TSUKUBA J. MATH.
Vol. 15 No. 2 (1991), 275–292

ON THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR ANALYTIC SEMIGROUPS WITH WEAK SINGULALITY

By

Kenichiro UMEZU

I. Introduction and Results

Let X be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $\mathfrak{A}$ a linear operator defined in X. We consider the following initial-value problem: Given an element $u_0 \in X$ and an X-vauled function f defined on an interval $I=[0, T]$, find an X-valued function u defined on I such that

$$(*) \qquad \begin{cases} \dfrac{du}{dt}(t)=\mathfrak{A}u(t)+f(t), & 0<t\leqq T, \\ u(0)=u_0. \end{cases}$$

In this paper, under the condition that the operator $\mathfrak{A}$ generates an analytic semigroup *with weak singularity*, we give sufficient conditions on the function f for the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the problem (*).

We say that a function $u(t)$ is a *strict solution* or simply a *solution* of the problem (*) if it satisfies the following three conditions:

$$(1.1) \qquad u\in C([0, T]; X)\cap C^1((0, T]; X).$$

(1.2) $u(t)$ is in the domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A})$ of the operator $\mathfrak{A}$ for $0<t\leqq T$.

$$(1.3) \qquad u(0)=u_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{du}{dt}(t)=\mathfrak{A}u(t)+f(t), \qquad 0<t\leqq T.$$

Here $C([0, T]; X)$ denotes the space of continuous functions on $[0, T]$ taking values in X, and $C^1((0, T]; X)$ denotes the space of continuously differentiable functions on $(0, T]$ taking values in X, respectively.

We recall the following fundamental result in the theory of analytic semigroups (cf. Pazy [2]; Tanabe [4]):

THEOREM 1.0. *Assume that the following three assumptions are satisfied:*

(A.1) *The operator $\mathfrak{A}$ is a densely defined, closed linear operator in X.*

(A.2) *There exist constants $0<\omega<\pi/2$ and $\lambda_0<0$ such that the resolvent set of $\mathfrak{A}$ contains the region $\Sigma(\omega)=\{\lambda\in \boldsymbol{C};\ |\arg(\lambda-\lambda_0)|<\pi/2+\omega\}$.*

Received April 17, 1990, Revised October 2, 1990.

(A.3) *If* $0<\varepsilon<\omega$, *then there exists a constant* $C(\varepsilon)>0$ *such that the resolvent* $(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1}$ *satisfies the estimate*:

$$\|(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leqq \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{1+|\lambda|}, \qquad \lambda \in \Sigma(\varepsilon).$$

Then the operator $\mathfrak{A}$ *generates a semigroup* $e^{z\mathfrak{A}}$ *in* X *which is* analytic *in the sector* $\Delta(\omega)=\{z=t+is \in \boldsymbol{C}\,;\, z\neq 0,\ |\arg z|<\omega\}$.

If $0<\gamma<1$, we let

$C^{\gamma}([0, T]\,;\, X)$=the space of X-valued, continuous functions $f(t)$ on $[0, T]$ such that we have $\|f(t)-f(s)\| \leqq M|t-s|^{\gamma}$, $t, s \in [0, T]$ for some constant $M>0$.

Now it is known (cf. Pazy [2], Theorem 3.2) that the following theorem holds.

THEOREM 1.1. *Assume that the operator* $\mathfrak{A}$ *satisfies Assumptions* (A.1), (A.2) *and* (A.3). *If* $f \in C^{\gamma}([0, T]\,;\, X)$ *with* $0<\gamma \leqq 1$, *then, for any* $u_0 \in X$, *the problem* (*) *has a unique solution which takes the following form*:

$$u(t)=e^{t\mathfrak{A}}u_0+\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathfrak{A}}f(s)ds. \tag{1.4}$$

The next Besov space version of Theorem 1.1 is due to Muramatu [1] (see [1], Theorem B).

THEOREM 1.2. *Assume that the operator* $\mathfrak{A}$ *satisfies Assumptions* (A.1), (A.2) *and* (A.3). *If* f *belongs to the Besov space* $B^0_{\infty,1}((0, T)\,;\, X)$, *then, for any* $u_0 \in X$, *the problem* (*) *has a unique solution which takes the form of* (1.4).

REMARK 1.1. Theorem 1.2 is a generalization of Theorem 1.1. In fact, the following inclusion holds:

$$\bigcup_{0<\gamma\leqq 1} C^{\gamma}([0, T]\,;\, X) \subsetneqq B^0_{\infty,1}((0, T)\,;\, X).$$

EXAMPLE 1.1. The following function f belongs to the space $B^0_{\infty,1}((0, T)\,;\, \boldsymbol{R})$, but does not belong to the spaces $C^{\gamma}([0, T]\,;\, \boldsymbol{R})$ for any $0<\gamma \leqq 1$.

$$f(t)=\begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\log t} & \text{if } 0<t\leqq T, \\ 0 & \text{if } t=0. \end{cases}$$

For the precise definition of the Besov space $B^0_{\infty,1}((0, T)\,;\, X)$, we refer to Section 2.

We say that the operator $\mathfrak{A}$ satisfies Assumption $(\mathrm{AS})_\theta$ with $0<\theta<1$ if it satisfies Assumptions (A.1) and (A.2) and the following weaker assumption than (A.3):

$(\mathrm{A}.3)_\theta$ If $0<\varepsilon<\omega$, then there exists a constant $C(\varepsilon)>0$ such that the resolvent $(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1}$ satisfies the estimate:

$$\|(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leqq \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{(1+|\lambda|)^\theta}, \qquad \lambda\in\Sigma(\varepsilon).$$

By Theorem 5.3 of Taira [3], we know that the operator $\mathfrak{A}$ which satisfies Assumption $(\mathrm{AS})_\theta$ with $0<\theta<1$ generates an analytic semigroup $e^{z\mathfrak{A}}$ such that

$$\|e^{z\mathfrak{A}}\| \leqq \frac{M_0}{|z|^{1-\theta}}, \qquad z\in\Delta(\omega).$$

Thus, such an analytic semigroup as $e^{z\mathfrak{A}}$ may be called an *analytic semigroup with weak singularity.* We remark that Assumption $(\mathrm{A}.3)_1$ is nothing but Assumption (A.3).

A concrete example of $\mathfrak{A}$ which satisfies Assumption $(\mathrm{AS})_\theta$ is given by Taira [3]. Furthermore, Taira [3] has demonstrated that the operator $\mathfrak{A}$ generates an analytic semigroup $e^{t\mathfrak{A}}$ which does not necessarily have the following property:

$$\lim_{\substack{|t|\to 0\\ t\in\Delta(\omega)}} e^{t\mathfrak{A}}u_0=u_0 \qquad \text{for } \textit{all} \quad u_0\in X.$$

Here $\Delta(\omega)=\{\lambda\in \boldsymbol{C}\,;\ |\arg\lambda|<\omega\}$. More precisely, using fractional powers of the operator $\mathfrak{A}$, Taira [3] has proved that if Assumption $(\mathrm{AS})_\theta$ is satisfied, then the operator $\mathfrak{A}$ generates an analytic semigroup $e^{t\mathfrak{A}}$ which has the property

$$\lim_{\substack{|t|\to 0\\ t\in\Delta(\omega)}} e^{t\mathfrak{A}}u_0=u_0$$

for all $u_0\in\mathcal{D}((-\mathfrak{A})^\alpha)$ with $1-\theta<\alpha<1$. Here if the operator $\mathfrak{A}$ satisfies Assumptions (A.1), (A.2) and $(\mathrm{A}.3)_\theta$, we can define the fractional powers $(-\mathfrak{A})^{-\alpha}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ for $1-\theta<\alpha<1$ by

$$(-\mathfrak{A})^{-\alpha}=\frac{\sin\alpha\pi}{\pi}\int_0^\infty t^{-\alpha}(t-\mathfrak{A})^{-1}dt,$$

and also define the fractional powers $(-\mathfrak{A})^\alpha$ by

$$(-\mathfrak{A})^\alpha=\text{the inverse of } (-\mathfrak{A})^{-\alpha}.$$

By the definition of $(-\mathfrak{A})^\alpha$, we have the following:

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A})\subset\mathcal{D}((-\mathfrak{A})^\alpha)\subset X, \qquad 1-\theta<\alpha<\theta,$$

$$\mathcal{D}((-\mathfrak{A})^0)=X.$$

The following theorem is due to Taira [3] (cf. [3], Theorem 8.2). In the case $\theta=1$, the theorem coincides with Theorem 1.1.

THEOREM 1.3. *Assume that the operator* $\mathfrak{A}$ *satisfies Assumption* $(AS)_\theta$ *with* $1/2<\theta<1$. *If* $f\in C^\gamma([0, T]; X)$ *with* $1-\theta<\gamma\leqq 1$, *then, for any* $u_0\in\mathcal{D}((-\mathfrak{A})^\alpha)$ *with* $1-\theta<\alpha<\theta$, *the problem* (*) *has a unique solution which takes the form of* (1.4).

In this paper, using Besov space theory, we prove the following result:

THEOREM 1.4. *Assume that the operator* $\mathfrak{A}$ *satisfies Assumption* $(AS)_\theta$ *with* $1/2<\theta<1$. *If* f *belongs to the Besov space* $B^{1-\theta}_{\infty,1}((0, T); X)$, *then, for any* $u_0\in \mathcal{D}((-\mathfrak{A})^\alpha)$ *with* $1-\theta<\alpha<\theta$, *the problem* (*) *has a unique solution which takes the form of* (1.4).

REMARK 1.2. Theorem 1.4 is a generalization of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.2. In fact, the following inclusion holds (cf. Corollary 2.1 and Remark 2.2):

$$\bigcup_{1-\theta<\gamma\leqq 1} C^\gamma([0, T]; X)\subsetneqq B^{1-\theta}_{\infty,1}((0, T); X).$$

EXAMPLE 1.2. The following function f belongs to the space $B^{1-\theta}_{\infty,1}((0, T); \boldsymbol{R})$, but does not belong to the spaces $C^\gamma([0, T]; \boldsymbol{R})$ for any $1-\theta<\gamma\leqq 1$.

$$f(t)=\begin{cases} \dfrac{t^{1-\theta}}{\log t} & \text{if } 0<t\leqq T, \\ 0 & \text{if } t=0. \end{cases}$$

The rest of this paper is organized as follows:

In Section 2 we state the basic definition and properties of Besov spaces that will be used in the sequel.

In Section 3 we present a brief description of the analytic semigroups with weak singularity generated by the operator $\mathfrak{A}$ which satisfies Assumption $(AS)_\theta$ with $0<\theta<1$.

Section 4 is devoted to the proof of our main Theorem 1.4 by following the argument in the proof of Theorem B of Muramatu [1].

2. Besov spaces

This section is devoted to a description of the definition and properties of Besov spaces (for the details, see Muramatu [1]). We define Besov spaces on an open set Ω in $\boldsymbol{R}^N$, but, in this paper, only use the case when Ω is an open *interval* $I\,(N=1)$.

Let Ω be an open set in $\boldsymbol{R}^N$, X a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, $1\leqq p\leqq\infty$ and m a non-negative integer. For an X-valued function f on Ω, we define

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega;\, X)}=\begin{cases}\left(\int_\Omega\|f(x)\|^p dx\right)^{1/p} & \text{if } 1\leqq p<\infty,\\ \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x\in\Omega}\|f(x)\| & \text{if } p=\infty,\end{cases}$$

$$\|f\|_{L^p_*(\Omega;\, X)}=\begin{cases}\left(\int_\Omega\|f(x)\|^p |x|^{-N} dx\right)^{1/p} & \text{if } 1\leqq p<\infty,\\ \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x\in\Omega}\|f(x)\| & \text{if } p=\infty,\end{cases}$$

$$\|f\|_{H^{m,p}(\Omega;\, X)}=\sum_{|\alpha|\leqq m}\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\Omega;\, X)}.$$

Here all the derivatives $\partial^\alpha f$ are taken in the sense of distributions. If $X=\boldsymbol{R}$, we simply write $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\Omega;\, X)}$, $\|\cdot\|_{L^p_*(\Omega;\, X)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^{m,p}(\Omega;\, X)}$ as $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$, $\|\cdot\|_{L^p_*(\Omega)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^{m,p}(\Omega)}$ respectively.

We introduce function spaces as follows:

$L^p(\Omega;\, X)$=the space of X-valued functions such that $\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega;\, X)}$ is finite.
$L^p_*(\Omega;\, X)$=the space of X-valued functions such that $\|f\|_{L^p_*(\Omega;\, X)}$ is finite.
$H^{m,p}(\Omega;\, X)$=the space of functions $f\in L^p(\Omega;\, X)$ whose derivatives $\partial^\alpha f$, $|\alpha|\leqq m$, in the sense of distributions, belong to $L^p(\Omega;\, X)$.

The spaces $L^p(\Omega;\, X)$ and $H^{m,p}(\Omega;\, X)$ are Banach spaces with the norms $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\Omega;\, X)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^{m,p}(\Omega;\, X)}$, respectively.

DEFINITION OF BESOV SPACES. Let X be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, Ω an open set in $\boldsymbol{R}^N$, $1\leqq p,\, q\leqq\infty$ and σ a real number such that $\sigma=m+\theta$ with an integer m and $0<\theta\leqq 1$.

(a) The case $m\geqq 0$ and $0<\theta<1$: The Besov space $B^\sigma_{p,q}(\Omega;\, X)$ is the set of all functions $f\in H^{m,p}(\Omega;\, X)$ such that the seminorm

$$|f|_{B^\sigma_{p,q}(\Omega;\, X)}=\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\left\|\,|y|^{-\theta}\|\partial^\alpha f(x+y)-\partial^\alpha f(x)\|_{L^p(\Omega_{1,y};\, X)}\right\|_{L^q_*(\boldsymbol{R}^N)}$$

$$=\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\left(\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^N}\left(\int_{\Omega_{1,y}}\|\partial^\alpha f(x+y)-\partial^\alpha f(x)\|^p dx\right)^{q/p}\frac{dy}{|y|^{q\theta+N}}\right)^{1/q}$$

is finite. Here $\Omega_{k,y}=\bigcap_{j=0}^{k}\Omega-jy$ and $\Omega-jy=\{z-jy;\; z\in\Omega\}$.

(b) The case $m\geqq 0$ and $\theta=1$: The Besov space $B^\sigma_{p,q}(\Omega;\, X)$ consists of all functions $f\in H^{m,p}(\Omega;\, X)$ such that the seminorm

$$|f|_{B^\sigma_{p,q}(\Omega;\, X)}$$
$$=\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\left\|\,|y|^{-1}\|\partial^\alpha f(x+2y)-2\partial^\alpha f(x+y)+\partial^\alpha f(x)\|_{L^p(\Omega_{2,y};\, X)}\right\|_{L^q_*(\boldsymbol{R}^N)}$$

is finite.

The space $B^{\sigma}_{p,q}(\Omega\,;\,X)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{B^{\sigma}_{p,q}(\Omega;\,X)}=\|f\|_{H^{m,p}(\Omega;\,X)}+|f|_{B^{\sigma}_{p,q}(\Omega;\,X)}\,.$$

(c) The case $m<0$: The Besov space $B^{\sigma}_{p,q}(\Omega\,;\,X)$ is the set of all distributions f of the form

$$f=\sum_{|\alpha|\leq -m}\partial^{\alpha}f_{\alpha},\qquad f_{\alpha}\in B^{\theta}_{p,q}(\Omega\,;\,X)\,. \tag{2.1}$$

The space $B^{\sigma}_{p,q}(\Omega\,;\,X)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{B^{\sigma}_{p,q}(\Omega;\,X)}=\inf\sum_{|\alpha|\leq -m}\|f_{\alpha}\|_{B^{\theta}_{p,q}(\Omega;\,X)}\,,$$

where the infimum is taken over all expressions of the form (2.1).

In the rest of this section we describe a characterization theorem of Besov spaces. In the following we denote the interval $(0,\,T)$ by I.

We introduce two function spaces.

(i) $\mathcal{K}_0(I)$ is the set of all functions $\phi\in C^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{R}^2)$ which satisfy the following conditions:

(2.2) For any $t\in\boldsymbol{R}$, there exists a compact set K_t in $\boldsymbol{R}$ such that K_t contains the support of $\phi(t,\,\cdot)$.

(2.3) For any compact set K in I, there is a compact set $K_1\subset I$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\phi(t,\,(t-\cdot)/\tau)\subset K_1$ for $t\in K$ and $0<\tau\leqq 1$.

(ii) $\mathcal{K}_m(I)$ is the set of m-th derivatives $\partial_s^m\phi(t,\,s)$ of the functions in $\mathcal{K}_0(I)$.

Let ϕ_0 be a function in $C_0^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{R})$ which satisfies the conditions:

$$\operatorname{supp}\phi_0\subset I,\ \int_{\boldsymbol{R}}\phi_0(t)dt=1\,.$$

If $0<c\leqq 1$, we define ϕ, e_m, e_m^* as follows:

$$\phi(t,\,s)=\frac{m}{m\,!}s^m\phi_0(t-s)\,, \tag{2.4}$$

$$e_m(t,\,s)=\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\partial_s^k\Big\{\frac{1}{k\,!}s^k\phi_0(t-s)\Big\},\qquad m=1,\,2,\,\cdots,$$

$$e_m^*(t,\,s)=2e_m(t,\,s)-\int e_m(t,\,r)e_m(t-cr,\,s-r)dr,\qquad m=1,\,2,\,\cdots. \tag{2.5}$$

Then we have the following results:

LEMMA 2.1. *The functions ϕ, e_m and e_m^* introduced above belong to the space $\mathcal{K}_0(I)$. Further ϕ, e_m and e_m^* belong to the space $\mathcal{K}_0(J)$ for any open interval $J\supset I$.*

LEMMA 2.2 (*Integral representation of distributions*). *Let* $0<c\leqq 1$ *and* $m=l+h$ *where* l *and* h *are non negative integers. Let* ϕ, e_m^* *be the functions as above. If* f *is an X-valued distribution on I, then it can be represented as follows*:

$$f(t)=\int_0^c\left\langle\frac{1}{\tau}\phi_{0,h}\left(t, \frac{t-s}{\tau}\right), u_l(\tau, s)\right\rangle_s\frac{d\tau}{\tau}$$
$$+\sum_{j=0}^{h}\int_0^c\left\langle\frac{1}{\tau}\phi_{0,m+j}\left(t, \frac{t-s}{\tau}\right), u_{jh}(\tau, s)\right\rangle_s\frac{d\tau}{\tau}$$
$$+\frac{1}{c}\left\langle e_m^*\left(t, \frac{t-s}{c}\right), f(s)\right\rangle_s$$

where $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_s$ *denotes the pairing of* $\mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{R})\times\mathcal{D}'(\boldsymbol{R};\ X)$ *and*

$$\phi_{i,j}(t, s)=\partial_t^i\partial_s^j\phi(t, s),$$

$$u_l(\tau, t)=\int_\tau^c\left(\frac{\tau}{\tau'}\right)^l\sum_{k=0}^{l}\binom{l}{k}\tau'^k\left\langle\frac{1}{\tau'}\phi_{k,m+l-k}\left(t, \frac{t-s}{\tau'}\right), f(s)\right\rangle_s\frac{d\tau'}{\tau'},$$

$$u_{jh}(\tau, s)=(-\tau)^{h-j}\binom{h}{j}\int_0^\tau\left(\frac{\tau'}{\tau}\right)^h\left\langle\frac{1}{\tau'}\phi_{h-j,l}\left(t, \frac{t-s}{\tau'}\right), f(s)\right\rangle_s\frac{d\tau'}{\tau'}.$$

THEOREM 2.1 (*Characterization of Besov spaces*). *Let* $1\leqq p, q\leqq\infty$, $\sigma\in\boldsymbol{R}$ *and* m *a non-negative integer such that* $m>\sigma$, *and* $0<c\leqq 1$. *An X-valued distribution* f *on* I *belongs to the space* $B_{p,q}^{\sigma}(I;\ X)$ *if and only if the following conditions are satisfied*:

$$\left\langle\phi\left(t, \frac{t-s}{c}\right), f(s)\right\rangle_s\in L^p(I;\ X) \qquad \textit{for any} \quad \phi\in\mathcal{K}_0(I),$$

$$\tau^{-\sigma}\left\langle\phi\left(t, \frac{t-s}{\tau}\right), f(s)\right\rangle_s\in L_*^q((0, c);\ L^p(I;\ X)) \qquad \textit{for any} \quad \phi\in\mathcal{K}_m(I).$$

REMARK 2.1. (A) Let m, h and l be integers such that $-h<\sigma<l$, $m=l+h$. Set

$$\phi_k(t, s)=\partial_t^k\partial_s^{l-k}e_m^*(t, s), \qquad k=0, \cdots, l.$$

Then $f\in B_{p,q}^{\sigma}(I;\ X)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\tau^{-\sigma}\left\langle\frac{1}{\tau}\phi_{k,m+l-k}\left(s, \frac{s-r}{\tau}\right), f(r)\right\rangle_r\in L_*^q((0, c);\ L^p(I;\ X))$$
$$\text{for} \quad k=0, \cdots, l,$$

$$\tau^{-\sigma}\left\langle\frac{1}{\tau}\phi_{h-j,l}\left(s, \frac{s-r}{\tau}\right), f(r)\right\rangle_r\in L_*^q((0, c);\ L^p(I;\ X))$$
$$\text{for} \quad j=0, \cdots, h,$$

$$\left\langle\phi_k\left(t, \frac{t-s}{c}\right), f(s)\right\rangle_s\in L^p(I;\ X) \qquad \text{for} \quad k=0, \cdots, l.$$

(B) Furthermore, the norm of f in $B^{\sigma}_{p,q}(I, X)$ is equivalent with the sum of the corresponding norms of the above functions.

COROLLARY 2.1. *We have the following inclusions*:

(2.6) $B^{\sigma_1}_{\infty,q_1}(I;\ X)\subset B^{\sigma_2}_{\infty,q_2}(I;\ X)$ *for* $1\leqq q_1,\ q_2\leqq\infty,\ \sigma_2<\sigma_1$.

(2.7) $B^{\sigma}_{\infty,q_1}(I;\ X)\subset B^{\sigma}_{\infty,q_2}(I;\ X)$ *for* $1\leqq q_1\leqq q_2\leqq\infty,\ \sigma\in\boldsymbol{R}$.

(2.8) $B^{0}_{\infty,1}(I;\ X)\subset L^{\infty}(I;\ X)$.

(2.9) $B^{m}_{\infty,1}(I;\ X)\subset C^{m}([0,\ T];\ X)$ *if m is a non negative integer.*

(2.10) $B^{\theta}_{\infty,\infty}(I;\ X)=C^{\theta}([0,\ T];\ X)$ *for* $0<\theta<1$.

Further the inclusions (2.6), (2.7) *and* (2.8) *are continuous.*

REMARK 2.2. From the inclusions (2.6) and (2.10), it follows that

$$C^{\gamma}([0,\ T];\ X)\subset B^{1-\theta}_{\infty,1}(I;\ X) \quad \text{for} \quad 1-\theta<\gamma\leqq 1.$$

THEOREM 2.2. *Let* $1\leqq p,\ q\leqq\infty$ *and* $\sigma\in\boldsymbol{R}$. *If* $g\in B^{\sigma}_{p,q}(I;\ X)$, *then there exists a sequence* $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *such that*

$$g_n\in B^{\sigma}_{p,q}(I;\ X)\cap C^{1}([0,\ T];\ X),$$

$$g_n\longrightarrow g \quad in \quad B^{\sigma}_{p,q}(I;\ X)\cap L^{1}(I;\ X) \quad as \quad n\longrightarrow\infty.$$

3. Analytic semigroups with weak singularity

In this section we briefly state properties of analytic semigroups with weak singularity which will be used in the following section.

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume that a linear operator* $\mathfrak{A}$ *satisfies conditions* (A.1), (A.2) *and* $(A.3)_{\theta}$ *for* $0<\theta<1$. *Then we have the following*:

(3.1) *The operator* $\mathfrak{A}$ *generates a semigroup* $e^{z\mathfrak{A}}$ *on* X *which is analytic in the sector* $\Delta(\omega)$.

(3.2) *The operators* $\mathfrak{A}^m e^{z\mathfrak{A}}$ *and* $(d^m/dz^m)e^{z\mathfrak{A}}$ *are bounded operators on* X *for any non-negative integer* m *and* $z\in\Delta(\omega)$, *and satisfy the following relation and estimate.*

$$\frac{d^m}{dz^m}(e^{z\mathfrak{A}})=\mathfrak{A}^m e^{z\mathfrak{A}}, \qquad z\in\Delta(\omega).$$

$$\|\mathfrak{A}^m e^{z\mathfrak{A}}\|\leqq M_m|z|^{\theta-1-m}, \qquad z\in\Delta(\omega).$$

Here the letter M_m *is a constant depending on* m *and* ω.

PROOF. We can define the semigroup $e^{z\mathfrak{A}}$ for any $0<\varepsilon<\omega$ as follows:

$$e^{z\mathfrak{A}}=-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma}e^{z\lambda}(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1}d\lambda.$$

Here Γ is a path in the set $\Sigma(\varepsilon)$ such that $\Gamma=-\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2$ where

$$\Gamma_1=\{re^{-i(\pi/2+\varepsilon)};\ 0\leqq r<\infty\}.$$

$$\Gamma_2=\{re^{i(\pi/2+\varepsilon)};\ 0\leqq r<\infty\}.$$

Then, according to Theorem 5.3 of Taira [3], we have the conditions (3.1) and (3.2) for $m=0, 1$. In the following we show the condition (3.2) for general $m\geqq 2$.

First we show the following formula:

$$\frac{d^m}{dz^m}(e^{z\mathfrak{A}})=-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma}\lambda^m e^{z\lambda}(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1}d\lambda, \qquad m\geqq 1,\ z\in\Delta(\varepsilon). \tag{3.3}$$

For $z\in\Delta(\varepsilon)$ and $\lambda\in\Gamma_1$, we set

$$z=|z|e^{i\alpha}, \qquad 0\leqq\alpha<\varepsilon,$$

$$\lambda=re^{-i(\pi/2+\varepsilon)}, \qquad 0\leqq r<\infty.$$

Then we have

$$|e^{z\lambda}|=|e^{|z|r\{\cos(\alpha-\pi/2-\varepsilon)+i\sin(\alpha-\pi/2-\varepsilon)\}}|$$

$$=e^{-|z|r\cdot\sin(\varepsilon-\alpha)}.$$

Hence it follows that for $z\in\Delta(\varepsilon)$ and $\lambda\in\Gamma_1$

$$\|\lambda^m e^{z\lambda}(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1}\|\leqq r^m e^{-|z|r\cdot\sin(\varepsilon-\alpha)}\frac{C(\varepsilon)}{(1+r)^{\theta}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Similarly, for $z\in\Delta(\varepsilon)$ and $\lambda\in\Gamma_2$, we let

$$z=|z|e^{i\alpha}, \qquad 0\leqq\alpha<\varepsilon,$$

$$\lambda=re^{i(\pi/2+\varepsilon)}, \qquad 0\leqq r<\infty.$$

Then we have

$$|e^{z\lambda}|=|e^{|z|r\{\cos(\alpha+\pi/2+\varepsilon)+i\sin(\alpha+\pi/2+\varepsilon)\}}|$$

$$=e^{-|z|r\cdot\sin(\varepsilon+\alpha)}.$$

Hence it follows that for $z\in\Delta(\varepsilon)$ and $\lambda\in\Gamma_2$

$$\|\lambda^m e^{z\lambda}(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1}\|\leqq r^m e^{-|z|r\cdot\sin(\varepsilon+\alpha)}\frac{C(\varepsilon)}{(1+r)^{\theta}}. \tag{3.5}$$

If $z\in\Delta(\varepsilon)$, we have by the estimates (3.4) and (3.5)

$$\int_{\Gamma}\|\lambda^m e^{z\lambda}(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1}\|d\lambda$$

$$\leqq \sum_{i=1}^{2}\int_{\Gamma_i}\|\lambda^m e^{z\lambda}(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1}\|d\lambda$$

$$\leqq C(\varepsilon)\int_0^\infty \frac{r^m}{(1+r)^\theta}(e^{-|z|r\cdot\sin(\varepsilon-\alpha)}+e^{-|z|r\cdot\sin(\varepsilon+\alpha)})dr.$$

Let $\rho=|z|r$. By interchanging the integral order, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{r^m}{(1+r)^\theta}(e^{-|z|r\cdot\sin(\varepsilon-\alpha)}+e^{-|z|r\cdot\sin(\varepsilon+\alpha)})dr$$

$$=\int_0^\infty(\rho/|z|)^m\left(\frac{1}{1+\rho/|z|}\right)^\theta(e^{-\rho\cdot\sin(\varepsilon-\alpha)}+e^{-\rho\cdot\sin(\varepsilon+\alpha)})\frac{d\rho}{|z|}$$

$$\leqq |z|^{\theta-1-m}\int_0^\infty \rho^{m-\theta}(e^{-\rho\cdot\sin(\varepsilon-\alpha)}+e^{-\rho\cdot\sin(\varepsilon+\alpha)})d\rho.$$

Since $\sin(\varepsilon-\alpha)>0$ and $\sin(\varepsilon+\alpha)>0$, we obtain that

$$\int_0^\infty \rho^{m-\theta}(e^{-\rho\cdot\sin(\varepsilon-\alpha)}+e^{-\rho\cdot\sin(\varepsilon+\alpha)})d\rho<\infty.$$

This implies that the operator $\int_\Gamma \lambda^m e^{z\lambda}(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1}d\lambda$ is bounded on X for $z\in\Delta(\varepsilon)$. Further we have

$$\frac{d^m}{dz^m}(e^{z\mathfrak{A}})=-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \lambda^m e^{z\lambda}(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1}d\lambda, \qquad z\in\Delta(\varepsilon) \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\left\|\frac{d^m}{dz^m}(e^{z\mathfrak{A}})\right\|\leqq C|z|^{\theta-1-m}, \qquad z\in\Delta(\varepsilon). \tag{3.7}$$

Here the letter C is a constant depending on m and ω.

Next, using induction on m, we show that

$$\frac{d^m}{dz^m}(e^{z\mathfrak{A}})=\mathfrak{A}^m e^{z\mathfrak{A}}, \qquad z\in\Delta(\varepsilon). \tag{3.8}$$

By Theorem 5.3 of [3], we have the equality (3.8) for $m=1$. We assume that the equality (3.8) holds for $m\geqq 1$. Then it follows from (3.6) that

$$\frac{d^{m+1}}{dz^{m+1}}(e^{z\mathfrak{A}})=-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \lambda^{m+1}e^{z\lambda}(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1}d\lambda$$

$$=-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \lambda^m e^{z\lambda}\lambda(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1}d\lambda.$$

By Remarking that $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1}=1+\lambda(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1}$, it follows that

$$\frac{d^{m+1}}{dz^{m+1}}(e^{z\mathfrak{A}})=-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma}\lambda^m e^{z\lambda}\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1}d\lambda-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma}\lambda^m e^{z\lambda}d\lambda .$$

The closedness of $\mathfrak{A}$ tells us that

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma}\mathfrak{A}\lambda^m e^{z\lambda}(\mathfrak{N}-\lambda)^{-1}d\lambda &=\mathfrak{A}\Big(-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma}\lambda^m e^{z\lambda}(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1}d\lambda\Big)\\ &=\mathfrak{A}\frac{d^m}{dz^m}(e^{z\mathfrak{A}})\\ &=\mathfrak{A}^{m+1}e^{z\mathfrak{A}} .\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\int_{\Gamma}\lambda^m e^{z\lambda}d\lambda=0 \qquad \text{for} \quad m\geqq 1 .$$

Hence it follows that

$$\frac{d^{m+1}}{dz^{m+1}}(e^{z\mathfrak{A}})=\mathfrak{A}^{m+1}e^{z\mathfrak{A}}, \qquad z\in\Delta(\varepsilon) .$$

The statements (3.7) and (3.8) imply that

$$\|\mathfrak{A}^m e^{z\mathfrak{A}}\|\leqq M_m|z|^{\theta-1-m}, \qquad z\in\Delta(\varepsilon), \qquad m\geqq 1$$

with a constant $M_m>0$ depending on m and ω.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4 by following the proof of Theorem B of Muramatu [1]. If there exists a solution u of the problem (*) for $u_0\in\mathcal{D}((-\mathfrak{A})^\alpha)$ with $1-\theta<\alpha<\theta$, we can uniquely write the solution in the following form:

$$u(t)=e^{t\mathfrak{A}}u_0+\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathfrak{A}}f(s)ds, \qquad 0\leqq t\leqq T .$$

First we verify that u satisfies the condition (1.1). Theorem 1.3 tells us that

$$e^{t\mathfrak{A}}u_0\in C([0, T]; X)\cap C^1((0, T]; X) .$$

So, it suffices to show that

$$F(\cdot)=\int_0^{\cdot} e^{(\cdot-s)\mathfrak{A}}f(s)ds\in C([0, T]; X)\cap C^1((0, T]; X) .$$

Since it is clear that $f\in B^{1-\theta}_{\infty,1}(I; X)$ implies $F\in C([0, T]; X)$, we have only to verify that $F\in C^1((0, T]; X)$. By Corollary 2.1, we have

$$B^1_{\infty,1}((\varepsilon, T); X)\subset C^1([\varepsilon, T]; X) \qquad \text{for any} \quad 0<\varepsilon<T .$$

Therefore, if $F \in B^1_{\infty,1}((\varepsilon, T); X)$ for any $0<\varepsilon<T$, it follows that $F \in C^1((0, T]; X)$.

Let I_ε be the open interval (ε, T). In the following we simply write $\int_R$ as $\int$. In order to verify that $F \in B^1_{\infty,1}(I_\varepsilon; X)$, we apply Theorem 2.1 with $I=I_\varepsilon$ and $m=4$. That is, we show that the function F satisfies the following conditions for $0<c\leqq 1$:

$$\int \phi\Big(\cdot, \frac{\cdot - s}{c}\Big) F(s) ds \in L^\infty(I_\varepsilon; X) \qquad \text{for} \quad \phi \in \mathcal{K}_0(I_\varepsilon), \tag{4.1}$$

$$\tau^{-1}\int \frac{1}{\tau}\phi\Big(\cdot, \frac{\cdot - s}{\tau}\Big) F(s) ds \in L^1_*((0, c); L^\infty(I_\varepsilon; X)) \tag{4.2}$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{K}_4(I_\varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{K}_4(I)$ (cf. Lemma 2.1 and Remark 2.1(A)).

First, we show that F satisfies the condition (4.1). Since ϕ satisfies the condition (2.3), we have

$$\int \phi\Big(t, \frac{t-s}{c}\Big) F(s) ds = \int_0^T \phi\Big(t, \frac{t-s}{c}\Big)\Big(\int_0^s e^{(s-r)\mathfrak{A}} f(r) dr\Big) ds. \tag{4.3}$$

By interchanging the integral order of s and r and by integration by substitution with $s-r=s'$, the right hand of (4.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \phi\Big(t, \frac{t-s}{c}\Big)\Big(\int_0^s e^{(s-r)\mathfrak{A}} f(r) dr\Big) ds \\
&= \int_0^T \Big(\int_r^T \phi\Big(t, \frac{t-s}{c}\Big) e^{(s-r)\mathfrak{A}} ds\Big) f(r) dr \\
&= \int_0^T \Big(\int_0^{T-r} \phi\Big(t, \frac{t-s'-r}{c}\Big) e^{s'\mathfrak{A}} ds'\Big) f(r) dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Again, by interchanging the integral order of s and r, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \Big(\int_0^{T-r} \phi\Big(t, \frac{t-s'-r}{c}\Big) e^{s'\mathfrak{A}} ds'\Big) f(r) dr \\
&= \int_0^T e^{s'\mathfrak{A}} ds' \int_0^{T-s'} \phi\Big(t, \frac{t-s'-r}{c}\Big) f(r) dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\int \phi\Big(t, \frac{t-s}{c}\Big) F(s) ds = \int_0^T e^{s\mathfrak{A}} ds \int_0^{T-s} \phi\Big(t, \frac{t-s-r}{c}\Big) f(r) dr.$$

Now we cite a lemma which we use in order to estimate the right term (cf. Muramatu [1], Lemma 3).

LEMMA 4.1. *Suppose that* $1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$, $0<\tau\leqq 1$, $f \in L^1(I; X)$ *and* $\phi \in \mathcal{K}_0(I_\varepsilon)$.

Then there exists a constant $M_1>0$ *such that*

$$\left\|\int_0^{T-s}\frac{1}{\tau}\phi\left(\cdot,\ \frac{\cdot-s-r}{\tau}\right)f(r)dr\right\|_{L^p(I;\,X)}\leqq M_1\tau^{-1+1/p}\|f\|_{L^1(I;\,X)}$$

for $0\leqq s\leqq T$.

By making use of Lemma 4.1 and the estimate:

$$\|e^{s\mathfrak{A}}\|\leqq Ms^{\theta-1},\qquad s>0,$$

it follows that

$$\text{(4.4)}\qquad \left\|\int\phi\left(\cdot,\ \frac{\cdot-s}{c}\right)F(s)ds\right\|_{L^\infty(I_\varepsilon;\,X)}$$

$$\leqq\int_0^T\|e^{s\mathfrak{A}}\|ds\left\|\int_0^{T-s}\phi\left(\cdot,\ \frac{\cdot-s-r}{c}\right)f(r)dr\right\|_{L^\infty(I;\,X)}$$

$$\leqq C\|f\|_{L^1(I;\,X)}.$$

Here and in the following the letter C is a general constant independent of f.

Next we show that F satisfies the condition (4.2). Let $0<\tau\leqq c$, $\phi\in\mathcal{K}_4(I_\varepsilon)\cap\mathcal{K}_4(I)$ and

$$U(\tau,\ t)=\int\frac{1}{\tau}\phi\left(t,\ \frac{t-s}{\tau}\right)F(s)ds.$$

We divide the integral with respect to s into two parts as follows:

$$U(\tau,\ t)=\int\frac{1}{\tau}\phi\left(t,\ \frac{t-s}{\tau}\right)F(s)ds$$

$$=\int_0^T e^{s\mathfrak{A}}ds\int_0^{T-s}\frac{1}{\tau}\phi\left(t,\ \frac{t-s-r}{\tau}\right)f(r)dr$$

$$=\left(\int_0^\tau+\int_\tau^T\right)e^{s\mathfrak{A}}ds\int_0^{T-s}\frac{1}{\tau}\phi\left(t,\ \frac{t-s-r}{\tau}\right)f(r)dr$$

$$\equiv U_1(\tau,\ t)+U_2(\tau,\ t).$$

We cite a lemma which is used in order to estimate U_1 and U_2 (cf. Muramatu [1], Lemma 4).

LEMMA 4.2. *Assume that* $1\leqq p\leqq\infty$, $0<\tau\leqq c$, $f\in L^1(I;\ X)$ *and* $\phi\in\mathcal{K}_0(I_\varepsilon)$. *Then there exists a constant* $M_2>0$ *such that*

$$\left\|\int_0^{T-s}\frac{1}{\tau}\phi\left(\cdot,\ \frac{\cdot-s-r}{\tau}\right)f(r)dr\right\|_{L^p(I_\varepsilon;\,X)}$$

$$\leqq\sum_{j=0}^{2}\frac{s^j}{j!}\|u_j(\tau,\ \cdot)\|_{L^p(I;\,X)}+M_2s^3\tau^{-1+1/p}\|f\|_{L^1(I;\,X)}$$

for $0\leqq s\leqq\varepsilon$. *Here*

$$u_j(\tau,\, t)=\int_0^T\frac{1}{\tau}\phi_{j,0}\Big(t,\, \frac{t-r}{\tau}\Big)f(r)dr\,,$$

$$\phi_{j,k}(t,\, s)=\partial_t^j\partial_s^k\phi(t,\, s)\,.$$

Now we may assume that $0<c\leqq\varepsilon$. Lemma 4.2 gives that

$$\begin{aligned}(4.5)\qquad &\|U_1(\tau,\, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I_\varepsilon;\, X)}\\ &\leqq\int_0^\tau\|e^{s\mathfrak{A}}\|ds\Big\|\int_0^{T-s}\frac{1}{\tau}\phi\Big(\cdot,\, \frac{\cdot-s-r}{\tau}\Big)f(r)dr\Big\|_{L^\infty(I_\varepsilon;\, X)}\\ &\leqq\int_0^\tau Ms^{\theta-1}\Big(\sum_{j=0}^2\frac{s^j}{j\,!}\|u_j(\tau,\, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I;\, X)}+M_2s^3\tau^{-1}\|f\|_{L^1(I;\, X)}\Big)ds\\ &\leqq C\tau^\theta\Big(\sum_{j=0}^2\tau^j\|u_j(\tau,\, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I;\, X)}+\tau^2\|f\|_{L^1(I;\, X)}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi\in\mathcal{K}_4(I_\varepsilon)\cap\mathcal{K}_4(I)$, we can represent ϕ as $\phi(t,\, s)=\partial_s^4\psi(t,\, s)$ where $\psi\in\mathcal{K}_0(I_\varepsilon)\cap\mathcal{K}_0(I)$. By interchanging the integral order, we have

$$\begin{aligned}U_2(\tau,\, t)&=\int_\tau^T e^{s\mathfrak{A}}ds\int_0^{T-s}\frac{1}{\tau}\phi\Big(t,\, \frac{t-s-r}{\tau}\Big)f(r)dr\\ &=\int_0^{T-\tau}\Big(\int_\tau^{T-r}\frac{1}{\tau}\phi\Big(t,\, \frac{t-s-r}{\tau}\Big)e^{s\mathfrak{A}}ds\Big)f(r)dr\\ &=\int_0^{T-\tau}\Big(\int_\tau^{T-r}\frac{1}{\tau}\psi_{0,4}\Big(t,\, \frac{t-s-r}{\tau}\Big)e^{s\mathfrak{A}}ds\Big)f(r)dr\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi_{i,j}(t,\, s)=\partial_t^i\partial_s^j\psi(t,\, s)$. By integration by parts, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\tau^{T-r}\frac{1}{\tau}\psi_{0,4}\Big(t,\, \frac{t-s-r}{\tau}\Big)e^{s\mathfrak{A}}ds=&\sum_{k=0}^3\psi_{0,k}\Big(t,\, \frac{t-\tau-r}{\tau}\Big)(\tau\mathfrak{A})^{3-k}e^{\tau\mathfrak{A}}\\ &+\int_\tau^{T-r}\tau^3\psi\Big(t,\, \frac{t-s-r}{\tau}\Big)\mathfrak{A}^4e^{s\mathfrak{A}}ds\,.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}(4.6)\qquad U_2(\tau,\, t)=\int_0^{T-\tau}\Big(&\sum_{k=0}^3\psi_{0,k}\Big(t,\, \frac{t-\tau-r}{\tau}\Big)(\tau\mathfrak{A})^{3-k}e^{\tau\mathfrak{A}}\\ &+\int_\tau^{T-r}\tau^3\psi\Big(t,\, \frac{t-s-r}{\tau}\Big)\mathfrak{A}^4e^{s\mathfrak{A}}ds\Big)f(r)dr\,.\end{aligned}$$

We write the first and second terms of (4.6) as

$$V_k(\tau,\, t)=\tau(\tau\mathfrak{A})^{3-k}e^{\tau\mathfrak{A}}\int_0^{T-\tau}\frac{1}{\tau}\psi_{0,k}\Big(t,\, \frac{t-\tau-r}{\tau}\Big)f(r)dr,\quad k=0,\, 1,\, 2,\, 3,$$

$$V_4(\tau,\, t)=\int_0^{T-\tau}\Big(\int_0^{T-r}\tau^3\psi\Big(t,\, \frac{t-s-r}{\tau}\Big)\mathfrak{A}^4e^{s\mathfrak{A}}ds\Big)f(r)dr\,,$$

respectively. That is, $U_2(\tau, t)$ is written as

$$U_2(\tau, t)=\sum_{k=0}^{3} V_k(\tau, t)+V_4(\tau, t).$$

By noting that

$$\|\mathfrak{A}^m e^{t\mathfrak{A}}\| \leqq M_m t^{\theta-1-m}, \qquad t>0$$

with a constant $M_m>0$ for $m=0, 1, 2, \cdots$, Lemma 4.2 gives that

$$(4.7) \qquad \|V_k(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I_\varepsilon;\, X)} \leqq C\tau^\theta\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{2}\|\tau^j v_{jk}(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I;\, X)}+\tau^2\|f\|_{L^1(I;\, X)}\Big) \quad \text{for } k=0, 1, 2, 3.$$

Here

$$v_{jk}(\tau, t)=\int_0^T \frac{1}{\tau}\phi_{j,k}\Big(t, \frac{t-r}{\tau}\Big)f(r)dr, \qquad j=0, 1, 2,\ k=0, 1, 2, 3.$$

$V_4(\tau, t)$ is, by interchanging the integral order of s and r, written by the following form:

$$V_4(\tau, t)=\tau^4\int_\tau^T \mathfrak{A}^4 e^{s\mathfrak{A}}ds\int_0^{T-s}\frac{1}{\tau}\phi\Big(t, \frac{t-s-r}{\tau}\Big)f(r)dr.$$

Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 give that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.8) \qquad & \|V_4(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I_\varepsilon;\, X)} \\
&\leqq \tau^4\Big(\int_\tau^\varepsilon+\int_\varepsilon^T\Big)\|\mathfrak{A}^4 e^{s\mathfrak{A}}\|ds\Big\|\int_0^{T-s}\frac{1}{\tau}\phi\Big(\cdot, \frac{\cdot-s-r}{\tau}\Big)f(r)dr\Big\|_{L^\infty(I_\varepsilon;\, X)} \\
&\leqq \tau^4\int_\tau^\varepsilon M_4 s^{\theta-5}\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{2}\frac{s^j}{j!}\|v_{j0}(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I;\, X)}+M_2 s^3\tau^{-1}\|f\|_{L^1(I;\, X)}\Big)ds \\
&\quad +\tau^4\int_\varepsilon^T M_4 s^{\theta-5}\tau^{-1}\|f\|_{L^1(I;\, X)}ds \\
&\leqq C\tau^4\int_\tau^\infty s^{\theta-5}\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{2} s^j\|v_{j0}(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I;\, X)}+s^3\tau^{-1}\|f\|_{L^1(I;\, X)}\Big)ds \\
&\quad C\tau^4\int_\varepsilon^T s^{\theta-5}\tau^{-1}\|f\|_{L^1(I;\, X)}ds \\
&\leqq C\tau^\theta\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{2}\tau^j\|v_{j0}(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I;\, X)}+(\tau^2+\tau^{3-\theta})\|f\|_{L^1(I;\, X)}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.9) \quad \|U_2(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I_\varepsilon;\, X)} &\leqq \sum_{k=0}^{4}\|V_k(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I_\varepsilon;\, X)} \\
&\leqq C\sum_{k=0}^{3}\tau^\theta\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{2}\tau^j\|v_{jk}(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I;\, X)}+(\tau^2+\tau^{3-\theta})\|f\|_{L^1(I;\, X)}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

By the estimates (4.5) and (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(4.10)\qquad &\|\tau^{-1}U(\tau, t)\|_{L_*^1((0,c);\, L^\infty(I_\varepsilon;\, X))}\\ &=\int_0^c \tau^{-1}\|U(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I_\varepsilon; X)}\frac{d\tau}{\tau}\\ &\leqq C\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{2}\|\tau^{-(1-\theta)}u_j(\tau, t)\|_{L_*^1((0,c);\, L^\infty(I;\, X))}\\ &\quad+\sum_{j=0}^{2}\sum_{k=0}^{3}\|\tau^{-(1-\theta)}v_{jk}(\tau, t)\|_{L_*^1((0,c);\, L^\infty(I;\, X))}+\|f\|_{L^1(I;\, X)}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

By Remark 2.1 (B), it follows that

$$(4.11)\qquad \|\tau^{-1}U(\tau, t)\|_{L_*^1((0,c);\, L^\infty(I_\varepsilon;\, X))}\leqq C(\|f\|_{B^{1-\theta}_{\infty,1}(I;\, X)}+\|f\|_{L^1(I;\, X)}).$$

It has been proved that F satisfies the condition (4.2).

Now, by making use of Remark 2.1 (B), the estimates (4.6) and (4.11) imply that

$$(4.12)\qquad \|F\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}(I_\varepsilon;\, X)}\leqq C(\|f\|_{B^{1-\theta}_{\infty,1}(I;\, X)}+\|f\|_{L^1(I;\, X)}).$$

Now we verify that u, given by the formula

$$u(t)=e^{t\mathfrak{A}}u_0+\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathfrak{A}}f(s)ds,$$

satisfies the conditions (1.2) and (1.3). Theorem 1.3 tells us that $e^{t\mathfrak{A}}u_0$ satisfies the conditions (1.2) and (1.3). By virtue of Theorem 2.2, there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that

$$(4.13)\qquad f_n\in B^{1-\theta}_{\infty,1}(I;\, X)\cap C^1([0, T];\, X),$$

$$(4.14)\qquad f_n\longrightarrow f \quad\text{in}\quad B^{1-\theta}_{\infty,1}(I;\, X)\cap L^1(I;\, X).$$

We let

$$F_n(t)=\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathfrak{A}}f_n(s)ds.$$

Then we have by Theorem 1.3

$$F_n\in C^1((0, T];\, X),$$

$$F_n(t)\in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A}),\qquad 0<t\leqq T,$$

$$\frac{dF_n}{dt}(t)=\mathfrak{A}F_n(t)+f_n(t),\qquad 0<t\leqq T.$$

By applying the inequality (4.12) to $f-f_n$ and $F-F_n$, we have

$$\|F-F_n\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}(I_\varepsilon;\, X)}\leqq C(\|f-f_n\|_{B^{1-\theta}_{\infty,1}(I;\, X)}+\|f-f_n\|_{L^1(I;\, X)}).$$

Using the statement (4.14), we obtain that

(4.15) $$\|F-F_n\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}(I_\varepsilon;\,X)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\mathfrak{A}F_n-\frac{dF}{dt}+f\right\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}(I_\varepsilon;\,X)}\\ &=\left\|-f_n+\frac{dF_n}{dt}-\frac{dF}{dt}+f\right\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}(I_\varepsilon;\,X)}\\ &\leqq\|f_n-f\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}(I_\varepsilon;\,X)}+\left\|\frac{dF_n}{dt}-\frac{dF}{dt}\right\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}(I_\varepsilon;\,X)}.\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the two terms of the right. The inclusion (2.6) and the statement (4.14) tell us that

(4.16) $$\begin{aligned}\|f_n-f\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}(I_\varepsilon;\,X)}&\leqq C\|f_n-f\|_{B^{1-\theta}_{\infty,1}(I_\varepsilon;\,X)}\\ &\leqq C\|f_n-f\|_{B^{1-\theta}_{\infty,1}(I;\,X)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \longrightarrow \infty.\end{aligned}$$

The definition of Besov spaces and (4.15) give that

(4.17) $$\left\|\frac{dF_n}{dt}-\frac{dF}{dt}\right\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}(I_\varepsilon;\,X)}\leqq\|F_n-F\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}(I_\varepsilon;\,X)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

From (4.16) and (4.17), it follows that

(4.18) $$\left\|\mathfrak{A}F_n-\frac{dF}{dt}-f\right\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}(I_\varepsilon;\,X)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

By using the inclusion (2.8), if $t\in I_\varepsilon$, the statements (4.15) and (4.18) imply that as $n\to\infty$

$$F_n(t) \longrightarrow F(t) \quad \text{in} \quad X,$$

$$\mathfrak{A}F_n(t) \longrightarrow \frac{dF}{dt}(t)-f(t) \quad \text{in} \quad X.$$

By virtue of the closedness of $\mathfrak{A}$, it follows that

$$F(t)\in\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A}), \qquad 0<t\leqq T,$$

$$\mathfrak{A}F(t)=\frac{dF}{dt}(t)-f(t), \qquad 0<t\leqq T.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is now complete.

REMARK 4.1. The proof of Theorem 1.4 tells us that for any $\varepsilon>0$

$$f\in B^{1-\theta}_{\infty,1}((0,\,T);\,X) \Longrightarrow F\in B^1_{\infty,1}((\varepsilon,\,T);\,X).$$

This implies that the regularity of F is as maximal as possible. In other words, if $\sigma>1$ and $1\leqq q\leqq\infty$, it does not necessarily hold that $F\in B^\sigma_{\infty,q}((\varepsilon,\,T);\,X)$ if

$f\in B_{\infty,1}^{1-\theta}((0, T); X)$.

References

[1] Muramatu, T., Besov spaces and analytic semigroups of linear operators, J. Math. Soc. Japan, Vol. **42** (1990), 133-146.
[2] Pazy, A., Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
[3] Taira, K., The theory of semigroups with weak singularity and its applications to partial differential equations, Tsukuba J. Math., Vol. **13** (1989), 513-562.
[4] Tanabe, H., Equations of evolutions, Iwanami-Shoten, Tokyo, 1975 (Japanese); English translation: Pitman, London, 1979.

Institute of Mathematics
University of Tsukuba
Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305
Japan