THE TYPE NUMBER ON REAL HYPERSURFACES IN A QUATERNION SPACE FORM

By

Hiroyuki Kurihara

0. Introduction

Let $M_n(c)$ be a 4*n*-dimensional quaternion space form with the metric g of constant quaternion sectional curvature 8c. The standard models of quaternion space forms are the quaternion projective space $P_n(Q), (c > 0)$, the quaternion space Q, (c = 0) and the quaternion hyperbolic space $H_n(Q), (c < 0)$. Let M be a connected real hypersurface in $M_n(c)$ with the induced metric.

In particular in [9], J. S. Pak characterized real hypersurfaces in $P_n(Q)$ in terms of the second fundamental form.

When we give a Riemannian manifold and its submanifold, the rank of determined second fundamental form is called the *type number*.

B. Y. Chen and T. Nagano ([2]) investigated totally geodesic submanifolds in Riemannian symmetric spaces, and as one of their results the following holds

THEOREM A ([2]). Spheres and hyperbolic spaces are only simply connected irreducible symmetric spaces admitting a totally geodesic hypersurface.

Then it will be an interesting problem to study the type number t of real hypersurfaces in simply connected irreducible symmetric spaces excepted for spheres and hyperbolic spaces.

As a partial answer, it is known that there exists a point such that $t(p) \ge 2$ in any real hypersurface in complex space form with nonzero constant holomorphic sectional curvature and complex dimension ≥ 3 (cf. [8], [10]). Naturally we can consider the following question.

Does $M_n(c)$ satisfy the similar fact?

We answer this question affirmatively, i.e., we shall prove the following

Received January 12, 1999 Revised June 5, 2000

MAIN THEOREM. Let M be a connected real hypersurfaces in $M_n(c)$ $(c \neq 0, n \geq 2)$. Then there exists a point p in M such that $t(p) \geq 2$.

1. Preliminaries

A quaternion Kähler manifold is a Riemannian manifold (\overline{M}, g) on which there exists a 3-dimensional vector bundle \overline{V} of tensors of type (1,1) satisfying the following properties:

(1) In any open set W in M, there is a local base $\{J_i(i = 1, 2, 3)\}$ of \overline{V} such that

$$(1.1) J_i^2 = -I,$$

(1.2) $J_i J_{i+1} = J_{i+2} = -J_{i+1} J_i \quad (i \bmod 3),$

where I denotes the identity endmorophism.

Such a local base $\{J_i(i=1,2,3)\}$ is called a *canonical local base* of the bundle \overline{V} in W.

(2) There is a Riemannian metric g on \overline{M} such that

(1.3)
$$g(J_iX, Y) + g(X, J_iY) = 0,$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(W)$, where $\mathfrak{X}(W)$ is the set of all vector fields on W.

(3) The Levi-Civita connection D on \overline{M} satisfies following conditions: If $\{J_i(i=1,2,3)\}$ is a canonical local base of \overline{V} in W, then there exists three local 1-forms p_i (i=1,2,3) on \overline{M} such that

(1.4)
$$D_X J_i = p_{i+2}(X) J_{i+1} - p_{i+1}(X) J_{i+2} \quad (i \bmod 3),$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\overline{M})$.

Let Q(X) be the 4-plane spanned by vectors X, J_1X, J_2X and J_3X , for any $X \in T_x \overline{M}, x \in \overline{M}$. If the sectional curvature of any section for Q(X) depends only on X, we call it Q-sectional curvature.

A quaternion space form of Q-sectional curvature 8c is connected quaternion Kahler manifold with constant Q-sectional curvature 8c, which denotes by $M_n(c)$.

Let *M* be a real hypersurface in $M_n(c)$ $(n \ge 2, c \ne 0)$. In a neighborhood of each point, we choose a unit normal vector field *N* in $M_n(c)$. The Levi-Civita connection *D* in $M_n(c)$ and ∇ in *M* are related by the following formulas for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$:

(1.5)
$$D_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \langle AX, Y \rangle N,$$

$$(1.6) D_X N = -AX,$$

where \langle , \rangle denotes the Riemannian metric on *M* induced from the metric *g* on $M_n(c)$ and *A* is the shape operator of *M*.

It is known that M has an almost contact metric structure induced from the quaternion structure J_i on $M_n(c)$, i.e., we define a tensor ϕ_i of type (1, 1), a vector field ξ_i and a 1-form η_i on M by the following,

(1.7)
$$\langle \phi_i X, Y \rangle = g(J_i X, Y), \quad \langle \xi_i, X \rangle = \eta_i(X) = g(J_i X, N).$$

Then from (1.1) we have

(1.8)
$$\langle \phi_i X, Y \rangle + \langle X, \phi_i Y \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \phi_i X, \phi_i Y \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle - \eta_i(X) \eta_i(Y),$$

(1.9)
$$\phi_i \xi_{i+1} = \xi_{i+2} = -\phi_{i+1} \xi_i \quad (i \mod 3).$$

From (1.3), we obtain

(1.10)
$$\phi_i^2 = -I + \eta_i \otimes \xi_i, \quad \eta_i(\xi_i) = 1, \quad \phi_i \xi_i = 0,$$

(1.11)
$$\eta_i(\xi_{i+1}) = \eta_i(\xi_{i+2}) = 0 \quad (i \bmod 3),$$

(1.12)
$$\phi_i = \phi_{i+1}\phi_{i+2} - \eta_{i+2} \otimes \xi_{i+1} = -\phi_{i+2}\phi_{i+1} + \eta_{i+1} \otimes \xi_{i+2} \quad (i \mod 3)$$

Furthermore from (1.2) and (1.7), we get

(1.13)
$$(\nabla_X \phi_i) Y = p_{i+1}(X) \phi_{i+2} Y - p_{i+2}(X) \phi_{i+1} Y + \eta_i(Y) A X - \langle A X, Y \rangle \xi_i \quad (i \mod 3).$$

In terms of (1.4) we have the following Codazzi equation

$$(\nabla_X A) Y - (\nabla_Y A) X = c \sum_{i=1}^3 (\eta_i(X)\phi_i Y - \eta_i(Y)\phi_i X - 2\langle \phi_i X, Y \rangle \xi_i).$$

2. Formulas

We assume that the rank of A is not larger than m on an open set W, then there exists an open set W_0 such that t takes the constant m. Then the Codazzi equation gives

(2.1)
$$-A(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X) = (\nabla_X A) Y - (\nabla_Y A) X$$
$$= c \sum_{i=1}^3 (\eta_i(X)\phi_i Y - \eta_i(Y)\phi_i X - 2\langle \phi_i X, Y \rangle \xi_i \rangle),$$

for any vector fields $X, Y \in \ker A|_{W_0}$.

Taking the inner product of (2.1) with $Z \in \ker A|_{W_0}$, from (1.7) and $c \neq 0$, we have

(2.2)
$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\eta_i(X) \langle \phi_i Y, Z \rangle + \eta_i(Y) \langle \phi_i Z, X \rangle - 2\eta_i(Z) \langle \phi_i X, Y \rangle).$$

Putting Z = X in (2.2), we obtain

(2.3)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \eta_i(X) \langle \phi_i Y, X \rangle = 0.$$

3. Proof of the Main theorem

Since Theorem A, we get $m \ge 1$. Suppose that m = 1. Let λ be the nonzero principal curvature with principal subspace T_{λ} . Choose a local orthonormal frame field U, e_1, \ldots, e_{4n-2} on M such that e_1, \ldots, e_{4n-2} is in ker $A|_{W_0}$ and $U \in T_{\lambda}$. We use the following convention on the range of indices otherwise stated: $r, s, \ldots = 1, \ldots, 4n-2$.

Putting $Z = e_r$ in (2.2), we get

(3.1)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} (\eta_i(X) \langle \phi_i Y, e_r \rangle - \eta_i(Y) \langle \phi_i X, e_r \rangle - 2 \langle \phi_i X, Y \rangle \eta_i(e_r)) = 0.$$

LEMMA. There exists a number i such that $\eta_i(U) \neq 0$.

PROOF. We assume that

$$\eta_i(U) = 0,$$

for any number *i*. Then multiplying (3.1) by $\langle \phi_i U, e_r \rangle$ and summing up for *r*, since (1.8)~(1.12) and (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-\eta_{i+1}(X)\langle\phi_{i+2}Y,U\rangle+\eta_{i+1}(Y)\langle\phi_{i+2}X,U\rangle \\ &+\eta_{i+2}(X)\langle\phi_{i+1}Y,U\rangle-\eta_{i+2}(Y)\langle\phi_{i+1}X,U\rangle=0 \quad (i \bmod 3). \end{aligned}$$

Putting $X = e_r$ in above equation and summing up for r, from $(1.9) \sim (1.11)$ and (3.2) we obtain

 $\langle \phi_i U, Y \rangle = 0,$

together with equation $\langle \phi_i U, U \rangle = 0$, we get

 $\phi_i U = 0.$

Putting X = U and $Y = \xi_i$ in (1.13) and taking the inner product with U, then using (1.10), (3.2) and (3.3) we get $\lambda = 0$, which is a contradiction.

On the other hand, (2.3) implies

(3.4)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \eta_i(X) \langle \phi_i e_r, X \rangle = 0.$$

Multiplying (3.1) by $\langle \phi_i U, e_r \rangle$ and summing up for r, from (1.9), (1.10), (1.12) and equation $\sum_r \langle \phi_i U, e_r \rangle e_r = \phi_i U$, we get

$$\eta_i(U) \sum_{j=1}^{3} \eta_j^2(X) + \eta_{i+1}(X) \langle U, \phi_{i+2}X \rangle - \eta_{i+2}(X) \langle U, \phi_{i+1}X \rangle = 0 \quad (i \bmod 3).$$

Putting $X = e_r$ in above equation and summing up for r, by (1.9) we have

$$\eta_i(U)\left(\sum_{j=1}^3\eta_j^2\left(\sum e_r\right)-2\right)=0.$$

According to Lemma, above equation implies

(3.5)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{3} \eta_{j}^{2} \left(\sum e_{r} \right) = 2.$$

Multiplying (3.4) by $\eta_i(e_r)$ and summing up for r, then using (1.9), (1.10) and Lemma we have

(3.6)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{3} \eta_j(X) \langle U, \phi_j X \rangle = 0.$$

Again multiplying (3.4) by $\langle \phi_i X, e_r \rangle$ and summing up for r and since (1.8), (1.12) and (3.6) we obtain

(3.7)
$$\eta_i(X) \left(\|X\|^2 - \sum_{j=1}^3 \eta_j^2(X) \right) = 0.$$

Suppose that $\eta_i(X) = 0$ for any number *i*. Then we observe $\eta_i(\xi_i) = \eta_i(U) = 1$. This implies $\xi_i = U$ for any number *i*, which is a contradiction. Thus by (3.7) we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3} \eta_j^2(X) = \|X\|^2.$$

Putting $X = e_r$ in above equation and summing up for r, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3} \eta_j^2 \left(\sum e_r \right) = 4n - 2,$$

which contradicts (3.5).

It completes the proof of Main Theorem.

REMARK (ADDED IN PROOF). J. E. D'Atri [3], J. Berndt [1] and A. Martinez [6] gave some examples of real hypersurfaces in $M_n(c), c \neq 0$. In case $M_n(c)$ is $H_n(Q)$, the type number of these examples is maximum. In case $M_n(c)$ is $P_2(Q)$, there is an example of $t \equiv 4$ in the above. However, we don't know an example of real hypersurface in $M_n(c), c \neq 0$ such that $t \equiv 2$.

References

- J. Berndt, Real hypersurfaces in quaternionic space forms, J. reine angew. Math. 419 (1991), 9-26.
- B. Y. Chen and T. Nagano, Totally geodesic submanifolds in symmetric spaces, Duke Math. J 45 (1978), 405–425.
- [3] J. E. D'Atri, Certain isoparametric families of hypersurfaces in symmetric spaces, J. Differential Geometry 14 (1979), 21-40.
- [4] S. Ishihara, Quaternion Kählerian manifolds, J. Differential. Geom. 9 (1974), 483-500.
- [5] H. Kurihara and R. Takagi, A note on the type number of real hypersurfaces in $P_n(C)$, Tsukuba J. Math. 22 (1998), 793-802.
- [6] A. Martinez, Ruled real hypersurfaces in quaternionic projective space, An. Sti. Univ. Al I Cuza 34 (1988), 73-78.
- [7] A. Martinez and J. D. Perez, Real hypersurfaces in quaternionic projective space, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (IV) 145 (1986), 355–384.
- [8] R. Niebergall and P. J. Ryan, Real hypersurfaces in complex space form, pp. 233–305 in Tight and Taut Submanifolds, edited by T. E. Cecil and S.-s. Chern, Cambridge U. Press, (1997).
- [9] J. S. Pak, Real hypersurfaces in quaternionic Kaehlerian manifolds with constant Q-sectional curvature, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 29 (1977), 22-61.
- [10] R. Takagi, On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, Osaka J. Math. 10 (1973), 495-506.

Graduate School of Science and Technology Chiba University Chiba-Shi, 263-8522 Japan