

ON THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR THE NONLINEAR KLEIN-GORDON EQUATION WITH A CUBIC CONVOLUTION

By

Takahiro MOTAI

Abstract. We study the Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation with a cubic convolution $\{V_\gamma * (w(t))^2\}w(t)$, where $V_\gamma(x) = |x|^{-\gamma}$, in $(x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}$. We prove the existence of weak solutions for $0 < \gamma < n$. We also prove that for $0 < \gamma < \text{Min}\{4, n\}$ the weak solution is unique and there exists a regular solution.

Key Words. nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation, cubic convolution, Cauchy problem, global solution, uniqueness.

1. Introduction and Results.

We consider the Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation;

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 w(t) - \Delta w(t) + w(t) + F(w(t)) = 0 \\ w(0) = \phi(x), \quad \partial_t w(0) = \psi(x) \end{cases}$$

in $(x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}$. Here $w(t)$ is a real valued function and

$$(1.2) \quad F(w(t)) = \{V_\gamma * f(w(t))\}w(t),$$

where $f(w) = w^2$, $V_\gamma(x) = |x|^{-\gamma}$ ($0 < \gamma < n$) and $*$ denotes the spatial convolution. The study of this equation was begun in Strauss [13] and Menzala and Strauss [9]. In [9] they proved the existence of a global regular solution of (1.1) for $0 < \gamma \leq 3$. The main purpose of the present paper is to prove the same result for $0 < \gamma < \text{Min}\{4, n\}$. The upper bound $\text{Min}\{4, n\}$ of γ has been already appeared in the case of nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the same nonlinear term. The case of Schrödinger equation has been studied by Chadam and Glassey [2], Glassey [6], Ginibre and Velo [4] and Hayashi and Tsutsumi [7]. It seems that $\text{Min}\{4, n\}$ is a critical value caused by the Sobolev embedding theorem.

In order to state our results, we give the main notations used in this paper. We denote by $\|\cdot\|_p$ the norm in $L_p = L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Let $H_p^s = H_p^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $s \in \mathbf{R}$ and

$1 \leq p < \infty$ (especially $H^s = H^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $p=2$) be the Sobolev spaces which are the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with norms

$$\|u\|_{s,p} = \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}((1+|\xi|^2)^{s/2}\hat{u}(\xi))\|_p.$$

Here $\hat{\cdot}$ denotes the Fourier transformation and \mathcal{F}^{-1} is its inverse. For any interval $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ and any Banach space B , we denote by $C^k(I; B)$ the space of B -valued C^k -functions over I , and by $C_w(I; B)$ the space of weakly continuous functions from I to B , and by $C_L(I; B)$ the space of functions from I to B that are strongly Lipschitz continuous. We denote by $C^k(I; \mathcal{D}')$ the space of \mathcal{D}' -valued functions $u(t)$ such that $\langle u(t), v \rangle$ is in $C^k(I)$ for any $v \in \mathcal{D}$.

We shall use the operator $\zeta(H)$ for suitable functions $\zeta(\cdot)$ as follows:

$$\zeta(H)u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\zeta(\langle \xi \rangle)\hat{u}(\xi)) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'.$$

where $\langle \xi \rangle = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$ and \mathcal{S}' means the tempered distribution.

Now we are ready to state our results.

THEOREM 1. *Let $0 < \gamma < n$ ($n \geq 1$). Assume that $(\phi, \psi) \in H^1 \cap L_{4n/(2n-\gamma)} \times L_2$. Then there exists a weak solution $w(t)$ of (1.1) which satisfies the following:*

$$(1.3) \quad w(t) \in L_\infty(\mathbf{R}; H^1) \cap C_w(\mathbf{R}; H^1) \cap C_L(\mathbf{R}; L_2) \cap C^2(\mathbf{R}; \mathcal{D}'),$$

$$(1.4) \quad F(w(t)) \in L_\infty(\mathbf{R}; L_{2n/(n+\gamma)}) \cap C(\mathbf{R}; \mathcal{D}')$$

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle w(t), v \rangle = & \langle \phi, \cos\{Ht\}v \rangle + \langle \phi, H^{-1} \sin\{Ht\}v \rangle \\ & - \int_0^t \langle F(w(\tau)), H^{-1} \sin\{H(t-\tau)\}v \rangle d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle w(t), v \rangle + \langle w(t), (-\Delta + 1)v \rangle + \langle F(w(t)), v \rangle = 0 \\ \langle w(0), v \rangle = \langle \phi, v \rangle, \quad \frac{d}{dt} \langle w(0), v \rangle = \langle \psi, v \rangle. \end{cases}$$

Here $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and (\cdot, \cdot) is L_2 -inner product. And we have the energy inequality

$$(1.7) \quad E(w(t), \partial_t w(t)) \leq E(\phi, \psi) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

where

$$(1.8) \quad E(\phi, \psi) = \frac{1}{2} \|\phi\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\psi\|_{1,2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} V_{(n+\gamma)/2} * f(\phi)\|_2^2.$$

THEOREM 2. *Let $0 < \gamma < \text{Min}\{4, n\}$ ($n \geq 1$) and $(\phi, \psi) \in H^1 \times L_2$. Let I be an open interval in \mathbf{R} and $0 \in I$. Then there exists at most one $w(t)$ which satisfies (1.5) and*

$$(1.9) \quad w(t) \in L_\infty^{loc}(I; H^1) \quad \text{for } 0 < \gamma \leq 3,$$

$$(1.10) \quad w(t) \in L^\infty(I; H^1) \cap L^1_r(I; L_{p'}) \quad \text{for } 3 < \gamma < 4,$$

where $1/p' = 1/2 - (\gamma - 1)/2n$ and $1/r = (\gamma - 3)/2$.

THEOREM 3. Let $0 < \gamma < \text{Min}\{4, n\}$ ($n \geq 1$).

(i) Let $(\phi, \psi) \in H^1 \times L_2$. Then $w(t)$ which is obtained by Theorem 1 is unique and satisfies the following:

$$(1.11) \quad w(t) \in C(\mathbf{R}; H^1) \cap C^1(\mathbf{R}; L_2) \quad \text{for } 0 < \gamma \leq 3,$$

$$(1.12) \quad w(t) \in C(\mathbf{R}; H^1) \cap C^1(\mathbf{R}; L_2) \cap L^1_r(\mathbf{R}; L_{p'}) \quad \text{for } 3 < \gamma < 4,$$

$$(1.13) \quad E(w(t), \partial_t w(t)) = E(\phi, \psi) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbf{R},$$

where r and p' are given in Theorem 2.

(ii) Let $(\phi, \psi) \in H^k \times H^{k-1}$ ($k \in \mathbf{N}$ (natural number) and $k \geq 2$). Then (1.1) has a unique solution $w(t)$ which satisfies

$$(1.14) \quad w(t) \in \bigcap_{i=0}^k C^i(\mathbf{R}; H^{k-i}).$$

COROLLARY. (i) If $k > n/2 + 2$, $w(t)$ is in $C^2(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R})$.

(ii) If $k = \infty$, $w(t)$ is in $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R})$.

REMARK. (i) If $1 < \gamma < \text{Min}\{4, n\}$, we have $H^1 \hookrightarrow L_{4n/(2n-\gamma)}$ by the Sobolev embedding theorem. So the initial condition $\phi \in H^1 \cap L_{4n/(2n-\gamma)}$ becomes $\phi \in H^1$ in Theorem 2 and 3.

(ii) The upper bound $\text{Min}\{4, n\}$ of γ has been already appeared in the case of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. (See [4] and [7].)

Theorem 1 is proved by the compactness method which were used by Segal in [12]. He used this method for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation with the power nonlinearity. (See also Reed [11] 5.) We can choose a convergent subsequence from solutions of the equation which approximate (1.1) by the double convolution mollifier due to Ginibre and Velo [3].

In the case $0 < \gamma \leq 3$ the same results of Theorem 2 and 3 have been already proved by [9]. Thus, we shall prove Theorem 2 and 3 in the case $3 < \gamma < 4$.

Theorem 2 is proved by the contraction method.

In order to prove Theorem 3, we show that a weak solution obtained by Theorem 1 becomes a regular solution. For this purpose we estimate the solutions of the approximating equation used for the proof of Theorem 1. This method has been already used by Ginibre and Velo [5] and Motai [10] in the case where $F(w)$ is the power nonlinearity.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.

First we approximate the nonlinear term by the double convolution mollifier due to Ginibre and Velo [3]. We choose an even non-negative function $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\|h\|_1=1$. For any $j \in \mathbf{N}$ (natural number) we put

$$(2.1) \quad F_j(u) = h_j * \{V_\gamma * f(h_j * u)h_j * u\},$$

where $h_j(x) = j^n h(jx)$. Corresponding to (2.1), we consider the Cauchy problem;

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 w_j(t) - \Delta w_j(t) + w_j(t) + F_j(w_j(t)) = 0 \\ w_j(0) = h_j * \phi, \quad \partial_t w_j(0) = h_j * \psi. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $0 < \gamma < n$ ($n \geq 1$). Assume that $(\phi, \psi) \in H^1 \cap L_{4n/(2n-\gamma)} \times L_2$. Then for all $j \in \mathbf{N}$ (2.2) has a unique solution $w_j(t)$ such that*

$$(2.3) \quad w_j(t) \in \bigcap_{i=0}^k C^i(\mathbf{R}; H^{k-i}) \quad \text{for any } k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

And $w_j(t)$ satisfies the integral equation in H^k ;

$$(2.4) \quad w_j(t) = w_j^0(t) - \int_0^t H^{-1} \sin \{H(t-\tau)\} F_j(w_j(\tau)) d\tau,$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad w_j^0(t) = \cos \{Ht\} h_j * \phi + H^{-1} \sin \{Ht\} h_j * \psi.$$

In addition the conservation of energy holds;

$$(2.6) \quad E_j(w_j(t), \partial_t w_j(t)) = E_j(h_j * \phi, h_j * \psi) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbf{R},$$

where

$$(2.7) \quad E_j(\phi, \psi) = \frac{1}{2} \|\phi\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\psi\|_{1,2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|V_{(n+\gamma)/2} * f(h_j * \phi)\|_2^2.$$

PROOF. Applying Reed [11] Theorem 2 in section 1 to (2.2), we can show the existence of a unique global solution. Employing the same arguments as in Ginibre and Velo [3] Proposition 3.3, we can also prove (2.6). \square

We obtain the following lemma by the compactness method.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $w_j(t)$ ($j \in \mathbf{N}$) be a solution of (2.2) obtained by Lemma 2.1. Then $\{w_j(t)\}$ has a convergent subsequence (again denoted by $\{w_j(t)\}$) as follows: For any compact interval $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ and any compact subset $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$*

$$(2.8) \quad w_j(t) \longrightarrow w(t) \quad \text{in } C(I; L_2(K)) \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here $w(t)$ satisfies

$$(2.9) \quad w(t) \in L_\infty(\mathbf{R}; H^1) \cap C_w(\mathbf{R}; H^1) \cap C_L(\mathbf{R}; L_2).$$

PROOF. Noting (2.6), the Ascoli-Arzela theorem yields (2.8) and (2.9). For details please refer to Segal [12] and Reed [11] 5. \square

The following lemma is the well-known Sobolev's inequality.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $1 < q < p < \infty$ and $0 < \gamma < n$ ($n \geq 1$). Then we have*

$$(2.10) \quad \|V_\gamma * u\|_p \leq C \|u\|_q$$

provided that

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\gamma}{n} - 1.$$

PROOF. See Hörmander [8] Theorem 4.5.3 for a proof. \square

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $0 < \gamma < n$ ($n \geq 1$). We have*

$$(2.12) \quad \left| \int V_\gamma * f(w)(x) u(x) v(x) dx \right| \leq C \|V_{(n+\gamma)/2} * f(w)\|_2 \|uv\|_{2n/(2n-\gamma)} \\ \leq C \|V_{(n+\gamma)/2} * f(w)\|_2 \|u\|_2 \|v\|_{2n/(n-\gamma)}$$

for suitable functions u, v and w .

PROOF. Using the Plancherel theorem and the Schwartz inequality we have

$$(2.13) \quad \int V_\gamma * f(w)(x) u(x) v(x) dx = (2n)^{-n} \int |\xi|^{(\gamma-n)/2} \hat{f}(w)(\xi) |\xi|^{(\gamma-n)/2} \hat{u}v(\xi) d\xi \\ \leq \|V_{(n+\gamma)/2} * f(w)\|_2 \|V_{(n+\gamma)/2} * (uv)\|_2.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.3 and the Hölder inequality that

$$(2.14) \quad \|V_{(n+\gamma)/2} * (uv)\|_2 \leq C \|uv\|_{2n/(2n-\gamma)} \leq C \|u\|_2 \|v\|_{2n/(n-\gamma)}.$$

(2.13) and (2.14) show that (2.12) holds. \square

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $0 < \gamma < n$ ($n \geq 1$). Let $w_j(t)$ be a solution of (2.2) obtained by Lemma 2.1. Then the following estimates holds:*

$$(2.15) \quad \|V_{(n+\gamma)/2} * f(h_j * w_j(t))\|_2 \leq C(\phi, \psi),$$

$$(2.16) \quad \|V_\gamma * f(h_j * w_j(t))\|_{2n/\gamma} \leq C(\phi, \psi),$$

$$(2.17) \quad \|F_j(w_j(t))\|_{2n/(n+\gamma)} \leq C(\phi, \psi)$$

for $j \in \mathbf{N}$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$, where $C(\phi, \psi)$ is a positive constant which is dependent on (ϕ, ψ) but independent of t and j .

PROOF. Noting (2.6), we have (2.15) by Lemma 2.3.

From Lemma 2.4 it follows that

$$(2.18) \quad \left| \int V_\gamma * f(h_j * w_j(t)) v(x) dx \right| \leq C \|V_{(n+\gamma)/2} * f(h_j * w_j(t))\|_2 \|v\|_{2n/(2n-\gamma)}$$

for $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Therefore we obtain (2.16) by (2.15), the density and the duality. Noting $\|w_j(t)\|_2 \leq C(\phi, \phi)$, (2.17) follows from (2.16) and the Hölder inequality. \square

LEMMA 2.6. *Let I be any compact interval in \mathbf{R} . Let $\{w_j(t)\}$ be a convergent subsequence obtained by Lemma 2.2. Then it has the following properties:*

$$(2.19) \quad V_{(n+\gamma)/2} * f(h_j * w_j(t)) \longrightarrow V_{(n+\gamma)/2} * f(w(t))$$

weakly in L_2 and uniformly on I and

$$(2.20) \quad F_j(w_j(t)) \longrightarrow F(w(t))$$

weakly in $L_{2n/(n+\gamma)}$ for $t \in I$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

In order to prove this lemma, we prepare two lemmas.

LEMMA 2.7. *For any compact interval $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ and any compact subset $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ we have*

$$(2.21) \quad h_j * w_j(t) \longrightarrow w(t) \quad \text{in } C(I; L_2(K)) \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. Noting (2.8), we can prove (2.21) easily. So we may omit the proof. \square

LEMMA 2.8. *Let $0 < \gamma < n$. For any compact interval $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ we have*

$$(2.22) \quad V_\gamma * f(h_j * w_j(t)) \longrightarrow V_\gamma * f(w(t)) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'$$

uniformly on I as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. Let $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\text{supp } v \subset \{x; |x| \leq R\}$. By the Fubini theorem we have

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \int V_\gamma * \{f(h_j * w_j(t)) - f(w(t))\} v(x) dx &= \int \{f(h_j * w_j(t)) - f(w(t))\} V_\gamma * v(x) dx \\ &= \int_{|x| \leq R+m} + \int_{|x| \geq R+m} \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Here m is a suitable number which will be chosen later. If $|x| \geq R+m$, we

have $|x-y| \geq m$ for $|y| \leq R$. Noting this, we obtain

$$(2.24) \quad |I_2| \leq m^{-\gamma} \int |f(h_j * w_j(t)) - f(w(t))| dx \int |v(y)| dy \\ \leq m^{-\gamma} (\|h_j * w_j(t)\|_2^2 + \|w(t)\|_2^2) \|v\|_1.$$

Next we estimate I_1 . We have

$$(2.25) \quad |I_1| \leq \int_{|x| \leq R+m} \left\{ |f(h_j * w_j(t)) - f(w(t))| \int_{|y| \leq R} |x-y|^{-\gamma} |v(y)| dy \right\} dx.$$

It follows from $n-1-\gamma > -1$ that

$$(2.26) \quad \int_{|y| \leq R} |x-y|^{-\gamma} |v(y)| dy \leq C(2R+m)^{n-\gamma} \|v\|_\infty.$$

This implies that

$$(2.27) \quad |I_1| \leq C(2R+m)^{n-\gamma} (\|w_j(t)\|_2 + \|w(t)\|_2) \|v\|_\infty \|h_j * w_j(t) - w(t)\|_{L_2(|x| \leq R+m)}.$$

Choosing m sufficiently large, we have (2.22) by (2.6), (2.9), (2.24), (2.27) and Lemma 2.7. \square

We are ready to prove Lemma 2.6.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.6. As $0 < (n+\gamma)/2 < n$, we have (2.19) by (2.15) and Lemma 2.8.

By (2.17) we obtain (2.20) if we can show that

$$(2.28) \quad F_j(w_j(t)) \longrightarrow F(w(t)) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}' \text{ for } t \in I$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$. For $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ we have

$$(2.29) \quad (F_j(w_j(t)) - F(w(t)), v) = (V_j * f(h_j * w_j(t)) h_j * w_j(t), h_j * v - v) \\ + (F(h_j * w_j(t)) - F(w(t)), v) \\ = I_1 + I_2.$$

Lemma 2.4, (2.15) and (2.6) imply that

$$(2.30) \quad |I_1| \leq C \|V_{(n+\gamma)/2} * f(h_j * w_j(t))\|_2 \|w_j(t)\|_2 \|h_j * v - v\|_{2n/(n-\gamma)} \\ \leq C(\phi, \phi) \|h_j * v - v\|_{2n/(n-\gamma)}.$$

We put

$$(2.31) \quad I_2 = (V_j * f(h_j * w_j(t)) \{h_j * w_j(t) - w(t)\}, v) \\ + (V_j * \{f(h_j * w_j(t)) - f(w(t))\} w(t), v) \\ = I_{21} + I_{22}.$$

Again by Lemma 2.4 and (2.15) we have

$$(2.32) \quad |I_{21}| \leq C(\phi, \psi) \|h_j * w_j(t) - w(t)\|_{L_2(\text{supp } v)} \|v\|_{2n/(n-\gamma)}.$$

We can rewrite I_{22} as follows:

$$(2.33) \quad I_{22} = \langle V_\gamma * \{f(h_j * w_j(t)) - f(w(t))\}, w(t)v \rangle.$$

On the other hand it follows from (2.16) and Lemma 2.8 that

$$(2.34) \quad V_\gamma * f(h_j * w_j(t)) \longrightarrow V_\gamma * f(w(t))$$

weakly in $L_{2n/\gamma}$ and uniformly on I as $j \rightarrow \infty$. By the Hölder inequality and (2.6) we have $w(t)v \in L_{2n/(2n-\gamma)}$. Noting this, (2.34) implies that $I_{22} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. So (2.30), (2.32) and Lemma 2.7 show that (2.28) holds. \square

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let $\{w_j(t)\}$ be a convergent subsequence obtained by Lemma 2.2. We multiply $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ by (2.4) and integrate on \mathbf{R}^n . Then we have

$$(2.35) \quad (w_j(t), v) = (h_j * \phi, \cos\{Ht\}v) + (h_j * \phi, H^{-1} \sin\{Ht\}v) - \int_0^t (F_j(w_j(\tau)), H^{-1} \sin\{H(t-\tau)\}v) d\tau.$$

Using the Hausdorff-Young inequality, we can show that $H^{-1} \sin\{H(t-\tau)\}v \in L_{2n/(n-\gamma)}$. Thus it follows from (2.20) that

$$(2.36) \quad (F_j(w_j(\tau)), H^{-1} \sin\{H(t-\tau)\}v) \longrightarrow (F(w(\tau)), H^{-1} \sin\{H(t-\tau)\}v)$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$. By the Hölder inequality, (2.17) and the Hausdorff-Young inequality we have

$$(2.37) \quad (F_j(w_j(\tau)), H^{-1} \sin\{H(t-\tau)\}v) \leq \|F_j(w_j(\tau))\|_{2n/(n+\gamma)} \|H^{-1} \sin\{H(t-\tau)\}v\|_{2n/(n-\gamma)} \leq C(\phi, \psi) \|\hat{v}\|_{2n/(n+\gamma)}.$$

(2.36) and (2.37) mean that we can use the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. Thus letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.35), we obtain (1.5).

Noting $\phi \in L_{4n/(2n-\gamma)}$, (2.6) and (2.19) imply (1.7).

Next we show that

$$(2.38) \quad (w(t), v) \in C^2(\mathbf{R}) \quad \text{for any } v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n).$$

From (1.5) it follows that $(w(t), v) \in C^1(\mathbf{R})$ and

$$(2.39) \quad \frac{d}{dt}(w(t), v) = -(\phi, H^{-1} \sin\{Ht\}v) + (\phi, \cos\{Ht\}v) - \int_0^t (F(w(\tau)), \cos\{H(t-\tau)\}v) d\tau.$$

If we show that

$$(2.40) \quad (F(w(t)), v) \in C(\mathbf{R}).$$

(2.38) can be proved. Let $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and be fixed. Put

$$(2.41) \quad \begin{aligned} J(\eta) &= (F(w(t+\eta)) - F(w(t)), v) \\ &= (V_{\gamma} * \{f(w(t+\eta)) - f(w(t))\} w(t), v) \\ &\quad + (V_{\gamma} * f(w(t+\eta)) \{w(t+\eta) - w(t)\}, v) \\ &= J_1(\eta) + J_2(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.12) we obtain

$$(2.42) \quad |J_2(\eta)| \leq C \|V_{(n+\gamma)/2} * f(w(t+\eta))\|_2 \|w(t+\eta) - w(t)\|_2 \|v\|_{2n/(n-\gamma)}.$$

From (1.7) and (2.9) it follows that $|J_2(\eta)| \rightarrow 0$ as $\eta \rightarrow 0$. By (2.3) and (2.16) we can show that

$$(2.43) \quad V_{\gamma} * f(h_j * w_j(t)) \in C_w(\mathbf{R}; L_{2n/\gamma}).$$

(2.34) and (2.43) imply that

$$(2.44) \quad V_{\gamma} * f(w(t)) \in C_w(\mathbf{R}; L_{2n/\gamma}).$$

Noting $w(t)v \in L_{2n/(2n-\gamma)}$, by (2.44) we have $|J_1(\eta)| \rightarrow 0$ as $\eta \rightarrow 0$. Then (2.40) is proved. Noting (2.9), (2.17) and (2.20), (1.3) and (1.4) have already been proved. (1.5) implies (1.6). Thus the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.

We begin with the well known estimates for the elementary solution of the linear Klein-Gordon equation.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $1 < p \leq 2$ and $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. Put $\delta(p') = 1/2 - 1/p'$.*

(i) *Let p', s' and s satisfy*

$$(3.1) \quad (n+1)\delta(p') \leq 1 + s - s'.$$

Then we have for $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$

$$(3.2) \quad \|H^{-1} \sin \{Ht\} g\|_{s', p'} \leq C |t|^{1+s-s'-2n\delta(p')} \|g\|_{s, p}.$$

(ii) *Put $1/r = s' + n\delta(p') - 1$. Let p', r and s' satisfy*

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{r} < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad s' \leq 1 - \frac{(n+1)}{2} \delta(p').$$

Then we have for $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$

$$(3.4) \quad \|H^{-1} \sin \{Ht\} g\|_{L^r(\mathbf{R}; H_{p'}^{s'})} \leq C \|g\|_2.$$

PROOF. (i) See Brenner [1] Appendix 2 for a proof.
 (ii) See Ginibre and Velo [5] Lemma 3.1 for a proof. \square

The following lemma is useful to estimate the nonlinear term.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let p, a, b and q satisfy*

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\gamma}{n} - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \frac{\gamma}{n} < \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} < 1.$$

Then we have

$$(3.6) \quad \|F(u) - F(v)\|_p \leq C(\|u - v\|_a \|u + v\|_b \|u\|_q + \|v\|_a \|v\|_b \|u - v\|_q)$$

for suitable functions u and v .

PROOF. By the Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.3 we have (3.6). (2.11) yields (3.5). \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. As mentioned in the introduction, we will prove in the case $3 < \gamma < 4$ ($n \geq 4$). Let I be an open interval and J be any finite interval such that $0 \in J \subset I$. Let I_0 be an interval such that $0 \in I_0 \subset J$. Put

$$X(I_0) = L_\infty(I_0; H^1) \cap L_r(I_0; L_{p'})$$

The norm of $X(I_0)$ is given by

$$\|u\|_{X(I_0)} = \text{Max}\{\|u\|_{L_\infty(I_0; H^1)}, \|u\|_{L_r(I_0; L_{p'})}\}.$$

From Lemma 2.4, Lemma 2.3 and the embedding $H^1 \hookrightarrow L_{4n/(2n-\gamma)}$ it follows that

$$(3.7) \quad \left| \int F(w(t))v(x)dx \right| \leq \|w(t)\|_{1,2}^3 \|v\|_{1,2} \\ \leq \|w\|_{X(J)}^3 \|v\|_{1,2}.$$

This means that $F(w(t)) \in H^{-1}$ for $t \in J$. Thus by (1.4) we have

$$(3.8) \quad w(t) = w^0(t) - \int_0^t H^{-1} \sin \{H(t-\tau)\} F(w(\tau)) d\tau$$

in L_2 for $t \in J$.

Let $w_1(t)$ and $w_2(t)$ be two solutions which satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2. From (3.8) we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad w_1(t) - w_2(t) = - \int_0^t H^{-1} \sin \{H(t-\tau)\} [F(w_1(\tau)) - F(w_2(\tau))] d\tau.$$

By Proposition 3.1 (i) we have

$$(3.10) \quad \|w_1(t) - w_2(t)\|_p \leq C \left| \int_0^t |t-\tau|^{3-\gamma} \|F(w_1(\tau)) - F(w_2(\tau))\|_{1,p} d\tau \right|.$$

Lemma 3.2 and the Sobolev embedding theorem yield that

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|F(w_1(\tau)) - F(w_2(\tau))\|_{1,p} \\ & \leq C(\|w_1(\tau)\|_{1,2} + \|w_2(\tau)\|_{1,2})(\|w_1(\tau)\|_{p'} + \|w_2(\tau)\|_{p'})\|w_1(\tau) - w_2(\tau)\|_{1,2} \\ & \quad + C(\|w_1(\tau)\|_{1,2} + \|w_2(\tau)\|_{1,2})^2\|w_1(\tau) - w_2(\tau)\|_{p'}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.10) we have

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \|w_1(t) - w_2(t)\|_{p'} & \leq C\|w_1 - w_2\|_{X(I_0)}(\|w_1\|_{X(J)} + \|w_2\|_{X(J)}) \\ & \quad \times \left| \int_0^t |t - \tau|^{3-\gamma} (\|w_1(\tau)\|_{p'} + \|w_2(\tau)\|_{p'}) d\tau \right| \\ & \quad + C(\|w_1\|_{X(I_0)} + \|w_2\|_{X(I_0)})^2 \\ & \quad \times \left| \int_0^t |t - \tau|^{3-\gamma} \|w_1(\tau) - w_2(\tau)\|_{p'} d\tau \right| \end{aligned}$$

As $3 - \gamma > -1$, from the Young inequality we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \|w_1(t) - w_2(t)\|_{L^r(I_0; L^{p'})} \leq C|I_0|^{4-\gamma}(\|w_1\|_{X(J)} + \|w_2\|_{X(J)})^2\|w_1 - w_2\|_{X(I_0)}.$$

Employing the same arguments as we obtain (3.11), we have

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|F(w_1(\tau)) - F(w_2(\tau))\|_2 \\ & \leq C(\|w_1(\tau)\|_{1,2} + \|w_2(\tau)\|_{1,2})(\|w_1(\tau)\|_{p'} + \|w_2(\tau)\|_{p'})\|w_1(\tau) - w_2(\tau)\|_{p'}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|w_1(t) - w_2(t)\|_{1,2} \\ & \leq C(\|w_1\|_{X(J)} + \|w_2\|_{X(J)}) \left| \int_0^t (\|w_1(\tau)\|_{p'} + \|w_2(\tau)\|_{p'})\|w_1(\tau) - w_2(\tau)\|_{p'} d\tau \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Noting $r > 2$, from the Hölder inequality we obtain

$$(3.16) \quad \|w_1(t) - w_2(t)\|_{1,2} \leq C|I_0|^{(r-2)/r}(\|w_1\|_{X(J)} + \|w_2\|_{X(J)})^2\|w_1 - w_2\|_{X(I_0)}.$$

(3.13) and (3.16) show that

$$(3.17) \quad \|w_1 - w_2\|_{X(I_0)} \leq C|I_0|^{4-\gamma}(\|w_1\|_{X(J)} + \|w_2\|_{X(J)})^2\|w_1 - w_2\|_{X(I_0)}.$$

Taking $|I_0|$ sufficiently small in (3.17), we obtain a inequality which implies that $w_1 = w_2$ on I_0 . Iterating this process, we can show that $w_1 = w_2$ on J . As J arbitrary, Theorem 2 is proved.

4. Proof of Theorem 3.

In this section we restrict our attention to $3 < \gamma < 4$ ($n \geq 4$), too. In order to investigate the regularity of a weak solution, we estimate the solutions of the approximating equation.

LEMMA 4.1. Let $3 < \gamma < 4$ ($n \geq 4$). Let $(\phi, \psi) \in H^1 \times L_2$ and $w_j(t)$ ($j \in N$) be a solution of (2.2) obtained by Lemma 2.1. Let p' and r be given in Theorem 2. Then for any compact interval $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ there exists a positive constant $C(\phi, \psi, I)$ which is dependent on (ϕ, ψ) and I but independent of j such that

$$(4.1) \quad \|w_j\|_{L_r(I; L_{p'})} \leq C(\phi, \psi, I) \quad \text{for } j \in N.$$

PROOF. It is sufficient to prove (4.1) in the case $I = [0, \alpha]$. In the same way as we obtain (3.12) we have

$$(4.2) \quad \|w_j(t)\|_{p'} \leq \|w_j^0(t)\|_{p'} + C(\phi, \psi) \int_0^t |t-\tau|^{3-\gamma} \|w_j(\tau)\|_{p'} d\tau$$

Here we have used (2.6). By Proposition 3.1 (ii) and the Young inequality we have

$$(4.3) \quad \|w_j\|_{L_r(I; L_{p'})} \leq C(\|\phi\|_{1,2} + \|\psi\|_2) + C(\phi, \psi) \int_0^t |t-\tau|^{3-\gamma} \|w_j(\tau)\|_{p'} d\tau \|_{L_r(I)}$$

$$\leq C(\|\phi\|_{1,2} + \|\psi\|_2) + C(\phi, \psi) \alpha^{4-\gamma} \|w_j\|_{L_r(I; L_{p'})}.$$

We can verify the condition (3.3) easily. Choosing α to satisfy $C(\phi, \psi) \alpha^{4-\gamma} \leq 1/2$, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \|w_j\|_{L_r(I; L_{p'})} \leq C(\phi, \psi, I) \quad \text{for } j \in N.$$

Next we show that (4.1) holds for any number $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$. Let M be the supremum of the number $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ so that (4.1) holds with $I = [0, \alpha]$. We have already showed that $M > 0$. If $M = \infty$, the lemma is proved. We assume that $M < \infty$. Let $\alpha < M$ and $I_1 = [0, \alpha]$. From the definition of M it follows that

$$(4.5) \quad \|w_j\|_{L_r(I_1; L_{p'})} \leq C(\phi, \psi, I_1) \quad \text{for } j \in N.$$

Let $\alpha < \beta$ and $I_2 = [\alpha, \beta]$. Employing the same arguments as we obtain (4.3), we have

$$(4.6) \quad \|w_j\|_{L_r(I_2; L_{p'})} \leq C(\|\phi\|_{1,2} + \|\psi\|_2)$$

$$+ C(\phi, \psi) \left\| \int_{\alpha}^t |t-\tau|^{3-\gamma} \|w_j(\tau)\|_{p'} d\tau \right\|_{L_r(I_2)}$$

$$+ C(\phi, \psi) \left\| \int_0^{\alpha} |t-\tau|^{3-\gamma} \|w_j(\tau)\|_{p'} d\tau \right\|_{L_r(I_2)}$$

$$= J_1 + J_2 + J_3.$$

From the same arguments of a proof of the Young inequality we obtain

$$(4.7) \quad J_2 \leq C(\phi, \psi) (\beta - \alpha)^{4-\gamma} \|w_j\|_{L_r(I_2; L_{p'})},$$

$$(4.8) \quad J_3 \leq C(\phi, \psi) \beta^{4-\gamma} \|w_j\|_{L_r(I_1; L_{p'})}.$$

Choosing β near α to satisfy $C(\phi, \psi)(\beta - \alpha)^{4-r} \leq 1/2$, by (4.5)~(4.8) we have

$$(4.9) \quad \|w_j\|_{L_{\tau}([0, \beta]; L_{p'})} \leq C(\phi, \psi, \beta) \quad \text{for } j \in N.$$

Since the distance between α and β depends on $C(\phi, \psi)$ only, we can choose α near M to satisfy $M - \alpha < \beta - \alpha$. Hence (4.9) contradicts the definition of M . \square

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $3 < \gamma < 4$ ($n \geq 4$). Let $(\phi, \psi) \in H^2 \times H^1$ and $w_j(t)$ ($j \in N$) be a solution of (2.2) obtained by Lemma 2.1. Let $1/q' = 1/2 - 1/2n$. Then for any compact interval $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ there exists a positive constant $C(\phi, \psi, I)$ which is dependent on (ϕ, ψ) and I but independent of j such that*

$$(4.10) \quad \|w_j\|_{L_{\infty}(I; H_{q'}^1)} \leq C(\phi, \psi, I) \quad \text{for } j \in N.$$

PROOF. Let $I = [0, \alpha]$. From (2.4) and Proposition 3.1 (i) it follows that

$$(4.11) \quad \|w_j(t)\|_{1, q'} \leq \|w_j^0(t)\|_{1, q'} + \int_0^t \|F_j(w_j(\tau))\|_{1, q} d\tau.$$

We can verify (3.1) easily. Applying Lemma 3.2 to $\|F_j(w_j(\tau))\|_{1, q}$, we have

$$(4.12) \quad \|F_j(w_j(\tau))\|_{1, q} \leq C \|w_j(\tau)\|_{p'}^2 \|w_j(\tau)\|_{1, q'},$$

where p' is given by Lemma 4.1. As the embedding $H^2 \hookrightarrow H_{q'}^1$ holds, from (4.11) and (4.12) we obtain

$$(4.13) \quad \|w_j(t)\|_{1, q'} \leq C(\|\phi\|_{2, 2} + \|\psi\|_{1, 2}) + C \|w_j\|_{L_{\infty}(I; H_{q'}^1)} \int_0^t \|w_j(\tau)\|_{p'}^2 d\tau.$$

From the Hölder inequality and Lemma 4.1 it follows that

$$(4.14) \quad \|w_j\|_{L_{\infty}(I; H_{q'}^1)} \leq C(\|\phi\|_{2, 2} + \|\psi\|_{1, 2}) + C(\phi, \psi, I) \alpha^{(r-2)/r} \|w_j\|_{L_{\infty}(I; H_{q'}^1)}.$$

Here choosing α sufficiently small, we have

$$(4.15) \quad \|w_j\|_{L_{\infty}(I; H_{q'}^1)} \leq C(\phi, \psi, I).$$

Employing the same arguments of the proof of Lemma 4.1, we can show that (4.10) holds for any $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$. So we may omit its proof. \square

LEMMA 4.3. *Under the same assumptions of Lemma 4.2. we have*

$$(4.16) \quad \|w_j\|_{L_{\infty}(I; H^2)} \leq C(\phi, \psi, I) \quad \text{for } j \in N$$

for any compact interval $I \subset \mathbf{R}$. Here $C(\phi, \psi, I)$ is a positive constant which is dependent on (ϕ, ψ) and I but independent of j .

PROOF. From (2.4) it follows that

$$(4.17) \quad \|w_j(t)\|_{2, 2} \leq C(\|\phi\|_{2, 2} + \|\psi\|_{1, 2}) + \int_0^t \|F_j(w_j(\tau))\|_{1, 2} d\tau.$$

Applying Lemma 3.2 to $\|F_j(w_j(\tau))\|_{1,2}$, we obtain

$$(4.18) \quad \|F_j(w_j(\tau))\|_{1,2} \leq C \|w_j(\tau)\|_{1,q'}^2 \|w_j(\tau)\|_{2,2},$$

where q' is given by Lemma 4.2. To note Lemma 4.2, we have

$$(4.19) \quad \|w_j(t)\|_{2,2} \leq C(\|\phi\|_{2,2} + \|\psi\|_{1,2}) + C(\phi, \psi, I) \int_0^t \|w_j(\tau)\|_{2,2} d\tau.$$

The Gronwall inequality implies (4.16). \square

Now we give the estimates of the weak solution.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let $w(t)$ be a weak solution of (1.1) obtained by Theorem 1. Let $3 < \gamma < 4$ ($n \geq 4$) and I be any compact interval in \mathbf{R} .*

(i) *Let $(\phi, \psi) \in H^1 \times L_2$. Then we have*

$$(4.20) \quad \|w\|_{L_r(I; L_{p'})} \leq C(\phi, \psi, I),$$

where $C(\phi, \psi, I)$ is a positive constant which is dependent on (ϕ, ψ) and I , provided that

$$(4.21) \quad \frac{1}{p'} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma-1}{2n} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{\gamma-3}{2}.$$

(ii) *Let $(\phi, \psi) \in H^2 \times H^1$. Then we have*

$$(4.22) \quad \|w\|_{L_\infty(I; H^2)} \leq C(\phi, \psi, I),$$

where $C(\phi, \psi, I)$ is a positive constant which is dependent on (ϕ, ψ) and I .

PROOF. By (4.1), (4.16) and Lemma 2.2 we can choose a convergent subsequence (again denoted by $w_j(t)$) so that

$$(4.23) \quad w_j(t) \longrightarrow w(t) \quad \text{weakly in } L_r(I; L_{p'}),$$

$$(4.24) \quad w_j(t) \longrightarrow w(t) \quad \text{weakly in } H^2 \text{ and uniformly on } I$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we have (4.20) and (4.22). \square

We prepare three lemmas on the regularity of the integral equation.

LEMMA 4.5. *Assume that for $i=0$ or 1*

$$(4.25) \quad F(w(t)) \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}; H^i).$$

Then we have

$$(4.26) \quad \int_0^t H^{-1} \sin \{H(t-\tau)\} F(w(\tau)) d\tau \in C(\mathbf{R}; H^{1+i}) \cap C^1(\mathbf{R}; H^i).$$

PROOF. See Motai [9] Lemma 4.2 for a proof. \square

LEMMA 4.6. Assume that for $k \in \mathbf{N}$

$$(4.27) \quad w(t) \in \bigcap_{i=0}^k C^i(\mathbf{R}; H^{k-i}).$$

Then we have

$$(4.28) \quad F(w(t)) \in \bigcap_{i=0}^k C^i(\mathbf{R}; H^{k-i}) \quad \text{for } 0 < \gamma < \text{Min}\{2k, n\}.$$

PROOF. If we use Lemma 3.2 and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we can prove (4.28) easily. So we may omit a proof. \square

LEMMA 4.7. Assume that for $k \in \mathbf{N}$

$$(4.29) \quad F(w(t)) \in \bigcap_{i=0}^k C^i(\mathbf{R}; H^{k-i}).$$

Then we have

$$(4.30) \quad \int_0^t H^{-1} \sin \{H(t-\tau)\} F(w(\tau)) d\tau \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{k+1} C^i(\mathbf{R}; H^{k+1-i}).$$

PROOF. This result is well-known. So we may omit the proof. \square

We are in a position to prove Theorem 3.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. (i) Let $w(t)$ be a weak solution obtained by Theorem 1. Since $w(t) \in L_\infty(\mathbf{R}; H^1)$, from the same argument as we obtain (3.8) it follows that

$$(4.31) \quad w(t) = w^0(t) - \int_0^t H^{-1} \sin \{H(t-\tau)\} F(w(\tau)) d\tau \quad \text{in } L_2$$

for $t \in \mathbf{R}$. By $(\phi, \psi) \in H^1 \times L_2$ we have

$$(4.32) \quad w^0(t) \in C(\mathbf{R}; H^1) \cap C^1(\mathbf{R}; L_2).$$

Noting (3.14), from (1.7) we obtain

$$(4.33) \quad \|F(w(t))\|_2 \leq C(\phi, \psi) \|w(t)\|_{p'}^2.$$

As $r > 2$, Lemma 4.4 (i) and (4.32) imply (4.25). Hence by Lemma 4.5 we have (1.12).

The uniqueness of $w(t)$ follows from (1.12) and Theorem 2.

If we resolve (1.1) at initial time $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ with a initial data $(w(t_0), \partial_t w(t_0))$, by Theorem 1 we obtain

$$(4.34) \quad E(w(t), \partial_t w(t)) \leq E(w(t_0), \partial_t w(t_0)) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

The uniqueness, (1.7) and (4.34) imply (1.13).

(ii) We first note that for $(\phi, \psi) \in H^k \times H^{k-1}$ ($k \geq 2$) we have

$$(4.35) \quad w_0(t) \in \bigcap_{i=0}^k C^i(\mathbf{R}; H^{k-i}).$$

In the case $k=2$ we have

$$(4.36) \quad F(w(t)) \leq C \|w(t)\|_{2,2}^3$$

by Lemma 3.2 and the Sobolev embedding theorem. From Lemma 4.4 (ii) and Lemma 4.5 it follows that

$$(4.37) \quad w(t) \in C(\mathbf{R}; H^2) \cap C^1(\mathbf{R}; H^1).$$

This implies that

$$(4.38) \quad F(w(t)) \in C(\mathbf{R}; H^1) \cap C^1(\mathbf{R}; L_2).$$

By Lemma 4.7 we have

$$(4.39) \quad w(t) \in \bigcap_{i=0}^2 C^i(\mathbf{R}; H^{2-i}).$$

In the case $k > 2$ we can first obtain (4.39). Lemma 4.6 shows that

$$(4.40) \quad F(w(t)) \in \bigcap_{i=0}^2 C^i(\mathbf{R}; H^{2-i}).$$

And Lemma 4.7 implies that

$$(4.41) \quad w(t) \in \bigcap_{i=0}^3 C^i(\mathbf{R}; H^{3-i}).$$

Iterating this process, we can prove (1.14).

Corollary follows from the Sobolev lemma.

The proof Theorem 3 is completed.

References

- [1] Brenner, P., On scattering and everywhere defined scattering operator for nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations, *J. Differential equations*, **56** (1985), 310-344.
- [2] Chadam, J.M. and Glassey, R.T., Global existence of solutions to the Cauchy problem for time-dependent Hartree equations, *J. Math. Phys.*, **16** (1975), 1122-1130.
- [3] Ginibre, J. and Velo, G., On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equation I, *J. Funct. Analysis*, **32** (1979), 1-32.
- [4] ———, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with non local interaction, *Math. Z.*, **170** (1980), 109-136.
- [5] ———, The global Cauchy problem for the non linear Klein-Gordon equation, *Math. Z.*, **189** (1985), 87-121.
- [6] Glassey, R.T., Asymptotic behavior of solutions to a certain nonlinear Schrödinger-Hartree equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **53** (1977), 9-18.
- [7] Hayashi, N. and Tsutsumi, Y., Scattering theory for Hartree type equations, *Ann.*

- Henri Poincare, *Phys. Theor.*, **46** (1987), 187-213.
- [8] Hörmander, L., *The analysis of linear partial differential operators I*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer 1983.
- [9] Menzala, C.P. and Strauss, W.A., On a wave equation with a cubic convolution, *J. Differential equations*, **43** (1982), 93-105.
- [10] Motai, T., Existence of global strong solution for nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation, to appear in *Funkcialoj Ekvacioj*.
- [11] Reed, M., *Abstract nonlinear wave equation*, Lecture notes in mathematics, **507** (1976), Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer.
- [12] Segal, I.E., The global Cauchy problem for a relativistic scalar field with power interaction, *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.*, **91** (1963), 129-135.
- [13] Strauss, W.A., Nonlinear scattering theory at low energy: sequel, *J. Funct. Analysis*, **43** (1981), 281-293.

Japanese Language School
The Tokyo University
of Foreign Studies
5-10-1, Sumiyoshi-cho,
Fuchu-shi, Tokyo, 183 Japan