# DTr-INVARINT MODULES 

By<br>Mitsuo Hoshino

Throughout this paper, we shall work over a fixed basic artin algebra $\Lambda$ and deal only with finitely generated right modules. Let $X$ be an indecomposable module. We say that $X$ is $D T r$-invariant if $D T r X \cong X$. In [7], with some other conditions, the author has shown that $A$ is a local Nakayama algebra if there is a $D T r$-invariant module. The aim of this paper is to generalize this result.

Recall that an indecomposable module $X$ is said to be $D T r$-periodic if, more generally, $D \operatorname{Tr}^{n} X \cong X$ for some positive integer $n$. In Riedtmann [8], Todorov [10] and Happel-Preiser-Ringel [6], they have completely determined the Cartan class of a component of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver contaning a $D T r$ periodic module (see [6] for detales). In [6], they have also shown that a component of the Auslander-Reiten quiver containing a $D T r$-periodic module is a quasi-serial component (in the sence of [9]) if it contains neither projective nor injective modules. It seems, however, that there has not been given any characterization of a component of the (not stable) Auslander-Reiten quiver contaning a $D T r$-periodic module. In this paper, we shall investigate the case in which there is a $D T r$-invariant module and prove

Theorem 1. Suppose there is a DTr-invariant module A. Then either $\Lambda$ is a local Nakayama algebra or the component of the Auslander-Reiten quiver containing $A$ is a quasi-serial component (in the sence of [9]) consisting of only DTr-invariant modules.

Let $X$ be a $D T r$-invariant module and $Y$ an indecomposable summand of the middle term of the Auslander-Reiten sequence ending in $X$. Then there are irreducible maps both from $X$ to $Y$ and from $Y$ to $X$. The converse holds.

Theorem 2. Let $X, Y$ be indecomposable modules. Suppose there are irreducible maps both from $X$ to $Y$ and from $Y$ to $X$. Then either $X$ or $Y$ is DTrinvariant. Thus either $\Lambda$ is a local Nakayama algebra or the component of the Auslander-Reiten quiver containing $X, Y$ is a quasi-serial component (in the sence

[^0]of [9]) consisting of only DTr-invariant modules.
Recently, the author learned that the similar result of Theorem 2 was obtained by K. Bautista and S.O. Smalø [4].

It is well known that there is a quasi-serial component consisting of only $D T r$-invariant modules if $\Lambda$ is an hereditary algebra of tame representation type (see [5]).

The proof of Theorems 1,2 will be performed by calculating composition lengths, and in that of Theorem 1 the work of Auslander [1, Theorem 6.5] will play an impotant roll (see also [10, Proposition 2.3]).

For an indecomposable module $X$, let $F(X)=\operatorname{End}(X) / \operatorname{Rad}(X, X)$, this is a division ring, and for two indecomposable modules $X, Y$, let $N(X, Y)=\operatorname{Rad}(X, Y) /$ $\operatorname{Rad}^{2}(X, Y)$, this is an $F(Y)-F(X)$-bimodule called the bimodule of irreducible maps (see [8], [10] for details). The Auslander-Reiten quiver has as vertices the isomorphism classes of the indecomposable modules, and there is an arrow $[X] \rightarrow[Y]$ if $N(X, Y) \neq 0$, which is endowed with the valuation ( $d_{X Y}, d_{X Y}^{\prime}$ ) such that $d_{X Y}=\operatorname{dim}_{F(Y)} N(X, Y)$ and $d_{X Y}^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} N(X, Y)_{F(X)}$. Two indecomposable modules $X, Y$ belong, by definition, to the same component if there is a sequence $X=X_{0}, X_{1}, \cdots, X_{r}=Y$ of indecomposable modules such that either $N\left(X_{i-1}, X_{i}\right) \neq 0$ or $N\left(X_{i}, X_{i-1}\right) \neq 0$ for all $i$.

We refer to [2], [3] for $D \operatorname{Tr}$, Auslander-Reiten sequences and so on, and shall freely use results of [2], [3].

In what follows, we denote by $\tau$ (resp. $\tau^{-1}$ ) $D \operatorname{Tr}$ (resp. $\operatorname{Tr} D$ ) and by $|X|$ the composition length of a module $X$.

## 1. Proof of Theorem 1.

Let $A$ be a $\tau$-invariant module and $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} B_{i}^{a_{i} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0}$ be the AuslanderReiten sequence, where $B_{i}$ 's are non-isomorphic indecomposable modules and $a_{i}=\operatorname{dim}_{F\left(B_{i}\right)} N\left(A, B_{i}\right)$ for all $i$. By induction, it is sufficient to show that the possible cases are the following:
(1) Some $B_{i}$ is projective-injective. We get $\operatorname{rad} B_{i} \cong A \cong B_{i} / \operatorname{soc} B_{i}$, thus $\operatorname{top}\left(\operatorname{rad} B_{i}\right) \cong \operatorname{top} B_{i}$, this means that $\Lambda$ is a local Nakayama algebra.
(2) We have $r=1, a_{1}=1$, and $B_{1}$ is $\tau$-invariant.
(3) We have $r=2, a_{1}=a_{2}=1$, and each $B_{i}$ is $\tau$-invariant.

We have to exclude the other cases. Note that $\tau B_{i} \cong B_{j}, a_{i}=a_{j}$ for some $j$ if $B_{i}$ is not projective, and that $\tau^{-1} B_{i} \cong B_{k}, a_{i}=a_{k}$ for some $k$ if $B_{i}$ is not injective.
(a) Consider, first, the case in which some $B_{i}$ is not $\tau$-periodic. Then $\tau^{n} B_{i}$ is projective for some non-negative integer $n$, and $\tau^{m} B_{i}$ is injective for some non-positive integer $m$. Since $2|A|=\sum_{j=1}^{r} a_{j}\left|B_{j}\right|$, we conclude that $n=m=0$ and $B_{i}$ is projective-injective.
(b) Next, assume that all $B_{i}$ 's are $\tau$-periodic. Let $0 \rightarrow \tau B_{i} \rightarrow A^{a_{i}^{\prime}} \oplus C_{i} \rightarrow B_{i} \rightarrow 0$ be the Auslander-Reiten sequence for each $i$, where $a_{i}^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} N\left(A, B_{i}\right)_{F(A)}$. We get

$$
a_{i}^{\prime}|A|+\left|C_{i}\right|=\left|\tau B_{i}\right|+\left|B_{i}\right|
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} a_{i}^{\prime}\right)|A|+\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i}\left|C_{i}\right| & =\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i}\left|\tau B_{i}\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i}\left|B_{i}\right| \\
& =2|A|+2|A| \\
& =4|A| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we conclude that $\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} a_{i}^{\prime} \leqq 4$.
(c) Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} a_{i}^{\prime}=4$. Then $C_{i}=0$ for all $i$. Hence we get a finite component $\left\{A, B_{1}, \cdots, B_{r}\right\}$ consisting of only $\tau$-periodic modules, a contradiction (cf. [1, Theorem 6.5]).
(d) Suppose $r=1, a_{1} a_{1}^{\prime}=3$. By (b) we get $a_{1}\left|C_{1}\right|=|A|$, and clearly $B_{1}$ is $\tau$-invariant. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left|B_{1}\right| & =a_{1}^{\prime}|A|+\left|C_{1}\right| \\
& =a_{1} a_{1}^{\prime}\left|C_{1}\right|+\left|C_{1}\right| \\
& =4\left|C_{1}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $C_{1}$ does not have a projective-injective summand, therefore by (b), (c) we get a contradiction.
(e) Suppose $r=2, a_{1} a_{1}^{\prime}+a_{2} a_{2}^{\prime}=3$. We may assume $a_{1} a_{1}^{\prime}=2, a_{2} a_{2}^{\prime}=1$. Clearly, each $B_{i}$ is $\tau$-invariant.

We prepare a lemma.
Lemma 1. Let $X$ be an indecomposable module such that $\tau^{2} X \cong X$. Let $0 \rightarrow \tau X \rightarrow Y \oplus Z \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ be the Auslander-Reiten sequence with $Y$ indecomposable. Suppose $\tau^{2} Y \cong Y, \quad|X|<|Y|,|\tau X|<|Y|,|X|<|\tau Y|$ and $|\tau X|<|\tau Y|$. Then either $Z=0$ or $Z$ is indecomposable with $\tau^{2} Z \cong Z$.

Proof. We may assume $Z \neq 0$. Let $Z=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} Z_{i}^{d_{i}}$, where $Z_{i}$ 's are non-isomorphic
indecomposable modules and $d_{i}=\operatorname{dim}_{F\left(Z_{i}\right)} N\left(\tau X, Z_{i}\right)$ for all $i$. Let $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow \tau Y \oplus W$ $\rightarrow \tau X \rightarrow 0$ be the Auslander-Reiten sequence. Since $|Z|<|X|,|Z|<|\tau X|,|W|$ $<|X|$ and $|W|<|\tau X|$, both $Z$ and $W$ have neither projective nor injective summands. Hence $\tau Z \cong W$ and $\tau^{-1} Z \cong W$. Let $d_{i}^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} N\left(\tau X, Z_{i)_{F(\tau X)}}\right.$ for each $i$. Using the Auslander-Reiten sequences ending in and starting from $Z_{i}$, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{i}^{\prime}|\tau X| \leqq\left|Z_{i}\right|+\left|\tau Z_{i}\right|, \\
d_{i}^{\prime}|X| \leqq\left|Z_{i}\right|+\left|\tau^{-1} Z_{i}\right|,
\end{gathered}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i} d_{i}^{\prime}\right)(|\tau X|+|X|) & \leqq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i}\left|Z_{i}\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i}\left|\tau Z_{i}\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{s} d^{2}\left|\tau^{-1} Z_{i}\right| \\
& =2|Z|+|W|+|W| \\
& <2(|\tau X|+|X|) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we conclude that $\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i} d_{i}^{\prime}=1$. This finishes the proof.
(e') Suppose $a_{1}=2$. Since $2\left|C_{1}\right|+\left|C_{2}\right|=|A|,\left|C_{i}\right|<|A|$ for all $i$. Suppose $|A|<\left|B_{i}\right|$ for some $i$, then we get $|A|<\left|B_{i}\right|<\left|C_{i}\right|$, a contradiction. Hence $\left|B_{i}\right|<|A|$, thus $\left|C_{i}\right|<\left|B_{i}\right|<|A|$ for all $i$. Suppose $C_{i} \neq 0$. By Lemma $1, C_{i}$ is indecomposable, and clearly $\tau$-invariant. Let $0 \rightarrow C_{i} \rightarrow B_{i} \oplus D_{i} \rightarrow C_{i} \rightarrow 0$ be the Auslander-Reiten sequence. If $D_{i} \neq 0$, then again by Lemma $1, D_{i}$ is indecomposable and $\tau$-invariant with $\left|D_{i}\right|<\left|C_{i}\right|$. Continuing these procedures, we get a finite component $\left\{A, B_{1}, B_{2}, C_{1}, C_{2}, D_{1}, D_{2}, \cdots\right\}$ consisting of only $\tau$-invariant modules, a contradiction (cf. [1, Theorem 6.5]).
( $\mathrm{e}^{\prime \prime}$ ) Suppose $a_{1}^{\prime}=2$. We get $\left|C_{1}\right|<\left|B_{1}\right|$, hence $C_{1}$ does not have a pro-jective-injective summand. Therefore by (b), (c) and ( $e^{\prime}$ ) we get a contradiction.
(f) Suppose $r=1, a_{1} a_{1}^{\prime}=2$. Clearly, $B_{1}$ is $\tau$-invariant.
( $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ ) If $a_{1}=2$, then we get $|A|=\left|B_{1}\right|$, a contradiction.
( $\mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}$ ) If $a_{1}^{\prime}=2$, then we get $\left|A^{a_{1}^{0}}\right|=\left|B_{1}\right|$, a contradiction.
(g) Suppose $r=3, a_{i} a_{i}^{\prime}=1$ for all $i$. Put $\sigma i=j$ if $\tau B_{i} \cong B_{j}$. Then $\sigma$ is a permutation of the set $\{1,2,3\}$. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left|B_{i}\right|=2|A|$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left|C_{i}\right|=|A|$.
( $g^{\prime}$ ) Suppose $\sigma$ is cyclic. Suppose $|A|<\left|B_{i}\right|$ for some $i$. We get $\left|B_{\sigma i}\right|$ $+\left|B_{\sigma 2 i}\right|<|A|$. On the other hand, using the Auslander-Reiten sequence ending in $B_{\sigma i}$, we get $|A| \leqq\left|B_{\sigma 2_{i}}\right|+\left|B_{\sigma i}\right|$, a contradiction. Hence $\left|B_{i}\right|<|A|$, thus $\left|C_{i}\right|<\left|B_{\sigma i}\right|$ for all $i$. Suppose $C_{i}=0$ for some $i$. We get $|A|=\left|B_{i} \oplus B_{\sigma i}\right|$, a contradiction. Hence $C_{i} \neq 0$ for all $i$. Clearly, each $C_{i}$ does not have a projective summand. Let $X$ be an indecomposable summand of $C_{1}$. Using the Auslander-

Reiten sequences ending in $X, \tau X$ and $\tau^{2} X$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
2|A| & =\left|B_{\sigma_{1}}\right|+\left|B_{\sigma 2_{1}}\right|+\left|B_{1}\right| \\
& \leqq(|X|+|\tau X|)+\left(|\tau X|+\left|\tau^{2} X\right|\right)+\left(\left|\tau^{2} X\right|+\left|\tau^{3} X\right|\right) \\
& \leqq 2\left(\left|C_{1}\right|+\left|C_{\sigma_{1}}\right|+\left|C_{\sigma_{1}}\right|\right) \\
& =2|A| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore each $C_{i}$ is indecomposable and the Auslander-Reiten sequence ending in $C_{i}$ is of the form $0 \rightarrow C_{\sigma i} \rightarrow B_{\sigma i} \rightarrow C_{i} \rightarrow 0$. Hence we get a finite component $\left\{A, B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}, C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}\right\}$ consisting of only $\tau$-periodic modules, a contradiction.
( $g^{\prime \prime}$ ) Suppose $\sigma$ is not cyclic. Suppose $|A|<\left|B_{i}\right|$ for some $i$. We get $\left|B_{\sigma i}\right|<\left|C_{i}\right| \leqq|A|<\left|B_{i}\right|$, thus $C_{i} \neq 0$ and $C_{i}$ does not have an injective summand. Let $X$ be an indecomposable summand of $C_{i}$. Using the Auslander-Reiten sequence starting from $X$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A| & <\left|B_{i}\right| \\
& \leqq|X|+\left|\tau^{-1} X\right| \\
& \leqq\left|C_{i}\right|+\left|C_{\sigma^{-1 i}}\right| \\
& \leqq|A|,
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction. Hence $\left|B_{i}\right|<|A|$ for all $i$. By Lemma 1 , each $C_{i}$ is either zero or indecomposable with $\left|C_{i}\right|<\left|B_{i}\right|$. Therefore, as in (e'), we get a finite component $\left\{A, B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}, C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, \cdots\right\}$ consisting of only $\tau$-periodic modules, a contradiction.
(h) Suppose $r=2, a_{1} a_{1}^{\prime}=a_{2} a_{2}^{\prime}=1$ and $\tau B_{1} \cong B_{2}$. Note that $\tau^{2} B_{i} \cong B_{i}$ and $\left|C_{i}\right|=|A|$ for all $i$. We claim that each $C_{i}$ is indecomposable.

Lemma 2. Let $X$ be an indecomposable module such that $\tau^{2} X \cong X$. Let $0 \rightarrow \tau X \rightarrow Y \oplus Z \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ be the Auslander-Reiten sequence with $Y$ indecomposable. Suppose $\tau^{2} Y \cong Y,|\tau Y|=|Y|$ and $|X|+|\tau X|=2|Y|$. Then $Z$ is indecomposable with $\tau^{2} Z \cong Z$.

Proof. We may assume $Z \not \nexists Y$. First, assume $|\tau X|<|Y|<|X|$. Let $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow \tau Y \oplus W \rightarrow \tau X \rightarrow 0$ be the Auslander-Reiten sequence. Since $|Z|=|W|<|X|$, $Z$ does not have an injective summand and $W$ does not have a projective summand. Hence $W \cong \tau^{-1} Z$. Let $Z=\oplus_{i=1}^{s} Z_{i}^{d i}$, where $Z_{i}$ 's are non-isomorphic indecomposable modules and $d_{i}=\operatorname{dim}_{F\left(Z_{i}\right)} N\left(\tau X, Z_{i}\right)$ for all $i$. Let $d_{1}^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} N\left(\tau X, Z_{i}\right)_{P(\tau X)}$ for each $i$. Using the Auslander-Reiten sequence starting from $Z_{i}$, we get

$$
d_{i}^{\prime}|X| \leqq\left|Z_{i}\right|+\left|\tau^{-1} Z_{i}\right|,
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i} d_{i}^{\prime}\right)|X| & \leqq \sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i}\left|Z_{i}\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i}\left|\tau^{-1} Z_{i}\right| \\
& =|Z|+|W| \\
& <2|X| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i} d_{i}^{\prime}=1$, thus $Z$ is indecomposable. Suppose $Z$ is projective. Let $0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X \oplus E \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$ be the Auslander-Reiten sequence. Since $|E|=|\tau X|<|Z|$, $E$ does not have a projective summand. Let $F$ be an indecomposable summand of $E$. Using the Auslander-Reiten sequence ending in $F$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
|Z| & \leqq|F|+|\tau F| \\
& \leqq|E|+|\tau F| \\
& =|\tau X|+|\tau F| .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, since $\tau X \oplus \tau F$ is a summand of $\operatorname{rad} Z$, we get $|\tau X|+|\tau F|$ $<|Z|$, a contradiction. Therefore $\tau Z \cong W$, thus $\tau^{2} Z \cong Z$. Exchainging $W$ for $Z$, the above arguments imply the case in which $|X|<|Y|<|\tau X|$. This finishes the proof.

By Lemma 2, each $C_{i}$ is indecomposable. Clearly, $\tau C_{1} \cong C_{2}$ and $\tau C_{2} \cong C_{1}$. Let $0 \rightarrow \tau C_{i} \rightarrow \tau B_{i} \oplus D_{i} \rightarrow C_{i} \rightarrow 0$ be the Auslander-Reiten sequence for each $i$. Clearly, $\left|D_{i}\right|=\left|B_{i}\right|$ for all $i$. We claim that each $D_{i}$ is indecomposable with $\tau^{2} D_{i} \cong D_{i}$.

Lemma 3. Let $X$ be an indecomposable module such that $\tau^{2} X \cong X$ and $|\tau X|=$ $|X|$. Let $0 \rightarrow \tau X \rightarrow Y \oplus Z \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ be the Auslander-Reiten sequence with $Y$ indecomposable. Suppose $\tau^{2} Y \cong Y,|Y|+|\tau Y|=2|X|$. Let $Z=\oplus_{i=1}^{s} Z_{i}^{d_{i}}$, where $Z_{i}$ 's are non-isomorphic indecomposable modules and $d_{i}=\operatorname{dim}_{F\left(Z_{i}\right)} N\left(\tau X, Z_{i}\right)$ for all $i$. Let $d_{i}^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} N\left(\tau X, Z_{i}\right)_{F(\tau X)}$ for each $i$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i} d_{i}^{\prime} \leqq 2$ :
(1) If $\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i} d_{i}^{\prime}=1$, then $Z$ is indecomposable with $\tau^{2} Z \cong Z$.
(2) If $\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i} d_{i}^{\prime}=2$, then each $Z_{i}$ is neither projective nor injective and the Auslander-Reiten sequences ending in and starting from $Z_{i}$ are of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow \tau Z_{i} \longrightarrow \tau X^{a_{i}^{\prime}} \longrightarrow Z_{i} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \longrightarrow Z_{i} \longrightarrow X^{a_{i}^{\prime}} \longrightarrow \tau^{-1} Z_{i} \longrightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively.
Proof. First, assume $|\tau Y|<|X|<|Y|$. Let $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow \tau Y \oplus W \rightarrow \tau X \rightarrow 0$ be the Auslander-Reiten sequence. Since $|Z|<|X|=|\tau X|$, each $Z_{i}$ is neither projective
nor injective. Using the Auslander-Reiten sequence starting from $Z_{i}$, we get

$$
d_{i}^{\prime}|X| \leqq\left|Z_{i}\right|+\left|\tau^{-1} Z_{i}\right|,
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i} d_{i}^{\prime}\right)|X| & \leqq \sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i}\left|Z_{i}\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i}\left|\tau^{-1} Z_{i}\right| \\
& \leqq|Z|+|W| \\
& =2|X|
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i} d_{i}^{\prime} \leqq 2$. Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i} d_{i}^{\prime}=2$. Then $\tau^{-1} Z \cong W$, thus $W$ does not have a projective summand and the Auslander-Reiten sequence starting from $Z_{i}$ is of the form

$$
0 \longrightarrow Z_{i} \longrightarrow X^{d_{i}^{\prime}} \longrightarrow \tau^{-1} Z_{i} \longrightarrow 0
$$

for all $i$. Using the Auslander-Reiten sequences ending in $Z_{i}$ 's, we conclude also that if $\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i} d_{i}^{\prime}=2$, then $\tau Z \cong W$, thus $W$ does not have an injective summand and the Auslander-Reiten sequence ending in $Z_{i}$ is of the form

$$
0 \longrightarrow \tau Z_{i} \longrightarrow \tau X^{d_{i}^{\prime}} \longrightarrow Z_{i} \longrightarrow 0
$$

for all $i$. Assume $\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i} d_{i}^{\prime}=1$. Clearly, $Z$ is indecomposable. Suppose $\tau^{2} Z \neq Z$. Then $\tau Z$ is projective and $\tau^{-1} Z$ is injective, thus we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
2|X| & =|X|+|\tau X| \\
& <|\tau Z|+\left|\tau^{-1} Z\right| \\
& \leqq|W| \\
& <2|X|,
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction. Hence $\tau^{2} Z \cong Z$. Suppose $\tau Z \neq W$ and let $W \cong \tau Z \oplus W^{\prime}$. Then $W^{\prime}$ is projective-injective, thus we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
|Z|+|\tau Z| & =|\tau Y|+|\tau Z| \\
& <|\tau X| .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, using the Auslander-Reiten sequence ending in $Z$, we get $|\tau X| \leqq|Z|+|\tau Z|$, a contradiction. Hence $\tau Z \cong W$. Exchainging $W$ for $Z$, the above arguments imply the case in which $|Y|<|X|<|\tau Y|$. This finishes the proof.

Let $D_{1}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} E_{j}^{d j}$, where $E_{j}$ 's are non-isomorphic indecomposable modules and $d_{j}=\operatorname{dim}_{F\left(E_{j}\right)} N\left(C_{2}, E_{j}\right)$ for all $j$. Let $d_{j}^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} N\left(C_{2}, E_{j}\right)_{F\left(C_{2}\right)}$ for each $j$. Suppose $\sum_{j=1}^{s} d_{j} d_{j}^{\prime} \neq 1$. Then by Lemma $3(2)$, we get a finite component $\left\{A, B_{1}, B_{2}, C_{1}\right.$,
$\left.C_{2}, E_{1}, \cdots, E_{s}, \tau E_{1}, \cdots, \tau E_{s}\right\}$ consisting of only $\tau$-periodic modules, a contradiction. Therefore, by Lemma $3(1), D_{1}$ is indecomposable with $\tau^{2} D_{1} \cong D_{1}$. Note that $D_{2} \cong \tau D_{1}$, since, by Lemma $3, D_{2}$ does not have an injective summand. Thus $D_{2}$ is also indecomposable with $\tau^{2} D_{2} \cong D_{2}$. Therefore, by induction, we get a bounded length component $\left\{A, B_{1}, B_{2}, C_{1}, C_{2}, D_{1}, D_{2}, \cdots\right\}$ consisting of only $\tau$ periodic modules, a contradiction.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 2.

Let $X, Y$ be inecomposable modules such that $N(X, Y) \neq 0$ and $N(Y, X) \neq 0$. We claim that either $X$ or $Y$ is $\tau$-invariant. Note that $N(\tau X, \tau Y) \neq 0$ and $N(\tau Y, \tau X) \neq 0$ if neither $X$ nor $Y$ is projective, and that $N\left(\tau^{-1} X, \tau^{-1} Y\right) \neq 0$ and $N\left(\tau^{-1} Y, \tau^{-1} X\right) \neq 0$ if neither $X$ nor $Y$ is injective. Therefore, it is sufficient to consider the following three cases:
(1) Either $X$ or $Y$ is projective.
(2) Either $X$ or $Y$ is injective.
(3) Both $X$ and $Y$ are stable. (Recall that an indecomposable module $X$ is said to be stable if for any integer $n, \tau^{n} X$ is neither projective nor injective).

Case 1. We may assume $X$ is projective. Then $Y$ is a summand of $\operatorname{rad} X$, thus $|Y|<|X|$. Hence $Y$ is not projective. Using the Auslander-Reiten sequence ending in $Y$, we get $|X| \leqq|\tau Y|+|Y|$. Suppose $Y$ is not $\tau$-invariant. Then $\tau Y \oplus Y$ is a summand of $\operatorname{rad} X$, thus $|\tau Y|+|Y|<|X|$, a contradiction. Therefore $Y$ is $\tau$-invariant.

CASE 2. By the dual arguments, we conclude that either $X$ or $Y$ is $\tau$ invariant.

CASE 3. Suppose neither $X$ nor $Y$ is $\tau$-invariant. For any integer $n$, using the Auslander-Reiten sequence ending in $\tau^{n} X$, we get $\left|\tau^{n+1} Y\right|+\left|\tau^{n} Y\right| \leqq\left|\tau^{n+1} X\right|$ $+\left|\tau^{n} X\right|$, hence, by symmetry, $\left|\tau^{n+1} Y\right|+\left|\tau^{n} Y\right|=\left|\tau^{n+1} X\right|+\left|\tau^{n} X\right|$. Therefore, for any integer $n$ the Auslander-Reiten sequences ending in $\tau^{n} X, \tau^{n} Y$ are of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow \tau^{n+1} X \longrightarrow \tau^{n+1} Y \oplus \tau^{n} Y \longrightarrow \tau^{n} X \longrightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \longrightarrow \tau^{n+1} Y \longrightarrow \tau^{n+1} X \oplus \tau^{n} X \longrightarrow \tau^{n} Y \longrightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. We may assume $X$ is of minimal length in the component $\left\{\tau^{n} X, \tau^{m} Y \mid n, m \in Z\right\}$. Let $f: \tau Y \rightarrow X$ be an irreducible map. Extending $f$ to the minimal right almost split map ending in $X$, we get the commutative diagram

where $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime}$ are irreducible maps. Next, extending $f^{\prime}$ to the minimal right almost split map ending in $Y$, we get the commutative diagram

where $\alpha^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}$ and $g$ are irreducible maps. Hence, putting $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime}$ and $\beta=\beta^{\prime} \beta^{\prime \prime}$, we get the commutative diagram

where $\alpha \in \operatorname{rad} \operatorname{End}(X), \beta \in \operatorname{rad} \operatorname{End}(\tau Y)$ and $g$ is an irreducible map. Clearly, the above arguments hold for any irreducible maps from $\tau Y$ to $X$. Therefore, by induction, we conclude that for any positive integer $n$, there is an irreducible map $f_{n}: \tau Y \rightarrow X$ such that the following diagram commutes

where $\alpha_{n} \in(\operatorname{rad} \operatorname{End}(X))^{n}$ and $\beta_{n} \in(\operatorname{rad} \operatorname{End}(\tau Y))^{n}$, this contradicts the fact that $\operatorname{rad} \operatorname{End}(X)$ and $\operatorname{rad} \operatorname{End}(\tau Y)$ are nilpotent.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.
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