

Gindi, Harry

Coherent nerves for higher quasicategories. (English) [Zbl 07377654] Theory Appl. Categ. 37, 709-817 (2021)

Oury [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258453234_Duality_for_Joyal's_category_theta_and_homotopy_concepts_for_theta2-sets] has shown that his constructed model structure on Θ_2 -sets is Cartesian closed. Around the same time, C. Rezk [Geom. Topol. 14, No. 1, 521–571 (2010; Zbl 1203.18015)] constructed a model structure on Θ_n -spaces, which, in the case of n=2, was expected to be Quillen equivalent to a model structure on the category of Θ_2 -sets proposed by Joyal and Cisinski (later constructed by D. Ara [J. K-Theory 14, No. 3, 701–749 (2014; Zbl 1322.18002)] and by the author ["A homotopy theory of weak ω -categories", Preprint, arXiv:1207.0860]) that coincides with Oury's model structure.

J. E. Bergner and C. Rezk [Geom. Topol. 17, No. 4, 2163–2202 (2013; Zbl 1273.18031); "Comparison of models for (∞, n) -categories. II", Preprint, arXiv:1406.4182] have shown by means of a zig-zag of Quillen equivalences that the category of Θ_n -spaces with Rezk's model structure models the same homotopy theory as the model category of $\mathrm{Psh}_{\Delta}\left(\Theta_{n-1}\right)$ -enriched categories with the Bergner-Lurie model structure for categories enriched in Θ_{n-1} -spaces with Rezk's model structure. Since the equivalence is highly indirect, many of the ideas from J. Lurie's work [Higher topos theory. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press (2009; Zbl 1175.18001)] on $(\infty,1)$ -categories could not be adapted straightforwardly, in particular, his construction of the Yoneda embedding and his proof of Yoneda's lemma.

The principal objective in this paper is to rectify this situation in two steps.

- [1] The author exploits Oury's machinery to construct a model structure on $\Theta[\mathcal{C}]$ -sets modelling weak enrichment in simplicial presheaves on \mathcal{C} , comparing it with an intermediate model structure of Rezk to demonstrate that they are Quillen equivalent. Therefore one can use results in $[C.\ Rezk]$, Geom. Topol. 14, No. 1, 521–571 (2010; Zbl 1203.18015)] to localize this model structure hom-wise with respect to what Rezk calls a Cartesian presentation on , which is again Quillen equivalent to Rezk's localized model structure by merit of $D.-C.\ Cisinski$'s results on simplicial completion [Les préfaisceaux comme modèles des types d'homotopie. Paris: Société Mathématique de France (2006; Zbl 1111.18008)]. The author's model structure is Cartesian monoidal as a model category.
- [2] The author constructs a version of the coherent realization and nerve adjunction between Θ [\mathcal{C}]-sets and categories enriched in simplicial presheaves on \mathcal{C} , which turn out to be a Quillen equivalence between appropriate model structures by using an enhanced version of D. Dugger and D. I. Spivak's calculus of necklaces [Algebr. Geom. Topol. 11, No. 1, 263–325 (2011; Zbl 1214.55013); Algebr. Geom. Topol. 11, No. 1, 225–261 (2011; Zbl 1213.55015)].

Reviewer: Hirokazu Nishimura (Tsukuba)

MSC:

18A30 Limits and colimits (products, sums, directed limits, pushouts, fiber products, equalizers, kernels, ends and coends, etc.)

18E35 Localization of categories, calculus of fractions

55P10 Homotopy equivalences in algebraic topology

55U35 Abstract and axiomatic homotopy theory in algebraic topology

Keywords:

enriched categories; higher category theory; homotopy theory

Full Text: Link

References:

[1] Dimitri Ara, Higher Quasi-Categories vs Higher Rezk Spaces, Journal of K-theory 14 (2014), no. 3, 701-749, DOI 10.1017/S1865243315000021.

Edited by FIZ Karlsruhe, the European Mathematical Society and the Heidelberg Academy of Sciences and Humanities © 2021 FIZ Karlsruhe GmbH

· Zbl 1322.18002

- Clemens Berger, Iterated wreath product of the simplex category and iterated loop spaces, Adv. Math.213(2007), no. 1, 230-270. MR2331244 (2008f:55010) · Zbl 1127.18008
- [3] Julia E. Bergner and Charles Rezk, Comparison of models for (⋈, n)-Categories, I, Geometry \& Topology 17 (2013). · Zbl 1273.18031
- [4] ,Comparison of models for(⊠, n)-Categories, II(2018), available atarXiv:1406. 4182v3.
- [5] ,Reedy categories and theΘ-Construction, Mathematische Zeitschrift1-2(2011).
- [6] Denis-Charles Cisinski, Les pr´efaisceaux comme mod'eles des types d'homotopie, Ast´erisque, vol. 308, Soc. Math. France, 2006. · Zbl 1111.18008
- [7] Daniel Dugger and David Spivak, Rigidification of quasi-categories, Algebr. Geom. Topol.11 (2011), no. 1, 225-261. MR2764042
 Zbl 1213.55015
- [8] "Mapping spaces in quasi-categories, Algebr. Geom. Topol.11(2011), no. 1, 263-325. MR2764043 · Zbl 1214.55013
- [9] Harry Gindi, A homotopy theory of weakω-categories (2012), 43 pp., available atarXiv:1207. 0860v2.
- [10] Andr´e Joyal and Myles Tierney, Quasi-categories vs Segal spaces, Contemporary Mathematics 431 (2007), 277-326. \cdot Zbl 1138.55016
- [11] Steve Lack and Simona Paoli,2-nerves for Bicategories, K-Theory38(2008). · Zbl 1155.18006
- [12] Tyler Lawson, Localization of enriched categories and cubical sets (2016), available at 1602. 05313. · Zbl 1373.18006
- [13] Jacob Lurie, Higher Topos Theory, Princeton University Press, 2009. Zbl 1175.18001
- [14] David Oury, Duality for Joyal's category ⊕and homotopy concepts for ⊕2-sets, Macquarie University, 2010.
- [15] Charles Rezk, A Model for the Homotopy Theory of Homotopy Theory, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society353(2001), no. 3, 973-1007. · Zbl 0961.18008
- $\begin{array}{ll} [16] & \text{,A Cartesian presentation of weakn-categories, Geom. Topol.14(2010), no.~1, 521-571, DOI~10.2140/gt.2010.14.521. MR2578310 \\ & \cdot \text{Zbl~}1203.18015 \end{array}$
- [17] Daniel Stevenson, Model Structures for Correspondences and Bifibrations (2018), 41 pp., available atarXiv:1807.08226v1

This reference list is based on information provided by the publisher or from digital mathematics libraries. Its items are heuristically matched to zbMATH identifiers and may contain data conversion errors. It attempts to reflect the references listed in the original paper as accurately as possible without claiming the completeness or perfect precision of the matching.

Edited by FIZ Karlsruhe, the European Mathematical Society and the Heidelberg Academy of Sciences and Humanities © 2021 FIZ Karlsruhe GmbH