

ON THE SUM OF DIGITS OF PRIMES IN IMAGINARY QUADRATIC FIELDS

By

Ryuji KANEIWA and Iekata SHIOKAWA

1. Introduction. Let $r \geq 2$ be a fixed integer. Any positive integer n can be uniquely written in the form

$$(1) \quad n = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j r^{k-j} = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k,$$

where each a_j is one of $0, 1, \dots, r-1$ and

$$(2) \quad k = k(n) = \left[\frac{\log n}{\log r} \right] + 1,$$

where $[u]$ is the integral part of the real number u . We put

$$s(n) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j.$$

I. Kátai [1] proved, assuming the validity of density hypothesis for the Riemann zeta function, that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} s(p) = \frac{r-1}{2 \log r} x + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log \log x)^{1/2}}\right),$$

where in the sum p runs through the prime numbers. The second-named author [6] proved, without any hypothesis, the result of Kátai with an improved remainder term

$$(3) \quad O\left(x \left(\frac{\log \log x}{\log x}\right)^{1/2}\right).$$

His method is to appeal to a simple combinatorial inequality (see Lemma in § 4), and the deepest result on which he depends is the prime number theorem in a weak form

$$(4) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} 1 = \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\right).$$

E. Heppner [2] independently proved a more general result by making use of a Chebyshev's inequality to the sum of independent random variables (cf. [5] p. 387, Theorem 2): Let B be a set of positive integers such that

$$\log \frac{x}{B(x)} = o(\log x),$$

where

$$B(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in B}} 1.$$

Then

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in B}} s(n) = \frac{r-1}{2} \frac{\log x}{\log r} B(x) \left(1 + O \left(\left(\frac{\log \log x + \log \frac{x}{B(x)}}{\log x} \right)^{1/2} \right) \right).$$

This together with (4) implies (3).

In the present paper we shall show that the estimate (3) is also valid, in some sense, for primes in each imaginary quadratic field $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$, where m is any positive square free integer.

2. Representation of integers in $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$ in the scale of r . Let \mathfrak{o} be the ring of all integers in $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$. Any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$ can be expressed in a unique way as

$$\alpha = a + b\omega \quad (a, b \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

where

$$\omega = \begin{cases} \sqrt{-m} & \text{if } -m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{1 + \sqrt{-m}}{2} & \text{if } -m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

and \mathbb{Z} denotes as usual the set of all rational integers. So by means of the expressions

$$|a| = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{k(|a|)}, \quad |b| = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_{k(|b|)}$$

given by (1), we can define coordinatewisely the representation of $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$ in the scale of r ; i. e.

$$(5) \quad \alpha = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j r^{k-j} = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_k,$$

where

$$(6) \quad k = k(\alpha) = \max \{k(|a|), k(|b|)\}, \quad k(0) = 1, \\ \alpha_j = \operatorname{sgn}(a) a_j + \operatorname{sgn}(b) b_j \omega,$$

and $\operatorname{sgn}(c) = c/|c|$ if $c \neq 0$, $= 0$ otherwise. We define

$$s(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j.$$

We write

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \{a + b\omega \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}; a \geq 0, b \geq 0\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_2 &= \{-a+b\omega \mid a+b\omega \in \mathcal{A}_1\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_3 &= \{-a-b\omega \mid a+b\omega \in \mathcal{A}_1\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_4 &= \{a-b\omega \mid a+b\omega \in \mathcal{A}_1\}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\mathfrak{o} = \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \cup \mathcal{A}_3 \cup \mathcal{A}_4$. We denote by \mathcal{B}_i the set of all ‘digits’ α_j needed for the expressions (5) of all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_1 &= \{c+d\omega \mid c, d=0, 1, \dots, r-1\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_2 &= \{-c+d\omega \mid c+d\omega \in \mathcal{B}_1\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_3 &= \{-c-d\omega \mid c+d\omega \in \mathcal{B}_1\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_4 &= \{c-d\omega \mid c+d\omega \in \mathcal{B}_1\}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\text{card } \mathcal{B}_i = r^2$ ($1 \leq i \leq 4$). So we may say that the r -adic expression (5) of $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$ is a kind of representation in the scale of r^2 . For any fixed $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_i$ we denote by $F(\alpha, \beta)$ the number of β appearing in the expression (5) of an integer $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1$. By definition

$$(7) \quad s(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_i} \beta F(\alpha, \beta) \quad (\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_i)$$

and

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} F(a+b\omega, c+d\omega) &= F(-a+b\omega, -c+d\omega) \\ &= F(-a-b\omega, -c-d\omega) = F(a-b\omega, c-d\omega) \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

The norm of $\alpha = a+b\omega \in \mathfrak{o}$ is a rational integer

$$N(\alpha) = \begin{cases} a^2 + mb^2 & \text{if } -m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \\ a^2 + ab + \frac{m+1}{4} b^2 & \text{if } -m \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

so that for $\alpha \neq 0$

$$(9) \quad \left| k(\alpha) - \frac{\log N(\alpha)}{2 \log r} \right| \leq c_1,$$

where c_1 is a constant depending only on m , since by definition

$$\left| k(\alpha) - \frac{\max(\log |a|, \log |b|)}{\log r} \right| \leq 1$$

(we mean that $\max(\log 0, x) = x$) and

$$\begin{aligned} &|2 \max(\log |a|, \log |b|) - \log N(\alpha)| \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \log(1+m) & \text{if } -m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}, \\ \log\left(2 + \frac{m+1}{4}\right) & \text{if } -m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

3. A prime number theorem (A. Mitsui [3], [4]). An integer $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$ is said to be prime if (α) is an prime ideal in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$. Let θ_1, θ_2 be two real numbers such that $0 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2 \leq 2\pi$. Then

$$(10) \quad \sum_{\substack{\alpha: \text{prime} \\ N(\alpha) \leq x \\ \theta_1 \leq \arg \alpha \leq \theta_2}} 1 = \frac{(\theta_2 - \theta_1)w}{2\pi h} \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t} + O(x \exp(-c_2(\log x)^{3/5}(\log \log x)^{-1/5})),$$

where h is the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$ and

$$w = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } m=1, \\ 6 & \text{if } m=3, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We note that a weaker estimate $O(x/(\log x)^2)$ is sufficient for the proof of our theorem.

4. A combinatorial lemma (I. Shiohawa [6]). Let β_1, \dots, β_g be given g symbols and let A^j be the set of all sequences of these symbols of length $j \geq 1$. Denote by $F_j(\alpha, \beta)$ the number of any fixed symbol β appearing in a sequence $\alpha \in A^j$. Then for any ε with $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$ there exist a positive integer j_0 independent of ε such that the number of sequences $\alpha \in A^j$ satisfying

$$\left| F_j(\alpha, \beta) - \frac{j}{g} \right| > j^{1/2+\varepsilon}$$

is less than $jg^j \exp(-c_3 j^{2\varepsilon})$ for all $j \geq j_0$, where c_3 is an absolute constant.

5. Theorem. Let $\varphi_1=0, \varphi_2=2\pi, \varphi_3=\arg \omega, \varphi_4=\pi$, and $\varphi_5=\varphi_2+\pi$. Then for any θ_1, θ_2 satisfying $\varphi_j \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2 \leq \varphi_{j+1}$ for some j we have

$$(11) \quad \sum_{\substack{\alpha: \text{prime} \\ N(\alpha) \leq x \\ \theta_1 \leq \arg \alpha \leq \theta_2}} s(\alpha) = \frac{(\theta_2 - \theta_1)w}{2\pi h} \frac{(r-1)}{4 \log r} \lambda_j x + O\left(x \left(\frac{\log \log x}{\log x}\right)^{1/2}\right),$$

where

$$\lambda_j = \begin{cases} 1+\omega & \text{if } j=1, \\ -1+\omega & \text{if } j=2, \\ -1-\omega & \text{if } j=3, \\ 1-\omega & \text{if } j=4, \end{cases}$$

and the O -constant depends at most on r and m .

6. Proof of Theorem. By (7) and (8) we may assume $j=1$. We define for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1$

$$(12) \quad D(\alpha, \beta) = \left| F(\alpha, \beta) - \frac{k(\alpha)}{r^2} \right|.$$

Put for brevity

$$\mathcal{C}(x) = \{ \alpha \in \mathfrak{o} \mid \alpha : \text{prime}, N(\alpha) \leq x, \theta_1 \leq \arg \alpha \leq \theta_2 \}.$$

Then by (7) and (12)

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(x)} s(\alpha) &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1} \beta \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(x)} F(\alpha, \beta) \\ &= \frac{r-1}{2} \lambda_1 \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(x)} k(\alpha) + O\left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(x)} D(\alpha, \beta) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (9) and (10) we have

$$(14) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(x)} k(\alpha) = \frac{(\theta_2 - \theta_1)w}{2\pi h} \frac{x}{2 \log r} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x} \right).$$

Put $D(\alpha) = D(\alpha, \beta_0)$, where β_0 is any fixed integer in \mathcal{B}_1 . We have from (9), (10), and (12)

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{C}(x)} D(\alpha) &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(x)} k(\alpha)^{1/2+\varepsilon} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(x) \\ D(\alpha) > k(\alpha)^{1/2+\varepsilon}}} D(\alpha) \\ &= O\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(x)} (\log N(\alpha))^{1/2+\varepsilon} \right) = O\left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1 \\ N(\alpha) \leq x \\ D(\alpha) > k(\alpha)^{1/2+\varepsilon}}} D(\alpha) \right) \\ &= O(x \log x)^{\varepsilon-1/2} + O(\log x \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1 \\ N(\alpha) \leq x \\ D(\alpha) > k(\alpha)^{1/2+\varepsilon}}} 1). \end{aligned}$$

Besides, using (9),

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1 \\ N(\alpha) \leq x \\ D(\alpha) > k(\alpha)^{1/2+\varepsilon}}} 1 \leq \sum_{j \leq l(x)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1 \\ k(\alpha) = j \\ D(\alpha) > j^{1/2+\varepsilon}}} 1,$$

where

$$l(x) = \frac{\log x}{2 \log r} + c_1.$$

Applying now the lemma in §4 with $g=r^2$ and $A^1=\mathcal{B}_1$, we get

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1 \\ k(\alpha) = j \\ D(\alpha) > j^{1/2+\varepsilon}}} 1 < jr^{2j} \exp(-c_3 j^{2\varepsilon})$$

for all $j \geq j_0$, which leads to

$$(16) \quad \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1 \\ N(\alpha) \leq x \\ D(\alpha) > k(\alpha)^{1/2+\varepsilon}}} 1 = O(1) + \sum_{j_0 < j \leq l(x)} jr^{2j} \exp(-c_3 j^{2\varepsilon})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O(1) + \sum_{j_0 < j \leq l(x)/2} + \sum_{l(x)/2 < j \leq l(x)} \\
&= O(x(\log x)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{c_3}{4} \left(\frac{\log x}{\log r}\right)^{2\varepsilon}\right)).
\end{aligned}$$

where the O -constant is uniform in ε .

If we take a constant $c_4 = c_4(r)$ large enough and choose $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x, r)$ with $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$ in such a way that

$$(\log x)^{2\varepsilon} = c_4 \log \log x$$

we obtain from (15) and (16)

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{U}(x)} D(\alpha, \beta_0) = O\left(x \left(\frac{\log \log x}{\log x}\right)^{1/2}\right).$$

This together with (13) and (14) yields the theorem.

References

- [1] Kátai, I., On the sum of digits of prime numbers. *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Roland Eötvös nom. Sect. Math.*, **10** (1967), 89-93.
- [2] Heppner, E., Über die summe der Ziffern natürlicher Zahlen. *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Roland Eötvös nom. Sect. Math.*, **19** (1976), 41-43.
- [3] Mitsui, T., Generalized prime number theorem. *Japanese J. Math.*, **26** (1956), 1-42.
- [4] Mitsui, T., Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences Kyoto Univ., "Kokyu-roku" **294** (1977), in Japanese.
- [5] Rényi, A., *Probability Theorey*, North-Holland, Amsterdam-London, 1970.
- [6] Shiokawa, I., On the sum of digits of prime numbers. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **50**, no. 8 (1974), 551-554.

Ryuji Kaneiwa
 Institute of Liberal Arts
 Otaru University of Commerce
 Otaru, Hokkaido
 047 Japan

Iekata Shiokawa
 Department of Mathematics
 Keio University
 Yokohama
 223 Japan