

A GENERAL CRITERION FOR LINEARLY UNRELATED SEQUENCES*

By

Jaroslav HANČL and Simona SOBKOVÁ

Abstract. The main result of this paper is a general criterion for linearly unrelated sequences which does not depend on divisibility. A criterion for irrational sequences is presented as a consequence. Applications and several examples are included.

1. Introduction

Irrationality is a special case of linear independence and many new results on the irrationality of infinite series appeared after the second world war. For instance the criteria of Erdős and Strauss [6], [7], of Oppenheim [13] and especially the result of Apéry in [1] which proves the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$. Most of them depend on divisibility. The second wave of these papers comes from the eighties and nineties of the last century. Among them let us mention Badea [2], [3], Duverney [4] and Huttner [11]. Other results can be found in Nishioka's book [12], which contains a nice survey of Mahler theory. In 1975 Erdős defined the irrationality of a sequence in [5] and this definition is generalized in the following way.

DEFINITION 1. Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. If for every sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of positive integers the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n c_n}$$

is an irrational number, then the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is irrational. If $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is not an irrational sequence, then it is a rational sequence.

AMS Class: 11J72

Key words and phrases: linear independence of series, sequences, irrationality.

*Supported by the grant no. 201/01/0471 of the Czech Grant Agency

Received December 13, 2001.

Revised September 11, 2002.

In the same paper Erdős proved the irrationality of the sequence $\{2^{2^n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and also the following result.

THEOREM 1. *Let $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ be an infinite sequence of integers satisfying*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} n_k^{1/2^k} = \infty$$

and

$$n_k > k^{1+\varepsilon}$$

for some fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k > k_0(\varepsilon)$. Then

$$\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k}$$

is an irrational number.

In 1993 in [9] Hančl proved.

THEOREM 2. *Let $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a nondecreasing sequence of positive real numbers such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = \infty$, let B be a positive integer, and let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be sequences of positive integers such that*

$$b_{n+1} \leq r_n^B$$

and

$$a_n \geq r_n^{2^n}$$

holds for every large n . Then the series

$$A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{a_n}$$

and the sequence $\{a_n/b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are irrational.

There is also a criterion for rational sequences.

THEOREM 3. *Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup(\log_2 \log_2 a_n/n) < 1$. Then $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a rational sequence.*

This theorem, together with the proof of a more general result, can be found in [8]. Let us only note that the above sequence consists of positive real numbers.

The definition of linearly unrelated sequences was introduced in [10].

DEFINITION 2. Let $\{a_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ($i = 1, \dots, K$) be sequences of positive real numbers. If for every sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of positive integers the numbers $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/a_{1,n}c_n, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/a_{2,n}c_n, \dots, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/a_{K,n}c_n$, and 1 are linearly independent over rational numbers, then the sequences $\{a_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ($i = 1, \dots, K$) are linearly unrelated.

The same paper contains the following theorem.

THEOREM 4. Let $\{a_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{b_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ($i = 1, \dots, K - 1$) be sequences of positive integers and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_{1,n+1}}{a_{1,n}} &\geq 2^{K^{n-1}}, \quad a_{1,n} \text{ divides } a_{1,n+1} \\ b_{i,n} &< 2^{K^{n-(\sqrt{2}+\varepsilon)\sqrt{n}}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, K - 1 \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{i,n}b_{j,n}}{b_{i,n}a_{j,n}} &= 0 \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, K - 1, i > j \\ a_{i,n}2^{-K^{n-(\sqrt{2}+\varepsilon)\sqrt{n}}} &< a_{1,n} < a_{i,n}2^{K^{n-(\sqrt{2}+\varepsilon)\sqrt{n}}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, K - 1 \end{aligned}$$

hold for every sufficiently large natural number n . Then the sequences $\{a_{i,n}/b_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ($i = 1, \dots, K - 1$) are linearly unrelated.

However this criterion depends on divisibility. Theorem 5 in Section 2 does not depend on arithmetical properties but only on the speed of convergence of rational numbers.

2. Main Results

THEOREM 5. Let K be a positive integer, α, ε be positive real numbers such that $0 < \alpha < 1$ and let $\{a_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{b_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ($i = 1, \dots, K$) be sequences of positive integers with $\{a_{1,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ nondecreasing, such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{1,n}^{1/(K+1)^n} = \infty \tag{1}$$

$$a_{1,n} \geq n^{1+\varepsilon} \tag{2}$$

$$b_{i,n} \leq 2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n})^\alpha}, \quad i = 1, \dots, K \quad (3)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{i,n} b_{j,n}}{b_{i,n} a_{j,n}} = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, K, \quad i > j \quad (4)$$

$$a_{i,n} 2^{-(\log_2 a_{1,n})^\alpha} \leq a_{1,n} \leq a_{i,n} 2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n})^\alpha} \quad (5)$$

hold for every sufficiently large n . Then the sequences $\{a_{i,n}/b_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ ($i = 1, \dots, K$) are linearly unrelated.

THEOREM 6. Let α and ε be positive real numbers such that $0 < \alpha < 1$ and let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be sequences of positive integers with $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ nondecreasing, such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup a_n^{1/2^n} = \infty,$$

$$a_n \geq n^{1+\varepsilon}$$

and

$$b_n \leq 2^{(\log_2 a_n)^\alpha}$$

hold for every sufficiently large n . Then the sequence $\{a_n/b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and the series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n/a_n$ are irrational.

This theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5. It is enough to put $K = 1$, $\{a_{1,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{b_{1,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$.

REMARK 1. It is clear that our Theorem 6 is a stronger result than Theorem 2 above (see [9] also) because the restrictions on a_n and b_n ($n = 1, \dots$) are weaker.

REMARK 2. In Theorem 6 put $b_n = 1$ for each natural number n . Then we obtain Erdős Theorem 1 above (see [5] also).

Open problem 1. Let M and K be positive integers. Are the sequences $\{M^{(K+1)^n} + i\}_{n=1}^\infty$ ($i = 1, \dots, K$) linearly unrelated?

Open problem 2. Let M and S be positive integers such that $M > 1$. Is the sequence $\{M^{2^n} + S\}_{n=1}^\infty$ irrational?

EXAMPLE 1. Let $a_{i,n} = n^{9^n+i} + 3^n$, $b_{i,n} = n^{3^n} + i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 8$). Then the sequences $\{a_{i,n}/b_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are linearly unrelated.

EXAMPLE 2. The sequences

$$\left\{ \frac{n^{2^n} + 3^n}{[n^{2^{n/2}}] + 2^n} \right\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

and

$$\left\{ \frac{2n^{2^n} + n!}{[3n^{2^{3n/4}} + 5n^{2^{3n/4}}]} \right\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

where $[x]$ means the greatest integer less than or equal to x , are irrational sequences.

3. Proofs

LEMMA 1. Let K, α, ε and the sequences $\{a_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\{b_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ ($i = 1, \dots, K$) satisfy all conditions stated in Theorem 5. Then there is a positive real number $B = B(K, \alpha, \varepsilon)$ which does not depend on n such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{b_{i,n+j}}{a_{i,n+j}} < \frac{1}{a_{1,n}^B} \tag{6}$$

holds for every sufficiently large n .

PROOF (of Lemma 1). From (3) and (5) we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{b_{i,n+j}}{a_{i,n+j}} \leq \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n+j})^\alpha} 2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n+j})^\alpha}}{a_{1,n+j}} \leq \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n+j})^\beta}}{a_{1,n+j}} \tag{7}$$

for every sufficiently large n , where β ($1 > \beta > \alpha$) is a constant which does not depend on n .

Now we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n+j})^\beta}}{a_{1,n+j}} = \sum_{n+j < a_{1,n}^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}} \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n+j})^\beta}}{a_{1,n+j}} + \sum_{n+j \geq a_{1,n}^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}} \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n+j})^\beta}}{a_{1,n+j}}. \tag{8}$$

We will estimate the first summand in the right hand side of (8). The sequence $\{a_{1,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is nondecreasing and the function $x^{-1}2^{(\log_2 x)^\beta}$ is decreasing for every sufficiently large x . It follows

$$\sum_{n+j < a_{1,n}^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}} \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n+j})^\beta}}{a_{1,n+j}} \leq \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n})^\beta}}{a_{1,n}} a_{1,n}^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{1}{a_{1,n}^{B_1}}. \tag{9}$$

Here B_1 ($0 < B_1 < \varepsilon/(1 + \varepsilon)$) is a positive real number which does not depend on n .

Now we will estimate the second summand in the right hand side of (8).

From (2) and the fact that the function $x^{-1}2^{(\log_2 x)^\beta}$ is decreasing for every sufficiently large x we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n+j \geq a_{1,n}^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}} \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n+j})^\beta}}{a_{1,n+j}} &\leq \sum_{n+j \geq a_{1,n}^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}} \frac{2^{(\log_2 (n+j)^{1+\varepsilon})^\beta}}{(n+j)^{1+\varepsilon}} \leq \sum_{n+j \geq a_{1,n}^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}} \frac{1}{(n+j)^{1+\varepsilon/2}} \\ &\leq \int_{a_{1,n}^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}}^\infty \frac{dx}{x^{1+\varepsilon/3}} \leq \frac{1}{(a_{1,n}^{1/(1+\varepsilon)})^{\varepsilon/4}} = \frac{1}{a_{1,n}^{B_2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $B_2 = \varepsilon/4(1 + \varepsilon)$ is a positive real constant which does not depend on n . So (7), (8), (9) and (10) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{b_{i,n+j}}{a_{i,n+j}} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n+j})^\beta}}{a_{1,n+j}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{a_{1,n}^{B_1}} + \frac{1}{a_{1,n}^{B_2}} \right) K \leq \frac{1}{a_{1,n}^B}, \end{aligned}$$

where $B = 1/2 \min(B_1, B_2)$ is a positive real constant which does not depend on n and (6) follows. □

LEMMA 2. *Let K, α, ε and the sequences $\{a_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty, \{b_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ ($i = 1, \dots, K$) satisfy all conditions stated in Theorem 5 and instead of (2) we have*

$$a_{1,n} > 2^n \tag{11}$$

for every sufficiently large n . Then there is a real number γ ($1 > \gamma > \alpha$) such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{b_{i,n+j}}{a_{i,n+j}} \leq \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n})^\gamma}}{a_{1,n}} \tag{12}$$

holds for every sufficiently large n .

PROOF (of Lemma 2). As in the proof of Lemma 1 there is a positive real

constant β ($1 > \beta > \alpha$) which does not depend on n such that (7) holds for every sufficiently large n . Then we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n+j})^\beta}}{a_{1,n+j}} = \sum_{n+j < \log_2 a_{1,n}} \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n+j})^\beta}}{a_{1,n+j}} + \sum_{n+j \geq \log_2 a_{1,n}} \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n+j})^\beta}}{a_{1,n+j}}. \quad (13)$$

We will estimate both sums in the right hand side of equation (13). For the first summand, the facts that the sequence $\{a_{1,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is nondecreasing and the function $x^{-1}2^{(\log_2 x)^\beta}$ is decreasing for every sufficiently large x imply

$$\sum_{n+j < \log_2 a_{1,n}} \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n+j})^\beta}}{a_{1,n+j}} \leq \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n})^\beta} \log_2 a_{1,n}}{a_{1,n}} \leq \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n})^{\gamma_1}}}{a_{1,n}}, \quad (14)$$

where γ_1 ($1 > \gamma_1 > \beta$) is a positive real constant which does not depend on n . Now we will estimate the second summand of equation (13). From (11) and the fact that the function $x^{-1}2^{(\log_2 x)^\beta}$ is decreasing for sufficiently large x we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n+j \geq \log_2 a_{1,n}} \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n+j})^\beta}}{a_{1,n+j}} &\leq \sum_{n+j \geq \log_2 a_{1,n}} \frac{2^{(\log_2 2^{n+j})^\beta}}{2^{n+j}} = \sum_{j \geq \log_2 a_{1,n}} \frac{1}{2^{j-j^\beta}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{\log_2 a_{1,n} - (\log_2 a_{1,n})^\beta}} C \leq \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n})^{\gamma_2}}}{a_{1,n}}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where γ_2 ($1 > \gamma_2 > \beta$) and C are positive real constants which does not depend on n . Therefore (7), (13), (14) and (15) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{i,n+j}}{a_{i,n+j}} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n+j})^\beta}}{a_{1,n+j}} \\ &\leq K \left(\frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n})^{\gamma_1}}}{a_{1,n}} + \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n})^{\gamma_2}}}{a_{1,n}} \right) \leq \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,n})^\gamma}}{a_{1,n}} \end{aligned}$$

and here γ ($1 > \gamma > \max(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) > \beta$) is a positive real constant which does not depend on n . So (12) follows. \square

PROOF (of Theorem 5). Let $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive integers. Then the sequences $\{a_{i,n}c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ($i = 1, \dots, K$) also satisfy conditions (1)–(5) and if in addition we reorder the sequence $\{a_{1,n}c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ to be nondecreasing then the new sequence together with the relevant reordered sequences $\{a_{i,n}c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ($i = 1, \dots, K$) and $\{b_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ($i = 1, \dots, K$) will satisfy (1)–(5) also. It follows that they will satisfy all conditions stated in Theorem 5. Thus it suffices to prove if

K, α, ε and the sequences $\{a_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{b_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ($i = 1, \dots, K$) satisfy all conditions stated in Theorem 5 then the numbers $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{1,n}/a_{1,n}, \dots, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{K,n}/a_{K,n}$ and the number 1 are linearly independent over the rational numbers. To establish this we will prove that for every K -tuple of integers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_K$ (not all zero) the sum

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{i,n}}{a_{i,n}}$$

is an irrational number. Suppose that I is a rational number. Now we will continue in a natural and usual way. Let R be a maximal index such that $\alpha_R \neq 0$. Then we have

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{i,n}}{a_{i,n}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^R \alpha_i \frac{b_{i,n}}{a_{i,n}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{R,n}}{a_{R,n}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{R-1} \alpha_i \frac{b_{i,n} a_{R,n}}{a_{i,n} b_{R,n}} + \alpha_R \right).$$

Now (4) implies that the number

$$\sum_{i=1}^{R-1} \alpha_i \frac{b_{i,n} a_{R,n}}{a_{i,n} b_{R,n}} + \alpha_R$$

and the number α_R have the same sign for every sufficiently large n . Without loss of generality assume

$$\sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i \frac{b_{i,n}}{a_{i,n}} > 0 \tag{16}$$

for every sufficiently large n . Since I is a rational number there must be integers p, q , ($q > 0$) such that

$$I = \frac{p}{q} = \sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{i,n}}{a_{i,n}}.$$

From this and (16) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} C_N &= \left(p - q \sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{b_{i,n}}{a_{i,n}} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \prod_{i=1}^K a_{i,n} \\ &= q \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \prod_{i=1}^K a_{i,n} \right) \sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{b_{i,n}}{a_{i,n}} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

is a positive integer for every sufficiently large N . So (17) implies

$$1 \leq Q_1 \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \prod_{i=1}^K a_{i,n} \right) \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{b_{i,n}}{a_{i,n}} \tag{18}$$

for every sufficiently large N , where $Q_1 = q \max_{i=1, \dots, K} |\alpha_i|$ is a positive integer constant which does not depend on N . From (5) we obtain

$$\prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \prod_{i=1}^K a_{i,n} \leq Q_2 \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N-1} a_{1,n} \right)^K 2^{(K-1) \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (\log_2 a_{1,n})^z} \tag{19}$$

for every sufficiently large N , where Q_2 is a positive real constant which does not depend on N . Then (18) and (19) imply

$$1 \leq Q \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N-1} a_{1,n} \right)^K 2^{(K-1) \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (\log_2 a_{1,n})^z} \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{b_{i,n}}{a_{i,n}} \tag{20}$$

for every sufficiently large N , where Q is a positive real constant which does not depend on N . Now the proof falls into several cases.

1. Let us assume that (11) holds for every sufficiently large n and there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{1,n}^{1/(K+1+\delta)^n} = \infty. \tag{21}$$

This implies that there exist infinitely many N such that

$$a_{1,N}^{1/(K+1+\delta)^N} > \max_{k=1, \dots, N-1} a_{1,k}^{1/(K+1+\delta)^k}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1,N} &> \left(\max_{k=1, \dots, N-1} a_{1,k}^{1/(K+1+\delta)^k} \right)^{(K+1+\delta)^N} \\ &> \left(\max_{k=1, \dots, N-1} a_{1,k}^{1/(K+1+\delta)^k} \right)^{(K+\delta)((K+1+\delta)^{N-1} + (K+1+\delta)^{N-2} + \dots + 1)} \\ &> \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N-1} a_{1,n} \right)^{K+\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain

$$a_{1,N}^{(K+\delta/2)/(K+\delta)} > \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N-1} a_{1,n} \right)^{K+\delta/2}. \tag{22}$$

Lemma 2, (20) and (22) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &\leq \mathcal{Q} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N-1} a_{1,n} \right)^K 2^{(K-1)\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (\log_2 a_{1,n})^\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{b_{i,n}}{a_{i,n}} \\
 &\leq \mathcal{Q} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N-1} a_{1,n} \right)^K \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} 2^{(K-1)(\log_2 a_{1,n})^\alpha} \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,N})^\gamma}}{a_{1,N}} \\
 &= \mathcal{Q} \frac{(\prod_{n=1}^{N-1} a_{1,n})^{K+\delta/2}}{a_{1,N}^{(K+\delta/2)/(K+\delta)}} \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{2^{(K-1)(\log_2 a_{1,n})^\alpha}}{a_{1,n}^{\delta/2}} \right) \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,N})^\gamma}}{a_{1,N}^{(\delta/2)/(K+\delta)}} \\
 &< \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,N})^\gamma}}{a_{1,N}^{(\delta/2)/(K+\delta)}}
 \end{aligned}$$

for infinitely many sufficiently large N . This is a contradiction for a sufficiently large $a_{1,n}$.

2. Let us assume that (11) holds for every sufficiently large n and there is no $\delta > 0$ such that (21) holds. From this we see that for every $\delta > 0$

$$a_{1,n} < 2^{(K+1+\delta)^n} \quad (23)$$

holds for every sufficiently large n . Let δ be sufficiently small. Equation (1) implies

$$a_{1,N}^{1/(K+1)^N} > \left(1 + \frac{1}{N^2} \right) \max_{k=1, \dots, N-1} a_{1,k}^{1/(K+1)^k} \quad (24)$$

for infinitely many N otherwise there exists n_0 such that for every $n \geq n_0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{1,n}^{1/(K+1)^n} &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \max_{k=1, \dots, n-1} a_{1,k}^{1/(K+1)^k} \\
 &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{(n-1)^2} \right) \max_{k=1, \dots, n-2} a_{1,k}^{1/(K+1)^k} \\
 &\leq \dots \leq \prod_{j=n_0+1}^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{j^2} \right) a_{1,n_0}^{1/(K+1)^{n_0}} \\
 &\leq \prod_{j=n_0+1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{j^2} \right) a_{1,n_0}^{1/(K+1)^{n_0}} < \text{const.},
 \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (1). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1,N} &> \left(1 + \frac{1}{N^2}\right)^{(K+1)^N} \left(\max_{k=1,\dots,N-1} a_{1,k}^{1/(K+1)^k}\right)^{(K+1)^N} \\ &> \left(1 + \frac{1}{N^2}\right)^{(K+1)^N} \left(\max_{k=1,\dots,N-1} a_{1,k}^{1/(K+1)^k}\right)^{K((K+1)^{N-1}+\dots+1)} \\ &> \left(1 + \frac{1}{N^2}\right)^{(K+1)^N} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N-1} a_{1,n}\right)^K. \end{aligned}$$

Using (20), Lemma 2 and (23) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq Q \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N-1} a_{1,n}\right)^K 2^{(K-1)\sum_{n=1}^{N-1}(\log_2 a_{1,n})^\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{b_{i,n}}{a_{i,n}} \\ &\leq Q \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N-1} a_{1,n}\right)^K 2^{(K-1)\sum_{n=1}^{N-1}(\log_2 a_{1,n})^\alpha} \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,N})^\gamma}}{a_{1,N}} \\ &< Q \frac{2^{(K-1)\sum_{n=1}^{N-1}(\log_2 a_{1,n})^\alpha} 2^{(\log_2 a_{1,N})^\gamma}}{(1 + 1/N^2)^{(K+1)^N}} \\ &< P \frac{2^{(K-1)\sum_{n=1}^{N-1}(\log_2 2^{(K+1+\delta)^n})^\alpha} 2^{(\log_2 2^{(K+1+\delta)^N})^\gamma}}{2^{(\log_2(1+1/N^2))(K+1)^N}} \\ &= P \frac{2^{(K-1)\sum_{n=1}^{N-1}((K+1+\delta)^\alpha)^\alpha} 2^{((K+1+\delta)^\gamma)^\gamma} N}{2^{(\log_2(1+1/N^2))(K+1)^N}} \\ &\leq P 2^{(K-1)/((K+1+\delta)^\alpha-1)((K+1+\delta)^\alpha)^N + ((K+1+\delta)^\gamma)^\gamma N - (\log_2(1+1/N^2))(K+1)^N} \end{aligned}$$

for infinitely many N , where P is a positive real constant which does not depend on N . This is a contradiction for a sufficiently large N and sufficiently small positive real number δ .

3. Now let us assume that for infinitely many n

$$a_{1,n} \leq 2^n \tag{25}$$

and there is a $\delta > 0$ such that (21) holds. Let A be a sufficiently large positive integer. From (21) we see that there exists n such that

$$a_{1,n}^{1/(K+1+\delta)^n} > A. \tag{26}$$

Let k be the least positive integer satisfying (26) and s be the greatest positive integer less than k such that (25) holds. So

$$a_{1,k} > A^{(K+1+\delta)^k} = 2^{(\log_2 A)(K+1+\delta)^k}. \quad (27)$$

Then there is a positive integer n such that

$$a_{1,n}^{1/(K+1+\delta)^n} > 2. \quad (28)$$

Let t be the least positive integer greater than s such that (28) holds. It follows that for every $r = s, s+1, \dots, t-1$

$$a_{1,r} < 2^{(K+1+\delta)^r} \quad (29)$$

and

$$a_{1,t} > 2^{(K+1+\delta)^t}. \quad (30)$$

From (29) and (30) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1,t} &> 2^{(K+1+\delta)^t} > 2^{(K+\delta)((K+1+\delta)^{t-1} + (K+1+\delta)^{t-2} + \dots + 1)} \\ &> \left(\prod_{n=1}^{t-1} 2^{(K+1+\delta)^n} \right)^{(K+\delta)} > \left(\prod_{n=1}^{t-1} a_{1,n} \right)^{(K+\delta)} \left(\prod_{n=1}^s a_{1,n} \right)^{-(K+\delta)}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The sequence $\{a_{1,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is nondecreasing and $a_{1,s} \leq 2^s$. It follows that

$$\prod_{n=1}^s a_{1,n} < 2^{s^2}. \quad (32)$$

Together with (31) this implies

$$a_{1,t} > 2^{(K+1+\delta)^t} > \left(\prod_{n=1}^{t-1} a_{1,n} \right)^{(K+\delta)} 2^{-(K+\delta)s^2}. \quad (33)$$

Suppose that $a_{1,t}$ is sufficiently large. From this and the fact that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{\delta/3} 2^{-(K-1)(\log_2 x)^x} = \infty$$

we obtain

$$\left(\prod_{n=1}^{t-1} a_{1,n} \right)^{\delta/3} \geq 2^{(K-1)\sum_{n=1}^{t-1} (\log_2 a_{1,n})^x}.$$

This and (33) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{1,t}^{(K+\delta/3)/(K+\delta)} &> 2^{((K+\delta/3)/(K+\delta))(K+1+\delta)^t} > \left(\prod_{n=1}^{t-1} a_{1,n} \right)^{(K+\delta/3)} 2^{-(K+\delta/3)s^2} \\
 &> \left(\prod_{n=1}^{t-1} a_{1,n} \right)^K 2^{(K-1)\sum_{n=1}^{t-1} (\log_2 a_{1,n})^\alpha} 2^{-(K+\delta)s^2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

From Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{n=t}^{\infty} \frac{b_{i,n}}{a_{i,n}} = \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{n=t}^{k-1} \frac{b_{i,n}}{a_{i,n}} + \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{b_{i,n}}{a_{i,n}} \leq \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,t})^\gamma}}{a_{1,t}} + \frac{1}{a_{1,k}^B}. \tag{35}$$

Now (20), (34) and (35) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &\leq Q \left(\prod_{n=1}^{t-1} a_{1,n} \right)^K 2^{(K-1)\sum_{n=1}^{t-1} (\log_2 a_{1,n})^\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{n=t}^{\infty} \frac{b_{i,n}}{a_{i,n}} \\
 &\leq Q 2^{((K+\delta/3)/(K+\delta))(K+1+\delta)^t} 2^{(K+\delta)s^2} \left(\frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,t})^\gamma}}{a_{1,t}} + \frac{1}{a_{1,k}^B} \right) \\
 &\leq 2^{((K+\delta/2)/(K+\delta))(K+1+\delta)^t} \left(\frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,t})^\gamma}}{a_{1,t}} + \frac{1}{a_{1,k}^B} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,t})^\gamma}}{a_{1,t}^{(\delta/2)/(K+\delta)}} + \frac{2^{((K+\delta/2)/(K+\delta))(K+1+\delta)^t}}{a_{1,k}^B}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From this and (27) we obtain

$$1 \leq \frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,t})^\gamma}}{a_{1,t}^{(\delta/2)/(K+\delta)}} + \frac{2^{((K+\delta/2)/(K+\delta))(K+1+\delta)^t}}{2^{B(\log_2 A)(K+1+\delta)^k}}$$

and this is a contradiction for sufficiently large $a_{1,t}$ and A .

4. Finally let us assume that for infinitely many n (25) holds and there is no $\delta > 0$ such that (21) holds. This implies that for every $\delta > 0$ and sufficiently large n (23) holds. Let δ be sufficiently small and A sufficiently large. From (1) we obtain

$$a_{1,n}^{1/(K+1)^n} > A \tag{36}$$

for infinitely many n . Let k be the least positive integer satisfying (36). Then

$$a_{1,k} > A^{(K+1)^k} = 2^{(\log_2 A)(K+1)^k}. \quad (37)$$

Let s be the greatest positive integer less than k such that (25) holds. The equation (1) and Borel's theorem imply (24) for infinitely many N . Let t be the least positive integer greater than s satisfying

$$a_{1,t}^{1/(K+1)^t} > \left(1 + \frac{1}{t^2}\right) \max_{j=s, \dots, t-1} a_{1,j}^{1/(K+1)^j} \quad (38)$$

and

$$a_{1,r}^{1/(K+1)^r} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{r^2}\right) \max_{j=s, \dots, r-1} a_{1,j}^{1/(K+1)^j} \quad (39)$$

for every $r = s + 1, \dots, t - 1$. From (39) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1,r}^{1/(K+1)^r} &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{r^2}\right) \max_{j=s, \dots, r-1} a_{1,j}^{1/(K+1)^j} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{r^2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{(r-1)^2}\right) \max_{j=s, \dots, r-2} a_{1,j}^{1/(K+1)^j} \\ &\leq \dots \leq \prod_{j=s+1}^r \left(1 + \frac{1}{j^2}\right) a_{1,s}^{1/(K+1)^s} \leq D, \end{aligned}$$

where $D < \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + 1/j^2)$ is a positive real constant which does not depend on A and k . It follows that

$$a_{1,r} \leq D^{(K+1)^r} = 2^{(\log_2 D)(K+1)^r} \quad (40)$$

for every $r = s + 1, \dots, t - 1$. From this together with $a_{1,s} < 2^s$ and the fact that the sequence $\{a_{1,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is nondecreasing, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\prod_{r=1}^{t-1} a_{1,r}\right)^K &= \left(\prod_{r=1}^s a_{1,r}\right)^K \left(\prod_{r=s+1}^{t-1} a_{1,r}\right)^K \\ &\leq \left(\prod_{r=1}^s 2^s\right)^K \left(\prod_{r=s+1}^{t-1} 2^{(\log_2 D)(K+1)^r}\right)^K \\ &= 2^{Ks^2} 2^{(\log_2 D)((K+1)^t - (K+1)^{s+1})} \leq 2^{(\log_2 D)(K+1)^t} \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 2^{(K-1)\sum_{r=1}^{t-1}(\log_2 a_{1,r})^\alpha} &= 2^{(K-1)\sum_{r=1}^s(\log_2 a_{1,r})^\alpha} 2^{(K-1)\sum_{r=s+1}^{t-1}(\log_2 a_{1,r})^\alpha} \\
 &\leq 2^{(K-1)\sum_{r=1}^s(\log_2 2^s)^\alpha} 2^{(K-1)\sum_{r=s+1}^{t-1}(\log_2 2^{(\log_2 D)(K+1)^r})^\alpha} \\
 &= 2^{(K-1)s^{\alpha+1}} 2^{(K-1)\sum_{r=s+1}^{t-1}((\log_2 D)^\alpha (K+1)^{\alpha r})} \\
 &= 2^{(K-1)s^{\alpha+1}} 2^{(K-1)(\log_2 D)^\alpha ((K+1)^{\alpha t} - (K+1)^{\alpha(s+1)}) / (\alpha(K+1)^\alpha - 1)} \\
 &\leq 2^{E(K+1)^{\alpha t}}, \tag{42}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $E = (K - 1)(\log_2 D)^\alpha / (\alpha(K + 1)^\alpha - 1)$ is a positive real constant which does not depend on t, k and A . Then (41) and (42) imply

$$\left(\prod_{r=1}^{t-1} a_{1,r} \right)^K 2^{(K-1)\sum_{r=1}^{t-1}(\log_2 a_{1,r})^\alpha} \leq 2^{(\log_2 D)(K+1)^t} 2^{E(K+1)^{\alpha t}} \leq 2^{D(K+1)^t}. \tag{43}$$

Notice that (37) and (40) also imply $t \leq k$. Now from (38) with $a_{1,s} \leq 2^s$ and the fact that the sequence $\{a_{1,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is nondecreasing, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{1,t} &> \left(1 + \frac{1}{t^2}\right)^{(K+1)^t} \left(\max_{j=s,\dots,t-1} a_{1,j}^{1/(K+1)^j}\right)^{(K+1)^t} \\
 &> \left(1 + \frac{1}{t^2}\right)^{(K+1)^t} \left(\max_{j=s,\dots,t-1} a_{1,j}^{1/(K+1)^j}\right)^{K((K+1)^{t-1} + (K+1)^{t-2} + \dots + (K+1)^s)} \\
 &> \left(1 + \frac{1}{t^2}\right)^{(K+1)^t} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t-1} a_{1,j}\right)^K \left(\prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{1,j}\right)^{-K} \\
 &> \left(1 + \frac{1}{t^2}\right)^{(K+1)^t} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t-1} a_{1,j}\right)^K 2^{-Kt^2}. \tag{44}
 \end{aligned}$$

As in the third case Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 imply (35) for our definition of the number t .

Finally from (20), (23), (35), (37), (42), (43), (44) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &\leq Q \left(\prod_{n=1}^{t-1} a_{1,n} \right)^K 2^{(K-1)\sum_{n=1}^{t-1}(\log_2 a_{1,n})^\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{n=t}^\infty \frac{b_{i,n}}{a_{i,n}} \\
 &\leq Q \left(\prod_{n=1}^{t-1} a_{1,n} \right)^K 2^{(K-1)\sum_{n=1}^{t-1}(\log_2 a_{1,n})^\alpha} \left(\frac{2^{(\log_2 a_{1,t})^\alpha}}{a_{1,t}} + \frac{1}{a_{1,k}^B} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{Q(\prod_{n=1}^{t-1} a_{1,n})^K 2^{(K-1)\sum_{n=1}^{t-1} (\log_2 a_{1,n})^x} 2^{(\log_2 a_{1,t})^y}}{a_{1,t}} \\
&\quad + \frac{Q(\prod_{n=1}^{t-1} a_{1,n})^K 2^{(K-1)\sum_{n=1}^{t-1} (\log_2 a_{1,n})^x}}{a_{1,k}^B} \\
&\leq \frac{Q 2^{E(K+1)^x} 2^{(\log_2 a_{1,t})^y}}{(1 + 1/t^2)^{(K+1)^t} 2^{-Kt^2}} + \frac{Q 2^{D(K+1)^t}}{a_{1,k}^B} \\
&\leq \frac{Q 2^{E(K+1)^x} 2^{(\log_2 2^{(K+1+\delta)^t})^y}}{(1 + 1/t^2)^{(K+1)^t} 2^{-Kt^2}} + \frac{Q 2^{D(K+1)^t}}{2^{(\log_2 A)B(K+1)^k}} \\
&\leq \frac{2^{2E(K+1)^x} 2^{(K+1+\delta)^y}}{(1 + 1/t^2)^{(K+1)^t}} + \frac{2^{2D(K+1)^t}}{2^{(\log_2 A)B(K+1)^k}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$1 \leq 2^{2E(K+1)^x + (K+1+\delta)^y - (\log_2(1+1/t^2))(K+1)^t} + 2^{2D(K+1)^t - (\log_2 A)B(K+1)^k}.$$

This is a contradiction for a sufficiently large t, k and A and for sufficiently small δ . Now the proof of Theorem 5 is complete. \square

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Terence Jackson for his comments on an earlier draft of this paper.

References

- [1] R. Apéry: Irrationality of $\zeta(2)$ and $\zeta(3)$, Soc. Math. France, Asterisque **61** (1979), 11–13.
- [2] C. Badea: The irrationality of certain infinite series, Glasgow Math. J. **29** (1987), 221–228.
- [3] C. Badea: A theorem on irrationality of infinite series and applications, Acta arithmetica, LXIII. 4 (1993), 313–323.
- [4] D. Duverney: A criterion of irrationality, Portugaliae Math., vol. 53, Farc. 2 (1996), 229–237.
- [5] P. Erdős: Some problems and results on the irrationality of the sum of infinite series, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, **10** (1975), 1–7.
- [6] P. Erdős and E. G. Straus: On the irrationality of certain Ahmes series, J. Indian Math. Soc. **27** (1968), 129–133.
- [7] P. Erdős and E. G. Straus: On the irrationality of certain series, Pacific journal of mathematics, Vol. 55, No. 1 (1974), 85–92.
- [8] J. Hančl: Expression of real numbers with the help of infinite series, Acta Arithmetica LIX. 2 (1991), 97–104.
- [9] J. Hančl: Criterion for Irrational Sequences, Journal of Number Theory, Vol. 43, No. 1 (1993), 88–92.
- [10] J. Hančl: Linearly unrelated sequences, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 190, No. 2 (1999), 299–310.

- [11] M. Huttner: Irrationalite de certaines integrales hypergeometriques, *J. Num. Theory* **26** (1987), 166–178.
- [12] K. Nishioka: Mahler functions and transcendence, *Lecture notes in Mathematics* 1631, Springer (1996).
- [13] A. Oppenheim: Criteria for irrationality of certain classes of numbers, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 61, No. 4 (1954), 235–241.

Department of Mathematics
University of Ostrava
Dvořákova 7, 701 03, Ostrava 1, Czech republic
e-mail: hancl@osu.cz, sobkova@osu.cz