

A CASE OF EXTENSIONS OF GROUP SCHEMES OVER A DISCRETE VALUATION RING

By

Tsutomu SEKIGUCHI*⁾ and Noriyuki SUWA

Introduction.

Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a cyclic covering of degree m of normal varieties over a field k . If m is prime to the characteristic of k and k contains all the m -th roots of unity, the Kummer theory asserts that the covering $X \rightarrow Y$ is given by a cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \dashrightarrow & G_{m,k} \\ \downarrow & f & \downarrow \theta \\ Y & \dashrightarrow & G_{m,k} \end{array},$$

where θ is the m -th power map and f is a rational map of Y to the multiplicative group $G_{m,k}$. On the other hand, if $m=p^n$ and $p=\text{char}.k>0$, the Witt-Artin-Schreier theory asserts that the covering $X \rightarrow Y$ is given by a cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \dashrightarrow & W_{n,k} \\ \downarrow & g & \downarrow \mathcal{P} \\ Y & \dashrightarrow & W_{n,k} \end{array},$$

where $\mathcal{P}(x)=x^p-x$ and g is a rational map of Y to the Witt group $W_{n,k}$. Therefore, if one wishes to deform a cyclic covering $X \rightarrow Y$ of degree p^n over a field k of characteristic $p>0$ to a cyclic covering of degree p^n over a field of characteristic 0, it seems natural to consider the deformations of the Witt-Artin-Schreier exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)_k \longrightarrow W_{n,k} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} W_{n,k} \longrightarrow 0$$

over a field k of characteristic $p>0$ to an exact sequence of Kummer type

$$1 \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n,K} \longrightarrow (G_{m,K})^n \longrightarrow (G_{m,K})^n \longrightarrow 1$$

over a field K of characteristic 0. From this point of view, it seems most

Received September 21, 1989. Revised January 17, 1990.

* Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research #62540066.

appropriate to consider the deformations of Witt groups to tori as the first step. In the one-dimensional case, the deformations of G_a to G_m are completely determined by [9], and later independently by [3]. In fact, every such deformation is given by a group scheme $\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} = \text{Spec } A[x, 1/(\lambda x + 1)]$ over a discrete valuation ring A with a group law $(x, y) \mapsto \lambda xy + x + y$, where λ is a non-zero element of the maximal ideal of A . If we take $A = \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta]$ with a primitive p -th root ζ of unity, and $\lambda = \zeta - 1$, then the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p)_A \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{G}^{(\lambda^p)} \longrightarrow 0$$

where ϕ is the A -homomorphism defined by $x \mapsto \{(\lambda x + 1)^p - 1\}/\lambda^p$, gives the unique deformation of the Artin-Schreier sequence to the Kummer sequence. This exact sequence is first noticed by [3] and [4], and later independently by [8]. In [3], the above sequence is used to lift an automorphism of order p of a smooth projective curve over an algebraically closed field of characteristic p to one over a field of characteristic 0.

In the higher dimensional cases, some examples of deformations of Witt groups to tori have been illustrated by [4]. Later [5] has generalized the argument of [4] and has developed a method for computing $\text{Ext}_A^1(\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)}, \mathcal{G}^{(\mu)})$, the group of extensions of $\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)}$ by $\mathcal{G}^{(\mu)}$. Furthermore, [5] has explicitly computed $\text{Ext}_A^1(\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)}, \mathcal{G}^{(\mu)})$ under the condition that $\mu \nmid p$ (cf. Ex. 4.1).

In this paper, we shall compute the group $\text{Ext}_A^1(\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)}, \mathcal{G}^{(\mu)})$ for arbitrary $\lambda, \mu \neq 0$ of the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of A , developing the argument of [5] and analyzing such an extension by means of successive Néron blow-ups from a torus. Our main result is as follows:

THEOREM (cf. 2.3, Cor. 3.5 and Th. 3.10). *Let A be a discrete valuation ring dominating $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Let λ, μ be non-zero elements of the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of A with the order of $\mu = m$. Then every extension \mathcal{E} of $\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)}$ by $\mathcal{G}^{(\mu)}$ is given by a group S -scheme*

$$\mathcal{E} = \text{Spec } A[X_0, X_1, 1/(\lambda X_1 + 1), 1/(\mu X_1 + F(X_0))]$$

with the law of multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &\longmapsto \lambda X_0 \otimes X_0 + X_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_0, \\ X_1 &\longmapsto \mu X_1 \otimes X_1 + X_1 \otimes F(X_0) + F(X_0) \otimes X_1 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\mu} [F(X_0) \otimes F(X_0) - F(\lambda X_0 \otimes X_0 + X_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_0)], \end{aligned}$$

where $F(X) = 1 + \sum_{i \geq 1} c_i X^i$ is a polynomial with $c_i \in \mathfrak{m}$ satisfying the equalities

$$c_j = \frac{1}{\binom{j}{p^r}} \left\{ c_{p^r} c_{j-p^r} - \sum_{i=0}^{p^r-1} \binom{j-p^r+i}{j-2p^r+2i} \binom{j-2p^r+2i}{i} c_{j-p^r+i} \lambda^{p^r-i} \right\}$$

for j with $\text{ord}_p j = r$ and $j \neq p^r$, and

$$c_{p^r} c_{p^{r+1}-p^r} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p^r} \binom{p^{r+1}-p^r+i}{p^{r+1}-2p^r+2i} \binom{p^{r+1}-2p^r+2i}{i} c_{p^{r+1}-p^r+i} \lambda^{p^r-i} \pmod{m^m}$$

for each $r \geq 0$.

It will be noted that our method is applicable also to the case $\lambda=0, 1$ or $\mu=0, 1$. In particular, we recover the work of Weisfeiler [10] when $\lambda=0$ and μ is a non-zero element of m .

We now explain briefly the plan of this paper. In §1, some general facts are discussed, concerning the Néron blow-ups. In §2, we analyze an extension of $\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)}$ by $\mathcal{G}^{(\mu)}$ by means of successive Néron blow-ups starting from a torus. Our main theorem is proven in §3; after establishing an analogue of Lazard's comparison lemma [2], we determine step by step the polynomials $F(X)$, satisfying the condition $F(X)F(Y) = F(\lambda XY + X + Y) \pmod{\mu}$. Some examples concerning the extensions are given in §4. We conclude this article by noting that a smooth affine 2-dimensional S-group scheme is not necessarily obtained by an extension of smooth 1-dimensional S-group schemes, even though its generic fibre and its special fibre are extensions of smooth 1-dimensional group schemes each.

Notation.

Throughout the article, A denotes a discrete valuation ring and m (resp. K, k) denotes the maximal ideal (resp. the fraction field, resp. the residue field) of A , if there are no restrictions. We denote by v the valuation on A and by π a uniformizing parameter of A . We put $S = \text{Spec } A$.

An S-group (resp. an S-homomorphism) means a group S-scheme of finite type (resp. an S-morphism between group S-schemes, compatible with the group structures).

For an S-group G , we denote by G_K (resp. G_k) the generic (resp. closed) fibre of G over S . Moreover, when G is affine, we denote by $A[G]$ (resp. $K[G]$, resp. $k[G]$) the coordinate ring of G (resp. G_K , resp. G_k) and by $A[G]^+$ (resp. $K[G]^+$, resp. $k[G]^+$) the augmentation ideal of $A[G]$ (resp. $K[G]$, resp. $k[G]$).

For non-negative integers n, l with $n \geq l$, we denote by $\binom{n}{l}$ the number $\frac{n!}{(n-l)!l!}$. In particular $\binom{0}{0} = 1$.

Contents.

1. Néron blow-ups
2. Néron blow-ups and $\mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)}$
3. $\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{k}}^1(\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)}, \mathcal{G}^{(\mu)})$
4. Examples

1. Nérons blow-ups

We recall first Néron blow-ups. For details, see [1], [9].

1.1. Let G be a flat affine S -group and H a closed k -subgroup of G_k . Let $J(H)$ be the inverse image in $A[G]$ of the defining ideal of H in $k[G]$. Then the structure of Hopf algebra on $K[G]$ induces a structure of Hopf A -algebra on the A -subalgebra $A[\pi^{-1}J(H)]$ of $K[G]$. Then $G^H = \text{Spec } A[\pi^{-1}J(H)]$ is a flat affine S -group. The injection $A[G] \subset A[G^H] = A[\pi^{-1}J(H)]$ induces an S -homomorphism $G^H \rightarrow G$. By the definition, the generic fiber $(G^H)_{K \rightarrow G_K}$ is an isomorphism. We call the S -group G^H or the canonical S -homomorphism $G^H \rightarrow G$ the Néron blow-up of H in G .

REMARK 1.2. It is readily seen that $A[G^H]^+ = K[G]^+ \cap A[G^H]$.

PROPOSITION 1.3. *Let $\varphi: G' \rightarrow G$ be an S -homomorphism of flat affine S -groups and let H' (resp. H) be a closed k -subgroup of G'_k (resp. G_k) such that $\varphi_k(H') \subset H$. Then there exists canonically an S -homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi^{(H', H)}: G'^{H'} \rightarrow G^H$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}_K = \varphi_K: G'_K \rightarrow G_K$.*

PROOF. Let $\alpha: G'^{H'} \rightarrow G'$ denote the canonical S -homomorphism. By the assumption, the image of $(\varphi \circ \alpha)_k: (G'^{H'})_k \rightarrow G_k$ is contained in H . Therefore, by the universal property of Néron blow-ups ([9], Prop. 1.2), we get a unique homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G'^{H'} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & G^H \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 G' & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G
 \end{array}$$

commutative.

PROPOSITION 1.4. *Let G be a flat affine S -group, G' a closed flat S -subgroup of G , H a closed k -subgroup of G_k and $H' = H \cap G'_k$. Then the canonical homo-*

morphism $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi^H = \varphi^{(H', H)}: G'^{H'} \rightarrow G^H$ induced by the inclusion $G' \rightarrow G$ is a closed immersion.

PROOF. Since $H' = H \cap G_k$, $J(H')$ is generated by $J(H)$ in $A[G']$. Let π, f_1, \dots, f_r be generators of $J(H)$ and $g_i (1 \leq i \leq r)$ be the image of f_i in $A[G']$. Then

$$A[G'^{H'}] = A[G'][\pi^{-1}g_1, \dots, \pi^{-1}g_r],$$

$$A[G^H] = A[G][\pi^{-1}f_1, \dots, \pi^{-1}f_r].$$

Hence the canonical surjection $K[G] \rightarrow K[G']$ induces a surjection $A[G^H] \rightarrow A[G'^{H'}]$.

REMARK 1.5. (1) The defining ideal of $G'^{H'}$ in G^H is given by $J(G')_K \cap A[G^H]$.

(2) In general, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G'^{H'} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & G^H \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G' & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G \end{array}$$

is not cartesian.

PROPOSITION 1.6. Let G be a flat affine S -group, H a closed k -subgroup of G_k and $\tilde{G} = G^H$ the Néron blow-up. Then, by taking the flat closure, we get bijections among the closed K -subgroups of $G_K = \tilde{G}_K$, the closed flat S -subgroups of G and the closed flat S -subgroups of \tilde{G} .

PROOF. This is a direct consequence of EGA IV, Prop. 2.8.5.

Combining Proposition 1.5 and Proposition 1.6, we obtain the following assertion.

COROLLARY 1.7. Let G be a flat affine S -group and H a closed k -subgroup of G_k . Let G' be a closed flat S -subgroup of G and \tilde{G}' the flat closure of G'_K in $\tilde{G} = G^H$. Then \tilde{G}' is the Néron blow-up of $H \cap G'_k$ in G' .

PROPOSITION 1.8. Let G be a flat affine S -group, $H_1 \supset H$ closed k -subgroups of G_k and \tilde{H} the inverse image of H in $(G^{H_1})_k$. Then there exists a canonical isomorphism $(G^{H_1})^H \simeq G^H$.

PROOF. Take generators $\pi, f_1, \dots, f_r, g_1, \dots, g_s$ of $J(H)$ such that $J(H_1)$ is generated by π, f_1, \dots, f_r . Since the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{H} & \longrightarrow & (G^{H_1})_k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H & \xrightarrow{\phi} & G_k \end{array}$$

is cartesian, $J(\tilde{H})$ is generated by $J(H)$ in $A[G^{H_1}]$. Since f_1, \dots, f_r are divisible by π in $A[G^{H_1}]$, $J(\tilde{H})$ is generated by π, g_1, \dots, g_s in $A[G^{H_1}]$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} A[(G^{H_1})^{\tilde{H}}] &= A[G^{H_1}][\pi^{-1}g_1, \dots, \pi^{-1}g_s] \\ &= A[G][\pi^{-1}f_1, \dots, \pi^{-1}f_r][\pi^{-1}g_1, \dots, \pi^{-1}g_s] = A[G^H]. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 1.9. *Let*

$$(\#) \quad 0 \longrightarrow G' \xrightarrow{\varphi} G \xrightarrow{\phi} G'' \longrightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of flat affine S -groups, and let H a closed k -subgroup of G_k , H' the inverse image of H in G'_k and H'' the image of H in G''_k . Then the sequence of S -groups

$$(\tilde{\#}) \quad 0 \longrightarrow G'^{H'} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}=\varphi^H} G^H \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}=\phi^H} G''^{H''} \longrightarrow 0$$

induced from $(\#)$ is exact if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (1) $H \supset \varphi(G'_k)$; that is to say, $H = (\phi_k)^{-1}(H'')$.
- (2) G' is smooth over S .

PROOF. Since $(\tilde{\phi} \circ \tilde{\varphi})_K = (\phi \circ \varphi)_K = 0$ and \tilde{G}' is flat over S , $\tilde{\phi} \circ \tilde{\varphi} = 0$. Hence we obtain a canonical S -homomorphism $G^H/G'^{H'} \rightarrow G''^{H''}$. Obviously the generic fiber $(G^H/G'^{H'})_K \rightarrow (G''^{H''})_K$ is an isomorphism.

We prove that $\tilde{\phi}_k : (G^H)_k \rightarrow (G''^{H''})_k$ is faithfully flat under the condition (1) or (2), which implies that $G^H/G'^{H'} \rightarrow G''^{H''}$ is an isomorphism ([9], Lemma 1.3).

Case (1). We identify $A[G''] \subset A[G]$ by $\phi : G \rightarrow G''$. We prove that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G^H & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} & G''^{H''} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G & \xrightarrow{\phi} & G'' \end{array}$$

is cartesian, which implies that $G^H \rightarrow G''^{H''}$ is faithfully flat.

By the assumption (1), the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \longrightarrow & H'' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G & \xrightarrow{\phi} & G'' \end{array}$$

is cartesian. Hence the defining ideal of H'' in $k[G'']$ generates in $k[G]$ the defining ideal of H . Therefore $J(H)$ is generated by $J(H'')$ in $A[G]$. Let π, f_1, \dots, f_r be generators of $J(H'')$. Then

$$A[G''] = A[G][\pi^{-1}f_1, \dots, \pi^{-1}f_r],$$

$$A[G''H''] = A[G''][\pi^{-1}f_1, \dots, \pi^{-1}f_r].$$

Since $A[G]$ is flat over $A[G'']$,

$$A[G][\pi^{-1}f_1, \dots, \pi^{-1}f_r] = A[G''][\pi^{-1}f_1, \dots, \pi^{-1}f_r] \otimes_{A[G'']} A[G].$$

Case (2). Let B be a complete discrete valuation ring, unramified over A with residue field \bar{k} . Then obviously we have

$$G^H \otimes_A B \cong (G \otimes_A B)^{H \otimes_k \bar{k}}.$$

Since B is faithfully flat over A , the sequence $(\#)$ is exact if and only if so is the sequence induced from $(\#)$ by the base change B/A . Hence for our purpose we may assume that A is a complete discrete valuation ring with algebraically closed residue field k .

Moreover, we may assume $H'' = G''_k$. In fact, let H_1 be the inverse image of H'' in G_k . By (1), we get an exact sequence of S -groups

$$0 \longrightarrow G' \longrightarrow G^{H_1} \longrightarrow G''^{H'} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let \tilde{H} be the inverse image of H in $(G^{H_1})_k$. By Proposition 1.8, $(G^{H_1})^{\tilde{H}}$ is isomorphic to G^H . Moreover, $\tilde{H} \cap G'_k = H'$ and \tilde{H} is mapped onto $(G''^{H'})_k$.

Under these assumption, we prove first that the canonical map $\tilde{\phi}(k): G^H(k) \rightarrow G''(k)$ is surjective.

Let $a \in G''(k)$. Since G'' is faithfully flat over $S = \text{Spec } A$, there exist a complete discrete valuation ring B , dominating A and finite over A , and $\tilde{a} \in G''(B)$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } B & \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}} & G'' \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{Spec } k & \xrightarrow{a} & G''_k \end{array}$$

is commutative (EGA. IV, Prop. 14.5.8). Since B is strictly Henselian and G' is smooth over $S = \text{Spec } A$, the canonical map $\phi(B): G(B) \rightarrow G''(B)$ is surjective (cf. [11], Th. 11.7). Take $\tilde{b} \in G(B)$ such that $\phi(B)(\tilde{b}) = \tilde{a}$.

Furthermore, since $H \rightarrow G''_k$ is faithfully flat, there exist a complete discrete valuation ring B' , dominating A and finite over A , and $b \in H(B \otimes_A k)$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Spec } B' \otimes_A k & \xrightarrow{b} & H \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_k \\
 \text{Spec } B \otimes_A k & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } k \xrightarrow{a} G'_k
 \end{array}$$

is commutative (EGA. IV, Cor. 17.16.2). Replacing B' by B , we may assume that $B=B'$.

Then $\bar{b}_k - b$ is contained in $G'(B \otimes_A k) = \text{Ker}(G(B \otimes_A k) \rightarrow G''(B \otimes_A k))$. Since A is strictly Henselian, B is finite flat over A and G' is smooth over A , the canonical map $G'(B) \rightarrow G'(B \otimes_A k)$ is surjective (cf. EGA. IV, Th. 18.5.17). Take $\tilde{c} \in G'(B)$ such that $\tilde{c}_k = \bar{b}_k - b$ in $G'(B \otimes_A k)$. Then $(\bar{b} - \tilde{c})_k = \bar{b}_k - \tilde{c}_k = b \in H(B \otimes_A k)$; that is to say, $\bar{b} - \tilde{c}$ is contained in $G^H(B)$. Let x be the image of $\bar{b} - \tilde{c}$ by the canonical map $G^H(B) \rightarrow G^H(k)$. Then we have $\tilde{\phi}(k)(x) = a$. Therefore we see that $G^H(k) \rightarrow G''(k)$ is surjective.

We prove now that for any $t \in \text{Lie}(G'_k)$, there exist an integer $n > 0$ and $y \in \text{Ker}(G^H(k[\varepsilon^{1/n}]) \rightarrow G^H(k))$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Spec } k[\varepsilon^{1/n}] & \xrightarrow{y} & (G^H)_k \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Spec } k[\varepsilon] & \xrightarrow{t} & G'_k
 \end{array}$$

is commutative, where ε is a dual number.

Let $t \in \text{Lie } G'_k = \text{Ker}(G''(k[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow G''(k))$. Let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ denote the completion of $A[G'']$ along the zero section, and let $t^*: \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow k[\varepsilon]$ be the local homomorphism defined by $t: \text{Spec } k[\varepsilon] \rightarrow G''$. Moreover, let $\tilde{s}^*: \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow A$ be the local homomorphism defined by the zero section $s: \text{Spec } A \rightarrow G''$. Assume that $t \neq 0$. Then $t^*: \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow k[\varepsilon]$ is surjective, and therefore, there exists a surjective homomorphism $\hat{i}^*: \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow A[\varepsilon]$ such that $t^*: \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow k[\varepsilon]$ and $\tilde{s}^*: \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow A$ are factorized by $\hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow A[\varepsilon] \rightarrow k[\varepsilon]$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow A[\varepsilon] \rightarrow A$, respectively. Let $\hat{i}: \text{Spec } A[\varepsilon] \rightarrow G''$ be the S -morphism defined by $\hat{i}^*: \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow A[\varepsilon]$. Since A is strictly Henselian and G' is smooth over $S = \text{Spec } A$, the canonical map $\phi(A[\varepsilon]): G(A[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow G''(A[\varepsilon])$ is surjective (cf. [11], Th. 11.7). Take $\tilde{u} \in G(A[\varepsilon])$ such that $\phi(A[\varepsilon])(\tilde{u}) = \hat{i}$.

Furthermore, since $H \rightarrow G'_k$ is faithfully flat, there exist an integer $n > 0$ and $u \in \text{Ker}(H(k[\varepsilon^{1/n}]) \rightarrow H(k))$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Spec } k[\varepsilon^{1/n}] & \xrightarrow{u} & H \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_k \\
 \text{Spec } k[\varepsilon] & \xrightarrow{t} & G''_k
 \end{array}$$

is commutative. In fact, let $\mathfrak{u} = \text{Ker}(k[G''] \xrightarrow{t} k[\varepsilon])$, and let \mathfrak{B}_0 be a maximal element in the set Σ of ideals \mathfrak{B} in $k[H]$ such that $(\phi_k^*)^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}) = \mathfrak{B} \cap k[G''] = \mathfrak{u}$. Note that Σ is not empty because of the faithful flatness of $\phi_k: H \rightarrow G''_k$. Then we can see that $k[H]/\mathfrak{B}_0$ is an Artinian local ring. Because look at the inclusions:

$$k[H]/\mathfrak{B}_0 \supset k[\varepsilon] \cong k[G'']/\mathfrak{u} \supset k.$$

By the normalization theorem, there exist parameters $x_1, \dots, x_l \in k[H]/\mathfrak{B}_0$ such that $k[H]/\mathfrak{B}_0$ is integral over the polynomial ring $k[x_1, \dots, x_l]$. Let \mathfrak{A} be a maximal ideal in $k[x_1, \dots, x_l]$ containing $(0: \varepsilon) \cap k[x_1, \dots, x_l] \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_l]$. Then there exists an ideal \mathfrak{C} in $k[H]/\mathfrak{B}_0$ lying over \mathfrak{A} and $\mathfrak{C} \cap k[\varepsilon] = (0)$. The inverse image \mathfrak{C} of \mathfrak{C} by the canonical map $k[H] \rightarrow k[H]/\mathfrak{B}_0$ is obviously an element of Σ , and we get that $\mathfrak{B}_0 = \mathfrak{C}$. Therefore $k[H]/\mathfrak{B}_0$ should be the type of $k[\varepsilon^{1/n}]$ for some positive integer n . Let $u' \in H(k[\varepsilon^{1/n}])$ be the point defined by the canonical map $k[H] \rightarrow k[H]/\mathfrak{B}_0 \cong k[\varepsilon^{1/n}]$. Then $u := u' - u'_k \in H(k[\varepsilon^{1/n}])$ is a required point. Here we note that $u'_k \in \text{Ker}(H(k) \rightarrow G''(k))$, and $H(k) \subset H(k[\varepsilon^{1/n}])$ in the canonical way.

We denote again by \tilde{u} the image of u by the canonical map $G(A[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow G(A[\varepsilon^{1/n}])$. Then $\tilde{u}_k - u$ is contained in $G'(A[\varepsilon^{1/n}]) = \text{Ker}(G(A[\varepsilon^{1/n}]) \rightarrow G''(A[\varepsilon^{1/n}]))$. Since A is strictly Henselian and G' is smooth over A , the canonical map $G'(A[\varepsilon^{1/n}]) \rightarrow G'(k[\varepsilon^{1/n}])$ is surjective (cf. EGA. IV, Th. 18.5.17). Take $\tilde{v} \in G'(A[\varepsilon^{1/n}])$ such that $\tilde{v}_k = \tilde{u}_k - u$ in $G'(k[\varepsilon^{1/n}])$. Then $(\tilde{u} - \tilde{v})_k = \tilde{u}_k - \tilde{v}_k = u \in H(k[\varepsilon^{1/n}])$; that is to say, $\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}$ is contained in $G^H(A[\varepsilon^{1/n}])$. Let y be the image of $\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}$ by the canonical map $G^H(A[\varepsilon^{1/n}]) \rightarrow G^H(k[\varepsilon^{1/n}])$. Then we get the required commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Spec } k[\varepsilon^{1/n}] & \xrightarrow{y} & (G^H)_k \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_k \\
 \text{Spec } k[\varepsilon] & \xrightarrow{t} & G''_k
 \end{array}$$

From the above two facts, we can conclude that $\tilde{\phi}_k: (G^H)_k \rightarrow (G''^H)_k = G''_k$ is faithfully flat (cf. [7], pp. 109-111), and we accomplish the proof of Theorem 1.9.

Now we give two examples supporting the necessity of the conditions of

Theorem 1.9.

EXAMPLE 1.10. Assume that A has equal-characteristic $p > 0$. We consider the exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{a}_{p,s} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_{a,s} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbf{G}_{a,s} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{a}_{p,k} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_{a,k} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbf{G}_{a,k} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{a}_{p,k} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{a}_{p,k} & \longrightarrow & \{0\} \longrightarrow 0,
 \end{array}$$

where F denotes the Frobenius homomorphism. Let G (resp. G'') be the Néron blow-up of $\mathfrak{a}_{p,k}$ in $\mathbf{G}_{a,s}$ (resp. of $\{0\}$ in $\mathbf{G}_{a,s}$). Then G'' is isomorphic to $\mathbf{G}_{a,s}$. By Theorem 1.9. (1), we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{p,k} \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}} G'' = \mathbf{G}_{a,s} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where \tilde{F} is the canonical S -homomorphism induced by F . More precisely, $A[G] = A[X, Y]/(\pi Y - X^p)$ and \tilde{F} is defined by

$$X \longmapsto X^p : A[G''] = A[X] \longrightarrow A[G] = A[X, Y]/(\pi Y - X^p).$$

Now let H be the closed k -subgroup of G_k defined by the ideal (X) in $k[G] = k[X, Y]/(X^p) = A[X, Y]/(\pi Y - X^p) \otimes_A k$. Then H is isomorphic to $\mathbf{G}_{a,k}$. Moreover, we have exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{a}_{p,s} & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}} & \mathbf{G}_{a,s} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{a}_{p,k} & \longrightarrow & G_k & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_{a,k} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \{0\} & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_{a,k} \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

Let \tilde{G} (resp. \tilde{G}') be the Néron blow-up of H in G (resp. of $\{0\}$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{p,s}$). Then \tilde{G}' is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{a}_{p,s}$. In this case, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{p,s} \longrightarrow \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}^H} G'' = \mathbf{G}_{a,s} \longrightarrow 0$$

is not exact. In fact, we can easily see that $\tilde{F}^H : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{a,s}$ is defined by

$$X \longmapsto X^p : A[\tilde{G}] = A[X, Y, Z]/(\pi Z - X, \pi^{p-1}Z^p - Y).$$

Therefore $(\tilde{F}^H)_k : \tilde{G}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{a,k}$ is defined by

$$X \longmapsto 0 : k[\tilde{G}] = k[Z] = A[X, Y, Z]/(\pi Z - X, \pi^{p-1}Z^p - Y) \otimes_A k;$$

that is to say, $(\tilde{F}^H)_k = 0$.

REMARK 1.10.1. \tilde{G} is isomorphic to $G_{a,s}$. In fact,

$$X \longmapsto \pi Z, Y \longmapsto \pi^{p-1}Z^p, Z \longmapsto Z:$$

$$A[X, Y, Z]/(\pi Z - X, \pi^{p-1}Z^p - Y) \longrightarrow A[Z]$$

defines an isomorphism of \tilde{G} to $G_{a,s}$. Then the S-homomorphism $\tilde{F}^H: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G_{a,s}$ is simply written $\pi^{p-1}F: G_{a,s} \rightarrow G_{a,s}$.

EXAMPLE 1.11. Assume that k is of characteristic $p > 0$. We consider the exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \underbrace{\mu_{p,s}} \longrightarrow \underbrace{G_{m,s}} \xrightarrow{p} \underbrace{G_{m,s}} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \underbrace{\mu_{p,k}} \longrightarrow \underbrace{G_{m,k}} \xrightarrow{p} \underbrace{G_{m,k}} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_{p,k} \longrightarrow \mu_{p,k} \longrightarrow \{1\} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where p denotes the p -th power map. Let G (resp. G'') be the Néron blow-up of $\mu_{p,k}$ in $G_{m,s}$ (resp. of $\{1\}$ in $G_{m,s}$). Then G'' is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}^{(\pi)} = \text{Spec } A[Y, 1/(\pi Y + 1)]$ (cf. 2.1 or [3], Ch. I). By Theorem 1.9. (1), we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_{p,k} \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} \mathcal{G}^{(\pi)} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where \tilde{p} is the canonical S-homomorphism induced by p . More precisely, $A[G] = A[X, 1/X, Y]/(\pi Y - X^p + 1)$ and \tilde{p} is defined by

$$Y \longmapsto Y: A[Y, 1/(\pi Y + 1)] \longrightarrow A[G] = A[X, 1/X, Y]/(\pi Y - X^p + 1).$$

Now let H be the closed k -subgroup of G_k defined by the ideal $(X-1)$ in $k[G] = k[X, Y]/(X^p - 1) = A[X, 1/X, Y]/(\pi Y - X^p + 1) \otimes_A k$. Then H is isomorphic to $G_{a,k}$. Moreover, we have exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \underbrace{\mu_{p,s}} \longrightarrow \underbrace{G} \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} \underbrace{\mathcal{G}^{(\pi)}} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \underbrace{\mu_{p,k}} \longrightarrow \underbrace{G_k} \longrightarrow \underbrace{G_{a,k}} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \{1\} \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow G_{a,k} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let \tilde{G} (resp. \tilde{G}') be the Néron blow-up of H in G (resp. of $\{1\}$ in $\mu_{p,s}$). In this case, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow G' \longrightarrow \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}^H} \mathcal{G}^{(\pi)} \longrightarrow 0$$

is not exact. In fact, we can easily see that $\tilde{p}^H: \tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(\pi)}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
Y &\longmapsto ((\pi Z + 1)^p - 1)/\pi : \\
A[Y, 1/(\pi Y + 1)] &\longrightarrow A[\tilde{G}] \\
&= A[X, 1/X, Y, Z]/(\pi Z - X + 1, ((\pi Z + 1)^p - 1)/\pi - Y).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(\tilde{\beta}^H)_k : \tilde{G}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{a,k}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
Y &\longmapsto 0 : \\
k[Y] &\longrightarrow k[\tilde{G}] \\
&= k[Z] = A[X, 1/X, Y, Z]/(\pi Z - X + 1, ((\pi Z + 1)^p - 1)/\pi - Y) \otimes_A k,
\end{aligned}$$

that is to say, $(\tilde{\beta}^H)_k = 0$.

REMARK 1.11.1. \tilde{G} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}^{(\pi)}$. In fact,

$$X \longmapsto \pi Z + 1, Y \longmapsto ((\pi Z + 1)^p - 1)/\pi, Z \longmapsto Z :$$

$$A[X, 1/X, Y, Z]/(\pi Z - X + 1, ((\pi Z + 1)^p - 1)/\pi - Y) \longrightarrow A[Z, 1/(\pi Z + 1)]$$

defines an isomorphism of \tilde{G} to $\mathcal{G}^{(\pi)}$. Then the S-homomorphism $\tilde{\beta}^H : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(\pi)}$ is defined by

$$Z \longmapsto ((\pi Z + 1)^p - 1)/\pi : A[Z, 1/(\pi Z + 1)] \longrightarrow A[Z, 1/(\pi Z + 1)].$$

2. Néron blow-ups and $\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)}$

We recall first some notations and results of [3], [5].

2.1. Let $\lambda \in m - \{0\}$. We define a smooth affine S-group $\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)}$ as follows :

$$\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} = \text{Spec } A[X_0, 1/(\lambda X_0 + 1)]$$

1) law of multiplication

$$X_0 \longmapsto \lambda X_0 \otimes X_0 + X_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_0 ;$$

2) unit

$$X_0 \longmapsto 0 ;$$

3) inverse

$$X_0 \longmapsto -X_0/(\lambda X_0 + 1).$$

Moreover, we define an S-homomorphism $\alpha^{(\lambda)} : \mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ by

$$T \longmapsto \lambda X_0 + 1 : A[T, T^{-1}] \longrightarrow A[X_0, 1/(\lambda X_0 + 1)].$$

Then the generic fiber $\alpha_k^{(\lambda)} : \mathcal{G}_k^{(\lambda)} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m,K}$ is an isomorphism. On the other hand, the closed fiber $\mathcal{G}_k^{(\lambda)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{G}_{a,k}$.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $F(X)$ be a polynomial in $A[X]$. We shall say that

$F(X)$ satisfies the condition $(\#_m)$ if

$$F(X) \equiv 1 \pmod{m} \quad \text{and} \quad F(X)F(Y) \equiv F(\lambda XY + X + Y) \pmod{m^m}.$$

2.3. Let $\lambda, \mu \in m - \{0\}$ and $m = v(\mu)$, and let $F(X)$ be a polynomial in $A[X]$, satisfying the condition $(\#_m)$. We define a smooth affine S-group $\mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)} = \text{Spec } A[X_0, X_1, 1/(\lambda X_0 + 1), 1/(\mu X_1 + F(X_0))]$$

1) law of multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &\longmapsto \lambda X_0 \otimes X_0 + X_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_0, \\ X_1 &\longmapsto \mu X_1 \otimes X_1 + X_1 \otimes F(X_0) + F(X_0) \otimes X_1 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\mu} [F(X_0) \otimes F(X_0) - F(\lambda X_0 \otimes X_0 + X_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_0)]; \end{aligned}$$

2) unit

$$X_0 \longmapsto 0, \quad X_1 \longmapsto \frac{1}{\mu} [1 - F(0)];$$

3) inverse

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &\longmapsto -X_0/(\lambda X_0 + 1), \\ X_1 &\longmapsto \frac{1}{\mu} [1/(\mu X_1 + F(X_0)) - F(-X_0/(\lambda X_0 + 1))]. \end{aligned}$$

2.4. We define an S-homomorphism $\mathcal{G}^{(\mu)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &\longmapsto 0, \quad X_1 \longmapsto X + \frac{1}{\mu} [1 - F(0)]; \\ A[X_0, X_1, 1/(\lambda X_0 + 1), 1/(\mu X_1 + F(X_0))] &\longrightarrow A[X, 1/(\mu X + 1)] \end{aligned}$$

and an S-homomorphism $\mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} X &\longmapsto X_0; \\ A[X, 1/(\lambda X + 1)] &\longrightarrow A[X_0, X_1, 1/(\lambda X_0 + 1), 1/(\mu X_1 + F(X_0))]. \end{aligned}$$

Then the sequence of S-groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(\mu)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, i. e. $\mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)}$ is an extension of $\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)}$ by $\mathcal{G}^{(\mu)}$. Conversely, any extension of $\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)}$ by $\mathcal{G}^{(\mu)}$ takes the form of

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(\mu)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $F(X)$ is a polynomial in $A[X]$, satisfying the condition $(\#_m)$ ([5], Cor. 3.6).

2.5. Let $F(X), \tilde{F}(X)$ be polynomials in $A[X]$, satisfying the condition $(\#_m)$. If $F(X) \equiv \tilde{F}(X) \pmod{m^m}$, then we can define an isomorphism of extensions:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}^{(\mu)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \wr & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}^{(\mu)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; \tilde{F})} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 \longmapsto X_0, Y_1 \longmapsto X_1 + \frac{1}{\mu} [F(X_0) - \tilde{F}(X_0)]: \\ A[Y_0, Y_1, 1/(\lambda Y_0 + 1), 1/(\mu Y_1 + \tilde{F}(Y_0))] \longrightarrow \\ A[X_0, X_1, 1/(\lambda X_0 + 1), 1/(\mu X_1 + F(X_0))]. \end{aligned}$$

2.6. We define an S-homomorphism $\alpha^{(\lambda, \mu; F)} : \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)} \rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_{m, S})^2$ by

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 \longmapsto \lambda X_0 + 1, T_1 \longmapsto \mu X_1 + F(X_0): \\ A[T_0, T_0^{-1}, T_1, T_1^{-1}] \longrightarrow A[X_0, X_1, 1/(\lambda X_0 + 1), 1/(\mu X_1 + F(X_0))]. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain a morphism of extensions of S-groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}^{(\mu)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha^{(\mu)} & & \downarrow \alpha^{(\lambda, \mu; F)} & & \downarrow \alpha^{(\lambda)} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_{m, S} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{G}_{m, S})^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_{m, S} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Hence the generic fiber $\alpha_K^{(\lambda, \mu; F)} : \mathcal{E}_K^{(\lambda, \mu; F)} \rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_{m, K})^2$ is an isomorphism. On the other hand, the closed fiber $\mathcal{E}_k^{(\lambda, \mu; F)}$ is a unipotent k -group; more precisely, $\mathcal{E}_k^{(\lambda, \mu; F)}$ is an extension of $\mathbf{G}_{a, k}$ by $\mathbf{G}_{a, k}$ defined by the 2-cocycle $\varphi(X, Y) = \frac{1}{\mu} [F(X)F(Y) - F(\lambda XY + X + Y)] \pmod{m}$.

Now we describe the S-homomorphism $\alpha^{(\lambda, \mu; F)} : \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)} \rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_{m, S})^2$ using Néron blow-ups.

2.7. Let $F(X) = \sum a_i X^i$ be a polynomial in $A[X]$, satisfying the condition $(\#_m)$. Put

$$F_j(X) = \sum_{v(a_i) \leq j} a_i X^i$$

and

$$G_j(X) = \sum_{v(a_i) = j} a_i X^i.$$

Then we see readily that

$$F_j(X) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j} G_i(X)$$

LEMMA 2.7.1. (1) $F_{j-1}(X)F_{j-1}(Y) \equiv F_{j-1}(\lambda XY + X + Y) \pmod{m^j}$ for each $j, 1 \leq j \leq m$.

(2) $F_{j-1}(X)F_{j-1}(Y) - F_{j-1}(\lambda XY + X + Y) \equiv G_j(X+Y) - G_j(X) - G_j(Y) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^{j+1}}$
 for each $j, 1 \leq j \leq m-1$.

PROOF. The first assertion follows from the second. Assume that (1) holds for $j=i+1 (i \geq 1)$, i. e.

$$F_i(X)F_i(Y) - F_i(\lambda XY + X + Y) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \{F_{i-1}(X) + G_i(X)\} \{F_{i-1}(Y) + G_i(Y)\} \\ & - \{F_{i-1}(\lambda XY + X + Y) + G_i(\lambda XY + X + Y)\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \{F_{i-1}(X)F_{i-1}(Y) - F_{i-1}(\lambda XY + X + Y)\} \\ & - \{G_i(\lambda XY + X + Y) - F_{i-1}(Y)G_i(X) - F_{i-1}(X)G_i(Y)\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $G_i(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^i}$ and $F_{i-1}(X) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ (resp. $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$),

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{i-1}(Y)G_i(X) \equiv G_i(X), \quad F_{i-1}(X)G_i(Y) \equiv G_i(Y) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}} \\ & \text{(resp. } G_i(\lambda XY + X + Y) \equiv G_i(X + Y) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$F_{i-1}(X)F_{i-1}(Y) - F_{i-1}(\lambda XY + X + Y) \equiv G_i(X+Y) - G_i(X) - G_i(Y) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}}.$$

Therefore we get our assertion by induction on j counting down from $j=m$.

2.8. Hereafter, we assume that $\lambda = \pi^n$ and $\mu = \pi^m$, where π is a uniformizing parameter of A , for simplicity.

We start off with the first step.

Let \mathcal{G}_i denote the S -group $\mathcal{G}^{(\pi^i)} \times_S \mathbf{G}_{m,S}$.

(1) \mathcal{G}_1 is the Néron blow-up of $\{1\} \times \mathbf{G}_{m,k}$ in $\mathbf{G}_{m,S} \times_S \mathbf{G}_{m,S}$. The canonical homomorphism $\mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m,S} \times_S \mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 & \longmapsto \pi Y_0 + 1, \quad X_1 \longmapsto Y_1: \\ & A[X_0, X_1, 1/X_0, 1/X_1] \longrightarrow A[Y_0, Y_1, 1/(\pi Y_0 + 1), 1/Y_1]. \end{aligned}$$

(2) For each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$, \mathcal{G}_{i+1} is the Néron blow-up of $\{0\} \times \mathbf{G}_{m,k}$ in \mathcal{G}_i . The canonical homomorphism $\mathcal{G}_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_i$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 & \longmapsto \pi Y_0, \quad X_1 \longmapsto Y_1: \\ & A[X_0, X_1, 1/(\pi^i X_0 + 1), 1/X_1] \longrightarrow A[Y_0, Y_1, 1/(\pi^{i+1} Y_0 + 1), 1/Y_1]. \end{aligned}$$

(3) $\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} \times_S \mathcal{G}^{(\mu)}$ is the Néron blow-up of $\mathbf{G}_{a,k} \times \{1\}$ in $\mathcal{G}_n \equiv \mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} \times_S \mathbf{G}_{m,S}$. The canonical homomorphism $\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_n$ is defined by

$$X_0 \longmapsto Y_0, X_1 \longmapsto \pi Y_1 + 1:$$

$$A[X_0, X_1, 1/(\lambda X_0 + 1), 1/X_1] \longrightarrow A[Y_0, Y_1, 1/(\lambda Y_0 + 1), 1/(\pi Y_1 + 1)].$$

Now we pass to the second step.

Let \mathcal{E}_j denote the S -group $\mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \pi^j; F_{j-1})}$. Note that $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} \times_S \mathcal{G}^{(\mu)}$. By Lemma 2.7.1, for j with $1 \leq j \leq m-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j(X, Y) &:= [F_{j-1}(X)F_{j-1}(Y) - F_{j-1}(\lambda XY + X + Y)]/\pi^j \pmod{\mathfrak{m}} \\ &= G_j(X+Y)/\pi^j - G_j(X)/\pi^j - G_j(Y)/\pi^j \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, the closed fiber $(\mathcal{E}_j)_k$ is isomorphic to $(G_{a,k})^2$. Let Γ_j be the closed k -subgroup of $(\mathcal{E}_j)_k$ defined by the ideal $(X_1 - G_j(X_0)/\pi^j)$ in

$$k[X_0, X_1] = A[X_0, X_1, 1/(\lambda X_0 + 1), 1/(\pi^j X_1 + F_{j-1}(X_0))] \otimes_A k.$$

Then Γ_j is isomorphic to $G_{a,k}$, and \mathcal{E}_{j+1} is the Néron blow-up of Γ_j in \mathcal{E}_j . The canonical homomorphism $\mathcal{E}_{j+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_j$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 \longmapsto Y_0, X_1 \longmapsto \pi Y_1 + G_j(Y_0)/\pi^j: \\ A[X_0, X_1, 1/(\lambda X_0 + 1), 1/(\pi^j X_1 + F_{j-1}(X_0))] \longrightarrow \\ A[Y_0, Y_1, 1/(\lambda Y_0 + 1), 1/(\pi^{j+1} Y_1 + F_j(Y_0))]. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up the above argument, we conclude that the S -homomorphism $\alpha^{(\lambda, \mu; F)}: \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)} \rightarrow (G_{m,S})^2$ is obtained by the sequence of Néron blow-ups

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)} = \mathcal{E}_m \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{m-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_n \\ = \mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} \times_S G_{m,S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 \longrightarrow (G_{m,S})^2. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2.9. We can see that

$$\mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)} \cong \mathcal{E}_m \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{m-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} \times_S G_{m,S}$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} \times_S G_{m,S} = \mathcal{G}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{n-1} \times_S G_{m,S} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 \times_S G_{m,S} \longrightarrow (G_{m,S})^2$$

are standard blow-up sequences in the sense of [9], p. 552, Remark 1. However,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)} = \mathcal{E}_m \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{m-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_n \\ = \mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} \times_S G_{m,S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 \longrightarrow (G_{m,S})^2 \end{aligned}$$

is not so.

REMARK 2.10. One may note that

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(\pi^{j+1})} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \pi^{j+1}; F_j)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} \longrightarrow 0$$

is the Néron blow-up of the exact sequence of k -groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \{0\} \longrightarrow G_j \longrightarrow G_a \longrightarrow 0$$

in

$$0 \longrightarrow G^{(\pi^j)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu^j; F_{j-1})} \longrightarrow G^{(\lambda)} \longrightarrow 0$$

(cf. Theorem 1.9).

3. $\text{Ext}_S^1(G^{(\lambda)}, G^{(\mu)})$

In this section, we suppose that the residue field k is of characteristic $p > 0$. We fix $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{m} - \{0\}$. Put $m = v(\mu)$.

LEMMA 3.1. (Comparison lemma) *Let $F(X) = 1 + c_1X + c_2X^2 + \dots + c_nX^n$ be a polynomial in $A[X]$ with $c_i \in \mathfrak{m}$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

(a) $F(X)$ satisfies the condition $(\#_m)$.

(b) $c_{pr-1}c_{j-pr-1} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{pr-1} \binom{j-p^{r-1}+i}{j-2p^{r-1}+2i} \binom{j-2p^{r-1}+2i}{i} c_{j-pr-1+i} \lambda^{p^{r-1}-i} \pmod{m^m}$

if $j = p^r > 1$, and

$$c_{pr}c_{j-pr} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{pr} \binom{j-p^r+i}{j-2p^r+2i} \binom{j-2p^r+2i}{i} c_{j-pr+i} \lambda^{p^r-i} \pmod{m^m}$$

if $\text{ord}_p j = r$ and $j \neq p^r$.

PROOF. (a) \Rightarrow (b): It is enough to remark that

$$\begin{aligned} & F(X)F(Y) - F(\lambda XY + X + Y) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ l \geq 1}} \left\{ c_k c_{k+l} - \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k+l+i}{l+2i} \binom{l+2i}{i} c_{k+l+i} \lambda^{k-i} \right\} (XY)^k (X^l + Y^l) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k \geq 0} \left\{ c_k^2 - \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k+i}{2i} \binom{2i}{i} c_{k+i} \lambda^{k-i} \right\} (XY)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Here we understand that $c_f = 0$ if $f > n$ and $c_0 = 1$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a): Assume that $F(X)F(Y) \not\equiv F(\lambda XY + X + Y) \pmod{m^m}$. Take the greatest s such that $F(X)F(Y) \equiv F(\lambda XY + X + Y) \pmod{m^s}$. Choose k, l such that

$$c_k c_{k+l} - \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k+l+i}{l+2i} \binom{l+2i}{i} c_{k+l+i} \lambda^{k-i} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m^{s+1}}.$$

Put

$$j = 2k + l$$

and

$$g(X, Y) := [F(X)F(Y) - F(\lambda XY + X + Y)] / \pi^s \pmod{m}.$$

Let $g_j(X, Y)$ denote the homogeneous component of degree j of $g(X, Y)$. Then

- 1) $g_j(X, Y) = g_j(Y, X)$;
- 2) $g_j(X+Y, Z) + g_j(X, Y) = g_j(X, Y+Z) + g_j(Y, Z)$ (cf. 2.6).

By Lazard's comparison lemma ([2], lemma 3),

$$g_j(X, Y) = \begin{cases} c\{(X+Y)^j - X^j - Y^j\} & \text{if } j \text{ is not a power of } p. \\ \frac{c}{p}\{(X+Y)^j - X^j - Y^j\} & \text{if } j \text{ is a power of } p. \end{cases}$$

where c is a constant $\neq 0$. Hence the coefficient of $X^{p^{r-1}}Y^{j-p^{r-1}} + X^{j-p^{r-1}}Y^{p^{r-1}}$ (resp. $X^{p^r}Y^{j-p^r} + X^{j-p^r}Y^{p^r}$) does not vanish when $j = p^r > 1$ (resp. $\text{ord}_p j = r$ and $j \neq p^r$); that is to say,

$$c_{p^{r-1}}c_{j-p^{r-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{p^{r-1}} \binom{j-p^{r-1}+i}{j-2p^{r-1}+2i} \binom{j-2p^{r-1}+2i}{i} c_{j-p^{r-1}+i} \lambda^{p^{r-1}-i} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m^{s+1}}$$

when $j = p^r > 1$, and

$$c_{p^r}c_{j-p^r} \sum_{i=0}^{p^r} \binom{j-p^r+i}{j-2p^r+2i} \binom{j-2p^r+2i}{i} c_{j-p^r+i} \lambda^{p^r-i} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m^{s+1}}$$

when $\text{ord}_p j = r$ and $j \neq p^r$. Note that $s+1 \leq m$.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l \in m$. We define the polynomial $F(\lambda, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l; X) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^l c_i X^i$ in $A[X]$ by

$$c_i = a_0, c_p = a_1, \dots, c_{p^l} = a_l$$

and

$$c_j = \frac{1}{\binom{j}{p^r}} \left\{ c_{p^r}c_{j-p^r} \sum_{i=0}^{p^r-1} \binom{j-p^r+i}{j-2p^r+2i} \binom{j-2p^r+2i}{i} c_{j-p^r+i} \lambda^{p^r-i} \right\}$$

if $\text{ord}_p j = r$ and $j \neq p^r$.

EXAMPLE 3.3. (1) $p=2$.

$$F(\lambda, a_0, a_1; X) = 1 + a_0X + a_1X^2 + a_1(a_0 - 2\lambda)X^3/3.$$

(2) $p=3$.

$$\begin{aligned} F(\lambda, a_0, a_1; X) &= 1 + a_0X + a_0(a_0 - \lambda)X^2/2 + a_1X^3 \\ &+ a_1(a_0 - 3\lambda)X^4/4 + a_1(a_0 - 3\lambda)(a_0 - 4\lambda)X^5/20 \\ &+ a_1 \left\{ (a_1 - \lambda^3) - \frac{3}{2}(a_0 - 2\lambda)(a_0 - 3\lambda)\lambda \right\} X^6/20 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ a_1 \left\{ (a_1 - \lambda^3) - \frac{3}{2} (a_0 - 2\lambda)(a_0 - 3\lambda)\lambda \right\} (a_0 - 6\lambda) X^7 / 140 \\
 &+ a_1 \left\{ (a_1 - \lambda^3) - \frac{3}{2} (a_0 - 2\lambda)(a_0 - 3\lambda)\lambda \right\} (a_0 - 6\lambda)(a_0 - 7\lambda) X^8 / 1120.
 \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 3.4. The coefficient c_i of X^i in $F(\lambda, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l; X)$ can be seen as a polynomial in $\lambda, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_r$ ($r = [\log_p i]$) with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.

By the definition, we obtain immediately the following assertion.

COROLLARY 3.5. Let $F(X) = F(\lambda, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l; X) = 1 + \sum_{i \geq 1} c_i X^i$. The following conditions are equivalent.

(a) $F(X)$ satisfies the condition $(\#_m)$.

(b) $c_{p^r} c_{p^{r+1} - p^r} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p^r} \binom{p^{r+1} - p^r + i}{p^{r+1} - 2p^r + 2i} \binom{p^{r+1} - 2p^r + 2i}{i} c_{p^{r+1} - p^r + i} \lambda^{p^r - i} \pmod{m^m}$
for each $r \geq 0$.

EXAMPLE 3.6. (1) $p=2$. $F(\lambda, a_0, a_1; X)$ satisfies the condition $(\#_m)$ if and only if $a_0(a_0 - \lambda) \equiv 2a_1$ and $a_1(a_1 - \lambda^2) - 2a_1(a_0 - 2\lambda)\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{m^m}$.

(2) $p=3$. $F(\lambda, a_0, a_1; X)$ satisfies the condition $(\#_m)$ if and only if $a_0(a_0 - \lambda)(a_0 - 2\lambda) \equiv 6a_1$ and $a_1\{(a_1 - \lambda^3) - (3/2)(a_0 - 2\lambda)(a_0 - 3\lambda)\lambda\}(a_0 - 20\lambda^3) - 3a_1\{(a_1 - \lambda^3) - (3/2)(a_0 - 2\lambda)(a_0 - 3\lambda)\lambda\}(a_0 - 6\lambda)(a_0 - 2\lambda)\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{m^m}$.

REMARK 3.7. In [5], $\phi(a, \lambda; X)$ denotes the polynomial

$$1 + aX + \frac{a(a - \lambda)}{2} X^2 + \dots + \frac{a(a - \lambda) \cdots (a - (p - 2)\lambda)}{(p - 1)!} X^{p - 1}.$$

(Here we employ a slightly different notation.) We see readily that $F(\lambda, a; X) = \phi(a, \lambda; X)$ and that $F(\lambda, a; X) = \phi(a, \lambda; X)$ satisfies the condition $(\#_m)$ if and only if $a(a - \lambda) \cdots (a - (p - 1)\lambda) \equiv 0 \pmod{m^m}$. (cf. [5], 3.7 and 3.9)

The following assertions also can be seen without difficulty.

COROLLARY 3.8. Suppose that $F(X) = F(\lambda, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l; X)$ satisfies the condition $(\#_m)$.

(1) The closed fiber of $\mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)}$ is the extension of $G_{a, k}$ by $G_{a, k}$, defined by the 2-cocycle $\sum_{j \geq 1} \xi_j \frac{X^{p^j} + Y^{p^j} - (X + Y)^{p^j}}{p}$, where

$$\xi_j = -\frac{1}{\mu} \left\{ c_{pj-1} c_{pj-pj-1} - \sum_{i=0}^{pj-1} \binom{pj-p^{j-1}+i}{pj-2p^{j-1}+2i} \binom{pj-2p^{j-1}+2i}{i} c_{pj-pj-1+i} \lambda^{pj-1-i} \right\} \text{ mod. } \mathfrak{m}.$$

(2) If the closed fiber of $\mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{G}_{a, k})^2$, $F(X)$ satisfies the condition $(\#_{m+1})$.

LEMMA 3.9. If $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_l \in \mathfrak{m}^s$, then

$$F(\lambda, a_0+b_0, a_1+b_1, \dots, a_l+b_l; X) \equiv F(\lambda, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l; X) + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq l} b_i X^{p^i} \text{ mod. } \mathfrak{m}^{s+1}.$$

Proof. Let

$$F(\lambda, a_0+b_0, a_1+b_1, \dots, a_l+b_l; X) = 1 + \sum_{i \geq 1} \tilde{c}_i X^i$$

and

$$F(\lambda, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l; X) = 1 + \sum_{i \geq 1} c_i X^i.$$

We first note that, by the definition,

$$\tilde{c}_j = a_r + b_r = c_j + b_r$$

if $j = p^r \geq 1$.

Now let j be an integer > 0 , which is not a power of p . We show that $\tilde{c}_j \equiv c_j \text{ mod. } \mathfrak{m}^{s+1}$, assuming that $\tilde{c}_i \equiv c_i \text{ mod. } \mathfrak{m}^{s+1}$ if $i < j$ and i is not a power of p . Put $r = \text{ord}_p j$. Then, by the definition,

$$\tilde{c}_j = \frac{1}{\binom{j}{p^r}} \left\{ \tilde{c}_{p^r} \tilde{c}_{j-p^r} - \sum_{i=0}^{p^r-1} \binom{j-p^r+i}{j-2p^r+2i} \binom{j-2p^r+2i}{i} \tilde{c}_{j-p^r+i} \lambda^{p^r-i} \right\}$$

and

$$c_j = \frac{1}{\binom{j}{p^r}} \left\{ c_{p^r} c_{j-p^r} - \sum_{i=0}^{p^r-1} \binom{j-p^r+i}{j-2p^r+2i} \binom{j-2p^r+2i}{i} c_{j-p^r+i} \lambda^{p^r-i} \right\}.$$

Obviously, $j-p^r+i$ ($1 \leq i \leq p^r-1$) are not powers of p .

Case 1: $j-p^r$ is a power of p . Put $j-p^r = p^\nu$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}_j &= \frac{1}{\binom{p^\nu+p^r}{p^r}} \left\{ \tilde{c}_{p^r} \tilde{c}_{p^\nu} - \sum_{i=0}^{p^r-1} \binom{p^\nu+i}{p^\nu-p^r+2i} \binom{p^\nu-p^r+2i}{i} \tilde{c}_{p^\nu+i} \lambda^{p^r-i} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{p^\nu+p^r}{p^r}} \left\{ (c_{p^r} + b_r)(c_{p^\nu} + b_\nu) - \binom{p^\nu}{p^\nu-p^r} (c_{p^\nu} + b_\nu) \lambda^{p^r} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{i=1}^{p^r-1} \binom{p^\nu+i}{p^\nu-p^r+2i} \binom{p^\nu-p^r+2i}{i} \tilde{c}_{p^\nu+i} \lambda^{p^r-i} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\binom{p^\nu + p^r}{p^r}} \left\{ b_r b_\nu + (c_{p^r} - \binom{p^\nu}{p^\nu - p^r} \lambda^{p^r}) b_\nu + c_{p^\nu + p^r} b_r + c_{p^r} c_{p^\nu + p^r} \right. \\ \left. - \binom{p^\nu}{p^\nu - p^r} c_{p^\nu} \lambda^{p^r} - \sum_{i=1}^{p^r-1} \binom{p^\nu + i}{p^\nu - p^r + 2i} \binom{p^\nu - p^r + 2i}{i} \tilde{c}_{p^\nu + i} \lambda^{p^r - i} \right\}.$$

By the hypothesis of induction, $\tilde{c}_{p^\nu + i} \equiv c_{p^\nu + i} \pmod{m^{s+1}}$ for each i ($1 \leq i \leq p^r - 1$). Moreover, $b_r, b_{\nu+r} \in m^s$ and $c_{p^\nu}, c_{p^\nu + p^r}, \lambda \in m$. Hence we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\binom{p^\nu + p^r}{p^r}} \left\{ b_r b_\nu + (c_{p^r} - \binom{p^\nu}{p^\nu - p^r} \lambda^{p^r}) b_\nu + c_{p^\nu + p^r} b_r + c_{p^r} c_{p^\nu + p^r} \right. \\ \left. - \binom{p^\nu}{p^\nu - p^r} c_{p^\nu} \lambda^{p^r} - \sum_{i=1}^{p^r-1} \binom{p^\nu + i}{p^\nu - p^r + 2i} \binom{p^\nu - p^r + 2i}{i} \tilde{c}_{p^\nu + i} \lambda^{p^r - i} \right\} \\ \equiv \frac{1}{\binom{p^\nu + p^r}{p^r}} \left\{ c_{p^r} c_{p^\nu + p^r} - \binom{p^\nu}{p^\nu - p^r} c_{p^\nu} \lambda^{p^r} \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{i=1}^{p^r-1} \binom{p^\nu + i}{p^\nu - p^r + 2i} \binom{p^\nu - p^r + 2i}{i} c_{p^\nu + i} \lambda^{p^r - i} \right\} \pmod{m^{s+1}},$$

and therefore $\tilde{c}_j \equiv c_j \pmod{m^{s+1}}$.

Case 2: $j - p^r$ is not a power of p .

$$\tilde{c}_j = \frac{1}{\binom{j}{p^r}} \left\{ \tilde{c}_{p^r} \tilde{c}_{j-p^r} - \sum_{i=0}^{p^r-1} \binom{j-p^r+i}{j-2p^r+2i} \binom{j-2p^r+2i}{i} \tilde{c}_{j-p^r+i} \lambda^{p^r-i} \right\} \\ = \frac{1}{\binom{j}{p^r}} \left\{ c_{p^r} \tilde{c}_{j-p^r} + b_r \tilde{c}_{j-p^r} - \sum_{i=0}^{p^r-1} \binom{j-p^r+i}{j-2p^r+2i} \binom{j-2p^r+2i}{i} \tilde{c}_{j-p^r+i} \lambda^{p^r-i} \right\}.$$

By the hypothesis of induction, $\tilde{c}_{j-p^r+i} \equiv c_{j-p^r+i} \pmod{m^{s+1}}$ for each i ($0 \leq i \leq p^r - 1$). Moreover, $b_r \in m^s$ and $c_{p^r}, \tilde{c}_{j-p^r}, \lambda \in m$. Hence we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\binom{j}{p^r}} \left\{ c_{p^r} \tilde{c}_{j-p^r} + b_r \tilde{c}_{j-p^r} - \sum_{i=0}^{p^r-1} \binom{j-p^r+i}{j-2p^r+2i} \binom{j-2p^r+2i}{i} \tilde{c}_{j-p^r+i} \lambda^{p^r-i} \right\} \\ \equiv \frac{1}{\binom{j}{p^r}} \left\{ c_{p^r} c_{j-p^r} - \sum_{i=0}^{p^r-1} \binom{j-p^r+i}{j-2p^r+2i} \binom{j-2p^r+2i}{i} c_{j-p^r+i} \lambda^{p^r-i} \right\} \pmod{m^{s+1}},$$

and therefore $\tilde{c}_j \equiv c_j \pmod{m^{s+1}}$.

THEOREM 3.10. *Let $F(X)$ be a polynomial in $A[X]$, satisfying the condition (# $_m$). Then there exist $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l \in m$ such that $F(X) \equiv F(\lambda, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l; X)$*

mod. m^m .

PROOF. We prove the theorem by induction on m .

Note first that $F(X) \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Assume that there exist $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l \in m$ such that $F(X) \equiv F(\lambda, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l; X) \pmod{m^s}$. (We take l so that $\deg F(\lambda, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l; X) \geq \deg F(X)$.) Put

$$\tilde{F}(X) = F(\lambda, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l; X)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{s-1}(X) &= \sum_{v(c_j) \leq s-1} c_j X^j, & G_s(X) &= \sum_{v(c_j) = s} c_j X^j, \\ \tilde{F}_{s-1}(X) &= \sum_{v(\tilde{c}_j) \leq s-1} \tilde{c}_j X^j, & \tilde{G}_s(X) &= \sum_{v(\tilde{c}_j) = s} \tilde{c}_j X^j, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F(X) = \sum_{j \geq 0} c_j X^j, \quad \tilde{F}(X) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \tilde{c}_j X^j.$$

Then $F_{s-1}(X) \equiv \tilde{F}_{s-1}(X) \pmod{m^s}$ and $F_{s-1}(X), \tilde{F}_{s-1}(X)$ satisfy $(\#_s)$.

Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \pi^s; F_{s-1})}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \pi^s; \tilde{F}_{s-1})}$. We define an S-isomorphism $\beta: \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 \longmapsto X_0, Y_1 \longmapsto X_1 + \frac{1}{\pi^s} [F(X_0) - \tilde{F}(X_0)]: \\ A[Y_0, Y_1, 1/(\lambda Y_0 + 1), 1/(\pi^s Y_1 + \tilde{F}_{s-1}(Y_0))] \longrightarrow \\ A[X_0, X_1, 1/(\lambda X_0 + 1), 1/(\pi^s X_1 + F_{s-1}(X_0))]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the closed fibers $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k \cong \mathcal{E}_k$ are isomorphic to $(G_{\alpha, k})^2$, $\tilde{F}(X)$ satisfies $(\#_{s+1})$ (cf. Corollary 3.8), and therefore

$$\tilde{F}_{s-1}(X)\tilde{F}_{s-1}(Y) - \tilde{F}_{s-1}(\lambda XY + X + Y) \equiv \tilde{G}_s(X+Y) - \tilde{G}_s(X) - \tilde{G}_s(Y) \pmod{m^{s+1}}$$

(cf. Lemma 2.7.1.). We define now k -isomorphisms $\alpha: \mathcal{E}_k \xrightarrow{\sim} (G_{\alpha, k})^2$ and $\tilde{\alpha}: \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k \xrightarrow{\sim} (G_{\alpha, k})^2$ by

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 \longmapsto X_0, T_1 \longmapsto (X_1 - G_s(X_0)/\pi^s): \\ k[T_0, T_1] \longrightarrow k[X_0, X_1] = A[X_0, X_1, 1/(\lambda X_0 + 1), 1/(\pi^s X_1 + F_{s-1}(X_0))] \otimes_A k \end{aligned}$$

and by

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 \longmapsto Y_0, T_1 \longmapsto (Y_1 - \tilde{G}_s(Y_0)/\pi^s): \\ k[T_0, T_1] \longrightarrow k[Y_0, Y_1] = A[Y_0, Y_1, 1/(\lambda Y_0 + 1), 1/(\pi^s Y_1 + \tilde{F}_{s-1}(Y_0))] \otimes_A k, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Then $\tilde{\alpha} \circ \beta_k \circ \alpha^{-1}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 \longmapsto T_0, T_1 \longmapsto T_1 + [G_s(T_0) - \tilde{G}_s(T_0) + F_{s-1}(T_0) - \tilde{F}_{s-1}(T_0)]/\pi^s \\ = T_1 + [F(T_0) - \tilde{F}(T_0)]/\pi^s. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T_0 \rightarrow [F(T_0) - \tilde{F}(T_0)]/\pi^s \text{ mod. } \mathfrak{m}$ defines a k -endomorphism of $G_{a,k}$, and therefore, there exist $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_l \in \mathfrak{m}^s$ such that

$$F(X) - \tilde{F}(X) \equiv \sum_{0 \leq i \leq l} b_i X^{p^i} \text{ mod. } \mathfrak{m}^{s+1}.$$

By Lemma 3.9, we obtain

$$F(X) \equiv \tilde{F}(X) + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq l} b_i X^{p^i} \equiv F(\lambda, a_0 + b_0, a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_l + b_l; X) \text{ mod. } \mathfrak{m}^{s+1},$$

and we are done.

3.11. Let $\mathfrak{M}_{(\lambda, \mu)}$ be the subset of $(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^m)^{(N)}$ formed by the elements (a_0, a_1, \dots) such that

$$a_r c_{p^{r+1}-p^r}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p^r} \binom{p^{r+1}-p^r+i}{p^{r+1}-2p^r+2i} \binom{p^{r+1}-2p^r+2i}{i} c_{p^{r+1}-p^r+i}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) \lambda^{p^r-i} \text{ mod. } \mathfrak{m}^m$$

for each $r \geq 0$. Here $c_j(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$ is the polynomial defined by the coefficient of X^j in the expansion of $F(\lambda, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l; X)$ (cf. Def. 3.2).

We define a law of multiplication on $\mathfrak{M}_{(\lambda, \mu)}$ by

$$(a_0, \dots, a_r, \dots)(b_0, \dots, b_r, \dots) = (a_0 + b_0, \dots, a_r + b_r + \sum_{i=1}^{p^r-1} c_i(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) c_{p^r-i}(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{r-1}), \dots).$$

Then $\mathfrak{M}_{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of the multiplicative group $(A/\mathfrak{M}^m[X])^\times$, formed by the polynomials $F(X)$ such that $F(X)F(Y) = F(\lambda XY + X + Y)$.

Moreover, let $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{(\lambda, \mu)}$ denote the quotient of $\mathfrak{M}_{(\lambda, \mu)}$ by the subgroup generated by $(\lambda, 0, 0, \dots)$. By [5], Cor. 3.6, $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is isomorphic to $\text{Ext}_k^1(\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)}, \mathcal{G}^{(\mu)})$.

4. Examples

In this section, we suppose that the residue field k is of characteristic $p > 0$.

EXAMPLE 4.1. Suppose that $\mu \nmid p$ and $v(\mu) = m$. Let j be an integer > 1 , which is not a power of p . Put $r = \text{ord}_p j$. The relation

$$c_j = \frac{1}{\binom{j}{p^r}} \left\{ c_{p^r} c_{j-p^r} - \sum_{i=0}^{p^r-1} \binom{j-p^r+i}{j-2p^r+2i} \binom{j-2p^r+2i}{i} c_{j-p^r+i} \lambda^{p^r-i} \right\}$$

implies

$$c_j \equiv \frac{1}{\binom{j}{p^r}} \left\{ c_{pr} c_{j-pr} - \binom{j}{p^r}^{-1} c_{j-pr} \lambda^{p^r} \right\} \pmod{m^m}.$$

(Note that $\binom{k p^r}{p^r} \equiv k \pmod{p}$ and $\binom{k p^r + i}{p^r - i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $i, 1 \leq i \leq p^r - 1$.) Hence we obtain

$$c_k \equiv \prod_{r=0}^l \frac{a_r (a_r - \lambda^{p^r}) \cdots (a_r - (n_r - 1) \lambda^{p^r})}{n_r!} \pmod{m^m},$$

where $k = \sum_{r=0}^l n_r p^r$ is the p -adic expansion of k , and therefore

$$F(\lambda, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l; X) \equiv \phi(a_0, \lambda; X) \phi(a_1, \lambda^p; X^p) \cdots \phi(a_l, \lambda^{p^l}; X^{p^l}) \pmod{m^m}.$$

Moreover, the congruence relation

$$c_{pr} c_{pr+1-pr} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p^r} \binom{p^{r+1}-p^r+i}{p^{r+1}-2p^r+2i} \binom{p^{r+1}-2p^r+2i}{i} c_{pr+1-pr+i} \lambda^{p^r-i} \pmod{m^m}$$

reads

$$c_{pr} c_{pr+1-pr} \equiv (p-1) c_{pr+1-pr} \lambda^{p^r} \pmod{m^m}.$$

Hence we have

$$a_r (a_r - \lambda^{p^r}) (a_r - 2\lambda^{p^r}) \cdots (a_r - (p-1)\lambda^{p^r}) / (p-1)! \equiv 0 \pmod{m^m}$$

and therefore

$$a_r^p - \lambda^{p^r(p-1)} a_r \equiv 0 \pmod{m^m}.$$

It follows that $F(\lambda, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l; X)$ satisfies the condition $(\#_m)$ if and only if $a_r^p - \lambda^{p^r(p-1)} a_r \equiv 0 \pmod{m^m}$ for each $r \geq 0$.

The closed fiber of $\mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)}$ is the extension of $G_{a, k}$ by $G_{a, k}$, defined by the 2-cocycle $\sum_{j \geq 1} \xi_j \frac{X^{p^j} + Y^{p^j} - (X+Y)^{p^j}}{p}$, where $\xi_j = \frac{1}{\mu} \{ a_{j-1}^p - \lambda^{p^{j-1}(p-1)} a_{j-1} \} \pmod{m}$.

Thus we recover [5], Cor. 3.8 and Th. 4.4, under the assumption that $\mu | p$.

EXAMPLE 4.2. Suppose that $\mu | \lambda$ and $v(\mu) = m$. Let j be an integer > 1 , which is not a power of p . Put $r = \text{ord}_p j$. The relation

$$c_j = \frac{1}{\binom{j}{p^r}} \left\{ c_{pr} c_{j-pr} - \sum_{i=0}^{p^r-1} \binom{j-p^r+i}{j-2p^r+2i} \binom{j-2p^r+2i}{i} c_{j-pr+i} \lambda^{p^r-i} \right\}$$

implies

$$c_j \equiv \frac{1}{\binom{j}{p^r}} c_{pr} c_{j-pr} \pmod{m^m}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$c_k \equiv \prod_{r=0}^l \frac{(p^r! \cdot a_r)^{n_r}}{k!} \pmod{m^m}.$$

where $k = \sum_{r=0}^l n_r p^r$ is the p -adic expansion of k .

Moreover, the congruence relation

$$c_{pr} c_{p^{r+1}-pr} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p^r} \binom{p^{\tau+1}-p^r+i}{p^{\tau+1}-2p^r+2i} \binom{p^{\tau+1}-2p^r+2i}{i} c_{p^{r+1}-p^r+i} \lambda^{p^r-i} \pmod{m^m}$$

reads

$$c_{pr} c_{p^{r+1}-pr} \equiv \binom{p^{\tau+1}}{p^r} c_{p^{r+1}} \pmod{m^m},$$

and therefore

$$a_r^p / \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \binom{p^{\tau+1}-ip^r}{p^r} \equiv \binom{p^{\tau+1}}{p^r} a_{r+1} \pmod{m^m}.$$

Hence $F(\lambda, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l; X)$ satisfies the condition $(\#_m)$ if and only if

$$a_r^p \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \binom{p^{\tau+1}-ip^r}{p^r} a_{r+1} \pmod{m^m}, \text{ i. e. } a_r^p \equiv \frac{p^{\tau+1}!}{(p^r!)^r} a_{r+1} \pmod{m^m}$$

for each $r \geq 0$.

The closed fiber of $\mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)}$ is the extension of $G_{a, k}$ by $G_{a, k}$, defined by the 2-cocycle $\sum_{j \geq 1} \xi_j \frac{X^{p^j} + Y^{p^j} - (X+Y)^{p^j}}{p}$, where

$$\xi_j = -\frac{1}{\mu} \left\{ a_{j-1}^p / \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \binom{p^{\tau+1}-ip^r}{p^r} - \binom{p^{\tau+1}}{p^r} a_j \right\} \pmod{m}.$$

COROLLARY 4.2.1. *The canonical map $\text{Ext}_S^1(\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)}, \mathcal{G}^{(\mu)}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_k^1(G_{a, k}, G_{a, k})$ is surjective if $pv(p) < (p-1)m$.*

PROOF. It is sufficient to remark that $\text{Ext}_k^1(G_{a, k}, G_{a, k})$ is generated by the 2-cocycles $\sum_{j \geq 1} \eta_j \frac{X^{p^j} + Y^{p^j} - (X+Y)^{p^j}}{p}$, $\eta_j \in k$ (see [6], Ch. VII, 2.7). (Compare with [5], example 3.4)

EXAMPLE 4.3. Suppose that $\mu | p$ and $\mu | \lambda$. Then

$$\phi(a_r, \lambda^{p^r}; X) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (a_r X^{p^r})^i / i! \pmod{m^m},$$

and therefore

$$F(\lambda, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l; X) \equiv \prod_{r=0}^l \sum_{i=0}^{p^r-1} (a_r X^{p^r})^i / i! \pmod{m^m}.$$

Moreover, $F(\lambda, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l; X)$ satisfies the condition $(\#_m)$ if and only if $a_r^p \equiv 0 \pmod{m^m}$ for each $r \geq 0$. Hence $c_{pr-i}(a_0, \dots, a_{r-1})c_i(b_0, \dots, b_{r-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{m^m}$ for each $r \geq 1$ and each i with $1 \leq i \leq p^{r-1}$ if $(a_0, a_1, \dots), (b_0, b_1, \dots) \in \mathfrak{M}_{(\lambda, \mu)}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{M}_{(\lambda, \mu)} = \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is isomorphic to the additive group $(m^s/m^m)^{(N)}$, where

$$s = \begin{cases} [m/p] + 1 & \text{if } (p, m) = 1 \\ m/p & \text{if } p \mid m. \end{cases}$$

The closed fiber of $\mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)}$ is the extension of $G_{a, k}$ by $G_{a, k}$, defined by the 2-cocycle $\sum_{j \geq 1} \xi_j \frac{X^{pj} + Y^{pj} - (X+Y)^{pj}}{p}$, where $\xi_j = \frac{1}{\mu} a_{j-1}^p \pmod{m}$.

COROLLARY 4.3.1. (1) Assume that p does not divide m . Then the canonical map $\text{Ext}_S^1(\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)}, \mathcal{G}^{(\mu)}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_k^1(G_{a, k}, G_{a, k})$ is zero.

(2) Assume that p divides m . Then the canonical map $\text{Ext}_S^1(\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)}, \mathcal{G}^{(\mu)}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_k^1(G_{a, k}, G_{a, k})$ is surjective if the residue field k is perfect.

PROOF. We have only to note that the equation $X^p \equiv \mu a \pmod{m^m}$ has a solution in A for any $a \in A$ if k is perfect. (cf. [5], 4.5.)

We have computed the group of extensions $\text{Ext}_S^1(\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)}, \mathcal{G}^{(\mu)})$ of smooth affine 1-dimensional S-groups $\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{(\mu)}$. We conclude this article by noting that a smooth affine 2-dimensional S-group is not necessarily obtained by an extension of smooth 1-dimensional S-groups, even though its generic fiber and its special fibre are extensions of smooth 1-dimensional groups each.

4.4. Suppose that $k \neq F_p$. Let π be a uniformizing parameter of A , and let m be an integer > 2 . Put $\lambda = \pi^{m-1}$ and $\mu = \pi^m$. We choose an element $a \in A$ such that the image of a in k is not contained in $F_p(\subset k)$. Then the polynomial $F(X) = 1 + a\lambda X$ satisfies the condition $(\#_m)$ (cf. Remark 3.7). Let G denote the smooth affine S-group $\mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)}$:

$$G = \text{Spec } A[X_0, X_1, 1/(\lambda X_0 + 1), 1/(\mu X_1 + F(X_0))].$$

By our assumption on m , the closed fiber G_k is isomorphic to $(G_{a, k})^2$. More precisely, the comultiplication of $k[G] = k[X_0, X_1] = A[X_0, X_1, 1/(\lambda X_0 + 1), 1/(\mu X_1 + F(X_0))] \otimes_A k$ is defined by

$$X_0 \longmapsto X_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_0, \quad X_1 \longmapsto X_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_1.$$

Let H be the closed k -subgroup of $G_k = (G_{a, k})^2$ defined by the ideal $(X_1^p - X_0)$ in

$k[G]=k[X_0, X_1]$, and let $\beta: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ be the Néron blow-up of H in G . Since G is smooth over S and $H \cong G_{a,k}$ is smooth over k , \tilde{G} is smooth over S ([9], Th. 1.7).

Under these notations, we get the following assertion.

4.4.1. *Any flat 1-dimensional closed S -subgroup of \tilde{G} is not smooth.*

PROOF. For integers r, s , we define an injective S -homomorphism

$$\varphi_{r,s}: G_{m,s} \longrightarrow (G_{m,s})^2$$

by

$$U \longmapsto T^r, V \longmapsto T^s: A[U, U^{-1}, V, V^{-1}] \longrightarrow A[T, T^{-1}].$$

By the general theory of algebraic tori, we know that any closed K -subgroup of dimension 1 of $(G_{m,K})^2$ is the form of $\varphi_{r,s}(G_{m,K})$, where r, s are integers with $(r, s)=1$ or $(r, s)=(0, 1), (1, 0)$. We identify the generic fiber \tilde{G}_K (resp. G_K) to $(G_{m,K})^2$ via the isomorphism $\beta_K \circ \alpha_K^{(\lambda, \mu; F)}: \tilde{G}_K \xrightarrow{\sim} (G_{m,K})^2$ (resp. $\alpha_K^{(\lambda, \mu; F)}: G_K \xrightarrow{\sim} (G_{m,K})^2$). Let $\tilde{G}_{r,s}$ (resp. $G_{r,s}$) denote the flat closure of $\varphi_{r,s}(G_{m,K})$ in \tilde{G} (resp. G). We show that the closed fiber $(\tilde{G}_{r,s})_k$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{a}_p \times G_{a,k}$, which implies our assertion together with Prop. 1.6.

Note first that the subgroup $\varphi_{r,s}(G_{m,K})$ of $G_K=(G_{m,K})^2$ is defined by the ideal $(U^s - V^r) = ((\lambda X_0 + 1)^s - (\mu X_1 + F(X_0))^r)$ in $K[U, U^{-1}, V, V^{-1}] = K[X_0, X_1, 1/(\lambda X_0 + 1), 1/(\mu X_1 + F(X_0))]$. By our assumption that $v(\mu) = m$ and $v(\lambda) = m - 1$,

$$(\lambda X_0 + 1)^s - (\mu X_1 + F(X_0))^r \equiv (s\lambda X_0 + 1) - (ra\lambda X_0 + 1) \equiv (s - ra)\lambda X_0 \pmod{m^m}.$$

By the choice of $a, s - ra$ is invertible in A . Hence $G_{r,s}$ is defined by the ideal $(\{(\lambda X_0 + 1)^s - (\mu X_1 + F(X_0))^r\} / \lambda)$ in $A[G] = A[X_0, X_1, 1/(\lambda X_0 + 1), 1/(\mu X_1 + F(X_0))]$.

Now we define an S -homomorphism $\phi_{r,s}: \mathcal{G}^{(\mu)} \rightarrow G = \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda, \mu; F)}$ by

$$X_0 \longmapsto \{(\mu X + 1)^r - 1\} / \lambda, X_1 \longmapsto \{(\mu X + 1)^s - a(\lambda X + 1)^r + a - 1\} / \mu:$$

$$A[X_0, X_1, 1/(\lambda X_0 + 1), 1/(\mu X_1 + F(X_0))] \longrightarrow A[X, 1/(\mu X + 1)].$$

Then $\phi_{r,s}: \mathcal{G}^{(\mu)} \rightarrow G$ factors through $\mathcal{G}^{(\mu)} \rightarrow G_{r,s} \rightarrow G$, and we can see that $\mathcal{G}^{(\mu)} \rightarrow G_{r,s}$ is an isomorphism. Hence we obtain a commutative diagram of S -groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{r,s} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{r,s}} & G \\ \downarrow \alpha^{(\mu)} & & \downarrow \alpha^{(\lambda, \mu; F)} \\ G_{m,s} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{r,s}} & (G_{m,s})^2 \end{array} .$$

Since \tilde{G} is the Néron blow-up of H in G , $\tilde{G}_{r,s}$ is the Néron blow-up of $(G_{r,s})_k \cap H$ in $G_{r,s}$ (cf. Cor. 1.9). As is shown above,

$$\{(\lambda X_0 + 1)^s - (\mu X_1 + F(X_0))^r\} / \lambda \equiv (s - ra)X_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}.$$

Hence $(G_{r,s})_k$ is defined by the ideal $((s-ra)X_0)$ in $k[G] = k[X_0, X_1]$, and therefore, $(G_{r,s})_k \cap H$ is defined by the ideal $((s-ra)X_0, X_1^p - X_0)$ in $k[G] = k[X_0, X_1]$. Hence $(G_{r,s})_k \cap H$ is defined by the ideal (X^p) in $k[G_{r,s}] = k[X]$. Then $A[\tilde{G}_{r,s}] = A[X, 1/(\mu X + 1), Y]/(\pi Y - X^p)$, and therefore $k[\tilde{G}_{r,s}] = k[X, Y]/(X^p)$.

REMARK 4.4.2. The exact sequence of S-groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{G}_{0,1} \longrightarrow \tilde{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} \longrightarrow 0$$

is the Néron blow-up of the exact sequence of k -groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}_p \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_{a,k} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{G}_{a,k} \longrightarrow 0$$

in

$$0 \longrightarrow G_{0,1} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(\lambda)} \longrightarrow 0$$

(cf. Theorem 1.9).

References

- [1] Anantharaman, S., Schéma en groupes, espaces homogènes et espaces algébriques sur une base de dimension 1, Bull. Soc. Math. France, Mémoire 33 (1973).
- [2] Lazard, M., Sur les groupes de Lie formels à un paramètre, Bull. Soc. Math. France 83 (1955), 251-274.
- [3] Sekiguchi, T., Oort, F. and Suwa, N., On the deformation of Artin-Schreier to Kummer. Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 22(4): 345-375, 1989.
- [4] Sekiguchi, T. and Oort, F., On the deformations of Witt groups to tori, In: Algebraic and Topological Theories (to the memory of Dr. T. Miyata), Kinokuniya (1985), 283-298.
- [5] Sekiguchi, T., On the deformation of Witt groups to tori II, Chuo Univ. Preprint series No. 1 (1988). J. of Alg. (forthcoming).
- [6] Serre, J.-P., Groupes algébriques et corps de classes, Hermann, 1959.
- [7] Waterhouse, W., Introduction to affine group schemes, Graduate Texts in Math. 66, Springer, 1979.
- [8] Waterhouse, W., Unified Kummer-Artin-Schreier sequence, Math. Ann. 277 (1987), 447-451.
- [9] Waterhouse, W. and Weisfeiler, B., One-dimensional affine group schemes, J. of Alg. 66 (1980), 550-568.
- [10] Weisfeiler, B., On a case of extensions of group schemes, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 248 (1979), 171-189.
- [11] Grothendieck, A., Le groupe de Brauer III, Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas, North-Holland (1960), 46-188.
- [EGA] Grothendieck, A. and Dieudonné, J., Eléments de géométrie algébrique, Chapitre IV, Publ. Math. IHES, 20, 24, 28, 32 (1964-1967).

Tsutomu SEKIGUCHI

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science and Engineering

Chuo University

Kasuga 1-13-27, Bunkyo-ku

Tokyo 112, Japan

Noriyuki SUWA

Department of Mathematics

Tokyo Denki University

Kanda-nishiki-cho 2-2, Chiyoda-ku

Tokyo 101, Japan