CAUCHY PROBLEMS RELATED TO DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS WITH COEFFICIENTS OF GENERALIZED HERMITE OPERATORS

By

Xiaowei Xu

1. Introduction

As an example, let us consider the Schrödinger equation:

$$\{D_t + D_x^2 + V(x)\}u(t, x) = 0$$

where $D_t = (1/i)(\partial/\partial t)$, $D_x = (1/i)(\partial/\partial x)$. In the harmonic oscillator case, where the potential energy function V(x) is equal to x^2 , X. Feng ([1]) considered it as follows.

As is well known, the Hermite function

$$\Phi_{\alpha}(x) = (\alpha! 2^{\alpha})^{-1/2} (-1)^{\alpha} \pi^{-1/4} e^{x^2/2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{\alpha} e^{-x^2}$$

is an eigenfunction of the Hermite operator $H = D_x^2 + x^2$, corresponding to an eigenvalue $2\alpha + 1$, that is,

$$H\Phi_{\alpha}(x) = (2\alpha + 1)\Phi_{\alpha}(x)$$

for any $\alpha \in I_+ = \{0, 1, ...\}$. Moreover, $\{\Phi_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in I_+\}$ is a complete orthonormal system of $L^2(R)$, and $\Phi_{\alpha}(x)$ belongs to S(R), where S(R) is the L. Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions in R ([2]).

Suppose $u(t,x) \in S'(R_x)$ for fixed t, where S'(R) is the conjugate space of S(R), and set

$$u_{\alpha}(t) = \langle u(t,x), \Phi_{\alpha}(x) \rangle.$$

Then the Cauchy problem

$$(A) \begin{cases} (D_t + H)u(t, x) = 0 & (0 \le t \le T, \ x \in R), \\ u(0, x) = \delta(x) & (x \in R) \end{cases}$$

Received December 8, 1997.

Revised February 4, 1998.

Xiaowei Xu

is reduced to the Cauchy problems of ordinary differential equations

$$(a)_{\alpha} \begin{cases} \{D_t + (2\alpha + 1)\}u_{\alpha}(t) = 0 & (0 \le t \le T), \\ u_{\alpha}(0) = \Phi_{\alpha}(0). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the solution u(t, x) of the problem (A) can be formally represented by making use of solutions $\{u_{\alpha}(t)\}$ of the problems $(a)_{\alpha}$. More precisely,

$$u(t,x) = \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} u_{\alpha}(t) \Phi_{\alpha}(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} \Phi_{\alpha}(0) e^{-i(2\alpha+1)t} \Phi_{\alpha}(x).$$

X. Feng proved that $u(t, x) \in S'(R_x)$, owing to the Hermite expression theory in S'(R) (B. Simon [3]).

How about anharmonic oscillator cases? Suppose that $\{\phi_{\alpha}(x)\}\$ is a complete orthonormal system in $L^2(R)$, where $\phi_{\alpha}(x)$ is an eigenfunction of the generalized Hermite operator $L = D_x^2 + x^2 + x^4 + 1$, corresponding to an eigenvalue λ_{α} . Then the solution of the Cauchy problem of the Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} (D_t + L)u(t, x) = 0 & (0 \le t \le T, x \in R), \\ u(0, x) = \delta(x) & (x \in R) \end{cases}$$

can be given by

$$u(t,x) = \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} \phi_{\alpha}(0) e^{-i\lambda_{\alpha}t} \phi_{\alpha}(x).$$

Our aim in this paper is to prove $u(t, x) \in S'(R_x)$. In the following, this problem will be considered in a more general situation.

2. Preparations

Let us define a generalized Hermite operator L by

$$L = (L_1, ..., L_n),$$

 $L_j = D_{x_j}^2 + V_j(x_j) \quad (j = 1, 2, ..., n),$

where $V_j(s)$ is a $C^{\infty}(R)$ -function satisfying the following conditions: there exist $\delta_j > 0$, $c_0 > 0$, and $C_k > 0$ ($k \in I_+$) such that

$$\begin{cases} V_j(s) \ge c_0(1+|s|)^{2\delta_j} & (\forall s \in R), \\ |D_s^k V_j(s)| \le C_k(1+|s|)^{2\delta_j} & (\forall s \in R). \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 1. There exist $\{\phi_{jk}(s)\}_{k \in I_+}$ satisfying the following conditions, where $\phi_{jk}(s)$ is an eigenfuction of L_j , corresponding to an eigenvalue λ_{jk} .

1)
$$0 < \lambda_{j0} \le \lambda_{j1} \le \cdots \le \lambda_{jk} \le \cdots$$
, and there exists $p_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{jk}^{-p_0} < +\infty.$$

2) $\phi_{jk}(s)$ is real valued, and $\{\phi_{jk}(s)\}_{k \in I_+}$ is a complete orthonomal system of $L^{2}(R)$.

3) $\phi_{jk}(s) \in S(R)$, and there exist C(l) > 0 and p(l) > 0 for any $l \in I_+$ such that

$$\|\phi_{jk}\|_{l} := \sum_{\alpha+\beta \leq l} \sup_{x \in R} |s^{\alpha} D_{s}^{\beta} \phi_{jk}(s)| \leq C(l) \lambda_{jk}^{p(l)} \quad (\forall k \in I_{+})$$

holds.

Lemma 1 is proved in [4] under assumptions slightly different to ours, but it is proved similarly.

Now, for any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n) \in I_+^n$, we put

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\alpha}(x) &= \Pi_{j=1}^{n} \phi_{j,\alpha_{j}}(x_{j}), \quad \lambda_{\alpha} = (\lambda_{1,\alpha_{1}}, \dots, \lambda_{n,\alpha_{n}}), \\ L^{\beta} &= \Pi_{j=1}^{n} L_{j}^{\beta_{j}} \quad \lambda_{\alpha}^{\beta} = \Pi_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j,\alpha_{j}}^{\beta_{j}} \end{split}$$

and denote

$$\begin{split} \Lambda &= \{\lambda_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in I_{+}^{n}\} \\ &= \{(\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20}, \ldots, \lambda_{n0}), (\lambda_{11}, \lambda_{20}, \ldots, \lambda_{n0}), (\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{21}, \ldots, \lambda_{n0}), \ldots\}. \end{split}$$

Using Lemma 1, it is easy to prove

LEMMA 2. $\phi_{\alpha}(x)$ is an eigenfunction of L^{β} corresponding to an eigenvalue λ_{α}^{β} , and they satisfy

1) there exists $p_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{-p_0} < +\infty,$$

2) $\{\phi_{\alpha}(x)\}_{\alpha \in I^n_+}$ is a complete orthonomal system of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

3) $\phi_{\alpha}(x) \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and there exist C(l) > 0 and p(l) > 0 for any $l \in I_+$ such . .

$$\|\phi_{\alpha}\|_{l} \leq C(l)|\lambda_{\alpha}|^{p(l)} \quad (\forall \alpha \in I_{+}^{n}).$$

Here we call $\{\phi_{\alpha}(x)\}_{\alpha \in I_{+}^{n}}$ a family of generalized Hermite functions.

Let s be a space, whose element

$$a = (a_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I_{+}^{n}} = (a_{0,\dots,0}, a_{1,0,\dots,0}, a_{0,1,0,\dots,0}, \dots) \quad (a_{\alpha} \in C)$$

satisfies

$$|a|_{h} := \sup_{\alpha \in I_{+}^{n}} |a_{\alpha}| |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{h/2} < +\infty \quad (\forall h \in I_{+}).$$

s is a Fréchet space with a countable set of seminorms $\{|a|_h\}_{h\in I_+}$. Let s' be the conjugate space of s. Namely, s' is a set of all linear continuous mappings from s to C. More precisely, let $b \in s'$, that is, $b: s \ni a \to \langle b, a \rangle \in C$. Then there exist h > 0 and C > 0 such that it holds

$$|\langle b, a \rangle| \le C |a|_h \quad (\forall a \in s).$$

LEMMA 3. 1) Let $f(x) \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and set

$$a(f) = \{a_{\alpha}(f)\}_{\alpha \in I^n_+}, \quad a_{\alpha}(f) = \langle f, \phi_{\alpha} \rangle.$$

Then

$$S(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni f(x) \to a(f) \in s$$

is linear continuous. More precisely, there exists $C_h > 0$ for any h such that

$$|a(f)|_h \le C_h ||f||_{2n+(\delta+1)h} \quad \left(\delta = \max_j \delta_j\right).$$

2) Conversely, let $a \in s$ and set

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}(x)$$

Then

$$s \ni a \to f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is linear and continuous. More precisely, there exists $C_l > 0$ for any l such that

$$||f||_l \leq C_l |a|_{2p(l)+2p_0}.$$

Moreover, a(f) = a holds.

PROOF. 1) For any $h \in I_+$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} |a(f)|_{2h} &= \sup_{\alpha \in I^n_+} |a_{\alpha}(f)| |\lambda_{\alpha}|^h \\ &\leq C_h \sup_{\alpha \in I^n_+} (\lambda^h_{1,\alpha_1} + \dots + \lambda^h_{n,\partial_n}) |\langle f, \phi_{\alpha} \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lambda_{j,\alpha_j}^h |\langle f, \phi_{\alpha} \rangle| = |\langle f, L_j^h \phi_{\alpha} \rangle| = |\langle L_j^h f, \phi_{\alpha} \rangle| \le \|L_j^h f\|_{L^2}.$$

Hence

$$|a(f)|_{2h} \le C_h \sum_{j=1}^n ||L_j^h f||_{L^2}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$|D_{x_j}^k V_j(x_j)| \le C_k (1+|x_j|)^{2\delta_j},$$

we have

$$\|L_{j}^{h}f\|_{L^{2}} = \|(D_{x_{j}}^{2} + V(x_{j}))^{h}f\|_{L^{2}} \le C_{h} \sum_{\substack{r \le 2\delta h \\ \beta \le 2h}} \|x_{j}^{r}D_{x_{j}}^{\beta}f\|_{L^{2}} \le C_{h}^{\prime}\|f\|_{2n+2(\delta+1)h}$$

Therefore, we have

$$|a(f)|_{2h} \le C_h ||f||_{2n+2(\delta+1)h}.$$

2) Conversely, let $a = \{a_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I_{+}^{n}}$, then we have from 1) and 3) of Lemma 2,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} \|a_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}\|_l &= \sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} |a_{\alpha}| \|\phi_{\alpha}\|_l \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} |a_{\alpha}| C(l) |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{p(l)} \\ &\leq C(l) \sup_{\alpha} |a_{\alpha}| |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{p(l)+p_0} \sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{-p_0} \\ &= C'(l) |a|_{2p(l)+2p_0} \end{split}$$

for any *l*. Therefore, $\sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} a_\alpha \phi_\alpha(x)$ is a convegent sequence in S(R). Hence, set

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} a_\alpha \phi_\alpha(x).$$

Then it holds that

$$||f||_{l} \le C'(l)|a|_{2p(l)+2p_{0}},$$

and

$$a_{\beta}(f) = \langle f, \phi_{\beta} \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in I_{+}^{n}} a_{\alpha} \langle \phi_{\alpha}, \phi_{\beta} \rangle = a_{\beta}$$

Thus a(f) = a holds.

LEMMA 4. 1) Let $T \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and put

$$b = \{b_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I_{+}^{n}}, \quad b_{\alpha} = \langle T, \phi_{\alpha} \rangle.$$

Then

- i) there exists h > 0 such that $|b|_{-h} := \sup |b_{\alpha}| |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{-h/2} < \infty$, ii) $b: s \ni \forall a \to \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} \in C$ belongs to s', iii) for any $f \in \overset{\alpha}{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it holds

$$\langle T, f \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(f), \quad a_{\alpha}(f) = \langle f, \phi_{\alpha} \rangle.$$

2) Conversely, let $b \in s'$. Then $T : S(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni f \to \langle b, a(f) \rangle$ belongs to $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

PROOF. 1) i) Since $T: S(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni \phi \to \langle T, \phi \rangle \in C$ is continuous, there exist C > 0 and l > 0 such that

$$|b_{\alpha}| = |\langle T, \phi_{\alpha} \rangle| \le C ||\phi_{\alpha}||_{l} \le CC(l) |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{p(l)},$$

using 3) of Lemma 2. Hence we have $|b|_{-2p(l)} < +\infty$.

ii) Let h be the number in i). Then we have

$$|b_{\alpha}| |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{-h/2} \leq C \quad (\forall \alpha \in I_{+}^{n}).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\alpha} |a_{\alpha}| |b_{\alpha}| &\leq C \sum_{\alpha} |a_{\alpha}| |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{h/2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{\alpha} |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{-p_{0}} \sup_{\alpha} |a_{\alpha}| |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{h/2+p_{0}} \\ &= C' |a|_{h+2p_{0}}, \end{split}$$

for any $a = \{a_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I_{+}^{n}} \in s$, where we used 1) of Lemma 2. Hence

$$b: s \ni a \to \langle b, a \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} \in C$$

is a linear continuous mapping, that is, b belong to s'.

774

iii) Let $f(x) \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(f)\phi_{\alpha}(x)$$
 in $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

where $a_{\alpha}(f) = \langle f, \phi_{\alpha} \rangle \ (\alpha \in I_{+}^{n})$ from 2) of Lemma 3. Hence we have

$$\langle T, f \rangle = \left\langle T, \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(f) \phi_{\alpha} \right\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(f) \langle T, \phi_{\alpha} \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(f) b_{\alpha}.$$

2) Conversely, for any $f(x) \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $a(f) = \{a_{\alpha}(f)\} \in s$, and there exists $C_h > 0$ for any $h \in I_+$ such that

$$|a(f)|_{h} \le C_{h} ||f||_{2n+(\delta+1)h},$$

from 1) of Lemma 3. Let $b \in s'$. Then there exist C > 0 and h > 0 such that

 $|\langle b, a(f) \rangle| \le C |a(f)|_h.$

Therefore, we have

$$|\langle b, a(f) \rangle| \le C ||f||_{2n+(\delta+1)h}.$$

Hence

$$T: S(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni f \to \langle b, a(f) \rangle \in C$$

is a linear continuous mapping, namely $T \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We say that $u(t, x) \in B^h([0, T], S'(\mathbb{R}^n))$, iff

$$u: [0,T] \ni t \to u(t,x) \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is continuously differentiable up to order h in the sense of simple topology of $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

LEMMA 5. 1) Suppose $u(t, x) \in B^h([0, T], S'(\mathbb{R}^n_x))$, and set $u_{\alpha}(t) = \langle u(t, x), \phi_{\alpha}(x) \rangle.$

Then there exist C > 0 and p > 0 such that

$$|D_t^j u_{\alpha}(t)| \leq C |\lambda_{\alpha}|^p \quad (\alpha \in I_+^n, \ 0 \leq j \leq h).$$

2) Conversely, suppose

$$|D_t^j u_{\alpha}(t)| \le C |\lambda_{\alpha}|^p \quad (\alpha \in I_+^n, \ 0 \le j \le h),$$

and set

$$u(t,x) = \sum_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}(t)\phi_{\alpha}(x),$$

that is,

$$u: S(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni f \to \langle u(t,x), f \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}(t) \langle \phi_{\alpha}(x), f(x) \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}(t) a_{\alpha}(f) \in C$$

for $t \in [0,T]$. Then $u(t,x) \in B^h([0,T], S'(\mathbb{R}^n_x))$.

PROOF. 1) Suppose $u(t,x) \in B^h([0,T], S'(\mathbb{R}^n))$, then $H = \{u(t,x) \mid t \in [0,T]\}$ is a bounded set in $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the sense of simple topology. By using the fundamental lemma of Fréchet space ([5]), there exist C > 0 and $l_0 > 0$ such that

$$|\langle u(t,x),\phi(x)\rangle| \leq C \|\phi\|_{l_0} \quad (\forall t \in [0,T], \,\forall \phi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

Therefore, it holds

$$|u_{\alpha}(t)| = |\langle u(t,x), \phi_{\alpha}(x) \rangle| \leq C \|\phi_{\alpha}\|_{l_{0}} \quad (\alpha \in I_{+}^{n}).$$

Besides, since

$$\|\phi_{\alpha}\|_{l_0} \leq C(l_0) |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{p(l_0)}$$

from 3) of Lemma 2, we have

$$|u_{\alpha}(t)| \leq CC(l_0) |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{p(l_0)}.$$

In the same way, we have

$$|D_t^j u_{\alpha}(t)| \leq C |\lambda_{\alpha}|^p \quad (\alpha \in I_+^n, \ j=0,1,2,\ldots,h).$$

2) Conversely, suppose

$$|D_t^j u_\alpha(t)| \le C |\lambda_\alpha|^p. \quad (\alpha \in I_+^n, \ j=0,1,2,\ldots,h),$$

and set

$$u: S(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni f \to \langle u(t,x), f(x) \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} u_\alpha(t) a_\alpha(f) \in C, \quad a_\alpha(f) = \langle f, \phi_\alpha \rangle.$$

Then u(t, x) belongs to $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, from 2) of Lemma 4. Since

Cauchy problems related to differential

$$\sum_{\alpha \in I^n_+} D^j_t u_\alpha(t) a_\alpha(f) \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, h)$$

are uniformly convergent sequences in [0, T],

$$D_t^j \langle u(t,x), f(x) \rangle = D_t^j \sum_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}(t) a_{\alpha}(f)$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha} D_t^j u_{\alpha}(t) a_{\alpha}(f).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D_t^j \langle u(t,x), f(x) \rangle| &\leq \sum_{\alpha} |a_{\alpha}(f)| |D_t^j u_{\alpha}(t)| \\ &\leq C \sum_{\alpha} |a_{\alpha}(f)| |\lambda_{\alpha}|^p. \end{aligned}$$

By using 1) of Lemma 2, we have

$$\sum_{\alpha} |a_{\alpha}(f)| |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{p} = \sup_{\alpha \in I_{+}^{n}} |a_{\alpha}(f)| |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{p+p_{0}} \sum_{\alpha \in I_{+}^{n}} |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{-p_{0}}$$
$$\leq |a(f)|_{2p+2p_{0}} \sum_{\alpha \in I_{+}^{n}} |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{-p_{0}}$$
$$= C|a(f)|_{2p+2p_{0}}.$$

On the other hand, by using 1) of Lemma 3, we have

$$|a(f)|_{2p+2p_0} \le C ||f||_{2n+2(\delta+1)(p+p_0)}.$$

Hence

$$|D_t^j \langle u(t,x), f(x) \rangle| \le C ||f||_{2n+2(\delta+1)(p+p_0)} < +\infty \quad (t \in [0,T], \, j=0,1,\ldots,h),$$

that is, $u(t, x) \in B^{h}([0, T], S'(R_{x}^{n}))$.

3. Cauchy problems

Let us consider Cauchy problems related to differential operators with coefficients of generalized Hermite operators

777

$$P(D_t, L) = P_m(L)D_t^m + \dots + P_0(L),$$
$$P_j(L) = \sum_{|\beta| \le m_j} a_{j,\beta}L^\beta = \sum_{\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n \le m_j} a_{j,\beta_1,\dots,\beta_n}L_1^{\beta_1} \cdots L_n^{\beta_n},$$

where $a_{j,\alpha}$ are constants and m_j are non-negative integers. $P(D_t, L)$ is called an evolution differential operator with coefficients of generalized Hermite operators, iff

(I) there exist $C_1 > 0$ and $p_1 > 0$ such that

$$|P_m(\lambda)| \ge C_1 |\lambda|^{-p_1} \quad (\forall \lambda \in \Lambda),$$

(II) there exists k > 0 such that

$$I_m \tau_j(\lambda) \geq -k. \quad (\forall \lambda \in \Lambda, \ 1 \leq j \leq m),$$

where

$$P(\tau,\lambda) = P_m(\lambda)(\tau - \tau_1(\lambda)) \cdots (\tau - \tau_m(\lambda)).$$

THEOREM 1. Suppose $P(D_t, L)$ is an evolution differential operator with coefficients of generalized Hermite operators. Let

$$f(t,x) \in B^{h}([0,T], S'(R_{x}^{n})), \quad g_{j}(x) \in S'(R_{x}^{n}) \quad (0 \le j \le m-1).$$

Then there exists unique solution u(t,x), belonging to $B^{h+m}([0,T], S'(\mathbb{R}^n))$, of the Cauchy problem:

$$(A) \begin{cases} P(D_t, L)u(t, x) = f(t, x) & (0 \le t \le T, x \in \mathbb{R}^n), \\ D_t^j u(t, x)|_{t=0} = g_j(x) & (x \in \mathbb{R}^n, 0 \le j \le m-1). \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Let

$$u_{\alpha}(t) = \langle u(t, x), \phi_{\alpha}(x) \rangle,$$

$$f_{\alpha}(t) = \langle f(t, x), \phi_{\alpha}(x) \rangle, \quad g_{j,\alpha} = \langle g_j(x), \phi_{\alpha}(x) \rangle.$$

Then the problem (A) is reduced to the Cauchy problems of ordinary differential equations:

$$(a)_{\alpha} \begin{cases} \{P_{m}(\lambda_{\alpha})D_{t}^{m} + \dots + P_{0}(\lambda_{\alpha})\}u_{\alpha}(t) = f_{\alpha}(t) & (0 \le t \le T), \\ D_{t}^{j}u_{\alpha}(t)|_{t=0} = g_{j,\alpha} & (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1). \end{cases}$$

The solutions of $(a)_{\alpha}$ can be represented as

$$u_{\alpha}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j-1,\alpha} D_t^{m-j} W(t,\lambda_{\alpha}) + i P_m(\lambda_{\alpha})^{-1} \int_0^t f_{\alpha}(s) W(t-s,\lambda_{\alpha}) ds,$$

where

$$W(t,\lambda_{\alpha}) = \frac{P_m(\lambda_{\alpha})}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{e^{itz}}{P(z,\lambda_{\alpha})} dz,$$

$$b_{0,\alpha} = g_{0,\alpha}, \quad b_{j,\alpha} = g_{j,\alpha} - \sum_{i=1}^{j} b_{i-1,\alpha} D_i^{m+j-i} W(0,\lambda_{\alpha}) \quad (1 \le j \le m-1),$$

and γ is a closed curve inside of which all zeros of $P(z, \lambda_{\alpha})$ with respect to z are containd. By evaluating the above representation, there exist C > 0 and p > 0 such that

$$|D_t^k u_{\alpha}(t)| \le C |\lambda_{\alpha}|^p \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |g_{j,\alpha}| + \sum_{j=0}^{\max(k-m,0)} \sup_{0 \le s \le t} |D_s^j f_{\alpha}(s)| \right\}$$

(0 \le t \le T, 0 \le k \le m + h).

Since $g_j(x) \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $(0 \le j \le m-1)$, there exists $q_1 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\alpha \in I_+^n} |g_{j,\alpha}| |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{-q_1} < +\infty$$

from 1) of Lemma 4, and since $f(t,x) \in B^h([0,T], S'(\mathbb{R}^n))$, there exists $q_2 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\alpha \in I^n_+} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |D^j_t f_\alpha(t)| \, |\lambda_\alpha|^{-q_2} < +\infty,$$

from 1) of Lemma 5. Therefore, we have

$$|D_t^k u_{\alpha}(t)| \le C' |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{p+q} \quad (t \in [0, T]), \, \alpha \in I_+^n, \, 0 \le k \le m+h),$$

where $q = \max(q_1, q_2)$. Finally, set

$$u(t,x) = \sum_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}(t) \phi_{\alpha}(x).$$

Then u(t, x) belongs to $B^{h+m}([0, T], S'(R_x^n))$, from 2) of Lemma 5, and becomes a solution of the problem (A). The uniqueness of the problem (A) follows from the uniqueness of the problems $(a)_{\alpha}$.

REMARK 1. Let

$$P(D_t,L) = D_t - \sum_{|\beta| \le N} a_\beta L^\beta.$$

Then P is an evolution operator, iff there exists k > 0 such that

$$I_m \sum_{|\beta| \le N} a_{\beta} \lambda_{\alpha}^{\beta} \ge -k \quad (\forall \lambda_{\alpha} \in \Lambda).$$

Remark 2. Let

$$P(D_t,L) = D_t^2 - \sum_{|\beta| \le N} a_{\beta} L^{\beta}.$$

Then P is an evolution operator, iff there exists k > 0 such that

$$\left(\sum_{|\beta|\leq N} R_e a_\beta \lambda_\alpha^\beta, \sum_{|\beta|\leq N} I_m a_\beta \lambda_\alpha^\beta\right) \in \Omega_k \quad (\forall \lambda_\alpha \in \Lambda),$$

where

$$\Omega_k = \{ (X, Y) \mid Y^2 \le kX \text{ or } X^2 + Y^2 \le k \}.$$

For example,

$$D_t^2 - \{L_1^2 + \dots + L_n^2 + i(L_1 - L_2)\},\$$

$$D_t^2 - \{L_1^3 + \dots + L_n^3 + i(L_1 - L_2)\},\$$

$$D_t^2 - \{L_1^2 L_2^2 + i(L_1 - L_2)\}$$

are evolution operators.

The paper has finished under the kind guidance of Prof. Reiko Sakamoto and Prof. Sadao Miyatake. I am deeply greateful to them.

References

- X. Feng, Solutions to the Cauchy Problems for a Number of Linear Partial Differential eguations in the Space of Tempered Distributions, Master's thesis of Lanzhou University, 1986, 1-47.
- [2] L. Schwartz, Theorie des Distributions, Hermann Paris, 1966.
- [3] B. Simon, Distributions and Their Hermite Expressions, J. Math. Phys. 12, 1971, 140-148.

- [4] D. Robert, Proprietes spectrales d' operateurs pseuds-differentiels, Comm. in partial differential equations, 3 (9), 1978, 755-826.
- [5] S. Mizohata, The Theory of Partial Differential Equations, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1973.

Graduate School of Human Culture, Nara Woman's University