# ON THE COMPLETE RELATIVE COHOMOLOGY OF FROBENIUS EXTENSIONS

## By

## Takeshi Nozawa

# Introduction.

Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra over a commutative ring K and  $\Gamma$  a subalgebra. Suppose that the extension  $\Lambda/\Gamma$  is a Frobenius extension. Then in [3, section 3], the complete relative cohomology group  $H^{r}_{(A, \Gamma)}(M, -)$  is introduced for an arbitrary left  $\Lambda$ -module M and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We denote the opposite rings of  $\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma$  by  $\Lambda^{\circ}$  and  $\Gamma^{\circ}$  respectively. Put  $P = \Lambda \otimes_{\kappa} \Lambda^{\circ}$  and let S denote the natural image of  $\Gamma \otimes_{\kappa} \Gamma^{\circ}$  in *P*. Then the extension *P*/*S* is also a Frobenius extension. Since  $\Lambda$  is a left *P*-module with the natural way, we have  $H^r_{(P,S)}(\Lambda, -)$ . We will denote this  $H^r_{(P,S)}(\Lambda, -)$  by  $H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, -)$  for [6, section 3]. In this paper, we will study this complete relative cohomology  $H(\Lambda, \Gamma, -)$ . In section 1, we will study relative complete resolutions of  $\Lambda$  and in section 2, we will introduce the dual of the fundamental exact sequence of [4, Proposition 1 and Theorem 1] for complete relative cohomology groups. In section 3, we will study an internal product like as in [9, section 2] which we will call the cup product. If the basic ring of the Frobenius extension is commutative, the cup product in this paper coincides with the product  $\lor$  in [2, Exercise 2 of Chapter XI] for dimension>0.

# 1. Relative complete resolutions.

Let P be a ring and S a subring such that the extension P/S is a Frobenius extension. In [3], the complete (P, S)-resolution of a left P-module M is introduced. It is a (P, S)-exact sequence  $\cdots \to X_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} X_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} X_{-1} \xrightarrow{d_{-1}} \cdots$  such that  $X_n$  is (P, S)-projective for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and there exist a P-epimorphism  $\varepsilon \colon X_0 \to M$ and a P-monomorphism  $\eta \colon M \to X_{-1}$  which satisfy  $\eta \circ \varepsilon = d_0$ , that is, the complete (P, S)-resolution of M is an exact sequence which consists of a (P, S)-projective resolution and a (P, S)-injective resolution of M since (P, S)-projectivity is equivalent to (P, S)-injectivity. Note that any two complete (P, S)-resolutions

Received November 8, 1991, Revised June 9, 1992.

of M denoted by  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{V}'$  have the same homotopy type, i.e., for chain maps  $F: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$  and  $G: \mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  over the identity map  $1_M$ ,  $F \circ G$  and  $G \circ F$  are homotopic to  $1_{\mathcal{V}'}$  and  $1_{\mathcal{V}}$  respectively. Therefore for any subring Q of P, if there exists a complete (P, S)-resolution of M which has a contracting Qhomotopy in addition to the contracting S-homotopy, any complete (P, S)resolution of M also has a contracting Q-homotopy. Especially if P/Q is also a Frobenius extension such that  $Q \supseteq S$  holds and there exists a complete (P, S)resolution with a contracting Q-homotopy, all complete (P, S)-resolutions of Mare complete (P, Q)-resolutions of M since (P, S)-projective modules are (P, Q)projective modules.

Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra over a commutative ring K and  $\Gamma$  be a subalgebra of  $\Lambda$ . We suppose that the extension  $\Lambda/\Gamma$  is a Frobenius extension, that is to say, there exist elements of  $\Lambda$  denoted by  $\{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$ ,  $\{l_1, \dots, l_n\}$  and a  $\Gamma$ - $\Gamma$ -homomorphism  $h \in \operatorname{Hom}(_{\Gamma}\Lambda_{\Gamma}, _{\Gamma}\Gamma_{\Gamma})$  such that  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h(xr_i)l_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i h(l_i x)$  for all  $x \in \Lambda$ . Let  $\Lambda^o$  and  $\Gamma^o$  be the opposite rings of  $\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma$  respectively. Put  $P = \Lambda \otimes_K \Lambda^o$  and let Q, R and S be the images of natural homomorphisms  $\Gamma \otimes_K \Lambda^o \to P$ ,  $\Lambda \otimes_K \Gamma^o \to P$  and  $\Gamma \otimes_K \Gamma^o \to P$  respectively. Then the extensions P/Q, P/R and P/S are Frobenius extensions. We regard  $\Lambda$  as a left P-module with the natural way.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Any complete (P, S)-resolution of  $\Lambda$  has a contracting Q-homotopy and a contracting R-homotopy in addition to the contracting S-homotopy.

PROOF. We can prove this proposition by constructing such a complete (P, S)-resolution of  $\Lambda$ . Let

(1) 
$$\cdots \longrightarrow X_r \xrightarrow{b_r} X_{r-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{b_1} X_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \Lambda \longrightarrow 0$$

be a (P, S)-projective resolution of  $\Lambda$  such that  $X_r = \Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} \cdots \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda$  (r+2 copies),  $b_r(x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{r-i} x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i x_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1}$  and  $\varepsilon(x_0 \otimes x_1) = x_0 x_1$ . Note that (1) has two types of contracting S-homotopy. The one is a contracting Q-homotopy such that  $x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1} \to (-1)^{r+1} 1 \otimes x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1}$ . The other is a contracting R-homotopy such that  $x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1} \to x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1} \otimes 1$ . Hom $({}_{A}X_r, {}_{A}\Lambda)$  and Hom $(X_{rA}, \Lambda_A)$  are regarded as left P-modules by setting  $((x \otimes y) \cdot f)$ ()=f(()x)y and  $((x \otimes y) \cdot g)$  ()=xg(y()) for  $x \otimes y \in P$ ,  $f \in \text{Hom}({}_{A}X_r, {}_{A}\Lambda)$  and  $g \in \text{Hom}(X_{rA}, \Lambda_A)$ . Applying the functors  $\text{Hom}({}_{A}-, {}_{A}\Lambda)$  and  $\text{Hom}(-{}_{A}, \Lambda_A)$  to (1), we have a (P, Q)-exact sequence and a (P, R)-exact sequence respectively. Let  $\varphi_r$  and  $\varphi_r$  denote P-isomorphisms  $\text{Hom}({}_{A}X_r, {}_{A}\Lambda) \cong \Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} \cdots \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda$  (r+2 copies)and  $\text{Hom}(X_{rA}, \Lambda_A) \cong \Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} \cdots \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda$  (r+2 copies) respectively such that

$$\varphi_r(f) = \sum_{1 \leq i_0 \leq n, \dots, 1 \leq i_T \leq n} r_{i_0} \otimes \dots \otimes r_{i_T} \otimes f(1 \otimes l_{i_T} \otimes \dots \otimes l_{i_0}),$$

On the complete relative cohomology

$$\varphi_{r}^{-1}(\lambda_{0}\otimes\cdots\otimes\lambda_{r+1})=[x_{0}\otimes\cdots\otimes x_{r+1}\rightarrow x_{0}h(x_{1}h(\cdots h(x_{r}h(x_{r+1}\lambda_{0})\lambda_{1})\cdots)\lambda_{r})\lambda_{r+1}],$$
  

$$\phi_{r}(g)=\sum_{1\leq i_{0}\leq n,\cdots,1\leq i_{r}\leq n}g(r_{i_{0}}\otimes\cdots\otimes r_{i_{r}}\otimes 1)\otimes l_{i_{r}}\otimes\cdots\otimes l_{i_{0}},$$
  

$$\phi_{r}^{-1}(\lambda_{0}\otimes\cdots\otimes\lambda_{r+1})=[x_{0}\otimes\cdots\otimes x_{r+1}\rightarrow\lambda_{0}h(\lambda_{1}h(\cdots h(\lambda_{r}h(\lambda_{r+1}x_{0})x_{1})\cdots)x_{r})x_{r+1}].$$

Since P/S is a Frobenius extension, (P, S)-projective module  $A \otimes_{\Gamma} \cdots \otimes_{\Gamma} A$  is (P, S)-injective. Therefore we have two (P, S)-injective resolutions of A such that the one has a contracting Q-homotopy and the other has a contracting R-homotopy. But since  $\varphi_{r+1}(\varphi_r^{-1}(\lambda_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_{r+1}) \circ b_{r+1}) = \varphi_{r+1}(\varphi_r^{-1}(\lambda_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_{r+1}) \circ b_{r+1})$  holds for all  $\lambda_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_{r+1} \in A \otimes_{\Gamma} \cdots \otimes_{\Gamma} A$  (r+2 copies), two (P, S)-injective resolutions are same. Connecting this resolution with the standard (P, S)-projective resolution of A that is (1) which has  $(-1)^r b_r$  instead of  $b_r$  as the differentiation, we have a complete (P, S)-resolution of A which we want:

(2) 
$$\cdots \to X_r \xrightarrow{d_r} X_{r-1} \to \cdots \to X_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} X_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} X_{-1} \xrightarrow{d_{-1}} \cdots \to X_{-r} \xrightarrow{d_{-r}} X_{-(r+1)} \to \cdots$$
  
 $\varepsilon \searrow \mathcal{J}\eta$   
 $\Lambda$ 

Here we set  $d_r = (-1)^r b_r$  and  $X_{-r} = A \otimes_{\Gamma} \cdots \otimes_{\Gamma} A$  (r+1 copies) for  $r \ge 1$ , and  $\eta$ ,  $d_0$  and  $d_{-r}$  are given by  $\eta(x) = \sum_i r_i \otimes l_i x$ ,  $d_0(x_0 \otimes x_1) = \eta \circ \varepsilon(x_0 \otimes x_1) = \sum_i x_0 r_i \otimes l_i x_1$  and  $d_{-r}(x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_r) = \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_j (-1)^i x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i-1} \otimes r_j \otimes l_j x_i \otimes \cdots \otimes x_r$ . Let denote the contracting Q-homotopy of (2) by  $D^Q$ .  $D^Q_T \colon X_r \to X_{r+1}$  is given by  $D^Q_T(x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1}) = 1 \otimes x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1}$  for  $r \ge 0$ ,  $D^Q_{-1}(x_0 \otimes x_1) = h(x_0) \otimes x_1$  and  $D^Q_{-r}(x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_r) = h(x_0) x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_r$  for  $r \ge 2$ . Let denote the contracting R-homotopy of (2) by  $D^R$ .  $D^R_T \colon X_r \to X_{r+1}$  is given by  $D^Q_T(x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1}) = (-1)^{r+1} x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1} \otimes 1$  for  $r \ge 0$ ,  $D^Q_{-1}(x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_r) = (-1)^{r+1} x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r-1} h(x_r)$  for  $r \ge 2$ .

We can see other complete (P, S)-resolutions of  $\Lambda$  in [3], [5] and [8].

Let *M* be a left *P*-module and  $(X, d, \varepsilon, \eta)$  be any complete (P, S)-resolution of *A*. Then we have the following sequnce:

$$\cdots \longleftarrow \operatorname{Hom}({}_{P}X_{1}, {}_{P}M) \xleftarrow{d_{1}^{*}} \operatorname{Hom}({}_{P}X_{0}, {}_{P}M) \xleftarrow{d_{0}^{*}} \operatorname{Hom}({}_{P}X_{-1}, {}_{P}M) \xleftarrow{d_{-1}^{*}} \cdots$$

where we set  $d_r^*(f) = f \circ d_r$  for  $f \in \text{Hom}(_PX_r, _PM)$ . The *r*-th complete relative cohomology group  $H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$  with coefficients in M is given by  $H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$ =Ker  $d_{r+1}^*/\text{Im } d_r^*$ . We put  $H^*(\Lambda, \Gamma, M) = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$ . Let  $Z(\Lambda)$  be the center of  $\Lambda$ . Then Hom  $(_PX_r, _PM)$  becomes a  $Z(\Lambda)$ -module by setting  $(c \cdot f)() = cf()$  for  $c \in Z(\Lambda)$ . Therefore  $H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$  is a  $Z(\Lambda)$ -module. It is obvious that  $H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$  is independent of the choice of complete (P, S)resolutions of  $\Lambda$ .

PROPOSITION 1.2. Put  $M^A = \{m \in M | xm = mx \text{ for all } x \in A\}$ ,  $M^{\Gamma} = \{m \in M | xm = mx \text{ for all } x \in \Gamma\}$  and  $N_{A/\Gamma}(M) = \{\sum_i r_i m l_i | m \in M^{\Gamma}\}$ . Then  $H^{\circ}(A, \Gamma, M) \cong M^A/N_{A/\Gamma}(M)$  holds as Z(A)-modules.

PROOF. Take (2) as a complete (P, S)-resolution of  $\Lambda$  and let f be the representative of an elemant  $\alpha \in H^{0}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$ . Then the isomorphism  $H^{0}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M) \cong M^{\Lambda}/N_{\Lambda/\Gamma}(M)$  is given by  $\alpha \to f(1 \otimes 1) + N_{\Lambda/\Gamma}(M)$ .

### 2. The dual of the fundamental exact sequence.

Let  $\Lambda/\Gamma$  be a Frobenius extension of K-algebras and P, Q, R, S,  $\{r_i\}$ ,  $\{l_i\}$ and h be the same as in section 1. Suppose that  $\Gamma/K$  is also a Frobenius extension in section 2. Note that  $\Lambda/K$  is a Frobenius extension and Q, R and S are isomorphic to  $\Gamma \otimes_{\kappa} \Lambda^o$ ,  $\Lambda \otimes_{\kappa} \Gamma^o$  and  $\Gamma \otimes_{\kappa} \Gamma^o$  respectively. We have a complete (P, K)-resolution of  $\Lambda$  and a complete (S, K)-resolution of  $\Gamma$ . We denote them by Y and Z respectively.

Now we treat the restriction homomorphism and the corestriction homomorphism introduced in [10] briefly. Let M be a left P-module. Since Y and  $Z \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda$  are regarded as complete (Q, K)-resolutions of  $\Lambda$ ,  $H^r(\operatorname{Hom}(_{Q}Y, _{Q}M)) \cong$  $H^r(\operatorname{Hom}(_{Q}Z \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda, _{Q}M))$  holds. Since  $H^r(\operatorname{Hom}(_{Q}Z \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda, _{Q}M)) \cong H^r(\operatorname{Hom}(_{S}Z, _{S}M))$  $= H^r(\Gamma, K, M)$  holds, we have an isomorphism

(3) 
$$s_r : H^r(\operatorname{Hom}(_{\varrho}Y, _{\varrho}M)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^r(\Gamma, K, M).$$

Composing  $s_r$  with the homomorphism induced by the natural map  $\operatorname{Hom}(_PY_r, _PM) \to \operatorname{Hom}(_QY_r, _QM)$ , we obtain the restriction homomorphism  $\operatorname{Res}^r : H^r(\Lambda, K, M) \to H^r(\Gamma, K, M)$ . Composing  $s_r^{-1}$  with the homomorphism induced by the homomorphism  $N_{\Lambda/\Gamma} : \operatorname{Hom}(_QY_r, _QM) \to \operatorname{Hom}(_PY_r, _PM)$  defined by  $N_{\Lambda/\Gamma}(f)() = \sum_i r_i f(l_i())$ , we obtain the corestriction homomorphism  $\operatorname{Cor}^r : H^r(\Gamma, K, M) \to H^r(\Lambda, K, M)$ .

Next let X be a complete (P, S)-resolution of  $\Lambda$ . Dividing X and Y into the non-negative parts and the negative parts, that is, the relative projective resolutions of  $\Lambda$  and the relative injective resolutions of  $\Lambda$ , then the identity homomorphism of  $\Lambda$  derives a commutative diagram

and applying the functor  $\operatorname{Hom}(_{P}-,_{P}M)$  to (4),  $\sigma_{r}$  induces homomorphisms  $\operatorname{Inf}^{r}: H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M) \to H^{r}(\Lambda, K, M)$  for  $r \geq 1$  and  $\operatorname{Def}^{r}: H^{r}(\Lambda, K, M) \to H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$ for  $r \leq -1$ . We will call them the inflation homomorphism and the deflation homomorphism respectively. We can define  $\operatorname{Def}^{0}: H^{0}(\Lambda, K, M) \to H^{0}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$ , that is,  $\operatorname{Def}^{0}: \operatorname{Ker} c_{1}^{*}/\operatorname{Im} c_{0}^{*} \to \operatorname{Ker} d_{1}^{*}/\operatorname{Im} d_{0}^{*}$  since  $\operatorname{Ker} c_{1}^{*} \cong \operatorname{Hom}(_{P}\Lambda, _{P}M) \cong \operatorname{Ker} d_{1}^{*}$ holds and  $\operatorname{Im} d_{0}^{*}$  contains the image of  $\operatorname{Im} c_{0}^{*}$ . If we identify  $H^{0}(\Lambda, K, M)$  and  $H^{0}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$  with  $M^{A}/N_{A/K}(M)$  and  $M^{A}/N_{A/\Gamma}(M)$  respectively by Proposition 1.2,  $\operatorname{Def}^{0}(m+N_{A/K}(M))=m+N_{A/\Gamma}(M)$  holds.

Note that Res, Cor, Inf and Def are independent of the choice of relative complete resolutions.

Now we treat on the fundamental exact sequence introduced in [4]. Let A be an arbitrary ring and B a subring. By U, V and W we denote a Bprojective, an A-projective and an (A, B)-projective resolution of a left A-module M respectively. Then the identity homomorphism of M induces the chain maps  $U \rightarrow V$  and  $V \rightarrow W$ . They induce res<sup>r</sup>: Ext  $_{A}^{r}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{B}^{r}(M, N)$  and  $\inf^{r}$ : Ext  $_{(A, B)}^{r}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{A}^{r}(M, N)$  for  $r \ge 0$  by the natural way where N is any left A-module. Consider  $\text{Hom}(_{B}A, _{B}N)$  as a left A-module by  $(a \cdot f)() = f(()a)$  for  $a \in A, f \in \text{Hom}(_{B}A, _{B}N)$ . Define left A-modules  $N^{i}(i \ge 0)$  inductively as  $N^{0} = N$ and  $N^{i} = \text{Hom}(_{B}A, _{B}N^{i-1})$  for  $i \ge 1$ . Then in [4], it is proved that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{(A,B)}^{r}(M, N) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{inf}^{r}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{r}(M, N) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{res}^{r}} \operatorname{Ext}_{B}^{r}(M, N)$$

is exact for  $r \ge 1$  if A is left B-projective and  $\operatorname{Ext}_{B}^{n}(M, N^{r-n}) = 0$  (0 < n < r).

Let A, B and M be P, Q and  $\Lambda$  respectively. Then the P-projective resolution V is a Q-projective resolution of  $\Lambda$  since P is Q-projective. Therefore we may choose V as U. So res is the homomorphism induced by the natural map  $\operatorname{Hom}(_{P}V, _{P}-) \to \operatorname{Hom}(_{Q}V, _{Q}-)$ . V is also a (P, K)-projective resolution of  $\Lambda$  since  $\Lambda$  and P are K-projective. Therefore we may consider that V is the non-negative part of a complete (P, K)-resolution of  $\Lambda$ . Hence  $\operatorname{Ext}_{F}^{2}(\Lambda, -) = H^{r}(\Lambda, K, -)$  and  $s_{r} \circ \operatorname{res}^{r} = \operatorname{Res}^{r}$  hold for  $r \ge 1$  where  $s_{r}$  is the same isomorphism of (3). We know by Proposition 1.1 that the complete (P, S)-resolution of  $\Lambda$  is also a complete (P, Q)-resolution of  $\Lambda$ . Therefore as W we may choose the non-negative part of a complete (P, S)-resolution of  $\Lambda$ . So  $\operatorname{Ext}_{(P, Q)}^{r}(\Lambda, -) = H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, -)$  and  $\inf^{r} = \operatorname{Inf}^{r}$  hold for  $r \ge 1$ . Thus the following theorem holds:

THEOREM 2.1. Let N be any left P-module and define P-modules  $N^{i}(i \ge 0)$ inductively as  $N^{0}=N$  and  $N^{i}=\text{Hom}(_{Q}P, _{Q}N^{i-1})$  for  $i\ge 1$ . Then the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, N) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Inf}^{r}} H^{r}(\Lambda, K, N) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Res}^{r}} H^{r}(\Gamma, K, N)$$

is exact for  $r \ge 1$  if  $H^n(\Gamma, K, N^{r-n}) = 0$  (0<n<r).

PROOF.  $\operatorname{Ext}_Q^n(\Lambda, N^{r-n}) = H^n(\operatorname{Hom}(_QV, _QN^{r-n})) \cong H^n(\Gamma, K, N^{r-n}) = 0$  holds by (3). Therefore the sequence is exact.

We show the dual of Theorem 2.1 till the end of section 2:

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** The following sequence is exact for any left P-module M:

(5) 
$$0 \leftarrow H^{\circ}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M) \leftarrow H^{\circ}(\Lambda, K, M) \leftarrow H^{\circ}(\Gamma, K, M).$$

PROOF. By Proposition 1.2 the exactness of (5) is equivalent to the exactness of  $0 \leftarrow M^A/N_{A/\Gamma}(M) \xleftarrow{\operatorname{Def}^0} M^A/N_{A/K}(M) \xleftarrow{\overline{N_{A/\Gamma}}} M^{\Gamma}/N_{\Gamma/K}(M)$  where  $\operatorname{Def}^0(m + N_{A/K}(M)) = m + N_{A/\Gamma}(M)$  and  $\overline{N_{A/\Gamma}}(m + N_{\Gamma/K}(M)) = \sum_i r_i m l_i + N_{A/K}(M)$ . This sequence is exact. Therefore (5) is also exact.

LEMMA 2.3.  $H^r(\Gamma, K, M) \cong H^r(\Lambda, K, \operatorname{Hom}(_{\mathbb{Q}}P, _{\mathbb{Q}}M)) \cong H^r(\Lambda, K, P \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}M)$ holds for any left P-module M and all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

PROOF. For a complete (P, K)-resolution Y of  $\Lambda$ ,  $H^r(\Gamma, K, M) \cong H^r(\text{Hom}(_{Q}Y, _{Q}M))$  holds by (3) and  $H^r(\text{Hom}(_{Q}Y, _{Q}M)) \cong H^r(\Lambda, K, \text{Hom}(_{Q}P, _{Q}M)) \cong H^r(\Lambda, K, P \otimes_{Q}M)$  holds.

LEMMA 2.4. Let  $0 \to L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \to 0$  be a (P, S)-exact sequence. Then we have the following long exact sequence

 $\cdots \to H^r(\Lambda,\ \Gamma,\ L) \longrightarrow H^r(\Lambda,\ \Gamma,\ M) \longrightarrow H^r(\Lambda,\ \Gamma,\ N) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^{r+1}(\Lambda,\ \Gamma,\ L) \to \cdots$ 

where  $\partial$  is the connecting homomorphism. We have similar long exact sequences for  $H^*(\Lambda, K, -)$  and  $H^*(\Gamma, K, -)$ .

PROOF. This can be proved by the usual way for short exact sequences.

LEMMA 2.5. Let  $0 \to L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \to 0$  be a (P, S)-exact sequence. Then for the connecting homomorphisms  $\partial : H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, N) \to H^{r+1}(\Lambda, \Gamma, L)$  and  $\partial^{\Lambda} : H^r(\Lambda, K, N) \to H^{r+1}(\Lambda, K, L)$ , (i)  $\partial \circ \text{Def}^r = \text{Der}^{r+1} \circ \partial^{\Lambda}$  holds for  $r \leq -1$ . Let  $0 \to L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \to 0$  be a (P, K)-exact sequence. Then for the connecting homomorphisms  $\partial^{\Lambda} : H^r(\Lambda, K, N) \to H^{r+1}(\Lambda, K, L)$  and  $\partial^{\Gamma} : H^r(\Gamma, K, N) \to H^{r+1}(\Gamma, K, L)$ , (ii)  $\partial^{\Lambda} \circ \text{Cor}^r = \text{Cor}^{r+1} \circ \partial^{\Gamma}$  holds for all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . PROOF. We use (4) for the proof. (i) holds for  $r \leq -2$  by the commutativity of (4). Let  $\varphi$  denote the isomorphism Ker  $c_1^* \to \text{Ker } d_1^*$  by which we defined Def<sup>0</sup>. Then  $\varphi \circ (f_*|_{\text{Ker } c_1^*}) = f_* \circ \varphi$ ,  $\varphi \circ c_0^* = d_0^* \circ \sigma_{-1}^*$  and  $\sigma_{-1}^* \circ g_* = g_* \circ \sigma_{-1}^*$  hold where  $f_*$  and  $g_*$  are homomorphisms induced by f and g respectively with the natural way. Therefore (i) holds for r = -1. Let Z be a complete (S, K)resolution of  $\Lambda$  with a differentiation e. Then Cor is induced by a chain map  $\phi: \text{Hom}(_sZ, _{s}-) \to \text{Hom}(_{P}Y, _{P}-)$ .  $\phi \circ f_* = f_* \circ \phi$ ,  $\phi \circ e^* = c^* \circ \phi$  and  $\phi \circ g_* = g_* \circ \phi$ hold. Therefore (ii) also holds.

THEOREM 2.6. Let M be any left P-module and define P-modules  $M_i(i \ge 0)$ inductively as  $M_0 = M$  and  $M_i = P \bigotimes_Q M_{i-1}$  for  $i \ge 1$ . Then the sequence

$$0 \longleftarrow H^{-r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M) \xleftarrow{\text{Def}^{-r}} H^{-r}(\Lambda, K, M) \xleftarrow{\text{Cor}^{-r}} H^{-r}(\Gamma, K, M)$$
  
is exact for  $r \ge 0$  if  $H^{-n}(\Gamma, K, M_{r-n}) = 0$   $(0 \le n \le r-1)$ .

PROOF. By induction on r. The case of r=0 is proved by Proposition 2.2. Assume that the case of r=t holds. Consider the case of r=t+1. By M' we denote the kernel of a *P*-homomorphism  $d: M_1 \rightarrow M$  such that  $d(p \otimes m) = pm$ . Put  $M'_0 = M'$  and  $M'_i = P \otimes_Q M'_{i-1}$  for all  $i \ge 1$ . Then there holds  ${}_{S}M'_i \oplus_{S} M_{i+1}$  for all  $i \ge 0$ . Therefore  $H^{-n}(\Gamma, K, M'_{i-n}) = 0$  holds for  $0 \le n \le t$ . Hence the following sequence

$$0 \longleftarrow H^{-\iota}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M') \xleftarrow{\text{Def}^{-\iota}} H^{-\iota}(\Lambda, K, M') \xleftarrow{\text{Cor}^{-\iota}} H^{-\iota}(\Gamma, K, M')$$

is exact by the assumption of induction. Note that  $H^{-t}(\Gamma, K, M')=0$  holds. The (P, S)-, (P, K)- and (S, K)-exact sequence

$$(6) \qquad \qquad 0 \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

induces the following commutative diagram by Lemma 2.5

where  $\partial$ ,  $\partial^{\Lambda}$  and  $\partial^{\Gamma}$  are connecting homomorphisms for (6),  $\bar{d}$  is a homomorphism induced by d and  $\tau$  is the isomorphism of Lemma 2.3. The isomorphism  $H^{r}(\Lambda, K, M_{1}) \rightarrow H^{r}(\operatorname{Hom}(_{Q}Y, _{Q}M))$  in the proof of Lemma 2.3 is induced by an

isomorphism  $u: \operatorname{Hom}({}_{P}Y_{\tau}, {}_{P}M_{1}) \to \operatorname{Hom}({}_{Q}Y_{\tau}, {}_{Q}M)$  such that  $u(f) = \mu \circ f$  where the Q-homomorhism  $\mu: M_{1} \to M$  is defined by  $\mu((x \otimes y) \otimes m) = h(x)my$  for  $x \otimes y \in P$  and  $m \in M$ . Therefore  $\operatorname{Cor}^{-t-1} \circ \tau = \overline{d}$  holds.  $M_{1}$  is (P, Q)-injective since P/Qis a Frobenius extension. So by Proposition 1.1,  $H^{i}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M_{1}) = 0$  holds for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore  $\partial$  is an isomorphism. And  $\partial^{\Lambda}$  is an epimorphism because  $H^{-t}(\Lambda, K, M_{1}) \cong H^{-t}(\Gamma, K, M)$  holds by Lemma 2.3 and  $H^{-t}(\Gamma, K, M) = 0$  holds by  $H^{-t}(\Gamma, K, M) \oplus H^{-t}(\Gamma, K, M') \cong H^{-t}(\Gamma, K, M_{1}) = 0$ . Hence for the middle sequence of the above commutative diagram, Theorem 2.6 holds.

# 3. The cup product on the complete relative cohomology.

The cup product on the complete cohomology of Frobenius algebras is defined in [9]. In this section we will introduce the cup product on the complete relative cohomology of Frobenius extensions. Let  $\Lambda/\Gamma$  be a Frobenius extension of K-algebras and P, Q, R, S,  $\{r_i\}$ ,  $\{l_i\}$ , h and  $Z(\Lambda)$  be the same as in section 1.  $\Gamma/K$  does not need to be a Frobenius extension.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let A and B be any left P-modules and let r and s be any integers. Assume that an element  $\alpha \cup \beta \in H^{r+s}(\Lambda, \Gamma, A \otimes_A B)$  is defined uniquely for every  $\alpha \in H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, A)$  and  $\beta \in H^s(\Lambda, \Gamma, B)$ . If  $\cup$  satisfies the following conditions (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), we will call  $\cup$  the cup product on  $H^*(\Lambda, \Gamma, -)$  and call  $\alpha \cup \beta$  the cup product of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

(i)  $\cup$  induces a  $Z(\Lambda)$ -homomorphism:

$$H^*(\Lambda, \ \Gamma, \ A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}(\Lambda)} H^*(\Lambda, \ \Gamma, \ B) \xrightarrow{\bigcup} H^*(\Lambda, \ \Gamma, \ A \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} B)$$

(ii) Let  $0 \to A_1 \to A_2 \to A_3 \to 0$  be a (P, S)-exact sequence and B be a left P-module. If  $0 \to A_1 \otimes_A B \to A_2 \otimes_A B \to A_3 \otimes_A B \to 0$  is also (P, S)-exact, there holds  $\partial(\alpha \cup \beta) = \partial(\alpha) \cup \beta$  for every  $\alpha \in H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, A_3)$  and  $\beta \in H^s(\Lambda, \Gamma, B)$ , where  $\partial$  denotes the connecting homomorphism.

(iii) Let  $0 \to B_1 \to B_2 \to B_3 \to 0$  be a (P, S)-exact sequence and A be a left P-module. If  $0 \to A \otimes_A B_1 \to A \otimes_A B_2 \to A \otimes_A B_3 \to 0$  is also (P, S)-exact, there holds  $\partial(\alpha \cup \beta) = (-1)^r \alpha \cup \partial(\beta)$  for every  $\alpha \in H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, A)$  and  $\beta \in H^s(\Lambda, \Gamma, B_3)$ , where  $\partial$  denotes the conneting homomorphism.

(iv) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{\mathfrak{o}}(\Lambda, \ \Gamma, \ A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}(\Lambda)} H^{\mathfrak{o}}(\Lambda, \ \Gamma, \ B) \xrightarrow{\bigcup} H^{\mathfrak{o}}(\Lambda, \ \Gamma, \ A \otimes_{\Lambda} B) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A^{\Lambda}/N_{A/\Gamma}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}(\Lambda)} B^{\Lambda}/N_{A/\Gamma}(B) \longrightarrow (A \otimes_{\Lambda} B)^{\Lambda}/N_{A/\Gamma}(A \otimes_{\Lambda} B) \end{array}$$

commutes, in which the vertical homomorphisms are isomorphisms by Proposition 1.2 and the homomorphism in the bottom row is defined by

$$(a+N_{A/\Gamma}(A))\otimes(b+N_{A/\Gamma}(B)) \longrightarrow a\otimes b+N_{A/\Gamma}(A\otimes_A B).$$

PROPOSITION 3.2. If  $\cup$  and  $\cup'$  satisfy the conditions (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) of Definition 3.1 respectively, then  $\cup = \cup'$  holds.

PROOF. This proposition is proved by the same method as [1, VI, Lemma 5.8], that is, proved inductively by using the following lemma of dimension-shiftings:

LEMMA 3.3. Let M be a left P-module. Then we have the following four natural (P, Q)- (or (P, R)-) exact sequences for M:

(7) 
$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \phi \longrightarrow P \otimes_{Q} M \xrightarrow{\phi} M \longrightarrow 0,$$

(8) 
$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \phi' \longrightarrow P \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} M \xrightarrow{\phi'} M \longrightarrow 0,$$

(9) 
$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{i} \operatorname{Hom}(_{Q}P, _{Q}M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker} i \longrightarrow 0,$$

(10) 
$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{i} \operatorname{Hom}(_{\mathbb{R}}P, _{\mathbb{R}}M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker} i' \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\phi(p \otimes m) = pm$ ,  $\phi'(p \otimes m) = pm$ ,  $i(m) = [p \rightarrow pm]$  and  $i'(m) = [p \rightarrow pm]$ . For any left P-module N,  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \phi \otimes_A N \rightarrow (P \otimes_Q M) \otimes_A N \rightarrow M \otimes_A N \rightarrow 0$  is also a (P, Q)exact sequence. With this sequence and (7) there hold

(i)  $\partial: H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, M) \cong H^{r+1}(\Lambda, \Gamma, \operatorname{Ker} \phi),$ 

$$\partial: H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, M \otimes_A N) \cong H^{r+1}(\Lambda, \Gamma, \operatorname{Ker} \phi \otimes_A N)$$

where  $\partial$  is the connecting homomorphism. Similarly there hold

(ii)  $\partial: H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, M) \cong H^{r+1}(\Lambda, \Gamma, \operatorname{Ker} \phi'),$  $\partial: H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, N \otimes_A M) \cong H^{r+1}(\Lambda, \Gamma, N \otimes_A \operatorname{Ker} \phi'),$ (iii)  $\partial: H^{r-1}(\Lambda, \Gamma, \operatorname{Coker} i) \cong H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, M),$ 

$$\partial: H^{r-1}(\Lambda, \Gamma, \operatorname{Coker} i \otimes_A N) \cong H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, M \otimes_A N),$$

- (iv)  $\partial: H^{r-1}(\Lambda, \Gamma, \operatorname{Coker} i') \cong H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, M),$ 
  - $\partial: H^{r-1}(\Lambda, \Gamma, N \otimes_A \operatorname{Coker} i') \cong H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, N \otimes_A M)$

with (8), (9) and (10) respectively.

PROOF. By Proposition 1.1 any complete (P, S)-resolution of  $\Lambda$  is a (P, Q)exact sequence.  $P \otimes_Q M$  and  $(P \otimes_Q M) \otimes_A N \cong P \otimes_Q (M \otimes_A N)$  are (P, Q)-injective since P/Q is a Frobenius extension. Therefore  $H^*(\Lambda, \Gamma, P \otimes_Q M) = 0$  and  $H^*(\Lambda, \Gamma, (P \otimes_Q M) \otimes_A N) = 0$  hold. Hence (i) holds. Similar arguments prove (ii), (iii) and (iv).

Note that the cup product is independent of the choice of complete (P, S)-resolutions of  $\Lambda$ .

LEMMA 3.4. Let  $(X, d, \varepsilon, \eta)$  be a complete (P, S)-resolution of  $\Lambda$ . Then for any integers r and s there exists a left P-homomorphism  $\Delta_{r,s}: X_{r+s} \to X_r \otimes_{\Lambda} X_s$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $(\varepsilon \otimes_A \varepsilon) \circ \Delta_{0,0} = \varepsilon$ ,
- (ii)  $\Delta_{r,s} \circ d_{r+s+1} = (d_{r+1} \otimes_A 1_{X_s}) \circ \Delta_{r+1,s} + (-1)^r (1_{X_r} \otimes_A d_{s+1}) \circ \Delta_{r,s+1}$ .

PROOF. This lemma is proved by using the same method as [1, p. 140]: For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  put  $(X \widehat{\otimes}_A X)_n = \prod_{p+q=n} X_p \otimes_A X_q$  and define  $\delta_n : (X \widehat{\otimes}_A X)_n \to (X \widehat{\otimes}_A X)_{n-1}$ by  $\delta_n = \prod_{p+q=n} d_p \otimes_A 1_{X_q} + \prod_{p+q=n} (-1)^p 1_{X_p} \otimes_A d_q$ . Then  $(X \otimes_A X, \delta)$  is a chain complex and has a contracting S-homotopy  $\prod_{p+q=n} D_p^q \otimes {}_A 1_{X_q} : (X \bigotimes {}_A X)_n \to$  $(X \otimes_A X)_{n+1}$  where  $D^q$  is a contracting Q-homotopy of X which exists by Proposition 1.1. Therefore  $(X \otimes_A X, \delta)$  is (P, S)-exact. The direct product of relative injectives is relative injective and the (P, S)-projective module  $X_p \otimes_A X_q$ is (P, S)-injective since P/S is a Frobenius extension. So  $(X \bigotimes AX, \delta)$  is dimension-wise (P, S)-injective. Therefore if there exists a P-homomorphism  $\alpha: X_{\mathfrak{o}} \rightarrow$  $(X \widehat{\otimes}_A X)_0$  such that  $(\varepsilon \otimes_A \varepsilon) \circ \alpha = \varepsilon$  and  $\delta_0 \circ \alpha \circ d_1 = 0$  holds,  $\alpha$  extends to a chain map  $\Delta: X \to X \widehat{\otimes}_A X$  which satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Put  $\alpha = (\alpha_p)$  where  $\alpha_p: X_0 \to X_p \otimes_A X_{-p}$ . Then since  $X_0$  is (P, S)-projective, we can take  $\alpha$  such that the condition  $(\varepsilon \otimes_A \varepsilon) \circ \alpha = (\varepsilon \otimes_A \varepsilon) \circ \alpha_0 = \varepsilon$  holds. Put  $\delta'_{pq} = d_p \otimes_A 1_{X_q}$  and  $\delta''_{pq} = d_p \otimes_A 1_{X_q}$  $(-1)^{p} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}_{n}} \otimes_{A} d_{q}$ . Then the condition  $\delta_{0} \circ \alpha \circ d_{1} = 0$  is equivalent to a condition (iii)  $\delta'_{p,-p} \circ \alpha_p + \delta''_{p-1,1-p} \circ \alpha_{p-1} = 0$  on Im  $d_1$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Consider the sequence  $(X \otimes_A X_q)$  $\delta'_{-,q}$  for any fixed q.  $X_q$  is (P, S)-projective, that is,  ${}_{P}X_q \oplus_{P}(\Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} M \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda)$  holds for an S-module M, and X has a contracting R-homotopy by Proposition 1.1. Therefore  $(X \otimes_A X_q, \delta'_{-,q})$  has a contracting *P*-homotopy *H*. Now assume that p>0 and that  $\alpha_{p-1}$  has been defined. Set  $\alpha_p = -H \circ \delta_{p-1,1-p}' \circ \alpha_{p-1}$ . Then  $\alpha_p$ satisfies the condition (iii). In fact,

$$\begin{split} \delta' \circ \alpha_p + \delta'' \circ \alpha_{p-1} &= -\delta' \circ H \circ \delta'' \circ \alpha_{p-1} + \delta'' \circ \alpha_{p-1} \\ &= H \circ \delta' \circ \delta'' \circ \alpha_{p-1} \quad \text{by the definition of } H \\ &= -H \circ \delta'' \circ \delta' \circ \alpha_{p-1} \quad \text{because } \delta' \text{ and } \delta'' \text{ anti-commute} \end{split}$$

where we have ommitted the subscripts on  $\delta'$  and  $\delta''$  to simplify the notations. If p=1, then  $H \circ \delta'' \circ \delta' \circ \alpha_{p-1} = H \circ (d_0 \otimes_A d_0) \circ \alpha_0 = H \circ (\eta \otimes_A \eta) \circ (\varepsilon \otimes_A \varepsilon) \circ \alpha_0 = H \circ (\eta \otimes_A \eta) \circ \varepsilon$ =0 holds on Im  $d_1$ . If p>1, then by the inductive hypothesis  $\delta' \circ \alpha_{p-1} + \delta'' \circ \alpha_{p-2} = 0$  holds on Im  $d_1$ . So  $H \circ \delta'' \circ \delta' \circ \alpha_{p-1} = -H \circ \delta'' \circ \delta'' \circ \alpha_{p-2} = 0$  holds on Im  $d_1$ . A similar argument constructs  $\alpha_p$  for p<0 by descending induction. Thus the proof of this lemma is complete.

By Lemma 3.4 we have the cup product of  $\alpha \in H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, \Lambda)$  and  $\beta \in H^s(\Lambda, \Gamma, B)$ : Put  $\alpha = \overline{f}$  and  $\beta = \overline{g}$  where f and g are representatives. Then the cup product is given by  $\alpha \cup \beta = \overline{(f \otimes_A g) \circ \Delta_{r,s}}$ . Thus we obtain the following theorem:

THEOREM 3.5. There is a cup product uniquely on  $H^*(\Lambda, \Gamma, -)$ .

The cup product has the following anti-commutativity:

THEOREM 3.6. Let M be a P-module. Then for arbitrary  $\alpha \in H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, \Lambda)$ and  $\beta \in H^s(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$ ,  $\alpha \cup \beta = (-1)^{rs} \beta \cup \alpha$  holds.

PROOF. Let  $(X, d, \varepsilon, \eta)$  be (2) in section 1. Put  $\varphi_n = (1_{X_n} \otimes_A \varepsilon) \circ \Delta_{n,0}$  and  $\phi_n = (\varepsilon \otimes_A 1_{X_n}) \circ \Delta_{0,n}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $\Delta$  is the same as in Lemma 3.4.  $\varphi$ :  $X \to X$  and  $\phi: X \to X$  are chain maps. Since  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon \circ \varphi_0 = \varepsilon \circ \phi_0$  holds,  $\varphi$  is homotopic to  $\phi$ , that is, there exists a *P*-homomorphism  $\nu_n: X_n \to X_{n+1}$  such that  $\varphi_n - \phi_n = \nu_{n-1} \circ d_n + d_{n+1} \circ \nu_n$  holds for all *n*. Let *f* and *g* be representatives of  $\alpha \in H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, \Lambda)$  and  $\beta \in H^s(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$  respectively. Consider the case of s=0. Since  $g(1\otimes 1) \in M^A$  holds by Proposition 1.2, there holds  $(f \otimes_A g) \circ \Delta_{r,0} = g(1\otimes 1)f \circ \varphi_r = g(1\otimes 1)f \circ \varphi_r + g(1\otimes 1)f \circ \nu_{r-1} \circ d_r = (g \otimes_A f) \circ \Delta_{0,r} + g(1\otimes 1)f \circ \nu_{r-1} \circ d_r$ . Therefore  $\alpha \cup \beta = (-1)^{\delta}\beta \cup \alpha$  holds for any  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\Lambda$  is flat as a left  $\Lambda$ -module and as a right  $\Lambda$ -module, we can use (ii) and (iii) of Definition 3.1. Therefore by using Lemma 3.3 for  $H^s(\Lambda, \Gamma, M), \alpha \cup \beta = (-1)^{rs}\beta \cup \alpha$  holds for any r and s.

The cup product has the following associatitivity:

THEOREM 3.7. Let A, B and C be P-modules. Then for  $\alpha \in H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, A)$ ,  $\beta \in H^s(\Lambda, \Gamma, B)$  and  $\gamma \in H^t(\Lambda, \Gamma, C)$ ,  $(\alpha \cup \beta) \cup \gamma = \alpha \cup (\beta \cup \gamma)$  holds.

**PROOF.** We can prove this theorem by the method like the proof of Theorem 3.6: Let  $(X, d, \varepsilon, \eta)$  be (2) in section 1. Put

 $\varphi_n = (\varepsilon \bigotimes_A 1_{X_n} \bigotimes_A \varepsilon) \circ (\Delta_{0, n} \bigotimes_A 1_{X_0}) \circ \Delta_{n, 0} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_n = (\varepsilon \bigotimes_A 1_{X_n} \bigotimes_A \varepsilon) \circ (1_{X_0} \bigotimes_A \Delta_{n, 0}) \circ \Delta_{0, n}$ 

for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $\Delta$  is the same as in Lemma 3.4.  $\varphi: X \to X$  and  $\varphi: X \to X$  are chain maps. Since  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon \circ \varphi_0 = \varepsilon \circ \phi_0$  holds,  $\varphi$  is homotopic to  $\phi$ , that is, there exists a *P*-homomorphism  $\nu_n: X_n \to X_{n+1}$  which satisfies  $\varphi_n - \phi_n = \nu_{n-1} \circ d_n + d_{n+1} \circ$  $\nu_n$ . Let *f*, *g* and *k* be representatives of  $\alpha \in H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, \Lambda)$ ,  $\beta \in H^s(\Lambda, \Gamma, B)$  and  $\gamma \in H^t(\Lambda, \Gamma, C)$  respectively. Consider the case of r=t=0. Since  $f(1\otimes 1) \in A^\Lambda$ and  $k(1\otimes 1) \in C^\Lambda$  hold, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} &((f \otimes_{A} g) \otimes_{A} k) \circ (\Delta_{0, s} \otimes_{A} 1_{X_{0}}) \circ \Delta_{s, 0} = f(1 \otimes 1) \otimes_{A} g \circ \varphi_{s} \otimes_{A} k(1 \otimes 1) \\ &= f(1 \otimes 1) \otimes_{A} g \circ \varphi_{s} \otimes_{A} k(1 \otimes 1) + (f(1 \otimes 1) \otimes_{A} g \circ \nu_{s-1} \otimes_{A} k(1 \otimes 1)) \circ d_{s} \\ &= (f \otimes_{A} (g \otimes_{A} k)) \circ (1_{X_{0}} \otimes_{A} \Delta_{s, 0}) \circ \Delta_{0, s} + (f(1 \otimes 1) \otimes_{A} g \circ \nu_{s-1} \otimes_{A} k(1 \otimes 1)) \circ d_{s} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(\alpha \cup \beta) \cup \gamma = \alpha \cup (\beta \cup \gamma)$  holds for the case of r=t=0. By using Lemma 3.3 for  $H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, \Lambda)$  and  $H^t(\Lambda, \Gamma, C)$ , we have  $(\alpha \cup \beta) \cup \gamma = \alpha \cup (\beta \cup \gamma)$  for any  $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

By Theorem 3.7  $H^*(\Lambda, \Gamma, \Lambda) = \bigoplus_{r=\mathbf{Z}} H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, \Lambda)$  is a ring with the identity element which is the image of  $\overline{1} \in Z(\Lambda)/N_{A/\Gamma}(\Lambda)$  on the isomorphism  $Z(\Lambda)/N_{A/\Gamma}(\Lambda) \cong H^0(\Lambda, \Gamma, \Lambda)$  of Proposition 1.2.

Now assume that  $\Gamma/K$  is also a Frobenius extension. Then since  $\Lambda/K$  is a Frobenius extension, we have the cup product  $\cup$  on  $H^*(\Lambda, K, -)$ .

LEMMA 3.8. For any (P, S)-exact sequence  $0 \to L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{s} N \to 0$ , we have two connecting homomorphisms  $\partial: H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma, N) \to H^{r+1}(\Lambda, \Gamma, L)$  and  $\partial^{\Lambda}: H^r(\Lambda, K, N) \to H^{r+1}(\Lambda, K, L)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  by Lemma 2.4. Then we have

- (i)  $\partial^{\Lambda} \circ \operatorname{Inf}^{r} = \operatorname{Inf}^{r+1} \circ \partial \text{ for } r \ge 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\operatorname{Inf}^1 \circ \partial \circ \operatorname{Def}^0 = \partial^A$ .

PROOF. We use (4) in section 2 for the proof. (i) holds by the commutativity of (4). Let A be any left P-module. By K(A) and K'(A) we denote the kernels of  $c_1^*$ : Hom $(_PY_0, _PA) \rightarrow$  Hom $(_PY_1, _PA)$  and  $d_1^*$ : Hom $(_PX_0, _PA) \rightarrow$ Hom $(_PX_1, _PA)$  respectively. Then the diagram

is commutative where  $g_*$  is the homomorphism induced by g with the natural way and  $K() \rightarrow K'()$  is the same isomorphism by which we defined Def<sup>0</sup> in section 2.  $\sigma_1^* \circ f_* = f_* \circ \sigma_1^*$  and  $\sigma_1^* \circ d_1^* = c_1^* \circ \sigma_0^*$  hold. Therefore (ii) holds.

PROPOSITION 3.9. Let A and B be left P-modules and let  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  be elements of  $H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, \Lambda)$ ,  $H^{s}(\Lambda, \Gamma, B)$ ,  $H^{r}(\Lambda, K, \Lambda)$  and  $H^{s}(\Lambda, K, B)$  respectively. Then we have

- (i)  $\operatorname{Inf}^{r+s}(\alpha \cup \beta) = \operatorname{Inf}^{r}(\alpha) \cup \operatorname{Inf}^{s}(\beta) \text{ for } r \ge 1 \text{ and } s \ge 1,$
- (ii)  $\operatorname{Def}^{r+s}(\alpha' \cup \beta') = \operatorname{Def}^{r}(\alpha') \cup \operatorname{Def}^{s}(\beta')$  for  $r \leq 0$  and  $s \leq 0$ ,
- (iii-i)  $\operatorname{Def}^{r+s}(\alpha' \cup \operatorname{Inf}^{s}(\beta)) = \operatorname{Def}^{r}(\alpha') \cup \beta$  for  $r < 0, s \ge 1$  and  $r+s \le 0$ ,
- (iii-ii)  $\operatorname{Def}^{r+s}(\operatorname{Inf}^{r}(\alpha)\cup\beta')=\alpha\cup\operatorname{Def}^{s}(\beta')$  for  $r\geq 1$ , s<0 and  $r+s\leq 0$ ,
- (iv-i)  $\operatorname{Inf}^{r+s}(\operatorname{Def}^{r}(\alpha')\cup\beta)=\alpha'\cup\operatorname{Inf}^{s}(\beta)$  for  $r\leq 0, s\geq 1$  and  $r+s\geq 1$ ,
- (iv-ii)  $\operatorname{Inf}^{r+s}(\alpha \cup \operatorname{Def}^{s}(\beta')) = \operatorname{Inf}^{r}(\alpha) \cup \beta'$  for  $r \ge 1$ ,  $s \le 0$  and  $r+s \ge 1$ .

PROOF. Let X be (2) in section 1. Then we can take  $\Delta$  of Lemma 3.4 such that  $\Delta_{0,0}(x_0 \otimes_{\Gamma} x_1) = (x_0 \otimes_{\Gamma} 1) \otimes_A (1 \otimes_{\Gamma} x_1)$  and  $\Delta_{-1,1}(x_0 \otimes_{\Gamma} x_1) = \sum_i (x_0 r_i \otimes_{\Gamma} 1) \otimes_A (1 \otimes_{\Gamma} l_i \otimes_{\Gamma} x_1)$  hold. Since  $\Lambda/K$  is a Frobenius extension, we have a complete (P, K)-resolution Y of  $\Lambda$  whose type is (2) in section 1. Then  $\sigma_r$  of (4) in section 2 is given by

$$\sigma_{\tau}(x_0 \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K x_{\tau+1}) = x_0 \otimes_{\Gamma} \cdots \otimes_{\Gamma} x_{\tau+1} \quad \text{for} \quad r \ge 0,$$
  
$$\sigma_{-\tau}(x_0 \otimes_{\Gamma} \cdots \otimes_{\Gamma} x_{\tau}) = \sum_{i_0, \cdots, i_{\tau-1}} x_0 r'_{i_0} \otimes_K l'_{i_0} x_1 r'_{i_1} \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K l'_{i_{\tau-1}} x_{\tau} \quad \text{for} \quad r \ge 1$$

where  $\{r'_i\}$  and  $\{l'_i\}$  are elements of  $\Gamma$  with respect to the Frobenius extension  $\Gamma/K$  like as  $\{r_i\}$  and  $\{l_i\}$  of  $\Lambda$  respectively. Let  $\Delta_{\tau,s}^A$  be the *P*-homomorphism of Lemma 3.4 for *Y*. Then  $\Delta_{-1,1}^A(x_0 \otimes_K x_1) = \sum_{i,j} (x_0 r_i r'_j \otimes_K 1) \otimes_A (1 \otimes_K l'_j l_i \otimes_K x_1)$  holds. Now we show (iii-i). Put  $\alpha' = \overline{f}$  and  $\beta = \overline{g}$  where *f* and *g* are representatives of  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta$  respectively. At first we prove the case of r+s=0 by induction on *s*. Since there holds

$$Def^{0}(\bar{f} \cup Inf^{1}(\bar{g})) = Def^{0}(\overline{(f \otimes_{A} g \circ \sigma_{1})} \circ \Delta^{A}_{-1,1})$$

$$= \overline{[x_{0} \otimes_{\Gamma} x_{1} \longrightarrow \sum_{i,j} f(x_{0}r_{i}r'_{j} \otimes_{K} 1) \otimes_{A} g(1 \otimes_{\Gamma} l'_{j} l_{i} \otimes_{\Gamma} x_{1})]}$$

$$= \overline{(f \circ \sigma_{-1} \otimes_{A} g) \circ \Delta_{-1,1}}$$

$$= Def^{-1}(\bar{f}) \cup \bar{g}.$$

the case of s=1 holds. Assume that (iii-i) holds for some s and for any left *P*-modfules *A* and *B*. Let  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta$  be elements of  $H^{-(s+1)}(\Lambda, K, A)$  and  $H^{s+1}(\Lambda, \Gamma, B)$  respectively. Then with (7),  $\partial^A(\alpha') \in H^{-s}(\Lambda, K, \text{Ker } \phi)$  holds where  $\partial^A$  is the connecting homomorphism. By (iv) of Lemma 3.3 there exists  $\beta'' \in H^s(\Lambda, \Gamma, \text{Coker } i')$  such that  $\partial(\beta'') = \beta$  holds. By the assumption of induction  $\text{Def}^{\circ}(\partial^A(\alpha') \cup \text{Inf}^s(\beta'')) = \text{Def}^{-s}(\partial^A(\alpha')) \cup \beta''$  holds. So we have  $\partial(\text{Def}^{-1}(\alpha' \cup \text{Inf}^s(\beta''))) = \partial(\text{Def}^{-(s+1)}(\alpha') \cup \beta'')$  by Lemma 2.5. Since this  $\partial$  is an isomorphism, we can cancel  $\partial$ . Therefore by Lemmas 2.5 and 3.8 (iii-i) holds for  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta$ .

Assume that (iii-i) holds for the case of r+s=-n ( $n\geq 0$ ). Consider the case of r+s=-(n+1). By (ii) of Lemma 3.3,  $\partial(\beta)\in H^{s+1}(\Lambda, \Gamma, \operatorname{Ker} \phi')$  holds. So  $\operatorname{Def}^{-n}(\alpha'\cup\operatorname{Inf}^{s+1}(\partial(\beta)))=\operatorname{Def}^{r}(\alpha')\cup\partial(\beta)$  holds. By Lemmas 2.5 and 3.8  $\partial(\operatorname{Def}^{-(n+1)}(\alpha'\cup\operatorname{Inf}^{s}(\beta)))=\partial(\operatorname{Def}^{r}(\alpha')\cup\beta)$  holds. This  $\partial$  is an isomorphism. Hence (iii-i) holds. (iii-ii) is shown by the same method. Next we show (iv-i). At first we show the case of r+s=1 by induction on r. For r=0 (iv-i) holds by the computation like (iii-i). Assume that (iv-i) holds for some r and for any left P-modules A and B. Let  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta$  be elements of  $H^{r-1}(\Lambda, K, A)$  and  $H^{2-r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, B)$  respectively. By (iv) of Lemma 3.3 there exists  $\beta'' \in H^{1-r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, \operatorname{Coker} i')$ such that  $\partial(\beta'')=\beta$  holds. Then  $\operatorname{Def}^{0}(\alpha'\cup\operatorname{Inf}^{1-r}(\beta''))=\operatorname{Def}^{r-1}(\alpha')\cup\beta''$  holds by (iii-i). Therefore by Lemma 3.8,

$$Inf^{1}(Def^{r-1}(\alpha')\cup\beta) = Inf^{1}(Def^{r-1}(\alpha')\cup\partial(\beta''))$$
$$= (-1)^{r-1}Inf^{1}\cdot\partial(Def^{r-1}(\alpha')\cup\beta'')$$
$$= (-1)^{r-1}Inf^{1}\cdot\partial\cdot Def^{0}(\alpha'\cup Inf^{1-r}(\beta''))$$
$$= (-1)^{r-1}\partial^{A}(\alpha'\cup Inf^{1-r}(\beta''))$$
$$= \alpha'\cup Inf^{2-r}(\beta)$$

holds. Next assume that (iv-i) holds for the case of r+s=n  $(n\geq 1)$ . Consider the case of r+s=n+1. By (iv) of Lemma 3.3 there exists  $\beta'' \in H^{\mathfrak{e}-1}(\Lambda, \Gamma, \Gamma)$ . Coker *i'*) such that  $\partial(\beta'') = \beta$  holds. Since  $\mathrm{Inf}^n(\mathrm{Def}^r(\alpha') \cup \beta'') = \alpha' \cup \mathrm{Inf}^{\mathfrak{e}-1}(\beta'')$ holds, (iv-i) holds for  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta$  by using Lemma 3.8. (iv-ii) is shown by the same method. (i) and (ii) are also shown by induction easier than (iii-i) and (iv-i).

#### Acknowledgements.

The author would like to express his tanks to Professor Kazuhiko Hirata and Dr. Katunori Sanada for their kind advice during the preparation of this paper.

#### References

- [1] Brown, K.S., "Cohomology of Groups", Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [2] Cartan, H. and Eilenberg, S., Homological algebra, Princeton Math. Series. Princeton, 1956.
- [3] Farnsteiner, R., On the cohomology of ring extensions, Advances in Math. 87 (1991), 42-70.
- [4] Hattori, A., On fundamental exact sequences, J. Math. Soc. Jap. 12 (1960), 65-80.
- [5] Hirata, K., On relative homological algebra of Frobenius extensions, Nagoya Math.

J. 15 (1959), 17-28.

- [6] Hochschild, G., Relative homological algebra, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 82 (1956), 246-269.
- [7] Nakayama, T., On the complete cohomology theory of Frobenius algebras, Osaka Math. J. 9 (1957), 165-187.
- [8] Onodera, T., Some studies on projective Frobenius extensions, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. 18 (1964), 89-107.
- [9] Sanada, K., On the cohomology of Frobenius algebras, Preprint.
- [10] Sanada, K., On the cohomology of Frobenius algebras II, Preprint.
- [11] Weiss, E., "Cohomology of Groups", Academic Press, New York, 1969.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Chiba University Yayoi-cho, Chiba city 260 Japan