

ON THE MICROLOCAL HYPOELLIPTICITY OF PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

By

Minoru KOIKE

§ 1. Introduction

P. Bolley and J. Camus [1] obtained some results on the microlocal hypoellipticity of differential operators with real analytic coefficients. One of their results is as follows. Let X be an open subset of \mathbf{R}^n and $P(x, D)$ a differential operator whose coefficients are real analytic in X . Let L' be a sequence such that

$$k+1 \leq L'_k \leq L'_{k+1} \leq CL'_k, \quad k=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$L'_k = \max(L'_{[\tau k]}, k^{1/(\rho-\delta)}), \quad 0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1, \quad \tau = \frac{1}{1-\delta}.$$

Then

$$WF_{L'}(u) \subset WF_{L'}(Pu) \cup \left(\bigcap_{m \in \mathbf{R}} \Sigma_{\rho, \delta}^m(P) \right), \quad u \in \mathcal{D}'(X).$$

Here $WF_L(u)$ is the wave front set of u with respect to the class C^L (Cf. L. Hörmander [5]) and $\Sigma_{\rho, \delta}^m(P)$ is the complement of the set of all points $(x_0, \xi_0) \in X \times (\mathbf{R}^n - 0)$ satisfying the following condition: There exist constants C, R and a conic neighborhood V of (x_0, ξ_0) such that for all multi-indices p, q

$$C|P(x, \xi)| \geq |\xi|^m$$

and

$$|D_\xi^p D_x^q P(x, \xi)| \leq C^{|p|+|q|} q! |\xi|^{-\rho|p|+\delta|q|} |P(x, \xi)|$$

when $(x, \xi) \in V$, $|\xi| \geq R$. Where $D_x^q = (-\sqrt{-1}\partial/\partial x)^q$.

In [1] they obtained this result by extending the theory of T. Kotake—M. S. Narasimhan [6]. In this paper we prove a more general result in which the operator P belongs to a class of pseudodifferential operators. It contains all the differential operators whose coefficients are of class C^L , not necessarily analytic. The class

C^L is allowed to be larger than the Gevrey classes. Also, it can be quasi-analytic. Our method is different from that of [1]. We construct approximate parametrices for the transposed operator, modifying the techniques used in Chapter V of F. Trèves [8].

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor M. Matsumura and Dr. H. Suzuki for valuable advice.

§ 2. Statement of the results

Let F be a pseudodifferential operator with amplitude a :

$$Fu(x) = \int e^{i(x-y, \xi)} a(x, y, \xi) u(y) dy d\xi, \quad d\xi = (2\pi)^{-n} d\xi.$$

Let L_k ($k=0, 1, 2, \dots$) be a sequence of positive numbers. We shall write $F \in I((L_k); \rho', \delta', m')$, if for every compact set $K \subset X \times X$ there exists a constant C_K with

$$|D_x^p D_y^q D_\xi^r a(x, y, \xi)| \leq C_K |p+q+r+1| p! M_{|q+r|} \langle \xi \rangle^{m' - \rho'|p| + \delta'|q+r|}$$

when $(x, y) \in K$, $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n - 0$ (Cf. L. Boutet de Monvel and P. Krée [2]). Here, $\langle \xi \rangle = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$ and

$$(2.1) \quad M_k = L_k^k.$$

Note that any differential operator with coefficients of class C^L belongs to $I((L_k); 1, 0, m_0)$ where m_0 is the order of the operator.

In general, the singular support of the distribution kernel of a pseudodifferential operator is contained in the diagonal ([4]), so we consider the behavior of the amplitude in the diagonal. We shall define a set

$$\Sigma_{\rho', \delta', s}^m((L_k); F) \subset X \times (\mathbf{R}^n - 0)$$

as follows: $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \Sigma_{\rho', \delta', s}^m((L_k); F)$ if and only if there exist constants C, R and a conic neighborhood V of (x_0, ξ_0) such that for all multi-indices p, q, r

$$\begin{aligned} C|a(x, x, \xi)| &\geq |\xi|^m, & \text{if } |\xi| \geq R, (x, \xi) \in V, \\ |(D_x^p D_y^q D_\xi^r a)(x, x, \xi)| &\leq C |p+q+r+1| p! M_{|q+r|} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho'|p| + \delta'|q+r|} |a(x, x, \xi)|, \\ &\text{if } |\xi| \geq R(|p+q+r+1|)^s, (x, \xi) \in V. \end{aligned}$$

$\Sigma_{\rho', \delta', s}^m((L_k); F)$ is a closed cone in $X \times (\mathbf{R}^n - 0)$ and decreases when s increases. If F is a differential operator and if $L_k = k+1$, then the set $\Sigma_{\rho', \delta', s}^m((L_k); F)$ coincides with $\Sigma_{\rho', \delta'}^m(F)$ of [1].

We impose the following condition on the sequence L_k :

(i) L_k satisfies that

$$(2.2) \quad k+1 \leq L_k \leq L_{k+1} \leq CL_k,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \log(M_k/k!) \text{ is convex.}$$

The condition (i) implies that the C^L is invariant under the C^L class coordinate changes ([7]). We take other sequences :

(ii) T_k and \bar{T}_k are sequences of positive numbers such that

$$(2.4) \quad T_k, \bar{T}_k \text{ also satisfy (i),}$$

$$(2.5) \quad M_{h+k} \leq C^{h+k} H_h H_k, \quad H_{h+k} \leq C^{h+k} \bar{H}_h \bar{H}_k,$$

$$\text{where } H_k = T_k^k, \bar{H}_k = \bar{T}_k^k$$

For any L_k satisfying (2.2), such sequences T_k, \bar{T}_k always exist. For example, if $M_k = e^k \cdot k!^s$ (i.e. the C^L is the Gevrey class of order s), then (i) and (ii) are fulfilled with $T_k = \bar{T}_k = L_k$. Also we can take $L_k = \exp(sk^c)$, $0 < c \leq 1$, $cs \geq 1$ for instance, but the corresponding space C^L is never contained in the Gevrey class of any order.

Assuming that

$$(iii) \quad 0 \leq \delta' < \rho' \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1,$$

we set

$$(2.6) \quad \tau = \frac{1}{1-\delta}, \quad \sigma = \max\left(\frac{1}{\rho'-\delta'}, \frac{1}{\rho-\delta}\right).$$

Then we have

THEOREM. *Let $F \in I((L_k); \rho', \delta', m')$ be properly supported and the conditions (i)-(iii) hold. If L'_k is a sequence satisfying (2.2), then*

$$(2.7) \quad WF_{L'}(u) \subset WF_{L'}(Fu) \subset \left(\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{R}} \Sigma_{\rho, \delta, s}^m((L_k); F)\right), \quad u \in \mathcal{D}'(X),$$

$$\text{where } L'_k = \max(L_{\lfloor ck \rfloor}^{\tau}, \bar{T}_{\lfloor ck \rfloor}^{\sigma}, k^s).$$

We prove the Theorem in § 3, constructing approximate parametrices microlocally for the transposed operator tF .

Now we remark that the set $\Sigma_{\rho, \delta, s}^m((L_k); F)$ is independent of the lower order parts of F . In fact, we have

PROPOSITION 1. *Let L_k be a sequence of positive numbers and $G \in I((L_k); \bar{\rho}, \bar{\delta}, \bar{m})$, $\rho \leq \bar{\rho}$, $\delta \leq \bar{\delta}$. If $\bar{m} < m$, then*

$$\Sigma_{\rho, \delta, s}^m((L_k); F+G) = \Sigma_{\rho, \delta, s}^m((L_k); F)$$

for any s, F .

PROOF. If $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \Sigma_{\rho, \delta, s}^m((L_k); F)$, then we have

$$(2.8) \quad |(D_x^p D_y^q D_\eta^r g)(x, x, \xi)| \leq C |\xi|^{\bar{m}-m} C^{|\bar{p}+q+r|} p! M_{|q+r|} |\xi|^{-\rho|\bar{p}|+\delta|\bar{q}+r|} |a(x, x, \xi)|$$

for all (x, ξ) in a conic neighborhood of (x_0, ξ_0) with $|\xi| \geq R$, where g is the amplitude of G . We take R so large that $CR^{\bar{m}-m} < 1/2$. Then $|a(x, x, \xi) + g(x, x, \xi)| \geq |a(x, x, \xi)|/2$ ($|\xi| \geq R$), so we obtain $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \Sigma_{\rho, \delta, s}^m((L_k); F+G)$ from (2.8). Therefore $\Sigma_{\rho, \delta, s}^m((L_k); F+G) \subset \Sigma_{\rho, \delta, s}^m((L_k); F)$. Replacing F, G by $F+G, -G$ respectively, we have the conclusion.

§ 3. Proof of the Theorem

Let a and b be the amplitudes of F and tF respectively. From the definition of tF we have $b(x, y, \eta) = a(y, x, -\eta)$, thus we obtain

PROPOSITION 2 (Cf. [1], Proposition 3.2).

$$\Sigma_{\rho, \delta, s}^m((L_k); {}^tF) = \{(x, -\eta); (x, \eta) \in \Sigma_{\rho, \delta, s}^m((L_k); F)\}.$$

If $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \Sigma_{\rho, \delta, s}^m((L_k); F)$, then there exists a conic neighborhood V of $(x_0, -\xi_0)$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad C|b(x, x, \eta)| \geq |\eta|^m, \quad \text{if } |\eta| \geq R, (x, \eta) \in V,$$

$$(3.2) \quad |(D_x^p D_y^q D_\eta^r b)(x, x, \eta)| \leq C^{|\bar{p}+q+r|} p! M_{|q+r|} |\eta|^{-\rho|\bar{p}|+\delta|\bar{q}+r|} |b(x, x, \eta)|, \\ \text{if } |\eta| \geq R(|\bar{p}+q+r|+1)^s, (x, \eta) \in V.$$

We set

$$(3.3) \quad G_k = \max(T_k^s, k^s).$$

LEMMA 1. *Let*

$$P_k(x, \eta) = \sum_{|\bar{r}| < k} (D_x^{\bar{r}} d_y^{\bar{r}} b)(x, x, \eta) / r!, \quad k > 1 \quad (d_y^{\bar{r}} = (\partial/\partial y)^{\bar{r}}).$$

There exist constants $C, R > 0$ independent of k such that

$$(3.4) \quad C|P_k(x, \eta)| \geq |b(x, x, \eta)| \quad \text{when } |\eta| \geq RG_k,$$

$$(3.5) \quad |D_x^p D_y^q P_k(x, \eta)| \leq C^{|\bar{p}+q|} p! H_{|q|} |\eta|^{-\rho|\bar{p}|+\delta|\bar{q}|} |b(x, x, \eta)|$$

when

$$(3.6) \quad |\eta| \geq R(G_k + |\bar{p}+q|^s), \quad (x, \eta) \in V.$$

PROOF.

$$|D_x^p D_y^q (P_k(x, \eta) - b(x, x, \eta))| \leq \sum_{0 < |\bar{r}| < k} |(D_x^{\bar{p}+\bar{r}} (D_x + D_y)^q D_y^{\bar{r}} b)(x, x, \eta)| / r! \\ \leq C^{|\bar{p}+q|} p! H_{|q|} |\eta|^{-\rho|\bar{p}|+\delta|\bar{q}|} |b(x, x, \eta)| B(\eta)$$

where

$$B(\eta) = \sum_{0 < |r| < k} \binom{p+r}{r} \left(\frac{CT_{|r|}}{|\eta|^{\rho-\delta}} \right)^{|r|} \leq 2^{|p|} \sum_{0 < |r| < k} \left(\frac{2C}{R^{\rho-\delta}} \right)^{|r|}$$

in the set

$$(3.6)_0 \quad |\eta| \geq RG_k, \quad (x, \eta) \in V.$$

So we have

$$(3.5)' \quad |D_\eta^p D_x^q (P_k(x, \eta) - b(x, x, \eta))| \leq \frac{1}{2} C^{|p+q|} p! H_{|q|} |\eta|^{-\rho|p| + \delta|q|} |b(x, x, \eta)|,$$

provided that R is large enough. Combining (3.2) with this, we have (3.5). Let $p=q=0$ in (3.5)'. Then we have (3.4).

LEMMA 2. For each $k=1, 2, \dots$, we can find C^∞ functions $Q_{jk}(x, \eta)$, $j=0, 1, \dots, k-1$ such that

$$\sum D_\eta^r P_{k-j}(x, \eta) \cdot d_x^r Q_{jk}(x, \eta) / r! = \delta_{0h}, \quad h=0, 1, \dots, k-1,$$

in the set (3.6)₀, where \sum denotes the sum for all j, r with $j+|r|=h$, and d_x^r denotes $(\partial/\partial x)^r$. Moreover, in the set (3.6), the inequalities

$$(3.7) \quad |D_\eta^p D_x^q Q_{jk}(x, \eta)| \leq C^{j+|p+q|} p! H_{|q|+j} |\eta|^{-\rho|p| + \delta|q| - (\rho-\delta)j} |b(x, x, \eta)|^{-1}$$

hold, where the constants C and R are independent of j, k .

PROOF. For each k , determine recursively the functions Q_{jk} by means of the relations

$$(3.8)_0 \quad Q_{0k}(x, \eta) = 1/P_k(x, \eta)$$

and for $j=1, 2, \dots$,

$$(3.8)_j \quad Q_{jk}(x, \eta) = -\frac{1}{P_{k-j}(x, \eta)} \sum_{0 < |r| \leq j} D_\eta^r P_{k-j+|r|}(x, \eta) d_x^r Q_{j-|r|, k}(x, \eta) / r!.$$

We must estimate derivatives of Q_{jk} . By (3.8)₀ and (3.4)

$$(3.7)_0 \quad |D_\eta^p D_x^q Q_{0k}| \leq C_0^{|p+q|} p! M_{|q|} |\eta|^{-\rho|p| + \delta|q|} |b|^{-1} \quad (\text{in the set (3.6)})$$

is certainly true when $p=q=0$. From there on we reason (3.7)₀ by induction on $|p+q|$, assumed to be ≥ 1 . Differentiating $Q_{0k}(x, \eta)P_k(x, \eta)=1$, we have by the Leibniz formula

$$D_\eta^p D_x^q Q_{0k} = -Q_{0k} \sum' \binom{p}{p'} \binom{q}{q'} D_\eta^{p'} D_x^{q'} P_k D_\eta^{p-p'} D_x^{q-q'} Q_{0k}$$

where \sum' denotes the sum for all p', q' with $|p'+q'| > 0$, $p' \leq p$, and $q' \leq q$. The inductive hypothesis and (3.5) imply

$$|D_{\eta}^p D_x^q Q_{0k}| \leq C_0^{p+q} p! H_{|q|} |\eta|^{-\rho|p|-\delta|q|} A$$

where

$$A = \sum' \binom{q}{q'} C_0^{p'+q'} C_0^{-|p'+q'|} H_{|q'|} H_{|q-q'|} / H_{|q|}$$

with C in (3.5). Since $\binom{q}{q'} \leq \binom{|q|}{|q'|}$, we obtain, in view of (2.3),

$$A \leq \sum_{|p'+q'| > 0} (C/C_0)^{|p'+q'|}.$$

We have $A \leq 1$, provided that C_0 is large enough in comparison to C , whence (3.7)₀. Therefore, it holds that

$$(3.7)_j \quad |D_{\eta}^p D_x^q Q_{jk}| \leq C_1^{p+q+2j} p! H_{|q|+j} |\eta|^{-(\rho-\delta)j-\rho|p|+\delta|q|} |b|^{-1}$$

where $|\eta| \geq R(G_k + (j + |p+q|)^s)$, $(x, \eta) \in V$,

for $j=0$ and for all p, q . It suffices to show that (3.7)_j holds for $j=1, \dots, k$, since $G_k + (j + |p+q|)^s \leq 2^{s+1}(G_k + |p+q|^s)$ if $j \leq k$. From there on we reason by induction on j , assumed to be ≥ 1 . By (3.8)_j, the Leibniz formula implies

$$|D_{\eta}^p D_x^q Q_{jk}| \leq \sum'' \frac{p!}{p'! p''! p'''!} \frac{q!}{q'! q''! q'''!} \frac{1}{r!} |D_{\eta}^{p'} D_x^{q'} Q_{0, k-j}|$$

$$\times |D_{\eta}^{p''+r} D_x^{q''} P_{k-j+|r|}| |D_{\eta}^{p'''+r} D_x^{q'''+r} Q_{j-|r|, k}|$$

where \sum'' denotes the sum for all $p', p'', p''', q', q'', q''', r$ with $p'+p''+p'''=p$, $q'+q''+q'''=q$, $0 < |r| \leq j$. In view of (3.5) and (3.7)₀, the inductive hypothesis implies that

$$|D_{\eta}^p D_x^q Q_{jk}| \leq C_1^{p+q+2j} p! |\eta|^{-(\rho-\delta)j-\rho|p|+\delta|q|} |b|^{-1} B,$$

$$B = \sum'' (C_0/C_1)^{p'+q'} (C/C_1)^{|p''+q''+r|} (p''+r)! / p''! r!$$

$$\times H_{|q'|} H_{|q''|} H_{|q'''+j|} q! / q'! q''! q'''!$$

$$\leq H_{|q|+j} \sum'' (C_0/C_1)^{p'+q'} (2C/C_1)^{|p''+q''+r|}$$

$$\times \frac{|q|! (|q'''+j)!}{|q'''+j|! (|q|+j)!}.$$

Since

$$\frac{|q|! (|q'''+j)!}{|q'''+j|! (|q|+j)!} = \prod_{h=|q'''+1}^{|q|} \frac{h}{h+j} \leq 1,$$

we have

$$B \leq H_{|q|+j},$$

provided that C_1 is large enough in comparison to C_0 and to C . This completes the proof.

Now we use the following fact (F. Trèves [8], Chapter V).

LEMMA 3. *There is a constant C , depending only on n , such that given any open subset W of \mathbf{R}^n , any number $d > 0$, any integer $k > 0$, there is a C^∞ function g_k in \mathbf{R}^n , having the following properties.*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq g_k \leq 1 \text{ everywhere, } g_k = 1 \text{ in } W, \\ g_k(x) = 0 \text{ if } \text{dist}(x, W) > d, \\ |D^p g_k| \leq (Ck/d)^{|p|} \text{ for all } p \text{ such that } |p| \leq k. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

LEMMA 4. *Let Γ, Γ' be open cones $\subset \mathbf{R}^n - 0$, such that $\bar{\Gamma} - 0 \subset \Gamma'$. For any $R > 0$, there exist C^∞ functions p_k in \mathbf{R}^n , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq p_k \leq 1 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n \\ p_k(\eta) = 1 \text{ when } |\eta| > 2RG_k \text{ and } \eta \in \Gamma, \\ \text{supp } p_k \subset \{\eta \in \Gamma'; |\eta| \geq RG_k\}, \\ |D^p p_k(\eta)| \leq (Ck/|\eta|)^{|p|} \text{ when } |p| \leq k, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C is independent of k .

PROOF. There exists a constant d such that $0 < d < 1/2$ and

$$\{\eta; \text{dist}(\eta, W) \leq d\} \subset \Gamma', \quad \text{where } W = \{\eta \in \Gamma; |\eta| > 1/2\}.$$

Let g_k be as in Lemma 3. If $r_k(\eta) = g_k(\eta/|\eta|)$, then we have

$$|D^p r_k(\eta)| \leq (Ck/|\eta|)^{|p|} \quad (|p| \leq k).$$

We take another W, d :

$$W = \{\eta \in \mathbf{R}^n; |\eta| > 3RG_k/2\}, \quad d = RG_k/2.$$

Let g_k be as in Lemma 3 and set $s_k(\eta) = g_k(\eta)$. We have

$$|D^p s_k| \leq (Ck/G_k)^{|p|}.$$

Since $s_k(\eta) = 1$ when $|\eta| \geq 2RG_k$, $p_k(\eta) = s_k(\eta)r_k(\eta)$ has the required properties.

Let V be as in (3.1), (3.2). We take open conic neighborhoods $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_4$ of $-\xi_0$ and open neighborhoods U_1, \dots, U_4 of x_0 such that

$$\bar{U}_1 \text{ is compact, } \bar{U}_{j+1} \subset U_j, \quad \Gamma_{j+1} - 0 \subset \Gamma_j, \quad \bar{U}_1 \times (\bar{\Gamma}_1 - 0) \subset V.$$

Let g_{jk}, p_{jk} be such functions as g_k, p_k in Lemma 3, Lemma 4 respectively, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} g_{jk} = 1 \text{ in } U_{j+1}, \text{ supp } g_{jk} \subset U_j, \\ p_{jk}(\eta) = 1 \text{ when } |\eta| \geq (2j+1)RG_k \text{ and } \eta \in \Gamma_{2j}, \\ \text{supp } p_{jk} \subset \{\eta \in \Gamma_{2j-1}; |\eta| \geq 2jRG_k\}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by g_k, h_k, w_k, p_k, q_k the functions $g_{1k}, g_{2k}, g_{3k}, p_{1k}, p_{2k}$ respectively.

Let Q_{jk} be as in Lemma 2 and let us set

$$(3.9) \quad Q^k(y, \zeta) = g_k(y)q_k(\zeta)\sum_{j < k} Q_{jk}(y, \zeta).$$

We denote by K_k the pseudodifferential operator whose amplitude is $Q^k(x, \xi)/h_k(y)$. Since tF and K_k are properly supported, so is $S_k = {}^tFK_k - I$. We consider the pseudodifferential equation

$$(3.10) \quad Fu = f \in \mathcal{D}'(X), \quad u \in \mathcal{D}'(X).$$

To prove our Theorem, it suffices to show that

$$(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_{L'}(u) \quad \text{when} \quad (x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_{L'}(f) \cup \sum_{\rho, \delta, s}^m ((L_k); F)$$

for some m . Let V be as above. We may assume that

$$(3.11) \quad \{(y, -\eta); (y, \eta) \in \bar{V}\} \cap WF_{L'}(f) = \emptyset.$$

From (3.10) we have, for any $v \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$,

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \langle u, {}^tFK_k v \rangle - \langle u, S_k v \rangle = \langle f, K_k v \rangle - \langle u, S_k v \rangle.$$

In particular we take $v(z) = w_k(z)e^{-i\langle z, \xi \rangle}$, $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$ considered as a parameter. We have

$$\widehat{w_k u}(\xi) = \theta_k(\xi) - \langle u(x), I_k(x, \xi) \rangle$$

where

$$(3.12) \quad I_k(x, \xi) = S_k v_k(x), \quad v_k(z) = w_k(z)e^{-i\langle z, \xi \rangle},$$

$$(3.13) \quad \theta_k(\xi) = \langle f, K_k v_k \rangle.$$

Let Γ be an open conic neighborhood of Γ_0 such that $\bar{\Gamma} - 0 \subset -\Gamma_1$. We shall estimate $\widehat{w_k u}(\xi)$ when $\xi \in \Gamma$.

LEMMA 5. *If $|p|, |q| \leq k$, then*

$$|D_x^p D_y^q Q^k(y, \zeta)| \leq C^k p! \bar{H}_{|q|} |\zeta|^{-\rho|p| + \delta|q|} |b(y, y, \zeta)|^{-1}$$

where C is independent of k .

PROOF. By (3.9) and (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D_x^p D_y^q Q^k(y, \zeta)| &\leq \sum' \binom{p}{p'} \binom{q}{q'} (Ck)^{|p-p'|} |D_x^{p-p'} q_k(\zeta)| \\ &\quad \times C^{|\alpha+q'+j|} p'! |\zeta|^{-\rho|p'+j| + \delta|q'|} |b|^{-1} B \end{aligned}$$

where \sum' denotes the sum for all j, p', q' with $j < k, p' \leq p, q' \leq q$, and

$$B = H_{|q'+j|} |\zeta|^{-(\rho-\delta)j} \leq C^{|\alpha|} \bar{H}_{|q'|} (C/R^{\rho-\delta})^j.$$

As $k^h \leq h!e^k \leq C^k \bar{H}_h$, we have

$$|D_x^p D_y^q Q^k(y, \zeta)| \leq C^{|\mathcal{P}+q|+k} p! \bar{H}_{|q|} |\zeta|^{-\rho|\mathcal{P}|+\delta|q|} |b|^{-1},$$

if R is large enough in comparison to C .

Since $\eta^p \hat{w}_k(\eta) = \int e^{-i\langle z, \eta \rangle} D^p w_k(z) dz$, it follows that

$$(3.14) \quad |\hat{w}_k(\eta)| \leq (Ck)^j (k + |\eta|)^{-j} \quad \text{when } j \leq k, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

In view of Peetre's inequality, it also follows that

$$(3.15) \quad |\hat{w}_k(\eta + \zeta)| \leq C^j (k + |\eta|)^{-j} (k + |\zeta|)^j \quad \text{when } j \leq k, \eta, \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Now we estimate (3.13). By (3.11), there exists a bounded sequence $f_J \in \mathcal{E}'$, $J=1, 2, \dots$ such that

$$f_J = f \text{ in } U_1, \quad |\hat{f}_J(\eta)| \leq C^J M_J \langle \eta \rangle^{-J} \quad \text{when } \eta \in -\Gamma_1.$$

Since f_J is bounded, there are constants C, n' such that

$$|\hat{f}_J(\eta)| \leq C \langle \eta \rangle^{n'} \quad \text{for any } \eta \in \mathbf{R}^n, J=1, 2, \dots.$$

As $\text{supp } K_k v_k \subset U_1$, Parseval's formula implies

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_k(\xi) &= \int \hat{f}_J(\eta) K_k v_k(-\eta) \bar{d}\eta \\ &= \int e^{i\langle \eta, \xi + \zeta \rangle} \hat{f}_J(\eta) Q^k(y, \zeta) \hat{w}_k(\xi + \zeta) dy d\xi \end{aligned}$$

where $d\xi = \bar{d}\eta \bar{d}\zeta$. We split the integral into two parts;

$$\theta_k(\xi) = \int_{CA} + \int_A = I^1 + I^2, \quad \text{say,}$$

where $A = \{(\eta, \zeta); \eta \in -\Gamma_1, |\zeta|/2 \leq |\eta| \leq 2|\zeta|\}$, CA is the complement of A . In the integral I^1 , there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$|\eta + \zeta| \geq c(|\eta| + |\zeta|)$$

So we have by integration by parts and by Lemma 5, when $J \leq k$,

$$|I^1| \leq \int_{CA} C^J (|\eta| + |\zeta|)^{-J} |\hat{f}_J(\eta)| C^k \bar{H}_J |\zeta|^{\delta J - m} |\hat{w}_k(\xi + \zeta)| d\xi$$

where m is as in (3.1). As $|\zeta| \geq k$ in the support of Q^k , we have by (3.15)

$$|I^1| \leq C^k \bar{H}_J \langle \xi \rangle^{-N} \int \langle \eta \rangle^{-n-1} \langle \zeta \rangle^{n'} d\xi$$

where $n'' = -(1-\delta)J + N - m + n' + n + 1$, $N \leq J$. The last integral is convergent, provided that $n'' \leq -n-1$. Therefore, we have

$$|I^1| \leq C^k \bar{H}_J \langle \xi \rangle^{-N} \quad \text{when } k \geq J \geq \tau N + C$$

for some constant C , where τ is as in (2.6). It holds by (3.15) that

$$|I^2| \leq \int_A |\hat{f}(\eta)| |Q^k(y, \zeta)| C^N \langle \xi \rangle^{-N} \langle \zeta \rangle^N dy d\mathcal{E} \leq C^k M_J \langle \xi \rangle^{-N} \int_A \langle \eta \rangle^{-J} \langle \zeta \rangle^{N-m} d\mathcal{E}.$$

If $-J + N - m \leq -2(n+1)$, then the last integral converges. Therefore we have proved that

$$|\theta_k(\xi)| \leq C^k \max(M_J, \bar{H}_J) \langle \xi \rangle^{-N} \quad \text{when } k \geq J \geq \tau N + C.$$

Next we estimate $\langle u(x), I_k(x, \xi) \rangle$. Since $\text{supp}_x I_k(x, \xi)$ is contained in a compact set K independent of k, ξ , there exist C, m'' such that

$$(3.16) \quad |\langle u(x), I_k(x, \xi) \rangle| \leq C \sum_{|p| \leq m''} \sup_{x \in K} |D_x^p I_k(x, \xi)|.$$

It follows from (3.12) that

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} I_k(x, \xi) &= B_k(x, \xi) - w_k(x)^{-i(x, \xi)}, \\ B_k(x, \xi) &= {}^t F K_k v_k(x) \\ &= \int e^{i\phi} A_k(x, y, z, \eta, \zeta) dW \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi = \phi(x, y, z, \eta, \zeta) = \langle x - y, \eta \rangle + \langle y - z, \zeta \rangle - \langle z, \xi \rangle$, $dW = dy dz d\mathcal{E}$,

$$A_k(x, y, z, \eta, \zeta) = b(x, y, \eta) Q^k(y, \zeta) w_k(z).$$

We split the integral into two parts;

$$\begin{aligned} B_k(x, \xi) &= \int e^{i\phi} p_k(\eta) A_k dW + \int e^{i\phi} (1 - p_k) A_k dW \\ &= I + J^1, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

By Taylor's formula

$$b(x, y, \eta) = \sum_{|r| < h} \frac{(y-x)^r}{r!} (d_y^r b)(x, x, \eta) + \sum_{|r|=h} (y-x)^r b_r(x, y, \eta)$$

and by the relation

$$(y-x)^r e^{i\phi} = (-D_\eta)^r e^{i\phi},$$

we have that $I = J^2 + J^3 + I'$, where

$$\begin{aligned} J^2 &= \sum' \int e^{i\phi} D_\eta^r (p_k(\eta) b_r(x, y, \eta)) Q^{jk}(y, \zeta) w_k(z) dW, \\ Q^{jk}(y, \zeta) &= g_k(y) q_k(\zeta) Q_{jk}(y, \zeta), \\ J^3 &= \sum'' \int e^{i\phi} \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{r' < r} \binom{r}{r'} D_\eta^{r-r'} p_k(\eta) (D_\eta^{r'} d_y^r b)(x, x, \eta) Q^{jk}(y, \zeta) w_k(z) dW, \end{aligned}$$

$$I' = \sum_{j < k} \int e^{i\phi} p_k(\eta) P_{k-j}(x, \eta) Q^{jk}(y, \zeta) w_k(z) dW,$$

Σ' (resp. Σ'') denotes the sum for all j, r with $j + |r| = k$ and $j < k$ (resp. $j + |r| < k$). By the Taylor's formula

$$P_j(x, \eta) = \sum_{|r| < k} \frac{(\eta - \zeta)^r}{r!} d_r^x P_j(x, \zeta) + \sum_{|r| = k} (\eta - \zeta)^r P_{rj}(x, \eta, \zeta)$$

and by integration by parts, it follows that $I' = J^* + I''$, where

$$J^* = \sum' \int e^{i\phi} P_{r, k-j}(x, \eta, \zeta) D_y^r Q^{jk}(y, \zeta) p_k(\eta) w_k(z) dW,$$

$$I'' = \int e^{i\phi} Z_k(x, y, z, \zeta) p_k(\eta) dW,$$

$$Z_k(x, y, z, \zeta) = \sum'' Z_{rjk}(x, y, \zeta) w_k(z),$$

$$Z_{rjk}(x, y, \zeta) = D_\zeta^r P_{k-j}(x, \zeta) d_y^r Q^{jk}(y, \zeta) / r!.$$

Splitting the integral I'' into two parts;

$$I'' = I''' + J^5,$$

$$J^5 = \int e^{i\phi} (p_k - 1) Z_k dW, \quad I''' = \int e^{i\phi} Z_k dW,$$

and using the Fourier inversion formula, we obtain

$$I''' = \int e^{i\phi} Z_k(x, x, z, \zeta) dz d\bar{\zeta}, \quad \varphi(x, z, \zeta) = \langle x, \zeta \rangle - \langle z, \xi + \zeta \rangle.$$

Moreover we divide the integral I''' into two parts;

$$I''' = J^6 + I^{(4)},$$

$$J^6 = \sum'' \int e^{i\phi} D_\zeta^r P_{k-j}(x, \zeta) d_x^r ((g_k(x) - 1) Q_{jk}(x, \zeta)) q_k(\zeta) w_k(z) dz d\bar{\zeta}.$$

By Lemma 2 we have

$$I^{(4)} = J^7 + J^8 + w_k(x) e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle},$$

where

$$J^7 = \int_S e^{i\langle x, \zeta \rangle} (q_k(\zeta) - 1) \hat{w}_k(\xi + \zeta) d\bar{\zeta},$$

$$J^8 = \int_{cS} , \quad \text{where } S = \{\zeta \in \mathbf{R}^n; |\zeta| \leq 5RG_k\}.$$

By (3.17) we have

$$(3.18) \quad I_k(x, \xi) = J^1 + \dots + J^8.$$

We shall estimate each J^j . First, note that

$$(3.19) \quad |\eta - \zeta| \geq c(|\eta| + |\zeta|) \quad \text{when } \eta \in \text{supp}(1 - p_k), \zeta \in \text{supp } q_k$$

for some constant $c > 0$. Using the operator

$$(3.20) \quad \frac{-i}{|\eta - \zeta|^2} \sum_{j=1}^n (\eta_j - \zeta_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j},$$

we have (by integration by parts with respect to y -variables)

$$|D_x^p J^1| \leq C^k \bar{H}_k \langle \xi \rangle^{-N} \quad \text{if } k \geq \tau N + C, |p| \leq m''$$

for some constant C , where m'' is as in (3.16). Similarly it follows that

$$|D_x^p J^j| \leq C^k H_k \langle \xi \rangle^{-N} \quad \text{if } k \geq \sigma N + C, j = 3, 5.$$

It is easily checked that

$$|D_x^p J^7| \leq C^k G_k^N \langle \xi \rangle^{-N} \quad \text{if } k \geq N + C.$$

Since

$$|\xi + \zeta| \geq c(|\xi| + |\zeta|) \quad \text{if } \zeta \in \text{supp}(q_k - 1), \xi \in I', \zeta \in S,$$

it also follows that

$$|D_x^p J^8| \leq C^k N! \langle \xi \rangle^{-N} \quad \text{if } k \geq N + C.$$

In the integral J^6 , it holds that

$$|x - z| \geq c \quad \text{for some constant } c > 0.$$

Therefore we can use the operator

$$\frac{i}{|x - z|^2} \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - z_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j},$$

and we get

$$|D_x^p J^6| \leq C^k H_k \langle \xi \rangle^{-N} \quad \text{if } k \geq \sigma N + C.$$

To estimate J^2 , we use the operator (3.20) on the set

$$A = \{(\eta, \zeta); |\eta| \geq 2|\zeta| \text{ or } |\zeta| \geq 2|\eta|\}.$$

(It holds that $|\eta - \zeta| \geq (|\eta| + |\zeta|)/4$ on A .) Since $|\eta|$ is dominated by $2|\zeta|$ on the complement of A , and as

$$b_r(x, y, \eta) = \frac{|x|}{r!} \int_0^1 (d_y^r b)(x, tx + (1-t)y, \eta) t^{r-1} dt,$$

we can get

$$|D_x^p J^2| \leq C^k H_k \langle \xi \rangle^{-N} \quad \text{when } k \geq \sigma N + C.$$

It remains to estimate J^A . Note that

$$|t\zeta + (1-t)\eta| > c(t|\zeta| + (1-t)|\eta|) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1, \eta \in \text{supp } p_k, \zeta \in \text{supp } q_k.$$

Since

$$P_{rj}(x, \eta, \zeta) = \frac{|r|}{r!} \int_0^1 (d_x^r P_j)(x, (1-t)\eta + t\zeta) t^{|r|-1} dt,$$

we have for $r > 0$ and $|p| \leq m''$

$$|D_x^p P_{rj}(x, \eta, \zeta)| \leq C^k (|\eta| + |\zeta|)^{m' + \delta m''} \langle \zeta \rangle^{-\rho|r| + \rho}.$$

Using the operator

$$\frac{-i}{|\eta|^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j},$$

we have

$$|D_x^p J^A| \leq C^k H_k \langle \xi \rangle^{-N}, \quad \text{if } k \geq \sigma N + C.$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

References

- [1] Bolley, P. and Camus, J., Régularité Gevrey et itérés pour une classe d'opérateurs hypoelliptiques. *Comm. in P. D. E.* **6** (1981), 1057-1110.
- [2] Boutet de Monvel and Krée, P., Pseudo-differential operators and Gevrey classes. *Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble*, **17** (1967), 295-323.
- [3] Hörmander, L., Pseudo-differential operators and hypoelliptic equations. *Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math.* **10** (1967), 138-183.
- [4] Hörmander, L., Fourier integral operators, I. *Acta Math.* **127** (1971), 79-183.
- [5] Hörmander, L., Uniqueness theorems and wave front sets for solutions of linear differential equations with analytic coefficients. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **24** (1971), 671-704.
- [6] Kotake, T. and Narasimhan, M. S., Regularity theorems for fractional powers of a linear elliptic operator. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **90** (1962), 449-471.
- [7] Roumieu, C., Ultra-distributions définies sur R^n et sur certaines classes de variétés différentiables. *J. Analyse Math.* **10** (1962-63), 153-192.
- [8] Treves, F., *Introduction to pseudodifferential and Fourier integral operators*, 1. Plenum, New York, 1980.