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## §1. Introduction

A complex $n$-dimensional Kähler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$ is called a complex space form, which is denoted by $M_{n}(c)$. A complete and simply connected complex space form consists of a complex projective space $P_{n} C$, a complex Euclidean space $C^{n}$ or a complex hyperbolic space $H_{n} C$, according as $c>0, c=0$ or $c<0$.

In this paper, we consider a real hypersurface $M$ in $M_{n}(c)$. Typical examples of $M$ in $P_{n} C$ are the six model spaces of type $A_{1}, A_{2}, B, C, D$ and $E$ (cf. [10]), and the ones of $M$ in $H_{n} C$ are the four model spaces of type $A_{0}, A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $B$ (cf. [1]), which are all given as orbits under certain Lie subgroups of the group consisting of all isometries of $P_{n} C$ or $H_{n} C$. Denote by $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ the almost contact metric structure of $M$ induced from the almost complex structure of $M_{n}(c)$ and $A$ the shape operator of $M$. Eigenvalues and einvectors of $A$ are called principal curvatures and principal vectors, respectively.

Many differential geometers have studied $M$ from various points of view. In particular, Berndt [1] and Takagi [10] investigated the homogeneity of $M$. According to Takagi's classification theorem and Berndt's one, the principal curvatures and their multiplicities of homogeneous real hypersurfaces in $M_{n}(c)$ are given. Moreover, it is very interesting to characterize homogeneous real hypersurfaces of $M_{n}(c)$. There are many characterizations of homogeneous ones of type $A$ since these examples have a lot of beautiful geometric properties, where type $A$ means type $A_{1}$ or $A_{2}$ in $P_{n} C$ and type $A_{0}, A_{1}$ or $A_{2}$ in $H_{n} C$. Okumura [8] and Montiel-Romero [7] proved the fact in $P_{n} C$ and $H_{n} C$, respectively that $M$

[^0]satisfies $A \phi=\phi A$ if and only if $M$ is locally congruent to type $A$. The following theorem is proved by Kimura and Maeda [4] and Ki, Kim and Lee [2] for $M$ in $P_{n} C$ and $H_{n} C$, respectively.

Theorem A. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $M_{n}(c), c \neq 0, n \geq 2$. If it satisfies

$$
\nabla_{\xi} A=0, \quad g(A \xi, \xi) \neq 0
$$

then $M$ is of type $A$, where $\nabla$ is the Riemannian connection on $M$.

In his previous paper [9], the third named auther proved the following

Theorem B. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $M_{n}(c), c \neq 0, n \geq 2$. If it satisfies

$$
\nabla_{\xi} A=a(A \phi-\phi A), \quad 2 a \neq-g(A \xi, \xi)
$$

for some non-zero constant $a$, then $M$ is of type $A$.
Motivated by these results, in this article we will give a generalization of Theorems A and B and another characterizations of homogeneous real hypersurfaces of type $A$ in $M_{n}(c)$. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following

Theorem 1. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $M_{n}(c), c \neq 0, n \geq 2$. If it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\xi} A=f(A \phi-\phi A)-d f(\xi) I, \quad 2 f \neq-g(A \xi, \xi) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a smooth function $f$, where I denotes the identity transformation, then $M$ is of type $A$.

Theorem 2. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $M_{n}(c), c \neq 0, n \geq 2$. If it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}_{\xi}(H+f g)=0, \quad 2 f \neq-g(A \xi, \xi) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a smooth function $f$, then $M$ is of type $A$, where $\mathscr{L}_{\xi}$ is the Lie derivative with respect to $\xi$ and $H$ is the second fundamental form of $M$ in $M_{n}(c)$, namely $H(X, Y)=g(A X, Y)$ for any vecror fields $X$ and $Y$.

## § 2. Preliminaries

First of all, we recall fundamental properties about real hypersurfaces of a complex space form. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex $n$-dimensional complex space form $M_{n}(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$, and let $C$ be a unit normal vector field on a neighborhood in $M$. We denote by $J$ the almost complex structure of $M_{n}(c)$. For a local vector field $X$ on the neighborhood in $M$, the images of $X$ and $C$ under the linear transformation $J$ can be represented as

$$
J X=\phi X+\eta(X) C, \quad J C=-\xi
$$

where $\phi$ defines a skew-symmetric transformation on the tangent bundle $T M$ of $M$, while $\eta$ and $\xi$ denote a 1 -form and a vector field on the neighborhood in $M$, respectively. Then it is seen that $g(\xi, X)=\eta(X)$, where $g$ denotes the Riemannian metric tensor on $M$ induced from the metric tensor on $M_{n}(c)$. The set of tensors ( $\phi, \xi, \eta, g$ ) is called an almost contact metric structure on $M$. They satisfy the following properties:

$$
\phi^{2}=-I+\eta \otimes \xi, \quad \phi \xi=0, \quad \eta(\xi)=1
$$

where $I$ denotes the identity transformation. Furthermore the covariant derivatives of the structure tensors are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \xi=\phi A X, \quad \nabla_{X} \phi(Y)=\eta(Y) A X-g(A X, Y) \xi \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$ on $M$, where $\nabla$ is the Riemannian connection on $M$ and $A$ denotes the shape operator of $M$ in the direction of $C$.

Since the ambient space is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$, the equations of Gauss and Codazzi are respectively given as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R(X, Y) Z= \frac{c}{4}\{g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y  \tag{2.2}\\
&+g(\phi Y, Z) \phi X-g(\phi X, Z) \phi Y-2 g(\phi X, Y) \phi Z\} \\
&+g(A Y, Z) A X-g(A X, Z) A Y \\
& \nabla_{X} A(Y)-\nabla_{Y} A(X)=\frac{c}{4}\{\eta(X) \phi Y-\eta(Y) \phi X-2 g(\phi X, Y) \xi\} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R$ denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of $M$ and $\nabla_{X} A$ denotes the covariant derivative of the shape operator $A$ with respect to $X$.

Next, we suppose that the structure vector field $\xi$ is principal with the corresponding principal curvature $\alpha$, namely $A \xi=\alpha \xi$. Then it is seen in [3] and
[6] that $\alpha$ is constant on $M$ and it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 A \phi A=\frac{c}{2} \phi+\alpha(A \phi+\phi A) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## §3. Proof of Theorems

Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $M_{n}(c), c \neq 0, n \geq 2$. First of all, we shall give a sufficient condition for the structure vector field $\xi$ to be principal. We suppose that $\xi$ is principal, i.e., $A \xi=\alpha \xi$, where $\alpha$ is constant. Then, by (2.1) and (2.4), we get

$$
\nabla_{X} A(\xi)=-\frac{c}{4} \phi X-\frac{1}{2} \alpha(A \phi-\phi A) X
$$

from which together with (2.3) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\xi} A=-\frac{1}{2} \alpha(A \phi-\phi A) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking account of this property and the already known some theorems, in order to prove our theorems, we shall assert the following

Proposition 3.1. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $M_{n}(c), c \neq 0, n \geq 2$. If it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\xi} A=f(A \phi-\phi A)-d f(\xi) I \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a smooth function $f$, then $\xi$ is principal, and hence $d f(\xi)=0$.

By the assumption (3.2) and (2.3), it turns out to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{Y} A(\xi)=f(A \phi-\phi A) Y-d f(\xi) Y-\frac{c}{4} \phi Y \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating this equation with respect to $X$ covariantly and using (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} A(\xi)= & f\left\{\nabla_{X} A(\phi Y)+g(Y, \xi) A^{2} X-g(A X, Y) A \xi\right.  \tag{3.4}\\
& \left.-g(A Y, \xi) A X+g(A X, A Y) \xi-\phi \nabla_{X} A(Y)\right\} \\
& -\frac{c}{4}\{g(Y, \xi) A X-g(A X, Y) \xi\}-\nabla_{Y} A(\phi A X) \\
& +d f(X)(A \phi-\phi A) Y
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$. Since the Ricci formula for the shape operator $A$ is given by

$$
\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} A(Z)-\nabla_{Y} \nabla_{X} A(Z)=R(X, Y)(A Z)-A(R(X, Y) Z)
$$

it follows from (2.2), (2.3) and (3.4) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{X} A(\phi A Y)-\nabla_{Y} A(\phi A X)+f\left\{\nabla_{X} A(\phi Y)-\nabla_{Y} A(\phi X)\right\}  \tag{3.5}\\
&=-\{f g(Y, \xi)+g(A Y, \xi)\} A^{2} X+\{f g(X, \xi)+g(A X, \xi)\} A^{2} Y \\
&+\left\{f g(A Y, \xi)+g\left(A^{2} Y, \xi\right)\right\} A X-\left\{f g(A X, \xi)+g\left(A^{2} X, \xi\right)\right\} A Y \\
&+\frac{c}{4}\{f g(Y, \xi)+g(A Y, \xi)\} X-\frac{c}{4}\{f g(X, \xi)+g(A X, \xi)\} Y \\
&+\frac{c}{4}\{g(A \phi Y, \xi) \phi X-g(A \phi X, \xi) \phi Y\}-\frac{c}{2} g(\phi X, Y) \phi A \xi \\
&+d f(Y)(A \phi-\phi A) X-d f(X)(A \phi-\phi A) Y
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$.
Now, in order to prove Proposition 3.1, we shall express (3.5) in the simpler form. The inner product of (3.5) and $\xi$, combining with (2.3) and (3.2), implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& f g((A \phi A \phi-\phi A \phi A) X, Y)  \tag{3.6}\\
& \quad+f^{2}\{g(X, \xi) g(A Y, \xi)-g(Y, \xi) g(A X, \xi)\} \\
& \quad-d f(\xi)\{g((A \phi+\phi A) X, Y)+2 f g(\phi X, Y)\} \\
& \quad+f\left\{g(X, \xi) g\left(A^{2} Y, \xi\right)-g(Y, \xi) g\left(A^{2} X, \xi\right)\right\} \\
& \quad+2\left\{g(A X, \xi) g\left(A^{2} Y, \xi\right)-g(A Y, \xi) g\left(A^{2} X, \xi\right)\right\} \\
& \quad-d f(X) g(A \phi Y, \xi)+d f(Y) g(A \phi X, \xi)=0
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$. Since $Y$ is arbitrary, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{f(A \phi A \phi-\phi A \phi A)-d f(\xi)(A \phi+\phi A)\} X-2 f d f(\xi) \phi X \\
& \quad+\{f g(X, \xi)+2 g(A X, \xi)\} A^{2} \xi+\left\{f^{2} g(X, \xi)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-2 g\left(A^{2} X, \xi\right)\right\} A \xi-f\left\{f g(A X, \xi)+g\left(A^{2} X, \xi\right)\right\} \xi \\
& \quad+d f(X) \phi A \xi+g(A \phi X, \xi) \nabla f=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for any vector field $X$, where we denote by $\nabla f$ the gradient of the function $f$. On the other hand, taking account of (2.1) and the skew-symmetry of the trans-
formation $\phi$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g((A \phi A \phi-\phi A \phi A) X, \phi X)=g(X, \xi) g(A \phi A X, \xi) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $Y=\phi X$ in (3.6) and applying the above property, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& f g(X, \xi)\left\{g(A \phi A X, \xi)+f g(A \phi X, \xi)+g\left(A^{2} \phi X, \xi\right)\right\}  \tag{3.8}\\
& \quad+2\left\{g(A X, \xi) g\left(A^{2} \phi X, \xi\right)-g(A \phi X, \xi) g\left(A^{2} X, \xi\right)\right\} \\
& \quad-d f(\xi)\{g((A \phi+\phi A) X, \phi X)+2 f g(\phi X, \phi X)\} \\
& \quad-d f(X) g\left(A \phi^{2} X, \xi\right)+d f(\phi X) g(A \phi X, \xi)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Let $T_{0}$ be the distribution defined by the subspace $T_{0}(x)=\left\{u \in T_{x} M\right.$ : $g(u, \xi(x))=0\}$ of the tangent space $T_{x} M$ of $M$ at any point $x$, called a holomorphic distribution.

Now, suppose that the structure vector field $\xi$ is not principal. Then we can put $A \xi=\alpha \xi+\beta U$, where $U$ is a unit vector field in the holomorphic distribution $T_{0}$, and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are smooth functions on $M$. So we may consider the case that the function $\beta$ does not vanish identically on $M$. Let $M_{0}$ be the non-empty open subset of $M$ consisting of points $x$ at which $\beta(x) \neq 0$. And we put $A U=$ $\beta \xi+\gamma U+\delta V$, where $U$ and $V$ are orthonormal vector fields in $T_{0}$, and $\gamma$ and $\delta$ are smooth functions on $M_{0}$. And let $L(\xi, U)$ be a distribution spanned by $\xi$ and $U$.

For any vector field $X$ belonging to the holomorphic distribution $T_{0}$, (3.8) can be simplified as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left\{g(A X, \xi) g\left(A^{2} \phi X, \xi\right)-g(A \phi X, \xi) g\left(A^{2} X, \xi\right)\right\} \\
& \quad-d f(\xi)\{g((A \phi+\phi A) X, \phi X)+2 f g(\phi X, \phi X)\} \\
& \quad+\beta\{d f(X) g(X, U)+d f(\phi X) g(\phi X, U)\}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, we can see that this equation holds for any vector field $X$. By the polarization of the above equation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left\{g(A X, \xi) g\left(A^{2} \phi Y, \xi\right)-g(A \phi X, \xi) g\left(A^{2} Y, \xi\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+g(A Y, \xi) g\left(A^{2} \phi X, \xi\right)-g(A \phi Y, \xi) g\left(A^{2} X, \xi\right)\right\} \\
& \quad-d f(\xi)\{g((A \phi+\phi A) X, \phi Y)+g((A \phi+\phi A) Y, \phi X) \\
& \quad+4 f g(\phi X, \phi Y)\}+\beta\{d f(X) g(Y, U)+d f(\phi X) g(\phi Y, U) \\
& \quad+d f(Y) g(X, U)+d f(\phi Y) g(\phi X, U)\}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
d f(\xi) & \left\{\phi(A \phi+\phi A) X+(A \phi+\phi A) \phi X+4 f \phi^{2} X\right\}  \tag{3.9}\\
& -2\left\{g(A X, \xi) \phi A^{2} \xi+g(A \phi X, \xi) A^{2} \xi-g\left(A^{2} \phi X, \xi\right) A \xi\right. \\
& \left.-g\left(A^{2} X, \xi\right) \phi A \xi\right\}+\beta\{d f(X) U-d f(\phi X) \phi U \\
& +g(X, U) \nabla f+g(\phi X, U) d f(\phi I)\}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

First, in order to prove Proposition 3.1, we shall assert the following
Lemma 3.2. The distribution $L(\xi, U)$ is A-invariant on $M_{0}$, namely we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A U=\beta \xi+\gamma U \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $M_{0}$.
Proof. On the open subset $M_{0}$, by the forms $A \xi=\alpha \xi+\beta U$ and $A U=\beta \xi+$ $\gamma U+\delta V$, it turns out to be

$$
A^{2} \xi=\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) \xi+\beta(\alpha+\gamma) U+\beta \delta V
$$

Thus we can rewrite (3.9) as

$$
\begin{align*}
d f(\xi)\{ & \left.\phi(A \phi+\phi A) X+(A \phi+\phi A) \phi X+4 f \phi^{2} X\right\}  \tag{3.11}\\
& +2\left\{\alpha g\left(A^{2} \phi X, \xi\right)-\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) g(A \phi X, \xi)\right\} \xi \\
& +2 \beta\left\{g\left(A^{2} \phi X, \xi\right)-(\alpha+\gamma) g(A \phi X, \xi)\right\} U-2 \beta \delta g(A \phi X, \xi) V \\
& +2 \beta\left\{g\left(A^{2} X, \xi\right)-(\alpha+\gamma) g(A X, \xi)\right\} \phi U-2 \beta \delta g(A X, \xi) \phi V \\
& +\beta\{d f(X) U-d f(\phi X) \phi U+g(X, U) \nabla f+g(\phi X, U) d f(\phi I)\} \\
= & 0
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector field $X$. The inner product of (3.11) and $\xi$ implies that

$$
\alpha g\left(\phi X, A^{2} \xi\right)-\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) g(\phi X, A \xi)=0
$$

for any vector field $X$. This gives us

$$
\alpha A^{2} \xi-\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) A \xi=0
$$

on $M_{0}$ and hence we have

$$
\beta\left\{\left(\alpha \gamma-\beta^{2}\right) U+\alpha \delta V\right\}=0
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{2}=\alpha \gamma, \quad \delta=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $M_{0}$. So it completes the proof.

Furthermore, by (3.12), we also get

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{2} \xi=(\alpha+\gamma) A \xi \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $M_{0}$.
Let $M^{\prime}$ be a closed subset in $M$ containing all points $x$ where $f(x)=0$. Suppose that $M_{0}-M^{\prime}$ is not empty. Then we have the following

Lemma 3.3. If (3.2) is satisfied, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \phi U=-\lambda \phi U, \quad \lambda=f+\alpha+\gamma \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $M_{0}-M^{\prime}$.

Proof. By using the polarization of (3.8) together with (3.13), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f g(X, \xi)\left\{g(A \phi A Y, \xi)+f g(A \phi Y, \xi)+g\left(A^{2} \phi Y, \xi\right)\right\} \\
& \quad+f g(Y, \xi)\left\{g(A \phi A X, \xi)+f g(A \phi X, \xi)+g\left(A^{2} \phi X, \xi\right)\right\} \\
& \quad-d f(\xi)\{g((A \phi+\phi A) X, \phi Y)+4 f g(\phi X, \phi Y)+g((A \phi+\phi A) Y, \phi X)\} \\
& \quad-d f(X) g\left(A \phi^{2} Y, \xi\right)+d f(\phi X) g(A \phi Y, \xi) \\
& \quad-d f(Y) g\left(A \phi^{2} X, \xi\right)+d f(\phi Y) g(A \phi X, \xi)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$. Putting $Y=\xi$, we have

$$
f\left\{g(A \phi A X, \xi)+f g(A \phi X, \xi)+g\left(A^{2} \phi X, \xi\right)\right\}=0
$$

because $A \phi A \xi$ is orthogonal to $\xi$. Since $f$ has no zero points on $M_{0}-M^{\prime}$, we have

$$
A \phi A \xi+f \phi A \xi+\phi A^{2} \xi=0 .
$$

This equation, by (3.13), completes the proof.
Next, we give the following

Lemma 3.4. Assume that $A^{2} \xi+h A \xi=0$, where $h$ is a smooth function on $M_{0}-M^{\prime}$. Then it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \lambda^{2}+\left(4 f \gamma-2 h \gamma+\frac{c}{4}\right) \lambda-f^{2} \gamma-\frac{c}{4}(2 h+2 \alpha+\gamma)-\beta d h(\phi U)=0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $M_{0}-M^{\prime}$.
Proof. Differentiating our assumption $A^{2} \xi+h A \xi=0$ with respect to $X$ and taking account of (2.1), (2.3) and (3.3), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{X} A(A \xi)+f A(A \phi-\phi A) X+f h(A \phi-\phi A) X+A^{2} \phi A X \\
& \quad+h A \phi A X-d f(\xi)(A X+h X)-\frac{c}{4} A \phi X-\frac{c}{4} h \phi X+d h(X) A \xi=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for any vector field $X$. The inner product of this equation with any vector field $Y$ implies

$$
\begin{gathered}
g\left(\nabla_{X} A(Y), A \xi\right)+f g(A(A \phi-\phi A) X, Y)+f h g((A \phi-\phi A) X, Y) \\
\quad+g\left(A^{2} \phi A X, Y\right)+h g(A \phi A X, Y)-d f(\xi) g(A X+h X, Y) \\
\quad-\frac{c}{4} g(A \phi X, Y)-\frac{c}{4} h g(\phi X, Y)+d h(X) g(A \xi, Y)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Exchanging $X$ and $Y$ in the above equation and substituting the second one from the first one, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(\nabla_{X} A(Y)-\nabla_{Y} A(X), A \xi\right)+f g\left(\left(A^{2} \phi-2 A \phi A+\phi A^{2}\right) X, Y\right) \\
& \quad+g\left(\left(A^{2} \phi A+A \phi A^{2}\right) X, Y\right)+2 h g(A \phi A X, Y) \\
& \quad-\frac{c}{4} g((A \phi+\phi A) X, Y)-\frac{c}{2} h g(\phi X, Y) \\
& \quad+d h(X) g(A \xi, Y)-d h(Y) g(A \xi, X)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$. Putting $X=U$ and $Y=\phi U$ in this equation and taking account of (2.3), (3.10), (3.12) and (3.14), we can easily see that the equation (3.15) holds.

Now, we are in position to prove Proposition 3.1, namely to prove the fact that under the condition (3.2), the structure vector $\xi$ is principal. We suppose that the open set $M_{0}-M^{\prime}$ is not empty. Then, differentiating the form $A \xi=\alpha \xi+\beta U$
with respect to $\xi$ covariantly on $M_{0}-M^{\prime}$, we have by (2.1)

$$
\nabla_{\xi} A(\xi)=d \alpha(\xi) \xi+\alpha \beta \phi U+d \beta(\xi) U-\beta A \phi U+\beta \nabla_{\xi} U
$$

This, combining with the assumption (3.2) and (3.14), implies

$$
d(f+\alpha)(\xi) \xi+d \beta(\xi) U+\beta(2 f+2 \alpha+\gamma) \phi U+\beta \nabla_{\xi} U=0 .
$$

From the inner product of $\xi$ and $U$ respectively, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\xi} U=-(2 f+2 \alpha+\gamma) \phi U, \quad d(f+\alpha)(\xi)=0, \quad d \beta(\xi)=0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $M_{0}-M^{\prime}$, where we have used that $g\left(\nabla_{\xi} U, \xi\right)=0$ and $g\left(\nabla_{\xi} U, U\right)=0$. By making use of (3.2) and (3.10), $\gamma=g(A U, U)$ gives us $d \gamma(\xi)=-d f(\xi)$. Therefore, from (3.14) and (3.16), we get $d \lambda(\xi)=-d f(\xi)$. Differentiating (3.14) with respect to $\xi$ covariantly, and taking account of (2.1) and the above property, we get

$$
\nabla_{\xi} A(\phi U)-g(A U, \xi) A \xi-\lambda g(A U, \xi) \xi+(A \phi+\lambda \phi) \nabla_{\xi} U-d f(\xi) \phi U=0 .
$$

By (3.2), (3.10), (3.12), (3.14) and the first equation of (3.16), the above equation gives the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f+\alpha+\gamma)(f+2 \alpha+2 \gamma)=0, \quad d f(\xi)=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $M_{0}-M^{\prime}$. Since $f \neq 0$, we have $\alpha+\gamma \neq 0$ on $M_{0}-M^{\prime}$ by the above equation.
Now, we consider the first case $f+\alpha+\gamma=0$. By (3.14) and (3.16), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \phi U=0, \quad \nabla_{\xi} U=\gamma \phi U . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating $A \xi=\alpha \xi+\beta U$ with respect to any vector field $X$ covariantly, and taking account of (2.1), (3.3) and the second equation of (3.17), we get

$$
f(A \phi-\phi A) X-\frac{c}{4} \phi X+A \phi A X-d \alpha(X) \xi-\alpha \phi A X-d \beta(X) U-\beta \nabla_{X} U=0
$$

By taking the inner product of this equation with $\xi$ and $U$ respectively, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \alpha(X)=f \beta g(\phi X, U), \quad d \beta(X)=\left(f \gamma-\frac{c}{4}\right) g(\phi X, U) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used (3.10) and the first equation of (3.18). Owing to $\beta^{2}=\alpha \gamma$, it is easily seen that

$$
2 \beta d \beta(X)=\gamma d \alpha(X)+\alpha d \gamma(X)
$$

from which together with (3.19), it turns out to be

$$
\beta\left(f \alpha+f \gamma-\frac{c}{2}\right) g(\phi X, U)+\alpha d f(X)=0
$$

for any vector field $X$, where we have used $f+\alpha+\gamma=0$. This implies $\beta\left(f^{2}+c / 2\right)+\alpha d f(\phi U)=0$. Hence, by the first equation of (3.12) and (3.15), we get $\beta=0$ on $M_{0}-M^{\prime}$, where we have used that $\lambda=0$ and $h=f$. It is a contradiction.

Lastly, we suppose that $f+2 \alpha+2 \gamma=0$ in the first equation of (3.17). Putting $X=\xi$ and $Y=U$ in (3.5) and from the inner product of $\xi$ and $U$ respectively, we obtain

$$
\beta g\left(\phi \nabla_{U} U, U\right)=(f+\gamma)(f+\alpha+\gamma)+\gamma(f+\alpha)+\frac{c}{4}
$$

and

$$
\beta(f+\alpha+2 \gamma) g\left(\phi \nabla_{U} U, U\right)=f(f+2 \gamma)(f+\alpha+\gamma)+\gamma^{2}(f+\alpha)-\frac{c}{4}(f+\alpha)
$$

where we have used (3.2), (3.10), (3.13), (3.14), (3.16) and $d f(\xi)=d \gamma(\xi)=0$. Combining the above two equations, we get

$$
(f+\alpha+\gamma)\left(f \alpha+2 f \gamma+2 \alpha \gamma+2 \gamma^{2}+\frac{c}{2}\right)=0
$$

By the supposed condition $f+2 \alpha+2 \gamma=0$, we have $f^{2}=c$. Therefore, we obtain $\alpha=0$, where we have used (3.15), $f+2 \alpha+2 \gamma=0$ and $h=\lambda=f / 2$. Hence $\beta=0$ on $M_{0}-M^{\prime}$ by the first equation of (3.12). It is also a contradition.

Consequently, these two cases mean that the subset $M_{0}-M^{\prime}$ is empty and hence the subset $M_{0}$ is contained in the subset $M^{\prime}$. Hence it satisfies

$$
\nabla_{\xi} A=0, \quad g(A \xi, \xi) \neq 0
$$

on $M_{0}$. Since Theorem A is a local property, we see that the structure vector field $\xi$ is principal on $M_{0}$. Then it is a contradiction. Therefore the subset $M_{0}$ of $M$ is empty and hence $\xi$ is principal on $M$. Thus, comparing (3.1) with (3.2), we get $d f(\xi)=0$. It completes the proof of Proposition 3.1.

The following is immediate from Proposition 3.1.
Corollary 3.5. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $M_{n}(c), c \neq 0, n \geq 2$. If it satisfies $\nabla_{\xi} A=0$, then $\xi$ is principal.

Remark. Kimura and Maeda [4] proved Corollary 3.5 in the case where $c>0$.

Proof of Theorem 1. By Proposition 3.1, the structure vector $\xi$ is principal and $d f(\xi)=0$. Combining (3.1) with the assumption (1.2) of Theorem 1 , we have

$$
(2 f+\alpha)(A \phi-\phi A)=0,
$$

which implies that $A \phi-\phi A=0$ by the assumption. Thus, the real hypersurface $M$ is of type $A$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Since $\mathscr{L}_{\xi}(H+f g)(X, Y)=g\left(\nabla_{\xi} A(X), Y\right)-f g((A \phi-$ $\phi A) X, Y)+d f(\xi) g(X, Y)$ for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$, by the assumption (1.3) of Theorem 2, we have

$$
\nabla_{\xi} A=f(A \phi-\phi A)-d f(\xi) I .
$$

Hence Theorem 2 is proved by Theorem 1.

Remark. Theorem B which was introduced in §1 can be obtained by Theorem 1.
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