

## ON COVERINGS OF MODULES

By

Mark L. TEPLY and Seog Hoon RIM

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  be a ring, and let  $\tau$  be a torsion theory for  $R\text{-mod}$ . We give a necessary condition for every  $R$ -module to have a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover; this necessary condition is close to the known sufficient condition. Then we present a method for computing  $\tau$ -torsionfree covers of modules that can be embedded in  $Q_\tau$ -modules, where  $Q_\tau$  is the quotient ring for  $\tau$ .

In this paper, we let  $R$  be a ring, and we let  $\tau$  be an hereditary torsion theory of left  $R$ -modules with torsion class  $\mathcal{T}$ , torsionfree class  $\mathcal{F}$ , filter of left ideals  $\mathcal{L}$ , and quotient ring  $Q_\tau$ . For a module  $M$ , we let  $\tau(M)$  denote the largest submodule of  $M$  that is in  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $Q_\tau(M)$  be the localization of  $M$ . For the basic definitions and results on torsion theories, the reader may consult [7].

After the characterization of projective covers by Bass [2], Enochs [4] found the existence of torsionfree covers of modules for the usual torsion theory over an integral domain. A concrete method for constructing these covers was obtained by Banaschewski [1]. The concept of a torsionfree cover was extended to modules over associative rings by Teply [13]: given an hereditary torsion theory  $\tau$  and a module  $M$ , an epimorphism  $\theta : F \rightarrow M$  is called a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover if

- (1)  $F$  is  $\tau$ -torsionfree,
- (2) for any homomorphism  $h : F' \rightarrow M$  with  $F'$   $\tau$ -torsionfree, there is a homomorphism  $g : F' \rightarrow F$  such that  $h = \theta g$ , and
- (3)  $\ker \theta$  contains no nonzero  $\tau$ -pure submodule of  $F$ .

General results about the existence and uniqueness of  $\tau$ -torsionfree covers was obtained in [13], [8] and [14];  $\tau$ -torsionfree covers exist when  $\tau$  has finite type (i.e., when the filter  $\mathcal{L}$  for  $\tau$  has a cofinal subset of finitely generated left ideals.) The extension of Banaschewski's construction only works when  $\tau$  is a perfect torsion theory. Since the existence proof calls for forming an infinite direct sum of  $\tau$ -

---

Received July 21, 1998

Revised December 10, 1999

injective modules and factoring out by a module obtained from Zorn's Lemma, no general method for realistic computation of  $\tau$ -torsionfree covers is known. Several researchers have studied this problem and found particular cases (mostly when  $R$  is commutative) in which constructions can be given for the  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover; for example, see [3], [10], [11], and [12]. Important problems in this area are

(1) to give a precise characterization of the torsion theories  $\tau$  for which every module has a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover, and

(2) to find a construction for the  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover when  $\tau$  is not perfect.

If every module has a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover, it is trivial to show that  $R$  must be  $\tau$ -torsionfree. But no other necessary conditions for every module to have a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover have been published. In this paper, we present a necessary condition for every module to have a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover; this necessary condition is close to the sufficient condition given in [14]. Then we present a method for computing the  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover of a module  $N$  that embeds in a  $Q_\tau$ -module  $M$ , where  $M$  has a  $Q_\tau$ -projective cover.

We need one definition before we present our necessary condition in Theorem 1.

A  $\tau$ -torsionfree module  $M$  is called  $\tau$ -exact if every  $\tau$ -torsionfree homomorphic image of  $M$  is  $\tau$ -injective.

**REMARKS.** (1) The localization functor  $Q_\tau(\_)$  for  $\tau$  is an exact functor if and only if every  $\tau$ -torsionfree  $\tau$ -injective module is  $\tau$ -exact. This observation is immediate from [7, Proposition 44.1, (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3)].

(2) Any  $\tau$ -injective  $\tau$ -cocrITICAL module is  $\tau$ -exact, as the only  $\tau$ -torsionfree homomorphic images of such a module  $M$  are 0 and  $M$ .

(3) If  $E$  is  $\tau$ -exact and  $E'$  is a  $\tau$ -pure submodule of  $E$ , then  $E'$  and  $E/E'$  are  $\tau$ -exact.

**PROOF.** It is clear from the definition that  $E/E'$  is  $\tau$ -exact; so we show that  $E'$  is  $\tau$ -exact. Let  $K$  be  $\tau$ -pure in  $E'$ ; we need to show that  $E'/K$  is  $\tau$ -injective. Since  $E'/K$  and  $E/E'$  are  $\tau$ -torsionfree, so is  $E/K$ ; the  $\tau$ -exactness of  $E$  implies that  $E/K$  is  $\tau$ -injective. Since  $E'/K$  is  $\tau$ -pure in  $E/K$ , then  $E'/K$  is  $\tau$ -injective by [7, Proposition 8.4].

(4) If  $0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence and if  $E'$  and  $E''$  are  $\tau$ -exact, then  $E$  is also  $\tau$ -exact.

**PROOF.** Since  $E'$  and  $E''$  are  $\tau$ -exact, it follows from [7, Prop. 8.2] that  $E$  is  $\tau$ -injective. We let  $N$  be a  $\tau$ -pure submodule of  $E$  and show that  $E/N$  is  $\tau$ -

injective. Since

$$E'/(E' \cap N) \cong (E' + N)/N \subseteq E/N \in \mathcal{F},$$

then  $(E' + N)/N$  is  $\tau$ -injective by the  $\tau$ -exactness of  $E'$ . Thus

$$(E/N)/((E' + N)/N) \cong E/(E' + N) \in \mathcal{F}.$$

From the  $\tau$ -exactness of  $E'' \cong E/E'$  and the induced epimorphism  $E/E' \rightarrow E/(E' + N)$ , it now follows that  $E/(E' + N)$  is  $\tau$ -injective. Now the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (E' + N)/N \rightarrow E/N \rightarrow E/(E' + N) \rightarrow 0$$

and [7, Prop. 8.2] imply that  $E/N$  is  $\tau$ -injective, as desired.

**THEOREM 1.** *If every  $R$ -module has a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover, then any directed union of  $\tau$ -exact submodules of a module is  $\tau$ -injective.*

**PROOF.** Let  $M$  be the directed union of  $\tau$ -exact submodules  $M_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in A$ ) of a given module. Let  $\theta : F \rightarrow E_\tau(M)/M$  be a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover. For each  $\alpha \in A$ , let  $\rho_\alpha : E_\tau(M)/M_\alpha \rightarrow E_\tau(M)/M$  be the natural epimorphism. By the directedness of the  $M_\alpha$ 's,  $M$  is  $\tau$ -torsionfree, and hence each  $E_\tau(M)/M_\alpha$  is  $\tau$ -torsionfree. Consequently, there exist homomorphisms  $g_\alpha : E_\tau(M)/M_\alpha \rightarrow F$  such that  $\theta g_\alpha = \rho_\alpha$ . If  $\ker g_\beta \neq M/M_\beta$  for some  $\beta \in A$ , choose an  $M_\gamma$  such that  $(M_\gamma + M_\beta)/M_\beta$  is not contained in  $\ker g_\beta$ . Then  $g_\beta((M_\gamma + M_\beta)/M_\beta)$  is a  $\tau$ -injective submodule of  $\ker \theta$ , which contradicts the definition of a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover. Therefore, we must have  $\ker g_\alpha = M/M_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ , and hence  $E_\tau(M)/M \cong \text{img}_\alpha$  is  $\tau$ -torsionfree, which forces  $M$  to be  $\tau$ -injective.

**REMARK.** The known sufficient condition for every  $R$ -module to have a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover is equivalent to the condition, the directed union of  $\tau$ -torsionfree  $\tau$ -injective submodules of a given module is  $\tau$ -injective. (See [14, Theorem] and [7, Proposition 42.9].) This latter condition is close to the necessary condition obtained in Theorem 1.

**COROLLARY 2.** (T. Cheatham, personal letter). *If every module has a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover, then any direct sum of  $\tau$ -cocritical  $\tau$ -injective modules is  $\tau$ -injective.*

Now we turn our attention toward computing  $\tau$ -torsionfree covers of

modules. We recall that the ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow Q_\tau$  is a flat epimorphism if  $Q_\tau \otimes_R Q_\tau \cong Q_\tau$  and  $Q_\tau$  is flat as a right  $R$ -module.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Let  $i : R \rightarrow Q_\tau$  be a flat epimorphism of rings. If  $\theta : F \rightarrow M$  is a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover of a  $Q_\tau$ -module  $M$ , then  $F$  is a  $Q_\tau$ -module.*

**PROOF.** Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{i \otimes 1} & Q_\tau \otimes_R F \\ \theta \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 \otimes \theta \\ M & \xleftarrow{\mu} & Q_\tau \otimes_R M \end{array}$$

where  $\mu$  is the multiplication map. Since  $R \rightarrow Q_\tau$  is a flat epimorphism,  $\mu$  is an isomorphism, and hence  $Q_\tau \otimes_R F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\theta : F \rightarrow M$  is a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover, there exists  $g : Q_\tau \otimes_R F \rightarrow F$  such that  $\theta_g = \mu(1 \otimes \theta)$ . Therefore,  $\theta g(i \otimes 1) = \theta$ . By the uniqueness of  $\tau$ -torsionfree covers,  $g(i \otimes 1)$  must be an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $F$ . Hence  $Q_\tau \otimes_R F = (i \otimes 1)F \oplus \ker \alpha^{-1}g$ . Since  $R \rightarrow Q_\tau$  is a flat epimorphism, the canonical map  $Q_\tau \otimes_R F \rightarrow Q_\tau(F)$  is a monomorphism, and hence  $F$  is essential in  $Q_\tau \otimes_R F$ . It follows  $\ker \alpha^{-1}g = 0$ . Therefore,  $i \otimes 1 : F \rightarrow Q_\tau \otimes_R F$  is an isomorphism, so that  $F$  is a  $Q_\tau$ -module via  $qf = q \otimes f$ .

Next we make an elementary observation that is useful in computing some  $\tau$ -torsionfree covers of  $Q_\tau$ -modules.

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Let  $M$  be a  $Q_\tau$ -module. If  $\Phi : P \rightarrow M$  is a  $Q_\tau$ -projective cover of  $M$  and if  $\theta : F \rightarrow M$  is a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover of  $M$ , then there is a  $R$ -homomorphism  $g : P \rightarrow F$  such that  $\theta g = \Phi$  and  $F = \text{img } g + \ker \theta$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $P$  is  $\tau$ -torsionfree, the definition of a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover gives the existence of  $g : P \rightarrow F$  with the desired properties.

**REMARKS.** (1) If  $g$  is an epimorphism, then  $F \cong P/\ker g$  and the homomorphism  $\bar{\Phi} : P/\ker g \rightarrow M$  induced by  $\Phi$  is a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover of  $M$ .

(2) If  $R \rightarrow Q_\tau$  is a flat epimorphism, then Propositions 3 and 4 show that  $F$  is a  $Q_\tau$ -module and the homomorphism  $g : P \rightarrow \text{img } g$  is a  $Q_\tau$ -projective cover.

We can now give our method for computing the  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover of a  $R$ -submodule  $N$  of a  $Q_\tau$ -module  $M$  such that  $M$  has a  $Q_\tau$ -projective cover. For example, we can apply our method when  $\tau$  has finite type (so that every  $R$ -module has a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover) and  $Q_\tau$  is a left perfect ring (so that every  $Q_\tau$ -

module has a projective cover). We also note that if  $\tau$  is not a perfect torsion theory, then there are nonzero  $\tau$ -torsion modules that are  $R$ -submodules of  $Q_\tau$ -modules.

The method for computing the  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover of a given  $R$ -module  $N$  consists of the following steps:

- (1) Embed  $N$  into a  $Q_\tau$ -module  $M$ .
- (2) Find the  $Q_\tau$ -projective cover  $\Phi : P \rightarrow M$  of  $M$ .
- (3) By Proposition 4, there is a homomorphism  $g : P \rightarrow F$  with  $\theta g = \Phi$ , where  $\theta : F \rightarrow M$  is the  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover. Using the properties of a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover, compute  $\ker g$ . Since  $\text{img} \cong P/\ker g$  and  $F = \text{img} + \ker \theta$ , then  $F$  must be very close to  $P/\ker g$ .
- (4) Using the structure of  $P$ ,  $M$  and  $\text{img}$ , we determine  $F$ ; the map  $\bar{\Phi} : P/\ker g \rightarrow M$  induced by  $\Phi$  can be used to find the map  $\theta : F \rightarrow M$  for the  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover of  $M$ .
- (5) Then the  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover for  $N$  will be either the restriction of  $\theta$  to  $\theta^{-1}(N)$ ,

$$\theta : \theta^{-1}(N) \rightarrow N,$$

or else an induced map of some easily found factor of  $\theta^{-1}(N)$ ,

$$\bar{\theta} : \theta^{-1}(N)/K \rightarrow N.$$

### Acknowledgement

The author, Seog Hoon Rim, wishes to acknowledge the financial support of the Korean Research Foundation in 1997 for this project.

### References

- [1] Banaschewski, B., On Coverings of Modules, *Math. Nachr.* **31** (1966) 51–71.
- [2] Bass, H., Finitistic Dimension and a Homological Generalization of Semi-primary Rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **95** (1960) 466–488.
- [3] Cheatham, T., The Quotient Field as a Torsion-free Covering Module, *Israel J. Math.* **33** (1979) 172–176.
- [4] Enochs, E., Torsionfree Covering Modules, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **14** (1963) 884–889.
- [5] Enochs, E., Torsionfree Covering Modules. II, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **22** (1971) 37–52.
- [6] Enochs, E., Injective and Flat Covers, Envelopes, and Resolvents, *Israel J. Math.* **39** (1981) 189–209.
- [7] Golan, J. S., *Torsion Theories*, Pitman Monographs **29**, Longman Scientific and Technical/John Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [8] Golan, J. and Teply, M. L., Torsion-free Covers, *Israel J. Math.* **15** (1973) 237–256.
- [9] Garcia Rozas, J. R. and Torrecillas, B., Relative Injective Covers, *Comm. Alg.* **22** (1994) 2925–2940.

- [10] Hutchinson, J. and Teply, M. L., A Module as a Torsionfree Cover, Israel J. Math. **46** (1983) 305–312.
- [11] Matlis, E., The Ring as a Torsion-free Gover, Israel J. Math. **37** (1980) 211–230.
- [12] Matlis, E., Ideals of Injective Dimension One, Michigan Math. J. **29** (1982) 335–355.
- [13] Teply, M., Torsion-free Injective Modules, Pacific J. Math. **28** (1969) 441–453.
- [14] Teply, M. L., Torsion-free Covers II, Israel J. Math. **23** (1976) 132–136.
- [15] Xu, J., Flat Covers of Modules, Notes in Math. **1634** Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1996.

University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee  
Milwaukee, WI 53201-0413 USA  
and  
Kyungpook National University  
Taegu, 702-701, Korea