

RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS STRUCTURED BY A LOCAL CONFORMAL SECTION

By

Filip DEFEVER and Radu ROSCA

Abstract. Geometrical and structural properties are proved for manifolds which are structured by the presence of a local conformal section.

1 Introduction

Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold and let $\mathcal{O} = \text{vect}\{e_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ be a local field of orthonormal frames over M and let $\mathcal{O}^* = \text{covect}\{\omega^i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ be its associated coframe. Let $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \omega^i$ be a globally defined 1-form and let $\mathcal{F} = \alpha^\#$ be its dual vector field. If the connection forms θ associated with \mathcal{O} satisfy

$$\langle e_i \wedge e_j, \mathcal{F} \rangle = \theta_j^i,$$

we say that M is structured by a local conformal section \mathcal{F} .

In the present paper, we prove that in this case \mathcal{F} is a concurrent vector field [2] which satisfies

$$\nabla_Z \mathcal{F} = \rho Z, \quad Z \in \Xi(M), \rho \in C^\infty(M).$$

In consequence of this fact, \mathcal{F} is both a conformal vector field (with ρ as conformal factor) and an exterior concurrent vector field [12]. Moreover, in Section 3 the following properties are also proved:

- (i) the dual form, the connection forms, and the curvature forms associated with \mathcal{O} are $d^{-\alpha}$ -exact, $d^{-2\alpha}$ -exact, and $d^{-4\rho\alpha}$ -exact, respectively;
- (ii) \mathcal{F} commutes with the dual vectors and the connection forms θ ;
- (iii) the divergences of e_i constitute an m -dimensional eigenspace of Δ , corresponding to the eigenvalue $-((n+1)/2)\rho$;

(iv) the scalar curvature S of M is expressed by

$$S = -\frac{n^2 + n - 2}{2}\rho;$$

(v) if \mathcal{U} is any parallel vector field, then through Weitzenböck's formula [10] one finds that $g(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U})$ is an eigenfunction of Δ .

Next, in Section 4 we study some properties of the Lie algebra of infinitesimal transformations induced by \mathcal{F} and prove:

(i) \mathcal{F} defines an infinitesimal conformal transformation of S and of the function $g(\mathcal{F}, Z)$, for $Z \in \Xi(M)$, which means that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}S = \rho S, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}g(\mathcal{F}, Z) = \frac{2n-3}{n-1}\rho g(\mathcal{F}, Z);$$

(ii) we denote by V, μ, ψ , and L , the canonical vector field, the Liouville 1-form [4], the canonical symplectic form on TM , and the operator of Yano and Ishihara, respectively; then ψ is a Finslerian form [4] which is invariant by \mathcal{F} ;

(iii) the complete lift Ω^C of the symplectic form Ω of M is also conformally symplectic on TM ;

(iv) the complete lift α^C of $\alpha = \mathcal{F}^b$ is also an exact form.

2 Preliminaries

Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold and let ∇ be the covariant differential operator defined by the metric tensor. We assume in the sequel that M is oriented and that the connection ∇ is symmetric.

Let $\Gamma TM = \Xi(M)$ be the set of sections of the tangent bundle TM , and

$$b : TM \xrightarrow{b} T^*M \quad \text{and} \quad \# : TM \xleftarrow{\#} T^*M$$

the classical isomorphisms defined by the metric tensor g (i.e. b is the index lowering operator, and $\#$ is the index raising operator).

Following [10], we denote by

$$A^q(M, TM) = \Gamma \text{Hom}(\Lambda^q TM, TM),$$

the set of vector valued q -forms ($q < \dim M$), and we write for the covariant derivative operator with respect to ∇

$$d^\nabla : A^q(M, TM) \rightarrow A^{q+1}(M, TM).$$

It should be noticed that in general $d^{\nabla^2} = d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla \neq 0$, unlike $d^2 = d \circ d = 0$.

Furthermore, we denote by $dp \in A^1(M, TM)$ the canonical vector valued 1-form of M , which is also called the soldering form of M [3]; since ∇ is assumed to be symmetric, we recall that the identity $d^\nabla(dp) = 0$ is valid.

The operator

$$d^\omega = d + e(\omega), \tag{1}$$

acting on ΛM is called the cohomology operator [5]. In (1), $e(\omega)$ means the exterior product by the closed 1-form ω , i.e.

$$d^\omega u = du + \omega \wedge u,$$

with $u \in \Lambda M$. Clearly one has the identity

$$d^\omega \circ d^\omega = 0. \tag{2}$$

A form $u \in \Lambda M$ such that

$$d^\omega u = 0, \tag{3}$$

is said to be d^ω -closed, and ω is called the cohomology form.

A vector field X which satisfies

$$d^\nabla(\nabla X) = \nabla^2 X = \pi \wedge dp \in A^2(M, TM), \quad \pi \in \Lambda^1 M, \tag{4}$$

is defined to be an exterior concurrent vector field [12]. The 1-form π in (2) is called the concurrence form and is defined by

$$\pi = \lambda X^\flat, \tag{5}$$

where $\lambda \in C^\infty(M)$ is a nonzero conformal scalar associated with X . If \mathcal{F} is any conformal vector field on M , which means that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}g = \rho g \Leftrightarrow \langle \nabla_Z \mathcal{F}, Z' \rangle + \langle \nabla_{Z'} \mathcal{F}, Z \rangle = \rho \langle Z, Z' \rangle, \tag{6}$$

then it follows that

$$\rho = \frac{2}{n} \operatorname{div} \mathcal{F}. \tag{7}$$

Therefore, in application of Orsted's lemma [1] one can write

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}Z^\flat = \rho Z^\flat + [\mathcal{F}, Z]^\flat, \tag{8}$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ stands for the Lie bracket. If S is the scalar curvature of M , then Yano's formula [15] reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}S = (n - 1)\Delta\rho - S\rho. \tag{9}$$

Let

$$(\text{Hess}_\nabla \rho)(Z, Z') = g(Z, H_\rho Z'), \tag{10}$$

where

$$H_\rho Z' = \nabla_{Z'} \text{grad } \rho, \tag{11}$$

then

$$2\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{F} \mathcal{R}(Z, Z') = (\Delta \rho)g(Z, Z') - (n - 2)(\text{Hess}_\nabla \rho)(Z, Z'). \tag{12}$$

In Section 5 we will rely on the following concepts concerning the tangent bundle manifold TM having as basis manifold M . Denote by $V(V^i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) the Liouville vector field (or the canonical vector field on TM [6]). Accordingly, one may consider the sets

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ e_i, \frac{\partial}{\partial V^i} \mid i = 1, \dots, n \right\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}^* = \{ \omega^i, dV^i \mid i = 1, \dots, n \},$$

as an adapted vectorial basis, and an adapted cobasis in TM , respectively.

For application in the sequel, we remind that the vertical differential operator d_V is an antiderivation of degree 1 on $\Lambda(TM)$, and is defined by [4]

$$d_V(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial V^i} \omega^i, \quad d_V(\omega^i) = 0, \quad d_V(dV^i) = 0; \tag{13}$$

the vertical operator i_V , which is a derivation of degree 0 on $\Lambda(TM)$, is defined by [4]

$$i_V(f) = 0, \quad i_V(\omega^i) = 0, \quad i_V(dV^i) = \omega^i. \tag{14}$$

Moreover, both operators d_V and i_V satisfy the following relation

$$[d_V, i_V] = d_V.$$

Next, with V denoting the Liouville vector field V , which may be expressed as [6]

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^n V^i \frac{\partial}{\partial V^i},$$

then, by definition, any 1-form u such that

$$\mathcal{L}_V u = \gamma u \tag{15}$$

is said to be homogeneous of degree γ .

The vertical lift Z^V [16] of any vector field Z on M with components Z^i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) is expressed by

$$Z^V = \sum_{i=1}^n Z^i \frac{\partial}{\partial V^i} =: \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Z^i \end{pmatrix}; \tag{16}$$

and the complete lift Z^C of $Z(Z^i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) is given by

$$Z^C = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(Z^i e_i + \partial Z^i \frac{\partial}{\partial V^i} \right) =: \begin{pmatrix} Z^i \\ \partial Z^i \end{pmatrix}, \tag{17}$$

where $\partial Z^i = \sum_{\kappa=1}^n V^\kappa \partial_\kappa Z^i$, with ∂_κ the pfaffian derivative.

Finally, the complete lift β^C of a 1-form $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \omega^i$ is defined by

$$\beta^C = \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial \beta^i \omega^i + \beta^i dV^i) =: (\partial \beta^i, \beta^i). \tag{18}$$

3 Manifolds with a Local Conformal Section

Considering an n -dimensional manifold (M, g) , then in terms of the local field of adapted vectorial frames $\mathcal{O} = \text{vect}\{e_i | i = 1, \dots, n\}$ and its associated coframe $\mathcal{O}^* = \text{covect}\{\omega^i | i = 1, \dots, n\}$, the soldering form dp can be expressed as

$$dp = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega^i \otimes e_i; \tag{19}$$

and we recall that E . Cartan's structure equations can be written as

$$\nabla e_A = \sum_{B=1}^n \theta_A^B \otimes e_B, \tag{20}$$

$$d\omega^A = - \sum_{B=1}^n \theta_B^A \wedge \omega^B, \tag{21}$$

$$d\theta_B^A = - \sum_{C=1}^n \theta_B^C \wedge \theta_C^A + \Theta_B^A. \tag{22}$$

In the above equations θ (respectively Θ) are the local connection forms in the tangent bundle TM (respectively the curvature 2-forms on M).

Let

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \omega^i \tag{23}$$

be a globally defined 1-form on M and let $\mathcal{F} = \alpha^\#$ be its dual vector field. If the connection forms satisfy

$$\langle e_i \wedge e_j, \mathcal{F} \rangle = \theta_i^j, \quad (24)$$

then one says that M is structured by a local conformal section \mathcal{F} . From (23) and (24) one gets that

$$\theta_i^j = t_i \omega^j - t_j \omega^i. \quad (25)$$

This implies that

$$\theta_i^j(\mathcal{F}) = 0, \quad (26)$$

which shows that the forms θ are integral relations of invariance [8]. Now, in consequence of (24), and making use of (23), one finds that

$$d\omega^i = \alpha \wedge \omega^i \Rightarrow d\alpha = 0. \quad (27)$$

Hence, in terms of d^ω -cohomology, and in view of (3), one may write that

$$d^{-\alpha}\omega^i = 0, \quad (28)$$

i.e. all covectors of \mathcal{O}^* are $d^{-\alpha}$ -closed.

Since $\mathcal{F} = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i e_i$, and taking into account (20) and (25), it follows that

$$\nabla e_i = t_i dp - \omega^i \otimes \mathcal{F}. \quad (29)$$

Recalling now that at each point $p \in M$, $\operatorname{div} Z = \operatorname{Tr}(\nabla Z) = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega^i(\nabla_{e_i} Z)$, one derives from (29) that

$$\operatorname{div} e_i = (n-1)t_i, \quad (30)$$

which provides a geometrical interpretation for the components of \mathcal{F} .

On the other hand, on behalf of (27) one gets

$$dt_i = t_i \alpha + a \omega^i, \quad a \in C^\infty(M), \quad (31)$$

and by exterior differentiation it can be seen that the scalar function a must in fact be a constant. Setting $2t = \|\mathcal{F}\|^2$ for notational brevity, one finds by (31) and (32) that

$$dt = (2t + a)\alpha, \quad (32)$$

which shows that α is an exact form. If we put

$$\rho = 2(2t + a) \in C^\infty(M), \quad (33)$$

one derives that

$$d\rho = 2\rho\alpha, \tag{34}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}\omega^i = \frac{\rho}{2}\omega^i. \tag{35}$$

The above equation expresses that the vector field \mathcal{F} defines an infinitesimal conformal transformation of all covectors of \mathcal{V}^* ; according to a well know definition [8], we say that \mathcal{F} defines a local conformal section of the manifold M .

Next, with the help of (29) and (31), we get

$$\nabla\mathcal{F} = \frac{\rho}{2}dp, \tag{36}$$

which shows that \mathcal{F} is a concurrent vector field [2]. In turn, this implies the following two properties for \mathcal{F} :

(a) \mathcal{F} is a conformal vector field on M , i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}g = \rho g, \tag{37}$$

and

$$\operatorname{div}\mathcal{F} = \frac{n}{2}\rho; \tag{38}$$

(b) \mathcal{F} is an exterior concurrent vector field [12], which by (34) satisfies

$$\nabla^2\mathcal{F} = \rho\alpha \wedge dp = \rho\mathcal{F}^\flat \wedge dp. \tag{39}$$

Further, invoking (25) and (31) yields

$$d\theta_j^i = 2\alpha \wedge \theta_j^i + 2a\omega^i \wedge \omega^j, \tag{40}$$

and making use of (24), one finds that the curvature forms Θ of M can be expressed by

$$\Theta_j^i = \alpha \wedge \theta_j^i + \left(\frac{\rho}{2} + a\right)\omega^i \wedge \omega^j. \tag{41}$$

In consequence of (41), one finds that the components \mathcal{R}_{ij} of the Ricci tensor \mathcal{R} are

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{R}_{ii} = -(n-2)(t_i)^2 - n\left(\frac{\rho}{2} - a\right), \\ \mathcal{R}_{ij} = -(n-2)t_i t_j. \end{cases} \tag{42}$$

Now it can be observed that for (42) to be consistent with (39), the constant a must vanish. Accordingly, (40) yields

$$d\theta_j^i = 2\alpha \wedge \theta_j^i, \quad (43)$$

and also

$$\Theta_j^i = \alpha \wedge \theta_j^i + \frac{\rho}{2} \omega^i \wedge \omega^j. \quad (44)$$

Next, taking the exterior differential of (44), one finds by (34) that

$$d\Theta_j^i = 4\rho\alpha \wedge \Theta_j^i. \quad (45)$$

In terms of cohomology, the above formulas can be interpreted as follows: on the considered manifold, the dual forms, the connection forms, and the curvature forms are $d^{-\alpha}$ -exact, $d^{-2\alpha}$ -exact, and $d^{-4\rho\alpha}$ -exact, respectively.

If we write now S for the scalar curvature of (M, g) , then, in consequence of (45) and $a = 0$, one gets that

$$S = -\frac{n^2 + n - 2}{2} \rho, \quad (46)$$

which since $\rho = 2g(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$ shows that S is always negative. Next, we define

$$E_{ij} = t_i e_j - t_j e_i, \quad (47)$$

for the dual vectors of θ_i^j . Taking the covariant differential of E_{ij} , one finds by (29)

$$dE_{ij} = \alpha \otimes E_{ij} - \theta_i^j \otimes \mathcal{T}, \quad (48)$$

and on behalf of (31), one may write

$$[\mathcal{T}, E_{ij}] = 0. \quad (49)$$

Hence, the conformal section \mathcal{T} commutes with all the dual vectors of the connection forms on M . Now, by reference to Orsted's lemma [1], it follows in virtue of (49) that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}} \theta_i^j = \rho \theta_i^j, \quad (50)$$

and by (44) also that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}} \Theta_i^j = 2\rho \Theta_i^j. \quad (51)$$

The above equations now express that the vector field \mathcal{T} defines an infinitesimal

conformal transformation [7], not only of the dual forms of \mathcal{O}^* , but also of the connection and the curvature forms.

Further, since $\delta\alpha = -\operatorname{div} \mathcal{F}$ (where δ denotes the codifferential operator), then, by (37) and (34), one calculates that

$$\Delta\alpha = -n\rho\alpha. \tag{52}$$

This shows that α is an eigenform of the Laplacian with $-n\rho$ as associated eigenvalue. As ρ is always positive, it follows from the nature of the spectrum of the Laplacian operator that a manifold structured by a local conformal section cannot be compact. With the general formula $\Delta v = -\operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} v$ and using (34), one gets

$$\Delta t_i = -\frac{n+1}{2}\rho t_i, \tag{53}$$

which by (30) turns into

$$\Delta \operatorname{div} e_i = -\frac{n+1}{2}\rho \operatorname{div} e_i. \tag{54}$$

The above equation expresses that the divergencies of the vector basis \mathcal{O} on M form an n -dimensional space $E^n(M)$, which is an eigenspace of Δ corresponding to the eigenvalue $-((n+1)/2)\rho$. Similarly, one finds by $dt = (2t+a)\alpha$ (see (32)) and (31) that

$$\operatorname{tr} \nabla^2 \mathcal{F} = -\frac{n-2}{4}\rho \mathcal{F}, \tag{55}$$

and

$$\|\nabla \mathcal{F}\|^2 = \frac{n\rho^2}{2}. \tag{56}$$

It can be checked that the above equations, in combination with (52), are indeed consistent with Bochner's theorem [10]

$$2\langle \operatorname{tr} \nabla^2 Z, Z \rangle + 2\|\nabla Z\|^2 + \Delta\|Z\|^2 = 0.$$

Summarizing, we can formulate the following

THEOREM 3.1. *Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold structured by a local conformal section \mathcal{F} and let $\alpha = \mathcal{F}^\flat$ be the dual form of \mathcal{F} . If \mathcal{O} is a local field of orthonormal frames over M , then the dual forms, the connection*

forms, and the curvature forms are $d^{-\alpha}$ -exact, $d^{-2\alpha}$ -exact, and $d^{-4\rho\alpha}$ -exact, respectively. Furthermore:

- (i) \mathcal{F} commutes with the dual vectors of the connection forms θ on M ;
- (ii) the divergences of the vector basis on M constitute an eigenspace of Δ which corresponds to the eigenvalue $-((n+1)/2)\rho$;
- (iii) the scalar curvature S of M is negative and is given by $S = -((n^2 + n - 2)/2)\rho$;
- (iv) α is an eigenform of Δ and the manifold M under consideration can not be compact.

4 The Lie Algebra of Infinitesimal Transformations

In this section, we discuss some properties of the Lie algebra of infinitesimal transformations generated by the conformal field \mathcal{F} . First, by (36), one may write

$$\text{grad } \rho = 2\rho\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \|\text{grad } \rho\|^2 = 2\rho^3. \quad (57)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{div}(\text{grad } \rho) = (n+2)\rho^2. \quad (58)$$

The above equations show that $\|\text{grad } \rho\|^2$ and $\text{div}(\text{grad } \rho)$ can be expressed as functions of ρ . Thus, on behalf of a well known definition [14], it follows that the conformal scalar ρ is an isoparametric function.

Now, by reference to Yano's formula (9) one gets

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} S = -\frac{n^2 + n - 2}{2}\rho^2 - \rho S, \quad (59)$$

i.e. S defines an infinitesimal conformal transformation of the scalar curvature S .

Next, since

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{F}} \text{grad } \rho = 3\rho^2\mathcal{F},$$

one finds by (11), (12), and (42) that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} g(\mathcal{F}Z) = \frac{2n-3}{n-1}\rho g(\mathcal{F}Z), \quad Z \in \Xi(M).$$

Therefore, and on behalf of (57), it follows that

$$\nabla \text{grad } \rho = \rho^2 dp + 2\alpha \otimes \text{grad } \rho. \quad (60)$$

This shows that $\text{grad } \rho$ is a torse forming vector field [15] [13] [9] with 2α as generating form.

We assume from now on that M is of even dimension, say $n = 2m$, and we suppose that the following 2-form of rank $2m$ is globally defined on M

$$\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^m \omega^i \wedge \omega^{i^*}, \quad i^* = i + m. \tag{61}$$

Exterior differentiation of (61) gives in combination with (29) that

$$d^{-2\alpha}\Omega = 0, \tag{62}$$

which shows that Ω defines a local conformal symplectic structure with α (resp. \mathcal{F}) as covector of Lee (resp. vector of Lee).

Next, by (37) it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}\Omega = \rho\Omega, \tag{63}$$

which means that \mathcal{F} defines an infinitesimal conformal transformation of Ω .

Let now \mathcal{E}_α be the vector space such that for every $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha$

$$\alpha(X_\alpha) = \text{Cst.}$$

Denote by

$$\mu : TM \rightarrow T^*M : Z \rightarrow i_Z\Omega$$

the bundle isomorphism defined by Ω . Setting then $\beta = \mu(X_\alpha)$, one gets by (62)

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_\alpha}\Omega = d^{-2\alpha}\beta + 2c\Omega,$$

and on behalf of (2) one derives

$$d^{-2\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_{X_\alpha}\Omega).$$

Therefore, we conclude that the Lie derivatives $\mathcal{L}_{X_\alpha}\Omega$ are also $d^{-2\alpha}$ -exact. Set now

$$X_\beta = \beta^\# = \sum_{i=1}^m (t_i e_{i^*} - t_i e_i),$$

and operate on X_β by ∇ . By (31) and (33), with $a = 0$, one calculates that

$$\nabla X_\beta = X_\beta \wedge \mathcal{F},$$

which shows that X_β is a Killing vector field. Moreover, one can also verify that $[\mathcal{F}, X_\beta]$, i.e. X_β commutes with \mathcal{F} .

Summarizing, we can formulate the following

THEOREM 4.1. *Let (M, g) be the manifold defined in Section 3. Then, the conformal scalar ρ associated with \mathcal{T} is an isoparametric function and \mathcal{T} defines an infinitesimal conformal transformation of the scalar curvature S on M and of the functions $g(\mathcal{T}, Z)$ ($Z \in \Xi(M)$); that is*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}} S &= \rho S, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}} g(\mathcal{T}, Z) &= \frac{2n-3}{n-1} \rho g(\mathcal{T}, Z). \end{aligned}$$

Besides, if M is of even dimension, it admits a conformal symplectic structure (Ω, α) , having $\alpha = \mathcal{T}^\flat$ as covector of Lee, i.e. $d^{-2\alpha}\Omega = 0$, and \mathcal{T} defines an infinitesimal conformal transformation of Ω , i.e. $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}}\Omega = \rho\Omega$. If \mathcal{E}_α is the vector space such that for $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha$, one has $\alpha(X_\alpha) = \text{Cst.}$, then the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{X_\alpha}\Omega$ is $d^{-2\alpha}$ -exact and X_β is a Killing vector field which commutes with \mathcal{T} .

5 Geometry of the Tangent Bundle

Let now TM be the tangent bundle having as basis the manifold M introduced in Section 3, which is now in addition assumed to be of dimension $2m$. In the present section we will study the properties of the lifts to the tangent bundle TM of the tensor fields discussed in the previous sections. Denote by $V(V^i)$ the canonical vector field (or Liouville vector field) [5] and consider $\mathcal{B}^* = \{\omega^i, dV^i \mid i = 1, \dots, 2m\}$ as a covectorial basis of TM . Recalling that the complete lift [16] of the 2-form $\omega^i \wedge \omega^j$ is defined by

$$(\omega^i \wedge \omega^j)^C = dV^i \wedge \omega^j + \omega^i \wedge dV^j, \tag{64}$$

one derives by reference to (61) that

$$\Omega^C = \sum_{i=1}^m (dV^i \wedge \omega^{i^*} + \omega^i \wedge dV^{i^*}), \quad i^* = i + m; \tag{65}$$

we remind that one knows from [16] that Ω^C defines an almost symplectic structure on TM . Taking the exterior differential of Ω^C , one finds by (29)

$$d\Omega^C = \alpha \wedge \Omega^C. \tag{66}$$

Hence, we observe that in the case under consideration the conformal character of Ω is conserved bij complete lifting; we emphasize the remarkable aspect of this fact, since in general this property is not conserved. Next, since with respect to the vectorial basis $\mathcal{B} = \{e_i, \partial/\partial V^i \mid i = 1, \dots, 2m\}$ the Liouville vector field V is expressed by

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} V^i \frac{\partial}{\partial V^i}, \tag{67}$$

one may compute from this that

$$\mathcal{L}_V \Omega^C = \Omega^C; \tag{68}$$

with reference to [5] this shows that Ω^C is homogeneous of degree 1. Setting now $\rho = c/f^2$ ($c = \text{const.}$), and on behalf of (34), we can write that

$$\alpha = -\frac{df}{f}. \tag{69}$$

In addition, we put

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2m} (V^i)^2, \tag{70}$$

and consider the function

$$I = f\mathbf{v}. \tag{71}$$

If we operate on I by the vertical differential operator d_V , then we find

$$d_V(I) = f \sum_{i=1}^{2m} V^i \omega^i. \tag{72}$$

The basic 1-form

$$\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} V^i \omega^i, \tag{73}$$

is also known [16] as the Liouville form on TM (Alternatively, one can also write that $\mu = V^b$). By (69) one can now derive that

$$d(d_V I) = f \sum_{i=1}^{2m} dV^i \wedge \omega^i =: \psi. \tag{74}$$

Since the 2-form ψ is clearly of maximal rank on TM , the above equation shows that ψ is an exact (or potential) symplectic form. Since $i_V \psi = f\mu$, then by reference to [16], we call ψ the canonical symplectic form on TM . Invoking (69), we can check that the Lie derivative of ψ with respect to V is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_V \psi = \psi. \tag{75}$$

Consequently, ψ is (like Ω^C) also homogeneous of degree 1. Besides, operating on ψ by the vertical derivative operator i_V and invoking (15), leads to

$$i_V(\psi) = 0. \quad (76)$$

On basis of (75) and (76) we conclude that ψ is a Finslerian form [4].

Denote by ∂_i the Pfaffian derivative with respect to ω^i and set according to [16]

$$\partial = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} V^i \partial_i. \quad (77)$$

Therefore, by reference to [16], the complete lift α^C of α is defined by

$$\alpha^C = (\partial t_i, t_i). \quad (78)$$

Next, setting

$$\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} t_i dV^i, \quad (79)$$

one finds

$$\alpha^C = v\alpha + \beta = dv, \quad (80)$$

in which we have used the notation $v := L\alpha$ for the image of the 1-form α under the operator L of Yano and Ishihara (see [16]). Equation (80) shows that the complete lift α^C is, like α , also an exact form. Consider now on TM the following 2-form of rank $4m$

$$\phi = v(\alpha \wedge \mu + \psi). \quad (81)$$

By exterior differentiation and taking into account (73) and (74), one obtains

$$d\phi = \left(\frac{\alpha^C}{v} - \frac{\alpha}{f} \right) \wedge \phi. \quad (82)$$

From the above it follows that ϕ defines on TM a second conformal symplectic structure having the exact form $\alpha^C/v - \alpha/f$ as covector of Lee. One also finds that

$$\mathcal{L}_V \phi = 2\phi,$$

which shows that ϕ is homogeneous of degree 2. Further, let

$$\mathcal{T}^V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ t^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T}^C = \begin{pmatrix} t^i \\ \partial t^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (83)$$

be the vertical and the complete lift respectively of the conformal section \mathcal{F} . By (83) one may write

$$\mathcal{F}^V = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} t^i \frac{\partial}{\partial V^i}, \tag{84}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}^C = \mathcal{F} + v\mathcal{F}^V. \tag{85}$$

By (74) and (83) one finds that

$$\mathcal{L}_1\psi = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{1^v}\psi = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{1^c}\psi = 0,$$

which shows that the canonical symplectic form ψ is invariant by $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^V$, and \mathcal{F}^C .

Summarizing, we can formulate the following

THEOREM 5.1. *Let TM be the tangent bundle manifold having as basis the manifold in Section 3 which is now in addition assumed to be of even dimension. Let V, μ, ψ , and L , be the canonical vector field, the Liouville form, the canonical form on TM , and the operator which assigns to 1-forms on M functions on TM , respectively. Then:*

- (i) ψ is a Finslerian form which is invariant under the conformal section \mathcal{F} and its vertical and complete lifts \mathcal{F}^V and \mathcal{F}^C , respectively;
- (ii) the complete lift Ω^C of the conformal symplectic form Ω on M is a conformal symplectic form on TM , which is $d^{-\alpha}$ -exact and homogeneous of degree 1;
- (iii) the complete lift α^C of α is also an exact form and the 2-form

$$\phi = v(\alpha \wedge \mu + \psi)$$

defines a second conformal symplectic form on TM , having the exact form

$$\frac{\alpha^C}{v} - \frac{\alpha}{f}.$$

as covector of Lee.

References

[1] T. P. Branson, Conformally covariant equations and differential forms, Comm. in partial differential equations 7(4) (1982), 393–431.
 [2] B. Y. Chen, Geometry of Submanifolds, M. Dekker, New York (1973).

- [3] J. Dieudonné, *Treatise on Analysis Vol. 4*, Academic Press, New York (1974).
- [4] C. Godbillon, *Géométrie différentielle et mécanique analytique*, Herman, Paris (1969).
- [5] F. Guedira, A. Lichnerowicz, *Geometrie des algebres de Lie locales de Kirilov*, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **63** (1984), 407–494.
- [6] J. Klein, *Espaces variationnels et mécanique*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **4** (1962), 1–124.
- [7] P. Libermann, C. M. Marle, *Géométrie Symplectique, Bases Théorétiques de la Mécanique*, *U.E.R. Math. du C.N.R.S.* **7** (1986).
- [8] A. Lichnerowicz, *Les relations intégrales d'invariance et leurs applications a la dynamique*, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **70** (1946), 82–95.
- [9] K. Matsumoto, I. Mihai, R. Rosca, *On gradient almost torse forming vector fields*, *Math. J. Toyama Univ.* **19** (1996), 149–157.
- [10] W. A. Poor, *Differential Geometric Structures*, Mc Graw Hill, New York (1981).
- [11] R. Rosca, *On parallel conformal connections*, *Kodai Math. J.* **2** (1979), 1–9.
- [12] R. Rosca, *Exterior concurrent vectorfields on a conformal cosymplectic manifold admitting a Sasakian structure*, *Libertas Math. (Univ. Arlington, Texas)* **6** (1986), 167–174.
- [13] C. Udriste, *Properties of torse forming vector fields*, *Tensor NS* **42** (1982), 134–144.
- [14] K. Yano, *On torse forming directions in Riemannian spaces*, *Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo* **20** (1944), 340–345.
- [15] K. Yano, *Integral formulas in Riemannian Geometry*, M. Dekker, New-York (1970).
- [16] K. Yano, S. Ishihara, *Differential Geometry of Tangent and Cotangent Bundles*, M. Dekker, New York (1973).

Filip Defever, Department Industriële Wetenschappen en Technologie
Katholieke Hogeschool Brugge-Oostende
Zeedijk 101, 8400 Oostende, BELGIUM

Radu Rosca, 59 Avenue Emile Zola
75015 Paris, FRANCE