# NON-COMPACT SIMPLE LIE GROUP $\boldsymbol{E}_{8(8)}$ 

## By

Ichiro Yokota and Osami Yasukura

It is known that there exist three simple Lie groups of type $E_{8}$ up to local isomorphism, one of them is compact and the others are non-compact. We have shown in [8] that the group

$$
E_{8}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathrm{Iso}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}, \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\mathbf{c}}\right) \mid \alpha\left[R_{1}, R_{2}\right]=\left[\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right],\left\langle\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle R_{1}, R_{2}\right\rangle\right\}
$$

is a simply connected compact simple Lie group of type $E_{8}$, in [9] that the group

$$
E_{8, \iota_{1}}=\left\{\alpha \in \operatorname{IsO}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{c}}, \mathrm{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) \mid \alpha\left[R_{1}, R_{2}\right]=\left[\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right],\left\langle\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right\rangle_{t_{1}}=\left\langle R_{1}, R_{2}\right\rangle_{t_{1}}\right\}
$$

is a connected non-compact simple Lie group of type $E_{8(-24)}$ and its polar decomposition is given by

$$
E_{8, r_{1}} \simeq\left(S U(2) \times E_{7}\right) / \boldsymbol{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{112}
$$

In the present paper, we show that the group

$$
E_{8}^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathrm{Iso}_{R}\left(\mathrm{e}_{8}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}\right) \mid \alpha\left[R_{1}, R_{2}\right]=\left[\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right]\right\}
$$

(where $\mathrm{e}_{8}^{\prime}$ is a simple Lie algebra of type $E_{8(8)}$ ) is a connected non-compact simple Lie group of type $E_{8(8)}$ and its polar decomposition is given by

$$
E_{8}^{\prime} \simeq S s(16) \times \mathbb{R}^{128}
$$

## 1. Preliminaries.

### 1.1. Notations.

Throughout this paper, we use the following notations. $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{C}, \boldsymbol{H}$ : the fields of real, complex and quaternionic numbers, respectively. $M(n, K), K=\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{C}, \boldsymbol{H}$ : all of $n \times \mathrm{n}$ matrices with entries in $K . E$ : the $n \times n$ unit matrix ( $n$ is arbitrary).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
J^{\prime} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & \\
& & J^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) \in M(8, C) \text { or } \in M(16, \boldsymbol{R}) \text { where } J^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& L=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
L^{\prime} & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & \\
& & L^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) \in M(16, R) \text { where } L^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{S}(4, H)=\left\{H \in M(4, H) \mid H^{*}=H\right\} . \quad \Im(4, H)_{0}=\{H \in \Im(4, H) \mid \operatorname{tr}(H)=0\} . \\
& \Im(8, C)=\left\{\left.S \in M(8, C)\right|^{t} S=-S\right\} . \\
& S O(16)=\left\{\left.B \in M(16, \boldsymbol{R})\right|^{t} B B=E, \operatorname{det} B=1\right\}: \text { a special orthogonal group. } \\
& S s(16)=\operatorname{Spin}(16) / Z_{2}(\text { not } S O(16)): \text { a semispnor group. } \\
& S U(8)=\left\{D \in M(8, C) \mid D^{*} D=E, \operatorname{det} D=1\right\}: \text { a special unitary group. } \\
& S p(4)=\left\{C \in M(4, H) \mid C^{*} C=E\right\}: \text { a symplectic group. } \\
& \mathfrak{S o}(16)=\left\{\left.B \in M(16, \mathbb{R})\right|^{t} B=-B\right\} . \\
& \mathfrak{u}(8)=\left\{D \in M(8, C) \mid D^{*}=-D\right\}, \mathfrak{u}(8)=\{D \in \mathfrak{u}(8) \mid \operatorname{tr}(D)=0\} . \\
& \mathfrak{S p}(4)=\left\{C \in M(8, H) \mid C^{*}=-C\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The identity mapping of a set is always denoted by 1 .

### 1.2. The split Cayley algebra $\mathfrak{c}$ ', the split exceptional Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{\Im}$ ' and the Freudenthal vector space $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$.

Let $\mathbb{C}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{H} \oplus \boldsymbol{H} e^{\prime}$ denote the split Cayley algebra with the multiplication

$$
\left(a+b e^{\prime}\right)\left(c+d e^{\prime}\right)=(a c+\overline{d b})+(b \bar{c}+d a) e^{\prime} .
$$

and the conjugation $\overline{a+b e^{\prime}}=\bar{a}-b e^{\prime}$. Let $\gamma: \mathbb{๒}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{\bigotimes}^{\prime}$ be the involutive automorphism defined by

$$
\gamma\left(a+b e^{\prime}\right)=a-b e^{\prime} .
$$

Let $\mathfrak{\Im}^{\prime}=\left\{X \in M\left(3, \mathbb{C}^{\prime}\right) \mid X^{*}=X\right\}$ denote the split exceptional Jordan algebra with the multiplication $X \circ Y=\frac{1}{2}(X Y+Y X)$. The above involution $\gamma: \bigotimes^{\prime} \rightarrow \complement^{\prime}$ is naturally extended to the involutive automorphism $\gamma: \Im^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}^{\prime}$. In $\mathfrak{P}^{\prime}$, the inner product $(X, Y)^{\prime}$, the positive definite inner product ( $X, Y$ ), the Freudenthal multiplication $X \times Y$, the trilinear form ( $X, Y, Z)^{\prime}$ and the determinant det $X$ are defined respectively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (X, Y)^{\prime}=\operatorname{tr}(X \circ Y), \quad(X, Y)=(\gamma X, Y)^{\prime}, \\
& X \times Y=\frac{1}{2}\left(2 X \circ Y-\operatorname{tr}(X) Y-\operatorname{tr}(Y) X+\left(\operatorname{tr}(X) \operatorname{tr}(Y)-(X, Y)^{\prime}\right) E\right), \\
& (X, Y, Z)^{\prime}=(X, Y \times Z)^{\prime}, \quad \operatorname{det} X=\frac{1}{3}(X, X, X)^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally consider the vector space $\mathfrak{B}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{S}^{\prime} \oplus \boldsymbol{R} \oplus \boldsymbol{R}$ called the Freudenthal vector space. We define linear transformations $\gamma, t$ and $v$ of $\mathfrak{B}^{\prime}$ respectively ( $\gamma$ is used the same notation as above) by

$$
\gamma(X, Y, \xi, \eta)=(\gamma X, \gamma Y, \xi, \eta), \quad \imath(X, Y, \xi, \eta)=(Y,-X, \eta,-\xi), \quad v=\gamma \iota=\imath \gamma .
$$

In $\mathfrak{B}^{\prime}$, the inner product $(P, Q)^{\prime}$, the positive definite inner product $(P, Q)$ and the skewsymmetric inner product $\{P, Q\}^{\prime}$ are defined respectively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (P, Q)^{\prime}=(X, Z)^{\prime}+(Y, W)^{\prime}+\xi \zeta+\eta \omega, \quad(P, Q)=(\gamma P, Q)^{\prime}, \\
& \{P, Q\}^{\prime}=(X, W)^{\prime}-(Z, Y)^{\prime}+\xi \omega-\zeta \eta=(P, Q Q)^{\prime}=-(\imath P, Q)^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P=(X, Y, \xi, \eta), Q=(Z, W, \zeta, \omega) \in \mathfrak{B}^{\prime}$.

### 1.3. The Lie group $E_{6(6)}$ and the subgroup $S p(4) / Z_{2}$

We have shown in [11] that the group

$$
E_{6(6)}=\left\{\alpha \in \operatorname{Iso}_{R}\left(\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}, \Im^{\prime}\right) \mid \operatorname{det} \alpha X=\operatorname{det} X\right\}
$$

is a connected non-compact simple Lie group of type $E_{666}$, its Lie algebra is

$$
\mathfrak{e}_{6}^{\prime}=e_{6(6)}=\left\{\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\Im^{\prime}, \Im^{\prime}\right) \mid(\phi X, X, X)^{\prime}=0\right\}
$$

and found a subgroup of type $C_{4}$ in $E_{6(6)}$. To find this subgroup, we use a linear isomorphism $f: \Im^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(4, H)_{0}$,

$$
f\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\xi_{1} & a_{3}+b_{3} e^{\prime} & \bar{a}_{2}-b_{2} e^{\prime} \\
\bar{a}_{3}-b_{3} e^{\prime} & \xi_{2} & a_{1}+b_{1} e^{\prime} \\
a_{2}+b_{2} e^{\prime} & \bar{a}_{1}-b_{1} e^{\prime} & \xi_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda_{0} & b_{1} & b_{2} & b_{3} \\
\bar{b}_{1} & \lambda_{1} & a_{3} & \bar{a}_{2} \\
\bar{b}_{2} & \bar{a}_{3} & \lambda_{2} & a_{1} \\
\bar{b}_{3} & a_{2} & \bar{a}_{1} & \lambda_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\quad \xi_{i} \in \boldsymbol{R}, \quad a_{i}, \quad b_{i} \in \boldsymbol{H}, \quad i=1, \quad 2, \quad 3$ and $\lambda_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right), \quad \lambda_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}-\xi_{3}\right)$, $\lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}-\xi_{3}\right), \lambda_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{3}-\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right)$. Then $f$ satisfies

$$
f X \circ f Y=f(\gamma(X \times Y))+\frac{1}{4}(X, Y) E, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{\Im}^{\prime}
$$

where the multiplication $H_{1} \circ H_{2}$ is $\Im(4, \boldsymbol{H})$ is defined by $H_{1} \circ H_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{1} H_{2}+H_{2} H_{1}\right)$. Now, a subgroup $\left(E_{6(6)}\right)_{K}$ of the group $E_{6(6)}$,

$$
\left(E_{6(6)}\right)_{K}=\left\{\alpha \in E_{6(6)} \mid(\alpha X, \alpha Y)=(X, Y)\right\}
$$

is isomorphic to the group $S p(4) / Z_{2}$ by the correspondence

$$
\phi: S p(4) \longrightarrow\left(E_{6(6)}\right)_{K}, \phi(C) X=f^{-1}\left(C(f X) C^{*}\right), X \in \Im^{\prime}
$$

with $\operatorname{Ker} \phi=Z_{2}=\{E,-E\}$. Therefore the Lie algebra $\left(\mathrm{e}_{6(6)}\right)_{K}$ of the group $\left(E_{6(6)}\right)_{K}$,

$$
\left(e_{6}^{\prime}\right)_{K}=\left(e_{6(6)}\right)_{K}=\left\{\phi \in e_{6}^{\prime} \mid(\phi X, Y)=-(X, \phi Y)\right\}
$$

is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\xi_{p}(4)$ by the correspondence

$$
\phi_{*}: \mathfrak{g p}(4) \longrightarrow\left(e_{6}^{\prime}\right)_{K}, \phi_{*}(C) X=f^{-1}(C(f X)-(f X) C), X \in \mathcal{F}^{\prime} .
$$

Finally, for $A, B \in \mathfrak{S}^{\prime}, A \vee B \in e_{6}^{\prime}$ is defined by

$$
(A \vee B) X=\frac{1}{2}(B, X)^{\prime} A+\frac{1}{6}(A, B)^{\prime} X-2 B \times(A \times X), X \in \Im^{\prime}
$$

### 1.4. The Lie group $E_{7(7)}$ and the subgroup $S U(8) / Z_{2}$

For $\phi \in \mathfrak{e}_{6}^{\prime}, A, B \in \Im^{\prime}, v \in \mathbb{R}$, we define a linear transformation $\Phi(\phi, A, B, v)$ of $\mathfrak{B}^{\prime}$ by

$$
\Phi(\phi, \mathrm{A}, B, v)\left(\begin{array}{c}
X \\
Y \\
\xi \\
\eta
\end{array}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\phi X-\frac{v}{3} X+2 B \times Y+\eta A \\
2 A \times X+\phi^{\prime} Y+\frac{v}{3} Y+\xi B \\
(A, Y)^{\prime}+v \xi \\
(B, X)^{\prime}-v \eta
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\phi^{\prime} \in e_{6}^{\prime}$ denotes the skew-transpose of $\phi$ with respect to the inner product $(X, Y)^{\prime}$ : $(\phi X, Y)^{\prime}=-\left(X, \phi^{\prime} Y\right)^{\prime}$. For $P=(X, Y, \xi, \eta), Q=(Z, W, \zeta, \omega) \in \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$, we define a linear transformation $P \times Q$ of $\mathfrak{P}^{\prime}$ by

$$
P \times Q=\Phi(\phi, A, B, \nu),\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi=-\frac{1}{2}(X \vee W+Z \vee Y), \\
A=-\frac{1}{4}(2 Y \times W-\xi Z-\zeta X), \\
B=\frac{1}{4}(2 X \times Z-\eta W-\omega Y), \\
\nu=\frac{1}{8}\left((X, W)^{\prime}+(Z, Y)^{\prime}-3(\xi \omega+\zeta \eta)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We have shown in [18] that the group

$$
E_{7(7)}=\left\{\alpha \in \operatorname{Iso}_{R}\left(\mathfrak{P}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{B}^{\prime}\right) \mid \alpha(P \times Q) \alpha^{-1}=\alpha P \times \alpha Q\right\}
$$

is a connected non-compact simple Lie group of type $E_{7(7)}$, its Lie algebra is

$$
\mathfrak{e}_{7}^{\prime}=\mathrm{e}_{7(7)}=\left\{\Phi(\phi, A, B, v) \mid \phi \in \mathfrak{e}_{6}^{\prime}, A, B \in \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}, v \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

and found a subgroup of type $A_{7}$ in $E_{777}$. To find this subgroup, we use a linear isomorphism $\chi: \mathfrak{B}^{\prime} \rightarrow \subseteq(8, C)$,

$$
\chi(X, Y, \xi, \eta)=\left(k\left(f X-\frac{\xi}{2} E\right)+\mathrm{i} k\left(f(\gamma Y)-\frac{\eta}{2} E\right)\right) J
$$

where $k: M(4, \boldsymbol{H}) \rightarrow M(8, C)$ is the algebraic homomorphism defined by $k\left(a_{i j}+\mathrm{j} b_{i j}\right)$ $=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a_{i j} & -\bar{b}_{i j} \\ b_{i j} & \bar{a}_{i j}\end{array}\right), a_{i j}, b_{i j} \in C\left(\mathrm{i} \in \boldsymbol{C}, \mathrm{j} \in \boldsymbol{H}\right.$ are the usual elements: $\left.\mathrm{i}^{2}=-1, \mathrm{j}^{2}=-1\right)$. Now, a $\operatorname{subgroup}\left(E_{7(7)}\right)_{K}$ of the group $E_{7(7)}$,

$$
\left(E_{7(7)}\right)_{K}=\left\{\alpha \in E_{7(7)} \mid(\alpha P, \alpha Q)=(P, Q)\right\}
$$

is isomorphic to the group $S U(8) / \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$ by the correspondence

$$
\psi: S U(8) \longrightarrow\left(E_{7(7)}\right)_{K}, \psi(D) P=\chi^{-1}\left(D(\chi P)^{t} D\right), P \in \mathfrak{B}^{\prime}
$$

with $\operatorname{Ker} \psi=\boldsymbol{Z}_{2}=\{E,-E\}$. Therefore the Lie algebra $\left(e_{7(7)}\right)_{K}$ of the group $\left(E_{7(7)}\right)_{K}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{e}}^{)_{K}}=\left(\mathrm{e}_{7(7}\right)_{K}\right. & =\left\{\Phi \in \mathfrak{e}_{7}^{\prime} \mid(\Phi P, Q)=-(P, \Phi Q)\right\} \\
& =\left\{\Phi(\phi, A,-\gamma A, 0) \mid \phi \in\left(\mathrm{e}_{6}^{\prime}\right)_{K}, A \in \mathfrak{Y}^{\prime}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s u}(8)$ by the correspondence

$$
\psi_{*}: \mathfrak{g} u(8) \longrightarrow\left(e^{\prime}\right)_{K}, \psi_{*}(D) P=\chi^{-1}\left(D\left(\chi(P)+(\chi P)^{t} D\right), P \in \mathfrak{B}^{\prime}\right.
$$

If $D \in \mathfrak{u r}(8)$ has the form $D=\mathrm{k}(C)+\mathrm{i} k(f A), C \in \mathfrak{g p}(4), A \in \mathfrak{Y}^{\prime}$, then $\psi *$ is given by

$$
\psi_{*}(k(C)+\mathrm{i} k(f A))=\Phi\left(\phi_{*}(C), A,-\gamma A, 0\right)
$$

## 2. The Lie algebra $e_{8}^{\prime}$ and the Lie group $E_{8}^{\prime}$

An exceptional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}$ is defined as follows. In a 248 dimensional vector space $\mathbf{e}_{8}^{\prime}$ over $\boldsymbol{R}$ :

$$
\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{e}_{7}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{B}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{B}^{\prime} \oplus \boldsymbol{R} \oplus \boldsymbol{R} \oplus \boldsymbol{R}
$$

we define the Lie bracket [ $R_{1}, R_{2}$ ] by

$$
\left[\left(\Phi_{1}, P_{1}, Q_{1}, r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}\right),\left(\Phi_{2}, P_{2}, Q_{2}, r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}\right)\right]=(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t)
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Phi=\left[\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}\right]+P_{1} \times Q_{2}-P_{2} \times Q_{1} \\
P=\Phi_{1} P_{2}-\Phi_{2} P_{1}+r_{1} P_{2}-r_{2} P_{1}+s_{1} Q_{2}-s_{2} Q_{1} \\
Q=\Phi_{1} Q_{2}-\Phi_{2} Q_{1}-r_{1} Q_{2}+r_{2} Q_{1}+t_{1} P_{2}-t_{2} P_{1} \\
r=-\frac{1}{8}\left\{P_{1}, Q_{2}\right\}+\frac{1}{8}\left\{P_{2}, Q_{1}\right\}+s_{1} t_{2}-s_{2} t_{1} \\
s=\frac{1}{4}\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}\right\}+2 r_{1} s_{2}-2 r_{2} s_{1} \\
t=-\frac{1}{4},\left\{Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right\}-2 r_{1} t_{2}+2 r_{2} t_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}$ is a simple Lie algebra of type $E_{8}$ [3], [8]. For $R \in \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}$, the adjoint transformation ad $R$ : $\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime},(\operatorname{ad} R) R_{1}=\left[R, R_{1}\right], R_{1} \in \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}$, will be denoted by $\Theta(R)$.

The group $E_{8}^{\prime}$ is defined to be the automorphism group of the Lie algebra $e_{8}^{\prime}$ :

$$
E_{8}^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha \in \operatorname{Iso}_{R}\left(e_{8}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}\right) \mid \alpha\left[R_{1}, R_{2}\right]=\left[\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right]\right\} .
$$

Our purpose of this paper is to find a maximal compact subgroup of $E_{8}^{\prime}$ explicitly and to show that the group $E_{8}^{\prime}$ is connected.

The group $E_{8}^{\prime}$ contains a subgroup

$$
E_{7}^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\alpha \in E_{8}^{\prime} & \begin{array}{l}
\alpha(0,0,0,0,1,0)=(0,0,0,0,1,0) \\
\alpha(0,0,0,0,0,1)=(0,0,0,0,0,1)
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

which is isomorphic to the group $E_{7(7)}$ defined in the section 1.4 by the correspondence $\alpha \in E_{7(7)} \rightarrow \alpha^{\prime} \in E_{7}^{\prime} \subset E_{8}^{\prime}$, where

$$
\alpha^{\prime}(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t)=\left(\alpha \Phi_{\alpha^{-1}}, \alpha P, \alpha Q, r, s, t\right) .
$$

From now on, we identify these groups $E_{7(7)}$ and $E_{7}^{\prime}$ under the above correspondence: $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}$. Therefore, elements $\gamma, l, v \in E_{7(7)}$ are regarded as elements $\gamma, l, v \in E_{7}^{\prime} \subset E_{8}^{\prime}$.

We define linear transformations $\omega, \tilde{z}$ and $\tilde{v}$ of $e_{8}^{\prime}$ respectively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t)=(\Phi,-Q, P,-r,-t,-s), \\
& \tilde{i}=\omega \imath=\imath \omega \text { and } \tilde{v}=\omega v=v \omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

PRoposition 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) } \omega=\exp \left(\theta\left(0,0,0,0, \frac{\pi}{2},-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right) \in E_{8}^{\prime}, \omega^{2}=1 . \\
& \text { (2) } \tilde{i}, \tilde{v} \in E_{8}^{\prime}, \quad \tilde{v}=\tilde{i} y=\gamma \tilde{i}, \quad \tilde{i}^{2}=\tilde{v}^{2}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Connectedness of $\boldsymbol{E}_{8}^{\prime}$

In this section, we shall show that the group $E_{8}^{\prime}$ is connected. This proof is similar to [19] Theorem 30, however we need some remarks. So we give the outline of its proof. For $R \in e_{8}^{\prime}$, we define a linear transformation $R \times R$ of $\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}$ by

$$
(R \times R) R_{1}=\Theta(R)^{2} R_{1}+\frac{1}{30} B_{8}^{\prime}\left(R, R_{1}\right) R, R_{1} \in \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}
$$

where $B_{8}^{\prime}$ is the Killing form of the Lie algebra $e_{8}^{\prime}$, and define a subspace $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ of $e_{8}^{\prime}$ by

$$
\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}=\left\{R \in \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime} \mid R \times R=0, R \neq 0\right\} .
$$

Since the Killing form $B_{8}^{\prime}$ is calculated in [8] Theorem 27 as

$$
B_{8}^{\prime}\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)=\frac{5}{3} B_{7}^{\prime}\left(\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}\right)+15\left\{Q_{1}, P_{2}\right\}^{\prime}-15\left\{P_{1}, Q_{2}\right\}^{\prime}+120 r_{1} r_{2}+60 t_{1} s_{2}+60 s_{1} t_{2}
$$

(where $B_{7}^{\prime}$ is the Killing form of the Lie algebra ${ }_{\ell}^{\prime}$ ) for $R_{i}=\left(\Phi_{i}, P_{i}, Q_{i}, r_{i}, s_{i}, t_{i}\right) \in e_{8}^{\prime}, i=1,2$, we have the following

Proposition 2. For $R=(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) \in \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}, R \neq 0, R$ belongs to $\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}$ if and only if $R$ satisfies
(1) $2 s \Phi-P \times P=0$
(2) $2 t \Phi+Q \times Q=0$
(3) $2 r \Phi+P \times Q=0$
(4) $\Phi P-3 r P-3 s Q=0$
(5) $\Phi Q+3 r Q-3 t P=0$
(6) $\{P, Q\}^{\prime}-16\left(s t+r^{2}\right)=0$
(7) $2\left(\Phi P \times Q_{1}+2 P \times \Phi Q_{1}-r P \times Q_{1}-s Q \times Q_{1}\right)-\left\{P, Q_{1}\right\}^{\prime} \Phi=0$
(8) $2\left(\Phi Q \times P_{1}+2 Q \times \Phi P_{1}+r Q \times P_{1}-t P \times P_{1}\right)-\left\{Q, P_{1}\right\}^{\prime} \Phi=0$
(9) $8\left(\left(P \times Q_{1}\right) Q-s t Q_{1}-r^{2} Q_{1}-\Phi^{2} Q_{1}+2 r \Phi Q_{1}\right)+5\left\{P, Q_{1}\right\}^{\prime} Q-2\left\{Q, Q_{1}\right\}^{\prime} P=0$
(10) $8\left(\left(Q \times P_{1}\right) P+s t P_{1}+r^{2} P_{1}+\Phi^{2} P_{1}+2 r \Phi P_{1}\right)+5\left\{Q, P_{1}\right\}^{\prime} P-2\left\{P, P_{1}\right\}^{\prime} Q=0$
(11) $18\left((a d \Phi)^{2} \Phi_{1}+Q \times \Phi_{1} P-P \times \Phi_{1} Q\right)+B_{7}^{\prime}\left(\Phi, \Phi_{1}\right) \Phi=0$
(12) $\quad 18\left(\Phi_{1} \Phi P-2 \Phi \Phi_{1} P-r \Phi_{1} P-s \Phi_{1} Q\right)+B_{7}^{\prime}\left(\Phi, \Phi_{1}\right) P=0$
(13) $18\left(\Phi_{1} \Phi Q-2 \Phi \Phi_{1} Q+r \Phi_{1} Q-t \Phi_{1} P\right)+B_{7}^{\prime}\left(\Phi, \Phi_{1}\right) Q=0$
for any $\Phi_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}_{7}^{\prime}, P_{1}, Q_{1} \in \mathfrak{B}^{\prime}$.
For a while, we use the following notations briefly.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{1}=(0,0,1,0) \in \mathfrak{P}^{\prime}, \quad \underline{1}=(0,0,0,1) \in \mathfrak{P}^{\prime}, \\
& \bar{s}=(0,0,0,0, s, 0) \in \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}, \quad t=(0,0,0,0,0, t) \in \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}, \\
& \bar{P}=(0, P, 0,0,0,0) \in \in_{8}^{\prime}, \quad \underline{Q}=(0,0, Q, 0,0,0) \in \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}, \\
& \mathfrak{P}^{\prime}=\left\{\underline{P} \in \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime} \mid P \in \mathfrak{P}^{\prime}\right\}, \quad \underline{R}=\left\{\underline{t} \in \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime} \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3. The group $E_{8}^{\prime}$ acts transitively on $\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}$ (which is connected) and the isotropy $\operatorname{subgroup}\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{\underline{1}}$ of $E_{8}^{\prime}$ at $\underline{\underline{1}} \in \mathfrak{w}^{\prime}$ is $\left(\exp \left(\underline{\underline{G}}^{\prime}\right) \exp (\underline{R})\right) E_{7}^{\prime}$. Therefore we have the homeomorphism

$$
E_{8}^{\prime} /\left(\exp \left(\underline{\mathfrak{P}}^{\prime}\right) \exp (\underline{\boldsymbol{R}})\right) E_{7}^{\prime} \simeq \mathfrak{w}^{\prime} .
$$

In particular, the group $E_{8}^{\prime}$ is connected.
Proof. Obviously the group $E_{8}^{\prime}$ acts on $\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}$. Since $\underline{1} \in \mathfrak{w}^{\prime}$, in order to prove the transitivity of $E_{8}^{\prime}$, it suffices to show that any element $R \in \mathfrak{w}^{\prime}$ can be transformed to 1 by a certain element $\alpha \in\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{0}$ (which denotes the identity component of $E_{8}^{\prime}$ ).

Case (1) $R=(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t), t>0$. In this case, from (2), (5), (6) of Proposition 2, we have

$$
\Phi=-\frac{1}{2 t} Q \times Q, \quad P=\frac{r}{t} Q-\frac{1}{6 t^{2}}(Q \times Q) Q, \quad s=-\frac{r^{2}}{t}+\frac{1}{96 t^{3}}\{Q,(Q \times Q) Q\} .
$$

Put

$$
P_{1}=\frac{\log t}{2(\sqrt{t}-t)} Q, \quad s_{1}=\frac{r \log t}{t-1}, \quad r_{1}=-\frac{\log t}{2}
$$

Then, for $\Theta=\Theta\left(0, P_{1}, 0, r_{1}, s_{1}, 0\right) \in \operatorname{ad} \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}$, we have $(\exp \Theta) \underline{1}=R$ (about its calculation, see [19] Theorem 30). So $R$ is transformed to $\underline{1}$ by $\exp (-\Theta) \in\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{0}$.

Case ( $1^{\prime}$ ) $\Theta=(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t), t<0$. Similarly as the case (1), we see that $R$ can be transformed to $-\underline{1}$ by $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{0}$. Furthermore $-\underline{1}$ can be transformed to $\underline{1}$ by $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{0}$. In fact, for $\Theta=\Theta(0,0,1,0,0,0) \in \operatorname{ad}^{\mathrm{e}}{ }_{8}^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\exp \Theta)(0,0, i, 0,0,0)=\left(0,0, i, 0,0, \frac{1}{4}\right) \xrightarrow[(1)]{\underline{1}} \\
& (\exp (-\Theta))(0,0, i, 0,0,0)=\left(0,0, i, 0,0,-\frac{1}{4}\right) \underset{\left(1^{\prime}\right)}{\longrightarrow}-\underline{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $-\underline{1}$ can be transformed to $\underline{1}$ by $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{0}$.
Case (2) $R=(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t), s>0$. Similarly as the case (1), $R$ can be transformed to $\overline{1}$ and $\overline{1}$ is transformed to $-\underline{1}$ by $\omega=\exp \left(\Theta\left(0,0,0,0, \frac{\pi}{2},-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \in\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right): \omega \overline{1}=-\underline{1}\right.$. Furthermore, $-\underline{1}$ is transformed to $\underline{1}$ as was seen in the case ( $1^{\prime}$ ).

Case (2') $R=(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t), s<0$. Similarly as the case (2), $R$ can be transformed to
$-\overline{1}$ and then to $\underline{1}$ by $\omega$.
Case (3) $R=(\Phi, P, Q, r, 0,0), r \neq 0$. In this case, from (2), (5), (6) of Proposition 2, we have $Q \times Q=0, \phi Q=-3 r Q,\{P, Q\}^{\prime}=16 r^{2}$. Now, for $\Theta=\Theta(0, Q, 0,0,0,0) \in$ ad $e_{8}^{\prime}$, we have

$$
(\exp \Theta) R=\left(\Phi, P+2 r Q, Q, r,-4 r^{2}, 0\right),-4 r^{2} \neq 0
$$

So we can reduce to the case (2').
Case (4) $R=(\Phi, P, Q, 0,0,0), Q \neq 0$. Choose $P_{1} \in \mathfrak{B}^{\prime}$ such that $\left\{P_{1}, Q\right\}^{\prime} \neq 0$. Then for $\Theta=\Theta\left(0, P_{1}, 0,0,0,0\right) \in$ ad $\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}$, we have

$$
(\exp \Theta) R=\left(*, *, *,-\frac{1}{8}\left\{P_{1}, Q\right\}^{\prime}, *, *\right) .
$$

So we can reduce to the case (3).
Case (5) $R=(\Phi, P, Q, 0,0,0), P \neq 0$. This is similar to the case (4).
Case (6) $R=(\Phi, 0,0,0,0,0), \Phi \neq 0$. In this case, from (10) of Proposition 2, we have $\Phi^{2}=0$. Now, choose $P_{1} \in \mathfrak{B}^{\prime}$ such that $\Phi P_{1} \neq 0$. Then for $\Theta=\Theta\left(0, P_{1}, 0,0,0,0\right) \in$ ad $\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}$, we have

$$
(\exp \Theta)=\left(\Phi,-\Phi P_{1}, 0,0,-\frac{1}{8}\left\{\Phi P_{1}, P_{1}\right\}^{\prime}, 0\right)
$$

So we can reduce to the case (5).
Thus the transitivity of $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{0}$ on $\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}$ is proved. Hence $\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}=\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{0} 1$, so $\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}$ is connected. Next, the isotropy subgroup $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{1}$ of $E_{8}^{\prime}$ at 1 is the semi-direct product of subgroups exp $\left(\underline{\mathfrak{Y}}^{\prime}\right) \exp (\underline{\boldsymbol{R}})$ and $E_{7}^{\prime}$ of the $\operatorname{group}\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{\underline{1}}:\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{\underline{1}}=\left(\exp \left(\underline{\mathfrak{W}}^{\prime}\right) \exp (\underline{\boldsymbol{R}})\right) E_{7}^{\prime}$ (about its proof, see [9], [18]). Thus we have the homeomorphism $E_{8}^{\prime} /\left(\exp \left(\mathfrak{\Re}^{\prime}\right) \exp (\underline{R})\right) E_{7}^{\prime} \simeq \mathfrak{w}^{\prime}$. The space $\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}$ and the group $\left(\exp \left(\underline{\mathfrak{P}}^{\prime}\right) \exp (\underline{\boldsymbol{R}})\right) E_{7}^{\prime}$ are connected, so the group $E_{8}^{\prime}$ is also connected.

## 4. The positive definite inner product ( $\boldsymbol{R}_{1}, \boldsymbol{R}_{2}$ ) in $\mathrm{e}_{8}^{\prime}$

We define an inner product ( $R_{1}, R_{2}$ ) in $\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}$ by

$$
\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{15} B_{8}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{\nu} R_{1}, R_{2}\right)
$$

(the coefficient $\frac{1}{15}$ is not essential).
Proposition 4. The inner product $\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$ in és is positive definite.
Proof.

$$
\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{15} B_{8}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{0} R_{1}, R_{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & -\frac{1}{15} B_{8}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{v}\left(\Phi_{1}, P_{1}, Q_{1}, r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}\right),\left(\Phi_{2}, P_{2}, Q_{2}, r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}\right)\right) \\
= & -\frac{1}{15} B_{8}^{\prime}\left(\left(v \Phi_{1} v^{-1},-v Q_{1}, v P_{1},-r_{1},-s_{1},-t_{1}\right),\left(\Phi_{2}, P_{2}, Q_{2}, r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}\right)\right) \\
= & -\frac{1}{15}\left(\frac{5}{3} B_{7}^{\prime}\left(v \Phi_{1} v^{-1}, \Phi_{2}\right)+15\left\{v P_{1}, P_{2}\right\}^{\prime}-15\left\{-v Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right\}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.+120\left(-r_{1}\right) r_{2}+60\left(-s_{1}\right) s_{2}+60\left(-t_{1}\right) t_{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{9} B_{7}^{\prime}\left(v \Phi_{1} v, \Phi_{2}\right)+\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)+\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right)+8 r_{1} r_{2}+4 s_{1} s_{2}+4 t_{1} t_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So it is sufficient to show that $B_{7}^{\prime}\left(v \Phi_{1} v, \Phi_{2}\right)$ is positive definite. From [8] Theorem 27, this is calculated as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{7}^{\prime}\left(v \Phi_{1} v, \Phi_{2}\right) & =B_{7}^{\prime}\left(v \Phi\left(\phi_{1}, A_{1}, B_{1}, v_{1}\right) v, \Phi\left(\phi_{2}, A_{2}, B_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =B_{7}^{\prime}\left(\Phi\left(-\gamma \phi_{1}^{\prime} \gamma, \gamma B_{1}, \gamma A_{1}, v_{1}\right), \Phi\left(\phi_{2}, A_{2}, B_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{3}{2} B_{6}^{\prime}\left(-\gamma \phi_{1}^{\prime} \gamma, \phi_{2}\right)+36\left(\gamma B_{1}, B_{2}\right)^{\prime}+36\left(\gamma A_{1}, A_{2}\right)^{\prime}+24 v_{1} v_{2} \\
& =-\frac{3}{2} B_{6}^{\prime}\left(\gamma \phi_{1}^{\prime} \gamma, \phi_{2}\right)+36\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)+36\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)+24 v_{1} v_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B_{6}^{\prime}$ is the Killing form of the Lie algebra $e_{6}^{\prime}$. So it is sufficient to show that $B_{6}^{\prime}$ ( $\gamma \phi_{1}^{\prime} \gamma$, $\phi_{2}$ ) is positive definite. From [8] Theorem 27, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{6}^{\prime}\left(\gamma \phi_{1}^{\prime} \gamma, \phi_{2}\right) & =B_{6}^{\prime}\left(\gamma\left(\delta_{1}+\tilde{T}_{1}\right)^{\prime} \gamma, \delta_{2}+\tilde{T}_{2}\right)=B_{6}^{\prime}\left(\gamma\left(\delta_{1}-\tilde{T}_{1}\right) \gamma, \delta_{2}+\tilde{T}_{2}\right) \\
& =B_{6}^{\prime}\left(\gamma \delta_{1} \gamma-\gamma \tilde{T}_{1}, \delta_{2}+\tilde{T}_{2}\right)=\frac{4}{3} B_{4}^{\prime}\left(\gamma \delta_{1} \gamma, \delta_{2}\right)-12\left(\gamma T_{1}, T_{2}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{4}{3} B_{4}^{\prime}\left(\gamma \delta_{1} \gamma, \phi_{2}\right)-12\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B_{4}^{\prime}$ is the Killing form of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{f}_{4}^{\prime}=\left\{\delta \in \mathcal{e}_{6}^{\prime} \mid \delta E=0\right\}, \delta_{i} \in \mathfrak{f}_{4}^{\prime}$, $T_{i} \in \mathfrak{S}_{0}^{\prime}=\left\{T \in \mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \mid \operatorname{tr}(T)=0\right\}$ and $\tilde{T} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{S}^{\prime}\right)$ is defined by $\tilde{T} X=T \circ X$. So it is sufficient to show that $B_{4}^{\prime}\left(\gamma \delta_{1} \gamma, \delta_{2}\right)$ is negative definite. Since the Lie algebra $f_{4}^{\prime}$ is simple, $\mathfrak{f}_{4}^{\prime}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}: \mathfrak{f}_{4}^{\prime}=\left\{\Sigma_{i}\left[A_{i}, B_{i}\right] \mid A_{i}, B_{i} \in \mathfrak{S}^{\prime}\right\}$. We define an inner product $\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)_{4}$ in $\mathfrak{f}_{4}^{\prime}$ by

$$
\left(\delta, \sum_{i}\left[A_{i}, B_{i}\right]_{4}=\sum_{i}\left(\gamma \delta \gamma B_{i}, A_{i}\right)^{\prime}, \quad \delta \in \mathfrak{f}_{4}^{\prime}, A_{i}, B_{i} \in \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right.
$$

Then this inner product is well-defined ([8] Proposition 2) and positive definite. In fact, under the notations

$$
E_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_{i 1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \delta_{i 2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \delta_{i 3}
\end{array}\right), \quad F_{i}(x)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \delta_{i 3} x & \delta_{i 2} \bar{x} \\
\delta_{i 3} \bar{x} & 0 & \delta_{i 1} x \\
\delta_{i 2} x & \delta_{i 1} \bar{x} & 0
\end{array}\right) \in \mathfrak{J}^{\prime}, x \in \mathbb{C}^{\prime}, i=1,2,3
$$

( $\delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker's delta), we can easily verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{2}\left[\tilde{E}_{1}, \tilde{F}_{2}\left(e_{i}\right)\right], \sqrt{2}\left[\tilde{E}_{1}, \tilde{F}_{3}\left(e_{i}\right)\right], \sqrt{2}\left[\tilde{E}_{3}, \tilde{F}_{1}\left(e_{i}\right)\right], i=0,1,2, \cdots, 7 \\
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\tilde{F}_{1}\left(e_{i}\right), \tilde{F}_{1}\left(e_{j}\right)\right], 0 \leqq i<j \leqq 7
\end{aligned}
$$

(where $\left\{e_{0}=1, e_{1}=\mathrm{i}, e_{2}=\mathrm{j}, e_{3}=\mathrm{k}, e_{4}=e^{\prime}, e_{5}=\mathrm{i} e^{\prime}, e_{6}=\mathrm{j} e^{\prime}, e_{7}=\mathrm{k} e^{\prime}\right\}(\{1, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}\}$ is the canonical basis of $\boldsymbol{H})$ ) is an orthonormal basis with respect to the inner product ( $\left.\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)_{4}$. Hence ( $\delta_{1}$, $\left.\delta_{2}\right)_{4}$ is positive definite. Now, $B_{4}^{\prime}\left(\gamma \delta_{1} \gamma, \delta_{2}\right)$ is again calculated in [8] Proposition 27 (cf. §8, §11) as

$$
B_{4}^{\prime}\left(\gamma \delta_{1} \gamma, \sum_{i}\left[A_{i}, B_{i}\right]\right)=-9 \sum_{i}\left(\gamma \delta_{1} \gamma B_{i}, A_{i}\right)^{\prime}
$$

Therefore $B_{4}^{\prime}\left(\gamma \delta_{1} \gamma, \delta_{2}\right)$ is negative definite. Thus we see that the inner product $\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$ is positive definite.

## 5. The subgroup $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ of $E_{8}^{\prime}$ and its Lie algebra $\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$

We define a subgroup $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ of the group $E_{8}^{\prime}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K} & =\left\{\alpha \in E_{8}^{\prime} \mid \tilde{v} \alpha=\alpha \tilde{v}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\alpha \in E_{8}^{\prime} \mid\left(\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right)=\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Our present purpose is to show that the group $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ is isomorphic to the semispinor group Ss (16). First, we shall show that the Lie algebra $\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ of the group $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K} & =\left\{\Theta \in \operatorname{ad} \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime} \mid \tilde{v} \Theta=\Theta \tilde{v}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\Theta \in \operatorname{ad} \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime} \mid\left(\Theta R_{1}, R_{2}\right)=-\left(R_{1}, \Theta R_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\left\{\Theta(\Phi, v P, P, 0, s,-s) \in \operatorname{ad} e_{8}^{\prime} \mid \Phi \in \mathfrak{e}_{7}^{\prime}, v \Phi=\Phi v, P \in \mathfrak{P}^{\prime}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{0}(16)$. For this purpose, we give some preliminaries (cf. §1).

Lemma 5. For $X_{1}, X_{2} \in \mathcal{Y}^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\phi_{*}\left[f X_{1}, f X_{2}\right]=2\left(X_{1} \vee \gamma X_{2}-X_{2} \vee \gamma X_{1}\right) .
$$

Proof. First note that $\left[f X_{1}, f X_{2}\right] \in \mathfrak{s p}(4)$. Now, for $X \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(2\left(X_{1} \vee \gamma X_{2}\right) X\right) \\
& \quad=f\left(\left(\gamma X_{2}, X\right)^{\prime} X_{1}+\frac{1}{3}\left(X_{1}, \gamma X_{2}\right)^{\prime} X-4 \gamma X_{2} \times\left(X_{1} \times X\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(X_{2}, X\right) f X_{1}+\frac{1}{3}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) f X-4 f X_{2} \circ f\left(\gamma\left(X_{1} \times X\right)\right)+\left(X_{2}, \gamma\left(X_{1} \times X\right)\right) E \\
& =\left(X_{2}, X\right) f X_{1}+\frac{1}{3}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) f X-4 f X_{2} \circ\left(f X_{1} \circ f X\right)+\left(X_{1}, X\right) f X_{2}+\left(X_{2}, X_{1}, X\right)^{\prime} E .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(2\left(X_{1} \vee \gamma X_{2}-X_{2} \vee \gamma X_{1}\right) X\right)=-4 f X_{2} \circ\left(f X_{1} \circ f X\right)+4 f X_{1} \circ\left(f X_{2} \circ f X\right) \\
& \quad=\left[f X_{1}, f X_{2}\right] f X-f X\left[f X_{1}, f X_{2}\right]=f\left(\left(\phi_{*}\left[f X_{1}, f X_{2}\right]\right) X\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f$ is a momomorphism, we have the required formula.
Proposition 6. For $S, S_{1}, S_{2} \in \subseteq(8, C)$, we have

(2) $\operatorname{tr}\left(S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}\right)=4 i\left\{\chi^{-1} S_{1}, \chi^{-1} S_{2}\right\}^{\prime}$.
(3) $\psi_{*}\left(S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}-\frac{1}{8} \operatorname{tr}\left(S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}\right) E\right)=4\left(v \chi^{-1} S_{1} \times \chi^{-1} S_{2}-v \chi^{-1} S_{2} \times \chi^{-1} S_{1}\right)$.

Proof. (1) Put $\chi^{-1} S=P=(X, Y, \xi, \eta)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi v \chi^{-1} S & =\chi v P=\chi v(X, Y, \xi, \eta)=\chi(\gamma Y,-\gamma X, \eta,-\xi) \\
& \left.=\left(k\left(f(\gamma Y)-\frac{\eta}{2} E\right)+\mathrm{i} k\left(f(\gamma(-\gamma X))-\frac{-\xi}{2} E\right)\right)\right) J \\
& \left.=-\mathrm{i}\left(k\left(f X-\frac{\xi}{2} E\right)+\mathrm{i} k\left(f(\gamma Y)-\frac{\eta}{2} E\right)\right)\right) J \\
& =-\mathrm{i} \chi(X, Y, \xi, \eta)=-\mathrm{i} \chi P=-\mathrm{i} S .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2), (3) Put $\chi^{-1} S_{i}=P_{i}=\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}, \xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right), i=1,2$. First note that $S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}-\frac{1}{8} \operatorname{tr}\left(S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}\right) E \in \mathfrak{B u}(8)$. Now,

$$
S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}=\chi P_{1} \overline{\chi P_{2}}=\chi\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}, \xi_{1}, \eta_{1}\right) \overline{\chi\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}, \xi_{2}, \eta_{2}\right)}
$$

$$
=\left(k\left(f X_{1}-\frac{\xi_{1}}{2} E\right)+\mathrm{i} k\left(f\left(\gamma Y_{1}\right)-\frac{\eta_{1}}{2} E\right)\right) \overline{\left(k\left(f X_{2}-\frac{\xi_{2}}{2} E\right)+\mathrm{i} k\left(f\left(\gamma Y_{2}\right)-\frac{\eta_{2}}{2} E\right)\right)} J
$$

$$
=\left(k\left(f X_{1}-\frac{\xi_{1}}{2} E\right)+\mathrm{i} k\left(f\left(\gamma Y_{1}\right)-\frac{\eta_{1}}{2} E\right)\right)\left(k\left(f X_{2}-\frac{\xi_{2}}{2} E\right)-\mathrm{i} k\left(f\left(\gamma Y_{2}\right)-\frac{\eta_{2}}{2} E\right)\right) J^{2}
$$

$$
=-k\left(\left(f X_{1}-\frac{\xi_{1}}{2} E\right)\left(f X_{2}-\frac{\xi_{2}}{2} E\right)+\left(f\left(\gamma Y_{1}\right)-\frac{\eta_{1}}{2} E\right)\left(f\left(\gamma Y_{2}\right)-\frac{\eta_{2}}{2} E\right)\right)
$$

$$
-i k\left(\left(f\left(\gamma Y_{1}\right)-\frac{\eta_{1}}{2} E\right)\left(f X_{2}-\frac{\xi_{2}}{2} E\right)-\left(f X_{1}-\frac{\xi_{1}}{2} E\right)\left(f\left(\gamma Y_{2}\right)-\frac{\eta_{2}}{2} E\right)\right)
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}= & -k\left(f X_{1} f X_{2}-f X_{2} f X_{1}+f\left(\gamma Y_{1}\right) f\left(\gamma Y_{2}\right)-f\left(\gamma Y_{2}\right) f\left(\gamma Y_{1}\right)\right) \\
& -\mathrm{i} k\left(f\left(\gamma Y_{1}\right) f X_{2}-f\left(\gamma Y_{2}\right) f X_{1}-f X_{1} f\left(\gamma Y_{2}\right)+f X_{2} f\left(\gamma Y_{1}\right)-\eta_{1} f X_{2}+\eta_{2} f X_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+\xi_{1} f\left(\gamma Y_{2}\right)-\xi_{2} f\left(\gamma Y_{1}\right)\right)+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(\xi_{1} \eta_{2}-\xi_{2} \eta_{1}\right) E \\
= & k\left(-\left[f X_{1}, f X_{2}\right]-\left[f\left(\gamma Y_{1}\right), f\left(\gamma Y_{2}\right)\right]\right) \\
& +\mathrm{i} k\left(f\left(2 \gamma X_{1} \times Y_{2}-2 \gamma X_{2} \times Y_{1}+\eta_{1} X_{2}-\eta_{2} X_{1}-\xi_{1} \gamma Y_{2}+\xi_{2} \gamma Y_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(\left(X_{1}, Y_{2}\right)^{\prime}-\left(X_{2}, Y_{1}\right)^{\prime}+\xi_{1} \eta_{2}-\xi_{2} \eta_{1}\right)\right) E \\
= & k(C)+\mathrm{i} k(f A)+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}\right\}^{\prime} E, \quad C \in \xi p(4), A \in \mathfrak{S}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Take the traces of the above, then we have

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}\right)=4 \mathrm{i}\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}\right\}^{\prime}=4 \mathrm{i}\left\{\chi^{-1} S_{1}, \chi^{-1} S_{2}\right\}^{\prime} .
$$

Therefore we have also

$$
S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}-\frac{1}{8} \operatorname{tr}\left(S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}\right) E=k(C)+\mathrm{i} k(f A) .
$$

On the other hand

$$
\nu P_{1} \times P_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\gamma Y_{1} \\
-\gamma X_{1} \\
\eta_{1} \\
-\xi_{1}
\end{array}\right) \times\left(\begin{array}{c}
X_{2} \\
Y_{2} \\
\xi_{2} \\
\eta_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\Phi\left[\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma Y_{1} \vee Y_{2}+X_{2} \vee\left(-\gamma X_{1}\right)\right) \\
-\frac{1}{4}\left(2\left(-\gamma X_{1}\right) \times Y_{2}-\eta_{1} X_{2}-\xi_{2} \gamma Y_{1}\right) \\
\frac{1}{4}\left(2 \gamma Y_{1} \times X_{2}-\left(-\xi_{1}\right) Y_{2}-\eta_{2}\left(-\gamma X_{1}\right)\right) \\
\frac{1}{8}\left(\left(\gamma Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)^{\prime}+\left(X_{2},-\gamma X_{1}\right)^{\prime}-3\left(\eta_{1} \eta_{2}+\xi_{2}\left(-\xi_{1}\right)\right)\right.
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus we have

$$
\vartheta P_{1} \times P_{2}-\vartheta P_{2} \times P_{1}=\Phi\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2}\left(-X_{1} \vee \gamma X_{2}+X_{2} \vee \gamma X_{1}-\gamma Y_{1} \vee Y_{2}+\gamma Y_{2} \vee Y_{1}\right) \\
\frac{1}{4}\left(2 \gamma X_{1} \times Y_{2}-2 \gamma X_{2} \times Y_{1}+\eta_{1} X_{2}-\eta_{2} X_{1}+\xi_{2} \gamma Y_{1}-\xi_{1} \gamma Y_{2}\right) \\
\frac{1}{4}\left(2 X_{2} \times \gamma Y_{1}-2 X_{1} \times \gamma Y_{2}+\eta_{2} \gamma X_{1}-\eta_{1} \gamma X_{2}+\xi_{1} Y_{2}-\xi_{2} Y_{1}\right) \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\Phi\left(\frac{1}{4} \phi_{*} C, \frac{1}{4} A,-\frac{1}{4} \gamma A, 0\right) \quad(\text { Lemma } 5) \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \psi_{*}(k(C)+\mathrm{i} k(f A))=\frac{1}{4} \psi_{*}\left(S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}-\frac{1}{8} \operatorname{tr}\left(S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}\right) E\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We define an algebraic homomorphism $l: M(8, C) \rightarrow M(16, R)$ by

$$
l\left(x_{k j}+\mathrm{i} y_{k j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
x_{k j} & -y_{k j} \\
y_{k j} & x_{k j}
\end{array}\right), \quad x_{k j}, y_{k j} \in \boldsymbol{R}
$$

Then we have

$$
{ }^{t} l(X)=l\left(X^{*}\right), \quad L l(X)=l(\bar{X}) L, \quad J l(X)=l(X) J \text { for } X \in M(8, \mathbb{C})
$$

Proposition 7.
(1) $l(\mathfrak{u}(8))=\{B \in \mathfrak{g o}(16) \mid B J=\mathrm{JB}\}, \quad l(\varsigma(8, C)) L=\{B \in \mathfrak{g o}(16) \mid B J=-\mathrm{JB}\}$.
(2) Any element $B$ of $\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ is represented by the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
B & =l\left(D^{\prime}\right)+l(S) L, \quad D^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{u}(8), S \in \mathbb{S}(8, C) \\
& =l(D)+l(S) L+l(\mathrm{i} s E), \quad D \in \mathfrak{\xi u}(8), S \in \mathbb{S}(8, C), s \in \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

THEOREM 8. The Lie algebra $\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s p}(16)$ by the correspondence $\zeta: \mathrm{gop}_{\mathrm{o}}(16) \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{e}_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$,

$$
\zeta(l(D)+l(S) L+l(\mathrm{i} s E))=\left(\psi * D, 2 v \chi^{-1} S, 2 \chi^{-1} S, 0,2 s,-s\right)
$$

where $D \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{0}}(8), S \in \mathbb{S}(8, C), s \in \mathbb{R}$.
PROOF. The mapping $\zeta$ is clearly bijective. We shall show that $\zeta$ is a homomorphism.
(1) $\zeta\left[l\left(D_{1}\right), l\left(D_{2}\right)\right]=\zeta l\left[D_{1}, D_{2}\right]=\left(\psi *\left[D_{1}, D_{2}\right], 0,0,0,0,0\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\left[\psi * D_{1}, \psi * D_{2}\right], 0,0,0,0,0\right) \\
& =\left[\left(\psi_{*} D_{1}, 0,0,0,0,0\right),\left(\psi * D_{2}, 0,0,0,0,0\right)\right]=\left[\zeta l\left(D_{1}\right), \zeta l\left(D_{2}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) $\zeta[l(D), l(S) L]=\zeta(l(D S-S \bar{D}) L)=\zeta\left(l\left(D S+S^{t} D\right) L\right)$

$$
=\left(0,2 v \chi^{-1}\left(D S+S^{t} D\right), 2 \chi^{-1}\left(D S+S^{t} D\right), 0,0,0\right) . \text { On the other hand, }
$$

$[\zeta l(D), \zeta(l(S) L)]=\left[\left(\psi_{*} D, 0,0,0,0,0\right),\left(0,2 v \chi^{-1} S, 2 \chi^{-1} S, 0,0,0\right)\right]$ $=\left(0,2\left(\psi_{*} D\right) \cup \chi^{-1} S, 2\left(\psi_{*} D\right) \chi^{-1} S, 0,0,0\right)$.
Since $\left(\psi_{*} D\right) v=v\left(\psi_{*} D\right)$ and $\left(\psi_{*} D\right) \chi^{-1} S=\chi^{-1}\left(D S+S^{t} D\right)$, this is equal to the above.
(3) $\zeta[l(D), l(\mathrm{i} s E)]=\zeta l[D$, is $E]=\zeta 0=0=\left[\left(\psi_{*} D, 0,0,0,0,0\right),(0,0,0,0,2 s,-2 s)\right]$

$$
=[\zeta l(D), \zeta l(\mathrm{i} s E)]
$$

(4) $\zeta\left[l\left(S_{1}\right) L, l\left(S_{2}\right) L\right]=\zeta l\left(S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}\right)$

$$
=\zeta\left(l\left(S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}-\frac{1}{8} \operatorname{tr}\left(S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}\right) E\right)+l\left(\frac{1}{8} \operatorname{tr}\left(S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}\right) E\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \left(\psi *\left(S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}-\frac{1}{8} \operatorname{tr}\left(S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}\right) E\right), 0,0,0\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{i}{4} \operatorname{tr}\left(S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}\right), \frac{i}{4} \operatorname{tr}\left(S_{1} \bar{S}_{2}-S_{2} \bar{S}_{1}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(4\left(v \chi^{-1} S_{1} \times \chi^{-1} S_{2}-v \chi^{-1} S_{2} \times \chi^{-1} S_{1}\right), 0,0,0,\left\{\chi^{-1} S_{1}, \chi^{-1} S_{2}\right\}^{\prime},\right. \\
& \left.-\left\{\chi^{-1} S_{1}, \chi^{-1} S_{2}\right\}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(Proposition 6 (2), (3)). On the other hand
$\left[\zeta\left(l\left(S_{1}\right) L\right), \zeta\left(l\left(S_{2}\right) L\right)\right]$

$$
=\left[\left(0,2 v \chi^{-1} S_{1}, 2 \chi^{-1} S_{1}, 0,0,0\right),\left(0,2 v \chi^{-1} S_{2}, 2 \chi^{-1} S_{2}, 0,0,0\right)\right]
$$

$$
=\left(2 v \chi^{-1} S_{1} \times 2 \chi^{-1} S_{2}-2 v \chi^{-1} S_{2} \times 2 \chi^{-1} S_{1}, 0,0,0, \frac{1}{8}\left(-\left\{2 v \chi^{-1} S_{1}, 2 \chi^{-1} S_{2}\right\}^{\prime}\right.\right.
$$

$$
\left.\left.+2\left\{v \chi^{-1} S_{2}, 2 \chi^{-1} S_{1}\right\}^{\prime}\right), \frac{1}{4}\left\{2 v \chi^{-1} S_{1}, 2 v \chi^{-1} S_{2}\right\}^{\prime},-\frac{1}{4}\left\{2 \chi^{-1} S_{1}, 2 \chi^{-1} S_{2}\right\}^{\prime}\right)
$$

$=$ the above.
(5) $\zeta[l(\mathrm{is} E), l(S) L]=\zeta(2 l(\mathrm{is} S) L)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(0,4 v \chi^{-1}(\mathrm{i} s S), 4 \chi^{-1}(\mathrm{i} s S), 0,0,0\right) \\
& =\left(0,4 \chi^{-1}(s S),-4 v \chi^{-1}(s S), 0,0,0\right) \quad \text { (Proposition 6(1)) } \\
& =\left[(0,0,0,0,2 s,-2 s),\left(0,2 v \chi^{-1} S, 2 \chi^{-1} S, 0,0,0\right)\right] \\
& =[\zeta l(\mathrm{is} s), \zeta(l(S) L)] .
\end{aligned}
$$

(6) $\zeta\left[l\left(\mathrm{i}_{1} E\right), l\left(\mathrm{i}_{2} E\right)\right]=\zeta l\left[\mathrm{i} s_{1} E, \mathrm{i} s_{2} E\right]=\zeta 0=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left[\left(0,0,0,0,2 s_{1},-2 s_{1}\right),\left(0,0,0,0,2 s_{2},-2 s_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\left[\zeta l\left(i s_{1} E\right), \zeta l\left(i s_{2} E\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6. The polar decomposition of $\mathbb{E}_{8}^{\prime}$ and connectedness of $\left(\mathbb{E}_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$

To give the polar decomposition of the group $E_{8}^{\prime}$, we prepare
Lemma 9. The group $E_{8}^{\prime}$ is an algebraic subgroup of a general linear group $G L(248, \boldsymbol{R})$ $=I_{\operatorname{Iso}_{R}\left(\mathrm{e}_{8}^{\prime}, \mathrm{e}_{8}^{\prime}\right)}$ and satisfies the condition that $\alpha \in E_{8}^{\prime}$ implies ${ }^{t} \alpha \in E_{8}^{\prime}$, where ${ }^{t} \alpha$ is the transpose of $\alpha$ with respect to the inner product $\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right):\left({ }^{+} \alpha R_{1}, R_{2}\right)=\left(R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right)$.

Proof.
Since $\left({ }^{t} \alpha R_{1}, R_{2}\right)=\left(R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{15} B_{8}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{v} R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{15} B_{8}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{-1} \tilde{v} R_{1}, R_{2}\right)=\left(\tilde{v} \alpha^{-1} \tilde{v} R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$ for $\alpha \in E_{8}^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\left.{ }^{t} \alpha=\tilde{v} \alpha^{-1} \tilde{v} \in E_{8}^{\prime} \quad \text { (Proposition } 1(2)\right)
$$

It is obvious that the group $E_{8}^{\prime}$ is algebraic, because it is defined by the algebraic relation
$\alpha\left[R_{1}, R_{2}\right]=\left[\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right]$.
According to Chevalley's lemma ([1] Lemma 2, p.201), we have the homeomorphism

$$
E_{8}^{\prime} \simeq\left(E_{8}^{\prime} \cap O\left(\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}\right)\right) \times \boldsymbol{R}^{d}=\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{d}
$$

where $O\left(\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in \mathrm{Iso}_{R}\left(\mathrm{e}_{8}^{\prime}, \mathrm{e}_{8}^{\prime}\right) \mid\left(\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right)=\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)\right\}$ and $d$ is calculated as $d=\operatorname{dim} E_{8}^{\prime}-$ $\operatorname{dim} 50(16)=248-120=128$. Thus we have the following

Theorem 10. The group $E_{8}^{\prime}$ is homeomorphic to the topological product of the group $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ and the Euclidean space $\boldsymbol{R}^{128}$ :

$$
E_{8}^{\prime} \simeq\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K} \times \mathbb{R}^{128}
$$

In particular, the group $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ is connected.
Since $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ is a connected compact simple Lie group of type $D_{8}$ (Theorem 8,10 ), we see that the group $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ is isomorphic to one of the following four groups

$$
\operatorname{Spin}(16), \quad \operatorname{Ss}(16), \quad S O(16), \quad P S O(16)
$$

## 7. Isomorphism $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K} \simeq S s(16)$

In order to determine the group-type of the group $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$, consider

$$
\left(\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}=\left\{R \in \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime} \mid \tilde{v} R=R\right\}, \quad\left(\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{P}=\left\{R \in e_{8}^{\prime} \mid \tilde{v} R=-R\right\} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}=\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K} \oplus\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{P}
$$

which is the Cartan decomposition of the Lie algebra $e_{8}^{\prime}$ with respect to the involutive automorphism $\tilde{v}$, in particular we have $\left[\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K},\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{P}\right] \subset\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{P}$. The adjoint representation $\Psi$ of $\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ to $\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{P}:$

$$
\Psi(R) R_{1}=\left[R, R_{1}\right], \quad R \in\left(\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}, R_{1} \in\left(\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{P}
$$

is irreducible ([4](8.5.1)). Moreover, since the Lie algebra $\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ is simple (Theorem 8), the complexification representation $\Psi^{\mathrm{C}}$ of $\Psi$ to the complexification representation space $\left(\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{P}\right)^{\mathrm{C}}$ is also irreducible $([4](8.8 .3))$. Thus we have

Lemma 11. The representation of the group $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ to $\left(\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{P}\right)^{\mathrm{C}}$ is irreducible.
Proposition 12. The center $z\left(\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}\right)$ of the group $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ is $\{1, \tilde{v}\}$.
Proof. Obviously $\{1, \tilde{v}\} \subset z\left(\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}\right)$. Conversely let $\alpha \in z\left(\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}\right)$. The action of $\alpha$ to $\left(\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{P}\right)^{\mathrm{C}}$ is constant: $\alpha \mid\left(\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{P}\right)^{\mathrm{C}}=\lambda 1, \lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, from Lemma 11 and Schur's lemma. Since $\alpha \in$ $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ preserves the inner product ( $R_{1}, R_{2}$ )(which is naturally extended to the complexification Lie algebra ( $\left.e_{8}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathbf{C}}$ of $\left.\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}\right):\left(\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right)=\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right), R_{1}, R_{2} \in\left(\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{P}\right)^{\mathbf{c}}$, we have $\lambda^{2}=1$. Next from the simplicity of the Lie algebra $\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$, it is generated by $\left(\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{P}:\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}=\left\{\Sigma_{i, j}\left[R_{i}, R_{j}\right] \mid R_{i}\right.$,
$\left.R_{j} \in\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{P}\right\}$. Therefore $\alpha$ is $\lambda^{2} 1=1$, i. e. the identity mapping on $\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$. Consequently, if $\lambda=1$ then $\alpha=1$ and if $\lambda=-1$ then $\alpha=\tilde{v}$. Thus we have $z\left(\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}\right)=\{1, \tilde{v}\}$.

From Proposition 12, we see that the group $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ is not either $\operatorname{Spin}(16)$ or $P S O(16)$. Therefore $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ is isomorphic to one of $S O(16)$ and $S s(16)$.

We shall show that 128 dimensional complex irreducible representation of the Lie algebra $\mathrm{so}_{\mathrm{o}}(16)$ are only two. In fact, the dimension of the complex irreducible representation corresponds to a dominant weight $\omega$ can be calculated by Weyl's demension formula (e.g. [4](7.5.9)) as follows:

| $\omega_{1}$ | $2 \omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $2 \omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{4}$ | $\omega_{5}$ | $\omega_{6}$ | $\omega_{7}$ | $\omega_{8}$ | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 135 | 120 | 5304 | 560 | 1820 | 4368 | 8008 | 128 | 128 | $\cdots$ |

where $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \cdots \omega_{8}$ are the fundamental weights of $80(16)$. From this, we see that only $\omega_{7}$ and $\omega_{8}$ have 128 dimension among complex irreducible representations of $\mathrm{go}(16)$. On the other hand, we know that the spinor group $\operatorname{Spin}(16)$ has two 128 dimensional complex irreducible representations $\Delta_{16}^{+}, \Delta_{16}^{-}$, called the spinor representations, and these are both not representations of the group $S O(16)\left(\right.$ e.g. [20] Lemma 4.4.6). Now, $\left(\left(e_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{P}\right)^{c}$ was a 128 dimensional complex irreducible representation space of the group $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ (Lemma 11). The above arguments mean that $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ is not isomorphic to $S O(16)$. That is, we have

THEOREM 13. The group $\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}=\left\{\alpha \in E_{8}^{\prime} \mid\left(\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right)=\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)\right\}$ is isomorphic to the semispinor group $S s(16):\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K}=S s(16)$.

Thus, from Theorem 10 , we have the following theorem which was our main purpose.

THEOREM 14. The group $E_{8}^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha \in \operatorname{Iso}_{R}\left(\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}\right) \mid \alpha\left[R_{1}, R_{2}\right]=\left[\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right]\right\}$ is homeomorphic to the topological product of the semispinor group $S s(16)$ and the 128 dimensional Euclidean space $\boldsymbol{R}^{128}$ :

$$
E_{8}^{\prime} \simeq S s(16) \times R^{128}
$$

## 8. The subgroup $S s(16)$ in the compact simple Lie group $E_{8}$

It is known that the simply connected compact simple Lie group $E_{8}$ has $S s(16)$ as a subgroup of maximal rank [10]. Here we find out this subgroup $S s(16)$ explicitly in the group $E_{8}$.

Let $\mathcal{C}=\boldsymbol{H} \oplus \boldsymbol{H e}$ denote the Cayley division algebra with the multiplication

$$
(a+b e)(c+d e)=(a c-d \bar{b})+(b \bar{c}+d a) e
$$

and $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{C}}=\{x+\sqrt{-1} y \mid x, y \in \mathcal{C}\}$ its complexification. The split Cayly algebra $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ is naturally imbedded in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{c}}$,

$$
a+b e^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \longrightarrow a+\sqrt{-1} b e \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{c}}
$$

and its complexification $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{C}^{\prime}$ is also $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{c}}:\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{C}}=\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{C}}$. The involutive automorphism $\gamma: \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ is naturally extended to the complex linear involutive automorphism $\gamma: \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{c}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{C}}$. Let $\tau$ denote the complex conjugation on $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{C}}$ with respect to $\mathcal{C}$ : $\left.\tau(a+\sqrt{-1} b)=a-\sqrt{-1} b\right)$, $a, b \in \mathcal{C}$. Then $\mathfrak{C}^{\prime}=\left\{x \in \mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{C}} \mid \tau x=\gamma x\right\}$ by the above inclusion. Similarly $\Im^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{B}^{\prime}$ are imbedded in $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{B}^{\mathrm{C}}$ as $\mathfrak{Y}^{\prime}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{Y}^{\mathrm{C}} \mid \tau X=\gamma X\right\}$ and $\mathfrak{B}^{\prime}=\left\{P \in \mathfrak{P}^{\mathrm{C}} \mid \tau P=\gamma P\right\}$ respectively, where $\tau$ are the complex conjugations on $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{C}}, \mathfrak{B}^{\mathrm{c}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{\Im}$, $\mathfrak{\beta}$ respectively and finally $\gamma$ is the complex extension of $\gamma$ on $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{C}}, \mathfrak{P}^{\mathrm{C}}$.

Let $\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}=\mathfrak{e}_{7}^{\mathrm{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{B}^{\mathbf{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{P}^{\mathrm{C}} \oplus \boldsymbol{R}^{\mathbf{C}} \oplus \boldsymbol{R}^{\mathrm{C}} \oplus \boldsymbol{R}^{\mathrm{C}}$ be complex Lie algebra of type $E_{8}$ constructed basing on $\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{C}}$ ([3], [8]). The involution $\tau$ : $\mathbb{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{C}} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}$ is defined by

$$
\tau(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t)=\left(\tau \Phi_{\tau}, \tau P, \tau Q, \tau r, \tau s, \tau t\right)
$$

Since $e_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}$ is also the complexification of $\mathrm{e}_{8}^{\prime}$ : $\mathrm{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{e}_{8}^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} \mathrm{e}_{8}^{\prime}$, involutive automorphisms $\gamma, \tilde{i}$, $\tilde{v}: \mathrm{e}_{8}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}_{8}^{\prime}$ are naturally extended to involutive automorphisms $\gamma, \tilde{i}, \tilde{v}: \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{C}} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}$ respectively. Another involution $\rho: \mathrm{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{C}} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}$ is defined by $\rho=\tau \gamma=\gamma \tau$ :

$$
\rho(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t)=(\gamma \tau \Phi \tau \gamma, \gamma \tau P, \gamma \tau Q, \tau r, \tau s, \tau t)
$$

Then $\mathrm{e}_{8}^{\prime}$ is naturally imbedded in $\mathrm{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}$ as

$$
\mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\prime}=\left\{\theta \in \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{C}} \mid \rho \theta=\theta\right\}=\left\{\theta \in \mathrm{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{C}} \mid \gamma \theta=\tau \theta\right\} .
$$

In $\mathrm{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{c}}$, we define a positive definite Hermitian inner product $\left\langle R_{1}, R_{2}\right\rangle$ and an inner product $\left\langle R_{1}, R_{2}\right\rangle_{\bar{v}}$ respectively by

$$
\left\langle R_{1}, R_{2}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{15} B_{8}\left(\tau \tilde{\imath} R_{1}, R_{2}\right), \quad\left\langle R_{1}, R_{2}\right\rangle_{\tilde{v}}=\left\langle\tilde{v} R_{1}, R_{2}\right\rangle=\left(\rho R_{1}, R_{2}\right)
$$

where $B_{8}$ is the Killing form of the Lie algebra $e_{8}^{\mathrm{c}}$. We have shown in [8] that the group

$$
E_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}=\left\{\alpha \in \operatorname{Is} o_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}\right) \mid \alpha\left[R_{1}, R_{2}\right]=\left[\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right]\right\}
$$

is a simply connected complex Lie group of type $E_{8}$ and the group

$$
E_{8}=\left\{\alpha \in E_{8}^{\mathrm{C}} \mid\left\langle\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle R_{1}, R_{2}\right\rangle\right\}
$$

is a simply connected compact simple Lie group of type $E_{8}$. Now we define subgroups $\left(E_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{\rho}, E_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}, \tilde{0}$ respectively by

$$
\left(E_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{\rho}=\left\{\alpha \in E_{8}^{\mathrm{C}} \mid \rho \alpha=\alpha \rho\right\}, E_{8, \dot{v}}^{\mathrm{C}}=\left\{\alpha \in E_{8}^{\mathrm{C}} \mid\left\langle\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right\rangle_{\bar{v}}=\left\langle R_{1}, R_{2}\right\rangle_{\bar{v}}\right\} .
$$

Proposition 15. $\left(E_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{\rho}=E_{8, ~}^{\mathrm{C}}$, and it is isomorphic to the group $E_{8}^{\prime}$.
PRROF. $\left\langle R_{1}, R_{2}\right\rangle_{\tilde{\delta}}=\left\langle\tilde{v} R_{1}, R_{2}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{15} B_{8}\left(\tau \tilde{v} R_{1}, R_{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{15} B_{8}\left(\rho R_{1}, R_{2}\right), R_{1}, R_{2} \in \mathcal{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{c}}$. Hence for $\alpha \in E_{8}^{\mathrm{C}},\left\langle\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right\rangle_{\bar{v}}=\left\langle R_{1}, R_{2}\right\rangle_{\bar{v}}$ holds if and only if $\rho \alpha=\alpha \rho$. Thus we have $\left(E_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{\rho}=E_{8, \tilde{0}}^{\mathrm{C}}$. Next, since it is easy to see that the Lie algebra $\left(\mathrm{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{\rho}=\left\{R \in \mathrm{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{C}} \mid \rho R=R\right\}$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $e_{8}^{\prime}:\left(e_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{\rho}=e_{8}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{e}_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}$ is the complexification of $\left(e_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{\rho}$, the correspondence $\alpha \in E_{8}^{\prime} \rightarrow \alpha^{\mathrm{C}} \in\left(E_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{\rho}$ (where $\alpha^{\mathrm{C}}$ denotes the complexification of $\alpha$ ) gives an
isomorphism between $E_{8}^{\prime}$ and $\left(E_{8}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{\rho}$.
THEOREM 16. The simply connected compact simple Lie group $E_{8}$ contains a subgroup $\left(E_{8}\right)^{\rho}=\left\{\alpha \in E_{8} \mid \rho \alpha=\alpha \rho\right\}$ which is isomorphic to the semispinor group $S s(16)$.

$$
\text { PRoof. } \begin{aligned}
\left(E_{8}\right)^{\rho} & =\left\{\alpha \in E_{8} \mid\left\langle\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle R_{1}, R_{2}\right\rangle, \rho \alpha=\alpha \rho\right\} \\
& =\left\{\alpha \in\left(E_{8}^{\mathrm{G}}\right)^{\rho} \mid\left\langle\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle R_{1}, R_{2}\right\rangle\right\} \\
& =\left\{\alpha \in E_{8}^{\prime} \mid\left(\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right)=\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)\right\} \text { (Proposition 16) } \\
& =\left(E_{8}^{\prime}\right)_{K} \cong S s(16)(\text { Theorem 13). }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 9. Eratta and corrections of the preceding papers about exceptional Lie groups

We have been able to realize all of connected exceptional linear Lie groups and find their maximal compact subgroups explicitely:
$G_{2(-14)}[2],[20], G_{2(2)}[15]$.
$F_{4(-52)}[2],[20], F_{4(-20)}[2],[14], F_{4(4)}[16]$.
$E_{6(-78)}[17], E_{6(2)}[12], E_{6(-14)}[12], E_{6(-26)}[2], E_{6(6)}[11]$.
$E_{7(-133)}[5],[7], E_{7(-25)}[6],[7], E_{7(-5)}[13], E_{7(7)}[18]$.
$E_{8(-248)}[8], E_{8(-24)}[9], E_{8(8)}$ [this paper].
Here we point out some of their errata and correct them.
[5] p. 384, $l$. 12, [6] p. 10, $l$. 16. In front of " $\mathfrak{J}^{\mathrm{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{S}^{\mathbf{C}} \oplus \mathbf{C}$ " insert " $\mathrm{e}_{6}^{\mathrm{c}} \oplus$ ".
[5] p. 384, l. 13. Upon " $2 X \times Z-\eta W-\xi Y$ " insert " $X \vee W+Z \vee Y$ ".
[8] p. 761, $l$. 6 . For " $-9(\delta A, B)$ " read " $9(\delta A, B)$ " or" $-9(\delta B, A)$ ".
[8] p. 761, l. 6. For " $-12(\phi A, B)$ " read " $12(\phi A, B)$ ".
[9] p. 70, l.1-6. Omit and replace with " $\mathfrak{T}=\left\{R \in \mathfrak{e}_{8,1} \mid R \times R=0, R \neq 0\right\}$ where $R \times R \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(e_{8,1}, e_{8,1}\right)$ is defined by $(R \times R) R_{1}=\left(\operatorname{ad} R^{2} R_{1}+\frac{1}{30} B_{8,1}\left(R, R_{1}\right) R\right.$ for $R_{1} \in e_{8,1}\left(B_{8,1}\right.$ denotes the Killing form of the Lie algebra $e_{8,1}$ )".
[9] p. 70, l. 10-12. Omit Proposition 10 and replace with "Proposition 10, $\mathfrak{I}$ is connected". Added in [9]. The group $E_{8,1}$ can be also defined by $E_{8,1}=\left\{\alpha \in \operatorname{Iso}_{R}\left(\mathrm{e}_{8,1}, \mathrm{e}_{8,1}\right)\right.$ $\left.\mid \alpha\left[R_{1}, R_{2}\right]=\left[\alpha R_{1}, \alpha R_{2}\right]\right\}$ (which is connected (see [9] Theorem 16).
[17] p. 461, l.2. For " $\mathbb{Z}_{2}=\{1,-1\}$ " read " $\mathbb{Z}_{2}=\{1, \sigma\}$ ".
[18] p. 60, l. 2. Instead of $\chi$ use $\chi$ of Remark.
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