

## $M_0$ -SPACES ARE $\mu$ -SPACES

By

Munehiko ITO

**1. Introduction.** The  $\mu$ -spaces were introduced by K. Nagami [N]. A space  $X$  is said to be a  $\mu$ -space if  $X$  is embedded in the countable product of  $F_\sigma$ -metrizable paracompact spaces. The class of  $M_3$ - $\mu$ -spaces is a harmonious class in dimension theory and is a subclass of hereditary  $M_1$ -spaces (see [M] and [T]). Especially every 0-dimensional  $M_3$ - $\mu$ -space has a  $\sigma$ -closure preserving clopen base. Heath and Junnila [HJ] called such a space an  $M_0$ -space. Then, what spaces are  $M_3$ -spaces to be  $\mu$ -spaces? There was no result on this question yet. In this paper we shed some light on this question.

Throughout this paper all spaces are assumed to be regular  $T_1$  and all maps are assumed to be continuous. The letter  $N$  denotes the positive integers.

### 2. Results.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be an  $M_3$ -space with a peripherally compact  $\sigma$ -closure preserving quasi-base. Then  $X$  is embedded in the countable product of  $F_\sigma$ -metrizable  $M_3$ -spaces and is therefore a  $\mu$ -space.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathcal{B} = \cup\{B_n : n \in N\}$  be a peripherally compact  $\sigma$ -closure preserving closed quasi-base of  $X$ . Let  $n \in N$ . To construct a space  $M_n$ , let us fix  $n$ . Let  $V(x) = X - \cup\{B \in \mathcal{B}_n : x \notin B\}$  and  $\hat{x} = \{y \in X : V(x) = V(y)\}$ . Then by [J, Theorem 4.8], there exists a  $\sigma$ -discrete closed refinement  $H = \cup\{H_m : m \in N\}$  of  $\{\hat{x} : x \in X\}$ . By [O, Lemma 3.2], there exist a metrizable space  $Z$  and a one-to-one onto map  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  such that every  $f(H_m)$  is a discrete closed family and  $f(B_n)$  is a closure preserving closed family. For  $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$ , there exists a map  $\Psi'_B : f(B) \rightarrow I$  such that  $\Psi'^{-1}(0) = f(\partial B)$ , because  $\partial B$  is compact, where  $\partial B$  denotes the boundary of  $B$ . Let  $\Psi_B : X \rightarrow I$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_B(x) &= \Psi'_B \circ f(x) \text{ if } x \in B; \text{ and} \\ \Psi_B(x) &= 0 \text{ if } x \notin B.\end{aligned}$$

---

Received June 11, 1983.

This result was announced in "General Topology Symposium, Japan", December 1983.

Then  $\Psi_B$  is continuous. We define

$$\begin{aligned} g_B &: X \rightarrow Z \times I \text{ by } g_B(x) = (f(x), \Psi_B(x)); \\ h_B &: g_B(X) \rightarrow Z \text{ by } h_B(f(x), \Psi_B(x)) = f(x); \\ g_n &: X \rightarrow \prod_{B \in \mathcal{B}_n} g_B(x) \text{ by } g_n(x) = (g_B(x)); \\ M_n &= g_n(X); \text{ and} \\ \pi_B &: M_n \rightarrow g_B(X) \text{ by } \pi_B((x_B)) = x_B. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $h_B|_{g_B(B)}$  and  $h_B|_{g_B(X-B)}$  are homeomorphisms.

Now, we show that  $M_n$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -metrizable  $M_3$ -space. It is obvious that  $M_n$  is regular  $T_1$ . To prove that  $M_n$  is  $F_\sigma$ -metrizable, let  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}$  is a  $\sigma$ -discrete closed cover of  $X$ , it is enough to show that  $f \circ g_n^{-1}|_{g_n(H)} : g_n(H) \rightarrow f(H)$  is homeomorphic. Obviously  $f \circ g_n^{-1}|_{g_n(H)}$  is a continuous one-to-one onto map. Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$ ,  $U$  an open set of  $g_B(H)$  and  $W = \pi_B^{-1}(U)$ . Note that  $f \circ g_n^{-1}|_{g_n(H)}(W) = h_B|_{g_B(H)}(U)$ . If  $H \cap B = \phi$ , then  $f \circ g_n^{-1}|_{g_n(H)}(W)$  is open in  $f(H)$ . Because  $h_B|_{g_B(X-B)}$  is a homeomorphism and  $H \subset X-B$ . Let  $H \cap B \neq \phi$ . There exists  $x \in X$  such that  $H \subset \hat{x}$ . Then  $\hat{x} \cap B \neq \phi$ . From the definition of  $\hat{x}$ ,  $\hat{x} \subset B$ . Hence  $H \subset B$ . Since  $h_B|_{g_B(B)}$  is a homeomorphism,  $f \circ g_n^{-1}|_{g_n(H)}(W)$  is open in  $f(H)$ . Therefore  $f \circ g_n^{-1}|_{g_n(H)}$  is an open map and is a homeomorphism. To show that  $M_n$  is an  $M_3$ -space, let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a  $\sigma$ -discrete closed quasi-base of  $Z$ . Then  $g_n(\mathcal{B}_n) \cup g_n \circ f^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$  is a quasi-subbase of  $M_n$ , because  $\{g_B(B)\} \cup g_B \circ f^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$  is a quasi-subbase of  $g_B(X)$ . Obviously  $g_n(\mathcal{B}_n) \cup g_n \circ f^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$  is a  $\sigma$ -closure preserving closed family. Therefore  $M_n$  is an  $M_3$ -space.

Let  $g : X \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n$  such that  $g(x) = (g_n(x))$ . Then  $g$  is clearly an embedding and the proof is completed.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be an  $M_0$ -space. Then  $X$  is embedded in the countable product of  $F_\sigma$ -metrizable  $M_0$ -spaces and is therefore a  $\mu$ -space.*

**PROOF.** In the above proof, replace  $I$  with  $\{0, 1\} \subset I$ , and  $Z$  with a 0-dimensional one (see [P, Theorem 2]).

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a closed image of an  $F_\sigma$ -metrizable  $M_3$ -space with  $\dim X = 0$ . Then  $X$  is a  $\mu$ -space.*

**PROOF.** Let  $X = \cup \{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where each  $X_n$  is a closed Lašnev subspace. Then each  $X_n$  has an  $M$ -structure, so by [M, Theorem 3.15],  $X$  has an  $M$ -structure. By [M, Theorem 2.1],  $X$  is an  $M_0$ -space. Therefore by the above corollary,  $X$  is a  $\mu$ -space.

We do not know whether every perfect image of a  $\mu$ -space is a  $\mu$ -space. This problem has already been posed by K. Nagami [N]. Perhaps the following two problems are some approach to this problem in the class of  $M_3$ -spaces.

PROBLEM 2.4. *Is every closed image of an  $F_\sigma$ -metrizable  $M_3$ -space a  $\mu$ -space?*

PROBLEM 2.5. *Is every  $M_3$ - $\mu$ -space embedded in the countable product of  $F_\sigma$ -metrizable  $M_3$ -spaces?*

COROLLARY 2.6. *Every  $M_3$ - $\mu$ -space is a perfect image of a 0-dimensional  $M_3$ - $\mu$ -space.*

PROOF. T. Mizokami [M] proved that every  $M_3$ - $\mu$ -space is a perfect image of an  $M_0$ -space. But by Corollary 2.2, every  $M_0$ -space is a  $\mu$ -space.

An inner characterization of  $M_3$ - $\mu$ -spaces is not obtained yet. So many proofs on  $M_3$ - $\mu$ -spaces have returned to the definition and have been therefore complicated. But for 0-dimensional spaces, we have the following characterizations.

THEOREM 2.7. *For a 0-dimensional space  $X$ , the following statements are mutually equivalent.*

- (1)  $X$  is an  $M_3$ - $\mu$ -space.
- (2)  $X$  is an  $M_0$ -space.
- (3)  $X$  is an  $M_3$ -space with an  $M$ -structure (for the definition, see [M]).
- (4)  $X$  is a regularly stratifiable space (for the definition, see [T]).
- (5)  $X$  is a strongly regularly stratifiable space (for the definition, see [T]).

PROOF. (1) $\iff$ (3) follows from [M, Theorem 4.5]. (3) $\iff$ (2) follows from [M, Theorem 2.1]. (2) $\iff$ (1) follows from Corollary 2.2. (1) $\iff$ (5) follows from [T, Theorem 5.4]. (5) $\iff$ (4) is trivial. (4) $\iff$ (2) follows from [T, Corollary 6.3].

PROBLEM 2.8. *Find an inner characterization of  $M_3$ - $\mu$ -spaces. Are  $M_3$ -spaces with an  $M$ -structure or regularly stratifiable spaces  $\mu$ -spaces?*

### References

- [C] Ceder, J.G., *Some generalizations of metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961) 105–125.  
 [HJ] Heath, R.W. and Junnila, H.J.K., *Stratifiable spaces as subspaces and continuous images of  $M_1$ -spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1981) 146–148.  
 [J] Junnila, H.J.K., *Neighbornets*, Pacific J. Math. **76** (1978) 83–108.  
 [M] Mizokami, T., *On  $M$ -structures*, Topology Appl. **17** (1984) 63–89.

- [N] Nagami, K., *Normality of products*, Actes Congrès intern. Math. **2** (1970) 33-37.
- [O] Oka, S., *Dimension of stratifiable spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **275** (1983) 231-243.
- [P] Pasynkov, B. A., *Factorization theorems in dimension theory*, Russian Math. Surveys **36** (1981) 175-209.
- [T] Tamano, K., *Stratifiable spaces defined by pair collections*, Topology Appl. **16** (1983) 287-301.

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba  
Ibaraki, 305 Japan