

NON EXISTENCE OF GLOBAL SOLUTIONS OF PARABOLIC EQUATION IN CONICAL DOMAINS

By

Toshihiko HAMADA

1. Introduction.

Let D be an unbounded domain in \mathbf{R}^N and $T > 0$. In this paper we study the initial-boundary value problem

$$(P) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t &= \Delta u + |x|^{\sigma} u^p && \text{in } D \times (0, T), \\ u(x, t) &= 0 && \text{on } \partial D \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) && \text{in } D, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma \geq 0$, $p > 1$, $u_0 \geq 0$, $\langle x \rangle^{\sigma/(p-1)} u_0$ ($\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$) is continuous and bounded in \bar{D} and $u_0 = 0$ on ∂D .

When $D = \mathbf{R}^N$ and $\sigma = 0$, Fujita [1] and Weissler [2] proved that if $1 < p \leq 1 + 2/N$, there is no nontrivial nonnegative global solution of (P).

When D is a cone with vertex at the origin, that is $D = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{0\}; x/|x| \in \Omega\}$, where $\Omega \subset S^{N-1}$ is an open connected subset with smooth boundary, Levine and Meier, [3] and [4], proved that if $1 < p < 1 + (2 + \sigma)/(N + \gamma_+)$ and $\sigma \geq 0$, or $p = 1 + 2/(N + \gamma_+)$ and $\sigma = 0$, there is no nontrivial nonnegative global solution of (P), where γ_+ is the positive root of $\gamma(\gamma + N - 2) = \omega_1$, and ω_1 is the smallest Dirichlet eigenvalue for the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Ω .

In this paper we shall prove that there is no nontrivial global solution of (P) if $\sigma > 0$ and $p = 1 + (2 + \sigma)/(N + \gamma_+)$ are valid. Moreover we can prove that when $D = \mathbf{R}^N$ there is no nontrivial global solution if $1 + \sigma/(N - 2) \leq p \leq 1 + (2 + \sigma)/N$ and $\sigma > 0$.

DEFINITION 1.1. For $T > 0$, $u = u(x, t)$ is called a solution of (P) in $(0, T)$, if

- (A) u is continuous in $\bar{D} \times [0, T)$,
- (B) u_t , u_{x_i} and $u_{x_i x_j}$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, N$) are continuous in $D \times (0, T)$,
- (C) $\|u(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)}$ is finite for each $t \in [0, T)$,
- (D) u satisfies (P),

where $\|u(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} := \sup_D \langle x \rangle^{\sigma/(p-1)} |u(x, t)|$.

Received February 18, 1993. Revised June 7, 1992.

Similarly, \underline{u} is called a subsolution of (P) in $(0, T)$, if \underline{u} satisfies (A), (B), (C) and

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{u}_t &\leq \Delta \underline{u} + |x|^\sigma \underline{u}^p && \text{in } D \times (0, T), \\ \underline{u}(x, t) &= 0 && \text{on } \partial D \times (0, T), \\ \underline{u}(x, 0) &= u_0(x) && \text{in } D. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 1.2. $\bar{T} := \sup\{T > 0; \|u(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} \text{ is finite for } 0 \leq t < T\}$ is called the nontrivial existence time of u . If $\bar{T} = +\infty$, then u is called a global solution of (P).

REMARK. If $0 < T < \infty$ and $\|u(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)}$ is finite on $(0, T)$, the solution u can be extended beyond T (see Theorem 1.1).

We begin with stating the local existence theorem for (P).

THEOREM 1.1. *Let D be a cone in \mathbf{R}^N . Then for any nonnegative function u_0 in $C^0(\bar{D})$ satisfying $\|u_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} < \infty$ and $u_0 = 0$ on ∂D there is a solution $u(x, t)$ of (P) in $(0, t_0)$ such that $\|u(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)}$ is finite in $(0, t_0)$ where $t_0 > 0$ depends only on σ, p, N and $\|u_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)}$.*

The main two theorem in this paper are the following.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let D be a cone in \mathbf{R}^N , $N \geq 3$. If $u_0 \geq 0$ and $u_0 \neq 0$, $p = 1 + (2 + \sigma)/(N + \gamma_+)$ and $0 < \sigma \leq 2(N - 2)/(\gamma_+ + 2)$, there is no global solution.*

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $D = \mathbf{R}^N$, $N \geq 3$. If $u_0 \geq 0$ and $u_0 \neq 0$, $1 + \sigma/(N - 2) \leq p \leq 1 + (2 + \sigma)/N$ and $0 < \sigma \leq N - 2$, there is no global solution.*

To prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, we need the following estimate

$$v(x, t) < ((p-1)|x|^\sigma t)^{-1/(p-1)} \quad \text{for } 0 < t < T,$$

where $T > 0$ is the maximum existence time of the solution of (P) and $v(x, t)$ is the solution of the heat equation with the same initial and boundary condition as (P).

The above inequality is true provided $0 < \sigma/(p-1) \leq N-2$ (see Lemma 3.2).

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Throughout this paper we take advantage of the following proposition proved by Protter and Weinberger [5] (Theorem 10, p. p. 183-184).

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let D be an unbounded domain in \mathbf{R}^N . If u_i and $u_{x_i x_j}$ ($i, j=1, \dots, N$) are exist and continuous in $D \times (0, T)$ and $u=u(x, t)$ satisfies the following inequalities*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t &\leq \Delta u + hu && \text{in } D \times (0, T), \\ u(x, t) &\leq 0 && \text{on } \partial D \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) &\leq 0 && \text{in } D, \end{aligned}$$

where $h=h(x, t)$ is bounded in $D \times [0, T)$. If there exist $c > 0$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} e^{-c\tau^2} \cdot \{\max_{|x|=r, 0 \leq t \leq T} u(x, t)\} \leq 0$, then $u(x, t) \leq 0$ in $D \times (0, T)$.

REMARK. In the case $D=\mathbf{R}^N$, we can eliminate the boundary condition of u .

We introduce the Green's function $G(x, y; t)=G(r, \theta, \rho, \phi; t)$ ($r=|x|, \rho=|y|, \theta=x/|x| \in \Omega, \phi=y/|y| \in \Omega$), for the linear heat equation in the cone.

Let $\{\phi_n(\theta)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a normalized orthogonal system for Δ_{θ} on Ω corresponding to the sequence $\{\omega_n\}$ of Dirichlet eigenvalues for this problem, especially we take $\phi_1 > 0$ in Ω and $\int_{\Omega} \phi_1(\theta) dS_{\theta} = c_1$.

Here

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, y, t) &= G(r, \theta, \rho, \phi; t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2t} (r\rho)^{-(N-2)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2+r^2}{4t}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{\nu_n}\left(\frac{r\rho}{2t}\right) \phi_n(\theta) \phi_n(\phi), \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu_n = \{((N-2)/2)^2 + \omega_n\}^{1/2}$, and

$$I_{\nu}(z) = \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z/2)^{2k}}{k! \Gamma(\nu+k+1)} \sim \begin{cases} (z/2)^{\nu} / \Gamma(\nu+1) & z \rightarrow 0^+ \\ e^z / \sqrt{2\pi z} & z \rightarrow +\infty \end{cases}$$

(see Watson [6]).

LEMMA 2.2. *Let D be a cone in \mathbf{R}^N . Assume that v_0 is a bounded continuous function in D and vanishes on ∂D . Then there exist a unique solution of the heat equation*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} v_t &= \Delta v && \text{in } D \times (0, \infty), \\ v(x, t) &= 0 && \text{on } \partial D \times (0, \infty), \\ v(x, 0) &= v_0(x) && \text{in } D, \end{aligned}$$

in $C(\bar{D} \times [0, \infty)) \cap C^2(D \times (0, \infty))$, which has the form

$$(2.3) \quad v(x, t) = \int_D G(x, y; t) v_0(y) dy.$$

Especially, if $v_0(x) \geq 0$ in D , then $v(x, t) \geq 0$ in $D \times (0, \infty)$.

LEMMA 2.3. Let $v=v(x, t)$ be a solution of (2.2) and $\alpha := \max\{0, -(\sigma/2(p-1))(N-2-\sigma/(p-1))\}$. If $\|v\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} < \infty$, then for $0 < t < \infty$

$$\|v(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} \leq \|v_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} \exp(\alpha t).$$

REMARK. Moreover if we take $0 < t_0 \leq (\log 2)/\alpha$, then for $0 < t < t_0$

$$\|v(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} \leq 2\|v_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)}.$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.3. Let $w(x, t) := v(x, t) - \|v_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma/(p-1)} \exp(\alpha t)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w - w_t &= \left\{ \alpha |x|^4 + \left\{ 2\alpha + \frac{\sigma}{p-1} \left(N-2 - \frac{\sigma}{p-1} \right) \right\} |x|^2 + \frac{N\alpha}{p-1} + \alpha \right\} \\ &\quad \times \|v_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma/(p-1)-4} \exp(\alpha t) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Proposition 2.1, we get $w(x, t) \leq 0$ for $D \times [0, \infty)$. This shows Lemma 2.3.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Define $\alpha := \max\{0, -(\sigma/2(p-1))(N-2-\sigma/(p-1))\}$ and $t_0 := \min\{(\log 2)/\alpha, 4^p(\|u_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)})^{1-p}\}$.

First, we consider the following initial-boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t V_1 &= \Delta V_1 + |x|^\sigma V_0^p && \text{in } D \times (0, t_0), \\ \text{(P}_1\text{)} \quad V_1(x, t) &= 0 && \text{on } \partial D \times (0, t_0), \\ V_1(x, 0) &= u_0(x) && \text{in } D, \end{aligned}$$

where V_0 is a solution of (2.2) with the initial condition $v_0 = u_0$.

The solution of (P₁) is

$$V_1(x, t) = V_0(x, t) + \int_0^t \int_D G(x, y; t-\tau) |y|^\sigma V_0^p(y, \tau) dy d\tau$$

for $(x, t) \in D \times (0, t_0)$.

Since $V(x, t; \tau) := \int_D G(x, y; t-\tau) |y|^\sigma V_0^p(y, \tau) dy$ is a solution of (2.2) with the initial condition $v_0 = |x|^\sigma V_0^p(x, \tau)$ for arbitrarily fixed $\tau \in (0, t)$, so we have $\|V(t; \tau)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} \leq 2(2\|u_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)})^p$ for $0 < \tau < t_0$ by using the above remark.

The solution V_1 of (P₁) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\|V_1(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} &\leq \|V_0(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} + \int_0^t \|V(t; \tau)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} d\tau \\
&\leq 2\|u_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} + \int_0^t 2(2\|u_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)})^p d\tau \\
&\leq 4\|u_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} \quad \text{for } 0 < t < t_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we consider the following problem

$$\begin{aligned}
(P_{i+1}) \quad \partial_t V_{i+1}(x, t) &= \Delta V_{i+1}(x, t) + |x|^\sigma V_i^p(x, t) && \text{in } D \times (0, t_0), \\
V_{i+1}(x, t) &= 0 && \text{on } \partial D \times (0, t_0), \\
V_{i+1}(x, 0) &= u_0(x) && \text{in } D,
\end{aligned}$$

where $i=1, 2, \dots$.

Then

$$\|V_i(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} \leq 4\|u_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} \quad \text{for } 0 < t < t_0.$$

As can be seen from the argument to obtain the estimate of V_1 , the above inequality is true for V_{i+1} .

Moreover we can obtain

$$\|V_{i+1}(t) - V_i(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} \leq \int_0^t 2p(4\|u_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)})^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_i(\tau) d\tau,$$

where $\mathcal{M}_i(\tau) := \sup_{0 < s < \tau} \|V_i(s) - V_{i-1}(s)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)}$ ($i=1, 2, \dots$), because

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle x \rangle^{\sigma/(p-1)} \| |x|^\sigma V_i^p(x, \tau) - |x|^\sigma V_{i-1}^p(x, \tau) \| \\
&\leq 2p(4\|u_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)})^{p-1} \langle x \rangle^{\sigma/(p-1)} |V_i(x, \tau) - V_{i-1}(x, \tau)| \\
&\leq 2p(4\|u_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)})^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_i(\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\mathcal{M}_{i+1}(t) \leq \int_0^t 2p(4\|u_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)})^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_i(\tau) d\tau \quad i=1, 2, \dots$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}_1(t) \leq 2(2\|u_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)})^p t$.

Thus,

$$\mathcal{M}_i(t) \leq \frac{2^{2-p} \|u(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} (2p(2\|u_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)})^{p-1} t)^i}{i! p} \quad i=1, 2, \dots$$

We conclude that there is a solution u of (P) such that $\{V_i\}$ converges to u uniformly in $D \times (0, t_0)$. It is clear that u is the unique solution of (P).

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $T > 0$ be the existence time of u , and \underline{u} a subsolution in $(0, T_1)$ for some $T_1 > 0$. Then we have*

$$\underline{u}(x, t) \leq u(x, t) \quad \text{in } D \times [0, T_2),$$

where $T_2 = \min \{T_1, T\}$.

PROOF. Let

$$U(x, t) := \underline{u}(x, t) - u(x, t),$$

then $U_t \leq \Delta U + |x|^\sigma (\underline{u}^p - u^p)$.

By using the mean value theorem, there exist $0 < \zeta < 1$ such that

$$|x|^\sigma (\underline{u}^p - u^p) = h(x, t)(\underline{u} - u)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, t) &= p |x|^\sigma \{(1 - \zeta)\underline{u} + \zeta u\}^{p-1} \\ &\leq p \max \{\|\underline{u}(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)}, \|u(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)}\}^{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

Combining this and Proposition 2.1, we get

$$U(x, t) \leq 0 \quad \text{in } D \times [0, T_2).$$

LEMMA 3.2. *We assume $0 < \sigma/(p-1) \leq N-2$. Let \bar{T} be the maximal existence time of u , and v be the solution of the linear heat equation with the same initial-boundary condition as u .*

Then

$$v(x, t) < ((p-1)|x|^{\sigma t})^{-1/(p-1)} \quad \text{in } D \times (0, \bar{T}).$$

PROOF. If $\bar{T} \leq T_0 := (\|u_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)}^{p-1})/(p-1)$, then by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{aligned} v(x, t) &\leq \|u_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma/(p-1)} \\ &\leq ((p-1)\bar{T})^{-1/(p-1)} \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma/(p-1)} \\ &< ((p-1)|x|^{\sigma t})^{-1/(p-1)} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t < \bar{T}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we assume $\bar{T} > T_0$ and let

$$\underline{u}(x, t) = \{(v(x, t))^{-(p-1)} - (p-1)|x|^{\sigma t}\}^{-1/(p-1)}.$$

We shall prove $\|\underline{u}(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)}$ is finite for $0 < t < \bar{T}$.

When $0 < t < T_0$, from Lemma 2.3, we see that

$$\|\underline{u}(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} \leq \{\|u_0\|_{\sigma/(p-1)}^{p-1} - (p-1)t\}^{-1/(p-1)} < \infty.$$

We assume that there exists $\tau < \bar{T}$ such that $\|\underline{u}\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \uparrow \tau$, and let t_1 be the smallest one of all such τ .

On the other hand, let t_2 be an arbitrary constant with $0 < t_2 < t_1$. Then \underline{u} satisfies for $t \leq t_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \underline{u} + |x|^\sigma \underline{u}^p - \underline{u}_t &= \sigma(N-2-\sigma/(p-1))|x|^{\sigma-2}t\{v^{-(p-1)} - (p-1)|x|^\sigma t\}^{-p/(p-1)} \\
 &\quad + p(p-1)v^{-(p+1)}|x|^\sigma t \sum_{i=1}^N \left(v_i + \frac{\sigma}{p-1} \frac{x_i}{|x|^2} v \right)^2 \{v^{-(p-1)} - (p-1)|x|^\sigma t\}^{-(2p+1)/(p-1)} \\
 &\geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

So \underline{u} is a subsolution for $0 < t < t_2 < t_1$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $\underline{u}(x, t) \leq u(x, t)$ in $D \times [0, t_2]$. Hence we see from the definition of $\|\underline{u}(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)}$,

$$\|\underline{u}(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} \leq \|u(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} \quad \text{for } 0 < t \leq t_2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\|\underline{u}(t_2)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)} \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } t_2 \uparrow t_1.$$

We have reached the contradiction.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. We assume that there exists a global solution of (P), then from Lemma 3.2 we have

$$(p-1)^{-1/(p-1)} > |x|^{\sigma/(p-1)} t^{1/(p-1)} v(x, t) \quad \text{in } D \times (0, \infty).$$

Integrating the above both sides over Ω with respect to $\phi_1(\theta) dS_\theta$, we can estimate by use of (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_2 &> \int_{\Omega} r^{\sigma/(p-1)} t^{1/(p-1)} v(r, \theta; t) \phi_1(\theta) dS_\theta \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} r^{\sigma/(p-1)} t^{1/(p-1)} \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} G(r, \theta, \rho, \phi; t) u_0(\rho, \phi) \rho^{N-1} dS_\phi d\rho \phi_1(\theta) dS_\theta \\
 &= c_1 r^{\sigma/(p-1)} t^{1/(p-1)} \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2t} (r\rho)^{-(N-2)/2} I_{\nu_1} \left(\frac{r\rho}{2t} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{r^2 + \rho^2}{4t}\right) u_0(\rho, \phi) \phi_1(\phi) \\
 &\quad \times \int_{\Omega} \phi_1^2(\theta) \rho^{N-1} dS_\theta dS_\phi d\rho \\
 &\geq c_3 r^{\sigma/(p-1) - (N-2)/2} t^{1/(p-1) - 1} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4t}\right) \\
 &\quad \times \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} \rho^{-(N-2)/2 + N-1} \left(\frac{r\rho}{2t}\right)^{\nu_1} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{4t}\right) u_0(\rho, \phi) \phi_1(\phi) dS_\phi d\rho,
 \end{aligned}$$

where c_1, c_2 and c_3 are constants and $r = |x|, \theta = x/|x|$.

Let $r = t^{1/2}$. Then $\nu_1 = \gamma_+ + (N-2)/2$, there exists $c_4 > 0$ independent of t such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_4 &> t^{(\sigma/(p-1) - (N-2)/2 - \nu_1)/2 + 1/(p-1) - 1} \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} \rho^{\gamma_+ + N-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{4t}\right) u_0(\rho, \phi) \phi_1(\phi) dS_\phi d\rho, \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} \rho^{\gamma_+ + N-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{4t}\right) u_0(\rho, \phi) \phi_1(\theta) dS_\phi d\rho.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since u is a global solution, we can replace $u_0(\rho, \phi)$ by $u(r, \theta; t_0)$ for any $t_0 > 0$. Thus, for $t > t_0$

$$c_4 > \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega r^{\gamma+\sigma+N-1} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4(t-t_0)}\right) u(r, \theta; t_0) \phi_1(\theta) dS_\theta dr.$$

Here let $t \uparrow \infty$ and replace t_0 by t . Then there exists $c_5 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} c_4 &\geq \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega r^{\gamma+\sigma+N-1} \int_0^t \int_\Omega G(r, \theta, \rho, \phi; t-s) \\ &\quad \times \rho^{\sigma+N-1} u^p(r, \theta, s) d\rho dS_\phi ds \phi_1(\theta) dS_\theta dr \\ &\geq c_5 \int_0^t \int_\Omega \int_\Omega u^p(\rho, \phi, s) \phi_1(\phi) (t-s)^{-(\gamma+N/2)} \rho^{\gamma+\sigma+N-1} \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{4(t-s)}\right) \int_0^\infty r^{2\gamma+\sigma+N-1} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4(t-s)}\right) dr dS_\phi d\rho ds. \end{aligned}$$

From Hölder's inequality, it follows that there exists $c_6 > 0$ such that

$$c_6 \geq \int_0^t \int_\Omega \left(\int_\Omega u(\rho, \phi, s) \phi_1(\phi) dS_\phi \right)^p \rho^{\gamma+\sigma+N-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{4(t-s)}\right) d\rho ds.$$

Moreover since we see $u(x, t) \geq v(x, t)$ from Lemma 3.1, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega u(\rho, \phi, s) \phi_1(\phi) dS_\phi \\ &\geq \int_\Omega v(\rho, \phi, s) \phi_1(\phi) dS_\phi \\ &\geq c_3 s^{-(\gamma+N/2)} \rho^{\gamma+\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{4s}\right) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega r^{\gamma+\sigma+N-1} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4s}\right) u_0(r, \theta) \phi_1(\theta) dS_\theta dr \\ &\geq c_7 s^{-(\gamma+N/2)} \rho^{\gamma+\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{4s}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < t' \leq s$.

Thus we obtain

$$c_6 \geq (c_7)^p \int_{t'}^t s^{-p(\gamma+N/2)} \int_0^\infty \rho^{(p+1)\gamma+\sigma+N-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{4s}\left(p + \frac{s}{t-s}\right)\right) d\rho ds.$$

Since $p > 1$, we can see that for $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that $p-1+1/\delta > 0$. Noting that $p+s/(t-s) = p+t/(t-s) - 1 \leq p+1/\delta - 1$, for $s \in [t', (1-\delta)t]$, we have $c_8 > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} c_8 &\geq \int_{t'}^{(1-\delta)t} s^{((p+1)\gamma_+ + \sigma + N)/2 - p(\gamma_+ + N/2)} ds \\ &= \int_{t'}^{(1-\delta)t} \frac{1}{s} ds \longrightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

This is a contradiction. Thus we have proved Theorem 1.2.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3.

In this section we consider next problem

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t &= \Delta u + |x|^{\sigma} u^p && \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) && \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N, \end{aligned}$$

where $N \geq 3$ and $\|u(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)}$ is finite and not zero.

REMARK 4.1. If $D = \mathbf{R}^N$, the statements of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 are also true without the boundary condition of (P).

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3. We assume that there exists a global solution $u = u(x, t)$ of (4.1) such that $\|u(t)\|_{\sigma/(p-1)}$ is finite for any $t > 0$. Moreover, let $v = v(x, t)$ be a solution of the heat equation with an initial datum $u_0(x)$. Since $|x - y|^2 \leq 2(|x|^2 + |y|^2)$ we have

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} v(x, t) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \right)^N \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}\right) u_0(y) dy \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \right)^N \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2 + |y|^2}{2t}\right) u_0(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

By use of Lemma 3.2 we have

$$(p-1)^{-1/(p-1)} |x|^{-\sigma/(p-1)} t^{-1/(p-1)} \geq \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \right)^N \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2t}\right) \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \exp\left(-\frac{|y|^2}{2t}\right) u_0(y) dy.$$

Therefore, for $|x| = t^{1/2}$ we have

$$2^N (p-1)^{-1/(p-1)} \pi^{N/2} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) t^{(N-(2+\sigma)/(p-1))/2} \geq \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \exp\left(-\frac{|y|^2}{2t}\right) u_0(y) dy.$$

If $p < 1 + (2 + \sigma)/N$, the left side of the above inequality goes to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This is a contradiction.

Next, we assume $p = 1 + (2 + \sigma)/N$ and let $c_9 = 2^N (p-1)^{-1/(p-1)} \pi^{N/2} \exp(1/2)$. Then

$$c_9 \geq \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \exp\left(-\frac{|y|^2}{2t}\right) u_0(y) dy.$$

As we discussed in the proof of Theorem 1.2 we can replace $u_0(y)$ by $u(y, t_0)$ for arbitrarily $t_0 > 0$. Thus,

$$c_9 \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \exp\left(-\frac{|y|^2}{2(t-t_0)}\right) u(y, t_0) dy \quad \text{for } t > t_0.$$

The right side of the above inequality goes to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(y, t_0) dy \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Replace t_0 by t . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_9 &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(y, t) dy \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi(t-s)}}\right)^N \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}\right) |y|^p u^p(y, s) dy ds dx \\ &\geq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |y|^\sigma u^p(y, s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi(t-s)}}\right)^N \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}\right) dx dy ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |y|^\sigma u^p(y, s) dy ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{N-1}} u^p(\rho, \phi, s) \rho^{\sigma+N-1} dS_\phi d\rho ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality we have c_{10} such that,

$$c_{10} > \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{S^{N-1}} u(\rho, \phi, s) dS_\phi \right)^p \rho^{\sigma+N-1} d\rho ds.$$

Moreover, by using Lemma 3.2 and (4.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{S^{N-1}} u(\rho, \phi, s) dS_\phi \\ &\geq 2^{-N} \pi^{-N/2} \int_{S^{N-1}} s^{-N/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{2s}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2s}\right) u_0(x) dx dS_\phi. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_0 \not\equiv 0$, for any $t' > 0$ there exists $c_{11} = c_{11}(u_0; t') > 0$ such that

$$c_{11} < 2^{-N} \pi^{-N/2} \int_{S^{N-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2s}\right) u_0(x) dx dS_\phi \quad \text{for } s \geq t'.$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_{10} &> \int_{t'}^t \int_0^\infty \left(c_{11} s^{-N/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{2s}\right) \right)^p \rho^{\sigma+N-1} d\rho ds \\ &= (c_{11})^p \int_{t'}^t \int_0^\infty \rho^{\sigma+N-1} \exp\left(-\frac{p\rho^2}{2s}\right) d\rho s^{-(Np)/2} ds \\ &= (c_{11})^p \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{(\sigma+N)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\sigma+N}{2}\right) \int_{t'}^t s^{(\sigma+N)/2 - (Np)/2} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p=1+N/(\sigma+2)$, the right side of the above inequality goes to ∞ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This is a contradiction. Thus we have proved Theorem 1.3.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to acknowledge several helpful discussions with Professor K. Mochizuki and Professor K. Kajitani. The author would also like to thank Professor H.A. Levine for stimulating conversations.

References

- [1] H. Fujita, On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IV Math. 13 (1966), 109-124.
- [2] F.B. Weissler, Existence and nonexistence of global solutions for a semilinear heat equation, Israel J. Math. 38 (1981), 29-40.
- [3] H.A. Levine and P. Meier, The value of critical exponent for reaction-diffusion equation in cones, Arch. Ratl. Mech. Anal. 109 (1990), 73-80.
- [4] ———, A blow up result for the critical exponents in cones, Israel J. Math. 67 (1989), 129-136.
- [5] M.H. Protter and H.F. Weinberger, "Maximum principles in Differential Equations," Prentice-Hall, 1967.
- [6] G.N. Watson, "A treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions," Cambridge University Press, London/New York, 1944, pp. 395.

University of Tsukuba
Institute of Mathematics
Ibaraki, 305
Japan