

A CHARACTERIZATION OF ALMOST-EINSTEIN REAL HYPERSURFACES OF QUATERNIONIC PROJECTIVE SPACE

By

Juan de Dios PÉREZ

Abstract. Almost-Einstein real hypersurfaces of quaternionic projective space, as defined in [3], can be characterized by a condition involving their curvature and Ricci tensors.

1. Introduction

Let M be a connected real hypersurface of a quaternionic projective space QP^m , $m \geq 3$, with metric g of constant quaternionic sectional curvature 4. Let ξ be the unit local normal vector field on M and $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ a local basis of the quaternionic structure of QP^m , [2]. Then $U_i = -J_i\xi$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are tangent to M . Let us denote by $D^\perp = \text{Span}\{U_1, U_2, U_3\}$ and by D its orthogonal complement in TM .

Let A be the Weingarten endomorphism of M and S its Ricci tensor. M is said to be almost-Einstein, [3], if

$$(1.1) \quad SX = aX + b \sum_{i=1}^3 g(AX, U_i)U_i$$

for any $X \in TM$, where a and b are constant. In [3] we studied such real hypersurfaces obtaining

THEOREM A. *Let M be an almost-Einstein real hypersurface of QP^m , $m \geq 2$. Then it is an open subset of one of the following:*

- i) a geodesic hypersphere.
- ii) a tube of radius r over QP^k , $0 < k < m - 1$, $0 < r < \pi/2$ and $\cot^2(r) = (4k + 2)/(4m - 4k - 2)$.
- iii) a tube of radius r over CP^m , $0 < r < \pi/4$ and $\cot^2(2r) = 1/(m - 1)$.

Among the real hypersurfaces appearing in Theorem A, only the geodesic hyperspheres of radius r , $0 < r < \pi/2$ and $\cot^2(r) = 1/(2m)$ are Einstein.

Recently, in [4] we studied real hypersurfaces of QP^m , $m \geq 2$, such that $\sigma(R(X, Y)SZ) = 0$, for any X, Y, Z tangent to M , where σ denotes the cyclic sum and R the curvature tensor of M . Concretely we obtained

THEOREM B. *A real hypersurface M of QP^m , $m \geq 2$, satisfies $\sigma(R(X, Y)SZ) = 0$, for any X, Y, Z tangent to M if and only if it is Einstein.*

In the present paper we propose to study a weaker condition than the one appearing in Theorem B. Concretely we shall consider real hypersurfaces M of QP^m , $m \geq 3$, satisfying

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma(R(X, Y)SZ) = 0 \quad \text{for any } X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{D}$$

It is easy to see, bearing in mind the first identity of Bianchi, that all almost-Einstein real hypersurfaces of QP^m satisfy (1.2). Our purpose is to obtain the converse. That is, we shall prove the following

THEOREM. *A real hypersurface M of QP^m , $m \geq 3$, satisfies (1.2) if and only if it is almost-Einstein.*

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a vector field tangent to M . We write $J_i X = \Phi_i X + f_i(X)\xi$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, where $\Phi_i X$ denotes the tangential component of $J_i X$ and $f_i(X) = g(X, U_i)$. From this, [3], we have

$$(2.1) \quad g(\Phi_i X, Y) + g(X, \Phi_i Y) = 0, \quad \Phi_i U_i = 0, \quad \Phi_j U_k = -\Phi_k U_j = U_i$$

for any X, Y tangent to M , $i = 1, 2, 3$, (j, k, t) being a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$. We also obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi_i \Phi_j X = -\Phi_j \Phi_i X = \Phi_k X$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$, where (i, j, k) is a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$.

From the expression of the curvature tensor of QP^m , [2], the equation of Gauss is given by

$$(2.3) \quad R(X, Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + \sum_{i=1}^3 \{g(\Phi_i Y, Z)\Phi_i X - g(\Phi_i X, Z)\Phi_i Y + 2g(X, \Phi_i Y)\Phi_i Z\} + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY$$

for any X, Y, Z tangent to M . This implies that the Ricci tensor of M has the following expression:

$$(2.4) \quad SX = (4m + 7)X - 3 \sum_{i=1}^3 f_i(X)U_i + HX$$

for any X tangent to M , where $H = (\text{trace } A)A - A^2$.

3. Proof of the Theorem

Along this paragraph M will denote a real hypersurface of QP^m , $m \geq 3$, satisfying (1.2).

From (2.4) and the first identity of Bianchi, (1.2) is equivalent to have $\sigma(R(X, Y)HZ) = 0$ for any $X, Y, Z \in D$.

Let $\{E_1, \dots, E_{4m-4}\}$ be a local orthonormal frame of D at any point of M . The following computations are made locally on a neighbourhood of any point of M .

If from (2.3) we develop $\sigma(R(X, Y)HZ) = 0$ and take $Z = E_j$, $Y = \Phi_1 E_j$, $j = 1, \dots, 4m - 4$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & - (g(E_j, HE_j) + g(\Phi_1 E_j, H\Phi_1 E_j))\Phi_1 X - (g(\Phi_3 E_j, HE_j) \\ & \quad + g(\Phi_2 E_j, H\Phi_1 E_j))\Phi_2 X + (g(\Phi_2 E_j, HE_j) - g(\Phi_3 E_j, H\Phi_1 E_j))\Phi_3 X \\ & \quad + 2\Phi_1 HX + (g(\Phi_1 X, HE_j) - g(HX, \Phi_1 E_j))E_j + (g(HX, E_j) \\ & \quad + g(\Phi_1 X, H\Phi_1 E_j))\Phi_1 E_j + (2g(HX, \Phi_3 E_j) + g(\Phi_2 X, H\Phi_1 E_j) \\ & \quad - g(\Phi_3 X, HE_j))\Phi_2 E_j + (g(\Phi_2 X, HE_j) + g(\Phi_3 X, H\Phi_1 E_j) \\ & \quad - 2g(HX, \Phi_2 E_j))\Phi_3 E_j - 2g(X, E_j)\Phi_1 HE_j \\ & \quad - 2g(X, \Phi_3 E_j)\Phi_2 HE_j + 2g(X, \Phi_2 E_j)\Phi_3 HE_j \\ & \quad + 2g(\Phi_1 X, E_j)\Phi_1 H\Phi_1 E_j + 2g(\Phi_2 X, E_j)\Phi_2 H\Phi_1 E_j \\ & \quad + 2g(\Phi_3 X, E_j)\Phi_3 H\Phi_1 E_j = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any $X \in D$.

Now we prepare the following Lemmas

LEMMA 1. $g(HX, \Phi_i X) = 0$ for any $X \in D$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

PROOF. We take the scalar product of (3.1) and X and take summation on j . Then we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad (8m - 16)g(\Phi_1HX, X) = 0$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$. As $m \geq 3$, (3.2) implies $g(HX, \Phi_1X) = 0$.

If we develop $\sigma(R(X, \Phi_iE_j)HE_j) = 0$, $i = 2, 3$, we also obtain $g(HX, \Phi_2X) = g(HX, \Phi_3X) = 0$, finishing the proof.

Let us denote by $Q(X) = \text{Span}\{X, \Phi_1X, \Phi_2X, \Phi_3X\}$ for any $X \in TM$.

LEMMA 2. $g(X, HZ) = 0$ for any unit $X, Z \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $Q(X) \perp Q(Z)$.

PROOF. Let us consider $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$. From Lemma 1 and polarization we have

$$(3.3) \quad g(H\Phi_iX, Y) = g(\Phi_iHX, Y) \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$. Taking in (3.3) $Y = \Phi_iZ$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad g(H\Phi_iX, \Phi_iZ) = g(HX, Z) \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

Take the scalar product of (3.1) and Z and then summation on j . We have

$$(3.5) \quad g(H\Phi_1X, Z) + (4m - 7)g(\Phi_1HX, Z) + g(\Phi_2X, H\Phi_3Z) - g(\Phi_3X, H\Phi_2Z) = 0$$

for any unit $X, Z \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $Q(X) \perp Q(Z)$. If in (3.5) we exchange Z by Φ_1Z and apply (3.4) we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad (4m - 4)g(HX, Z) = 0$$

Now as $m \geq 3$ the result follows.

LEMMA 3. $g(HX, X) = g(HY, Y)$ for any nonnull $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$.

PROOF. Let us take a unit $X \in \mathcal{D}$ and consider the scalar product of (3.1) and Φ_1X . After taking summation on j we have

$$(3.12) \quad (8m - 14)g(HX, X) + 2g(H\Phi_1X, \Phi_1X) + 2g(H\Phi_2X, \Phi_2X) \\ + 2g(H\Phi_3X, \Phi_3X) - \sum_j \{g(E_j, HE_j) + g(\Phi_1E_j, H\Phi_1E_j)\} = 0$$

If in (3.12) we change X by Φ_1X and subtract we have

$$(3.13) \quad (8m - 16)g(HX, X) = (8m - 16)g(H\Phi_1X, \Phi_1X)$$

As $m \geq 3$, we obtain $g(HX, X) = g(H\Phi_1 X, \Phi_1 X)$. Similarly we can obtain

$$(3.14) \quad g(HX, X) = g(H\Phi_i X, \Phi_i X) \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

Now from (3.12) and (3.14) we get

$$(3.15) \quad (4m - 4)g(HX, X) = \sum_j g(HE_j, E_j)$$

and this finishes the proof.

LEMMA 4. $g(HU_i, X) = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$.

PROOF. Let us take the scalar product of (3.1) and U_1 and sum on j . Thus we have

$$(3.16) \quad g(\Phi_2 X, HU_2) + g(\Phi_3 X, HU_3) = 0$$

Similarly we can obtain

$$(3.17) \quad g(\Phi_1 X, HU_1) + g(\Phi_3 X, HU_3) = 0$$

and

$$(3.18) \quad g(\Phi_1 X, HU_1) + g(\Phi_2 X, HU_2) = 0$$

From (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18) we get

$$(3.19) \quad g(\Phi_i X, HU_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

and changing X by $\Phi_i X$ we obtain the result.

Now we have that any $X \in \mathcal{D}$ is principal for H and has the same eigenvalue. Moreover $g(H\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\perp) = \{0\}$. But $HA = AH$. Thus we can find an orthonormal basis of $T_x M$, for any $x \in M$, such that it diagonalizes simultaneously both H and A . But from the above Lemmas we must have $g(A\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\perp) = \{0\}$. Thus M , [1], must be congruent to an open subset of either a geodesic hypersphere or a tube of radius r , $0 < r < \pi/2$, over QP^k , $k \in \{1, \dots, m-2\}$ or a tube of radius r , $0 < r < \pi/4$, over CP^m .

All geodesic hyperspheres only have a principal curvature on \mathcal{D} , [3]. Thus from the first identity of Bianchi they satisfy (1.2).

A tube of radius r , $0 < r < \pi/2$, over QP^k , $k \in \{1, \dots, m-2\}$, has two distinct principal curvatures on \mathcal{D} , $\cot(r)$ with multiplicity $4(m-k-1)$ and $-\tan(r)$ with multiplicity $4k$, and a unique principal curvature on \mathcal{D}^\perp , $2 \cot(2r)$, [3]. Let us suppose that it satisfies (1.2). Thus from Lemma 3 every vector

field of D must have the same eigenvalue for H . Take $X \in D$ such that $AX = \cot(r)X$ and $Z \in D$ such that $AZ = -\tan(r)Z$. Then $HX = ((4m - 4k - 2) \cot^2 r - (4k + 3))X$ and $HZ = ((4k + 2) \tan^2 r - (4m - 4k - 1))Z$. This implies that $\cot^2(r) = (4k + 2)/(4m - 4k - 2)$.

A similar argument applied to a tube of radius r , $0 < r < \pi/4$, over CP^m , whose principal curvatures are $\cot(r)$ and $-\tan(r)$ on D both with multiplicity $2(m - 1)$ and $2 \cot(2r)$ with multiplicity 1 and $-2 \tan(2r)$ with multiplicity 2 on D^\perp implies that (1.2) is satisfied only if $\cot^2(2r) = 1/(m - 1)$.

Thus we have proved that a real hypersurface of QP^m , $m \geq 3$, satisfies (1.2) if and only if it is one appearing in Theorem A. This finishes the proof.

References

- [1] J. Berndt, "Real hypersurfaces in quaternion space forms", *J. reine angew. Math.*, **419** (1991), 9–26.
- [2] S. Ishihara, "Quaternion Kählerian manifolds", *J. Diff. Geom.*, **9** (1974), 483–500.
- [3] A. Martínez and J. D. Pérez, "Real hypersurfaces in quaternionic projective space", *Ann. di Mat.*, **145** (1986), 355–384.
- [4] J. D. Pérez, "On certain real hypersurfaces of quaternionic projective space II", *Alg. Groups and Geom.*, **10** (1993), 13–24.

Departamento de Geometría y Topología
Facultad de Ciencias
Universidad de Granada
18071-Granada
SPAIN