

REPRESENTATION OF q -ANALOGUE OF RATIONAL BRAUER ALGEBRAS*

By

Masashi KOSUDA

Introduction

Let q and a be indeterminates over a field K of characteristic 0, and let $K(a, q)$ denote the field of rational functions. We define the algebra $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ over $K(a, q)$ by generators and relations. (See the Definition 2.1.) If we replace the indeterminate a with q^{-r} in the definition, we have a q -analogue of rational Brauer algebra $H_{m,n}^r(q)$, which we have introduced in the previous paper with J. Murakami [8]. (In the paper [8], we called the algebra $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ the generalized Hecke algebra.) The algebra $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ is semisimple in case $r \geq m + n$, as we already observed in [8]. This observation is extended to the algebra $H_{m,n}(a, q)$. That is to say, $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ is also semisimple.

In this paper, we construct new representations of the algebras $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ and $H_{m,n}^r(q)$. These representations are irreducible and they are obtained from the left regular representations of $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ and $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ respectively.

Our previous paper was written originally to investigate the centralizer algebra of mixed tensor representations of quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(gl_n(\mathbb{C}))$, which was q -analogue version of the work of Benkart et al. [1]. (The existence of their preliminary version of the paper [1] was informed to the author by Professor Okada.) Their original situation was as follows. Let G denote the general linear group $GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ of $r \times r$ invertible complex matrices and let V be the vector space on which G acts naturally. Let V^* be the dual space of V . The mixed tensor T of m copies of V and n copies of V^* is defined by $T = (\otimes^m V) \otimes (\otimes^n V^*)$. In this situation, they constructed the irreducible representations of the centralizer algebra $\text{End}_G(T)$, by locating the maximal vectors in the mixed tensor T . Replacing G with $\mathcal{U}_q(gl_n(\mathbb{C}))$ and extending the underlying field \mathbb{C} to $\mathbb{C}(q)$, we

Received December 25, 1995.

Revised November 11, 1996.

*This work is partially supported by JSPS Fellowships for young scientist.

have the q -analogue of their centralizer algebras which we called the generalized Hecke algebra $H_{m,n}^r(q)$. Instead of locating the maximal vectors in T , we used the Bratteli diagram of the inclusions, $C(q) \subset H_{1,0}^r \subset H_{2,0}^r \subset \cdots \subset H_{m,0}^r \subset H_{m,1}^r \subset \cdots \subset H_{m,n}^r$, to construct the irreducible representations of $H_{m,n}^r(q)$. However, the use of Bratteli diagram forced us to use q -rational functions as the matrix elements.

It turns out that if we define $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ over $\mathcal{Q}(q)$, the trace of the representing matrix of each generator is in $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$. So it is natural to conjecture that if we take a suitable basis in each irreducible representation, the matrix elements are in $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$.

Let us recall that as for the (classical) Hecke algebra $H_n(q)$ of type A , all the irreducible representations are afforded by cell representations [6]. For these irreducible representations the integrality holds. Namely, each generator of $H_n(q)$ maps to the matrix over $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ on these representations.

The main purpose of this paper is to show that the conjecture for the integrality of irreducible representations of $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ holds true. For this purpose, we will define a new basis of $H_{m,n}(a, q)$. This paper is organized as follows. Section 1 presents the general results about the Hecke algebra of type A and W -graphs. In Section 2, we define the algebra $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ and define (left and right) k -contractions in $H_{m,n}(a, q)$. Then we show some properties of k -contractions. These k -contractions are originally defined in their paper [1] in the case $q = 1$. They help us to construct all the irreducible representations of the algebra $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ by taking subquotients of the left regular representation. In Section 3 we give the new basis of $H_{m,n}(a, q)$. Taking suitable subquotients of the regular representation of $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ with respect to the new basis, we obtain the irreducible representations of $H_{m,n}(a, q)$. If we define $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ by replacing the indeterminate a with q^{-r} in the definition of $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ and construct the corresponding representations of $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ replacing a with q^{-r} in the procedure, then we obtain the desired representations of $H_{m,n}^r(q)$.

The author would like to thank J. Murakami and A. Gyoja for enlightening conversations. He also would like to thank S. Okada for communicating the results of [1, 3]. He also thanks T. Kohno for the kind encouragement.

1. Hecke algebras and W -graphs

First we review general results about Iwahori-Hecke algebra (of type A) and their irreducible representations without proofs. The following results are from Kazhdan-Lusztig [6] or Shi's book [9].

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and let A be the ring $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ of Laurent polynomials over \mathbb{Z} in the indeterminate q . The Hecke algebra \mathcal{H} is by definition the associative A -algebra with a free A -basis $\{T_w\}_{w \in W}$ over the ring A , obeying the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} T_w T_{w'} &= T_{ww'}, & \text{if } \ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w'), \\ (T_s - q)(T_s + q^{-1}) &= 0 & \text{if } s \in S. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\ell(w)$ denotes the length of w .

In this paper we consider only the case W is the symmetric group. So, $\mathcal{H} = H_n(q)$ can also be defined by generators:

$$T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{n-1}$$

and

relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (T_i - q)(T_i + q^{-1}) &= 0 & (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \\ T_i T_j &= T_j T_i & (1 \leq i, j \leq n-1, |i-j| \geq 2), \\ T_i T_{i+1} T_i &= T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1} & (1 \leq i \leq n-2). \end{aligned}$$

As they showed [6], a complete set of irreducible representations for the Hecke algebra $H_n(q)$ can be afforded with some multiplicities by dividing W -graphs into left cells. We shall construct some W -graphs for $H_n(q)$ as in [6].

DEFINITION 1.1. A W -graph is, by definition, a set of vertices X , with a set Y of edges (each edge consists of two elements of X) together with two additional data: for each vertex $x \in X$, we are given a subset I_x of S and, for each ordered pair of vertices y, x such that $\{y, x\} \in Y$, we are given an integer $\mu(y, x) \neq 0$. These data are subject to the following requirements: Let E be the free A -module with basis X . Then for any $s \in S$,

$$\tau_s(x) = \begin{cases} -q^{-1}x & s \in I_x \\ qx + \sum \mu(z, x)z & s \notin I_x \end{cases}$$

defines an endomorphism of E and there is a unique representation $\phi: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \text{End}(E)$ such that $\phi(T_s) = \tau_s$ for each $s \in S$.

To construct W -graphs, we shall first introduce Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and define the relation $<$.

Let $a \rightarrow \bar{a}$ be the involution of the ring $A = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ defined by $\bar{q} = q^{-1}$. This extends to an involution of $h \rightarrow \bar{h}$ of the ring \mathcal{H} , defined by $\overline{\sum a_w T_w} = \sum \bar{a}_w T_w^{-1}$. (Note that T_w is an invertible element of \mathcal{H} for any $w \in W$). Let \leq be the Bruhat order relation on W . The following basic theorem of Kazhdan-Lusztig [6] provides a basis of the algebra \mathcal{H} .

THEOREM 1.2. *For any $w \in W$, there is a unique element $C_w \in \mathcal{H}$, such that*

$$(1) \quad \overline{C_w} = C_w,$$

$$(2) \quad C_w = \sum \varepsilon_y \varepsilon_w q_w q_y^{-1} \overline{P_{y,w}(q^2)} T_y,$$

where $P_{y,w}(q) \in A$ is a polynomial in q of degree less than or equal to $(1/2)(\ell(w) - \ell(y) - 1)$ for $y < w$, and $P_{w,w} = 1$.

The polynomials $P_{y,w}$ in the above theorem are called Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials. The proof of the theorem is in their original paper [6].

Next, we define the relation \prec .

DEFINITION 1.3. Given $y, w \in W$ we say that $y \prec w$ if the following conditions are satisfied: $y < w$, $\varepsilon_w = -\varepsilon_y$ and $P_{y,w}(q)$ is a polynomial in q of degree exactly $(1/2)(\ell(w) - \ell(y) - 1)$. In this case, the leading coefficient of $P_{y,w}(q)$ is denoted by $\mu(y, w)$. It is a non-zero integer. If $w \prec y$, we set $\mu(w, y) = \mu(y, w)$.

PROPOSITION 1.4. *Let $s \in S, w \in W$.*

$$(1) \text{ If } sw < w, \text{ then } T_s C_w = -q^{-1} C_w.$$

$$(2) \text{ If } w < sw, \text{ then } T_s C_w = q C_w + C_{sw} + \sum \mu(z, w) C_z,$$

where the sum is taken over all $z \prec w$ for which $sz < z$.

Let Γ_L be the graph whose vertices are the elements of W and whose edges are the subsets of W of the form $\{y, w\}$ with $y \prec w$. For each $w \in W$, let $I_w = \mathcal{L}(w) = \{s \in S \mid sw < w\}$. Then Proposition 1.4 implies that Γ_L , together with the assignment $w \rightarrow I_w$ and with the function μ defined in 1.3 is a W -graph.

We will next decompose W -graphs into ‘cells’ which will give irreducible representations of \mathcal{H} in case $W = S_n$ (accordingly $\mathcal{H} = H_n(q)$). We shall define, following Kazhdan and Lusztig [6], cells of any Coxeter group (W, S) .

For $x, y \in W$, we denote $x \sim y$ if either $x \prec y$ or $y \prec x$ holds. We define a preorder relation $w \leq_L w'$ on W if there exist elements $w = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t = w'$ in W such that for each i we have $x_{i-1} - x_i$ and $\mathcal{L}(x_{i-1}) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(x_i)$. We may then define an equivalence relation $w \sim_L w'$ to be $w \leq_L w'$ and $w' \leq_L w$. The equivalence classes with respect to the relation \sim_L are called *left cells*. With the

language of cells, we shall consider formulas in the above proposition. In case (2) of the proposition, we have $w < sw$, so that $w < sw$ with $\mathcal{L}(sw) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(w)$, implying $sw \leq_L w$. On the other hand, any element $z < w$ in the sum satisfies $sz < z$ for the given s , so $\mathcal{L}(z) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(w)$ (because $sw > w$). Thus $z \leq_L w$. In either case of the proposition, it follows that left multiplication by T_s takes C_w into the A -span of itself and various C_x for which $x \leq_L w$.

Now fix a left cell $\lambda \subset W$, and define \mathcal{I}_λ to be the A -span of all $C_w (w \in \lambda)$ together with all C_x for which $x \leq_L w$ ($w \in \lambda$). The preceding discussion shows that \mathcal{I}_λ is a left ideal in \mathcal{H} . Let \mathcal{I}'_λ be the span of those C_x for which $x \leq_L w$ for some $w \in \lambda$ but $x \notin \lambda$. Since \leq_L is transitive, the definition of left cells implies that \mathcal{I}'_λ is also a left ideal in \mathcal{H} , so the quotient $\mathcal{M}_\lambda := \mathcal{I}_\lambda / \mathcal{I}'_\lambda$ affords a representation of \mathcal{H} . In other words, for each left cell, regarded as a full subgraph of Γ_L with the same sets I_x and the same function μ is itself a W -graph. One can similarly define *right cells* by replacing $I_w = \mathcal{L}(w)$ and $\mathcal{L}(x_{i-1}) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(x_i)$ with $I_w = \mathcal{R}(w)$ and $\mathcal{R}(x_{i-1}) \not\subset \mathcal{R}(x_i)$ respectively, where $\mathcal{R}(w) = \{s \in S \mid ws < w\}$. One can also define *two-sided cells* of W by replacing $\mathcal{L}(x_{i-1}) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(x_i)$ with the condition that $\mathcal{L}(x_{i-1}) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(x_i)$ or $\mathcal{R}(x_{i-1}) \not\subset \mathcal{R}(x_i)$ and replacing $I_w = \mathcal{L}(w)$ with $I_w = \mathcal{L}(w) \sqcup \mathcal{R}(w)$. The notation $x \sim_R y$ (resp. $x \sim_\Gamma y$) means that x, y are in the same right (resp. two-sided) cell of W .

Let W be the symmetric group S_n . Then the cells of W can be classified by the Robinson-Schensted map.

Let $P(n)$ be the set of partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$, where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i = n$. *Standard Young tableau* of shape λ is by definition numbering of cells of λ in such a way that it is increasing from left to right in each row and from top to bottom in each column. The following is an example of a standard Young tableau of shape $\lambda = (3, 2, 2, 1)$.

1	3	4
2	5	
6	8	
7		

In this paper, we adopt the bijection between W and permutations in the following way. Let $i_1 \dots i_n$ be a permutation of $1, \dots, n$. Each generator $s_i \in W$ acts from the right as the transposition of letters i and $i+1$, which we denote $i_1 \dots i_n \cdot s_i$. Then the bijection is given by $w \mapsto 12 \dots n \cdot w$. For example $(1, 2)(2, 3)$ corresponds to 312. The Robinson-Schensted map $\theta: w \rightarrow (P(w), Q(w))$ gives a

bijection from W to the pairs of standard Young tableaux on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ having the same shape (cf. [7]).

The following result is due to [2].

THEOREM 1.5. *For $y, w \in S_n$, we have*

- (1) $y \sim_L w \Leftrightarrow P(y) = P(w)$,
- (2) $y \sim_R w \Leftrightarrow Q(y) = Q(w)$,
- (3) $y \sim_\Gamma w \Leftrightarrow P(y)$ and $P(w)$ have the same shape.

Kazhdan and Lusztig [6] showed the following result on the representations of S_n afforded by the left cells of S_n .

THEOREM 1.6. *Let X be a left cell of $W = S_n$, let Γ be the W -graph associated to X and let ϕ be the representation of $H_n(q)$ (over the quotient field of A) corresponding to Γ . Then ϕ is irreducible and the isomorphism classes of the W -graph Γ depends only on the isomorphism class of ϕ and not on X .*

The above theorem shows that two distinct left cells of $W = S_n$ may produce the same irreducible representations (up to isomorphism). The proof of the theorem, however, shows that if y and y' are distinct elements of X^{-1} , then the \sim_L equivalence classes X_y and $X_{y'}$ which contain y and y' respectively produce the isomorphic left cells. Here the isomorphism between two left cells means the isomorphism between corresponding graphs which preserves μ and I_w . (See [6]). Combining the results of Theorem 1.5, we can see that the set of non-isomorphic irreducible representations of $H_n(q)$ are given by non-isomorphic left cells of S_n . Moreover each non-isomorphic left cell is indexed by the partition $\lambda \in P(n)$.

2. Algebra $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ and k -contractions in $H_{m,n}(a, q)$

In this section we define the $K(a, q)$ -algebras $H_{m,n}(a, q)$. Then we define the k -contractions in $H_{m,n}(a, q)$. These k -contractions correspond to the q -analogue version of the ones which they defined in their paper [1].

DEFINITION 2.1. Let K be a field of characteristic 0. Let q and a be indeterminates over K . For integers $m, n \geq 0$, we define $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ to be the associative $K(a, q)$ -algebra with the unit generated by

$$T_{m-1}, T_{m-2}, \dots, T_2, T_1, E, T_1^*, T_2^*, \dots, T_{n-2}^*, T_{n-1}^*$$

subject to the relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{B1}) \quad T_i T_j &= T_j T_i & (1 \leq i, j \leq m-1, |i-j| \geq 2), \\
 (\text{B2}) \quad T_i T_{i+1} T_i &= T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1} & (1 \leq i \leq m-2), \\
 (\text{IH}) \quad (T_i - q)(T_i + q^{-1}) &= 0 & (1 \leq i \leq m-1), \\
 (\text{B1}^*) \quad T_i^* T_j^* &= T_j^* T_i^* & (1 \leq i, j \leq n-1, |i-j| \geq 2), \\
 (\text{B2}^*) \quad T_i^* T_{i+1}^* T_i^* &= T_{i+1}^* T_i^* T_{i+1}^* & (1 \leq i \leq n-2), \\
 (\text{IH}^*) \quad (T_i^* - q)(T_i^* + q^{-1}) &= 0 & (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \\
 (\text{HH}) \quad T_i T_j^* &= T_j^* T_i & (1 \leq i \leq m-1, 1 \leq j \leq n-1), \\
 (\text{K1}) \quad ET_i &= T_i E & (2 \leq i \leq m-1), \\
 (\text{K1}^*) \quad ET_i^* &= T_i^* E & (2 \leq i \leq n-1), \\
 (\text{K2}) \quad ET_1 E &= a^{-1} E, \\
 (\text{K2}^*) \quad ET_1^* &= a^{-1} E, \\
 (\text{K3}) \quad E^2 &= -\frac{a - a^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} E, \\
 (\text{K4}) \quad ET_1^{-1} T_1^* ET_1 &= ET_1^{-1} T_1^* ET_1^*, \\
 (\text{K4}') \quad T_1 ET_1^{-1} T_1^* E &= T_1^* ET_1^{-1} T_1^* E.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the previous paper with J. Murakami [8], we defined the generalized Hecke algebra $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ which was $K(q)$ -algebra obtained by being replaced one of the indeterminate a by q^{-r} in the above definition. Here we take a positive integer r . In the case of the $K(q)$ -algebra $H_{m,n}^r(q)$, the relation (K3) is presented as follows: $E^2 = [r]E$, where $[r] = q^{r-1} + q^{r-3} + \cdots + q^{1-r}$.

The following theorem is one of the main results of [8]. (See loc. cit. Theorem 4.11, Corollary 4.13 and Proposition 2.2)

THEOREM 2.2. *If $r \geq m+n$, the $K(q)$ -algebra $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ is semisimple and whose dimension is $(m+n)!$.*

The above theorem will be extended to the $K(a, q)$ -algebra $H_{m,n}(a, q)$.

THEOREM 2.3. *The $K(a, q)$ -algebra $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ is semisimple and whose dimension is $(m+n)!$.*

For the proof of the above theorem, we have only to follow Section 1–4 of [8] replacing q^{-r} with a .

REMARK 2.4. If we take $q_0 \in K \setminus \{0\}$, instead of taking the indeterminate q and put $a = q_0^r$ in Definition 2.1, then we can define the K -algebra $H_{m,n}^r(q_0)$. Furthermore if we take $a_0 \in K \setminus \{0\}$ instead of a and assume $q_0 - q_0^{-1} \neq 0$, the K -algebra $H_{m,n}(a_0, q_0)$ can be defined. If $[i]_{q_0} \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m+n+r$, then $H_{m,n}^r(q_0)$ is semisimple. Here $[i]_{q_0}$ is defined by $q_0^{i-1} + q_0^{i-3} + \dots + q_0^{1-i}$. If $[i]_{q_0} \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \max(m, n)$ and $[a_0; j]_{q_0} \neq 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m+n$, then $H_{m,n}(a_0, q_0)$ is also semisimple. Here $[a_0; j]_{q_0}$ is defined by $(a_0^{-1}q_0^j - a_0q_0^{-j})/(q_0 - q_0^{-1})$.

We introduce the k -contract sets $(\underline{m}, \underline{n})$, which is defined by

$$(\underline{m}, \underline{n}) = \{(m_1, n_1), \dots, (m_k, n_k)\}.$$

Here $\underline{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$ and $\underline{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$ are ordered subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ respectively. We further assume m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k are in increasing order (i.e. $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k$).

There are two standard ways in indexing $(\underline{m}, \underline{n})$. One is to index them by the two line array L , which is $2 \times k$ matrix whose first row is assigned by \underline{m} and the second row is by \underline{n} . The other is to index them by the triple (A, B, σ) with $A \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $B \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ($|A| = |B| = k$) and $\sigma \in S_k$, where S_k is the group of permutations of k letters $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. We label the elements of A and B with a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k and b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k respectively in increasing order. The correspondence $(m_i, n_i) \leftrightarrow (a_i, b_{\sigma(i)})$ defines the bijection between L and (A, B, σ) .

Let $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $B = \{b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_k\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Define

$$T_A = (T_{a_1-1} T_{a_1-2} \dots T_1)(T_{a_2-1} T_{a_2-2} \dots T_2) \dots (T_{a_k-1} T_{a_k-2} \dots T_k)$$

and

$$\overline{T}_B^* = (T_{b_1-1}^{*-1} T_{b_1-2}^{*-1} \dots T_1^{*-1})(T_{b_2-1}^{*-1} T_{b_2-2}^{*-1} \dots T_2^{*-1}) \dots (T_{b_k-1}^{*-1} T_{b_k-2}^{*-1} \dots T_k^{*-1}).$$

We understand $T_{a_l-1} T_{a_l-2} \dots T_l = 1$ if $l = a_l$. Note that if there exists an l such that $a_l = l$ and $a_{l+1} > l+1$, then $a_1 = 1, a_2 = 2, \dots, a_l = l$. In this case we have

$$T_A = (T_{a_{l+1}-1} T_{a_{l+1}-2} \dots T_{l+1})(T_{a_{l+2}-1} T_{a_{l+2}-2} \dots T_{l+2}) \dots (T_{a_k-1} T_{a_k-2} \dots T_k).$$

Similarly we define

$$T_A^{\text{op}} = (T_k T_{k+1} \dots T_{a_k-1})(T_{k-1} T_k \dots T_{a_{k-1}-1}) \dots (T_1 T_2 \dots T_{a_1-1}),$$

and

$$\overline{T_B^{*op}} = (T_k^{*-1} T_{k+1}^{*-1} \cdots T_{b_k-1}^{*-1})(T_{k-1}^{*-1} T_k^{*-1} \cdots T_{b_{k-1}-1}^{*-1}) \cdots (T_1^{*-1} T_2^{*-1} \cdots T_{b_1-1}^{*-1}).$$

The following lemma follows from the relation (B1), (B2), (IH) and (B1*), (B2*), (IH*) in Definition 2.1.

LEMMA 2.5. *Let A be as above. Take $T_i \in H_m(q) \subset H_{m,n}(a, q)$. Let $A_{i,i+1} = (A \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{i+1\}$ (if $i \in A$) and let $A_{i+1,i} = (A \setminus \{i+1\}) \cup \{i\}$ (if $i+1 \in A$). Then we have the following formulas.*

- (1) *If $i = a_l \in A$ and $i+1 = a_{l+1} \in A$ for some $l \leq k-1$, then $T_i T_A = T_A T_l$.*
- (2) *If $i \in A$ and $i+1 \notin A$, then $T_i T_A = T_{A_{i,i+1}}$.*
- (3) *If $i \notin A$ and $i+1 \in A$, then $T_i T_A = (q - q^{-1})T_A + T_{A_{i+1,i}}$.*
- (4) *If $i \notin A$ and $i+1 \notin A$, then there exists an $l > k$ such that $T_i T_A = T_A T_l$.*

PROOF. (1) This follows from the following calculation.

$$\begin{aligned} & T_i(T_{a_l-1} T_{a_l-2} T_{a_l-3} \cdots T_{l+1} T_l)(T_{a_{l+1}-1} T_{a_{l+1}-2} T_{a_{l+1}-3} \cdots T_{l+1}) \\ &= (T_i)(T_{i-1} T_{i-2} T_{i-3} \cdots T_{l+1} T_l)\{(T_i)(T_{i-1} T_{i-2} T_{i-3} \cdots T_{l+1})\} \\ &= (T_i T_{i-1} T_i)(T_{i-2} T_{i-3} \cdots T_{l+1} T_l)(T_{i-1} T_{i-2} T_{i-3} \cdots T_{l+1}) \\ &= (T_{i-1})\{(T_i T_{i-1})(T_{i-2} T_{i-3} \cdots T_{l+1} T_l)\}\{(T_{i-1})(T_{i-2} T_{i-3} \cdots T_{l+1})\} \\ &= \vdots \\ &= (T_{i-1} T_{i-2})\{(T_i T_{i-1} T_{i-2})(T_{i-3} \cdots T_{l+1} T_l)\}\{(T_{i-2})(T_{i-3} \cdots T_{l+1})\} \\ &= \vdots \\ &= (T_{i-1} T_{i-2} \cdots T_{l+1})\{(T_i T_{i-1} T_{i-2} T_{i-3} \cdots T_{l+1})(T_l)\}\{(T_{l+1})\} \\ &= (T_{i-1} T_{i-2} \cdots T_l)(T_i T_{i-1} T_{i-2} T_{i-3} \cdots T_{l+1} T_l) \\ &= (T_{a_l-1} T_{a_l-2} \cdots T_l)(T_{a_{l+1}-1} T_{a_{l+1}-2} \cdots T_{l+1}) T_l. \end{aligned}$$

(2) (3) These are obvious.

(4) Let p be an index such that $p \leq a_p \leq i-1 < i < i+2 \leq a_{p+1}$. Since $a_{p+1}-1 \geq i+1$ and $p+1 \leq i$, we have

$$T_i(T_{a_{p+1}-1} T_{a_{p+1}-2} \cdots T_{p+1}) = (T_{a_{p+1}-1} T_{a_{p+1}-2} \cdots T_{p+1}) T_{i+1}.$$

Hence we have $T_i T_A = T_A T_{k-p+i}$.

Similarly, we have the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let A , $A_{i,i+1}$ and $A_{i+1,i}$ be as in the previous lemma. Then we have the following formulas.*

- (1) *If $i = a_l \in A$ and $i + 1 = a_{l+1} \in A$, then $T_A^{\text{op}} T_i = T_l T_A^{\text{op}}$.*
- (2) *If $i \in A$ and $i + 1 \notin A$, then $T_A^{\text{op}} T_i = T_{A_{i,i+1}}^{\text{op}}$.*
- (3) *If $i \notin A$ and $i + 1 \in A$, then $T_A^{\text{op}} T_i = (q - q^{-1}) T_A^{\text{op}} + T_{A_{i+1,i}}^{\text{op}}$.*
- (4) *If $i \notin A$ and $i + 1 \notin A$, then there exists an $l > k$ such that $T_A^{\text{op}} T_i = T_l T_A^{\text{op}}$.*

LEMMA 2.7. *Let B be the one defined before Lemma 2.5. Take $T_i^* \in H_{m,n}(a, q)$. Let $B_{i,i+1} = (B \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{i + 1\}$ (if $i \in B$) and let $B_{i+1,i} = (B \setminus \{i + 1\}) \cup \{i\}$ (if $i + 1 \in B$). Then we have the following formulas.*

- (1) *If $i = b_l \in B$ and $i + 1 = b_{l+1} \in B$, then $T_i^* \overline{T_B^*} = \overline{T_B^*} T_l^*$.*
- (2) *If $i \in B$ and $i + 1 \notin B$, then $T_i^* \overline{T_B^*} = (q - q^{-1}) \overline{T_B^*} + \overline{T_{B_{i,i+1}}^*}$.*
- (3) *If $i \notin B$ and $i + 1 \in B$, then $T_i^* \overline{T_B^*} = \overline{T_{B_{i+1,i}}^*}$.*
- (4) *If $i \notin B$ and $i + 1 \notin B$, then there exists an $l > k$ such that $T_i^* \overline{T_B^*} = \overline{T_B^*} T_l^*$.*

LEMMA 2.8. *Let B , $B_{i,i+1}$ and $B_{i+1,i}$ be as in the previous lemma. Then we have the following formulas.*

- (1) *If $i = b_l \in B$ and $i + 1 = b_{l+1} \in B$, then $\overline{T_B^{\text{op}}} T_i^* = T_l^* \overline{T_B^{\text{op}}}$.*
- (2) *If $i \in B$ and $i + 1 \notin B$, then $\overline{T_B^{\text{op}}} T_i^* = (q - q^{-1}) \overline{T_B^{\text{op}}} + \overline{T_{B_{i,i+1}}^{\text{op}}}$.*
- (3) *If $i \notin B$ and $i + 1 \in B$, then $\overline{T_B^{\text{op}}} T_i^* = \overline{T_{B_{i+1,i}}^{\text{op}}}$.*
- (4) *If $i \notin B$ and $i + 1 \notin B$, then there exists an $l > k$ such that $\overline{T_B^{\text{op}}} T_i^* = T_l^* \overline{T_B^{\text{op}}}$.*

The i -trivial contraction E_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, k$) is defined by:

$$E_0 = 1,$$

$$E_1 = E,$$

$$E_i = E(T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{i-1})(T_1^{*-1} T_2^{*-1} \cdots T_{i-1}^{*-1}) E_{i-1} \quad (i = 2, 3, \dots, k).$$

These trivial contractions $\{E_i\}$ ($i = 2, 3, \dots, k$) are also defined by

$$E_i = E_{i-1}(T_{i-1} T_{i-2} \cdots T_1)(T_{i-1}^{*-1} T_{i-2}^{*-1} \cdots T_1^{*-1}) E.$$

It is proved by induction on i . Note that this element is of the form

$$E(T_1 T_1^{*-1}) E(T_2 T_1 T_2^{*-1} T_1^{*-1}) E \cdots E(T_{i-1} T_{i-2} \cdots T_1 T_{i-1}^{*-1} T_{i-2}^{*-1} \cdots T_1^{*-1}) E.$$

If we move T_2, T_2^{*-1} in the second parenthesis to the first, T_3, T_3^{*-1} in the third parenthesis to the first and iterate this procedure, we have that it coincides with $E(T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{i-1} T_1^{*-1} T_2^{*-1} \cdots T_{i-1}^{*-1}) E_{i-1}$ by the induction hypothesis.

As for the trivial k -contraction, the following lemma generalizes the relation $K4$ and $K4'$ in Definition 2.1.

LEMMA 2.9. *Let $\sigma \in S_k$. Then T_σ is defined as in Section 1. Similarly $T_{\sigma^{-1}}^* \in \text{alg}\{T_1^*, T_2^*, \dots, T_{k-1}^*\}$ is defined. For these T_σ and $T_{\sigma^{-1}}^*$, we have*

- (1) $T_\sigma E_k = T_{\sigma^{-1}}^* E_k$,
- (2) $E_k T_\sigma = E_k T_{\sigma^{-1}}^*$.

PROOF. (1) If $k = 1, 2$, it is easy to see. We assume $T_\sigma E_{k-1} = T_{\sigma^{-1}}^* E_{k-1}$ holds for any $\sigma \in S_{k-1}$. In particular we have $T_{i-1} E_{k-1} = T_{i-1}^* E_{k-1}$. Hence for any $i \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_i E_k &= T_i E(T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{k-1})(T_1^{*-1} T_2^{*-1} \cdots T_{k-1}^{*-1}) E_{k-1} \\
 &= E(T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{k-1}) T_{i-1} (T_1^{*-1} T_2^{*-1} \cdots T_{k-1}^{*-1}) E_{k-1} \\
 &= E(T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{k-1}) (T_1^{*-1} T_2^{*-1} \cdots T_{k-1}^{*-1}) T_{i-1} E_{k-1} \\
 &= E(T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{k-1}) (T_1^{*-1} T_2^{*-1} \cdots T_{k-1}^{*-1}) T_{i-1}^* E_{k-1} \\
 &= E(T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{k-1}) T_i^* (T_1^{*-1} T_2^{*-1} \cdots T_{k-1}^{*-1}) E_{k-1} \\
 &= T_i^* E(T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{k-1}) (T_1^{*-1} T_2^{*-1} \cdots T_{k-1}^{*-1}) E_{k-1} \\
 &= T_i^* E_k.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we write $\sigma = \sigma' s$ ($\ell(\sigma) > \ell(\sigma'), s \in S$), then

$$T_\sigma E_k = T_{\sigma'} T_s E_k = T_{\sigma'} T_s^* E_k = T_s^* T_{\sigma'} E_k = T_s^* T_{\sigma'^{-1}}^* E_k = T_{\sigma^{-1}}^* E_k.$$

Hence (1) holds by induction on $\ell(\sigma)$. Similarly, we can show that (2) holds.

Let $L = (A, B, \sigma)$ be a k -contract set and let E_k be the k -trivial contraction. A left k -contraction E_L is defined by:

$$E_L = T_A \overline{T_B^*} T_\sigma E_k.$$

As for T_σ , we review the *monomials in normal form* in Hecke algebra, $H_k(q)$. (See for example [4].) Consider the following sets of monomials.

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_1 &= \{1, T_1, T_2 T_1, \dots, T_{k-1} T_{k-2} \cdots T_1\}, \\
 U_2 &= \{1, T_2, T_3 T_2, \dots, T_{k-1} T_{k-2} \cdots T_2\}, \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\vdots
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
U_i &= \{1, T_i, T_{i+1}T_i, \dots, T_{k-1}T_{k-2} \cdots T_i\}, \\
&\vdots \\
U_{k-2} &= \{1, T_{k-2}, T_{k-1}T_{k-2}\}, \\
U_{k-1} &= \{1, T_{k-1}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We shall say that $V_1 V_2 \cdots V_{k-1}$ is a *monomial in normal form* in $H_k(q)$, if $V_i \in U_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$. We assume that T_σ is written in normal form. If $\sigma(1) = 1$ then $V_1 = 1$. On the other hand, if $\sigma(1) \neq 1$ then $V_1 \neq 1$. Similarly, we shall say that $V_1^* V_2^* \cdots V_{k-1}^*$ is a monomial in normal form in $H_k^*(q) = \text{alg}\{1, T_1^*, T_2^*, \dots, T_{k-1}^*\}$, if $V_i^* \in U_i^*$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, where U_i^* is the one defined by taking $\{T_i^*\}$ for $\{T_i\}$ in the definition of U_i . We also assume that T_σ^* is written in normal form.

Then we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.10. *Let $L = (A, B, \sigma)$ be a k -contract set and let E_L be a left k -contraction defined by L . For a finite set $X = \{x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_k\}$ of positive integers, let $R_l(X)$ denote the set $\{1, x_1 + 1, x_2 + 1, \dots, x_{l-1} + 1, x_{l+1}, x_{l+2}, \dots, x_k\}$ and let $R_l^*(X)$ denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, l, x_{l+1}, x_{l+2}, \dots, x_k\}$. Then we have the following formulas.*

(1) *If $1 \in A$ and $1 \in B$ and $\sigma(1) = 1$ then*

$$EE_L = -\frac{a - a^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} E_L.$$

(2) *If $1 \in A$, $1 \in B$ and $\sigma(1) \neq 1$, then for the l such that $\sigma(l) = 1$.*

$$EE_L = \begin{cases} a^{-1} T_{a_l-1} T_{a_l-2} \cdots T_2 E_{(R_l(A), B, (l, l-1, \dots, 1)\sigma)} & \text{if } a_l > 2, \\ a^{-1} E_{(R_l(A), B, (l, l-1, \dots, 1)\sigma)} & \text{if } a_l = 2. \end{cases}$$

(3) *If $1 \notin A$ and $1 \in B$, then for the l such that $\sigma(l) = 1$, we have*

$$EE_L = \begin{cases} a^{-1} T_{a_l-1} T_{a_l-2} \cdots T_2 E_{(R_l(A), B, (l, l-1, \dots, 1)\sigma)} & \text{if } a_l > 2, \\ a^{-1} E_{(R_l(A), B, (l, l-1, \dots, 1)\sigma)} & \text{if } a_l = 2. \end{cases}$$

(4) *If $1 \in A$ and $1 \notin B$, then putting $\sigma(1) = l$, we have*

$$EE_L = \begin{cases} a(T_{b_l-1}^{*-1} T_{b_l-2}^{*-1} \cdots T_2^{*-1})(T_{b_2-1}^{*-1} T_{b_2-2}^{*-1} \cdots T_3^{*-1}) \\ \quad \cdots (T_{b_l-1}^{*-1} T_{b_l-2}^{*-1} \cdots T_{l+1}^{*-1}) E_{(A, R_l^*(B), \sigma(1, 2, \dots, l))} & \text{if } b_l > 2, \\ a E_{(A, R_l^*(B), \sigma(1, 2, \dots, l))} & \text{if } b_l = 2. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. (1) This is obvious.

(2) Since T_A does not involve T_1 and \overline{T}_B^* does not involve T_1^{*-1} either, they commute with E . In addition, we note that $T_\sigma = (T_{l-1}T_{l-2} \cdots T_1)T_{(l,l-1,\dots,1)\sigma}$ for the l such that $\sigma(l) = 1$ and $T_{(l,l-1,\dots,1)\sigma}$ does not involve T_1 . So we have

$$\begin{aligned} EE_L &= T_A \overline{T}_B^* E(T_{l-1}T_{l-2} \cdots T_1)T_{(l,l-1,\dots,1)\sigma} E T_1 T_1^{*-1} E \cdots \\ &= T_A \overline{T}_B^* (T_{l-1}T_{l-2} \cdots T_2) E T_1 E T_{(l,l-1,\dots,1)\sigma} T_1 T_1^{*-1} E \cdots \\ &= a^{-1} T_A \overline{T}_B^* (T_{l-1}T_{l-2} \cdots T_2) T_{(l,l-1,\dots,1)\sigma} E T_1 T_1^{*-1} E \cdots \\ &= a^{-1} T_A (T_{l-1}T_{l-2} \cdots T_2) \overline{T}_B^* T_{(l,l-1,\dots,1)\sigma} E_k. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} &T_A(T_{l-1}T_{l-2} \cdots T_2) \\ &= \{(T_{a_p-1} \cdots T_p) \cdots (T_{a_l-1} \cdots T_l) \\ &\quad \cdot (T_{a_{l+1}-1} \cdots T_{l+1}) \cdots (T_{a_k-1} \cdots T_k)\} (T_{l-1}T_{l-2} \cdots T_2) \quad (a_p > p > 1) \\ &= (T_{a_p-1} \cdots T_p) \cdots (T_{a_l-1} \cdots T_l) (T_{l-1}T_{l-2} \cdots T_2) \\ &\quad \cdot (T_{a_{l+1}-1} \cdots T_{l+1}) \cdots (T_{a_k-1} \cdots T_k). \end{aligned}$$

Since for $a_l - 1 > j \geq 2$

$$T_j(T_{a_l-1} \cdots T_l T_{l-1} T_{l-2} \cdots T_2) = (T_{a_l-1} \cdots T_l T_{l-1} T_{l-2} \cdots T_2) T_{j+1},$$

we obtain

$$T_A(T_{l-1}T_{l-2} \cdots T_2) = (T_{a_l-1}T_{a_l-2} \cdots T_2) T_{R_l(A)}.$$

Hence we obtain the formula.

(3) In this case T_A involves T_1 . So we have

$$\begin{aligned} EE_L &= \overline{T}_B^* E(T_{a_1-1} \cdots T_2)(T_1) \cdots (T_{a_k-1} \cdots T_{k+1})(T_k)(T_{l-1}T_{l-2} \cdots T_1)T_{(l,l-1,\dots,1)\sigma} E_k \\ &= \overline{T}_B^* (T_{a_1-1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{a_k-1} \cdots T_{k+1}) E(T_1 \cdots T_k)(T_{l-1}T_{l-2} \cdots T_1)T_{(l,l-1,\dots,1)\sigma} E_k \\ &= \overline{T}_B^* (T_{a_1-1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{a_k-1} \cdots T_{k+1}) E(T_l T_{l-1} \cdots T_2)(T_1 \cdots T_k)T_{(l,l-1,\dots,1)\sigma} E_k \\ &= a^{-1} \overline{T}_B^* (T_{a_1-1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{a_k-1} \cdots T_{k+1})(T_l T_{l-1} \cdots T_2)(T_2 \cdots T_k)T_{(l,l-1,\dots,1)\sigma} E_k. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (T_{a_1-1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{a_k-1} \cdots T_{k+1})(T_l T_{l-1} \cdots T_2)(T_2 \cdots T_k) \\
&= (T_{a_1-1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{a_{l-1}-1} \cdots T_l)(T_{a_l-1} \cdots T_{l+1})(T_l T_{l-1} \cdots T_2) \\
&\quad \cdot (T_{a_{l+1}-1} \cdots T_{l+2}) \cdots (T_{a_k-1} \cdots T_{k+1})(T_2 \cdots T_k) \\
&= (T_{a_l-1} \cdots T_{l+1} T_l T_{l-1} \cdots T_2)(T_{a_1} \cdots T_3) \cdots (T_{a_{l-1}} \cdots T_{l+1}) \\
&\quad \cdot (T_{a_{l+1}-1} \cdots T_{l+2}) \cdots (T_{a_k-1} \cdots T_{k+1})(T_2 \cdots T_k) \\
&= (T_{a_l-1} \cdots T_{l+1} T_l T_{l-1} \cdots T_2)(T_{a_1} \cdots T_3 T_2) \cdots (T_{a_{l-1}} \cdots T_{l+1} T_l) \\
&\quad \cdot (T_{a_{l+1}-1} \cdots T_{l+2} T_{l+1}) \cdots (T_{a_k-1} \cdots T_{k+1} T_k) \\
&= (T_{a_l-1} \cdots T_{l+1} T_l T_{l-1} \cdots T_2) T_{R_l(A)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the formula.

(4) We note that $T_{\sigma^{-1}}^* = (T_{l-1}^* T_{l-2}^* \cdots T_1^*) T_{(l, l-1, \dots, 1)\sigma^{-1}}^*$ and $T_{(l, l-1, \dots, 1)\sigma^{-1}}^*$ does not involve T_1^* . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
ET_A \overline{T_B^*} T_{\sigma} E_k &= T_A E \overline{T_B^*} T_{\sigma^{-1}}^* E_k \\
&= T_A E \overline{T_B^*} (T_{l-1}^* T_{l-2}^* \cdots T_1^*) T_{(l, l-1, \dots, 1)\sigma^{-1}}^* ET_l T_1^{*-1} E \cdots \\
&= T_A E \overline{T_B^*} (T_{l-1}^* T_{l-2}^* \cdots T_1^*) ET_{(l, l-1, \dots, 1)\sigma^{-1}}^* T_l T_1^{*-1} E \cdots.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \overline{T_B^*} (T_{l-1}^* T_{l-2}^* \cdots T_1^*) E \\
&= E(T_{b_1-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_2^{*-1})(T_1^{*-1})(T_{b_2-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_3^{*-1})(T_2^{*-1}) \cdots (T_{b_l-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_{l+1}^{*-1})(T_l^{*-1}) \\
&\quad \cdot (T_{b_{l+1}-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_{l+1}^{*-1}) \cdots (T_{b_k-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_k^{*-1})(T_{l-1}^* T_{l-2}^* \cdots T_1^*) E \\
&= E(T_{b_1-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_2^{*-1})(T_{b_2-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_3^{*-1}) \cdots (T_{b_l-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_{l+1}^{*-1}) \\
&\quad \cdot (T_1^{*-1} T_2^{*-1} \cdots T_l^{*-1})(T_{l-1}^* T_{l-2}^* \cdots T_1^*)(T_{b_{l+1}-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_{l+1}^{*-1}) \cdots (T_{b_k-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_k^{*-1}) E \\
&= E(T_{b_1-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_2^{*-1})(T_{b_2-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_3^{*-1}) \cdots (T_{b_l-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_{l+1}^{*-1}) \\
&\quad \cdot (T_l^* T_{l-1}^* \cdots T_2^*)(T_1^{*-1} T_2^{*-1} \cdots T_l^{*-1})(T_{b_{l+1}-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_{l+1}^{*-1}) \cdots (T_{b_k-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_k^{*-1}) E \\
&= a(T_{b_1-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_2^{*-1})(T_{b_2-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_3^{*-1}) \cdots (T_{b_l-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_{l+1}^{*-1}) \\
&\quad \cdot (T_l^* T_{l-1}^* \cdots T_2^*)(T_2^{*-1} \cdots T_l^{*-1})(T_{b_{l+1}-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_{l+1}^{*-1}) \cdots (T_{b_k-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_k^{*-1}) E
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= a(T_{b_1-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_2^{*-1})(T_{b_2-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_3^{*-1}) \cdots (T_{b_l-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_{l+1}^{*-1}) \\
&\quad \cdot (T_{b_{l+1}-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_{l+1}^{*-1}) \cdots (T_{b_k-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_k^{*-1})E \\
&= a(T_{b_1-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_2^{*-1})(T_{b_2-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_3^{*-1}) \cdots (T_{b_l-1}^{*-1} \cdots T_{l+1}^{*-1}) \overline{T_{R_l^*(B)}} E.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the formula.

REMARK 2.11. If $1 \notin A$ and $1 \notin B$, then T_A involves T_1 and $\overline{T_B^*}$ involves T_1^{*-1} . This case will be treated in Lemma 3.1.

REMARK 2.12. Let $L' = (A', B', \sigma')$ be a k -contract set and let E_k be the k -trivial contraction. If we define the *right k -contraction* by

$$E_{L'}^{\text{op}} = E_k T_{\sigma'} T_A^{\text{op}} \overline{T_B^{*\text{op}}}$$

and write $T_{\sigma'}$ in suitable form, then we have the similar formulas for $E_{L'}^{\text{op}}$ corresponding to Lemma 2.10.

3. Irreducible representations of $H_{m,n}(a, q)$

As we mentioned before, the main purpose of this paper is to construct irreducible representations of $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ so that they keep the integrality. To make use of the results in Section 1, we take the field of rational functions $\mathcal{Q}(a, q)$ (resp. $\mathcal{Q}(q)$) for the underlying field of $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ (resp. $H_{m,n}^r(q)$). First we define two sided ideals \mathcal{H}_k of $H_{m,n}(a, q)$. Then we define irreducible representations of $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ by taking quotients of \mathcal{H}_k . If we define $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ by replacing one of the parameter a with q^{-r} in the definition of $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ and define the corresponding quotients, we obtain the desired irreducible representations of $H_{m,n}^r(q)$. These representations are also irreducible in case $r \geq m + n$. Similar arguments are also valid for the \mathcal{Q} -algebra $H_{m,n}(a_0, q_0)$ and $H_{m,n}^r(q_0)$, if $q_0, a_0 \in \mathcal{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy the conditions in Remark 2.4.

In the following, we identify $\text{alg}\{T_{m-1}, T_{m-2}, \dots, T_{k+1}\}$ with the Hecke algebra $H_{m-k}(q)$. The isomorphism is given by $T_i \mapsto T_{m-i}$. It follows from Section 1 that we can define the basis $\{C_x\}_{x \in W_1}$ of $\text{alg}\{T_{m-1}, T_{m-2}, \dots, T_{k+1}\}$, where $W_1 = S_{m-k}$. Similarly, we identify $\text{alg}\{T_{n-1}^*, T_{n-2}^*, \dots, T_{k+1}^*\}$ with the Hecke algebra $H_{n-k}(q)$ by $T_i \mapsto T_{n-i}^*$. The basis is given by $\{C_w^*\}_{w \in W_2}$, where $W_2 = S_{n-k}$. (We added asterisks to indicate that they are in $\text{alg}\{T_{n-1}^*, T_{n-2}^*, \dots, T_k^*\}$.)

Let $W_1 = S_{m-k}$, $W_2 = S_{n-k}$ be symmetric groups and let $L = (A, B, \sigma)$ and $L' = (A', B', \sigma')$ be a pair of k -contract sets. ($k \leq \min(m, n)$.) Let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k$ be the

vector space over $\mathcal{Q}(a, q)$ spanned by

$$C_k = \{C_{(L, L', x, y)} = T_A \overline{T_B^*} T_\sigma E_k T_{\sigma'^{-1}} C_x C_y \overline{T_{B'}^{*\text{op}}} T_{A'}^{\text{op}} \\ |x \in W_1, \quad y \in W_2, \quad L, L' : k\text{-contract set}\}.$$

We note that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k$ is also spanned by

$$B_k = \{T_{(L, L', x, y)} = T_A \overline{T_B^*} T_\sigma E_k T_{\sigma'^{-1}} T_x T_y \overline{T_{B'}^{*\text{op}}} T_{A'}^{\text{op}} \\ |x \in W_1, \quad y \in W_2, \quad L, L' : k\text{-contract set}\}.$$

Let R be the ring of polynomials $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}, a, a^{-1}, (a - a^{-1})/(q - q^{-1})]$ over the rational integers \mathbb{Z} . We denote $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{R,k}$ to be the R span of the elements of B_k . Take a k -contract set $L_0 = (A_0, B_0, \sigma_0)$. If we fix the index L' to be L_0 in the above definitions, then we have the subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{g,k}(L_0)$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k$ spanned by

$$C_{g,k}(L_0) = \{C_{(L, L_0, x, y)} = T_A \overline{T_B^*} T_\sigma E_k T_{\sigma_0^{-1}} C_x C_y \overline{T_{B_0}^{*\text{op}}} T_{A_0}^{\text{op}} \\ |x \in W_1, \quad y \in W_2, \quad L : k\text{-contract set}\},$$

which is also spanned by

$$B_{g,k}(L_0) = \{T_{(L, L_0, x, y)} = T_A \overline{T_B^*} T_\sigma E_k T_{\sigma_0^{-1}} T_x T_y \overline{T_{B_0}^{*\text{op}}} T_{A_0}^{\text{op}} \\ |x \in W_1, \quad w \in W_2, \quad L : k\text{-contract set}\}.$$

Note that T_x and T_y^* both commute with T_σ, E_k and $T_{\sigma'}$ in the definition of B_k . Hence, C_x and C_y^* both commute with T_σ, E_k and $T_{\sigma'}$.

We denote $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{R,g,k}(L_0)$ to be the R span of the elements of $B_{y,k}(L_0)$ as before. By Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7, we find T_i and T_j^* act on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{g,k}(L_0)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{R,g,k}(L_0)$ from the left. The following lemma shows that we can construct left $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ -modules.

LEMMA 3.1. *Take $T_{(L, L_0, x, y)} \in B_{g,k}(L_0)$. Then $ET_{(L, L_0, x, y)}$ is in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{g,k}(L_0)$ or in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{k+1}$.*

PROOF. If A or B involves 1, then $ET_{(L, L_0, x, y)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{g,k}(L_0)$ by Lemma 2.10, Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7. In these cases, T_A does not involve T_1 or $\overline{T_B^*}$ does not involve T_1^{*-1} . We assume that $1 \notin A$ and $1 \notin B$. In this case T_A involves T_1

and \overline{T}_B^* involves T_1^{*-1} as we mentioned in Remark 2.11. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 ET_{(L,L_0,x,y)} &= ET_A \overline{T}_B^* T_\sigma E_k T_{\sigma_0^{-1}} T_x T_y^* \overline{T}_{B_0}^{*\text{op}} T_{A_0}^{\text{op}} \\
 &= T_{A^+} \overline{T}_{B^+}^* E(T_1 T_2 \cdots T_k) (T_1^{*-1} T_2^{*-1} \cdots T_k^{*-1}) T_\sigma E_k T_{\sigma_0^{-1}} T_x T_y^* \overline{T}_{B_0}^{*\text{op}} T_{A_0}^{\text{op}} \\
 &= T_{A^+} \overline{T}_{B^+}^* E(T_1 T_2 \cdots T_k) T_\sigma (T_1^{*-1} T_2^{*-1} \cdots T_k^{*-1}) E_k T_{\sigma_0^{-1}} T_x T_y^* \overline{T}_{B_0}^{*\text{op}} T_{A_0}^{\text{op}} \\
 &= T_{A^+} \overline{T}_{B^+}^* ET_{\sigma^+} (T_1 T_2 \cdots T_k) (T_1^{*-1} T_2^{*-1} \cdots T_k^{*-1}) E_k T_{\sigma_0^{-1}} T_x T_y^* \overline{T}_{B_0}^{*\text{op}} T_{A_0}^{\text{op}} \\
 &= T_{A^+} \overline{T}_{B^+}^* T_{\sigma^+} E(T_1 T_2 \cdots T_k) (T_1^{*-1} T_2^{*-1} \cdots T_k^{*-1}) E_k T_{\sigma_0^{-1}} T_x T_y^* \overline{T}_{B_0}^{*\text{op}} T_{A_0}^{\text{op}} \\
 &= T_{A^+} \overline{T}_{B^+}^* T_{\sigma^+} E_{k+1} (T_{\sigma_0^{-1}} T_x T_y^* \overline{T}_{B_0}^{*\text{op}} T_{A_0}^{\text{op}}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma^+ = (k+1, k, \dots, 1)\sigma(1, 2, \dots, k+1) \in S_{k+1}$, $A^+ = A \cup \{1\}$ and $B^+ = B \cup \{1\}$. Since the triple (A^+, B^+, σ^+) makes a $(k+1)$ -contract set, and by Lemma 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 2.8, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k$ coincides with the $(H_m(q) \otimes H_n(q), H_m(q) \otimes H_n(q))$ -bimodule generated by E_k , the last term is in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{k+1}$.

By Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7 and the previous lemma we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.2. For k ($0 \leq k \leq \min(m, n)$), let

$$\mathcal{H}_{g,k}(L_0) = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{g,k}(L_0) + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{k+1} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{k+2} + \cdots + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\min(m,n)}.$$

Then $\mathcal{H}_{g,k}(L_0)$ is a left ideal of $H_{m,n}(a, q)$.

If we fix a left k -contract set L to be L_0 instead of L' in the definition of B_k and C_k , then we have a subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{d,k}(L_0)$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k$. Hence, we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.3. For k ($0 \leq k \leq \min(m, n)$), let

$$\mathcal{H}_{d,k}(L_0) = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{d,k}(L_0) + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{k+1} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{k+2} + \cdots + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\min(m,n)}.$$

Then $\mathcal{H}_{d,k}$ is a right ideal of $H_{m,n}(a, q)$.

If we denote $H_{m,n}(R)$ to be the algebra over R defined by the generators and relations in Definition 2.1, then the R -linear combination $\mathcal{H}_{R,g,k}(L_0)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{R,d,k}(L_0)$) of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{R,g,k}(L_0)$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{R,d,k}(L_0)$), $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{R,k+1}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{R,k+2}$, \dots , $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{R,\min(m,n)}$ is a left (resp. right) ideal of $H_{m,n}(R)$.

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k = \sum_L \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{d,k}(L) = \sum_{L'} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{g,k}(L')$, we have further the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *For k ($0 \leq k \leq \min(m, n)$), let*

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{k+1} + \cdots + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\min(m, n)}.$$

Then \mathcal{H}_k is a two sided ideal of $H_{m, n}(a, q)$.

COROLLARY 3.5.

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = H_{m, n}(a, q).$$

PROOF. This follows from $1 \in \mathcal{H}_0$ and the previous proposition.

Similarly, if we define $\mathcal{H}_{R, k}$ to be the R -linear combination of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{R, k}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{R, k+1}, \dots, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{R, \min(m, n)}$, then we find $\mathcal{H}_{R, k}$ is a two sided ideal of $H_{m, n}(R)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{R, 0} = H_{m, n}(R)$.

We can see $\bigsqcup_{k=0}^{\min(m, n)} B_k$ forms a basis of $H_{m, n}(a, q)$ as follows. Let B_k be the one just defined. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\min(m, n)} |B_k| = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(m, n)} \binom{m}{k}^2 \binom{n}{k}^2 (k!)^2 (m-k)! (n-k)!,$$

which is equal to $(m+n)!$. (See Lemma 1.7 in [8].) Hence $\dim H_{m, n}(a, q) \leq (m+n)!$. On the other hand we already know that $\dim H_{m, n}(a, q) = (m+n)!$ (Theorem 2.3). Since the above corollary implies $\bigsqcup_{k=0}^{\min(m, n)} B_k$ generates $H_{m, n}(a, q)$ as vector space, we find $\bigsqcup_{k=0}^{\min(m, n)} B_k$ forms a basis of $H_{m, n}(a, q)$. Similarly we can see $\bigsqcup_{k=0}^{\min(m, n)} C_k$ forms a basis of $H_{m, n}(a, q)$.

Let $J_k = \mathcal{H}_k / \mathcal{H}_{k+1}$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \min(m, n) - 1$) be quotient modules and $J_{\min(m, n)} = \mathcal{H}_{\min(m, n)}$. Note that the modules $\mathcal{H}_{R, k} / \mathcal{H}_{R, k+1}$ are R -free and the same holds for all modules constructed below. This fact will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.9. Since we already know $H_{m, n}(a, q)$ is semisimple, the canonical projection $\mathcal{H}_k \mapsto J_k$ splits. Similarly, we define $J_{g, k}(L_0) = \mathcal{H}_{g, k}(L_0) / \mathcal{H}_{k+1}$ (resp. $J_{d, k}(L_0) = \mathcal{H}_{d, k}(L_0) / \mathcal{H}_{k+1}$) ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \min(m, n) - 1$) and $J_{g, \min(m, n)}(L_0) = \mathcal{H}_{g, \min(m, n)}(L_0)$ (resp. $J_{d, \min(m, n)}(L_0) = \mathcal{H}_{d, \min(m, n)}(L_0)$). Since it is easily checked that the left (resp. right) module structures of $J_{g, k}(L_0)$ (resp. $J_{d, k}(L_0)$) do not depend on the choice of L_0 , we write $J_{g, k} = J_{g, k}(L_0)$ (resp. $J_{d, k} = J_{d, k}(L_0)$).

Although the quotients $J_{g, k}$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \min(m, n)$) define the representations of $H_{m, n}(a, q)$, they are still very large modules. So we divide them into

smaller submodules or subquotients. Let $L = (A, B, \sigma)$ be a k -contract set and let

$$[L, x, y] = T_A \overline{T_B^*} T_\sigma E_k C_x C_y^* + \mathcal{H}_{k+1}$$

be a representative of $J_{g,k}$. We consider a subspace $J_{g,k}(I_1, I_2)$ of $J_{g,k}$ spanned by

$$\{[L, x, y] \in J_{g,k} \mid C_x \in I_1, C_y^* \in I_2\},$$

where I_1 and I_2 are left ideals of $H_{m-k}(q)$ and $H_{n-k}(q)$ respectively. By Lemma 2.5, Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.10, we find $J_{g,k}(I_1, I_2)$ is a left $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ -module.

Let \mathcal{J}_λ and \mathcal{J}_μ be ideals of $H_{m-k}(q)$ and $H_{n-k}(q)$ indexed by left cells $\lambda \in W_1$ and $\mu \in W_2$. Let \mathcal{J}'_λ and \mathcal{J}'_μ be the maximal ideals. (Recall the definitions in Section 1.) We shall say the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{J}_\lambda \supset \mathcal{J}'_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{J}_\mu \supset \mathcal{J}'_\mu$ be as above. Let*

$$J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu) = J_{g,k}(\mathcal{J}_\lambda, \mathcal{J}_\mu) / [J_{g,k}(\mathcal{J}_\lambda, \mathcal{J}'_\mu) + J_{g,k}(\mathcal{J}'_\lambda, \mathcal{J}_\mu)].$$

Then $J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)$ is an irreducible $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ -module.

Before proving the above theorem, we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.7. *If we take $0 \neq \bar{v} \in J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)$ then there exists a right k -contraction E_L^{op} such that $E_L^{\text{op}} \bar{v} \neq 0$.*

PROOF. There exists a $v \in J_{g,k}(\mathcal{J}_\lambda, \mathcal{J}_\mu)$ such that \bar{v} (natural surjection of v) $\in J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)$. Note that

$$v \in J_{g,k}(\mathcal{J}_\lambda, \mathcal{J}_\mu) \subset J_{g,k} \subset J_k = \mathcal{H}_k / \mathcal{H}_{k+1}$$

and hence $\mathcal{H}_{k+1} \bar{v} = 0$. If we have $E_L^{\text{op}} \bar{v} = 0$ for all right k -contractions, then $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k \bar{v} = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{H}_k \bar{v} = 0$. Since $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ is semisimple, \mathcal{H}_k and \mathcal{H}_{k+1} are direct sums of matrix algebras, and hence $\bar{v} \in \mathcal{H}_k / \mathcal{H}_{k+1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_k \bar{v} = 0$ imply $\bar{v} = 0$. (Note that there is the canonical projection in \mathcal{H}_k .) This contradicts $\bar{v} \neq 0$.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Suppose $0 \neq \bar{v} \in J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)$. We claim that $H_{m,n}(a, q) \bar{v} = J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)$. For a $v \in J_{g,k} \subset J_k$ such that $\bar{v} \in J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)$, we can write

$$v = \sum a_{L,x,y} [L, x, y],$$

where $a_{L,x,y} \in \mathcal{Q}(a, q)$. By the above lemma, there exists a right k -contraction $E_{L_1}^{\text{op}}$

so that $E_{L_1}^{\text{op}} \bar{v} \neq 0$. Then we can write

$$0 \neq E_{L_1}^{\text{op}} v = \sum \tilde{a}_{L,x,y} [L, x, y].$$

Recall that $E_{L_1}^{\text{op}} H_{m,n}(a, q)$ is contained in the span of $\{E_L^{\text{op}}\}$, and $\{T_A \bar{T}_B^* T_\sigma T_x T_y^* E_L^{\text{op}}\}$ is a basis of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k$. Hence $\tilde{a}_{L,x,y} = 0$, unless $E_L = E_k$. Since $\mathcal{J}_\lambda / \mathcal{J}'_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{J}_\mu / \mathcal{J}'_\mu$ are irreducible, we have that $H_{m,n}(a, q) \bar{v}$ contains the span of $\{E_k C_x C_y^*\}$. By multiplying $T_A \bar{T}_B^* T_\sigma$ for various $L = (A, B, \sigma)$, we find $H_{m,n}(a, q) \bar{v} = J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)$.

Next, we prove that $J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)$ and $J_{g,k}(\lambda', \mu')$ are non-isomorphic for the distinct pairs (λ, μ) and (λ', μ') . Let $\Lambda_{m,n}^k$ be a set of pairs of partitions defined by

$$\Lambda_{m,n}^k = \{(\lambda, \mu) | \lambda \in P(m-k), \mu \in P(n-k)\}.$$

THEOREM 3.8. *Suppose $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda_{m,n}^k$ and $(\lambda', \mu') \in \Lambda_{m,n}^{k'}$ are pairs of partitions for $k, k' \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \min(m, n)\}$. Then $J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu) \cong J_{g,k'}(\lambda', \mu')$ as $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ -modules if and only if $\lambda = \lambda', \mu = \mu'$ and $k = k'$.*

PROOF. Assume that $J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu) \cong J_{g,k'}(\lambda', \mu')$. Let $\phi: J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu) \mapsto J_{g,k'}(\lambda', \mu')$ be an $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ -module isomorphism. Suppose that $k' \neq k$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $k < k'$. Then by the definition of $J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)$, we have

$$0 = \phi(E_{L'}^{\text{op}} J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)) = E_{L'}^{\text{op}} \phi(J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)) = E_{L'}^{\text{op}} J_{g,k'}(\lambda', \mu'),$$

for any k' -contract set L' . By Lemma 3.7, however, there is a k' -contract set L_1 such that $E_{L_1}^{\text{op}} J_{g,k'}(\lambda', \mu') \neq 0$. This gives a contradiction.

Thus, we can reduce to the case where $k' = k \geq 1$. Let $p_\lambda, p_{\lambda'}$ (resp. $p_\mu^*, p_{\mu'}^*$) be the central idempotents in $H_{m-k}(q)$ (resp. $H_{n-k}(q)$) corresponding to the irreducible modules $\mathcal{J}_\lambda / \mathcal{J}'_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda'} / \mathcal{J}'_{\lambda'}$ (resp. $\mathcal{J}_\mu^* / \mathcal{J}_{\mu'}^*$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mu'}^* / \mathcal{J}_{\mu'}^*$). If $(\lambda, \mu) \neq (\lambda', \mu')$, then $p_{\lambda'} p_{\mu'}^* p_\lambda p_\mu^* = 0$. We regard these central idempotents as elements of $H_{m,n}(a, q)$. We note that these elements still commute with the trivial k -contraction E_k . Since we have proved that $E_L^{\text{op}} J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)$ is the span of $\{E_i C_x C_y^*\}$ in the proof of Theorem 3.6, we find

$$p_\lambda p_\mu^* E_L^{\text{op}} J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu) = E_L^{\text{op}} J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)$$

and

$$p_{\lambda'} p_{\mu'}^* E_L^{\text{op}} J_{g,k}(\lambda', \mu') = E_L^{\text{op}} J_{g,k}(\lambda', \mu').$$

Hence we have

$$p_{\lambda'} p_{\mu'}^* E_L^{\text{op}} J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu) = p_{\lambda'} p_{\mu'}^* p_\lambda p_\mu^* E_L^{\text{op}} J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \phi(p_{\lambda'} p_{\mu'}^* E_L^{\text{op}} J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)) \\
 &= p_{\lambda'} p_{\mu'}^* E_L^{\text{op}} \phi(J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)) \\
 &= p_{\lambda'} p_{\mu'}^* E_L^{\text{op}} J_{g,k}(\lambda', \mu') \\
 &= E_L^{\text{op}} J_{g,k}(\lambda', \mu').
 \end{aligned}$$

Again by Lemma 3.7 we have a contradiction. So we have $\lambda = \lambda'$ and $\mu = \mu'$.

Let f^λ and f^μ be the dimensions of the irreducible characters χ^λ and χ^μ of the symmetric group S_{m-k} and S_{n-k} respectively. Then the degree of the representation $J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)$ is

$$\binom{m}{k} \binom{n}{k} (k!) f^\lambda f^\mu.$$

From this, we obtain the following conclusion.

THEOREM 3.9. *The set $\{J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu) | (\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda_{m,n}^k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \min(m, n)\}$ is a complete set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of irreducible modules of $H_{m,n}(a, q)$. Moreover the generators of $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ in Definition 2.1 will be mapped to the matrices over $R = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}, a, a^{-1}, (a - a^{-1})/(q - q^{-1})]$ by these modules.*

PROOF. The first statement follows from the fact that

$$\sum_{\lambda, \mu} \sum_{k=0}^{\min(m,n)} \binom{m}{k}^2 \binom{n}{k}^2 (k!)^2 (f^\lambda)^2 (f^\mu)^2 = (m+n)! = \dim H_{m,n}(a, q).$$

See (5.4) in [1] for details. By the comments below Proposition 3.3, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{R,g,k}(L_0)$ is a left ideal of $H_{m,n}(R)$. If we define R -modules $J_{R,g,k}(\lambda, \mu)$ in the course of our construction of $J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)$, then they are $H_{m,n}(R)$ -modules. This proves the second statement.

We finally obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.10. *If we construct $J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)$ as $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ -modules replacing one of the indeterminate a with q^{-r} ($r \geq m+n$) in the course of the construction of $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ -modules $J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)$, then the set $\{J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)\}$ is a complete set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of irreducible modules of $H_{m,n}^r(q)$. Moreover the generators of $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ in Definition 2.1 will be mapped to the matrices over $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ by these modules.*

PROOF. First we note that even if we replace a with q^{-r} in Lemma 2.5–2.10, those identities are still valid for the $\mathcal{Q}(q)$ -algebra $H_{m,n}^r(q)$. Similarly, Proposition 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 hold for $H_{m,n}^r(q)$. Since we assume $r \geq m+n$, $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ is semisimple and its dimension is $(m+n)!$. So we can construct $\{J_{g,k}(\lambda, \mu)\}$ as $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ -modules. Lemma 3.7 also holds for $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ since $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ is semisimple. Accordingly, even if we replace $H_{m,n}(a, q)$ with $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ in Theorem 3.6, 3.8 and 3.9, those theorems are still valid for $\mathcal{Q}(q)$ -algebra $H_{m,n}^r(q)$ and the proof completes.

REMARK 3.11. As we mentioned in Remark 2.4, we can define the algebras $H_{m,n}^r(q_0)$ and $H_{m,n}(a_0, q_0)$ over \mathcal{Q} , taking special values $q_0, a_0 \in \mathcal{Q} \setminus \{0\}$. For these \mathcal{Q} -algebras, we can also construct $H_{m,n}^r(q_0)$ -modules and $H_{m,n}(a_0, q_0)$ -modules in the same way. In case $H_{m,n}^r(q_0)$ (resp. $H_{m,n}(a_0, q_0)$) is semisimple (see Remark 2.4), these modules are complete set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of irreducible modules of $H_{m,n}^r(q_0)$ (resp. $H_{m,n}(a_0, q_0)$). If the algebra is not semisimple, these modules are not necessarily irreducible nor mutually non-isomorphic.

References

- [1] G. Benkart, M. Chakrabarti, T. Halverson, R. Leduc, C. Lee and J. Stroome, Tensor product representations of general linear groups and their connections with Brauer algebras, *J. Algebra*. **166** (1994), 529–567.
- [2] D. Barbasch and D. Vogan, Primitive ideals and orbital integrals in complex classical groups, *Math. Ann.* **259** (1982), 153–199.
- [3] T. Halverson, Characters of the centralizer algebras of mixed tensor representations of $GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ and the quantum group $\mathcal{U}_q(gl(r, \mathbb{C}))$, preprint.
- [4] P. de la Harpe, M. Kervaire and C. Weber, On the Jones polynomial, *l'Enseignement math.* **32** (1986), 271–335.
- [5] J. E. Humphreys, *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [6] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras, *Invent. Math.* **53** (1979), 165–184.
- [7] D. E. Knuth, *The art of computer programming*, Addison-Wesley, 1973.
- [8] M. Kosuda and J. Murakami, Centralizer algebras of the mixed tensor representations of quantum group $U_q(gl(n, \mathbb{C}))$, *Osaka J. Math.* **30** (1993), 475–507.
- [9] J. Y. Shi, *The Kazhdan-Lusztig Cells in Certain Affine Weyl Groups*, *Lect. Notes in Math.* 1179, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

Department of Mathematical Sciences
College of Science
University of the Ryukyus
Nishihara, Okinawa, 903-0213
Japan