

PROPAGATION OF ANALYTICITY OF SOLUTIONS TO THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR WEAKLY HYPERBOLIC SEMI-LINEAR EQUATIONS

By

Yasuo YUZAWA

Abstract. We consider a weakly hyperbolic operator with constant coefficients. We shall derive a priori estimates for it and by applying the estimate we prove local existence of the solution of semi-linear Cauchy problem and investigate the propagation of analyticity of the solutions.

1. Introduction

We consider the linear partial differential operator of order m with constant coefficients

$$P = P(D_t, D_x) = D_t^m + \sum_{j+|\alpha| \leq m, j < m} a_{j, \alpha} D_t^j D_x^\alpha$$

in the $n + 1$ variables (t, x) , where $D_t = -i\partial/\partial t$, $D_{x_k} = -i\partial/\partial x_k$ and $D_x = (D_{x_1}, \dots, D_{x_n})$. Let $\tau_{m,j}(\xi)$ be the roots of the characteristic polynomial $P(\tau, \xi) = \tau^m + \sum_{j+|\alpha| \leq m, j < m} a_{j, \alpha} \tau^j \xi^\alpha$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let $s \geq 1$. A differential operator P with a symbol $P(\tau, \xi)$ is said to be s -hyperbolic with respect to $(1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ if there exists a non-negative constant C such that

$$|\operatorname{Im} \tau_{m,j}(\xi)| \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

where $\langle \xi \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}$. Especially, when $s = \infty$, that is $1/s = 0$, P is said to be hyperbolic with respect to t .

E. Larsson introduced the s -hyperbolicity in [8] and solved the Cauchy problem for s -hyperbolic operators in Gevrey classes by using Laplace transformation. In this paper we shall obtain semi-group estimates of the solution to the Cauchy problem for s -hyperbolic operators and moreover by applying this estimates we can investigate propagation of analyticity of solutions to the Cauchy problem.

We consider the following $m + 1$ polynomials $H_{m-k}(\tau, \xi)$, $k = 0, \dots, m$, which result from m differentiation of $P(\tau, \xi)$ with respect to τ .

$$H_{m-k}(\tau, \xi) = \frac{(m-k)!}{m!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \tau^k} P(\tau, \xi) = \prod_{j=1}^{m-k} (\tau - \tau_{m-k,j}(\xi)),$$

for $k = 0, \dots, m$, where we number the roots $\tau_{m-k,j}(\xi)$ to be continuous and let each H_{m-k} be a pseudo-differential operator with a symbol $H_{m-k}(\tau, \xi)$. Put $Hu = (H_0u, H_1u, \dots, H_{m-1}u)$. We note that H_{m-k} is s -hyperbolic if P is s -hyperbolic. From each polynomial $H_{m-k}(\tau, \xi)$ we now create $m - k$ new polynomials $P_{m-k-1}^j(\tau, \xi)$, $j = 1, \dots, m - k$, of degree $m - k - 1$, by crossing out one factor at a time.

$$P_{m-k-1}^j(\tau, \xi) = \prod_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m-k} (\tau - \tau_{m-k,l}(\xi)).$$

From elementary considerations it follows that

$$H_{m-k}(\tau, \xi) = \frac{1}{m-k+1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-k+1} P_{m-k}^j(\tau, \xi)$$

for $k = 1, \dots, m$.

We introduce some function spaces, called Gevrey classes, and their norms. For $\rho \geq 0$, $s > 1$, and $m \in \mathbf{R}$, we define

$$H_{\rho,s}^m(\mathbf{R}^n) = \{u \in L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^n); \langle \xi \rangle^m e^{\rho \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}} \hat{u}(\xi) \in L_\xi^2(\mathbf{R}^n)\},$$

where $\hat{u}(\xi)$ stands for a Fourier transform of $u(x)$ and for $\rho < 0$ define $H_{\rho,s}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ as the dual space of $H_{-\rho,s}^{-m}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. If $\rho > 0$, $H_{\rho,s}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a Hilbert space with a norm $\|u\|_{H_{\rho,s}^m} = \|\langle \xi \rangle^m e^{\rho \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}} \hat{u}(\xi)\|_{L^2}$. Put $L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^n) = \bigcap_{\rho > 0} H_{\rho,s}^0(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

For a topological space X we denote by $C^k([0, T]; X)$ the set of functions which are k times differentiable in X with respect to t in $[0, T]$.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $1 < s < s_0 \leq \infty$. Assume that P is s_0 -hyperbolic of order m . Then for arbitrary $T > 0$ there are $\rho_0 > 0$, $\rho_1 < 0$ and $C > 0$ such that to any $t \in (0, T)$ and $l \geq 0$,*

$$\|Hu(t, \cdot)\|_{H^l_{\rho(t),s}} \leq C \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{m-k+1} \|P^j_{m-k}u(0, \cdot)\|_{H^l_{\rho_0,s}} + \int_0^t \|Pu(t', \cdot)\|_{H^l_{\rho(t'),s}} dt' \right\}$$

for any $u(t, x) \in C^m([0, T]; L^2_s(\mathbf{R}^n))$, where $\rho(t) = \rho_1 t + \rho_0$.

We remark that when P is ∞ -hyperbolic, that is hyperbolic in the sense of Gårding, a priori estimate of P was derived by G. Peyser [10], [11]. Applying Theorem 1.1, we can solve the Cauchy problem for semi-linear equations and investigate the propagation of the analyticity of the solutions.

For $s > 1$ and open set $B \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, we denote by $\gamma^{(s)}_\rho(B)$ the set of all functions satisfying the following condition: there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|D^\alpha_x u(x)| \leq C |\alpha|!^s \rho^{|\alpha|}$$

for any $x \in B$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^n$. Put $\gamma^{(s)}(B) = \bigcup_{\rho>0} \gamma^{(s)}_\rho(B)$ and $\gamma^{\{s\}}(B) = \bigcap_{\rho>0} \gamma^{(s)}_\rho(B)$.

For Ω , an open domain of \mathbf{C}^m , we denote by $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ the set of all holomorphic functions in Ω .

For an open set B in \mathbf{R}^n and an open domain Ω in \mathbf{C}^n , we denote by $\gamma^{(s)}_\rho(B; \mathcal{O}(\Omega))$ the set of all functions which are in Gevrey class with respect to x -variables and uniformly holomorphic with respect to z -variables, in the following sense: for any $K \Subset \Omega$ there exists a constant $C_K > 0$ such that

$$|D^\alpha_x f(x, z)| \leq C_K \rho^{-|\alpha|} |\alpha|!^s,$$

for all $x \in B$ and $z \in K$.

We consider the following semi-linear Cauchy problem in $(0, T) \times \mathbf{R}^n$:

$$\begin{cases} P(D)u(t, x) = F(t, x, Hu) \\ D_t^j u(0, x) = u_j(x) \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $F(t, x, z)$ is complex-valued function. Set $u^{(0)}(t, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (it)^j u_j(x)/j!$.

The function

$$F : [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C},$$

where Ω is open in \mathbf{C}^m and contains the origin, is assumed to satisfy the following conditions:

(A1)_s: $F(t, x, z)$ is continuous in t , belongs to Gevrey class $\gamma^{(s)}_{\sigma_1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with respect to x and belongs to $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ with respect to z .

(A2)_s: There exists a constant $\sigma_2 > 0$ such that

$$F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot)) \in H^l_{\sigma_2,s}(\mathbf{R}^n).$$

Then we get the following local existence theorem and investigate the propagation of analyticity of the solutions.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $1 < s \leq s_0$ and an integer $l > 2n + 1$. Assume that P be s_0 -hyperbolic and $F(t, x, z)$ satisfying $(A1)_s$ and $(A2)_s$. If $u_j(x)$ belong to $H^l_{\sigma_1, s}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $Hu^{(0)}(t, x)$ runs in a compact set contained by Ω , then there exist $T_2 \in (0, T)$ such that there exists a solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1) with $T = T_2$.*

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $1 < s \leq s_0$ and l be sufficiently large. Assume that P is s_0 -hyperbolic and $F(t, x, z)$ satisfies $(A1)_1$ and $(A2)_1$, and besides assume that there exists $u(t, x) \in C^m([0, T]; L^2_s(\mathbf{R}^n))$ a solution of Cauchy problem (1.1) with initial data $u_j(x) \in L^2_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then if all initial values $u_j(x)$ are analytic, that is there exists $r > 0$ such that for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$,*

$$|D_x^\alpha u_j(x)| \leq r^{-|\alpha|} |\alpha|! \tag{1.2}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\alpha \in N^n$, then there exists $r' > 0$ such that

$$|D_x^\alpha u(t, x)| \leq r'^{-|\alpha|} |\alpha|! \tag{1.3}$$

for any $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\alpha \in N^n$.

Several results of the propagation of analyticity are known for non-linear hyperbolic equations. S. Alinhac and G. Métivier [1] studied for strictly hyperbolic case. S. Spagnolo [12] treated a second order degenerate hyperbolic equations and M. Cicognani and L. Zanghirati treated a higher order hyperbolic equations with constant multiplicity. P. D’Ancona and S. Spagnolo [3] investigated the propagation of analyticity for non-uniformly symmetrizable systems and K. Kajitani and K. Yamaguti [7] treated uniformly symmetrizable systems.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we mention the fundamental properties for Gevrey classes. Throughout the paper, we denote $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{(l)} = \|\cdot\|_{H^l}$, that is Sobolev’s norm. For $v(x) = (v_1(x), \dots, v_m(x))$ we denote $\|v\| = \|v_1\| + \dots + \|v_m\|$. We introduce the semi-norms for $\gamma_\rho^{(s)}(B)$ and $\gamma_\rho^{(s)}(B; \mathcal{O}(\Omega))$ as follows: for $u \in \gamma_\rho^{(s)}(B)$,

$$|u|_{\rho, s, B} = \sup_{x \in B, \alpha \in N^n} \frac{|D_x^\alpha u(x)| \rho^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|!^s},$$

and for $f \in \gamma_\rho^{(s)}(B; \mathcal{O}(\Omega))$,

$$|f|_{\rho,s,B;K} = \sup_{x \in B, z \in K, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{|D_x^\alpha f(x, z)| \rho^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|!^s},$$

where K is a compact set of Ω . Now, we state some well-known facts of their classes.

LEMMA 2.1. (i) Let $a(x) \in \gamma_\rho^{(s)}(B)$. Then for any $\rho' \in (0, \rho)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $D_x^\alpha a(x)$ belongs to $\gamma_{\rho'}^{(s)}(B)$ and there exists positive constants C and $\sigma = \sigma(\rho, \rho', s)$ such that

$$|D_x^\alpha a|_{\rho',s,B} \leq C |a|_{\rho,s,B} |\alpha|!^s \sigma^{-|\alpha|},$$

where C is independent of ρ, ρ' and a .

(ii) Let $f(x, z)$ be in $\gamma_{\sigma_1}^{(s)}(B; \mathcal{O}(\Omega))$, $v_j(x)$ in $\gamma_{\sigma_2}^{(s)}(B)$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Set $v(x) = (v_1(x), \dots, v_m(x))$ and $|v|_{\sigma_2,s,B} = \sum_{j=1}^m |v_j|_{\sigma_2,s,B}$. Assume that $v(x)$ runs in K , a compact set of Ω , for all $x \in B$. Then, there exists a constant $\sigma_3 = \sigma_3(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \rho_K, n, |v|_{\sigma_2,s,B})$, where ρ_K is the convergence radius of $f(x, \cdot)$, such that $f(x, v(x)) \in \gamma_{\sigma_3}^{(s)}(B)$ and satisfies

$$|f(\cdot, v(\cdot))|_{\sigma_3,s,B} \leq C_{n,m} |f|_{\sigma_1,s,B;K},$$

where $C_{n,m}$ depends only on the dimensions n and m .

For $m \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by S^m the usual symbol class of order m , and introduce the semi-norms as follows: for $a \in S^m$

$$|a|_l^{(m)} = \sup_{x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\alpha+\beta| \leq l} \frac{|a_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)|}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\alpha|}},$$

where $a_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)$ means $D_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha a(x, \xi)$. Next we define the symbols of Gevrey class in \mathbb{R}^n . For $s \geq 1$ and $A > 0$, we denote by $\gamma_A^s S^m$ the set $\{a \in S^m; \text{satisfying that for any } l \in \mathbb{N},$

$$|a|_{A,s,l}^{(m)} = \sup_{x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\alpha+\beta| \leq l} \frac{|a_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| A^{|\beta|}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\alpha|} |\beta|!^s} < \infty \},$$

and let $\gamma^s S^m = \bigcap_{A>0} \gamma_A^s S^m$. We note that $\gamma_A^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is contained in $\gamma_A^s S^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For $\rho > 0$ we define $e^{\rho \langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}}$ by

$$e^{\rho \langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}} u(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi + \rho \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}} \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi$$

for $u \in H_{\rho,s}^m$.

Let $\Lambda(t, \xi) = \rho(t)\langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}$, where $\rho(t)$ is a positive decreasing function on $[0, T]$. We denote by $e^\Lambda C^k([0, T]; \mathbf{H}^l)$ the set of functions satisfying $e^{\rho(t)\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}} u(t, x) \in C^k([0, T]; \mathbf{H}^l)$.

LEMMA 2.2. (i) *Assume that l is large enough. Then there exists a constant C_l such that*

$$\|uv\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l} \leq C_l \|u\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l} \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l}$$

for any $u, v \in \mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l$, where C_l is independent of u and v .

(ii) $e^{\rho\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}}$ maps from $\mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l$ to $\mathbf{H}_{\rho'-\rho,s}^l$ continuously.

(iii) a pseudo-differential operator $a(x, D_x) \in \gamma^s S^m$ maps from $\mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l$ to $\mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^{l-m}$ continuously.

(iv) Let $a_\rho(x, D_x) = e^{-\rho\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}} a(x, D_x) e^{\rho\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}}$ for $a \in \gamma_A^s S^m$. If $|\rho| \leq (48n^{2/s})^{-1} A^{1/s}$, then $a_\rho(x, D_x)$ belongs to S^m and satisfies

$$|a_\rho|_l^{(m)} \leq C_l |a|_{A,s,l}^{(m)},$$

where C_l is independent of a .

(v) If $|\rho| \leq (48n^{2/s})^{-1} A^{1/s}$, then

$$\|au\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l} \leq C_n |a|_{A,s,\mathbf{R}^n} \|u\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l}$$

for any $a(x) \in \gamma_A^{(s)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $u \in \mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

The proof of this lemma is given in Proposition 2.3 of [6].

3. A Priori Estimate

We shall derive a priori estimate in Gevrey class $\mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for s -hyperbolic equation. Since all H_{m-k} are s -hyperbolic with respect to $(1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$, there is a $C > 0$ such that

$$|\operatorname{Im} \tau_{m-k,j}(\xi)| \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n \tag{3.1}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m - k$, and $k = 0, \dots, m$.

Put $v(t, x) = e^{\rho(t)\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}} u(t, x)$, where $\rho(t) = \rho_1 t + \rho_0$ and we define $\hat{u}(t, \xi)$ by the Fourier transform of $u(t, x)$ with respect to x . Then we have

$$e^{\rho(t)\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}} P(D_t, D_x) u(t, x) = P(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}, D_x) v(t, x).$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \operatorname{Im} \left\{ (H_{m-k}(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi) \overline{H_{m-k-1}(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi)}) \right\} \\
 &= \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \left[\prod_{j=1}^{m-k} (D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} - \tau_{m-k,j}(\xi)) \right] \hat{v}(t, \xi) \right. \\
 &\quad \times (m-k)^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{m-k} \left[\overline{\prod_{j \neq l} (D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} - \tau_{m-k,j}(\xi))} \right] \hat{v}(t, \xi) \left. \right\} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} (m-k)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_{l=1}^{m-k} \left| \left[\prod_{j \neq l} (D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} - \tau_{m-k,j}(\xi)) \right] \hat{v}(t, \xi) \right|^2 \\
 &\quad + (m-k)^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{m-k} ((\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} - \operatorname{Im} \tau_{m-k,l}(\xi)) \\
 &\quad \times \left| \left[\prod_{j \neq l} (D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} - \tau_{m-k,j}(\xi)) \right] \hat{v}(t, \xi) \right|^2. \tag{3.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since (3.1), for any $C_0 > 0$ there exists a negative constant ρ_1 such that to any $k = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, m - k$,

$$\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} - \operatorname{Im} \tau_{m-k,j}(\xi) \leq -C_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \tag{3.3}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Put

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_m(t, \xi) &= |P(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi)|^2, \\
 K_{m-k}(t, \xi) &= (m-k+1)^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{m-k+1} \left| \left[\prod_{j \neq l} (D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle - \tau_{m-k+1,j}(\xi)) \right] \hat{v}(t, \xi) \right|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, m$.

We note that by virtue of Schwarz' inequality,

$$K_{m-k}(t, \xi) \geq |H_{m-k}(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi)|^2 \quad (0 \leq k \leq m). \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_{k=1}^m K_{m-k}(t, \xi) + mC_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} \sum_{k=1}^m K_{m-k}(t, \xi) \\
 & \leq -\operatorname{Im} \left\{ (H_{m-k}(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi) \overline{H_{m-k-1}(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi)}) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying $\langle \xi \rangle^{2l}$ and integrating with respect to ξ over \mathbf{R}^n both sides,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2l} \sum_{k=1}^m K_{m-k}(t, \xi) d\xi + mC_0 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2l} \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} \sum_{k=1}^m K_{m-k}(t, \xi) d\xi \\ & \leq - \sum_{k=1}^m \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \{ \langle \xi \rangle^{2l} (H_{m-k+1} \hat{v}(t, \xi) \overline{H_{m-k} \hat{v}(t, \xi)}) \} d\xi \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2l} \sum_{k=1}^m K_{m-k}(t, \xi) d\xi \\ & \quad - \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left\{ \langle \xi \rangle^{2l} P(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi) \overline{H_{m-1}(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi)} \right\} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if C_0 is sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2l} \sum_{k=1}^m K_{m-k}(t, \xi) d\xi \\ & \leq \| \langle \xi \rangle^l P(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi) \| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2l} \sum_{k=1}^m K_{m-k}(t, \xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of Gronwall's inequality, we have Theorem 1.1.

We note that if $u_j(x) \equiv 0$, then $\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{m-k+1} \| P_{m-k}^j u(0, \cdot) \|_{H_{\rho_0, s}^l} = 0$.

COROLLARY 3.1. *Consider the following Cauchy problem in $[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n$:*

$$\begin{cases} P(D)u(t, x) = f(t, x) \\ D_t^j u(0, x) = u_j(x) \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

For any $T > 0$ there exists $\Lambda(t, \xi) = (\rho_1 t + \rho_0) \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}$ such that there exists a unique solution of this problem in $e^\Lambda C^m([0, T]; H^l(\mathbf{R}^n))$ for any $f(t, x) \in e^\Lambda C([0, T]; H^l(\mathbf{R}^n))$ and $u_j(x) \in H_{\rho_0, s}^l(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

4. Local Existence Theorem

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.2 by using standard contraction mapping method.

At first, we shall prove this theorem in the case all $u_j(x) \equiv 0$:

$$\begin{cases} P(D)u(t, x) = G(t, x, Hu) \\ D_t^j u(0, x) = 0 \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

We define for $T_1 \in (0, T]$ and $M > 0$,

$$X_{T_1, M} = \left\{ u(t, x); Hu(t, x) \in e^\Lambda C([0, T_1]; \mathbf{H}^l(\mathbf{R}^n)) \text{ and} \right. \\ \left. \|u\|_{X_{T_1}} = \sup_{t \in [0, T_1]} \|e^{\rho(t)\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}} Hu(t, x)\|_{(l)} \leq M \right\},$$

where $\rho(t)$ is given by Theorem 1.1, depending on T_1 .

LEMMA 4.1. *Let an integer l be large enough. Assume that $G(t, x, z)$ satisfies the following conditions:*

(B1)_s: *there exists a constant $\mu_1 > 0$ such that $G(t, x, z) \in C([0, T_1]; \gamma_{\mu_1}^{(s)}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathcal{O}(\Omega)))$, where Ω is open neighborhood of the origin in \mathbf{C}^m .*

(B2)_s: *there exists a constant $\mu_2 > 0$ such that $G(t, x, 0) \in C([0, T_1]; \mathbf{H}_{\mu_2, s}^l(\mathbf{R}^n))$.*

Then there exist constants $M > 0$ and $T_1 > 0$ such that $G(t, x, w(t, x))$ belongs to $e^\Lambda C([0, T_1]; \mathbf{H}^l(\mathbf{R}^n))$ for any $w(t, x)$ in $X_{T_1, M}$, where $\Lambda = (\rho_1 t + \rho_0)\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}$ is given in Theorem 1.1.

PROOF. Let K be a compact neighborhood of the origin contained in Ω . Since G satisfies the conditions (B1)_s, there exists a constant ρ_K such that for any $|z| < \rho_K$, G can expand into power series of z :

$$G(t, x, z) = G(t, x, 0) + \sum_{\alpha > 0} \frac{1}{\alpha!} (\partial_z^\alpha G)(t, x, 0) z^\alpha.$$

By virtue of Sobolev's lemma, we pick $M > 0$ small enough, hence that $|Hw(t, x)| < \rho_K$ for any $(t, x) \in [0, T_1] \times \mathbf{R}^n$. Then,

$$\|e^\Lambda G(t, \cdot, Hw(t, \cdot))\|_{(l)} \leq \|e^\Lambda G(t, \cdot, 0)\|_{(l)} + \sum_{\alpha > 0} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \|e^\Lambda (\partial_z^\alpha G)(t, \cdot, 0) \cdot (Hw(t, \cdot))^\alpha\|_{(l)}. \tag{4.2}$$

From the assumption (B2)_s and Lemma 2.2, we pick $\rho_0 > 0$ and $T_1 > 0$ small enough, if necessary, hence that $\|e^\Lambda G(t, \cdot, 0)\|_{(l)}$ is bounded and moreover,

$$\|e^\Lambda (\partial_z^\alpha G)(t, \cdot, 0) \cdot (Hw(t, \cdot))^\alpha\|_{(l)} \leq C_n |(\partial_z^\alpha G)(t, \cdot, 0)|_{\sigma_2, s, \mathbf{R}^n} \|e^\Lambda (Hw(t, \cdot))^\alpha\|_{(l)} \\ \leq C_n |G(t, \cdot, \cdot)|_{\sigma_2, s, \mathbf{R}^n; K} \alpha! \rho_K^{-|\alpha|} \tilde{C}_l^{|\alpha|-1} \|e^\Lambda Hw(t, \cdot)\|_{(l)}^{|\alpha|} \\ \leq C_{n, l} \left(\frac{\tilde{C}_l M}{\rho_K} \right)^{|\alpha|} \alpha! |G(t, \cdot, \cdot)|_{\sigma_2, s, \mathbf{R}^n; K}.$$

Therefore we pick M small enough again, if necessary, hence that the right hand side of (4.2) converges. Thus the proof of Lemma 4.1 is finished. ■

For $w \in X_{T_1, M}$ we denote an operator Φ from $X_{T_1, M}$ to $e^\Lambda C^m([0, T_1]; H^l(\mathbf{R}^n))$ by $\Phi(w) = u$ which is a solution of the following Cauchy problem,

$$\begin{cases} P(D)u(t, x) = G(t, x, Hw) \\ D_t^j u(0, x) = 0 \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

From Corollary 3.1 and Lemma 4.1, we have a unique solution in $e^\Lambda C^m([0, T_1]; H^l(\mathbf{R}^n))$. Moreover,

LEMMA 4.2. *There exist $T_2 \in (0, T_1]$ and $M > 0$ such that*

- (i) Φ is a mapping from $X_{T_2, M}$ into itself.
- (ii)

$$\|\Phi(v) - \Phi(v')\|_{X_{T_2}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v - v'\|_{X_{T_2}}$$

for any $v, v' \in X_{T_2, M}$.

PROOF. Let v belong to $X_{T_1, M}$ and u be $\Phi(v)$. From Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^\Lambda H u(t, \cdot)\|_{(l)} &\leq C_n \int_0^t \|e^\Lambda G(t', \cdot, H v)\|_{(l)} dt' \\ &\leq C_{n, l} \int_0^t \{ \|e^\Lambda G(t', \cdot, 0)\|_{(l)} + |G(t', \cdot, \cdot)|_{\sigma_2, s, \mathbf{R}^n; K} \} dt' \end{aligned}$$

for any $t \in [0, T_1]$. Therefore we pick $T_2 \in (0, T_1]$ small enough, then $\|e^\Lambda G(t, x, H v)\|_{(l)} \leq M$ for all $v \in X_{T_2, M}$, so that (i) is proved. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|e^\Lambda (\Phi(v) - \Phi(v'))\|_{(l)} \\ &\leq \int_0^{T_2} \left\| e^\Lambda \int_0^1 \nabla_y G(t', x, H v' + \theta(H v - H v')) d\theta \cdot (H v - H v') \right\|_{(l)} dt' \\ &\leq C T_2 \|v - v'\|_{X_{T_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is independent of T_2 . Then choose small T_2 again, if necessary, $C T_2 < 1/2$. Thus the proof of (ii) is finished. ■

Hence, there exists a unique solution of Cauchy problem (4.1) in $X_{T_2, M}$ by virtue of the fixed point theorem. In order to solve the general case, the Cauchy problem (1.1), we change the unknown function $w(t, x) = u(t, x) - u^{(0)}(t, x)$. Then we can reduce the problem (1.1) to (4.3) by the next Lemma. Thus the proof of Theorem 1.2 is finished.

LEMMA 4.3. *Assume that $F(t, x, z)$ satisfies the conditions $(A1)_s$ and $(A2)_s$. Then there exist constants $T' > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that for any $w(t, x) \in X_{T', M}$, $G(t, x, z) = F(t, x, z + w(t, x))$ satisfies the conditions $(B1)_s$ and $(B2)_s$ in Lemma 4.1.*

In order to prove Lemma 4.3, we essentially use Lemma 2.1. We omit the proof of this lemma.

5. Propagation of Analyticity

We introduce semi-norms in $C([t_0, t_1]; L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^n))$. Let an integer $N \geq 2$ and a real number $r \in (0, 1]$. We denote

$$|u|_{r, N}^{t_0, t_1} = \sup_{t' \in (t_0, t_1], 2 \leq |\beta| \leq N} \frac{\|D_x^\beta u\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho(t'), s}^{|\beta|}} r^{|\beta|-2}}{\Gamma_2(|\beta|)}$$

for $u \in C([t_0, t_1]; L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^n))$, where $\rho(t)$ is a positive decreasing function, $\Gamma_2(k) = \lambda_0 k! k^{-2}$ for $k \geq 1$ and $\Gamma_2(0) = \lambda_0$. We can pick λ_0 such that

$$\sum_{\alpha' \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'} \Gamma_2(|\alpha'| + k) \Gamma_2(|\alpha - \alpha'|) \leq \Gamma_2(|\alpha| + k)$$

for any $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^n$. In brief we write $|u|_{r, N} = |u|_{r, N}^{t_0, t_1}$ if there is no confusion.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $v_i \in C([t_0, t_1]; L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^n))$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and we denote $v^\beta = v_1^{\beta_1} v_2^{\beta_2} \dots v_n^{\beta_n}$ for $\beta \in \mathbf{N}^n$. Then there is a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that*

(i) for $2 \leq |\beta| \leq N$,

$$|v^\beta|_{r, N} \leq C_0^{|\beta|-1} \left(\sup_{t_0 \leq t' \leq t_1} \|v(t', \cdot)\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho(t'), s}^{|\beta|}} + r^2 |v|_{r, N} \right)^{|\beta|-1} |v|_{r, N}$$

and

(ii) for $|\beta| > N$,

$$\begin{aligned} |v^\beta|_{r,N} &\leq C_0^{|\beta|-1} \sup_{2 \leq j \leq N} \left(\sup_{t_0 \leq t' \leq t_1} \|v(t', \cdot)\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho(t'),s}^{t'}} \right)^{|\beta|-j} \\ &\times \left\{ \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \left(\sup_{t_0 \leq t' \leq t_1} \|v(t', \cdot)\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho(t'),s}^{t'+1}} + r^2 |v|_{r,N} \right)^{|\alpha|-1} |v|_{r,N} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sup_{2 \leq j \leq N} \left(\sup_{t_0 \leq t' \leq t_1} \|v(t', \cdot)\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho(t'),s}^{t'+1}} \right)^j \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where constant C_0 depends only on the dimension n .

(iii) Let $a \in \gamma^{\{1\}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, that is an entire function, and $v \in \mathbf{L}_s^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, then for any $r \in (0, 1]$ and $N \geq 2$,

$$|a(x)v(x)|_{r,N} \leq C_n |a|_{\rho',1,\mathbf{R}^n} |v|_{r,N},$$

where $\rho' = \max\{5r, n(\rho(0)/24)^s\}$ and the constant C_n depends only on the dimension n .

The proof of this lemma can be seen K. Kajitani and K. Yamaguti [7]. The last term in the right hand side of (iii) of Lemma 5.1 is lacked in Lemma 3.1 in [7].

Now, we shall prove Theorem 1.3. From the assumption, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\tau > 0$ such that

$$\|u(t, \cdot) - u(k\tau, \cdot)\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho(t),s}^{t+1}} < \varepsilon$$

for $t \in [k\tau, (k+1)\tau]$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, [T/\tau] - 1$ and $t \in [[T/\tau], T]$, where $[x]$ stands for the greatest integer not greater than x . From the assumption (1.2), there exist constants $C > 0$ and $r_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|D_x^\alpha H u^{(0)}(k\tau, \cdot)\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho(k\tau),s}^{t'}} \leq C r_1^{-|\alpha|} |\alpha|!$$

Put $v(t, x) = u(t, x) - u^{(0)}(t, x)$. Then

$$Pv(t, x) = F(t, x, Hu(t, x)) - Pu^{(0)}(t, x) = F(t, x, Hv(t, x) + Hu^{(0)}(t, x)) - Pu^{(0)}(t, x).$$

We define $G(t, x, z) = F(t, x, z + Hu^{(0)}(t, x)) - Pu^{(0)}(t, x)$, and by Lemma 4.3, $G(t, x, z)$ satisfies (B1)₁ and (B2)₁. To differentiate both sides, then we have

$$PD_x^\alpha v(t, x) = D_x^\alpha (F(t, x, Hv(t, x) + Hu^{(0)}(t, x))) - PD_x^\alpha u^{(0)}(t, x),$$

and we denote G_α by the right hand side. Now $D_t^j v(0, x) = 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$, therefore from Theorem 1.1 we obtain

$$\|e^\Lambda HD_x^\alpha v(t, \cdot)\|_{(t)} \leq \int_0^t \|e^\Lambda G_\alpha(t, x)\|_{(t)} dt' \tag{5.1}$$

for any $t \in [0, \tau]$, where $\Lambda = \rho(t)\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}$ is given by Theorem 1.1. For simplicity we write $\|u\|_{(\rho(t))} = \|e^\Lambda u\|_{(t)}$. By virtue of Lemma 5.1, for any $2 \leq \alpha \leq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D_x^\alpha F(t, \cdot, Hv(t, \cdot) + Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))\|_{(\rho(t))} \\ & \leq \|D_x^\alpha F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))\|_{(\rho(t))} \\ & \quad + \sum_{\beta > 0} \beta!^{-1} \|D_x^\alpha (\partial_z^\beta F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot)))(Hv(t, \cdot))^\beta\|_{(\rho(t))} \\ & \leq \Gamma_2(|\alpha|)r^{-|\alpha|+2} \left\{ |F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{r, N} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{\beta > 0} \beta!^{-1} |(\partial_z^\beta F)(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))(Hv(t, \cdot))^\beta|_{r, N} \right\} \\ & \leq \Gamma_2(|\alpha|)r^{-|\alpha|+2} \left\{ |F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{r, N} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{\beta > 0} \beta!^{-1} |\partial_z^\beta F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{v_1, 1, \mathbf{R}^n} |(Hv)^\beta|_{r, N} \right\} \\ & = \Gamma_2(|\alpha|)r^{-|\alpha|+2} \left\{ |F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{r, N} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + C \left\{ \sum_{0 < |\beta| < 2} + \sum_{2 \leq |\beta| \leq N} + \sum_{|\beta| > N} \right\} \beta!^{-1} |\partial_z^\beta F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{v_1, 1, \mathbf{R}^n} |(Hv)^\beta|_{r, N} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $v_1 \geq \max\{5r, n(\rho(0)/24)^s\}$. From the assumption, for fixed t , there exists a compact set $K \subset \Omega$ such that $\{Hu^{(0)}(t, x); x \in \mathbf{R}^n\} \subset K$. Then by Lemma 4.3, there exists a constant $v_2 > 0$ such that

$$|\partial_z^\beta F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{v_1, 1, \mathbf{R}^n} \leq C_n |F(t, \cdot, \cdot)|_{v_2, 1, \mathbf{R}^n; K} \beta! v_1^{-|\beta|}$$

for any $\beta \in \mathbf{N}^m$. For sufficiently small ε , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|D_x^\alpha F(t, \cdot, Hv(t, \cdot) + Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))\|_{(\rho(t))} \\
 & \leq \Gamma_2(|\alpha|)r^{-|\alpha|+2} \left\{ |F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{r,N} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + C \left\{ \sum_{|\beta|=1} + \sum_{2 \leq |\beta| \leq N} + \sum_{|\beta| > N} \right\} v_1^{-|\beta|} |F(t, \cdot, \cdot)|_{v_2, 1, \mathbf{R}^n; K} |(Hv)^\beta|_{r,N} \right\} \\
 & \leq \Gamma_2(|\alpha|)r^{-|\alpha|+2} \left\{ |F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{r,N} + C_n |F(t, \cdot, \cdot)|_{v_2, 1, \mathbf{R}^n; K} \right. \\
 & \quad \left\{ |Hv|_{r,N} + \sum_{2 \leq |\beta| \leq N} v_1^{-|\beta|} C_0^{|\beta|-1} (\varepsilon + r^2 |Hv|_{r,N})^{|\beta|-1} |Hv|_{r,N} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \sum_{|\beta| > N} v_1^{-|\beta|} C_0^{|\beta|-1} \varepsilon^{|\beta|-2} \left\{ \sum_{2 \leq |\gamma| \leq N} (\varepsilon + r^2 |Hv|_{r,N})^{|\gamma|-1} |Hv|_{r,N} + \varepsilon^2 \right\} \right\} \right\} \\
 & \leq \Gamma_2(|\alpha|)r^{-|\alpha|+2} \left\{ |F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{r,N} + C'_n |F(t, \cdot, \cdot)|_{v_2, 1, \mathbf{R}^n; K} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\varepsilon + r^2 |Hv|_{r,N})^j |Hv|_{r,N} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we choose $r = r(t) = r_0 e^{-t}$, where $0 < r_0 \leq 1$. Denote

$$y_N(t) = \sup_{0 \leq t' \leq t} r(t') |Hv|_{r(t'), N},$$

where

$$|v|_{r(t), N} = \sup_{0 \leq t' \leq t, 2 \leq |\beta| \leq N} \{ \|D_x^\beta v\|_{H_{\rho(t'), s}'} r(t')^{|\beta|-2} \Gamma_2(|\beta|)^{-1} \}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|D_x^\alpha F(t, \cdot, Hv(t, \cdot) + Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))\|_{(\rho(t))} \\
 & \leq \Gamma_2(|\alpha|)r^{-|\alpha|+2} \left\{ C_1 \left(1 + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\varepsilon + y_N(t))^j |Hv|_{r(t), N} \right) \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 = |F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{r,N} + C'_n |F(t, \cdot, \cdot)|_{v_2, 1, \mathbf{R}^n; K}$. Thus from (5.1),

$$|Hv|_{r(t), N} \leq C \int_0^t \left(1 + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\varepsilon + y_N(t'))^j |Hv|_{r(t'), N} \right) dt',$$

then

$$y_N(t) \leq C \int_0^t \left(r(t') + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\varepsilon + y_N(t'))^j y_N(t') \right) dt'.$$

From this inequality, we have $y_N(t) < \varepsilon$ for $t \in [0, \tau]$, if we choose $r_0 > 0$ small enough. In fact, assume that there is $t_1 \in [0, \tau]$ such that $y_N(t_1) = \varepsilon$ and $y_N(t) < \varepsilon$ for $t \in (0, t_1)$. Since $y_N(0) = 0$, we have $t_1 > 0$. It follows from (5.1) that

$$y_N(t) \leq C \left(r_0 + \int_0^t \frac{1}{1-2\varepsilon} y_N(t') \right) dt'.$$

for $t \in [0, t_1)$. We note that the constants C , ε and r_0 can be chosen independent of N . Therefore we obtain $y_N(t) \leq Cr_0 \exp(Ct/(1-2\varepsilon))$ for $t \in [0, t_1)$. This contradicts $y_N(t_1) = \varepsilon$, if we choose $r_0 > 0$ small enough.

Thus we can get $y_N(t) \leq \varepsilon$ for $t \in [0, \tau]$. By induction, there is a constant $r' > 0$ such that $|D_x^\alpha v(t, x)| \leq Cr'^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|!$ for $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n$ and consequently Theorem 1.3 is proved.

References

- [1] S. Alinhac-G. Métivier, Propagation de l'analyticité des solutions de systèmes hyperboliques nonlinéaires, *Inv. Math.* **75** (1984), pp. 189–203.
- [2] M. Cicognani-L. Zanghirati, Analytic regularity for solutions of nonlinear weakly hyperbolic equations, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B(7)* **11** (1997), pp. 643–679.
- [3] P. D'Ancona-S. Spagnolo, Quasi-symmetrization of hyperbolic systems and propagation of the analytic regularity, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8)* **1** (1998), pp. 169–185.
- [4] L. Gårding, Linear hyperbolic partial differential equations with constant coefficients, *Acta. Math.* **85** (1950), pp. 1–62.
- [5] L. Hörmander, *Linear partial differential operators*, Academic Press, New York/London (1963).
- [6] K. Kajitani, Cauchy problem for nonstrictly hyperbolic systems in Gevrey classes, *J. Math. Kyoto. Univ.* **23-3** (1983), pp. 599–616.
- [7] K. Kajitani-K. Yamaguti, Propagation of analyticity of the solutions to the Cauchy problem for nonlinear symmetrizable systems, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **28** (1999), pp. 471–487.
- [8] E. Larsson, Generalized hyperbolicity, *Ark. Mat.* **7** (1967), pp. 11–32.
- [9] S. Mizohata, *The theory of partial differential equations*, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo (1965).
- [10] G. Peysner, Energy inequalities for hyperbolic equations in several variables with multiple characteristics and constant coefficients, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **108** (1963), pp. 478–490.
- [11] ———, Hyperbolic systems with multiple characteristics, *J. Differential Equations.* **9** (1971), pp. 509–520.
- [12] S. Spagnolo, Some results of analytic regularity for the semi-linear weakly hyperbolic equations of the second order, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino, Fascicolo speciale* (1988), pp. 203–229.
- [13] L. Svensson, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the hyperbolicity of polynomials with hyperbolic principal part, *Ark. Mat.* **8** (1968), pp. 145–162.