

Original article

# Walking-friendly built environments and objectively measured physical function in older adults

Mohammad Javad Koohsari<sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>, Gavin R. McCormack<sup>d,e,f</sup>, Tomoki Nakaya<sup>g</sup>, Ai Shibata<sup>h</sup>,  
Kaori Ishii<sup>a</sup>, Akitomo Yasunaga<sup>i</sup>, Yung Liao<sup>a</sup>, Koichiro Oka<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Faculty of Sport Sciences, Waseda University, Tokorozawa 359-1192, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Behavioural Epidemiology Laboratory, Baker Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, VIC 3004, Australia

<sup>c</sup> Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia

<sup>d</sup> Department of Community Health Sciences, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta T2N 4Z6, Canada

<sup>e</sup> Faculty of Kinesiology, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta T2N 4Z6, Canada

<sup>f</sup> School of Architecture, Planning and Landscape, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta T2N 4Z6, Canada

<sup>g</sup> Graduate School of Environmental Studies, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-0845, Japan

<sup>h</sup> Faculty of Health and Sport Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba 305-8574, Japan

<sup>i</sup> Faculty of Liberal Arts and Sciences, Bunka Gakuen University, Tokyo 151-8523, Japan

Received 27 August 2019; revised 22 November 2019; accepted 12 December 2019

Available online 7 February 2020

2095-2546/© 2020 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Shanghai University of Sport. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license.  
(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

## Abstract

**Background:** Few studies have examined the associations between urban design attributes and older adults' physical function. Especially, it is not well known how built-environment attributes may influence physical function in Asian cities. The aim of this study was to examine associations between objectively measured environmental attributes of walkability and objectively assessed physical function in a sample of Japanese older adults.

**Methods:** Cross-sectional data collected in 2013 from 314 older residents (aged 65–84 years) living in Japan were used. Physical function was estimated from objectively measured upper- and lower-body function, mobility, and balance by a trained research team member. A comprehensive list of built-environment attributes, including population density, availability of destinations, intersection density, and distance to the nearest public transport station, were objectively calculated. Walk Score as a composite measure of neighborhood walkability was also obtained.

**Results:** Among men, higher population density, availability of destinations, and intersection density were significantly associated with better physical function performance (1-legged stance with eyes open). Higher Walk Score was also marginally associated with better physical function performance (1-legged stance with eyes open). None of the environmental attributes were associated with physical function in elderly women.

**Conclusion:** Our findings indicate that environmental attributes of walkability are associated with the physical function of elderly men in the context of Asia. Walking-friendly neighborhoods can not only promote older adults' active behaviors but can also support their physical function.

**Keywords:** Elderly; Functional test; Neighborhood; Urban design; Walkability

## 1. Introduction

The world's population is ageing dramatically, and the number of older adults is growing rapidly worldwide. For example, in the United States, 88.5 million people will be older than 65 years by 2050.<sup>1</sup> In Japan, one of every 3 people will be 65 years of age

or older by 2036.<sup>2</sup> Maintaining older adults' physical function is a key factor in supporting their ability to be independent and to age healthfully.<sup>3</sup> Physical function refers to the ability to perform the basic daily activities, such as eating, bathing, toileting, and dressing, that are required to remain independent.<sup>4</sup> There is well-established evidence of the positive role of physical activity (PA) on physical function in the elderly.<sup>5,6</sup> For example, a longitudinal study found that PA over the life course was associated with less physical function decline in older adults.<sup>7</sup>

Peer review under responsibility of Shanghai University of Sport.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [mkoohsari@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:mkoohsari@unimelb.edu.au) (M.J. Koohsari).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2020.02.002>

Cite this article: Koohsari MJ, McCormack GR, Nakaya T, Shibata A, Ishii K, Yasunaga A, et al. Walking-friendly built environments and objectively measured physical function in older adults. *J Sport Health Sci* 2020;9:651–6.

Older adults' physical function gradually declines with age, so their immediate surrounding environments, such as home and neighborhood, play an important role in supporting their physical function, mainly by providing opportunities for them to remain physically active.<sup>8</sup> The hypothesis is that walkable environments can positively impact older adults' physical function by providing more opportunities to be physically active. A growing body of research has examined the built-environment correlates of older adults' PA. A recent systematic review using a meta-analysis reported strong associations between a neighborhood's physical attributes, such as residential density, walkability, street connectivity, and availability of destinations, with older adults' active movements.<sup>9</sup> There are few studies, however, examining how these environmental attributes of walkability may influence older adults' physical function.<sup>10–17</sup> For example, a study conducted in the United States found that perceived neighborhood problems (e.g., noise, traffic, poor lighting) were associated with physical function decline over 1 year.<sup>10</sup> A longitudinal study conducted in the United Kingdom found that better access to and availability of green spaces was associated with slower decline in physical function among the elderly.<sup>13</sup> Another recent study found that living in higher walkability areas was negatively associated with functional disability among older adults in Brazil.<sup>17</sup>

Notably, the majority of these studies were conducted in the context of Western cities in the UK and US. It is less well known how built-environment attributes may influence physical function in Asian cities, especially in Japan. As a super-aged society, creating healthful cities that support aging in place for older people has become an ongoing focus in Japan.<sup>18</sup> However, the lack of evidence-based information regarding walking-friendly neighborhood design in the context of ageing societies is a key challenge.<sup>18</sup> Similar to many Asian cities, Japanese cities are highly populated and dense. These unique urban-form characteristics are the reasons that the results of previous studies investigating associations between built-environment attributes and physical function conducted in Western countries may not be fully applicable to Asian cities. Evidence from Asia has global relevance and will be of interest to policymakers, population/public health practitioners, and researchers, especially those interested in supporting physical function among older adults in densely populated, compact cities.

Therefore, the current study aimed to examine associations between objectively measured environmental attributes of walkability and objectively assessed physical function in a sample of Japanese older adults.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Data source and sample

Data were obtained from a larger epidemiologic study conducted in 2013 at Waseda University; it examined social and built-environment determinants of Japanese older adults' health behaviors and outcomes. A detailed description of the sample design and data collection are provided elsewhere.<sup>19,20</sup> Briefly, data were obtained from older adult residents living in

Matsudo City, Chiba prefecture, Japan. To recruit participants, an invitation letter was sent to 3000 older adult residents (aged 65–84 years) who were randomly selected from the government registry of residential addresses of 107,928 older adults. Of these, a total of 951 (31.7%) took part in the main study, and 349 (36.7%) participated in a 2-h on-site examination in which health outcomes (e.g., physical function, body mass index) were collected objectively. A book voucher (JPY1000) was given to those participants who completed the on-site examination. All participants provided written informed consent. The Institutional Ethics Committee of Waseda University (2013–265) and the Institutional Review Board of Chiba Prefectural University of Health Sciences (2012–042) approved the study.

### 2.2. Measures

#### 2.2.1. Physical function

A trained research team member assessed physical function by using objective measures of upper- and lower-body function, mobility, and balance. Upper-body function was measured by using a hand-grip strength evaluation. Grip strength is a valid indicator of overall health, including physical function in older adults.<sup>21,22</sup> To measure hand-grip strength, participants stood upright and squeezed, as hard as possible, a Smedley-type handgrip dynamometer (TKK5041, Takei Scientific Instruments, Niigata, Japan) with the grip size adjusted to a comfortable level.<sup>23</sup> Participants performed 1 trial with the dominant hand (to the nearest 0.1 kg). Lower-body function was measured by maximum gait speed.<sup>24</sup> The maximum gait speed was measured over an 11-m walkway course starting when the body trunk passed the 3-m mark and ending when the body trunk passed the 8-m mark. Maximum gait speed was measured once and calculated as distance divided by walking time (m/s; to the nearest 0.1 m/s). The timed up-and-go test was used to measure mobility.<sup>25</sup> The timed up-and-go was evaluated by asking participants to stand up from an armless chair, walk on a flat surface a distance of 3 m as fast as possible, and return to the chair and sit. The timed up-and-go was conducted twice, and the faster of the 2 results (to the nearest 0.1 s) was used. Balance was assessed using a 1-legged stance test with eyes open. Participants raise 1 preferred leg and stand as long as possible. They were timed until they lost their balance or reached a maximum of 60 s. Participants did 2 trials, and the longer of the 2 results (to the nearest 0.1 s) was recorded.

#### 2.2.2. Environmental attributes of walkability

A comprehensive list of environmental attributes, including population density, availability of destinations, intersection density, and distance to the nearest public transport station, that are associated with older adults' PA<sup>26,27</sup> were included in this study. These attributes were calculated by using geographic information systems software in both 800 m (0.5 mile) and 1600 m (1 mile) road network-based buffers around each participant's geocoded residential address. These buffers were chosen in order to be consistent with several previous studies

examining associations between built-environment attributes and older adults' health.<sup>28–31</sup> Population density was defined as the density of each buffer area (excluding water and no-population zones) using the 500-m gridded population data from the 2010 Japanese population census in the format of Half Grid Square, which is a type of small area unit commonly used for various national statistics in Japan (<https://www.stat.go.jp/english/data/mesh/06.html>). The availability of destinations referred to the total number of 9 types of destinations—banks, bookstores, convenience stores, elementary schools, community centers, postoffices, restaurants, supermarket/department stores, and sports/fitness clubs—calculated within each buffer. Geographic information systems-based location information of the public facilities is available in the 2010–2013 National Land Numerical Information, which is maintained as open data by the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism (<http://nlftp.mlit.go.jp/ksj-e/index.html>). The location information of private destinations were obtained by geocoding their addresses as listed in the digitized version of Hello Page, which is a telephone directory maintained by Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation. The 2015 telephone directory data and the 2010–2013 National Land Numerical Information were used to calculate the availability of destinations. Intersection density was measured as the ratio of 3-way or more intersections per km<sup>2</sup> by using data from the 2015 Digital Maps (Basic Geospatial Information), which comprise geographic information systems vector datasets created and updated by the Geospatial Information Authority of Japan (<https://www.gsi.go.jp/common/000078705.pdf>). The network-based distance was used to calculate the distance between each participant's location and the nearest public transport station. Walk Score, a publicly available tool, was also obtained from its website ([www.walkscore.com](http://www.walkscore.com)) as a composite measure of neighborhood walkability. The validity of the Walk Score as an objective composite measure of neighborhood walkability in Japan has been reported elsewhere.<sup>32</sup> Walk Score takes into account the access to a variety of destinations, residential density, and street connectivity around any given address. Higher Walk Scores indicate the potential of a location to be conducive to walking.

### 2.2.3. Covariates

As part of the on-site examination, participants were asked to answer self-administered questionnaires that included sociodemographic information, length of residence, and measures of lower body pain, depression, and cognitive function. Participants reported the following sociodemographic characteristics: age, gender, educational attainment (tertiary or higher, below tertiary), living status (alone, with others), and working status (working with income, retired). Participants were also asked about their lower-body pain using a 1–4 scale (1 = *no pain*, 2 = *mild*, 3 = *moderate*, and 4 = *severe*) and length of residence in the same house (as a proxy for exposure to the built environment). Depression was measured using the Japanese version of the 15-item Geriatric Depression scale.<sup>33,34</sup> Cognitive function was assessed using the Mini-Mental State Examination.<sup>35</sup>

### 2.3. Statistical analysis

The associations among environmental attributes of walkability (continuously) and objectively assessed physical function measures were examined by multiple linear regression with adjustment for covariates (age, educational attainment, living status, working status, lower-body pain, length of residence, depression, and cognitive function) stratified by gender. PA is a potential mediator between the built-environment attributes and physical function, so those who were unable to engage in PA were removed from the analysis. Furthermore, each environmental attribute was examined separately in each model (not mutually adjusted because of collinearity) to examine their total effects. Analyses were conducted using Stata 15.0 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA), and the level of significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ .

### 3. Results

After excluding those with missing physical function values and those who were unable to engage in PA, data from 314 participants were included in this study. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the sample. The mean age was 74.6 years, and just over one-third (38.2%) were female, just over one-third (36.9%) had completed tertiary or higher education, 86.6% were living with others, more than two-thirds (74.2%) were on pension, and 48.4% had no lower-body pain. In men, the mean age was 75.2 years, and approximately 44.3% had completed a tertiary or higher education. These figures (the mean age and education levels) were lower in women.

Table 1  
Characteristics of study participants ( $n = 314$ ).

Variable	Total	Women ( $n = 120$ )	Men ( $n = 194$ )	$p^a$
<b>Age (year)</b>	74.6 ± 5.3	73.8 ± 5.0	75.2 ± 5.4	<0.01
<b>Education</b>				
Tertiary or higher	116 (36.9)	30 (25.0)	86 (44.3)	<0.01
Below tertiary	193 (61.5)	89 (74.2)	104 (53.6)	
Missing	5 (1.6)	1 (0.8)	4 (2.1)	
<b>Living status</b>				
Alone	37 (11.8)	18 (15.0)	19 (9.8)	ns
With others	272 (86.6)	101 (84.2)	171 (88.1)	
Missing	5 (1.6)	1 (0.8)	4 (2.1)	
<b>Working status</b>				
Working with income	80 (25.5)	25 (20.8)	55 (28.4)	ns
On pension	233 (74.2)	95 (79.2)	138 (71.1)	
Missing	1 (0.3)	–	1 (0.5)	
<b>Lower body pain</b>				
No pain	152 (48.4)	58 (48.3)	94 (48.5)	ns
Mild	130 (41.4)	49 (40.8)	81 (41.8)	
Moderate	26 (8.3)	10 (8.3)	16 (8.2)	
Severe	4 (1.3)	3 (2.5)	1 (0.5)	
Missing	2 (0.6)	–	2 (1.0)	
<b>Length of residence</b>	31.0 ± 15.6	30.9 ± 13.4	31.0 ± 16.8	ns
<b>Depression (GDS-15 score)</b>	2.8 ± 3.0	2.8 ± 2.7	2.8 ± 3.1	ns
<b>Cognitive function (MMSE score)</b>	27.6 ± 2.7	27.3 ± 3.4	27.7 ± 2.2	ns

Note: Values are presented as mean ± SD or  $n$  (%).

<sup>a</sup> Based on independent  $t$  test or  $\chi^2$  test.

Abbreviations: GDS = Geriatric Depression scale; MMSE = Mini Mental State Examination; ns = non significant.

Table 2 and Table 3 show the associations between environmental attributes of walkability and objectively assessed physical function measures, stratified by gender. Most of the physical function outcomes, except the 1-legged stance with eyes open measure, were not significantly associated with environmental attributes of walkability. Among men, there were significant associations among population density within 1600 m ( $\beta = 3.11$ , 95%CI: 0.39–5.83), availability of destinations within 1600 m ( $\beta = 4.73$ , 95%CI: 1.99–7.47), intersection density within 800 m ( $\beta = 3.39$ , 95%CI: 0.32–6.47), and 1-legged stance with eyes open. Walk Score was also marginally significantly associated with 1-legged stance with eyes open ( $\beta = 3.06$ , 95%CI: –0.02 to 6.15). Among women, none of the environmental attributes were significantly linearly associated with physical-function measures.

#### 4. Discussion

This study examined the associations between walkable environmental attributes and objectively assessed physical function in a sample of older Japanese adults. We found linear positive associations between population density and

availability of destinations within a 1600-m buffer, intersection density within 800 m, and Walk Score (marginally significant) with physical function (1-legged stance with eyes open) among men. This finding is consistent with previous findings that have shown associations between walkable environments and older adults' physical function.<sup>10,12,14</sup> Our study provides unique findings in the context of less-studied Asian cities. There are several pathways through which the walking-friendly built environment may positively influence physical function among older adults. In addition to supporting PA, the environmental attributes of walkability can impact physical function through fostering social interactions. Several studies have shown that residents who lived in walkable environments may have better opportunities to interact with their neighbors and better social engagement,<sup>36–38</sup> which can positively influence their physical function.<sup>39,40</sup>

Our findings indicate that walkable environments may not be relevant to supporting physical function in elderly women. Previous studies have shown that risk factors for older adults' physical function may differ by gender.<sup>41,42</sup> For instance, a cross-sectional study found that PA was a strong predictor of physical function

Table 2  
Association between environmental attributes of walkability and objectively assessed physical function measures among men.

	Hand-grip strength $\beta$ (95%CI)	Maximum gait speed $\beta$ (95%CI)	Time up-and-go $\beta$ (95%CI)	One-legged stance with eyes open $\beta$ (95%CI)
<b>Population density</b>				
Within 800 m buffer	–0.32 (–1.29 to 0.65)	–0.01 (–0.07 to 0.05)	–0.03 (–0.19 to 0.12)	2.41 (–0.40 to 5.22)
Within 1600 m buffer	–0.60 (–1.54 to 0.34)	–0.02 (–0.08 to 0.04)	–0.02 (–0.17 to 0.13)	3.11 (0.39 to 5.83)*
<b>Availability of destinations</b>				
Within 800 m buffer	–0.02 (–0.98 to 0.93)	–0.00 (–0.06 to 0.06)	–0.05 (–0.20 to 0.11)	2.42 (–0.35 to 5.20)
Within 1600 m buffer	–0.09 (–1.06 to 0.88)	–0.03 (–0.10 to 0.03)	–0.04 (–0.20 to 0.12)	4.73 (1.99 to 7.47)*
<b>Intersection density</b>				
Within 800 m buffer	–0.49 (–1.55 to 0.57)	–0.04 (–0.11 to 0.02)	–0.10 (–0.27 to 0.08)	3.39 (0.32 to 6.47)*
Within 1600 m buffer	0.06 (–0.97 to 1.09)	–0.06 (–0.12 to 0.01)	–0.12 (–0.29 to 0.05)	1.92 (–1.08 to 4.92)
<b>Access to public transport station</b>	0.84 (–0.13 to 1.82)	–0.04 (–0.10 to 0.03)	0.04 (–0.12 to 0.20)	–0.88 (–3.75 to 1.99)
<b>Walk score</b>	–0.29 (–1.38 to 0.79)	–0.01 (–0.08 to 0.06)	–0.09 (–0.26 to 0.08)	3.06 (–0.02 to 6.15) <sup>#</sup>

Note: All models adjusted for age, education, living status, working status, lower-body pain, length of residence, depression, and cognitive function.

\*  $p < 0.05$ ; <sup>#</sup>  $p < 0.06$ .

Abbreviations:  $\beta$  = regression coefficients for standardized environmental variables; CI = confidence interval.

Table 3  
Association between environmental attributes of walkability and objectively assessed physical function measures among women.

	Hand-grip strength $\beta$ (95%CI)	Maximum gait speed $\beta$ (95%CI)	Time up-and-go $\beta$ (95%CI)	One-legged stance with eyes open $\beta$ (95%CI)
<b>Population density</b>				
Within 800 m buffer	0.37 (–0.59 to 1.32)	–0.06 (–0.17 to 0.05)	–0.09 (–0.30 to 0.12)	1.15 (–2.59 to 4.89)
Within 1600 m buffer	0.29 (–0.72 to 1.30)	–0.07 (–0.18 to 0.05)	–0.13 (–0.35 to 0.09)	1.12 (–2.80 to 5.05)
<b>Availability of destinations</b>				
Within 800 m buffer	–0.30 (–1.28 to 0.69)	0.03 (–0.08 to 0.15)	0.09 (–0.12 to 0.31)	–1.41 (–5.25 to 2.43)
Within 1600 m buffer	–0.32 (–1.30 to 0.66)	–0.02 (–0.14 to 0.09)	–0.04 (–0.25 to 0.18)	–0.46 (–4.30 to 3.37)
<b>Intersection density</b>				
Within 800 m buffer	–0.53 (–1.38 to 0.31)	–0.02 (–0.12 to 0.08)	–0.09 (–0.27 to 0.10)	1.76 (–1.55 to 5.08)
Within 1600 m buffer	–0.68 (–1.55 to 0.20)	–0.02 (–0.12 to 0.09)	–0.05 (–0.24 to 0.14)	1.40 (–2.04 to 4.84)
<b>Access to public transport station</b>	0.34 (–0.64 to 1.32)	0.02 (–0.10 to 0.13)	0.09 (–0.13 to 0.30)	1.51 (–2.31 to 5.35)
<b>Walk score</b>	0.42 (–0.62 to 1.46)	–0.05 (–0.16 to 0.07)	–0.06 (–0.28 to 0.17)	0.43 (–3.69 to 4.56)

Note: All models adjusted for age, education, living status, working status, lower-body pain, length of residence, depression, and cognitive function.

Abbreviations:  $\beta$  = regression coefficients for standardized environmental variables.



among men but not women.<sup>41</sup> Nevertheless, our results were in contrast with a previous study conducted in the US that found a significant association between neighborhood walkability and a slower decline in physical function among women who engaged in walking.<sup>11</sup> While Michael et al.'s study<sup>11</sup> was conducted across 4 metropolitan areas in the US, in a Western sprawl context, our study was conducted in a compact Asian context where environmental attributes, such as population and destinations, are much higher. It is likely that exposure to different levels of environmental attributes may cause these differing results between the 2 studies. Furthermore, it is possible that other aspects of the built environment that were not measured in this study (such as traffic safety or greenery) may be needed to encourage PA in women and influence their physical function. Future research from various geographic locations with a comprehensive list of built-environment attributes are needed to identify whether and how environmental attributes may influence elderly women's physical function.

Our study had several limitations. As a cross-sectional study, causal relationships between variables cannot be inferred. Data from a relatively healthy sample were used in this study, which may limit the generalizability of our findings. We tested only for linear associations between the built environment and physical function. However, there may be optimal levels above or below which associations between the built environment and physical function differ. This provides urban designers and public health policymakers with more specific criteria for the optimal number of attributes necessary to influence physical function among the elderly.<sup>43</sup> Future studies from areas with differing amounts of built-environment measures are necessary to examine these optimal values. Furthermore, it is possible that the association between the built environment and physical function is conservative, given that those who were not able to be physically active were excluded, and these individuals may have the lowest or poorest physical function. However, we conceptualized PA as a mediator of the built environment/physical function relationship. Those who are unable to engage in PA were likely not impacted by the features of the built environment associated with walkability. A strength of this study is the use of both objectively assessed built-environment and physical-function attributes. Additionally, 2 spatial buffers were used to calculate environmental attributes of walkability, which may better capture residents' activity spaces (compared with using only 1 buffer).

## 5. Conclusion

The results of this study support the hypothesis that walkability impacts physical function among elderly men. Walking-friendly neighborhoods can not only promote older adults' active behaviors but can also support their physical function. More evidence is needed to explore how walking-friendly neighborhood design, among various geographical contexts, may influence older adults' physical function.

## Acknowledgments

MJK was supported by the JSPS KAKENHI (#JP15H02964). KO is supported by the MEXT-Supported

Program for the Strategic Research Foundation at Private Universities, 2015–2019, the Japan Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (S1511017).

## Authors' contributions

MJK, GRM, and KO conceived and designed the study, analyzed data, interpreted the findings, and contributed to writing the manuscript; TN, AS, KI, AY, and YL interpreted the findings and contributed to writing the manuscript. All authors contributed to the writing and assisted with the analysis and interpretation. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript, and agree with the order of the presentation of authors.

## Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests. None of the authors has any financial interest in walkscore.com.

## References

1. Vincent GK, Velkoff VA. *The next four decades: the older population in the United States: 2010 to 2050*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration, U.S. Census Bureau; 2010.
2. National Institute of Population and Social Security Research of Japan. *Population Projections for Japan (2016-2065)*. Tokyo: National Institute of Population and Social Security Research of Japan; 2017.
3. Bauman A, Merom D, Bull FC, Buchner DM, Fiatarone Singh MA. Updating the evidence for physical activity: summative reviews of the epidemiological evidence, prevalence, and interventions to promote "active aging". *Gerontologist* 2016;**56**(Suppl. 2):S268–80.
4. Painter P, Stewart AL, Carey S. Physical functioning: definitions, measurement, and expectations. *Adv Ren Replace Ther* 1999;**6**:110–23.
5. Manini TM, Pahor M. Physical activity and maintaining physical function in older adults. *Br J Sports Med* 2009;**43**:28–31.
6. Giné-Garriga M, Roqué-Fíguls M, Coll-Planas L, Sitjà-Rabert M, Salvà A. Physical exercise interventions for improving performance-based measures of physical function in community-dwelling, frail older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2014;**95**:753–69. e3.
7. Stenholm S, Koster A, Valkeinen H, Patel KV, Bandinelli S, Guralnik JM, et al. Association of physical activity history with physical function and mortality in old age. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2016;**71**:496–501.
8. Rosso AL, Auchincloss AH, Michael YL. The urban built environment and mobility in older adults: a comprehensive review. *J Aging Res* 2011;**2011**:816106. doi:10.4061/2011/816106.
9. Cerin E, Nathan A, van Cauwenberg J, Barnett DW, Barnett A. Council on Environment and Physical Activity (CEPA), Older Adults working group. The neighbourhood physical environment and active travel in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2017;**14**:15. doi:10.1186/s12966-017-0471-5.
10. Balfour JL, Kaplan GA. Neighborhood environment and loss of physical function in older adults: evidence from the Alameda County Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2002;**155**:507–15.
11. Michael YL, Gold R, Perrin NA, Hillier TA. Built environment and lower extremity physical performance: prospective findings from the study of osteoporotic fractures in women. *J Aging Health* 2011;**23**:1246–62.
12. Soma Y, Tsunoda K, Kitano N, Jindo T, Tsuji T, Saghadzadeh M, et al. Relationship between built environment attributes and physical function in Japanese community-dwelling older adults. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2017;**17**:382–90.
13. de Keijzer C, Tonne C, Sabia S, Basagaña X, Valentín A, Singh-Manoux A, et al. Green and blue spaces and physical functioning in older adults:

- longitudinal analyses of the Whitehall II study. *Environ Int* 2019;**122**:346–56.
14. Brown SC, Mason CA, Perrino T, Lombard JL, Martinez F, Plater-Zyberk E, et al. Built environment and physical functioning in Hispanic elders: the role of “eyes on the street”. *Environ Health Perspect* 2008;**116**:1300–7.
  15. Clarke PJ. The role of the built environment and assistive devices for outdoor mobility in later life. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2014;**69** (Suppl. 1):S8–15.
  16. Brenner AB, Clarke PJ. Understanding socioenvironmental contributors to racial and ethnic disparities in disability among older Americans. *Res Aging* 2018;**40**:103–30.
  17. Fogal AS, Pessoa MC, Fernandes Filho EI, Ribeiro AQ. Built urban environment and functional incapacity: enabling healthy aging. *J Transp & Health* 2019;**14**:100574. doi:10.1016/j.jth.2019.100574.
  18. Koohsari MJ, Nakaya T, Oka K. Activity-friendly built environments in a super-aged society, Japan: current challenges and toward a research agenda. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2018;**15**:2054. doi:10.3390/ijerph15092054.
  19. Shibata A, Oka K, Ishii K, Miyawaki R, Inoue S, Sugiyama T, et al. Objectively assessed patterns and reported domains of sedentary behavior among Japanese older adults. *J Epidemiol* 2019;**29**:334–9.
  20. Koohsari MJ, McCormack GR, Nakaya T, Shibata A, Ishii K, Yasunaga A, et al. Urban design and Japanese older adults’ depressive symptoms. *Cities* 2019;**87**:166–73.
  21. Forrest KYZ, Williams AM, Leeds MJ, Robare JF, Bechard TJ. Patterns and correlates of grip strength in older Americans. *Curr Aging Sci* 2018;**11**:63–70.
  22. Taekema DG, Gussekloo J, Maier AB, Westendorp RG, de Craen AJ. Handgrip strength as a predictor of functional, psychological and social health: a prospective population-based study among the oldest old. *Age Ageing* 2010;**39**:331–7.
  23. Kamide N, Kamiya R, Nakazono T, Ando M. Reference values for hand grip strength in Japanese community-dwelling elderly: a meta-analysis. *Environ Health Prev Med* 2015;**20**:441–6.
  24. Peel NM, Kuys SS, Klein K. Gait speed as a measure in geriatric assessment in clinical settings: a systematic review. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2013;**68**:39–46.
  25. Herman T, Giladi N, Hausdorff JM. Properties of the “timed up and go” test: more than meets the eye. *Gerontology* 2011;**57**:203–10.
  26. Barnett DW, Barnett A, Nathan A, Van Cauwenberg J, Cerin E. Council on Environment and Physical Activity (CEPA), Older Adults working group. Built environmental correlates of older adults’ total physical activity and walking: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2017;**14**:103. doi:10.1186/s12966-017-0558-z.
  27. Moran M, Van Cauwenberg J, Hercky-Linnewiel R, Cerin E, Deforche B, Plaut P. Understanding the relationships between the physical environment and physical activity in older adults: a systematic review of qualitative studies. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2014;**11**:79. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-11-79.
  28. Dalton AM, Wareham N, Griffin S, Jones AP. Neighbourhood greenspace is associated with a slower decline in physical activity in older adults: a prospective cohort study. *SSM Popul Health* 2016;**2**:683–91.
  29. Nagel CL, Carlson NE, Bosworth M, Michael YL. The relation between neighborhood built environment and walking activity among older adults. *Am J Epidemiol* 2008;**168**:461–8.
  30. Wang Z, Lee C. Site and neighborhood environments for walking among older adults. *Health Place* 2010;**16**:1268–79.
  31. Dempsey S, Lyons S, Nolan A. Urban green space and obesity in older adults: evidence from Ireland. *SSM Popul Health* 2018;**4**:206–15.
  32. Koohsari MJ, Sugiyama T, Hanibuchi T, Shibata A, Ishii K, Liao Y, et al. Validity of Walk Score<sup>®</sup> as a measure of neighborhood walkability in Japan. *Prev Med Rep* 2018;**9**:114–7.
  33. Niino NA. Japanese translation of the geriatric depression scale. *Clin Gerontol* 1991;**10**:85–7.
  34. Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, Lum O, Huang V, Adey M, et al. Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale: a preliminary report. *J Psychiatr Res* 1982;**17**:37–49.
  35. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. “Mini-mental state”: a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975;**12**:189–98.
  36. Zhu X, Yu CY, Lee C, Lu Z, Mann G. A retrospective study on changes in residents’ physical activities, social interactions, and neighborhood cohesion after moving to a walkable community. *Prev Med* 2014;**69**(Suppl. 1):S93–7.
  37. Rogers SH, Halstead JM, Gardner KH, Carlson CH. Examining walkability and social capital as indicators of quality of life at the municipal and neighborhood scales. *Appl Res Qual Life* 2011;**6**:201–13.
  38. Rogers SH, Gardner KH, Carlson CH. Social capital and walkability as social aspects of sustainability. *Sustainability* 2013;**5**:3473–83.
  39. Ali T, Nilsson CJ, Weuve J, Rajan KB, Mendes de Leon CF. Effects of social network diversity on mortality, cognition and physical function in the elderly: a longitudinal analysis of the Chicago Health and Aging Project (CHAP). *J Epidemiol Commun Health* 2018;**72**:990–6.
  40. Buchman AS, Boyle PA, Wilson RS, Fleischman DA, Leurgans S, Bennett DA. Association between late-life social activity and motor decline in older adults. *Arch Intern Med* 2009;**169**:1139–46.
  41. Straight CR, Brady AO, Evans E. Sex-specific relationships of physical activity, body composition, and muscle quality with lower-extremity physical function in older men and women. *Menopause* 2015;**22**:297–303.
  42. Bouchard DR, Héroux M, Janssen I. Association between muscle mass, leg strength, and fat mass with physical function in older adults: influence of age and sex. *J Aging Health* 2011;**23**:313–28.
  43. Koohsari MJ, Badland H, Giles-Corti B. (Re)Designing the built environment to support physical activity: bringing public health back into urban design and planning. *Cities* 2013;**35**:294–8.