

ANALYSIS OF THE ACTION OF A PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR OVER $(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \cap X})_{T_M^*X}$

By

Andrea D'AGNOLO and Giuseppe ZAMPIERI

Abstract. Let M be a real analytic manifold, $\Omega \subset M$ an open set, X a complexification of M , P a pseudodifferential operator on X .

Using the action of P over holomorphic functions on suitable domains of X , by [B-S], and the theory of representation of microfunctions at the boundary $(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \cap X})_{T_M^*X}$, by [S-Z], [Z], we show that P defines in a natural manner a sheaf morphism of $(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \cap X})_{T_M^*X}$. Let us note that the hypotheses on $\partial\Omega$ are here weaker than in [K 2] where $\partial\Omega$ is supposed to be analytic.

We also easily prove that P is an isomorphism of $(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \cap X})_{T_M^*X}$ out of $T_M^*X \cap \text{char}(P)$ (both by composition rule and by non-characteristic deformation).

We shall apply the method of this paper in our forthcoming work on regularity at the boundary for solutions of P (cf. [D'A-Z]).

0. Preliminaries.

Let M be a C^∞ -manifold, X a complexification of M . We denote by T^*M , T^*X the cotangent bundles to M , X , and by T_X^* the conormal bundle to M in X ; in particular we denote by T_X^* the zero section of T^*X . We set $\dot{T}^*X = T^*X \setminus T_X^*$.

For subsets $S, V \subset X$ one denotes by $C(S, V)$ the normal cone to S along V and by $N(S)$ the normal cone to S in X ; these are objects of TX (cf. [K-S]).

We will denote by \mathcal{B}_M (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{M \cap X}$) the sheaf of hyperfunctions on M (resp. of microfunctions).

Let Ω be an open subset of M and let $\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \cap X}$ be the complex of sheaves defined in [S] (cf. also [K 1]). In this paper we shall assume that:

- (1) Ω is C^∞ -convex.
- (2) $H^0(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \cap X}) = (\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \cap X})_{T_M^*X}$.

(One can prove that if Ω has a C^2 -boundary then (1) and (2) are satisfied.)

Let γ be an open subset of $\bar{\Omega} \times_M T_M X$ with convex conic fibers; a domain $U \subset X$ is said to be an Ω -tuboid with profile γ iff $C(X \setminus U, \bar{\Omega}) \cap \gamma_i = \emptyset$ for some open set $\gamma_i \subset TX$ with convex conic fibers such that $\tilde{\gamma}_i \supset \sigma(N(\Omega))$, $\rho(\gamma_i) \supset \gamma$. Here

$$T_M X \xleftarrow{\rho} M \times_X TX \xleftarrow{\sigma} TM$$

are the canonical maps.

If one chooses coordinates $x \in M$, $z = x + \sqrt{-1}y \in X$ then U is an Ω -tuboid with profile γ iff for every $\gamma' \Subset \gamma$ there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\gamma'}$ such that

$$U \supset \{(x, y) \in \Omega \times_M \gamma'; |y| < \varepsilon \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \wedge 1\}.$$

(Here we identify $T_M X \cong X$ in local coordinates.)

For example if $\Omega = \{x_1 > 0\}$ and $\gamma = \bar{\Omega} \times \{y_n > 0\}$, the set

$$U = \{(x, y) \in X; x \in \Omega, y_n x_1 > y'^2\}$$

is an Ω -tuboid with profile γ .

We now recall how sections of $(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \setminus X})_{T_M^* X}$ can be represented as boundary values of holomorphic functions (cf. [S-Z], [Z]).

Take $f \in \pi_* \Gamma_{\gamma, a}((\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \setminus X})_{T_M^* X})(S)$, S a ball in a local chart $M \cong \mathbf{R}^n$, $\pi: T_M^* X \rightarrow M$ the projection, $\gamma \subset \bar{\Omega} \times_M T_M X$ open with convex conic fibers over S and with $\pi(\gamma) = S \cap \bar{\Omega}$. Then one can write f as the boundary value $b(F)$, $F \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, U being both an Ω -tuboid with profile γ and a domain of holomorphy. At this subject we refer the reader to [Z]. Note here that the results of [S-Z], [Z] concerning the representation of the stalks $(\pi_* \Gamma_{\gamma, a}((\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \setminus X})_{T_M^* X}))_x$, $x \in \pi(\gamma)$, easily extend to global sections over vectors spaces.

For $f \in \Gamma_{\Omega}(\mathcal{B}_M)_{\hat{x}}$, $\hat{x} \in \partial\Omega$, one denotes by $SS_{\Omega}(f)$ the support of f identified to a section of $(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \setminus X})_{T_M^* X}$ in $\pi^{-1}(\hat{x})$. On account of the above characterization one proves that given $(\hat{x}, \sqrt{-1}\dot{\eta}) \in T_M^* X$ one has $(\hat{x}, \sqrt{-1}\dot{\eta}) \notin SS_{\Omega}(f)$ iff $f = \sum b(F_j)$ with F_j holomorphic in U_j , U_j Ω -tuboid whose profile γ_j verifies $\sqrt{-1}\dot{\eta} \notin ((\gamma_j)_{\hat{x}})^{*a}$.

One also gets the following decomposition of microsupport.

Let $f \in \Gamma_{\Omega}(\mathcal{B}_M)(S)$ and decompose $\pi^{-1}(S) \cap SS_{\Omega}(f) \subset \bigcup_j \gamma_j^{*a}$, $((\gamma_j^{*a})_x)$ closed proper convex $\forall x \in \pi(\gamma_j) = S \cap \bar{\Omega}$. Then one can write $f = \sum b(F_j)$, $F_j \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_j)$, U_j Ω -tuboid (of holomorphy) with profile γ_j .

Let $P \in \mathcal{E}_{x, t*}$ be a pseudodifferential operator of order m defined in a neighborhood of a point $t^* \in \dot{T}_M^* X$. Fix a system of coordinates near $t^*: x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in M \cong \mathbf{R}^n$, $z = x + \sqrt{-1}y \in X \cong \mathbf{C}^n$, $(z; \zeta) \in T^* X \cong \mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}^n$, $\zeta = \xi + \sqrt{-1}\eta$, $(x; \sqrt{-1}\eta) \in T_M^* X \cong \mathbf{R}^n \times \sqrt{-1}\mathbf{R}^n$, $t^* = (\hat{x}; \sqrt{-1}\dot{\eta})$, $\dot{\eta} = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$.

One can write the symbol of P as

$$\sum_{-\infty}^m P_l(z, \zeta), \quad P_l \in \mathcal{O}_{T^*X}(\tilde{U} \times \tilde{W}),$$

$\tilde{U} \times \tilde{W}$ open subset of T^*X , $\tilde{W} \supset \{\zeta : |\zeta_i| \leq k_0 |\zeta_n|, i=1, \dots, n-1\}$, $\tilde{U} \ni \dot{x}$, P_l homogeneous in ζ of degree l ,

$$\sup_{z \in \tilde{U}, |\zeta_i| \leq k_0 |\zeta_n|} |\zeta|^l |P_{-l}(z, \zeta)| \leq M_0^{l+1} l!.$$

In [B-S] Bony and Schapira have shown that, under these conditions, if one fixes a complex hyperplane $\Sigma = \{z : \langle z, \dot{\eta} \rangle = \dot{x}_n + \sqrt{-1}\varepsilon\}$ it is possible to define an “action” for P over holomorphic functions on suitable domains.

DEFINITION 0.1. Let $k > 0$. An open convex subset U of C^n is said to be $k - \Sigma$ -plat if:

$$\forall z \in U, \forall \tilde{z} \in \Sigma \text{ such that } |z_n - \tilde{z}_n| \geq k |z_i - \tilde{z}_i|, \\ i=1, \dots, n-1 \text{ we have } \tilde{z} \in U \cap \Sigma.$$

We refer the reader to [B-S] for the definition of the operator P_Σ over holomorphic functions defined in domains $k_0 - \Sigma$ -plat with diameter $\leq 1/M_0$.

1. Definition of the action.

Let $P \in \mathcal{E}_X(V)$ be a pseudodifferential operator on an open set $V \subset T_M^*X$.

Our aim is to define an action for P over sections of $(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \setminus X})_{T_M^*X}$. More precisely, if α is the map

$$\alpha : \pi^{-1}(\Gamma_\Omega(\mathcal{B}_M)) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \setminus X})_{T_M^*X},$$

we will show how P operates on $\alpha(\pi^{-1}(\Gamma_\Omega(\mathcal{B}_M)))|_V$.

To this end we will proceed in several steps.

First we will make the operator act on cohomology classes of holomorphic functions defined on Ω -tuboids with prescribed profile. Since each germ of $\alpha(\pi^{-1}(\Gamma_\Omega(\mathcal{B}_M)))$ is represented as boundary value of a holomorphic function defined on a domain as above we can interpret the previous action as an action over $(\alpha(\pi^{-1}(\Gamma_\Omega(\mathcal{B}_M))))_{(x, \sqrt{-1}\eta)}$, for every $(x, \sqrt{-1}\eta) \in V$.

Finally we will show how P operates on $\alpha(\pi^{-1}(\Gamma_\Omega(\mathcal{B}_M)))|_V$ glueing up the actions over each fiber.

Let $(\dot{x}, \sqrt{-1}\dot{\eta}) \in V$ with $\dot{x} \in \partial\Omega$.

We fix a system of local coordinates so that $\dot{\eta} = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$, $\Omega = \{x ; \rho(x) > 0\}$ (where $\rho(x) = x_1 - \varphi(x_2, \dots, x_n)$ for a convex function φ), and the symbol of P is defined on a set $\tilde{U} \times \tilde{V}$ as in the previous section.

Let

$$S = \{x ; |x - \dot{x}| < r\},$$

with $r < 1/M_0$ such that $S \subset \tilde{U}$ and let Γ be an open proper convex cone of \mathbf{R}^n such that

$$\Gamma^{*a} \subset \{\eta ; |\eta_i| < k\eta_n, i=1, \dots, n-1\}, \quad k < \frac{k_0}{5}.$$

For $b > 0$ let

$$(1.1) \quad U = U_{\Gamma, b} = ((S \cap Q) + \sqrt{-1}\Gamma) \cap \{z ; |y| < b\rho(x)\}.$$

Let $F \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$; we shall now define how P operates on $f = \alpha(b(F))$.

For $\bar{x} \in \partial Q \cap S$, $\eta \in \Gamma^{*a}$, $|\eta| = 1$, $\nu \in N_+$, let

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_{\bar{x}, \eta, \nu} = \left\{ z ; \langle z - \bar{x}, \eta \rangle = \sqrt{-1} \frac{1}{\nu} \right\},$$

$$Q_{\bar{x}} = \bar{x} + \left\{ x ; x_1 > \frac{1}{k} |x'| \right\};$$

let $\rho_{\bar{x}}$ be the function defined by $Q_{\bar{x}} = \{x ; \rho_{\bar{x}}(x) > 0\}$. Observe that $Q \cap S = \bigcup_{\bar{x}} Q_{\bar{x}} \cap S$ for k small.

Consider

$$U_{\bar{x}} = U_{\bar{x}, \Gamma, b} = ((S \cap Q_{\bar{x}}) + \sqrt{-1}\Gamma) \cap \{z ; |y| < b\rho_{\bar{x}}(x)\},$$

$$U_{\bar{x}, \nu} = \{z ; |z_n - \tilde{z}_n| \geq k |z_i - \tilde{z}_i|, \tilde{z} \in \Sigma_{\bar{x}, \eta, \nu} \implies \tilde{z} \in U \cap \Sigma_{\bar{x}, \eta, \nu}\},$$

a k - $\Sigma_{\bar{x}, \eta, \nu}$ -plat set.

One can rewrite

$$U_{\bar{x}, \nu} = \left\{ z = (z', z_n) \in X ; \left| z_n - \bar{x}_n - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\nu} \right| < k \text{ dist} \left(\left(z', \bar{x}_n + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\nu} \right), \Sigma_{\bar{x}, \eta, \nu} \setminus U \right) \right\},$$

and hence deduce at once the convexity of $U_{\bar{x}, \nu}$.

REMARK 1.2. $U_{\bar{x}, \nu}$ is $(1/2(k_0 - k) - |\eta - \dot{\eta}|)$ - $\Sigma_{\bar{x}, \eta, \nu}$ -plat (due to $|\eta - \dot{\eta}| < k$, $k < k_0/5$) thus $U_{\bar{x}, \nu}$ is $(k_0 - k)$ - $\Sigma_{\bar{x}, \eta, \nu}$ -plat and moreover it contains the largest $1/2(k_0 - k)$ - $\Sigma_{\bar{x}, \eta, \nu}$ -plat set V such that

$$V \cap \Sigma_{\bar{x}, \eta, \nu} = U_{\bar{x}, \nu} \cap \Sigma_{\bar{x}, \eta, \nu}.$$

One has

LEMMA 1.3. *For every $S' \Subset S$ there exists $b' = b'_S < b$ and for every $\Gamma' \Subset \Gamma$ there exists $b'' = b''_{\Gamma}$ so that for every \bar{x} :*

$$(1) \quad \bigcup_{\nu} (U_{\bar{x}, \nu} \cap U_{\bar{x}}) \supset (Q_{\bar{x}} + \sqrt{-1}\Gamma') \cap \{z ; y_n < b'\rho_{\bar{x}}(x)\} \cap B',$$

$$(2) \quad \bigcup_{\nu} (U_{\bar{x}, \nu} \cap \{z ; y_n < 1/\nu\}) \supset \{z ; x \in Q_{\bar{x}}, y \in -b''\rho_{\bar{x}}(x)\dot{\eta} + \Gamma', y_n < b'\rho_{\bar{x}}(x)\} \cap B',$$

where $B' = S' + \sqrt{-1}\mathbf{R}^n$.

PROOF. (1) can be easily proved by taking b' such that $\bigcup_{\nu} (U_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap U_{\tilde{x}}) \cap \{z : x \in S', y_n < b' \rho_{\tilde{x}}(x)\}$ is a convex set. As for (2) one sees that for some b'' one has that for every z in the right hand side of (2) there exists ν such that:

$$|w_n - z_n| > k |w' - z'|, \quad w \in \Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}, \nu} \implies w \in U_{\tilde{x}} \cap \Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}, \nu} = U_{\tilde{x}, \nu}.$$

To this end b'' has to be chosen small with respect to ε where ε is such that

$$\Gamma'^{*a} \subset \{\eta ; |\eta'| < (k - \varepsilon) \eta_n\}. \quad \blacksquare$$

In particular $\bigcup_{\nu} (U_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap U_{\tilde{x}})$ is a $\mathcal{Q}_{\tilde{x}}$ -tuboid with profile $\tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{x}} + \sqrt{-1}\Gamma$ and $\bigcup_{\nu} (U_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap \{z : y_n < 1/\nu\})$ is a $\mathcal{Q}_{\tilde{x}}$ -tuboid with profile $\tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{x}} + \sqrt{-1}\mathbf{R}^n$.

REMARK 1.4. We also observe that $(U_{\tilde{x}, \nu+1} \cap U_{\tilde{x}, \nu})$ is k - $\Sigma_{x, \eta, \nu}$ -plat and

$$(U_{\tilde{x}, \nu+1} \cap U_{\tilde{x}, \nu}) \cap \Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}, \nu+1} = (U_{\tilde{x}} \cap U_{\tilde{x}, \nu}) \cap \Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}, \nu+1}.$$

Set

$$\tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu} = U_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap \{z ; y \in -b'' \rho_{\tilde{x}}(x) \dot{\eta} + \Gamma'\}, \quad y_n < b' \rho_{\tilde{x}}(x)\},$$

and note that, by a suitable choice of b', b'' , $\tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap B'$ and $\bigcup_{\nu} \tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cup B'$ are Stein domains (cf. the statement before Remark 1.2). $P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}, \nu}} F \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap U_{\tilde{x}})$ as defined in [B-S]. By [B-S, Théorème 2.5.1], and by Remark 1.4, we get

$$(1.5) \quad P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}, \nu}} F - P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}, \nu'}} F \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap U_{\tilde{x}, \nu'}).$$

Put

$$H_{\nu, \nu'} = P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}, \nu}} F - P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}, \nu'}} F;$$

these functions satisfy

$$H_{\nu, \nu'} + H_{\nu', \nu} = 0$$

$$H_{\nu, \nu'} + H_{\nu', \nu'} + H_{\nu'', \nu} = 0.$$

Since $H^1(\bigcup_{\nu} \tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap B', \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ then there exists $G_{\nu} \in \mathcal{O}_X(\tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap B')$ such that $G_{\nu} - G_{\nu'} = H_{\nu, \nu'}$ in $\tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap \tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu'} \cap B'$.

Summarizing up, to every $F \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, we can associate a function

$$(1.6) \quad P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}}} F \in \mathcal{O}_X(\bigcup_{\nu} \tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap U_{\tilde{x}} \cap B')$$

setting

$$P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}}} F|_{\tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap U_{\tilde{x}} \cap B'} = P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}, \nu}} F - G_{\nu}|_{\tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap U_{\tilde{x}} \cap B'}.$$

This function satisfies

$$P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}}} F = P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}, \nu}} F \text{ in } H^1_{X \setminus U_{\tilde{x}}}(\tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap B', \mathcal{O}_X) \leftarrow \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap U_{\tilde{x}} \cap B', \mathcal{O}_X)}{\Gamma(\tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap B', \mathcal{O}_X)}.$$

REMARK 1.7.

- (i) Let $\{\mu_\nu\}$, $\mu_\nu \searrow 0$, be another sequence and let $P'_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{\eta}} F$ be a function defined as in (1.6) (with $1/\nu$ replaced by μ_ν). Similarly as before we get

$$P'_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{\eta}} F - P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{\eta}} F \in \mathcal{O}_X(\cup_\nu (U_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cup U_{\tilde{x}, \mu_\nu})).$$

On the other hand, since

$$N(\cup_\nu (\tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap \tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \mu_\nu})) \cap \sigma((S' \cap \bar{\Omega}_{\tilde{x}}) \times_M \dot{T}M) \neq \emptyset.$$

then $\alpha(b(P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{\eta}} F)) = \alpha(b(P'_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{\eta}} F))$ in $\pi^{-1}(\Omega_{\tilde{x}} \cap S')$.

- (ii) In the same way one proves that $\alpha(b(P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{\eta}} F))|_{\pi^{-1}(\bar{\Omega}_{\tilde{x}} \cap S')}$ does not depend neither on the choice of the constant b nor on the sets Γ, S (as long as the conditions of Remark 1.2 are satisfied).

And now we consider Ω instead of $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}$.

Due to the convexity of Ω we observe that

$$\tilde{U} = (\bigcup_{\nu, \tilde{x} \in \partial\Omega \cap S} \tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu}) \cap B'$$

is still a Stein domain.

Reasoning as before we get

PROPOSITION 1.8. *To any $F \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ we can associate a holomorphic function*

$$P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{\eta}}} F \in \mathcal{O}_X(\tilde{U} \cap U),$$

such that

$$P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{\eta}}} F = P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{\eta}} F \text{ in } H^1_{X \setminus (\tilde{U} \cap U)}(\cup_\nu \tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap B', \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Note that, on the same line as Lemma 1.3, one proves that $\tilde{U} \cap U$ is a Ω -tuboid with profile $\bar{\Omega} + \sqrt{-1}\Gamma$.

REMARK 1.9. By its very definition it is clear that $\alpha(b(P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{\eta}} F}))|_{\pi^{-1}(W)}$ (for $W \subset \Omega$) equals $P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{\eta}}} \alpha(b(F))|_{\pi^{-1}(W)}$, defined in [B-S].

The compatibility with the action of P on $\mathcal{C}_{M|X}$ is thus assured.

Let $f \in \alpha(\pi^{-1}\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{B}_M)}(W))$, $W = S + \sqrt{-1}\operatorname{int} \Gamma^{*a}$; on account of the first section we can write $f = b(F)$, $F \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, U being an Ω -tuboid with profile $(S \cap \bar{\Omega}) + \sqrt{-1}\Gamma$, i.e. $\forall S' \Subset S$, $\forall \Gamma' \Subset \Gamma$, $\exists b$ so that $U \supseteq U'$ where

$$(1.10) \quad U' = ((S' \cap \Omega) + \sqrt{-1}\Gamma') \cap \{z : |y| < b\rho(x)\},$$

According to Proposition 1.8 we can define an holomorphic function $P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{\eta}}}(F|_{U'})$ and by Remark 1.7 $P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{\eta}}}(F|_{U'})|_{S + \sqrt{-1}\operatorname{int} \Gamma^{*a}}$ does not depend on U' .

It does not depend on the choice of the representative F neither. In fact if $b(F-F')|_w=0$ then $b(F-F')=\Sigma_j b(F_j)$, $F_j \in \mathcal{O}_X(U'_j)$, $U'_j=((S' \cap Q) + \sqrt{-1}\Gamma'_j) \cap \{z : |y| < b_j \rho(x)\}$ where $\Gamma'_j \subset \Gamma_j$ with $\Gamma'_j \cap \text{int } \Gamma^* = \emptyset$.

Reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 1.8 we get

$$P_{\Sigma_{\frac{\eta}{2}}} F_j \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_j \cap \{z : |y| < b_j \rho(x)\} \cap (S'' + \sqrt{-1}\mathbf{R}^n))$$

and thus

$$b(P_{\Sigma_{\frac{\eta}{2}}} F - P_{\Sigma_{\frac{\eta}{2}}} F')|_{S'' + \sqrt{-1}(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus (\cup_j \Gamma_j'^{*a}))} = 0;$$

for $S'' + \sqrt{-1}(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus (\cup_j \Gamma_j'^{*a})) \not\supset W$ we have thus given an action of P over f .

REMARK 1.11. By similar arguments as before one gets:

$$SS_Q b(P_{\Sigma_{\frac{\eta}{2}}} F) \subset SS_Q b(F).$$

And now we have to define an action over generic sections.

Given an open subset $V' \subset V \cap \pi^{-1}(\bar{Q})$ and $f \in \alpha(\pi^{-1}\Gamma_Q(\mathcal{B}_M))(V')$, we can find an open covering $\{V_{t*}\}_{t* \in V'}$, $V_{t*} = S_{t*} + \sqrt{-1} \text{int}(\Gamma_{t*})^{*a}$ so that $f|_{V_{t*}} = b(F_{t*})$ with $F_{t*} \in \mathcal{O}_X(U'_{t*})$ (U'_{t*} as in (1.1) with S , Γ replaced by S'_{t*} , Γ'_{t*} respectively). Let $t^{*i} = (x^i, \sqrt{-1}\eta^i)$ $i=1, 2$; then

PROPOSITION 1.12. $\alpha(b(P_{\Sigma_{\eta^1}} F_{t^{*1}}) - b(P_{\Sigma_{\eta^2}} F_{t^{*2}})) = 0$ in $V_{t^{*1}} \cap V_{t^{*2}}$.

PROOF. Let $t^{*3} \in V_{t^{*1}} \cap V_{t^{*2}}$ then it is enough to show that

$$(1.13) \quad \alpha(b(P_{\Sigma_{\eta^1}} F_{t^{*1}}))_{t^{*3}} = \alpha(b(P_{\Sigma_{\eta^2}} F_{t^{*2}}))_{t^{*3}}.$$

First notice that it is possible to take $F_{t^{*1}} = F_{t^{*3}}$ due to Remark 1.7.

If $\eta^1 = \eta^3$ (1.13) is then obvious.

If $x^1 = x^3$ (1.13) follows from Remark 1.2, [B-S, Remarque 2.5.3] and from an analogous of Lemma 1.3. ■

We have then shown that the sections $\{b(P_{\Sigma_{\eta}} F_{t*})\}_{t*}$ define a section of $(\mathcal{C}_{Q \setminus X})_{T_M^* X}(V')$ which, of course, will be denoted by Pf .

Let V be an open set of $T_M^* X$ with proper convex hull, and let $f \in I(V', \alpha(\pi_*^{-1}(\Gamma_Q \mathcal{B}_M)))$, $V' \subset V$.

Then we can represent $f = \alpha(b(F))|_{V'}$, $F \in \mathcal{O}_X(U')$ where U' is a Q -tuboid with profile $\text{int}(V'^{*a})$ (in fact one can find $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{B}_M$ such that $SS\tilde{f} \subset \bar{V}'$ and $\alpha(\tilde{f}|_Q)|_{V'} - f = 0$.)

Summarizing up the above results one gets:

THEOREM 1.14. Let $P \in \mathcal{E}_X(V)$ then P is a sheaf endomorphism of $\alpha(\pi^{-1}\Gamma_Q \mathcal{B}_M)|_V$.

COROLLARY 1.15. Let ∂Q be analytic; then P is a sheaf endomorphism of

$$(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \setminus X})_{T_M^* X}|_V.$$

PROOF. Since $(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \setminus X})_{T_M^* X}$ is conically flabby (cf. [S-Z]), then $\alpha: \pi^{-1} \Gamma_{\Omega} \mathcal{B}_M \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \setminus X})_{T_M^* X}$ is surjective. ■

REMARK 1.16. In describing the action of P over $\mathcal{C}_{M \setminus X}$ one can replace the language of P_Σ by the language of the γ -topology (cf. [K-S]).

Thus let X_γ be the space X endowed with the γ -topology and $\phi_\gamma: X \rightarrow X_\gamma$ the canonical map. Let $\Omega_1 \supset \Omega_0$ be two γ -open sets and set $V = \text{int}(\Omega_1 \setminus \Omega_0) \times \text{int} \gamma^{*a}$. We have:

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{O}_X \cong \phi_\gamma^{-1} R\Gamma_{\Omega_1 \setminus \Omega_0} R\phi_{\gamma*} \mathcal{O}_X \text{ in } D^b(X; V);$$

$$(2) \quad \phi_\gamma^{-1} R\Gamma_{\Omega_1 \setminus \Omega_0} R\phi_{\gamma*} \mathcal{O}_X \text{ is a } \Gamma(D \times \text{int} \gamma^{*a}, \mathcal{E}_X)\text{-module (}D \subset X \text{ a } \gamma\text{-round set).}$$

By applying $\mu hom(Z_M, \cdot) \otimes \omega_{M \setminus X}[n]$ to both sides of (1) one gets the conclusion.

According to a private communication by P. Schapira the same procedure could be applied for Z_M replaced by Z_Ω .

2. Elliptic regularity at the boundary.

Let P, Q be pseudodifferential operators in an open set $V \subset T_M^* X$ and let $P \cdot Q$ denote their formal composition (cf. [B-S]).

If Q has negative order we have by [B-S, Proposition 2.1.2] that

$$P_{\Sigma_{\bar{x}, \hat{\eta}, \nu}} \circ Q_{\Sigma_{x, \sqrt{-1}\eta, \nu}} = (P \cdot Q)_{\Sigma_{\bar{x}, \hat{\eta}, \nu}} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O}_X$$

and hence

$$(2.1) \quad P \cdot Q = P \circ Q, \quad \text{in } (\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \setminus X})_{T_M^* X}.$$

In particular if P is a pseudodifferential operator of positive order whose principal symbol p never vanishes on V , we get:

$$(2.2) \quad P \text{ is an isomorphism of } \alpha(\pi^{-1} \Gamma_{\Omega}(\mathcal{B}_M))_V.$$

To this end one only needs to write $1 = P \cdot P^{-1}$ at any $t^* \in V$ and use (2.1) which is valid since P^{-1} is of negative order.

We remark that it would have been possible to get (2.2) without using (2.1).

Assume $p \neq 0$ in $\{z: |z - \bar{x}| < r\} \times \{\zeta: |\zeta_i| \leq k_1 |\zeta_n|\}$. Take $k < \text{int} \{k_0/3, k_1\}$ and let $S, \Gamma, U_{\bar{x}}, U_{\bar{x}, \nu}$ be defined as in section 1. Recall in particular that $U_{\bar{x}, \nu}$ and $U_{\bar{x}, \nu} \cap U$ are $k - \Sigma_{\bar{x}, \hat{\eta}, \nu}$ -plat, and recall that $N(\cup_i U_{\bar{x}, \nu}) \cap \sigma((S' \cap \bar{\Omega}) \times_M T M) \neq \emptyset$.

- (i) For every $F \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ there exists $G_{\bar{x}, \nu} \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_{\bar{x}} \cap U_{\bar{x}, \nu})$ so that $P_{\Sigma_{\bar{x}, \hat{\eta}, \nu}} G_{\bar{x}, \nu} = F$. In fact this solution exists in a neighborhood of $\Sigma_{\bar{x}, \hat{\eta}, \nu} \cap U_{\bar{x}}$ by Cauchy-Kovalevsky's theorem and then it extends to $U_{\bar{x}} \cap U_{\bar{x}, \nu}$ by [B-S,

Théorème 2.5.4].

(ii) By a similar argument

$$P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}}, \nu} G_{\tilde{x}, \nu} - P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}}, \nu'} G_{\tilde{x}, \nu'} = 0 \text{ implies } G_{\tilde{x}, \nu} - G_{\tilde{x}, \nu'} \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap U_{\tilde{x}, \nu'} \cap B')$$

Reasoning as in the first section we can find a function $G \in \mathcal{O}_X(U \cap B' \cap \{z : |y| < b' \rho(x)\})$ such that $G - G_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \in \mathcal{O}_X(\tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu} \cap B')$. In particular

$$P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}}} G - F \in \mathcal{O}_X((\cup_{\nu} \tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu}) \cap B'),$$

with $\tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}, \nu}$ defined as in Remark 1.4.

(iii) If $G \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, $P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}}} G \in \mathcal{O}_X(U')$, $U' \supset ((S' \cap Q) + \sqrt{-1}\Gamma') \cap \{z : |y| < b' \rho(x)\}$ with $\Gamma' \supset \Gamma$, then $G \in \mathcal{O}_X(U')$. (Once more U' is chosen, without loss of generality, so that $U' \cap U_{\tilde{x}, \nu}$ is $k - \Sigma_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}, \nu}$ -plat.)

Collecting these results (for different S, Γ), one gets (2.2).

In fact let $g \in \Gamma_{S+\sqrt{-1}\Gamma}(S \times_M T^*_M X, (\mathcal{C}_{Q(X)})_T^* x)$, $I = \text{int } \Gamma^{*a}$ (with S, Γ as above) and let $Pg = 0$.

Hence $g = b(G)$, $G \in \mathcal{O}_X(U')$ (U' as in (1.12) for $S' \Subset S$, $\Gamma' \Subset \Gamma$), $Pg = \sum_j b(F_j)$, $F_j \in \mathcal{O}_X(U'_j)$ (U'_j as in (1.12) with $S' \Subset S$, $\Gamma'_j \Subset \Gamma_j$, $\Gamma_j^{*a} \cap I = \emptyset$).

One solves

$$P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{\eta}}} G_j = F_j, \quad G_j \in \mathcal{O}_X(U'_j \cap \{z : |y| < b'_j \rho(x)\} \cap B')$$

by (i)-(ii). This gives $b(P_{\Sigma_{\tilde{\eta}}}(G - \sum_j G_j)) = 0$; hence by (iii) (applied with $\Gamma' = \mathbb{R}^n$) we get:

$$b(G - \sum_j G_j) = 0$$

thus (2.2) is injective.

By (i)-(ii) the surjectivity follows at once.

References

- [B-I] J. Bros and D. Iagolnitzer, Tuboides dans C^n et généralisation d'un théorème de Cartan et Grauert, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 26 (1976), 49–72.
- [B-S] J.-M. Bony and P. Schapira, Propagation des singularités analytiques pour les solutions des équations aux dérivées partielles, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 26, 1 (1976), 81–140.
- [D'A-Z] A. D'Agnolo and G. Zampieri, Continuation of holomorphic solutions of micro-hyperbolic differential equations, Rendiconti Roma, To appear.
- [K 1] K. Kataoka, Microlocal theory of boundary value problem I, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 27 (1980), 355–399; II, 28 (1981), 31–56.
- [K 2] K. Kataoka, On the theory of Radon transformation of hyperfunctions, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 28 (1981), 331–413,
- [K-S] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, Microlocal study of sheaves, Asterisque 128 (1985).

- [S] P. Schapira, Front d'onde analytique au bord I, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **302**, 10 (1986), 383–386; Sémin. E.D.P. École Polytechnique Exp. 13 (1986).
- [S-K-K] M. Sato, M. Kashiwara and T. Kawai, Hyperfunctions and pseudo-differential equations, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag **287** (1973), 265–529.
- [S-Z] P. Schapira and G. Zampieri, Microfunctions at the boundary and mild microfunctions, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **24** (1988), 495–503.
- [Z] G. Zampieri, Tuboids of C^n with cone property and domains of holomorphy, To appear. Proc. Japan Academy (1991)

Dipartimento di matematica
pura ed applicata,
via Belzoni 7,
35131 Padova, Italy