

## SPACES OF UPPER SEMI-CONTINUOUS MULTI-VALUED FUNCTIONS ON SEPARABLE METRIC SPACES

By

Katsuro SAKAI and Shigenori UEHARA

**Abstract.** Let  $X = (X, d)$  be a metric space. By  $\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})$ , we denote the space of upper semi-continuous multi-valued functions  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{I} = [0, 1]$  such that each  $\varphi(x)$  is a closed interval. Each  $\varphi \in \text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})$  can be identified with its graph, which is a closed subset of  $X \times \mathbf{I}$ . The space  $\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})$  admits the Hausdorff metric induced by the product metric on  $X \times \mathbf{I}$ . In this paper, by proving the converse of Fedorchuk's result, we show that  $\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube  $Q = [-1, 1]^\omega$  if and only if  $X$  is infinite, locally connected and compact. In case  $X$  is a dense subset of a locally connected metric space  $Y$  such that  $Y \setminus X$  is locally non-separating in  $Y$ ,  $\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})$  can be regarded as a subspace of  $\text{USCC}(Y, \mathbf{I})$ . It is also proved that the pair  $(\text{USCC}(Y, \mathbf{I}), \text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I}))$  is homeomorphic to  $(Q, s)$  if and only if  $X \neq Y$ ,  $X$  is  $G_\delta$  in  $Y$ , and  $Y$  is compact, where  $s = (-1, 1)^\omega \subset Q$ .

### Introduction

Let  $X = (X, d)$  be a metric space. By  $(2^X)_m$ , we denote the hyperspace of non-empty bounded closed subsets of  $X$  with the Hausdorff metric  $d_H$  defined by  $d$  (cf. [Ku, p. 214]). Let  $2^X$  be the totality of non-empty closed subsets of  $X$ . In case  $X$  is unbounded,  $2^X \neq (2^X)_m$  and  $d_H$  is not a metric on the whole  $2^X$  (e.g.,  $d_H(\{x\}, X) = \infty$  for any  $x \in X$ ) but  $d_H$  induces a topology on  $2^X$ . This topology depends on the metric  $d$  (cf. [SU<sub>2</sub>, §1]).

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54C60, 57N20, 58C06, 58D17.

*Key words and phrases.* The space of upper semi-continuous multi-valued functions, the hyperspace of non-empty closed sets, the Hausdorff metric, the Hilbert cube, the pseudo-interior, locally non-separating, Property  $S$ .

Received November 24, 1998

Revised June 29, 1999

We endow the product space  $X \times \mathbb{R}$  with the metric

$$\rho((x, t), (x', t')) = \max\{d(x, x'), |t - t'|\}.$$

Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a multi-valued function such that each  $\varphi(x)$  is compact. Then,  $\varphi$  is upper semi-continuous (u.s.c.) if and only if the graph of  $\varphi$  is closed in  $X \times \mathbb{R}$ , whence we can regard  $\varphi \in 2^{X \times \mathbb{R}}$ . By  $\text{USC}_B(X)$ , we denote the space of bounded u.s.c. multi-valued functions  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that each  $\varphi(x)$  is non-empty and compact, where  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *bounded* means that the image  $\varphi(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X} \varphi(x)$  is bounded. The space  $\text{USC}_B(X)$  is now regarded as a subspace of  $2^{X \times \mathbb{R}}$ . One should note that  $\text{USC}_B(X) \not\subseteq (2^{X \times \mathbb{R}})_m$  in general, but  $\rho_H(\varphi, \psi) < \infty$  can be defined for each  $\varphi, \psi \in \text{USC}_B(X)$  because  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are bounded. Let  $\text{USC}(X, \mathbf{I})$  be the subspace of  $\text{USC}_B(X)$  consisting of all  $\varphi \in \text{USC}_B(X)$  with the image  $\varphi(X) \subset \mathbf{I}$ . By  $\text{USCC}_B(X)$ , we denote the subspace of  $\text{USC}_B(X)$  consisting of all  $\varphi \in \text{USCC}_B(X)$  such that each  $\varphi(x)$  is connected (i.e., a closed interval). Let  $\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I}) = \text{USCC}_B(X) \cap \text{USC}(X, \mathbf{I})$ .

In case  $X$  is compact, every u.s.c. multi-valued function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded, so we denote  $\text{USC}_B(X) = \text{USC}(X)$  and  $\text{USCC}_B(X) = \text{USCC}(X)$ . In this case, every admissible metric for  $X$  induces the same topology for  $\text{USC}_B(X)$ , that is, the topology for  $\text{USC}_B(X)$  does not depend on the metric  $d$ . In case  $X$  is non-compact, it depends on the metric  $d$  (see the end of Introduction).

Fedorchuk [Fe<sub>1,2</sub>] proved that if  $X$  is an infinite locally connected compact metric space then  $\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})$  is homeomorphic to ( $\approx$ ) the Hilbert cube  $Q = [-1, 1]^\omega$  and  $\text{USCC}(X) \approx Q \setminus \{0\} (\approx Q \times [0, 1])$  (cf. [SU<sub>1</sub>, Appendix]). In this paper, by showing the converse of this result, we have the following:

**THEOREM 1.** *For a metric space  $X$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I}) \approx Q$ ;
- (b)  $\text{USCC}_B(X) \approx Q \setminus \{0\} (\approx Q \times [0, 1])$ ;
- (c)  $X$  is infinite, locally connected and compact.

In case  $X$  is a dense subset of a metric space  $Y$ , we have the natural isometric embedding  $e_Y : \text{USC}_B(X) \rightarrow \text{USC}_B(Y)$  defined by  $e_Y(\varphi) = \text{cl}_{Y \times \mathbb{R}} \varphi$ . Then  $e_Y(\text{USC}(X, \mathbf{I})) \subset \text{USC}(Y, \mathbf{I})$ . But, in general,

$$e_Y(\text{USCC}_B(X)) \not\subseteq \text{USCC}_B(Y) \quad \text{nor} \quad e_Y(\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})) \not\subseteq \text{USCC}(Y, \mathbf{I}).$$

For example, let  $Y = \mathbf{S}^1$  be the unit circle of Euclidean plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the usual metric,  $X = \mathbf{S}^1 \setminus \{(1, 0)\}$ , and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the map defined by  $f(x, y) = y$  if  $x \leq 0$  and  $f(x, y) = y/|y|$  if  $x > 0$ . Then  $e_Y(f)(1, 0) = \{-1, 1\}$  is not connected.

In case  $Y$  is locally connected, it will be shown that

$$e_Y(\text{USCC}_B(X)) \subset \text{USCC}_B(Y) \quad \text{and/or} \quad e_Y(\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})) \subset \text{USCC}(Y, \mathbf{I})$$

if and only if the complement  $Y \setminus X$  is *locally non-separating* in  $Y$ , that is,  $U \cap X \neq \emptyset$  is connected for each non-empty connected open set  $U$  in  $Y$  (Proposition 2). Let  $s = (-1, 1)^\omega$  be the pseudo-interior of  $Q$ , which is homeomorphic to the separable Hilbert space  $\ell_2$ . We generalize Theorem 1 to pairs as follows:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X$  be a dense subset of a locally connected metric space  $Y$  with the locally non-separating complement in  $Y$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $(\text{USCC}(Y, \mathbf{I}), e_Y(\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I}))) \approx (Q, s)$ ;
- (b)  $(\text{USCC}_B(Y), e_Y(\text{USCC}_B(X))) \approx (Q \times [0, 1], s \times [0, 1])$ ;
- (c)  $X \neq Y$ ,  $X$  is  $G_\delta$  in  $Y$  and  $Y$  is compact.

In the above, it should be observed that if  $Y$  is locally connected and  $Y \setminus X$  is locally non-separating in  $Y$  then  $X$  is dense in  $Y$ .

A metric space  $X = (X, d)$  (or a metric  $d$ ) has *Property S* if  $X$  is covered by finitely many connected sets with arbitrarily small diameters. It should be remarked that a metric space with Property  $S$  is totally bounded, hence *a complete metric space with Property S is compact*. The subspace of  $2^X$  consisting of compacta is denoted by  $\text{exp}(X)$ . In case  $X$  is compact,  $\text{exp}(X) = 2^X$ . In [Cu], Curtis proved that  $X$  admits a Peano compactification  $\tilde{X}$  such that  $(\text{exp}(\tilde{X}), \text{exp}(X)) \approx (Q, s)$  if and only if  $X$  is connected, locally connected, completely metrizable, nowhere locally compact and admits a metric  $d$  with Property  $S$ . We have the following version of this Curtis' result:

**THEOREM 3.** *A metrizable space  $X$  has a metrizable compactification  $\tilde{X}$  such that*

$$(\text{USCC}(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{I}), e_{\tilde{X}}(\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I}))) \approx (Q, s)$$

*if and only if  $X$  is completely metrizable, non-compact and admits a metric with Property S.*

One should note that some admissible metric  $d$  for  $X$  cannot be extended to  $\tilde{X}$  even if  $d$  has Property  $S$ . For example, let  $X = (0, 1)$  and  $\tilde{X} = [0, 1]$ . Then,  $X \approx \mathbf{S}^1 \setminus \{(1, 0)\}$ . The metric on  $X$  inherited from  $\mathbf{S}^1$  has Property  $S$  but cannot be extended to  $\tilde{X}$ . The following is a direct consequence of Theorems 2 and 3:

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $X$  be completely metrizable, non-compact and admits a metric with Property S. Then  $X$  admits a metric which induces the topology on  $\text{USCC}_B(X)$  such that  $\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I}) \approx \text{USCC}_B(X) \approx \ell_2$ .  $\square$*

In the above, the topology of  $\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})$  is not defined by using a complete metric on  $X$ . In [SU<sub>2</sub>], it is proved that the spaces  $\text{USCC}_B(X)$  and  $\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})$  are homeomorphic to a *non-separable* Hilbert space for a uniformly locally connected, non-compact and complete metric space  $X$  (even if  $X$  is separable). One should observe that  $\text{USCC}_B(\mathbb{R})$  is non-separable but  $\text{USCC}_B((0, 1))$  is separable, where  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $(0, 1)$  have the usual metrics.

### Proofs of Theorems

We start with the following:

**PROPOSITION 1.** *For a locally compact metric space  $X$ ,  $\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})$  is closed in  $2^{X \times \mathbf{I}}$  if and only if  $X$  is locally connected.*

**PROOF.** The “if” part is Proposition 1.1 in [SU<sub>2</sub>], where the local compactness of  $X$  need not be assumed.

To see the “only if” part, assume that  $X$  is not locally connected. Then some  $x_0 \in X$  has a compact neighborhood  $B_0$  such that any neighborhood of  $x_0$  contained in  $B_0$  is not connected. Let  $\delta = d(x_0, X \setminus B_0) > 0$ . Then we have disjoint non-empty closed sets  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  in  $X$  such that  $B_0 = A_1 \cup B_1$ ,  $d(x_0, A_1) < 2^{-1}\delta$  and  $x_0 \in B_1$ . In fact, since  $B_0$  is compact, the intersection of clopen sets in  $B_0$  containing  $x_0$  is the component of  $B_0$ , which is not a neighborhood of  $x_0$ . Then we have a clopen set  $B_1$  in  $B_0$  and  $x_1 \in B_0 \setminus B_1$  with  $d(x_0, x_1) < 2^{-1}\delta$ , whence  $A_1 = B_0 \setminus B_1$  and  $B_1$  satisfy the condition. Using the same argument inductively, we have disjoint non-empty closed sets  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  in  $X$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $B_{n-1} = A_n \cup B_n$ ,  $d(x_0, A_n) < 2^{-n}\delta$  and  $x_0 \in B_n$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$\varphi_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \times \{0\} \cup B_n \times \{1\} \cup (X \setminus \text{int}_X B_0) \times \mathbf{I} \in \text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I}).$$

Note that  $\varphi_n(\text{int}_X B_0) = \{0, 1\}$ . Since  $2^{B_0 \times \mathbf{I}} = \text{exp}(B_0 \times \mathbf{I})$  is compact,  $(\varphi_n|_{B_0})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a subsequence  $(\varphi_{n_i}|_{B_0})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  converging to some  $\varphi' \in 2^{B_0 \times \mathbf{I}}$ . Then  $(\varphi_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\varphi = \varphi' \cup (X \setminus \text{int}_X B_0) \times \mathbf{I}$  in  $2^{X \times \mathbf{I}}$ . Since  $(x_0, 0) \in \varphi_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $(x_0, 0) \in \varphi$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , choose  $x_n \in A_n$  so that  $d(x_n, x_0) < 2^{-n}\delta$ .

Since  $\rho((x_0, 1), (x_n, 1)) < 2^{-n}\delta$  and  $(x_n, 1) \in \varphi_n$ , we have  $(x_0, 1) \in \varphi$ . However  $(x_0, 1/2) \notin \varphi$  because  $\text{int}_X B_0 \times (0, 1) \cap \varphi_n = \emptyset$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This means that  $\varphi \cap \{x_0\} \times \mathbf{I}$  (i.e.,  $\varphi(x_0)$ ) is not connected, hence  $\varphi \notin \text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

For a metric space  $X$ , there exists the natural closed embedding  $i_X: X \rightarrow \text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})$  defined as follows:

$$i_X(x) = X \times \{0\} \cup \{x\} \times \mathbf{I} \subset X \times \mathbf{I} \quad \text{for each } x \in X,$$

whence each  $i_X(x) \in \text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})$  is defined by

$$i_X(x)(y) = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } y \neq x, \\ \mathbf{I} & \text{if } y = x. \end{cases}$$

Observe that  $\rho_H(i_X(x), i_X(x')) = d(x, x')$  if  $d(x, x') < 1$ , hence  $i_X$  is locally isometric. It is easy to see that  $i_X(X)$  is closed in  $\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})$ .

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** The implications (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) and (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) are Fedorchuk's results [Fe<sub>1,2</sub>] (cf. [SU<sub>1</sub>, Appendix]).

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): By using the embedding  $i_X$  above,  $X$  can be embedded in  $\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})$  as a closed set, hence  $X$  is compact. By Proposition 1,  $X$  is locally connected. If  $X$  is a singleton, the space  $\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})$  is homeomorphic to the hyperspace of subcontinua (i.e., closed subintervals) of  $\mathbf{I}$ , so  $\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I}) \approx \mathbf{I}^2$  (cf. [Du, §3]). Hence, if  $X$  is finite then  $\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I}) \approx \mathbf{I}^{2n}$ , where  $n$  is the number of points of  $X$ . Therefore,  $X$  must be infinite.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): Since  $\text{USCC}_B(X)$  is locally compact,  $\varphi_0 = X \times \{0\} \in \text{USCC}_B(X)$  has a compact neighborhood  $N$  in  $\text{USCC}_B(X)$ . Choose  $\delta > 0$  so that every  $\varphi \in \text{USCC}_B(X)$  with  $\rho_H(\varphi, \varphi_0) < \delta$  belongs to  $N$ . Then,  $\text{USCC}(X, [0, \delta]) \subset N$  and  $\text{USCC}(X, [0, \delta])$  is closed in  $\text{USCC}_B(X)$ . Hence,  $\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I}) \approx \text{USCC}(X, [0, \delta])$  is compact. As seen in the above, it follows that  $X$  is compact and locally connected. Since

$$\text{USCC}_B(X) = \text{USCC}(X) \approx \text{USCC}(X, (0, 1)) \subset \text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I}),$$

$\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})$  is infinite-dimensional, which implies that  $X$  is infinite.  $\square$

By  $C_B(X)$ , we denote the Banach space of bounded continuous real-valued functions of  $X$  with the sup-norm and let  $C(X, \mathbf{I}) = \{f \in C_B(X) \mid f(X) \subset \mathbf{I}\}$ . Although  $C_B(X) \subset \text{USCC}_B(X)$  as sets, the Banach space  $C_B(X)$  is not a subspace of  $\text{USCC}_B(X)$  in case  $X$  is non-compact (cf. [FK, Remark 3.6] and Supplement).

In [SU<sub>2</sub>, Corollary 1.5], it is also shown that if  $X$  is locally connected and has no isolated points then the closures of  $C(X, \mathbf{I})$  and  $C_B(X)$  in  $2^{X \times \mathbf{I}}$  are  $\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})$  and  $\text{USCC}_B(X)$ , respectively. In case  $X$  is locally compact, the converse also holds by Proposition 1.

**COROLLARY 2.** *For a locally compact metric space  $X$ ,*

$$\text{cl}_{2^{X \times \mathbf{I}}} C(X, \mathbf{I}) = \text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I}) \quad \text{and/or} \quad \text{cl}_{2^{X \times \mathbf{R}}} C_B(X) = \text{USCC}_B(X)$$

*if and only if  $X$  is locally connected and has no isolated point.* □

Next, we show the following:

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $X$  be a dense subset of a locally connected metric space  $Y$ . Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $e_Y(\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})) \subset \text{USCC}(Y, \mathbf{I})$ ;
- (b)  $e_Y(\text{USCC}_B(X)) \subset \text{USCC}_B(Y)$ ;
- (c)  $Y \setminus X$  is locally non-separating in  $Y$ .

**PROOF.** (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): Suppose  $e_Y(\text{USCC}_B(X)) \not\subset \text{USCC}_B(Y)$ , that is, there exists  $\varphi \in \text{USCC}_B(X)$  such that  $e_Y(\varphi) \notin \text{USCC}_B(Y)$ . Then  $e_Y(\varphi)(y)$  is not connected for some  $y \in Y \setminus X$ , whence we have  $t_1 < t < t_2$  such that  $t_1, t_2 \in e_Y(\varphi)(y)$  but  $t \notin e_Y(\varphi)$ . Since  $e_Y(\varphi)$  is closed in  $Y \times \mathbf{I}$  and  $Y$  is locally connected, we have a connected open neighborhood  $U$  in  $y$  in  $Y$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$U \times (t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap e_Y(\varphi) = \emptyset,$$

whence  $t \notin \varphi(x)$  for all  $x \in U \cap X$ ,  $t_1 < t - \delta$  and  $t_2 > t + \delta$ . By the definition of  $e_Y(\varphi)$ , we have  $x_i \in U \cap X$  and  $s_i \in \varphi(x_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that  $|s_i - t_i| < \delta$ , whence  $t \notin \varphi(x_i)$  and  $s_1 < t < s_2$ . Since  $\varphi(x_i)$  is connected,  $\varphi(x_1) \subset (-\infty, t)$  and  $\varphi(x_2) \subset (t, \infty)$ . Since  $\varphi$  is u.s.c.,

$$U_1 = \{x \in U \mid \varphi(x) \subset (-\infty, t)\} \quad \text{and} \quad U_2 = \{x \in U \mid \varphi(x) \subset (t, \infty)\}$$

are open in  $U$ . It follows that  $U = U_1 \cup U_2$ ,  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$  and  $x_i \in U_i \cap X$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Hence,  $U \cap X$  is not connected, which means that  $Y \setminus X$  is not locally non-separating in  $Y$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): This is observed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e_Y(\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})) &= e_Y(\text{USCC}_B(X)) \cap \text{USC}(Y, \mathbf{I}) \\ &\subset \text{USCC}_B(Y) \cap \text{USC}(Y, \mathbf{I}) = \text{USCC}(Y, \mathbf{I}). \end{aligned}$$

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): First, note that  $X$  is dense in  $Y$ . Otherwise,  $e_Y(\varphi)(y) = \emptyset$  for each  $\varphi \in \text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})$  and  $y \in Y \setminus \text{cl} X$ . Now, suppose that  $Y \setminus X$  is not locally non-separating in  $Y$ , that is, there exists a connected open set  $U$  in  $Y$  such that  $U \cap X$  is not connected. (Note that  $U \cap X \neq \emptyset$  because  $X$  is dense in  $Y$ .) Let  $U \cap X = U_1 \cup U_2$ , where  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are disjoint non-empty open sets in  $X$ . Note that  $\text{cl}_X U_1 \cup \text{cl}_X U_2 \supset U$ . Let

$$\varphi = (X \setminus U) \times \mathbf{I} \cup U_1 \times \{0\} \cup U_2 \times \{1\} \in \text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I}).$$

Since  $U$  is connected, we have  $y \in U \cap \text{cl}_Y U_1 \cap \text{cl}_Y U_2 \subset U \setminus X$  because  $X$  is dense in  $Y$ . It follows that  $e_Y(\varphi)(y) = \{0, 1\}$ . Thus  $e_Y(\varphi) \notin \text{USCC}(Y, \mathbf{I})$ , which contradicts to  $e_Y(\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I})) \subset \text{USCC}(Y, \mathbf{I})$ . Therefore,  $Y \setminus X$  is locally non-separating in  $Y$ .  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Let  $X$  be a dense subset of a locally connected compact metric space  $Y$  with the locally non-separating complement  $Y \setminus X$  in  $Y$ . Then,  $e_Y(\text{USCC}_B(X))$  is  $G_\delta$  in  $\text{USCC}(Y)$  if and only if  $X$  is  $G_\delta$  in  $Y$ .*

**PROOF.** The “only if” part follows from

$$i_Y(X) = i_Y(Y) \cap e_Y(\text{USCC}_B(X)),$$

where  $i_Y : Y \rightarrow \text{USCC}(Y, \mathbf{I}) \subset \text{USCC}_B(Y)$  is the natural closed embedding.

To see the “if” part, let  $X = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} U_n$ , where each  $U_n$  is open in  $Y$ . For each  $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let

$$G_{m,n} = \{\varphi \in \text{USCC}_B(Y) \mid \rho_H(\varphi, e_Y(\varphi|U_n)) < 1/m\}.$$

Since  $e_Y(\text{USCC}_B(X)) = \bigcap_{m,n \in \mathbf{N}} G_{m,n}$ , it suffices to show that each  $G_{m,n}$  is open in  $\text{USCC}_B(Y)$ , or each  $F_{m,n} = \text{USCC}_B(Y) \setminus G_{m,n}$  is closed in  $\text{USCC}_B(Y)$ .

Assume that a sequence  $\varphi_i \in F_{m,n}$ ,  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ , converges to  $\varphi \in \text{USCC}_B(Y)$ . Since  $\varphi$  is bounded,  $\varphi \subset Y \times [-a, a]$  for some  $a > 0$ . Then, we may assume that  $\varphi_i \subset Y \times [-a, a]$  for all  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ . Since each  $\varphi_i$  is compact, we can choose  $(x_i, t_i) \in \varphi_i$  so that

$$\rho((x_i, t_i), e_Y(\varphi_i|U_n)) = \rho_H(\varphi_i, e_Y(\varphi_i|U_n)) \geq 1/m.$$

Since  $Y \times [-a, a]$  is compact, we may assume that  $(x_i, t_i)$  converges to  $(x_0, t_0) \in Y \times [-a, a]$ , whence  $(x_0, t_0) \in \varphi$ . We show that  $\rho((x_0, t_0), e_Y(\varphi|U_n)) \geq 1/m$ , which means that  $\varphi \in F_{m,n}$ . Then,  $F_{m,n}$  would be closed in  $\text{USCC}(Y, [-a, a])$ .

Now, assume that  $\rho((x_0, t_0), e_Y(\varphi|U_n)) < 1/m$ . Then, we have  $(y_0, s_0) \in \varphi|U_n$  such that  $\rho((x_0, t_0), (y_0, s_0)) < 1/m$ . Let

$$\delta = \min\{d(y_0, Y \setminus U_n), \frac{1}{2}(1/m - \rho((x_0, t_0), (y_0, s_0)))\} > 0.$$

Choose  $i$  so large that  $\rho_H(\varphi_i, \varphi) < \delta$  and  $\rho((x_i, t_i), (x_0, t_0)) < \delta$ . Then, we have  $(y_i, s_i) \in \varphi_i$  such that  $\rho((y_0, s_0), (y_i, s_i)) < \delta$ . Since  $d(y_0, y_i) < d(y_0, Y \setminus U_n)$ , it follows that  $y_i \in U_n$ , hence  $(y_i, s_i) \in \varphi_i \mid U_n$ . Therefore,

$$\rho((x_i, t_i), (y_i, s_i)) \geq \rho((x_i, t_i), e_Y(\varphi_i \mid U_n)) \geq 1/m.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho((x_i, t_i), (y_i, s_i)) &\leq \rho((x_i, t_i), (x_0, t_0)) + \rho((x_0, t_0), (y_0, s_0)) + \rho((y_0, s_0), (y_i, s_i)) \\ &< 2\delta + \rho((x_0, t_0), (y_0, s_0)) < 1/m, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. The proof is completed.  $\square$

Now, we prove Theorems 2 and 3.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): As saw in the proof of [Fe<sub>2</sub>, Proposition 2.4],  $D = \text{USCC}(Y, \mathbf{I}) \setminus \text{USCC}(Y, (0, 1))$  is a contractible  $Z$ -set in  $\text{USCC}(Y, \mathbf{I})$  and then

$$\text{USCC}(Y, (0, 1)) \approx \text{USCC}(Y, \mathbf{I}) \setminus D \approx Q \times [0, 1].$$

It follows from [Ch, Theorem 6.6] that

$$(\text{USCC}(Y, (0, 1)), e_Y(\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I}) \setminus D)) \approx (Q \times [0, 1], s \times [0, 1]),$$

where it should be noted that  $e_Y(\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I}) \setminus D) \neq e_Y(\text{USCC}(X, (0, 1)))$  but

$$e_Y(\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I}) \setminus D) = \{e_Y(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in \text{USCC}(X, (a, b)) \text{ for some } 0 < a < b < 1\}.$$

By Theorem 1,  $Y$  is compact, whence  $\text{USCC}_B(Y) = \text{USCC}(Y)$  and there exists a homeomorphism  $h: \text{USCC}(Y) \rightarrow \text{USCC}(Y, (0, 1))$  such that

$$h(e_Y(\text{USCC}_B(X))) = \{e_Y(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in \text{USCC}(X, (a, b)) \text{ for some } 0 < a < b < 1\}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{USCC}_B(Y), e_Y(\text{USCC}_B(X))) &\approx (\text{USCC}(Y, (0, 1)), e_Y(\text{USCC}(X, \mathbf{I}) \setminus D)) \\ &\approx (Q \times [0, 1], s \times [0, 1]). \end{aligned}$$

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): By Theorem 1, the condition (b) implies that  $X \neq Y$  and  $Y$  is compact and locally connected. Moreover,  $Y \setminus X$  is locally non-separating in  $Y$  by Proposition 2, and  $X$  is  $G_\delta$  in  $Y$  by Proposition 3.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): We first consider the case that  $Y$  is connected, hence it is a Peano continuum. In this case,  $\text{USCC}(Y, \mathbf{I})$  is the closure of  $C(Y, \mathbf{I})$  in  $\exp(Y \times \mathbf{I}) =$



$2^{Y \times \mathbf{I}}$  [Fe<sub>2</sub>, Theorem 1.10]. Since  $(USCC(Y, \mathbf{I}), C(Y, \mathbf{I})) \approx (Q, s)$  [SU<sub>1</sub>, Corollary 1'], the complement  $USCC(Y, \mathbf{I}) \setminus C(Y, \mathbf{I})$  is a  $Z_\sigma$ -set in  $USCC(Y, \mathbf{I})$ . By Proposition 3,  $e_Y(USCC_B(X))$  is  $G_\delta$  in  $USCC_B(Y)$ , whence

$$e_Y(USCC(X, \mathbf{I})) = e_Y(USCC_B(X)) \cap USCC(Y, \mathbf{I})$$

is also  $G_\delta$  in  $USCC(Y, \mathbf{I})$ . Then, the complement

$$M = USCC(Y, \mathbf{I}) \setminus e_Y(USCC(X, \mathbf{I}))$$

is  $F_\sigma$  in  $USCC(Y, \mathbf{I})$  and  $M \subset USCC(Y, \mathbf{I}) \setminus C(Y, \mathbf{I})$ , hence  $M$  is a  $Z_\sigma$ -set in  $USCC(Y, \mathbf{I})$ . Let  $(A, B)$  be a pair of compacta in  $USCC(Y, \mathbf{I})$  such that  $B \subset M$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By all the same way as the proof of Main Theorem of [SU<sub>1</sub>], but using a point  $x_0 \in Y \setminus X$ , we can define an embedding  $h : A \rightarrow M$  such that  $h|_B = \text{id}$  and  $h$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $\text{id}$ . Applying the characterization of  $B(Q) = Q \setminus s$  [An] (cf. [Ch, Lemma 8.1]), we have  $(USCC(Y, \mathbf{I}), M) \approx (Q, B(Q))$ , hence

$$(USCC(Y, \mathbf{I}), e_Y(USCC(X, \mathbf{I}))) \approx (Q, s).$$

In the general case, we write  $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i$ , where each  $Y_i$  is a component of  $Y$ , which is closed and open in  $Y$  because of locally connectedness of  $Y$ . Since  $Y \setminus X$  is locally non-separating in  $Y$ , each  $X_i = X \cap Y_i$  is a component of  $X$ . Then

$$(USCC(Y, \mathbf{I}), e_Y(USCC(X, \mathbf{I}))) \approx \left( \prod_{i=1}^n USCC(Y_i, \mathbf{I}), \prod_{i=1}^n e_{Y_i}(USCC(X_i, \mathbf{I})) \right).$$

In case  $Y_i$  is a singleton,  $X_i = Y_i$  and  $USCC(Y_i, \mathbf{I})$  is homeomorphic to the hyperspace of subcontinua of  $\mathbf{I}$ , hence  $USCC(Y_i, \mathbf{I}) \approx \mathbf{I}^2$  (cf. [Du, § 3]). Hence the general case can be obtained the connected case.  $\square$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 3.** First, assume that  $X$  is completely metrizable and has an admissible metric with Property  $S$ . Then,  $X$  has only finitely many components, which are closed and open in  $X$ . Replacing the metric, we may assume that the distance between any two components of  $X$  is positive. Thus, as in the proof of Theorem 2, it suffices to treat the case  $X$  is connected. In this case,  $X$  has a Peano compactification  $\tilde{X}$  with a locally non-separating remainder  $\tilde{X} \setminus X$  by [Cu, Proposition 2.4]. By complete metrizability,  $X$  is  $G_\delta$  in  $\tilde{X}$ . Then, the “if” part follows from Theorem 2.

Conversely, assume that  $X$  has a compactification  $\tilde{X}$  such that

$$(USCC(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{I}), e_{\tilde{X}}(USCC(X, \mathbf{I}))) \approx (Q, s).$$

By Theorem 2,  $X \neq \tilde{X}$ ,  $X$  is  $G_\delta$  in  $\tilde{X}$ ,  $\tilde{X}$  is locally connected and the remainder  $\tilde{X} \setminus X$  is locally non-separating in  $\tilde{X}$ . Then  $X$  is completely metrizable and, as is

easily observed, each component of  $\tilde{X}$  is a Peano compactification of a component of  $X$  with locally non-separating remainder. By [Cu, Proposition 2.4],  $X$  admits an admissible metric  $d$  with Property  $S$ . Thus we have the “only if” part.  $\square$

### Supplement

As mentioned before Corollary 2, the Banach space  $C_B(X)$  is not a subspace of  $\text{USCC}_B(X)$  in case  $X$  is non-compact (cf. [FK, Remark 3.6]). Here we show the following:

**PROPOSITION 4.** *In the following cases, the topology for  $C(X, \mathbb{I})$  induced by the sup-norm is different from the one induced by the Hausdorff metric  $\rho_H$ :*

- (1)  $X$  has a non-complete component;
- (2)  $X$  has a non-totally bounded component;
- (3)  $X$  has infinitely many components  $X_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\inf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{diam } X_i > 0$  and  $\inf_{i \neq j} \text{dist}(X_i, X_j) > 0$ .

**PROOF.** (1) Let  $X_0$  be a non-complete component of  $X$ . Then  $X_0$  has a non-convergent Cauchy sequence  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $m > n$  such that  $d(x_i, x_j) < (1/3)d(x_n, x_m)$  for all  $i, j \geq m$ . In fact,  $x_n$  is not an accumulation point of  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , whence there is come  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d(x_n, x_i) > \delta$  for almost all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence, we can choose  $m > n$  such that  $d(x_n, x_m) > \delta$  and  $d(x_i, x_j) < (1/3)\delta$  if  $i, j \geq m$ , whence  $d(x_i, x_j) < (1/3)d(x_n, x_m)$  for all  $i, j \geq m$ . Therefore, by taking a subsequence, we can assume that  $d(x_i, x_j) < (1/3)d(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i, j > n$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\varepsilon_n = (1/3)d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ . Then, the collection  $\{B(x_n, \varepsilon_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is discrete in  $X$  and

$$(*) \quad \bigcup_{i > n} B(x_i, \varepsilon_i) \subset B(x_{n+1}, 2\varepsilon_n) \subset X \setminus \bigcup_{j \leq n} B(x_j, \varepsilon_j).$$

Moreover, since  $X_0$  is connected, it follows that

$$(\#_1) \quad [0, \varepsilon_n] \subset [0, 2\varepsilon_n] \subset \{d(x_n, y) \mid y \in X_0\} \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We define a map  $f \in C(X, \mathbb{I})$  as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \varepsilon_i^{-1}d(x, x_i) & \text{if } x \in B(x_i, \varepsilon_i), \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

One should note that any map  $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I})$  with  $\sup_{x \in X} |f(x) - g(x)| = \gamma < 1/2$  is not uniformly continuous. In fact, by  $(\#_1)$ , we have  $y_i \in X_0$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

such that  $d(x_i, y_i) = \varepsilon_i$ , whence  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_i, y_i) = 0$  but

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x_i) - g(y_i)| &\geq |f(x_i) - f(y_i)| - |f(x_i) - g(x_i)| - |f(y_i) - g(y_i)| \\ &\geq 1 - \gamma - \gamma = 1 - 2\gamma > 0. \end{aligned}$$

However, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a uniformly continuous map  $h \in C(X, \mathbf{I})$  with  $\rho_H(f, h) < \varepsilon$ . In fact, choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $2\varepsilon_n < \varepsilon$ , and define a map  $h \in C(X, \mathbf{I})$  as follows:

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - 2^{-1}\varepsilon_n^{-1}d(x, x_{n+1}) & \text{if } x \in B(x_{n+1}, 2\varepsilon_n), \\ f(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows from (#1) that  $f(\text{cl } B(x_i, \varepsilon_i)) = h(\text{cl } B(x_{n+1}, 2\varepsilon_n)) = \mathbf{I}$  for every  $i > n$ . Then, by (\*), it can be easily seen that  $\rho_H(f, h) < 2\varepsilon_n < \varepsilon$ .

(2) Let  $X_0$  be a non-totally bounded component of  $X$ . Then, we have  $\delta > 0$  and  $x_i \in X_0$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $d(x_i, x_j) > \delta$  if  $i \neq j$ . Observe that

$$(\#2) \quad [0, \delta] \subset \{d(x_i, y) \mid y \in X_0\} \quad \text{for every } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\delta_i = \min\{i^{-1}, 1/3\delta\} > 0$ . Now, we define a map  $f \in C(X, \mathbf{I})$  as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \delta_i^{-1}d(x, x_i) & \text{if } x \in B(x_i, \delta_i), \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the same reason as the case (1), any map  $g \in C(X, \mathbf{I})$  with  $\sup_{x \in X} |f(x) - g(x)| < 1/2$  is not uniformly continuous. However, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $n^{-1} < \varepsilon$ , and define a uniformly continuous map  $h \in C(X, \mathbf{I})$  defined by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \min\{\varepsilon, \delta\}^{-1}d(x, x_i) & \text{if } x \in B(x_i, \min\{\varepsilon, \delta\}), \quad i \geq n, \\ f(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From (#2), it follows that

$$f(\text{cl } B(x_i, \delta_i)) = h(\text{cl } B(x_{n+1}, \min\{\varepsilon, \delta\})) = \mathbf{I} \quad \text{for every } i \geq n,$$

Then, we have  $\rho_H(f, h) < \varepsilon$ .

(3) For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , take  $x_i \in X_i$ . Choose  $2\delta > 0$  so that  $\delta < \inf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{diam } X_i$  and  $\delta < \inf_{i \neq j} \text{dist}(X_i, X_j)$ . Since  $\sup_{x \in X_i} d(x, x_i) > \delta$ , it follows that

$$(\#3) \quad [0, \delta] \subset \{d(x_i, y) \mid y \in X_i\} \quad \text{for every } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, by replacing  $X_0$  by  $X_i$ 's in the proof of the case (2), we have the proof of this case.  $\square$

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee for his helpful comments.

### References

- [An] R. D. Anderson, A characterization of apparent boundaries of the Hilbert cube, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (1969), 429, Abstract #697–G17.
- [Ch] T. A. Chapman, Dense sigma-compact subsets of infinite-dimensional manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **154** (1971), 399–426.
- [Cu] D. W. Curtis, Hyperspaces of noncompact metric spaces, *Compositio Math.* **40** (1980), 139–152.
- [Du] R. Duda, On the hyperspaces of subcontinua of a finite graph, I, *Fund. Math.* **62** (1968), 265–285.
- [Fe<sub>1</sub>] V. V. Fedorchuk, On certain topological properties of completions of function spaces with respect to Hausdorff uniformity, *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I, Mat. Mekh.* (1991), 77–80 (Russian); English transl., *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* **46** (1991), 56–58.
- [Fe<sub>2</sub>] V. V. Fedorchuk, Completions of spaces of functions on compact spaces with respect to the Hausdorff uniformity, *Trudy Seminara imeni I.G. Petrovskogo* **18** (1995), 213–235 (Russian); English transl., *J. of Math. Sci.* **80** (1996), 2118–2129.
- [FK] V. V. Fedorchuk, and H.-P. A. Künzi, Uniformly open mapping and uniform embeddings of function spaces, *Topology Appl.* **61** (1995), 61–84.
- [Ku] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, I, English edition, Polish Sci. Publ., Warsaw, 1966.
- [SU<sub>1</sub>] K. Sakai and S. Uehara, A Hilbert cube compactification of the Banach space of continuous functions, *Topology Appl.* **92** (1999), 107–118.
- [SU<sub>2</sub>] K. Sakai and S. Uehara, Spaces of upper semi-continuous multi-valued functions on complete metric spaces, *Fund. Math.* **160** (1999), 199–218.

Katsuro SAKAI: Institute of Mathematics,  
University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, 305-8571  
Japan

*E-mail address:* sakaiktr@sakura.cc.tsukuba.ac.jp

Shigenori UEHARA: Takamatsu National  
College of Technology, Takamatsu, 761-8058  
Japan

*E-mail address:* uehara@takamatsu-nct.ac.jp