

## AN ULTRAPOWERS WHICH DOES NOT PRESERVE THE TRUTH OF A $\Pi_2$ SENTENCE

By

Nobutaka TSUKADA

**Abstract.** We construct a ‘counterexample’ to Łoś’ theorem in the ordered Mostowski model for set theory ZFA.

The proof of the fundamental theorem of ultraproducts, as is well known, uses *AC* (the axiom of choice). Howard [2] showed that it is necessary even if for proving its special case: ultrapowers. In fact, he showed how to construct an ultrapower, which does not preserve some  $\Pi_2$  sentence, in a model for *BPI* (the Boolean Prime Ideal Theorem) +  $\neg AC$ . In this paper, we give another such ultrapower in the ordered Mostowski model for *ZFA* (Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory with atoms, see Jech [1]).

Let  $I$  be a non empty set, let  $U$  be an ultrafilter on  $I$  and let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a model for the first order language  $\mathcal{L}$ . Let  $A$  be the universe set of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Consider the equivalence relation  $\equiv$  over the set  $A^I$  defined by:

$$f \equiv g \Leftrightarrow \{i \in I \mid f(i) = g(i)\} \in U \quad \text{for } f, g \in A^I.$$

If  $f \in A^I$ , let  $[f]$  denote the equivalence class of  $f$  ( $[f] = \{g \in A^I \mid f \equiv g\}$ ). The ultrapower  $\mathfrak{A}^I/U$  is the model for  $\mathcal{L}$  described as follows:

(i) The universe of  $\mathfrak{A}^I/U$  is  $A^I/U = \{[f] \mid f \in A^I\}$

(ii) Let  $P$  be an  $n$ -placed predicate symbol of  $\mathcal{L}$ . The interpretation of  $P$  in  $\mathfrak{A}^I/U$  is the relation  $R$  such that  $R([f_1], [f_2], \dots, [f_n])$  iff

$$\{i \in I \mid \mathfrak{A} \models P(f_1(i), f_2(i), \dots, f_n(i))\} \in U \quad (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in A^I).$$

Then Łoś’ Theorem reads (see [3]):

*For each formula  $\phi$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ , and for each  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in A^I$*

$$\mathfrak{A}^I \models \phi([f_1], [f_2], \dots, [f_n]) \quad \text{iff } \{i \in I \mid \mathfrak{A} \models \phi(f_1(i), f_2(i), \dots, f_n(i))\} \in U.$$

This theorem is proved by using *AC*. We can prove without *AC* easily the following

PROPOSITION. *Let  $\sigma$  be a  $\Sigma_2$  sentence. If  $\sigma$  is true in a model  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then  $\sigma$  is true in every ultrapower of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .  $\square$*

So, the least possible hierarchy of sentences whose truth is not preserved is  $\Pi_2$ . In fact, Howard [2] showed that

*If every ultrapower preserves the truth of every  $\Pi_2$  sentence and if **BPI** holds, then the axiom of choice holds.*

In this paper, we give another ultrapower which does not preserve the truth of a  $\Pi_2$  sentence in the ordered Mostowski model for **ZFA**. For the **ZF** model which is translated by P. J. Cohen (see Jech [1], 5.5.), we can obtain the same result.

Recall the ordered Mostowski model  $M$  for **ZFA**. Let  $N$  be a model for **ZFA** + **AC** with countable atoms. Since the set of atoms  $A$  of  $N$  is countable, we can endow dense linear ordering to  $A$  by an isomorphism:  $\langle \mathcal{Q}, < \rangle \rightarrow \langle A, <_A \rangle$ . Consider the automorphism group  $\mathfrak{G}$  of  $\langle A, <_A \rangle$ . Each automorphism  $\pi \in \mathfrak{G}$  can be extended to an automorphism of  $N$  by the recursion:  $\pi(0) = 0$ ,  $\pi(x) = \{\pi(y) \mid y \in x\}$ . For  $x \in N$ ,

$x$  is *symmetric* if there is a finite subset  $E$  of  $A$  such that

$$\forall \pi \in \mathfrak{G} [\forall e \in E (\pi(e) = e) \Rightarrow \pi(x) = x] \quad (\text{such an } E \text{ is called a } \textit{support} \text{ of } x).$$

Let  $M$  be the class of all the *hereditarily symmetric* elements of  $N$ . Then  $M$  is a model for **ZFA**, which contains all the elements of  $A \cup \{A\} \cup \{<_A\} \cup \{\langle A, <_A \rangle\} \cup N_0$ , where  $N_0$  is the class of hereditarily atomless elements of  $N$ . In  $M$ ,  $\langle A, <_A \rangle$  is a dense linearly ordered set without endpoints, and  $A$  cannot be well-ordered, *a fortiori*,  $A$  has no countably infinite subset (Jech [1], p. 50 and p. 52).

LEMMA. (1) *In  $M$ , every subset of  $A$  is a finite union of intervals of  $A$  of the form  $(\leftarrow, a) \quad \{a\} \quad (a, b) \quad (a, \rightarrow)$  where  $a, b \in A$ , and where  $(\leftarrow, a) = \{x \in A \mid x <_A a\}$ , similarly for others.*

(2) *In  $M$ , only the non-principal ultrafilters on  $A$  are*

$$\{x \subset A \mid \exists a \in A (a, \rightarrow) \subset x\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x \subset A \mid \exists a \in A (\leftarrow, a) \subset x\}.$$

PROOF. (1) Trivial. (2) Let  $U_0 = \{x \subset A \mid \exists a \in A (a, \rightarrow) \subset x\}$  and  $U_1 = \{x \subset A \mid \exists a \in A (\leftarrow, a) \subset x\}$ . First we prove  $U_0$  is a non-principal ultrafilter in  $M$ . As  $\langle A, <_A \rangle$  is a linearly ordered set without largest element in  $M$ ,  $U_0$  is a non-principal filter in  $M$ . If  $x \in M$  and  $x \subset A$ , then there is an  $a \in A$  such that  $(a, \rightarrow) \subset x$  or  $(a, \rightarrow) \subset A - x$  by (1), so exactly one of  $x$  and  $A - x$  is in  $U_0$ . So

$U_0$  is an ultrafilter in  $M$ . Similarly for  $U_1$ . Next we consider in  $N$ , to determine non-principal ultrafilters in  $M \cap \mathcal{P}(A)$ . Let  $U$  be a non-principal ultrafilter in  $M \cap \mathcal{P}(A)$  ( $U$  may be not in  $M$ ). First assume that non of bounded intervals of  $A$  belong to  $U$ . Then by (1), it is clear that  $U$  is of either form given in (2). So in the following, we assume  $U$  contain a bounded interval as an element, and lead to a contradiction. Since  $U$  is a filter,  $U$  contains a bounded *closed* interval. Let  $\psi : \langle \mathcal{Q}, < \rangle \rightarrow \langle A, <_A \rangle$  be the isomorphism which endows the dense linear ordering to  $A$ . Fix a bounded closed interval  $I_0 = [a_0, b_0] \in U$ . Using Lemma (1), by induction on  $n < \omega$ , we can make  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$  in such a way that the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $I_n \in U$ ,
- (ii) the sequence  $\{I_n\}$  is strictly descending,
- (iii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\psi^{-1}(I_n)| = 0$ , where  $|\cdot|$  represents the length of interval.

Hence, there is a real  $\alpha$  such that  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \psi^{-1}(I_n) = \{\alpha\}$ . Then

$$U = \{x \subset A \mid \exists a, b \in A (\psi^{-1}(a) < \alpha < \psi^{-1}(b) \wedge (a, b) \subset x)\}$$

If  $\alpha$  is a rational, then  $U$  is a principal filter, contradicting our assumption. So  $\alpha$  is an irrational. Now, assume that  $U$  is in  $M$ , and fix a support  $S$  of  $U$ . Since  $\alpha$  is an irrational and  $S$  is finite, by (ii) and (iii), there is an  $m < \omega$  such that  $I_m \cap S = \emptyset$ . Take an  $n$  such that  $m < n$  and  $a_m <_A a_n$ . Let  $\pi$  be an order automorphism such that if either  $x \leq_A a_m$  or  $b_m \leq_A x$ ,  $\pi(x) = x$  and such that  $\alpha < \psi^{-1}(\pi(a_n)) < \psi^{-1}(\pi(b_n))$ . Then  $\pi(U) = U$ , for every member of a support  $S$  of  $U$  is preserved by  $\pi$ , and any member of  $\psi^{-1}(\pi(I_n))$  is larger than  $\alpha$ , and so  $\neg \pi(I_n) \in U$ , which is a contradiction. □

Now, we state our theorem. (Note that the statement “an ordered set has no end points” is  $\Pi_2$ .)

**THEOREM.** *In  $M$ , let  $U$  be a non-principal ultrafilter on  $A$ . Then  $\langle A, <_A \rangle^A / U$  is a dense linearly ordered set with an end point. So,  $\langle A, <_A \rangle$  and  $\langle A, <_A \rangle^A / U$  are not elementarily equivalent.*

**PROOF. CLAIM.**  $A^A / U = \{[c_a] \mid a \in A\} \cup \{[i_A]\}$ , where  $c_a$  is the constant function with the value  $a$  and  $i_A$  is the identity function on  $A$ .

Firstly, assuming the CLAIM, we prove our theorem: That  $\langle A, <_A \rangle^A / U$  is a dense linearly ordered set is obvious. Now, if  $U$  is  $\{x \subset A \mid \exists a \in A (a, \rightarrow) \subset x\}$ , then  $[i_A]$  is the largest element of  $\langle A, <_A \rangle^A / U$ . If  $U$  is  $\{x \subset A \mid \exists a \in A (\leftarrow, a) \subset x\}$ ,

then  $[i_A]$  is the least element of  $\langle A, <_A \rangle^A / U$ . Whereas  $\langle A, <_A \rangle$  has no end points.

**PROOF OF THE CLAIM.** We consider only the case where  $U = \{x \subset A \mid \exists a \in A (a, \rightarrow) \subset x\}$ , another case is proved similarly. Let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be in  $M$ . First we assume  $[f] < [i_A]$ , i.e.  $\{x \in A \mid f(x) <_A x\} \in U$  and prove  $[f] = [c_a]$  for some  $a \in A$ . From the choice of  $U$ , there is an  $a_0$  such that

$$(a_0, \rightarrow) \subset \{x \in A \mid f(x) <_A x\}.$$

Fix a support of  $f$  whose maximum element  $a^*$  is larger than  $a_0$ . Fix  $a_1$  with  $a^* <_A a_1$ . Then  $f(a_1) <_A a_1$ . It suffices to show that if  $a_1 <_A a$ , then  $f(a) = f(a_1)$ , for letting  $f(a_1) = b$ , we have  $[f] = [c_b]$ . To show this, fix an arbitral  $a$  with  $a_1 <_A a$ . As  $f(a_1) <_A a_1$  and  $a^* <_A a_1$  we can take an order automorphism  $\pi$  of  $A$  such that if  $x \leq_A f(a_1)$  or  $x \leq_A a^*$  then  $\pi(x) = x$ , and  $\pi(a_1) = a$ . Since  $\pi$  preserves the support of  $f$ ,  $\pi f = f$ , so

$$f(a) = (\pi f)(a) = (\pi f)(\pi(a_1)) = \pi(f(a_1)) = f(a_1).$$

Next, assume that  $[i_A] < [f]$ , i.e.  $\{x \in A \mid x <_A f(x)\} \in U$ . Again we prove that  $[f] = [c_a]$  for some  $a \in A$ . From the choice of  $U$ , there is an  $a_0$  such that

$$(a_0, \rightarrow) \subset \{x \in A \mid x <_A f(x)\}.$$

Fix a support of  $f$  whose maximum element  $a^*$  is larger than  $a_0$ . Fix  $a_1$  with  $a^* <_A a_1$ . Then  $a_1 <_A f(a_1)$ . It suffices to show that if  $f(a_1) <_A a$ , then  $f(a) = f(a_1)$ . To show this, fix an  $a$  with  $f(a_1) <_A a$ . As  $a_1 <_A f(a_1)$  and  $a_1 <_A f(a)$ , we can take an order automorphism  $\pi$  of  $A$  such that if  $x \leq_A a_1$ , then  $\pi(x) = x$  and  $\pi(f(a_1)) = f(a)$ . Since  $\pi$  preserves the support of  $f$ ,  $\pi f = f$  and so

$$f(a) = \pi(f(a_1)) = (\pi f)(\pi(a_1)) = f(a_1). \quad \square$$

### References

- [1] J. Thomas, Jech: The Axiom of Choice, North-Holland, 1973.
- [2] P. E. Howard: Loś' Theorem and the Boolean Prime Ideal Theorem Imply the Axiom of Choice, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (1975), 426-428.
- [3] C. C. Chang & H. J. Keisler: Model Theory, North Holland, 1973.

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba  
1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba-shi,  
Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan