(M, \tau)$ is not yet complete.

§2 examines the question of how much is recoverable about a topology τ on a monoid M from the hyperconnected morphism

$$\text{PSh}(M) \rightarrow \text{Cont}(M, \tau).$$

§3 considers the canonical surjective point of $\text{Cont}(M, \tau)$, which is the composite of the canonical essential surjective point of $\text{PSh}(M)$ and the hyperconnected morphism obtained in §1. The author aims to characterize this class of toposes in terms of the existence of a point of this form, just as O. Caramello [*Adv. Math.* **291** (2016), 646–695; [MR3459027](#)] did for topological groups.

§4 shows that continuous semigroup homomorphisms between topological monoids induce geometric morphisms between the corresponding toposes of continuous actions, while it was shown in [M. Rogers, op. cit., [arXiv:1905.10277](#)] that semigroup homomorphisms correspond to essentially geometric morphisms between toposes of discrete monoid actions. When a geometric morphism g is induced by a continuous semigroup homomorphism ϕ between complete monoids, it is shown that the surjection-inclusion factorization of g is canonically represented by the factorization of ϕ into a monoid homomorphism followed by an inclusion of a subsemigroup (Theorem 4.15). It is also shown that the hyperconnected-localic factorization of g can be identified with the dense-closed factorization of ϕ (Theorem 4.19).

§5 summarizes the unresolved problems the author has encountered along the way, suggesting some future directions in which this research might proceed.

{Editor’s comment: This review also appears in zbMATH as Zbl 07641774.}

Hirokazu Nishimura