

UNIQUENESS OF CERTAIN 3-DIMENSIONAL HOMO-  
LOGICALLY VOLUME MINIMIZING SUBMANIFOLDS  
IN COMPACT SIMPLE LIE GROUPS

By

Yoshihiro OHNITA and Hiroyuki TASAKI

**1. Introduction.**

The purpose of this paper is to prove uniqueness of certain 3-dimensional homologically volume minimizing submanifolds in compact simple Lie groups.

Let  $G$  be a connected compact simple Lie group whose rank is greater than 1 and  $G_1$  be an analytic subgroup of  $G$  associated with the highest root of  $G$ . The explicit definition of  $G_1$  will be found in Section 2. It is well known that the homology class  $[G_1]$  represented by  $G_1$  generates the real homology group  $H_3(G; \mathbf{R})$  of  $G$ . Furnishing  $G$  with a bi-invariant Riemannian metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , we consider a volume minimizing submanifold contained in the real homology class  $[G_1]$ . Using the notion of calibration introduced by Harvey-Lawson [1], the second named author has proved the following theorem in his paper [5].

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $M$  is a compact oriented 3-dimensional submanifold of  $G$  contained in the real homology class  $[G_1]$ , then*

$$\text{vol}(G_1) \leq \text{vol}(M).$$

In this paper we investigate submanifolds  $M$  contained in  $[G_1]$  which satisfy the equality:

$$\text{vol}(G_1) = \text{vol}(M)$$

and obtain the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $M$  be a compact oriented 3-dimensional submanifold of  $G$  contained in  $[G_1]$ . The equality*

$$\text{vol}(G_1) = \text{vol}(M)$$

*holds if and only if  $M$  is congruent with  $G_1$  in  $G$ . In particular,  $G_1$  is a unique volume minimizing submanifold contained in  $[G_1]$  up to congruence in  $G$ .*

REMARK. Theorem 2 is an affirmative answer to the problem posed in [5, p.126 Remark].

## 2. Preliminaries.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Take a maximal Abelian subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then the complexification  $\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}$  of  $\mathfrak{t}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of the complexification  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For each element  $\alpha$  in  $\mathfrak{t}$ , put

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}; [H, X] = \sqrt{-1} \langle \alpha, H \rangle X \text{ for each } H \in \mathfrak{t}\}.$$

An element  $\alpha$  in  $\mathfrak{t} - \{0\}$  is called a *root* if  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $\Delta$  denote the set of all roots. We obtain a direct sum decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ :

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}.$$

Fix a lexicographic ordering on  $\mathfrak{t}$  and denote by  $\Delta_+$  the set of all positive roots in  $\Delta$ .

The following lemma follows from the above direct sum decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ . For details of the proof, see Section 3 of Chapter VI in Helgason [2].

LEMMA 3. *There exist unit vectors  $E_{\alpha}, F_{\alpha}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta_+$  in such a way that:*

$$i) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \mathbf{R}E_{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \mathbf{R}F_{\alpha}$$

*is an orthogonal direct sum decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ;*

$$ii) \quad [H, E_{\alpha}] = \langle \alpha, H \rangle F_{\alpha}, \quad [H, F_{\alpha}] = -\langle \alpha, H \rangle E_{\alpha}, \quad [E_{\alpha}, F_{\alpha}] = \alpha$$

*for  $\alpha \in \Delta_+$  and  $H \in \mathfrak{t}$ .*

Let  $\delta$  be the highest root in  $\Delta_+$  and set

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathbf{R}\delta + \mathbf{R}E_{\delta} + \mathbf{R}F_{\delta}.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  is a compact 3-dimensional simple Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $G_1$  be the analytic subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . Wolf has proved that  $G_1$  is simply connected when  $G$  is centerless in the proof of Theorem 5.4 in [6]. Therefore  $G_1$  is simply connected, even if  $G$  has a nontrivial center.

Put

$$\phi(X, Y, Z) = \frac{1}{|\delta|} \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle$$

for  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . By regarding an element of  $\mathfrak{g}$  as a left-invariant vector field on  $G$ ,  $\phi$  is a bi-invariant 3-form on  $G$ . In particular,  $\phi$  is a closed form on  $G$ .

We introduce an orientation on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , such that  $\{\delta, E_\delta, F_\delta\}$  is a positive basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

LEMMA 4. ([5]) *For each 3-dimensional oriented subspace  $\xi$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the inequality*

$$\phi|_\xi \leq \text{vol}_\xi$$

*holds. The equality holds if and only if there is an element  $g$  in  $G$  such that*

$$\xi = \text{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{g}_1$$

*and that  $\text{Ad}(g): \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \xi$  is orientation preserving.*

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2.

At first we review the proof of Theorem 1.

Let  $M$  be a compact oriented 3-dimensional submanifold of  $G$  contained in the real homology class  $[G_1]$ . Since  $\phi$  is a bi-invariant form on  $G$ , the inequality of  $\phi$  stated in Lemma 4 holds at every point in  $G$ . The proof of Theorem 1 is as follows:

$$\text{vol}(G_1) = \int_{G_1} \text{vol}_{G_1} = \int_{G_1} \phi = \int_M \phi \leq \int_M \text{vol}_M = \text{vol}(M).$$

The equality holds if and only if  $\phi|_M = \text{vol}_M$ . A 3-dimensional oriented submanifold  $M$  of  $G$  which satisfies  $\phi|_M = \text{vol}_M$  is called a  $\phi$ -submanifold of  $G$ . So the following lemma completes the proof of Theorem 2.

LEMMA 5. *If  $M$  is a  $\phi$ -submanifold of  $G$ , then  $M$  is congruent with a piece of  $G_1$  in  $G$ . Furthermore, if  $M$  is complete, then  $M$  is congruent with  $G_1$ .*

PROOF. We show that  $M$  is totally geodesic in  $G$ . Let  $x$  be any point of  $M$ . Since  $G$  is a homogeneous Riemannian manifold, we may suppose that  $x$  is the identity element  $e$  of  $G$ . We may show that the second fundamental form  $h$  of  $M$  vanishes at  $e$ . It follows from Lemma 4 that there is an element  $g$  in  $G$  such that  $T_e(M) = \text{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{g}_1$ . For simplicity we set  $T_e(M) = T_e(G_1)$  and identify  $T_e(G)$  with  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then the following equations hold:

$$(1) \quad T_e(M) = \mathfrak{g}_1$$

and

$$T_e^2(M) = \{H \in \mathfrak{t}; \langle \delta, H \rangle = 0\} + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{d}_+ - \{\delta\}} (\mathbf{R}E_\alpha + \mathbf{R}F_\alpha).$$

The curvature tensor  $R$  of  $G$  is given by

$$(2) \quad R(X, Y)Z = -\frac{1}{4}[[X, Y], Z]$$

for  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . See, for example, Milnor [3]. So the assumption on  $M$  and Lemma 4 imply that  $R(X, Y)Z$  is contained in the tangent space of  $M$  for any tangent vectors  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$  of  $M$ , that is,  $M$  is a curvature invariant submanifold of  $G$ . As  $M$  is curvature invariant and  $G$  is locally symmetric, the equation

$$(3) \quad h(W, R(X, Y)Z) = R(h(W, X), Y)Z + R(X, h(W, Y))Z \\ + R(X, Y)h(W, Z)$$

holds for tangent vectors  $X, Y, Z$ , and  $W$  of  $M$ . The above equation is due to Ohnita [4]. Putting  $W=X$  and  $Z=Y$  in (3), we obtain

$$(4) \quad h(X, R(X, Y)Y) = R(h(X, X), Y)Y + R(X, h(X, Y))Y \\ + R(X, Y)h(X, Y).$$

From now on we shall consider  $h$  at  $e$ . Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be orthonormal vectors in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . Then

$$R(X, Y)Y = \frac{1}{4}|\delta|^2 X.$$

By (2) and (4),

$$(5) \quad |\delta|^2 h(X, X) = -[[h(X, X), Y], Y] - [[X, h(X, Y)], Y] \\ - [[X, Y], h(X, Y)].$$

Let  $\mathfrak{z}$  be the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the orthogonal complement of  $\mathfrak{z}$  in  $T_e^+(M)$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{z} = \{H \in \mathfrak{f}; \langle \delta, H \rangle = 0\} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_+ \\ \langle \alpha, \delta \rangle = 0}} (\mathbf{R}E_\alpha + \mathbf{R}F_\alpha)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_+ - \{\delta\} \\ \langle \alpha, \delta \rangle \neq 0}} (\mathbf{R}E_\alpha + \mathbf{R}F_\alpha).$$

According to Wolf [6],  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{z} + \mathfrak{g}_1)$  is a compact quaternionic symmetric pair. So the right hand side of the equation (5) is contained in  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Therefore the image of  $h$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

Since  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{z} + \mathfrak{g}_1)$  is a quaternionic symmetric pair,  $\mathfrak{m}$  has a quaternionic vector space structure and  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  acts on  $\mathfrak{m}$  as the multiplications by purely quaternionic numbers. In particular, we obtain

$$(6) \quad [X, [Y, h(X, Y)]] = -[Y, [X, h(X, Y)]],$$

because  $X$  and  $Y$  are orthogonal vectors in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $h(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{m}$ .

The equation

$$(7) \quad |\delta|^2 h(X, X) = -[Y, [Y, h(X, X)]] + 3[Y, [X, h(X, Y)]]$$

follows from (5), (6), and the Jacobi identity.

On the other hand

$$(8) \quad [U, [U, V]] = -\frac{1}{4}|\delta|^2 V$$

for any unit vector  $U$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and any vector  $V$  in  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Indeed, since each unit vector in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  is  $G_1$ -conjugate to  $\delta/|\delta|$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is  $\text{Ad}(G_1)$ -invariant, we may suppose  $U = \delta/|\delta|$ . Put

$$V = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_+ - \{\delta\} \\ \langle \alpha, \delta \rangle \neq 0}} (s_\alpha E_\alpha + t_\alpha F_\alpha).$$

By ii) of Lemma 3 and the fact that

$$\frac{2\langle \alpha, \delta \rangle}{\langle \delta, \delta \rangle} = 1$$

for each  $\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{A}_+ - \{\delta\}$  with  $\langle \alpha, \delta \rangle \neq 0$  (cf. Wolf [6]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [U, [U, V]] &= -\frac{1}{|\delta|^2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_+ - \{\delta\} \\ \langle \alpha, \delta \rangle \neq 0}} \langle \alpha, \delta \rangle^2 (s_\alpha E_\alpha + t_\alpha F_\alpha) \\ &= -\frac{|\delta|^2}{4} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_+ - \{\delta\} \\ \langle \alpha, \delta \rangle \neq 0}} (s_\alpha E_\alpha + t_\alpha F_\alpha) \\ &= -\frac{|\delta|^2}{4} V. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (7) and (8) that

$$(9) \quad \frac{1}{4}|\delta|^2 h(X, X) = [Y, [X, h(Y, X)]]$$

for any orthonormal vectors  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . By (9) we also have

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{4}|\delta|^2 h(Y, Y) = [X, [Y, h(Y, X)]] .$$

Thus by (6), (9) and (10) we get

$$h(X, X) = -h(Y, Y).$$

Since  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  is 3-dimensional, we have

$$h(X, X) = 0$$

for any unit vector  $X$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $h = 0$ .

Hence  $M$  is totally geodesic in  $G$ . Since  $G_1$  is also totally geodesic in  $G$ ,  $M$  is a piece of  $G_1$ . Q. E. D.

**References**

- [1] Harvey, R. and Lawson, Jr., H. B., Calibrated geometry, *Acta Math.* **148** (1982), 47-157.
- [2] Helgason, S., *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [3] Milnor, J., Curvatures in left invariant metrics on Lie groups, *Advances in Math.* **21** (1976), 293-329.
- [4] Ohnita, Y., Stable minimal submanifolds in compact rank one symmetric spaces, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **38** (1986), 199-217.
- [5] Tasaki, H., Certain minimal or homologically volume minimizing submanifolds in compact symmetric spaces, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **9** (1985), 117-131.
- [6] Wolf, J. A., Complex homogeneous contact manifolds and quaternionic symmetric spaces, *J. Math. Mech.* **14** (1965), 1033-1047.

|                        |     |                           |
|------------------------|-----|---------------------------|
| Yoshihiro Ohnita       | and | Hiroyuki Tasaki           |
| Mathematical Institute |     | Department of Mathematics |
| Tohoku University      |     | Tokyo Gakugei University  |
| Sendai, 980            |     | Koganei, Tokyo 184        |
| Japan                  |     | Japan                     |

Current address (Y. Ohnita)  
Department of Mathematics  
Tokyo Metropolitan University  
Fukasawa, Setagaya, Tokyo, 158  
Japan