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## § 0. Introduction.

In a Riemannian manifold, a curve is described by the Frenet formula. For example, if all curvatures of a curve are identically zero, then the curve is a geodesic. If only the first curvature is a non-zero constant and others are all identically zero, then the curve is called a circle. If the first and second curvatures are non-zero constants and others are all identically zero, then the curve is called a helix. For the circle, the following theorem is well known [13].

Theorem A. Let $M$ be a connected submanifold of a Riemannian manifold $\bar{M}$. Every circle in $M$ is a circle in $\bar{M}$ if and only if $M$ is totally umbilical and has the parallel mean curvature vector in $\bar{M}$.

For curves and submanifolds in a Riemannian manifold, see also [15].
In this paper, we shall be concerned with curves in an indefinite-Riemannian manifold. If a manifold $M$ has an indefinite metric $g$, there exist null vectors in $M$. This situation causes a difference in the Frenet formula of curves. The purpose of this paper is to study "circle" and "helix" in an indefinite-Riemannian (especially Lorentzian) manifold and prove results similar to Theorem A.

The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Prof. S. Yamaguchi for his encouragement during the preparation of this paper and also wishes to thank the referee for his various suggestions.

## § 1. Preliminaries.

Let $R_{i, j}^{n}$ be an $n$ dimensional affine space with an inner product $g$ whose canonical form is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
I_{n-i-j} & & \\
& -I_{i} & \\
& & 0_{j}
\end{array}\right],
$$
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where $I_{i}$ is the $i \times i$ identity matrix and $0_{j}$ is the $j \times j 0$ matrix. We call $(i, j)$ a signature of $R_{i, j}^{n}$. The metric $g$ is non-degenerate if and only if $j=0$, in which case we denote by $R_{i}^{n}$ and say that the signature of $R_{i}^{n}$ is $i$.

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional smooth manifold equipped with a metric $g$, where the metric $g$ means a symmetric non-degenerate ( 0,2 )-tensor field on $M$ with constant signature. A tangent space $T_{p}(M)$ at a point $p \in M$ is furnished with the canonical inner product. If the signature of the metric $g$ is $i$, then we call $M$ an indefinite-Riemannian manifold of signature $i$ and denote by. $M_{i}$. If $g$ is positive definite, then $M$ is a Riemannian manifold. Especially if $i=1$, then $M$ is called a Lorentzian manifold. A tangent vector $x$ of $M_{i}$ is said to be spacelike if $g(x, x)>0$ or $x=0$, timelike if $g(x, x)<0$ and null if $g(x, x)=0$ and $x \neq 0$. In particular, on the Lorentzian manifold, null vectors are also said to be lightlike. This terminology derives from the relativity theory. Let $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{i}, x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ be tangent vectors of $M_{i}(\operatorname{dim} M=n)$. Assume that they satisfy $g\left(x_{A}, x_{B}\right)=\varepsilon_{A} \delta_{A B}$, where $\varepsilon_{A}=g\left(x_{A}, x_{A}\right)=+1$ (resp. -1) if $x_{A}$ is spacelike (resp. timelike) then $\left\{x_{A}\right.$, $A \in[1, n]\}$ is called an orthonormal basis of $M_{i}$.

In a Lorentzian manifold $M_{1}$, timelike vectors and null vectors are called causal vectors. There are no non-zero cusal vectors orthogonal to a timelike vector. In a Lorentzian manifold, a null vector $n_{1}$ is orthogonal to a null vector $n_{2}$ if and only if $n_{1}$ is linearly dependent to $n_{2}$.

A pseudosphere $S_{i}^{n}$ of radius 1 in $R_{i}^{n+1}$ is defined by

$$
S_{i}^{n}=\left\{x \in R_{i}^{n+1}: g(x, x)=1\right\} ;
$$

then $S_{i}^{n}$ is a complete $n$-dimensional indefinite-Riemannian manifold of signature $i$ and of constant sectional curvature 1. Similarly we define a pseudohyperbolic space $H_{i}^{n}$ of radius 1 in $R_{i+1}^{n+1}$ by

$$
H_{i}^{n}=\left\{x \in R_{i+1}^{n+1}: g(x, x)=-1\right\} ;
$$

then $H_{i}^{n}$ is a complete $n$-dimensional indefininte-Riemannian manifold of signature $i$ and of constant sectional curvature $-1 . R_{i}^{n}$ is a complete $n$-dimensional in-definite-Riemannian manifold of signature $i$ and of constant sectional curvature 0 . By $\bar{N}_{i}^{n}$, we denote one of $S_{i}^{n}, H_{i}^{n}$ or $R_{i}^{n}$ to simplify the presentation. $\bar{N}_{i}^{n}$ are called an indefinite-Riemannian space form.

Next, we recall the general theory of indefinite-Riemannian submanifolds immersed into an indefinite-Riemannian manifold (cf. [9], [16]) and show some lemmas which are subsequently useful. Let $f: M_{i} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{j}$ be an isometric immersion of an $n$-dimensional indefinite-Riemannian manifold $M_{i}$ of signature $i$ into an ( $n+p$ )-dimensional indefinete-Riəmannian manifold $\bar{M}_{j}$ of signature $j$. For all
local formulas we may consider $f$ as an imbedding and thus identify $p \in M_{i}$ with $f(p) \in \bar{M}_{j}$. The tangent space $T_{p}\left(M_{i}\right)$ is identified with a subspace of $T_{p}\left(\bar{M}_{j}\right)$. Denote by $T\left(M_{i}\right)$ the tangent bundle. The normal space $T_{p}^{\perp}$ is the subspace of $T_{p}\left(\bar{M}_{j}\right)$ consisting of vectors which are orthogonal to $T_{p}\left(M_{i}\right)$ with respect to the metric $g$ of $\bar{M}_{j}$. By $\nabla$ (resp. $\bar{\nabla}$ ) we denote the covariant differentiation of $M_{i}$ (resp. $\bar{M}_{j}$ ). Then we have the Gauss' formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+B(X, Y) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ and $Y$ are tangent vector fields of $M_{i}$ and $B(X, Y)$ is called the second fundamental form of the immersion. The formula of Weingarten is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{X} N=-A^{N}(X)+\nabla_{X}^{\frac{1}{X}} N, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ (resp. $N$ ) is a tangent (resp. normal) vector field of $M_{i}$ and and $\nabla^{\perp}$ is the covariant differentiation with respect to the induced connection in the normal bundle $N\left(M_{i}\right) . A^{N}$ is called the shape operator of $M_{i}$ and satisfies the relation

$$
g\left(A^{N}(X), Y\right)=g(B(X, Y), N)
$$

For an orthonormal basis $\left\{N_{1}, \cdots, N_{p}\right\}$ of $N\left(M_{i}\right)$ we write $A^{N_{I}}=A^{I}$, to simplify the notation.

We next define the covariant differentiation $\tilde{\nabla}$ induced on the Whitney sum $T\left(M_{i}\right) \oplus N\left(M_{i}\right)$ as follows: For any $N\left(M_{i}\right)$-valued tensor field $T$ of type ( $0, k$ ). we define

$$
\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{X} T\right)\left(Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{k}\right):=\nabla_{X}^{1}\left(T\left(Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{k}\right)\right)-\sum_{r=1}^{k} T\left(Y_{1}, \cdots, \nabla_{X} Y_{r}, \cdots, Y_{k}\right)
$$

and $\tilde{\nabla} T$ is also defined by $(\tilde{\nabla} T)\left(Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{k}, X\right):=\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{X} T\right)\left(Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{k}\right)$ which is an $N\left(M_{i}\right)$-valued tensor field of type ( $0, k+1$ ). We denote by $\tilde{\nabla}^{2} T$ the covariant derivative of $\tilde{\nabla} T$. In particular, for the second fundamental form $B$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\tilde{\nabla} B)(X, Y, Z)=\nabla_{\frac{1}{Z}}^{1}(B(X, Y))-B\left(\nabla_{Z} X, Y\right)-B\left(X, \nabla_{Z} Y\right),  \tag{1.3}\\
& \begin{aligned}
&\left(\tilde{\nabla}^{2} B\right)(X, Y, Z, W)=\nabla_{\tilde{W}}^{1}((\tilde{\nabla} B)(X, Y, Z))-(\tilde{\nabla} B)\left(\nabla_{X} X, Y, Z\right) \\
& \quad-(\tilde{\nabla} B)\left(X, \nabla_{W} Y, Z\right)-(\tilde{\nabla} B)\left(X, Y, \nabla_{W} Z\right) .
\end{aligned} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

For the shape operator $A^{N}$ we define its covariant differentiation by setting

$$
\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{X} A^{N}\right)(Y):=\nabla_{X}\left(A^{N}(Y)\right)-A^{\nabla \frac{1}{X} N}(Y)-A^{N}\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)
$$

Then we have the relation $g\left(\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{X} B\right)(Y, Z), N\right)=g\left(\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{X} A^{N}\right)(Y), Z\right)$.
The mean curvature vector field $H$ of the immersion is defined by

$$
H:=(1 / n) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} B\left(E_{j}, E_{j}\right),
$$

where $\left\{E_{1}, \cdots, E_{n}\right\}$ is a frame of $M_{i}$ and $\varepsilon_{i}= \pm 1$. If the second fundamental form $B(X, Y)$ satisfies

$$
B(X, Y)=g(X, Y) H
$$

for all vector field $X, Y$ of $M_{i}$, then $M_{i}$ is called a totally umbilical submanifold. If the second fundamental form vanishes identically on $M_{i}$, then $M_{i}$ is said to be totally geodesic. The mean curvature vector field $H$ is said to be parallel if $\nabla_{\frac{1}{X}} H=0$.

Since the second fundamental form $B$ is a bilinear symmetric function on $T_{p}\left(M_{i}\right)$, using results of [4], we have following lemmas.

Lemma 1.1. For any point $p$ of $M_{1}$, we assume that $B$ satisfies $B(t, s)=0$, where $t \in T_{p}\left(M_{1}\right)$ is a unit timelike vector and $s \in T_{p}\left(M_{1}\right)$ is a unit spacelike vector such that $g(t, s)=0$. Then $M_{1}$ is a totally umbilical submanifold.

Lemma 1.2. Let $B$ be the second fundamental form of a Lorentzian submanifold $M_{1}$. If $B$ satisfies $B(n, n)=0$, for any null vector $n$ at any point in $M_{1}$, then $M_{1}$ is a totally umbilical submanifold.

Lemma 1.3. If $B$ satisfies $B\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=0$ for any null vectors $n_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ such that $g\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=-1$ at any point of $M_{1}$, then $M_{1}$ is a totally geodesic submanifold.

Lemma 1.4. For any point $p$ of $M_{1}$, if $B$ satisfies

$$
2 B(t, t)=-B(s, s)
$$

for any unit timelike vector $t$ and unit spacelike vectors such that $g(t, s)=0$ then $M_{1}$ is a totally geodesic submanifold.

Lemma 1.5. Let $H$ be the mean curvature vector field of a Lorentzian submanifold $M_{1}$. For any point $p$ of $M_{1}$, we assume that $H$ satisfies $\nabla_{s}^{\frac{1}{s}} H=0$, for any spacelike vector $s \in T_{p}\left(M_{1}\right)$. Then $H$ is parallel.

Proof. A spacelike vector $s$ can be put as $s=n_{1}-t$, where $n_{1}$ is a null vector and $t$ a unit timelike vector, respectively. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{s}^{\frac{1}{s}} H=\nabla_{n_{1}}^{\perp} H-\nabla_{t}^{\frac{1}{t}} H=0 . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we can put $s=-n_{2}+t$, where $g\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=-1$. Then it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{s}^{\perp} H=-\nabla_{n_{2}}^{\perp} H+\nabla_{t}^{\perp} H=0 . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (1.5) and (1.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{n_{1}}^{1} H=\nabla_{n_{2}}^{1} H \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Changing $n_{1}$ (resp. $n_{2}$ ) into $2 n$ (resp. $n_{2} / 2$ ) in (1.7), we obtain $4 \nabla_{n_{1}}^{\frac{1}{1}} H=\nabla_{n_{2}}^{1} H$. From this equation and (1.7), it follows that $\nabla_{n_{1}}^{\frac{1}{1}} H=\nabla_{n_{2}}^{1} H=0$, which together with (1.6) implies $\nabla_{t}^{\frac{1}{t}} H=0$. Therefore we conclude that $\nabla_{x}^{\frac{1}{x}} H=0$, for any tangent vector $x$.

Similarily we have
Lemma 1.6. If $H$ satisfies $\nabla_{t}^{\frac{1}{t}} H=0$ for any timelike vector, then $H$ is parallel.

## § 2. Curves.

A curve in an indefinite-Riemannian manifold $M_{i}$ is a smooth mapping $c: I \rightarrow M_{i}$, where $I$ is an open interval in the real line $R^{1}$. As an open submanifold of $R^{1}, I$ has a coordinate system consisting of the identity map $u$ of $I$. The velocity vector of $c$ at $t \in I$ is

$$
c^{\prime}(t):=d c\left(d /\left.d u\right|_{t}\right) \in T_{c(t)}\left(M_{i}\right) .
$$

A curve $c(t)$ is said to be regular if $c^{\prime}(t)$ is not equal to zero for any $t$. A curve $c(t)$ in an indefinite-Riemannian manifold $M_{i}$ is said to be spacelike if all of its velocity vectors $c^{\prime}(t)$ are spacelike; similarly for timelike and null. If $c(t)$ is a spacelike or timelike curve, we can reparameterize it such that $g\left(c^{\prime}(t), c^{\prime}(t)\right)=\varepsilon$ (where $\varepsilon=+1$ if $c$ is spacelike and $\varepsilon=-1$ if $c$ is timelike, respectively). In this case $c(t)$ is said to be unit speed or arc lenght parametrization. Here and in the sequel, we assume that the spacelike or timelike curve $c(t)$ has an arc length parametrization.

We define here a circle and a helix in an indefinite-Riemannian manifold $M_{i}$ (cf. [1], [5], [15], [18]). Let $c=c(t)$ be a timelike curve in $M_{i}$. By $k_{j}(t)$, we denote the $j$-th curvature of $c(t)$. If $k_{j}(t) \equiv 0$ for $j>2$ and if the principal vector field $Y$ and the binormal vector field $Z$ are spacelike, then we have the following Frenet formulas along $c(t)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c^{\prime}(t)=: X,  \tag{2.1}\\
\nabla_{X} X=k_{1}(t) Y, \\
\nabla_{X} Y=k_{1}(t) X+k_{2}(t) Z, \\
\nabla_{X} Z=-k_{2}(t) Y,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\nabla$ denotes the covariant differentiation in $M_{i}$. A curve $c=c(t)$ is called a circle if $k_{2}(t) \equiv 0$ and $k_{1}(t)$ is a positive constant along $c(t)$. If both $k_{1}(t)$ and $k_{2}(t)$ are positive constants along $c(t)$, then $c(t)$ is called a helix. Let $c(t)$ be a circle.

Then the components satisfy a system of differential equations, because of the Frenet formulas for $c(t)$. According to the fundamental theory of differential equations, we see that there exists a unique solution satisfying the given initial condition in a sufficiently small interval of $t=0$. Namely, for any point $p$ of $M_{i}$ and any orthonormal vecters $x$ and $y$ at $p$ (where $x$ is timelike and $y$ is spacelike, respectively), there exists locally a circle passing through $p$ with a tangent vector $x$, which satisfies certain conditions. A similar phenomenon holds also on the helix.

We remark that if the principal vector field $Y$ of a spacelike curve $c(t)$ is timelike and the binormal vector field $Z$ is spacelike, then we have the following Frenet formula along $c(t)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c^{\prime}(t)=: X  \tag{2.1}\\
\nabla_{X} X=k_{1}(t) Y \\
\nabla_{X} Y=k_{1}(t) X+k_{2}(t) Z \\
\nabla_{X} Z=k_{2}(t) Y
\end{array}\right.
$$

Next we consider a null curve in a Lorentzian manifold (cf. [1], [2], [5], [6], [7]). By a Cartan frame ( $X, Y, Z$ ) of a null curve $c=c(t)$ we mean a family of vector fields $X=X(t), Y=(t), Z=Z(t)$ along the curve $c(t)$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$
\begin{cases}c^{\prime}(t)=: X, & g(X, X)=g(Y, Y)=0  \tag{2.2}\\ g(X, Y)=-1, & g(X, Z)=g(Y, Z)=0, \quad g(Z, Z)=1 \\ \nabla_{X} X=k_{1}(t) Z, & \nabla_{X} Y=k_{2}(t) Z, \quad \nabla_{X} Z=k_{2}(t) X+k_{1}(t) Y\end{cases}
$$

where $k_{1}(t)$ and $k_{2}(t)$ are functions defined along the curve $c(t)$. Especially if $k_{1}(t)$ and $k_{2}(t)$ are positive constant along $c(t)$, then we call the curve $c=c(t)$ a Cartan framed null curve with constant curvatures. On the definition of the Cartan frame of a null curve $x(t)$, if $k_{2}(t) \equiv 0$ then $c=c(t)$ is called a generalized null cubic. Moreover if $k$ is constant, then $c(t)$ is called a generalized null cubic with constant curvature. For any point $p$ of a Lorentzian manifold, any constnats $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$, and any Cartan frame $(X, Y, Z)$ at $p$, there exists locally a Cartan framed null curve $c(t)$ with constant curvatures passing through $p$ with velocity vector $c^{\prime}(p)=X(p)$, which satisfies certain conditions. A similar situation holds also on the generalized null cubic with constant iurvature.

## § 3. Circles.

Let $c=c(t)$ be a regular timelike curve in a Lorentzian manifold $M_{1}$. In this
section, we assume that $c(t)$ is a circle, that is, $c(t)$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c^{\prime}(t)=: X  \tag{3.1}\\
\nabla_{X} X=k Y, \\
\nabla_{X} Y=k X
\end{array}\right.
$$

along the curve $c(t)$, where $Y$ is a spacelike vector field and $k$ a positive constant, respectively.

Proposition 3.1 (cf. [15]). Let $c(t)$ be a timelike curve in a Lorentzian manifold $M_{1}$. If $c(t)$ is a circle, then the velocity vector field $X$ of $c(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X-g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right) X=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if the velocity vector field of a timelike curve $c(t)$ satisfies (3.2), then $c(t)$ is either a geodesic or a circle.

Proof. If $c(t)$ is a circle, we have (3.2) from (3.1). Conversely, we assume (3.2). Since $g\left(X, \nabla_{X} X\right)=0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)\right) / d t & =2 g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right) \\
& =2 g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right) g\left(X, \nabla_{X} X\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

by virtue of (3.2). Hence $g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)$ is constant along $c(t)$. If it is $0, c(t)$ is a geodesic. We assume that $g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)$ is non zero constant. Since $M_{1}$ is the Lorentzian manifold, there is no non-zero causal vector which is orthogonal to a timelike vector. Therefore from $g\left(X, \nabla_{X} X\right)=0$, we see that $\nabla_{X} X$ is a spacelike vector field along $c(t)$ and we can put

$$
g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)=k^{2}, \quad \nabla_{X} X=k Y
$$

where $Y$ is a unit spacelike vector field along $c(t)$ and $k$ is a positive constant. Then we have

$$
\nabla_{X} Y=(1 / k) \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X=(1 / k)\left(k^{2} X\right)=k X,
$$

by virtue of (3.2). Thus $c(t)$ is a circle.
Theorem 3.2. Let $M_{1}\left(\operatorname{dim} M_{1}>2\right)$ be a connected Lorentzian submanifold of anindefinite-Riemannian manifold $\bar{M}_{i}$. If, for some $k>0$, every timelike circle with curvature $k$ in $M_{1}$ is a timelike circle in $\bar{M}_{i}$, then $M_{1}$ is totally umbilical and has parallel mean curvature vector in $\bar{M}_{i}$. Conversely, if $M_{1}$ is totally umbilical and has the parallel mean curvature vector, then every timelike circle in $M_{1}$ is a timelike circle in $\bar{M}_{i}$.

Proof. For an arbitrary point $p$ of $M_{1}$, we consider orthonormal vectors $x$ and $y$ in $T_{p}\left(M_{1}\right)$ such that $x$ is timelike and $y$ is spacelike, respectively. Let ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\nabla}} c(t)$ be a circle in $M_{1}$ such that

$$
c(0)=p, \quad X(p)=x, \quad\left(\nabla_{X} X\right)(p)=k y
$$

where $\nabla$ is the covariant differentiation on $M_{1}$ and $X$ is the velocity vector field of $c(t)$. $X$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X-g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right) X=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $M_{1}$. By assumption, $c(t)$ is a circle in $\bar{M}_{i}$. Then it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{\nabla}_{X} X-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} X, \bar{\nabla}_{X} X\right) X=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the covariant differentiation on $\bar{M}_{i}$. Substituting (1.1) and (1.2) into (3.4), and taking the normal part of it, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(X, \nabla_{X} X\right)+\nabla_{X}^{\perp} B(X, X)=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by virtue of (3.3). Hence, by means of (1.3), we have

$$
(\tilde{\nabla} B)(x, x, x)=-3 k B(x, y)
$$

at $p$. This shows that, given a unit timelike vector $x \in T_{p}\left(M_{1}\right), B(x, y)$ is independent of a unit spacelike vector $y$ provided $y$ is orthogonal to $x$. Changing $y$ into $-y$, we have $B(x, y)=0$, where $x$ and $y$ are orthonormal vectors such that $x$ is timelike and $y$ is spacelike, respectively. Since $p$ is arbitrary, we have, from Lemma 1.1, that $M_{1}$ is totally umbilical. Henc it follows that $B(X, Y)=$ $g(X, Y) H$, for any orthonormal vector fields $X$ and $Y$. Substituting this equation into (3.5), we get $\nabla_{X}^{\frac{1}{X}} H=0$, for any timelike vector field $X$. From Lemma 1.6, it follows that the mean curvature vector is parallel.

Next we consider the converse. Let $c(t)$ be a timelike circle in $M_{1}$. So the velocity vector field $X$ of $c(t)$ satisfies (3.3). Since $M_{1}$ is totally umbilical and has the parallel mean curvature vector, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B(X, X)=-H, \quad B\left(\nabla_{X} X, X\right)=g\left(\nabla_{X} X, X\right) H=0 \\
& A^{B(X, X)}(X)=-g(H, H) X, \quad \nabla_{X}^{\frac{1}{X}} B(X, X)=\nabla \frac{1}{X} H=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for a timelike vector field. Substituting these equations into (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{\nabla}_{X} X=\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X-g(H, H) X \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using (1.1), $B(X, X)=g(X, X) H=-H$ yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \bar{\nabla}_{X} X\right)=g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)+g(H, H) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.3), (3.6) and (3.7) it follows that

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{\nabla}_{X} X-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} X, \bar{\nabla}_{X} X\right) X=0
$$

Hence $c(t)$ is a timelike circle in $\bar{M}_{i}$.
If a spacelike circle $c(t)$ has a timelike principal vector, the velocity vector field $X:=c^{\prime}(t)$ satisfies

$$
\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X+g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right) X=0
$$

From this equation we have following
Corollary 3.3. Let $M_{1}$ be a Lorentzaian submanifold in an indefiniteRiemannian manifold $\bar{M}_{i}$. If every spacelike circle with a timelike principal vector field in $M_{1}$ is a circle in $\bar{M}_{i}$, then $M_{1}$ is totally umbilical and has the parallel mean curvature vector. The converse is also true.

## §4. Helices.

Next we consider helices in a Lorentzian maifold $M_{1}$. Let $c=c(t)$ be a regular timelike helix in a Lorentzian manifold $M_{1}$. Then we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c^{\prime}(t)=: X  \tag{4.1}\\
\nabla_{X} X=k_{1} Y \\
\nabla_{X} Y=k_{1} X+k_{2} Z \\
\nabla_{X} Z=-k_{2} Y
\end{array}\right.
$$

along the curve $c(t)$, where $Y, Z$ are spacelike vector fields and $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are positive constants, respectively.

Proposition 4.1. Let $c(t)$ be a timelike curve in a Lorentzian manifold $M_{1}$ ( $\operatorname{dim} M \geqq 3$ ). If $c(t)$ is a helix, then the velocity vector field $X$ of $c(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X-K \nabla_{X} X=0, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is a constant. Conversely, if the velocity vector field of a timelik curve $c(t)$ satisfies (4.2), then $c(t)$ is one of a geodesic, a circle and a helix.

Proof. Suppose that $c(t)$ is a timelike helix. Then, from (4.1), it is easily seen that the velocity vector field $X$ satisfies (4.2) with $K=k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}$.

Conversely, we assume that the timelike curve $c(t)$ satisfies (4.2). Differentiating $g\left(X, \nabla_{X} X\right)=0$ in the direction of $X$, we have

$$
g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X, X\right)+g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)=0
$$

Moreover, differentiating this equation in the direction of $X$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X, X\right)+3 g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.2) into (4.3), we get $g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)=0$. This implies that $g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)$ is constant along $c(t)$. If it is 0 , then $c(t)$ is a geodesic. If $g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right) \not \equiv 0$, then there exists a unit spacelike vector field $Y$ along $c(t)$ and a positive constant $k_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} X=k_{1} Y \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M_{1}\left(\operatorname{dim} M_{1} \geqq 3\right)$ is the Lorentzian manifold, we can put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} Y=k_{1} X+b Z \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z$ is a unit spacelike vector field which is orthogonal to both $X$ and $Y$, and $b$ is a function, respectively. If $b \equiv 0$, then $c(t)$ is a circle. Hence we may assume that $b$ is a positive function. By means of (4.2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)\right) / d t & =0=g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)+g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X\right) \\
& =K g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)+g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting (4.4) and (4.5) into this equation, we get

$$
k_{1}^{2} b^{2}=k_{1}^{4}-K k_{1}^{2} .
$$

Since $k_{1} \not \equiv 0$ and $b$ is positive, it follows that $b=\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-K}$, i.e., $b$ is a positive constant. We put $b=k_{2}$. Hence (4.5) is reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} Y=k_{1} X+k_{2} Z \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (4.6) in the direction of $X$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} Y=k_{1}^{2} Y+k_{2}\left(\nabla_{X} Z\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} Y=\left(1 / k_{1}\right) \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X=\left(1 / k_{1}\right)\left(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right) \nabla_{X} X=\left(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right) Y, \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

by virtue of (4.2) and (4.4). Making use of (4.7) and (4.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} Z=-k_{2} Y \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.4), (4.6) and (4.9), we conclude that $c(t)$ is a helix.
Next we prove the following
Theorem 4.2. Let $M_{1}\left(\operatorname{dim} M_{1} \geqq 3\right)$ be a connected Lorentzian submanifold of an indefinite-Riemannian manifold $\bar{M}_{i}$. If, for some $k_{1}, k_{2}>0$, every timelike helix with curvatures $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ in $M_{1}$ is a timelike helix in $\bar{M}_{i}$, then $M_{1}$ is a totally geodesic submanifold in $\bar{M}_{i}$.

Proof. For any point $p$ of $M_{1}$, let $x, y$ and $z$ are three orthonormal vectors in $T_{p}\left(M_{1}\right)$ such that $x$ is timelike, and $y$ and $z$ are spacelike, respectively. Let $c(t)$ be a helix in $M_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c(0)=p, \quad c^{\prime}(t)=: X, \quad X(p)=x, \quad Y(p)=y, \quad Z(p)=z \\
& \left(\nabla_{X} X\right)(p)=k_{1} y, \quad\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)(p)=k_{1} x+k_{2} z, \quad\left(\nabla_{X} Z\right)(p)=-k_{2} y,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Y$ (resp, $Z$ ) is the principal (resp. binormal) vector field of $c(t)$. From Proposition 4.1, $X$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X-k \nabla_{X} X=0, \quad k=k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2} . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $c(t)$ is a helix in $\bar{M}_{i}$, we have

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{\nabla}_{X} X-K \bar{\nabla}_{X} X=0,
$$

where $K$ is a constant. Substituting (1.1), (1.2) and (4.10) into this equation, we obtain for normal part of $M_{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
B\left(X, \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X\right) & +\nabla_{\frac{1}{X}}^{1} B\left(X, \nabla_{X} X\right)-B\left(X, A^{B(X, X)}(X)\right)  \tag{4.11}\\
& +\nabla_{\frac{1}{X}}^{1}\left(\nabla_{X}^{1} B(X, X)\right)-K B(X, X)=0
\end{align*}
$$

for tangent part of $M_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-A^{B\left(X, \nabla_{X}^{X}\right.}(X)-\nabla_{X}\left(A^{B(X, X)}(X)\right)-A^{\nabla^{\frac{1}{X} B(X, X)}}(X)+(k-K) \nabla_{X} X=0 . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.11) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
4 k_{1}^{2} B(x, x) & +4 k_{1} k_{2} B(x, z)+5 k_{1}(\tilde{\nabla} B(x, y, x)  \tag{4.13}\\
& +3 k_{1}^{2} B(y, y)-B\left(x, A^{B(x, x)}(x)\right)+\left(\tilde{\nabla}^{2} B\right)(x, x, x, x) \\
& +k_{1}(\tilde{\nabla} B)(x, x, y)-K B(x, x)=0
\end{align*}
$$

at a point $p$, by virtue of (1.3) and (1.4). Changing $z$ into $-z$ in (4.13) we have that $B(x, z)=0$, where $x$ and $z$ are orthonormal vectors of $T_{p}\left(M_{1}\right)$ such that $x$ is timelike and $y$ is spacelike, respectively. Since $p$ is an arbitrary point of $M_{1}$, we see that $M_{1}$ is totally umbilical by virtue of Lemma 1.1. Changing $y$ into $-y$ in (4.13) and using the fact that $M$ is totally umbilical we obtain $\nabla_{y}^{\frac{1}{y}} H=0$, where $y$ is a unit spacelike vector. Hence from Lemma 1.5, we see that the mean curvature vector field is parallel. Therefore it follows that $(\tilde{\nabla} B)(x, x, x)=0$ and $\left(\nabla^{2} B\right)(x, x, x, x)=0$ for a timelike vector $x$, which imply that (4.13) is reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-k_{1}^{2}+K-g(H, H)\right) H=0 . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the inner product of (4.12) with $Y$ implies

$$
g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} A^{B(X, X)}\right)(X), Y\right)+k_{1} g(B(X, X), B(Y, Y))-k_{1}\left(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}-K\right) g(Y, Y)=0
$$

Since $M_{1}$ is totally umbilical with parallel mean curvature vector, this equation is reduced to

$$
g(H, H)=-k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}+K .
$$

Combining this equation together with (4.14), we have $H=0$. This means that $M_{1}$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of $\bar{M}_{i}$.

## § 5. Cartan framed null curves.

In this section we consider the Cartan framed null curves. Let $M_{1}\left(\operatorname{dim} M_{1} \geqq 3\right)$ be a Lorentzian manifold. By $c=c(t)$ we denote a Cartan framed null curve with constant curvatures $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ in $M_{1}$. That is, there are vector fields $X, Y$ and $Z$ along the curve $c(t)$ and they satisfy

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c^{\prime}(t)=: X, \quad g(X, X)=g(Y, Y)=0, \quad g(X, Y)=-1  \tag{5.1}\\
g(X, Z)=g(Y, Z)=0, \quad g(Z, Z)=1 \\
\nabla_{X} X=k_{1} Z, \quad \nabla_{X} Y=k_{2} Z, \quad \nabla_{X} Z=k_{2} X+k_{1} Y
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\nabla$ is the covariant differentiation in $M_{1}$.
By a straightforward calculation, we have the following
Proposition 5.1. A Cartan framed null curve $c(t)$ with constant curvatures $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ satisfies following equation:

$$
\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X=2 k_{1} k_{2} \nabla_{X} X
$$

We consider the converse of this propositon.
Proposition 5.2. Let $c=c(t)$ be a null curve of a Lorentzian manifold $M_{1}$. Suppose the velocity vector field $X:=c(t)$ of the null curve $c(t)$ and a null vector field $Y$ defined along $c(t)$ satisfy the followings:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X=2 g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)^{1 / 2} g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, \nabla_{X} Y\right)^{1 / 2} \nabla_{X} X,  \tag{5.2}\\
& g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)>0, \quad g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, \nabla_{X} Y\right)>0, \quad g(X, Y)=-1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Then $c=c(t)$ is a Cartan framed null curve with constant curvatures.
Proof. Differentiating $g(X, X)=0$ in the direction of $X$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\nabla_{X} X, X\right)=0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (5.3) twice in the direction of $X$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X, X\right)+3 g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)=0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (5.2) into (5.4) and making use of (5.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)=0 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation shows that $g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)$ is constant along the curve. Hence, by assumption, we may put

$$
\begin{equation*}
k Z:=\nabla_{X} X \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z$ is a unit spacelike vector field and $k$ is a positive constant. From (5.3) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(X, Z)=0 \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (5.5) in the direction of $X$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 k g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, \nabla_{X} Y\right)^{1 / 2}+g\left(\nabla_{X} Z, \nabla_{X} Z\right)=0 \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

by virtue of (5.2). From this equation it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 k^{2} g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} Y, \nabla_{X} Y\right)=g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} Z, \nabla_{X} Z\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from $\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} Z=(1 / k) \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X$, we obtain

$$
g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} Z, \nabla_{X} Z\right)=g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)=0
$$

by virtue of (5.2) and (5.5). Hence (5.9), reduces to $g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} Y, \nabla_{X} Y\right)=0$ and it implies that $g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, \nabla_{X} Y\right)$ is constant along the curve $c(t)$. Therefore we can put $g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, \nabla_{X} Y\right)=w^{2}$, where $w$ is a positive constant along the curve. Substituting this equation into (5.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\nabla_{X} Z, \nabla_{X} Z\right)=-2 k w . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that $\nabla_{X} Z$ is a timelike vector field. Since $M_{1}$ is the Lorentzian manifold, we may put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} Z=a X+b Y, \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are functions. Hence we get

$$
g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X, X\right)=-b k
$$

On the other hand, from (5.3) it follows that

$$
g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X, X\right)=-g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)=-k^{2}
$$

From these two equations, we obtain $b=k$ (=constant). Therefore (5.11) implies that $\nabla_{X} Z=a X+k Y$, from which it follows that

$$
g\left(\nabla_{X} Z, \nabla_{X} Z\right)=-2 a k=-2 k w,
$$

by virtue of (5.10). Hence we have $a=w$ (=constant) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} Z=w X+k Y \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (5.12) in the direction of $X$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} Z=w \nabla_{X} X+k \nabla_{X} Y . \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by virtue of (5.2) and (5.6), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} Z=(1 / k) \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X=(1 / k) 2 k w \nabla_{X} X=2 w \nabla_{X} X . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5.13) and (5.14), and using (5.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} Y=w Z \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating $g(X, Y)=-1$ in the direction of $X$, we have $g\left(\nabla_{X} X, Y\right)$ $+g\left(X, \nabla_{X} Y\right)=0$. Together with (5.15), it implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(Z, Y)=0 \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.6), (5.7), (5.12), (5.15) and (5.16), we obtain the conclusion.
Next we shall prove the following theorem.
Theorem 5.3. Let $M_{1}$ be a Lorentzian submanifold of an indefinite-Riemannian manifold $M_{i}$. If every Cartan framed null curve with constant curvatures in $M_{1}$ is also a Cartan framed null curve with constant curvatures in $\bar{M}_{i}$, then $M_{1}$ is a totally geodesic submanifold in $\bar{M}_{i}$.

Proof. For an arbitrary point $p$ of $M_{1}$, let $x, y$ and $z$ be three vectors in $T_{p}\left(M_{1}\right)$ such that $x$ and $y$ are null vectors and $z$ is a spacelike unit vector, respectively. We assume that they satisfy $g(x, y)=-1$ and $g(x, z)=g(y, z)=0$. Let $c=c(t)$ be a Cartan framed null curve with a Cartan frame ( $X, Y, Z$ ) and constant curvatures $k_{1}, k_{2}$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& c(0)=p, \quad c^{\prime}(t)=: X, \quad X(p)=x, \quad Y(p)=y, \quad Z(p)=z \\
& \left(\nabla_{X} X\right)(p)=k_{1} z, \quad\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)(p)=k_{2} z, \quad\left(\nabla_{X} Z\right)(p)=k_{2} x+k_{1} y, \tag{5.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nabla$ is the covariant differentiation on $M_{1}$. From Proposition 5.1, $X$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X=2 k_{1} k_{2} \nabla_{X} X \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $M_{1}$. By assumption, $c(t)$ is a Cartan framed null curve in $\bar{M}_{i}$. Hence, from Proposition 5.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{\nabla}_{X} X=2 K_{1} K_{2} \bar{\nabla}_{X} X, \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the covariant derivative of $\bar{M}_{i}$ and $K_{1}, K_{2}$ are positive constants. Combining (1.1), (1.2), (5.16) and (5.17), and taking the normal part of it, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 B\left(X, \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X\right)+ & +(\tilde{\nabla} B)\left(X, \nabla_{X} X, X\right)+3 B\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right) \\
& -B\left(X, A^{B(X, X)}(X)\right)+\left(\tilde{\nabla}^{2} B\right)(X, X, X, X) \\
& +(\tilde{\nabla} B)\left(X, X, \nabla_{X} X\right)-2 K_{1} K_{2} B(X, X)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, by virtue of (5.17), the above equation gives

$$
\begin{align*}
4 k_{1} k_{2} B(x, x) & +4 k_{1}^{2} B(x, y)+5 k_{1}(\tilde{\nabla} B)(x, z, x)  \tag{5.20}\\
& +3 k_{1}^{2} B(z, z)-B\left(x, A^{B(x, x)}(x)\right)+\left(\tilde{\nabla}^{2} B\right)(x, x, x, x) \\
& +k_{1}(\tilde{\nabla} B)(x, x, z)-2 k_{1} k_{2} B(x, x)=0,
\end{align*}
$$

at $p$. Changing $z$ into $-z$ in this equation we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
2\left(2 k_{1} k_{2}-K_{1} K_{2}\right) B(x, x) & +4 k_{1}^{2} B(x, y)+3 k_{1}^{2} B(z, z)  \tag{5.21}\\
- & B\left(x, A^{B(x, x)}(x)\right)+(\tilde{\nabla} B)(x, x, x, x)=0
\end{align*}
$$

We remark that $x$ and $y$ are null vectors such that $g(x, y)=-1$. Changing $x$ into $2 x$ and $y$ into $(1 / 2) y$ in (5.21), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
8\left(2 k_{1} k_{2}-K_{1} K_{2}\right) B(x, x) & +4 k_{1}^{2} B(x, y)+3 k_{1}^{2} B(z, z) \\
& -16 B\left(x, A^{B(x, x)}(x)\right)+16\left(\tilde{\nabla}^{2} B\right)(x, x, x, x)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (5.21) and this equation, it follows that

$$
2\left(2 k_{1} k_{2}-K_{1} K_{2}\right) B(x, x)-5 B\left(x, A^{B(x, x)}(x)\right)+5\left(\tilde{\nabla}^{2} B\right)(x, x, x, x)=0 .
$$

Substituting this equation into (5.21), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 B\left(x, A^{B(x, x)}(x)\right)-4\left(\tilde{\nabla}^{2} B\right)(x, x, x, x)+4 k_{1}^{2} B(x, y)+3 k_{1}^{2} B(z, z)=0 . \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Changing $x$ into $2 x$ and $y$ into ( $1 / 2$ ) $y$ in (5.22), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 B(x, y)=-3 B(z, z) \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

by virtue of (5.22). Since $z$ is a unit spacelike vector, and $x$ and $y$ are null vectors such that $g(x, z)=g(y, z)=0$ and $g(x, y)=0$, we can put $x=z+t$ and $y=$ $(1 / 2)(t-z)$, where $t$ is a unit timelike vector having the property that $g(z, t)=0$. Hence (5.23) is reduced to

$$
4 B(z+t,(t-z) / 2)=-3 B(z, z)
$$

from which it follows that

$$
2 B(t, t)=-B(z, z) .
$$

Therefore from Lemma 1.3, we conclude that $M_{1}$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of $\bar{M}_{i}$.

For the generalized null cubic, we have the following results similar to the

Cartan framed null curve.
Proposition 5.4. The generalized null cubic $c=c(t)$ satisfies $\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X=0$, where $\nabla$ is the covariant derivative along the curve.

Theorem 5.5. If a null curve $c=c(t)$ satisfies

$$
X:=c^{\prime}(t), \quad \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} X=0, \quad g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \nabla_{X} X\right)>0,
$$

then $c(t)$ is a generalized null cubic with constant curvature.
Theorem 5.6. Let $M_{1}$ be a Lorentzian submanifold of an indefinite-Riemannian manifold $\bar{M}_{i}$. If every generalized null cubic in $M_{1}$ is also a generalized null cubic in $\bar{M}_{i}$, then $M_{1}$ is totally geodesic in $\bar{M}_{i}$.

## §6. Examples.

In this section we give examples of curves mentioned in the previous sections.

## Circles [11].

On two-dimensional flat spaces, we have circles as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c(t)=(a \cos (t / a), a \sin (t / a)), \\
& c(t)=(b \sinh (t / b), b \cosh (t / b)), \\
& c(t)=(b \cosh (t / b), b \sinh (t / b)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first is on $S^{1} \subset R^{2}$ or $H_{1}^{1} \subset R_{2}^{2}$, the second on $S_{1}^{1} \subset R_{1}^{2}$ and the third on $H^{1} \subset R_{1}^{2}$.
Spacelike helix on $H_{1}^{3}$.
By $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$, we denote a point in $R_{2}^{4}$. In $R_{2}^{4}$ we define a surface $V^{2}(\alpha)$ by

$$
x_{1}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}=-\cos ^{2} \frac{\alpha}{2}, \quad x_{2}^{2}-x_{4}^{2}=-\sin ^{2} \frac{\alpha}{2}
$$

Then $V^{2}(\alpha)$ can be expressed as an isometric immersion

$$
f: V^{2}(\alpha) \longrightarrow H_{1}^{3}
$$

as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=\lambda \sinh \theta, \quad x_{2}=\mu \sinh \phi, \quad x_{3}=\lambda \cosh \theta, \quad x_{4}=\mu \cosh \phi \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=\cos \alpha / 2, \mu=\sin \alpha / 2$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X:=f_{*}(\partial / \partial \theta)=(\lambda \cosh \theta, 0, \lambda \sinh \theta, 0) \\
& Y:=f_{*}(\partial / \partial \phi)=(0, \mu \cosh \phi, 0, \mu \sinh \phi)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the line element of $V^{2}(\alpha)$ is given by

$$
d s^{2}=\lambda^{2} d \theta^{2}+\mu^{2} d \phi^{2}
$$

For the tangent vectors $X$ and $Y$ of $V^{2}(\alpha)$, we have the normal vector $N$ of $V^{2}(\alpha)$ as follows

$$
N=(\mu \sinh \theta,-\lambda \sinh \phi, \mu \cosh \theta,-\lambda \cosh \phi) .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\theta} N & =d N / d \theta-g(d N / d \theta, x) x \\
& =(\mu \cosh \theta, 0, \mu \sinh \theta, 0), \\
\nabla_{\phi} N & =d N / d \phi-g(d N / d \phi, x) x \\
& =(0,-\lambda \cosh \phi, 0,-\lambda \sinh \phi),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nabla$ is the covariant derivatve on $H_{1}^{3}$. Hence the eigenvalues $\kappa_{1}$ and $\kappa_{2}$ of the shape operator $A$ of this immersion satisfy

$$
\kappa_{1}=\mu / \lambda, \quad \kappa_{2}=-\lambda / \mu
$$

Remark. If $\alpha=\pi / 2$, then $\lambda=\mu=1$. Therefore the mean curvature vector of $V^{2}(\pi / 2)$ is zero. This coresponds to the Clifford surface of the Riemannian space form (cf. [17]).

We construct a curve $c=c(\alpha, m)$ on $V^{2}(\alpha)$ as follows

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1}=-\sinh (t / k), & x_{2}=-\sinh (m t / k),  \tag{6.2}\\
x_{3}=-\cosh (t / k), & x_{4}=-\cosh (m t / k), \quad k=\left(\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2} m^{2}\right)^{1 / 2},
\end{array}
$$

Then $c(t)$ is a helix on $H_{1}^{3}$ with curvatures

$$
k_{1}=\lambda \mu\left(1-m^{2}\right) / k^{2}, \quad k_{2}=m / k^{2} .
$$

Remark. We can construct a helix on $H_{1}^{n}$. It is a helix on $H_{1}^{3}$ in $H_{1}^{n}$. This result is given by the reduction of the normal bundle of submanifolds in an indefinite-Riemannian space form [5].

Timelike helix on $H_{1}^{3}$.
We construct a curve $c(t)$ on $H_{1}^{3}$ as follows

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
c(t)=(\mu \sin (m t / k), \quad \mu \cos (m t / k), \quad \lambda \sin (t / k), \quad \lambda \cos (t / k)), \\
k=\left(\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2} m^{2}\right)^{1 / 2},
\end{array}
$$

where $\lambda$ and $\mu$ satisfy $-\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}=-1$. Then $c(t)$ is a timelike helix on $H_{1}^{3}$ with curvatures

$$
k_{1}=\lambda \mu\left(1-m^{2}\right) / k^{2}, \quad k_{2}=m / k^{2} .
$$

Spacelike helix on $S_{1}^{3}$.
We define a curve $c(t)$ on $S_{1}^{3}$ as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
c(t)=(q \cos (t / k), q \sin (t / k), r \sinh (t / k), r \cosh (t / k)), \\
k=\left(1+2 r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $q^{2}-r^{2}=1$. This curve $c(t)$ is a spacelike helix on $S_{1}^{3}$ with the curvatures

$$
k_{1}=2 r \sqrt{1+r^{2} / k^{2}}, \quad k_{2}=1 / k^{2} .
$$

Timelike helix on $S_{1}^{3}$.
We give a curve $c(t)$ on $S_{1}^{3}$ as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
c(t)=(\mu \cos (t / k), \mu \sin (t / k), \lambda \cosh (t / k), \lambda \sinh (t / k)), \\
k=\sqrt{2 \lambda^{2}-1},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}=1$. Then $c(t)$ is a timelike helix on $S_{1}^{3}$ with the curvatures

$$
k_{1}=2 \lambda \mu / k^{2}, \quad k_{2}=1 / k^{2} .
$$

Cartan framed null curve on $R_{1}^{3}$.
We consider a curve $c(t)$ on $R_{1}^{3}$, as follows

$$
c(t)=(a \cosh t, a t, a \sinh t) .
$$

This curve is a Cartan framed null curve on $R_{1}^{3}$. We can easily see that the curvatures $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$, and the triple ( $X, Y, Z$ ) are given as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}=a, \quad k_{2}=1 / 2 a, \\
& X=(a, a \sinh t, a \cosh t), \\
& Y=(-1 / 2 a,(\sinh t) / 2 a,(\cosh t) / 2 a), \\
& Z=(0, \cosh t, \sinh t),
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively.
Cartan framed null curve on $H_{1}^{3}$.
A Cartan framed null curve on $H_{1}^{3}$ is defined as follows

$$
c(t)=(\cosh \sqrt{2} t, \sqrt{2} \sinh t, \sinh \sqrt{2} t, \sqrt{2} \cosh t)
$$

The curvatures $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$, and the triple $(X, Y, Z)$ of $c(t)$ are given as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}=\sqrt{2}, \quad k_{2}=3 / 2 \sqrt{2}, \\
& X=(\sqrt{2} \sinh \sqrt{2} t, \sqrt{2 \cosh t, \sqrt{2 \cosh \sqrt{2} t}, \sqrt{2} \sinh t),} \\
& Y=\frac{-1}{2 \sqrt{2}}(-\sinh \sqrt{2 t}, \cosh t,-\cosh \sqrt{2} t, \sinh t),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
Z=(\sqrt{2} \cosh \sqrt{2 t}, \sinh t, \sqrt{2} \sinh \sqrt{2} t, \cosh t),
$$

respectively.
Generalized null cubic on $R_{1}^{3}$ [1], [7].
On $R_{1}^{3}$, the curve

$$
c(t)=\left(\frac{4}{3} t^{3}-t, 2 t^{2}, \frac{4}{3} t^{3}+t\right)
$$

is an example of the generalized null cubic.
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