# ON REAL HYPERSURFACES OF TYPE A IN A COMPLEX SPACE FORM (I)

Ву

### Yong-Soo Pyo

#### § 1. Introduction.

A complex *n*-dimensional Kähler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c is called a *complex space form*, which is denoted by  $M_n(c)$ . A complete and simply connected complex space form consists of a complex projective space  $P_nC$ , a complex Euclidean space  $C^n$  or a complex hyperbolic space  $H_nC$ , according as c>0, c=0 or c<0.

Now, let M be a real hypersurface of an n-dimensional complex space form  $M_n(c)$ . Then M has an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  induced from the Kähler metric and the almost complex structure of  $M_n(c)$ . Okumura [7] and Montiel and Romero [6] proved the following

THEOREM A. Let M be a real hypersurface of  $P_nC$ ,  $n \ge 2$ . If it satisfies

$$(1.1) A\phi - \phi A = 0,$$

then M is locally a tube of radius r over one of the following Kähler submanifolds:

- $(A_1)$  a hyperplane  $P_{n-1}C$ , where  $0 < r < \pi/2$ ,
- $(A_2)$  a totally geodesic  $P_kC$   $(1 \le k \le n-2)$ , where  $0 < r < \pi/2$ , where A is the shape operator in the direction of the unit normal C on M.

THEOREM B. Let M be a real hypersurface of  $H_nC$ ,  $n \ge 2$ . If it satisfies (1.1), then M is locally one of the following hypersurfaces:

- $(A_0)$  a horosphere in  $H_n\mathbb{C}$ , i.e., a Montiel tube,
- $(A_1)$  a tube of a totally geodesic hyperplane  $H_{n-1}\mathbb{C}$ ,
- $(A_2)$  a tube of a totally geodesic  $H_kC$   $(1 \le k \le n-2)$ .

On the other hand, the following theorem is proved by Maeda and Udagawa [4] under that the structure vector  $\xi$  is principal and then recently by Kimura

This research was partially supported by KOSEF (1992-1993). Received February 1, 1993, revised March 15, 1993.

and Maeda [3] and Ki, Kim and Lee [1] without the above assumption.

THEOREM C. Let M be a real hypersurface of  $M_n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . If it satisfies

(1.2) 
$$\nabla_{\xi} A = 0, \quad g(A\xi, \xi) \neq 0,$$

then M is locally of type A, where  $\nabla$  is the Riemannian connection on M.

The purpose of this article is to prove the following generalized property of Theorem C.

THEOREM. Let M be a real hypersurface of  $M_n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . If it satisfies

(1.3) 
$$\nabla_{\xi} A = a(A\phi - \phi A), \qquad 2a \neq -g(A\xi, \xi)$$

for some non-zero constant a, then M is locally of type A.

The author would like to thank Professors U-H. Ki and H. Nakagawa for his valuble suggestions and encouragement during the preparation of this paper.

### § 2. Preliminaries.

First of all, we recall fundamental properties about real hypersurfaces of a complex space form. Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex n-dimensional complex space form  $M_n(c)$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c, and let C be a unit normal vector field on a neighborhood in M. We denote by J the almost complex structure of  $M_n(c)$ . For a local vector field X on the neighborhood in M, the images of X and C under the linear transformation J can be represented as

$$JX = \phi X + \eta(X)C$$
,  $JC = -\xi$ ,

where  $\phi$  defines a skew-symmetric transformation on the tangent bundle TM of M, while  $\eta$  and  $\xi$  denote a 1-form and a vector field on the neighborhood in M, respectively. Then it is seen that  $g(\xi,X)=\eta(X)$ , where g denotes the Riemannian metric tensor on M induced from the metric tensor on  $M_n(c)$ . The set of tensors  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is called an almost contact metric structure on M. They satisfy the following properties:

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \phi \xi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1,$$

where I denotes the identity transformation. Furthermore, the covariant derivatives of the structure tensors are given by

(2.1) 
$$\nabla_X \xi = \phi A X, \quad \nabla_X \phi(Y) = \eta(Y) A X - g(A X, Y) \xi$$

for any vector fields X and Y on M, where  $\nabla$  is the Riemannian connection on M and A denotes the shape operator of M in the direction of C.

Since the ambient space is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c, the equations of Gauss and Codazzi are respectively obtained:

(2.2) 
$$R(X, Y)Z = \frac{c}{4} \{ g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z \} + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY ,$$

$$(2.3) \hspace{1cm} \nabla_X A(Y) - \nabla_Y A(X) = \frac{c}{4} \left\{ \eta(X) \phi Y - \eta(Y) \phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y) \xi \right\},$$

where R denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of M and  $\nabla_X A$  denotes the covariant derivative of the shape operator A with respect to X.

Next, we suppose that the structure vector field  $\xi$  is principal with corresponding principal curvature  $\alpha$ . Then it is seen in [2] and [5] that  $\alpha$  is constant on M and it satisfies

(2.4) 
$$A\phi A = \frac{c}{4}\phi + \frac{1}{2}\alpha(A\phi + \phi A).$$

#### § 3. Proof of Theorem.

Let M be a real hypersurface of  $M_n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . In this section, we shall give a sufficient condition for the structure vector field  $\xi$  to be principal. First, we assume that  $\xi$  is principal, i.e.,  $A\xi = \alpha \xi$ , where  $\alpha$  is constant. Then, by (2.1) and (2.4), we get

(3.1) 
$$\nabla_X A(\xi) = -\frac{c}{4} \phi X - \frac{1}{2} \alpha (A\phi - \phi A) X,$$

from which together with (2.3) it follows that

$$\nabla_{\xi} A = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha (A \phi - \phi A).$$

Taking account of this property and the assumption of Theorems A and B, we shall assert the following

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let M be a real hypersurface of  $M_n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . If it satisfies

$$\nabla_{\varepsilon} A = a(A\phi - \phi A)$$

for some non-zero constant a, then  $\xi$  is principal.

By the assumption (3.2) and (2.3), it turns out to be

$$\nabla_Y A(\xi) = a(A\phi - \phi A)Y - \frac{c}{4}\phi Y$$
.

Differentiating this equation with respect to X covariantly and taking account of (2.1), we get

$$\begin{split} & \nabla_{X}\nabla_{Y}A(\xi) \!=\! -\nabla_{Y}A(\phi AX) \\ & + a\left\{\nabla_{X}A(\phi Y) \!+\! g(Y,\,\xi)A^{2}X \!-\! g(AX,\,Y)A\xi \right. \\ & \left. - g(AY,\,\xi)AX \!+\! g(AX,\,AY)\xi \!-\! \phi\nabla_{X}A(Y)\right\} \\ & \left. - \frac{c}{4}\left\{g(Y,\,\xi)AX \!-\! g(AX,\,Y)\xi\right\} \end{split}$$

for any vector fields X and Y. Since the Ricci formula for the shape operator A is given by

$$\forall_X \forall_Y A(Z) - \forall_X \forall_Y A(Z) = R(X, Y)(AZ) - A(R(X, Y)Z),$$
 we obtain by (2.2), (2.3) and (3.3)

$$\begin{split} & \nabla_X A(\phi AY) - \nabla_Y A(\phi AX) + a \left\{ \nabla_X A(\phi Y) - \nabla_Y A(\phi X) \right\} \\ & = - \left\{ a g(Y, \, \xi) + g(AY, \, \xi) \right\} A^2 X + \left\{ a g(X, \, \xi) + g(AX, \, \xi) \right\} A^2 Y \\ & + \left\{ a g(AY, \, \xi) + g(A^2 Y, \, \xi) \right\} AX - \left\{ a g(AX, \, \xi) + g(A^2 X, \, \xi) \right\} AY \\ & + \frac{c}{4} \left[ \left\{ a g(Y, \, \xi) + g(AY, \, \xi) \right\} X - \left\{ a g(X, \, \xi) + g(AX, \, \xi) \right\} Y \right] \\ & + \frac{c}{4} \left\{ g(A\phi Y, \, \xi) \phi X - g(A\phi X, \, \xi) \phi Y \right\} - \frac{c}{2} \, g(\phi X, \, Y) \phi A\xi \end{split}$$

for any vector fields X and Y.

Now, in order to prove the proposition, we shall express (3.5) with the simpler form. The inner product of (3.5) and  $\xi$ , combining with (2.3) and (3.2), implies

$$\begin{array}{ll} (3.6) & ag((A\phi A\phi -\phi A\phi A)X,\,Y) \\ & +a^{2}\{g(X,\,\xi)g(AY,\,\xi) - g(Y,\,\xi)g(AX,\,\xi)\} \\ & +a\,\{g(X,\,\xi)g(A^{2}Y,\,\xi) - g(Y,\,\xi)g(A^{2}X,\,\xi)\} \\ & +2\,\{g(AX,\,\xi)g(A^{2}Y,\,\xi) - g(AY,\,\xi)g(A^{2}X,\,\xi)\} \\ = 0 & \end{array}$$

for any vector fields X and Y. Since Y is any vector fields, we get

(3.7) 
$$a(A\phi A\phi - \phi A\phi A)X + \{ag(X, \xi) + 2g(AX, \xi)\} A^{2}\xi$$
 
$$+ \{a^{2}g(X, \xi) - 2g(A^{2}X, \xi)\} A\xi$$
 
$$- a\{ag(AX, \xi) + g(A^{2}X, \xi)\}\xi$$
 
$$= 0$$

for any vector field X. On the other hand, taking account of (2.1) and the skew-symmetry of the transformation  $\phi$ , we have

$$g((A\phi A\phi - \phi A\phi A)X, \phi X) = g(X, \xi)g(A\phi AX, \xi).$$

Putting  $Y = \phi X$  in (3.6) and applying the above property, we get

(3.8) 
$$ag(X, \xi) \{ g(A\phi AX, \xi) + ag(A\phi X, \xi) + g(A^2\phi X, \xi) \}$$

$$+ 2 \{ g(AX, \xi)g(A^2\phi X, \xi) - g(A\phi X, \xi)g(A^2X, \xi) \}$$

$$= 0.$$

Let  $T_0$  be a distribution defined by the subspace  $T_0(x) = \{u \in T_x M : g(u, \xi(x)) = 0\}$  of the tangent space  $T_x M$  of M at any point x, which is called the *holomorphic distribution*. For any vector field X belonging to  $T_0$ , (3.8) is simplified as

$$g(AX, \xi)g(A^2\phi X, \xi) - g(A\phi X, \xi)g(A^2X, \xi) = 0$$
.

Furthermore, this equation holds for any vector field X. By polarization, we have

$$\begin{split} g(AX,\,\xi)g(A^{2}\phi Y,\,\xi) - g(A\phi X,\,\xi)g(A^{2}Y,\,\xi) \\ + g(AY,\,\xi)g(A^{2}\phi X,\,\xi) - g(A\phi Y,\,\xi)g(A^{2}X,\,\xi) \\ = 0 \end{split}$$

for any vector fields X and Y. Hence we have

(3.9) 
$$g(AX, \xi)\phi A^2\xi + g(A\phi X, \xi)A^2\xi$$
$$-g(A^2\phi X, \xi)A\xi - g(A^2X, \xi)\phi A\xi$$
$$=0.$$

Now, suppose that the structure vector field  $\xi$  is not principal. Then we can put  $A\xi = \alpha \xi + \beta U$ , where U is a unit vector field in the holomorphic distribution  $T_0$ , and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are smooth functions on M. So we may consider that the function  $\beta$  does not vanish identically on M. Let  $M_0$  be the non-empty open subset of M consisting of points x at which  $\beta(x) \neq 0$ . And we put AU = 0

 $\beta \xi + \gamma U + \delta V$ , where U and V are orthonormal vector fields in the holomorphic distribution  $T_0$ , and  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are smooth functions on  $M_0$ .

First, we shall assert the following

LEMMA 3.2.

$$(3.10) AU = \beta \xi + \gamma U on M_0.$$

PROOF. By the forms  $A\xi=\alpha\xi+\beta U$  and  $AU=\beta\xi+\gamma U+\delta V$ , it turns out to be

$$A^2\xi = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\xi + \beta(\alpha + \gamma)U + \beta\delta V$$
.

Thus we can rewrite (3.9) as

$$\begin{aligned} (3.11) & & \{\alpha g(A^2\phi X,\,\xi) - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) g(A\phi X,\,\xi)\}\,\xi \\ & & + \beta\,\{g(A^2\phi X,\,\xi) - (\alpha - \gamma) g(A\phi X,\,\xi)\}\,U - \beta\delta g(A\phi X,\,\xi)V \\ & & + \beta\,\{g(A^2X,\,\xi) - (\alpha + \gamma) g(AX,\,\xi)\}\,\phi U - \beta\delta g(AX,\,\xi)\phi V \\ = & 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any vector field X. The inner product of (3.11) and  $\phi U$  implies

$$g(A^2X, \xi) - (\alpha + \gamma)g(AX, \xi) - \delta g(A\phi X, \xi)g(V, \phi U) = 0$$
.

Putting X=V in this equation and calculating directly, we have

$$\delta \{1+g(V, \phi U)^2\} = 0$$
.

Accordingly it turns out to be  $\delta=0$ . This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Furthermore, by the above proof, we also get

(3.12) 
$$A^{2}\xi = (\alpha + \gamma)A\xi, \quad \beta^{2} = \alpha\gamma.$$

By polarization in (3.8), we have

$$\begin{split} ag(X,\,\xi)\{g(A\phi AY,\,\xi) + ag(A\phi Y,\,\xi) + g(A^2\phi Y,\,\xi)\} \\ + ag(Y,\,\xi)\{g(A\phi AX,\,\xi) + ag(A\phi X,\,\xi) + g(A^2\phi X,\,\xi)\} \\ + 2\{g(AX,\,\xi)g(A^2\phi Y,\,\xi) - g(A\phi X,\,\xi)g(A^2Y,\,\xi)\} \\ + 2\{g(AY,\,\xi)g(A^2\phi X,\,\xi) - g(A\phi Y,\,\xi)g(A^2X,\,\xi)\} \\ = 0 \;. \end{split}$$

Putting  $Y = \xi$ , we see

$$\begin{split} a & \{ g(A\phi AX, \; \xi) + a \, g(A\phi X, \; \xi) + g(A^2\phi X, \; \xi) \} \\ & + 2 \{ g(A\xi, \; \xi) g(A^2\phi X, \; \xi) - g(A\phi X, \; \xi) g(A^2\xi, \; \xi) \} \\ & - 0 \end{split}$$

for any vector fild X because  $A\phi A\xi$  is orthogonal to  $\xi$ . Consequently

$$aA\phi A\xi + (a+2\alpha)\phi A^2\xi + (a^2-2\alpha^2-2\beta^2)\phi A\xi = 0$$
.

By (3.12), we get

$$(3.13) A\phi U + \lambda \phi U = 0, \lambda = a + \alpha + \gamma.$$

We remark here that the property  $a \neq 0$  is essential to derive the above first equation.

Next, we give the following

LEMMA 3.3. Assume that  $A^2\xi + kA\xi = 0$ , where k is constant. Then it satisfies

$$(3.14) a\lambda^2 + \left(4a\gamma - 2k\gamma + \frac{c}{4}\right)\lambda - a^2\gamma - \frac{c}{4}(2k + 2\alpha + \gamma) = 0 on M_0.$$

PROOF. Differentiating our assumption  $A^2\xi + kA\xi = 0$  with resect to X and taking account of (2.1), (2.3) and (3.2), we get

$$\nabla_X A(A\xi) + a A(A\phi - \phi A)X + a k(A\phi - \phi A)X$$
$$+ A^2 \phi AX + k A\phi AX - \frac{c}{4} A\phi X - \frac{c}{4} k\phi X$$
$$= 0$$

for any vector field X. The inner product of this equation with any vector field Y implies

$$\begin{split} g(\nabla_X A(Y),\ A\xi) + a\,g(A(A\phi-\phi A)X,\ Y) + a\,k\,g((A\phi-\phi A)X,\ Y) \\ + g(A^2\phi AX,\ Y) + k\,g(A\phi AX,\ Y) = \frac{c}{4}\,g(A\phi X,\ Y) - \frac{c}{4}\,k\,g(\phi X,\ Y) \\ = 0\ . \end{split}$$

Exchanging X and Y in the above equation and substituting the second one from the first one, we have

$$\begin{split} g(\nabla_{X}A(Y) - \nabla_{Y}A(X), \ A\xi) + ag((A^{2}\phi - 2A\phi A + \phi A^{2})X, \ Y) \\ + g((A^{2}\phi A + A\phi A^{2})X, \ Y) + 2kg(A\phi AX, \ Y) \\ - \frac{c}{4}g((A\phi + \phi A)X, \ Y) - \frac{c}{2}kg(\phi X, \ Y) \\ = 0 \end{split}$$

for any vector fields X and Y. Putting X=U and  $Y=\phi U$  in this equation and taking account of (3.10), (3.12) and (3.13), we can easily show the equation (3.14).  $\square$ 

Now, we are in position to prove Proposition 3.1.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.1. By the form  $A\xi = \alpha \xi + \beta U$  and (2.1), we have

$$\nabla_{\!\xi} A(\xi) \!=\! d\alpha(\xi) \xi \!+\! \alpha\beta\phi U \!+\! d\beta(\xi) U \!-\! \beta A\phi U \!+\! \beta \nabla_{\!\xi} U \;.$$

This, combining with the assumption (3.2), implies

$$d\alpha(\xi)\xi + d\beta(\xi)U + \beta(a+\alpha)\phi U - \beta A\phi U + \beta \nabla_{\xi}U = 0.$$

From the inner product of  $\xi$  and U respectively, we get  $d\alpha(\xi)=0$  and  $d\beta(\xi)=0$ , where we have used that  $g(\nabla_{\xi}U, \xi)=0$ ,  $g(A\phi U, \xi)=0$  and  $g(A\phi U, U)=0$ . Hence

$$(3.15) (a+\alpha)\phi U - A\phi U + \nabla_{\xi} U = 0.$$

By (3.13) and the above equation, we find

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_{\xi} U = -(2a + 2\alpha + \gamma)\phi U, \\ d\alpha(\xi) = 0, \quad d\beta(\xi) = 0. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, by making use of (3.2) and (3.10),  $\gamma = g(AU, U)$  gives us to

$$(3.17) d\gamma(\xi) = 0.$$

Furthermore, from (3.13) and (3.16), we get  $d\lambda(\xi)=0$ . Differentiating (3.13) with respect to  $\xi$  covariantly and taking account of (2.1) and the above property, we get

$$\nabla_{\varepsilon} A(\phi U) - g(AU, \xi) A \xi + A \phi (\nabla_{\varepsilon} U) + \lambda \{-g(AU, \xi) \xi + \phi \nabla_{\varepsilon} U\} = 0.$$

By (3.2), (3.12), (3.13) and the first equation of (3.16), the above equation gives the following

$$(3.18) a+\alpha+\gamma=0 or a+2\alpha+2\gamma=0.$$

Since  $a \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha + \gamma \neq 0$  by the above equation.

Now, we consider the first case  $a+\alpha+\gamma=0$  of (3.18). By (3.13) and (3,15), we get

$$(3.19) A\phi U = 0, \nabla_{\varepsilon} U = \gamma \phi U.$$

By (2.1), we have  $\nabla_U \xi = \phi A U = \gamma \phi U$ . This implies  $[\xi, U] = 0$  by the second equation of (3.19). On the other hand, by (2.1), (3.10) and (3.17), we get

$$\begin{split} & \nabla_U \nabla_\xi \xi \!=\! d \, \beta(U) \phi U \!-\! \beta \gamma \xi \!+\! \beta \phi \nabla_U U \;, \\ & \nabla_\xi \nabla_U \xi \!=\! -\beta \gamma \xi \!-\! \gamma^2 U \;. \end{split}$$

Accordingly, by the Riemannian curvature tensor  $R(\xi, U)\xi$  and (2.2), we have

$$\left(\frac{c}{4}\!-\!\gamma^2\right)\!U\!-\!d\,eta\!\left(U\right)\!\phi\!\left(U\!-\!eta\!\phi\!\left.
abla\!_{\scriptscriptstyle U}\!U\!=\!0
ight.$$

where we have used (3.12). The inner product of the above equation and  $\phi U$  yields  $d\beta(U)=0$ . Thus

$$\left(\frac{c}{4}-\gamma^2\right)U-\beta\phi\nabla_UU=0$$
,

from which we get

(3.20) 
$$\beta \nabla_U U = \left( \gamma^2 - \frac{c}{4} \right) \phi U , \qquad d \beta(U) = 0 .$$

Differentiating  $A\xi = \alpha \xi + \beta U$  with respect to any vector field X covariantly and taking account of (3.2), we get

$$a(A\phi-\phi A)X-\frac{c}{A}\phi X+A\phi AX-d\alpha(X)\xi-\alpha\phi AX-d\beta(X)U-\beta\nabla_XU=0.$$

By taking the inner product of this equation with  $\xi$  and U respectively, we get

$$(3.21) d\alpha(X) = a\beta g(\phi X, U),$$

(3.22) 
$$d\beta(X) = \left(a\gamma - \frac{c}{4}\right)g(\phi X, U),$$

where we have used (3.10) and the first equation of (3.19). Because of  $\beta^2 = \alpha \gamma$ , it is easily seen that

$$2\beta d\beta(X) = \gamma d\alpha(X) + \alpha d\gamma(X)$$
,

from which together with (3.21) and (3.22) it turns out to be

$$2(a\gamma - \frac{c}{4})g(\phi X, U) = a(\gamma - \alpha)g(\phi X, U)$$

for any vector field X. This implies  $2a^2+c=0$ . Hence, by (3.14), we get  $\gamma=0$ , where we have used that  $\lambda=a+\alpha+\gamma=0$  and k=a. Thus we have  $\beta=0$  by (3.12), a contradiction.

Lastly, we suppose that  $a+2\alpha+2\gamma=0$ .

On the other hand, putting  $X=\xi$  and Y=U in (3.5) and from the inner product of  $\xi$  and U respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \beta g(\phi \nabla_U U, U) = (a+\gamma)(a+\alpha+\gamma) + \gamma(a+\alpha) + \frac{c}{4}, \\ \beta (a+\alpha+2\gamma)g(\phi \nabla_U U, U) = a(a+2\gamma)(a+\alpha+\gamma) + \gamma^2(a+\alpha) - \frac{c}{4}(a+\alpha), \end{cases}$$

where we have used (3.2), (3.10), (3.12), (3.13), (3.16) and (3.17). Combining of the above two equations, we get

$$(a+\alpha+\gamma)\left(a\alpha+2a\gamma+2\alpha\gamma+2\gamma^2+\frac{c}{2}\right)=0.$$

By our assumption, we have  $a^2=c$ . Therefore, by (3.14), we obtain  $\alpha=0$ , where we have used that  $a+2\alpha+2\gamma=0$  and  $k=\lambda=a/2$ . Hence  $\beta=0$ , a contradition.

These mean that the subset  $M_0$  is empty and hence the structure vector field  $\xi$  is principal.  $\square$ 

REMARK. The equation (3.2) is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi}(h+ag)=0$$
,

where  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}$  is the Lie derivative with respect to  $\xi$  and h(X, Y) = g(AX, Y) for any vector fields X and Y.

The main theorem is proved by Proposition 3.1, the remark stated first in this section and Theorems A and B.

## References

- [1] U.-H. Ki, S.-J. Kim and S.-B. Lee, Some characterizations of a real hypersurfaces of type A, Kyungpook Math. J. 31 (1991), 73-82.
- [2] U.-H. Ki and Y.J. Suh, On real hypersurfaces of a complex space form, Math. J. Okayama 32 (1990), 207-221.
- [3] M. Kimura and S. Maeda, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space II, Tsukuba J. Math. 15 (1991), 547-561.
- [4] S. Maeda and S. Udagawa, Real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space in term of holomorphic distribution, Tsukuba J. Math. 14 (1990), 39-52.
- [5] Y. Maeda, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, J. Math. Soc. Japan 28 (1976), 529-540.
- [6] S. Montiel and A. Romero, On some real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space, Geometriae Dedicata 20 (1986), 245-261.
- [7] M. Okumura, On some real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 212 (1975), 355-364.

Institute of Mathematics University of Tsukuba 350 Ibaraki, Japan

Department of Applied Mathematics National Fisheries University of Pusan Pusan 608-737, Korea