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## Introduction.

Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra over a commutative ring $K$ and $\Gamma$ a subalgebra. Suppose that the extension $\Lambda / \Gamma$ is a Frobenius extension. Then in [3, section 3], the complete relative cohomology group $H_{(A, \Gamma)}^{r}(M,-)$ is introduced for an arbitrary left $\Lambda$-module $M$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. We denote the opposite rings of $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$ by $\Lambda^{0}$ and $\Gamma^{0}$ respectively. Put $P=\Lambda \otimes_{K} \Lambda^{0}$ and let $S$ denote the natural image of $\Gamma \otimes_{K} \Gamma^{0}$ in $P$. Then the extension $P / S$ is also a Frobenius extension. Since $\Lambda$ is a left $P$-module with the natural way, we have $H_{(P, S)}^{r}(\Lambda,-)$. We will denote this $H_{(p, s)}^{r}(\Lambda,-)$ by $H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma,-)$ for [6, section 3]. In this paper, we will study this complete relative cohomology $H(\Lambda, \Gamma$, -). In section 1 , we will study relative complete resolutions of $\Lambda$ and in section 2 , we will introduce the dual of the fundamental exact sequence of [4, Proposition 1 and Theorem 1] for complete relative cohomology groups. In section 3, we will study an internal product like as in [9, section 2] which we will call the cup product. If the basic ring of the Frobenius extension is commutative, the cup product in this paper coincides with the product $V$ in [2, Exercise 2 of Chapter XI] for dimension $>0$.

## 1. Relative complete resolutions.

Let $P$ be a ring and $S$ a subring such that the extension $P / S$ is a Frobenius extension. In [3], the complete ( $P, S$ )-resolution of a left $P$-module $M$ is introduced. It is a $(P, S)$-exact sequence $\cdots \rightarrow X_{1} \xrightarrow{d_{1}} X_{0} \xrightarrow{d_{0}} X_{-1} \xrightarrow{d_{-1}} \cdots$ such that $X_{n}$ is $\left(P, S\right.$ )-projective for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and there exist a $P$-epimorphism $\varepsilon: X_{0} \rightarrow M$ and a $P$-monomorphism $\eta: M \rightarrow X_{-1}$ which satisfy $\eta \circ \varepsilon=d_{0}$, that is, the complete ( $P, S$ )-resolution of $M$ is an exact sequence which consists of a $(P, S)$-projective resolution and a $(P, S)$-injective resolution of $M$ since $(P, S)$-projectivity is equivalent to $(P, S)$-injectivity. Note that any two complete ( $P, S$ )-resolutions

[^0]of $M$ denoted by $Q$ and $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}$ have the same homotopy type, i. e., for chain maps $F: \mathscr{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\prime}$ and $G: \mathcal{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ over the identity map $1_{M}, F \circ G$ and $G \circ F$ are homotopic to $1_{U^{\prime}}$ and $1_{V}$ respectively. Therefore for any subring $Q$ of $P$, if there exists a complete $(P, S)$-resolution of $M$ which has a contracting $Q$ homotopy in addition to the contracting $S$-homotopy, any complete ( $P, S$ )resolution of $M$ also has a contracting $Q$-homotopy. Especially if $P / Q$ is also a Frobenius extension such that $Q \supseteq S$ holds and there exists a complete $(P, S)$ resolution with a contracting $Q$-homotopy, all complete ( $P, S$ )-resolutions of $M$ are complete $(P, Q)$-resolutions of $M$ since $(P, S)$-projective modules are $(P, Q)$ projective modules.

Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra over a commutative ring $K$ and $\Gamma$ be a subalgebra of 1. We suppose that the extension $\Lambda / \Gamma$ is a Frobenius extension, that is to say, there exist elements of $\Lambda$ denoted by $\left\{r_{1}, \cdots, r_{n}\right\},\left\{l_{1}, \cdots, l_{n}\right\}$ and a $\Gamma$ - $\Gamma$ homomorphism $h \in \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{\Gamma} \Lambda_{\Gamma}, \Gamma \Gamma_{\Gamma}\right)$ such that $x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(x r_{i}\right) l_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} h\left(l_{i} x\right)$ for all $x \in \Lambda$. Let $\Lambda^{0}$ and $\Gamma^{0}$ be the opposite rings of $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$ respectively. Put $P=\Lambda \otimes_{K} \Lambda^{o}$ and let $Q, R$ and $S$ be the images of natural homomorphisms $\Gamma \otimes_{K} \Lambda^{0} \rightarrow P, \Lambda \otimes_{K} \Gamma^{0} \rightarrow P$ and $\Gamma \otimes_{K} \Gamma^{0} \rightarrow P$ respectively. Then the extensions $P / Q, P / R$ and $P / S$ are Frobenius extensions. We regard $\Lambda$ as a left $P$-module with the natural way.

Proposition 1.1. Any complete ( $P, S$ )-resolution of $\Lambda$ has a contracting $Q$ homotopy and a contracting $R$-homotopy in addition to the contracting $S$-homotopy.

Proof. We can prove this proposition by constructing such a complete ( $P, S$ )-resolution of $\Lambda$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \longrightarrow X_{r} \xrightarrow{b_{r}} X_{r-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_{1} \xrightarrow{b_{1}} X_{0} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \Lambda \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a ( $P, S$ )-projective resolution of $\Lambda$ such that $X_{r}=\Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} \cdots \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda(r+2$ copies), $b_{r}\left(x_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{r}(-1)^{r-i} x_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i} x_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1}$ and $\varepsilon\left(x_{0} \otimes x_{1}\right)=x_{0} x_{1}$.
Note that (1) has two types of contracting $S$-homotopy. The one is a contracting $Q$-homotopy such that $x_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1} \rightarrow(-1)^{r+1} 1 \otimes x_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1}$. The other is a contracting $R$-homotopy such that $x_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1} \rightarrow x_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1} \otimes 1$. Hom ( ${ }_{A} X_{r}$, $\left.{ }_{1} \Lambda\right)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{r A}, \Lambda_{A}\right)$ are regarded as left $P$-modules by setting $((x \otimes y) \cdot f)$ ()$=f(() x) y$ and $((x \otimes y) \cdot g)()=x g(y())$ for $x \otimes y \in P, f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{A} X_{r}, A \Lambda\right)$ and $g \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{r \Lambda}, \Lambda_{A}\right)$. Applying the functors $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda_{-},{ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda\right)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}\left(-{ }_{\Lambda}, \Lambda_{A}\right)$ to (1), we have a ( $P, Q$ )-exact sequence and a ( $P, R$ )-exact sequence respectively. Let $\varphi_{r}$ and $\phi_{r}$ denote $P$-isomorphisms $\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{A} X_{r}, \Lambda \Lambda\right) \simeq \Lambda \otimes_{r} \cdots \otimes_{r} \Lambda(r+2$ copies $)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{r \Lambda}, \Lambda_{\Lambda}\right) \leftrightharpoons \Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} \cdots \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda(r+2$ copies) respectively such that

$$
\varphi_{r}(f)=\Sigma_{1 \leqslant i_{0} \leqslant n, \cdots, \cdots \leq i_{r} \leqslant n} r_{i_{0}} \otimes \cdots \otimes r_{i_{r}} \otimes f\left(1 \otimes l_{i_{r}} \otimes \cdots \otimes l_{i_{0}}\right),
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi_{r}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_{r+1}\right)=\left[x_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1} \rightarrow x_{0} h\left(x_{1} h\left(\cdots h\left(x_{r} h\left(x_{r+1} \lambda_{0}\right) \lambda_{1}\right) \cdots\right) \lambda_{r}\right) \lambda_{r+1}\right], \\
\phi_{r}(g)=\sum_{1 \leq i_{0} \leq n, \cdots, 1 \leq i_{r} \leq n} g\left(r_{i_{0}} \otimes \cdots \otimes r_{i_{r}} \otimes 1\right) \otimes l_{i_{r}} \otimes \cdots \otimes l_{i_{0}}, \\
\phi_{r}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_{r+1}\right)=\left[x_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1} \rightarrow \lambda_{0} h\left(\lambda_{1} h\left(\cdots h\left(\lambda_{r} h\left(\lambda_{r+1} x_{0}\right) x_{1}\right) \cdots\right) x_{r}\right) x_{r+1}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $P / S$ is a Frobenius extension, $(P, S)$-projective module $\Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} \cdots \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda$ is $(P, S)$-injective. Therefore we have two ( $P, S$ )-injective resolutions of $\Lambda$ such that the one has a contracting $Q$-homotopy and the other has a contracting $R$ homotopy. But since $\varphi_{r+1}\left(\varphi_{r}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_{r+1}\right) \cdot b_{r+1}\right)=\phi_{r+1}\left(\phi_{r}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_{r+1}\right) \cdot b_{r+1}\right)$ holds for all $\lambda_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_{r+1} \in \Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} \cdots \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda$ ( $r+2$ copies), two ( $P, S$ )-injective resolutions are same. Connecting this resolution with the standard $(P, S)$ projective resolution of $\Lambda$ that is (1) which has $(-1)^{r} b_{r}$ instead of $b_{r}$ as the differentiation, we have a complete $(P, S)$-resolution of $\Lambda$ which we want:
(2) $\begin{aligned} & \cdots \rightarrow X_{r} \xrightarrow{d_{r}} X_{r-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{1} \xrightarrow{d_{1}} X_{0} \xrightarrow{d_{0}} X_{-1} \xrightarrow{d_{-1}} \cdots \rightarrow X_{-r} \xrightarrow{d_{-r}} X_{-(r+1)} \rightarrow \cdots . \\ & \varepsilon{ }_{\Lambda}^{J_{\eta}}\end{aligned}$

Here we set $d_{r}=(-1)^{r} b_{r}$ and $X_{-r}=\Lambda \otimes_{r} \cdots \otimes_{r} \Lambda$ ( $r+1$ copies) for $r \geqq 1$, and $\eta, d_{0}$ and $d_{-r}$ are given by $\eta(x)=\sum_{i} r_{i} \otimes l_{i} x, d_{0}\left(x_{0} \otimes x_{1}\right)=\eta_{\circ} \varepsilon\left(x_{0} \otimes x_{1}\right)=\sum_{i} x_{0} r_{i} \otimes$ $l_{i} x_{1}$ and $d_{-r}\left(x_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{r} \sum_{j}(-1)^{i} x_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i-1} \otimes r_{j} \otimes l_{j} x_{i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r}$. Let denote the contracting $Q$-homotopy of (2) by $D^{Q}$. $D_{r}^{Q}: X_{r} \rightarrow X_{r+1}$ is given by $D_{r}^{Q}\left(x_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1}\right)=1 \otimes x_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1}$ for $r \geqq 0, D_{-1}^{Q}\left(x_{0} \otimes x_{1}\right)=h\left(x_{0}\right) \otimes x_{1}$ and $D_{-}{ }_{r}\left(x_{0}\right.$ $\left.\otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r}\right)=h\left(x_{0}\right) x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r}$ for $r \geqq 2$. Let denote the contracting $R$-homotopy of (2) by $D^{R}$. $\quad D_{r}^{R}: X_{r} \rightarrow X_{r+1}$ is given by $D_{r}^{R}\left(x_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1}\right)=(-1)^{r+1} x_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes$ $x_{r+1} \otimes 1$ for $r \geqq 0, D_{-1}^{R}\left(x_{0} \otimes x_{1}\right)=x_{0} \otimes h\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $D_{-r}^{R}\left(x_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r}\right)=(-1)^{r+1} x_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes$ $x_{r-1} h\left(x_{r}\right)$ for $r \geqq 2$.

We can see other complete ( $P, S$ )-resolutions of $\Lambda$ in [3], [5] and [8].
Let $M$ be a left $P$-module and $(X, d, \varepsilon, \eta$ ) be any complete $(P, S)$-resolution of $\Lambda$. Then we have the following sequnce:
$\ldots \leftarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} X_{1},{ }_{P} M\right) \stackrel{d_{1}{ }^{*}}{\leftarrow} \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} X_{0, P} M\right) \stackrel{d_{0}{ }^{*}}{\leftarrow} \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} X_{-1},{ }_{P} M\right) \stackrel{d_{-1}{ }^{*}}{\leftarrow} \ldots$
where we set $d_{r}{ }^{*}(f)=f \circ d_{r}$ for $f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} X_{r},{ }_{P} M\right)$. The $r$-th complete relative cohomology group $H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$ with coefficients in $M$ is given by $H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$ $=\operatorname{Ker} d_{r+1}{ }^{*} / \operatorname{lm} d_{r}{ }^{*}$. We put $H^{*}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)=\oplus_{r \in \boldsymbol{Z}} H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$. Let $Z(\Lambda)$ be the center of $\Lambda$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{p} X_{r},{ }_{P} M\right)$ becomes a $Z(\Lambda)$-module by setting $(c \cdot f)()=c f()$ for $c \in Z(\Lambda)$. Therefore $H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$ is a $Z(\Lambda)$-module. It is obvious that $H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$ is independent of the choice of complete $(P, S)$ resolutions of $\Lambda$.

Proposition 1.2. Put $M^{\Lambda}=\{m \in M \mid x m=m x$ for all $x \in \Lambda\}, M^{\Gamma}=\{m \in M \mid x m$ $=m x$ for all $x \in \Gamma\}$ and $N_{\Lambda /} \Gamma(M)=\left\{\sum_{i} r_{i} m l_{i} \mid m \in M^{\Gamma}\right\}$. Then $H^{0}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M) \cong$ $M^{\Lambda} / N_{A / \Gamma}(M)$ holds as $Z(\Lambda)$-modules.

Proof. Take (2) as a complete ( $P, S$ )-resolution of $\Lambda$ and let $f$ be the representative of an elemant $\alpha \in H^{0}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$. Then the isomorphism $H^{0}(\Lambda$, $\Gamma, M) \simeq M^{A} / N_{A / I}(M)$ is given by $\alpha \rightarrow f(1 \otimes 1)+N_{A / \Gamma}(M)$.

## 2. The dual of the fundamental exact sequence.

Let $\Lambda / \Gamma$ be a Frobenius extension of $K$-algebras and $P, Q, R, S,\left\{r_{i}\right\},\left\{l_{i}\right\}$ and $h$ be the same as in section 1. Suppose that $\Gamma / K$ is also a Frobenius extension in section 2. Note that $\Lambda / K$ is a Frobenius extension and $Q, R$ and $S$ are isomorphic to $\Gamma \otimes_{K} \Lambda^{0}, A \otimes_{K} \Gamma^{o}$ and $\Gamma \otimes_{K} \Gamma^{o}$ respectively. We have a complete ( $P, K$ )-resolution of $\Lambda$ and a complete ( $S, K$ )-resolution of $\Gamma$. We denote them by $Y$ and $Z$ respectively.

Now we treat the restriction homomorphism and the corestriction homomorphism introduced in [10] briefly. Let $M$ be a left $P$-module. Since $Y$ and $Z \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda$ are regarded as complete $(Q, K)$-resolutions of $\Lambda, H^{r}\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{Q} Y,{ }_{Q} M\right)\right) \cong$ $H^{r}\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{Q} Z \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda,{ }_{Q} M\right)\right)$ holds. Since $H^{r}\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{Q} Z \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda,{ }_{Q} M\right)\right) \cong H^{r}\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left(s Z,{ }_{s} M\right)\right)$ $=H^{r}(\Gamma, K, M)$ holds, we have an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{r}: H^{r}\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{Q} Y,{ }_{Q} M\right)\right) \simeq H^{r}(\Gamma, K, M) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Composing $s_{r}$ with the homomorphism induced by the natural map $\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} Y_{r}\right.$, $\left.{ }_{P} M\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{Q} Y_{r},{ }_{Q} M\right)$, we obtain the restriction homomorphism Res ${ }^{r}: H^{r}(\Lambda, K$, $M) \rightarrow H^{r}(\Gamma, K, M)$. Composing $s_{r}^{-1}$ with the homomorphism induced by the homomorphism $N_{A I \Gamma}: \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{Q} Y_{r},{ }_{Q} M\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} Y_{r},{ }_{P} M\right)$ defined by $N_{A I \Gamma}(f)()=$ $\sum_{i} r_{i} f\left(l_{i}()\right)$, we obtain the corestriction homomorphism $\operatorname{Cor}^{r}: H^{r}(\Gamma, K, M) \rightarrow$ $H^{r}(\Lambda, K, M)$.

Next let $X$ be a complete ( $P, S$ )-resolution of $\Lambda$. Dividing $X$ and $Y$ into the non-negative parts and the negative parts, that is, the relative projective resolutions of $\Lambda$ and the relative injective resolutions of $\Lambda$, then the identity homomorphism of $\Lambda$ derives a commutative diagram

and applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P}-,{ }_{p} M\right)$ to (4), $\sigma_{r}$ induces homomorphisms $\operatorname{Inf}^{r}: H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M) \rightarrow H^{r}(\Lambda, K, M)$ for $r \geqq 1$ and $\operatorname{Def}^{r}: H^{r}(\Lambda, K, M) \rightarrow H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$ for $r \leqq-1$. We will call them the inflation homomorphism and the deflation homomorphism respectively. We can define $\operatorname{Def}^{0}: H^{0}(\Lambda, K, M) \rightarrow H^{0}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$, that is, $\operatorname{Def}{ }^{0}: \operatorname{Ker} c_{1}{ }^{*} / \operatorname{Im} c_{0}{ }^{*} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} d_{1}{ }^{*} / \operatorname{Im} d_{0}{ }^{*}$ since $\operatorname{Ker} c_{1}{ }^{*} \leftrightharpoons \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} \Lambda,{ }_{P} M\right) \leadsto \operatorname{Ker} d_{1}{ }^{*}$ holds and $\operatorname{Im} d_{0}{ }^{*}$ contains the image of $\operatorname{Im} c_{0}{ }^{*}$. If we identify $H^{0}(\Lambda, K, M)$ and $H^{0}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$ with $M^{\Lambda} / N_{A / K}(M)$ and $M^{4} / N_{A / \Gamma}(M)$ respectively by Proposition 1.2, $\operatorname{Def}^{0}\left(m+N_{A / K}(M)\right)=m+N_{A / I}(M)$ holds.

Note that Res, Cor, Inf and Def are independent of the choice of relative complete resolutions.

Now we treat on the fundamental exact sequeuce introduced in [4]. Let $A$ be an arbitrary ring and $B$ a subring. By $U, V$ and $W$ we denote a $B$ projective, an $A$-projective and an ( $A, B$ )-projective resolution of a left $A$-module $M$ respectively. Then the identity homomorphism of $M$ induces the chain maps $U \rightarrow V$ and $V \rightarrow W$. They induce $\operatorname{res}^{r}: \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{r}(M, N) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{B}^{r}(M, N)$ and inf ${ }^{r}:$ $\operatorname{Ext}_{(A, B)}^{r}(M, N) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{r}(M, N)$ for $r \geqq 0$ by the natural way where $N$ is any left $A$-module. Consider $\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{B} A,{ }_{B} N\right)$ as a left $A$-module by $(a \cdot f)()=f(() a)$ for $a \in A, f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{B} A,{ }_{B} N\right)$. Define left $A$-modules $N^{i}(i \geqq 0)$ inductively as $N^{0}=N$ and $N^{i}=\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{B} A,{ }_{B} N^{i-1}\right)$ for $i \geqq 1$. Then in [4], it is proved that the sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{(A, B)}^{r}(M, N) \xrightarrow{\inf ^{r}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{r}(M, N) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{res}^{r}} \operatorname{Ext}_{B}^{r}(M, N)
$$

is exact for $r \geqq 1$ if $A$ is left $B$-projective and $\operatorname{Ext}_{B}^{n}\left(M, N^{r-n}\right)=0(0<n<r)$.
Let $A, B$ and $M$ be $P, Q$ and $A$ respectively. Then the $P$-projective resolution $V$ is a $Q$-projective resolution of $\Lambda$ since $P$ is $Q$-projective. Therefore we may choose $V$ as $U$. So res is the homomorphism induced by the natural map $\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} V,{ }_{P}-\right.$ ) $\rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{Q} V,{ }_{Q}-\right) . \quad V$ is also a $(P, K)$-projective resolution of $\Lambda$ since $A$ and $P$ are $K$-projective. Therefore we may consider that $V$ is the non-negative part of a complete $(P, K)$-resolution of $\Lambda$. Hence $\operatorname{Ext}_{P}^{r}(\Lambda,-)=$ $H^{r}(\Lambda, K,-)$ and $s_{r} \circ$ res $^{r}=$ Res $^{r}$ hold for $r \geqq 1$ where $s_{r}$ is the same isomorphism of (3). We know by Proposition 1.1 that the complete $(P, S)$-resolution of $\Lambda$ is also a complete $(P, Q)$-resolution of $\Lambda$. Therefore as $W$ we may choose the non-negative part of a complete $(P, S)$-resolution of $\Lambda$. So $\operatorname{Ext}_{(P, Q)}^{r}(\Lambda,-)=$ $H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma,-)$ and $\inf ^{r}=\operatorname{Inf}^{r}$ hold for $r \geqq 1$. Thus the following theorem holds:

Theorem 2.1. Let $N$ be any left P-module and define P-modules $N^{i}(i \geqq 0)$ inductively as $N^{0}=N$ and $N^{i}=\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{Q} P,{ }_{Q} N^{i-1}\right)$ for $i \geqq 1$. Then the sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, N) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Inf} r} H^{r}(\Lambda, K, N) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Res}^{r}} H^{r}(\Gamma, K, N)
$$

is exact for $r \geqq 1$ if $H^{n}\left(\Gamma, K, N^{r-n}\right)=0(0<n<r)$.
Proof. $\operatorname{Ext}_{Q}^{n}\left(\Lambda, N^{r-n}\right)=H^{n}\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{Q} V,{ }_{Q} N^{r-n}\right)\right) \cong H^{n}\left(\Gamma, K, N^{r-n}\right)=0$ holds by (3). Therefore the sequence is exact.

We show the dual of Theorem 2.1 till the end of section 2:
Proposition 2.2. The following sequence is exact for any left $P$-module $M$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longleftarrow H^{0}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M) \stackrel{\mathrm{Def}^{0}}{\leftarrow} H^{0}(\Lambda, K, M) \stackrel{\mathrm{Cor}^{0}}{\leftarrow} H^{0}(\Gamma, K, M) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Proposition 1.2 the exactness of (5) is equivalent to the exactness of $0 \leftarrow M^{\Lambda} / N_{A / \Gamma}(M) \stackrel{\text { Def }^{0}}{\leftarrow} M^{\Lambda} / N_{A / K}(M) \stackrel{\overline{N / I / T}}{\leftarrow} M^{\Gamma} / N_{\Gamma / K}(M)$ where $\operatorname{Def}^{0}(m+$ $\left.N_{A / K}(M)\right)=m+N_{A / \Gamma}(M)$ and $\overline{N_{A / \Gamma}}\left(m+N_{\Gamma / K}(M)\right)=\sum_{i} r_{i} m l_{i}+N_{A / K}(M)$. This sequence is exact. Therefore (5) is also exact.

Lemma 2.3. $H^{r}(\Gamma, K, M) \cong H^{r}\left(\Lambda, K, \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{Q} P,{ }_{Q} M\right)\right) \cong H^{r}\left(\Lambda, K, P \otimes{ }_{Q} M\right)$ holds for any left $P$-module $M$ and all $r \in \boldsymbol{Z}$.

Proof. For a complete ( $P, K$ )-resolution $Y$ of $\Lambda, H^{r}(\Gamma, K, M) \cong H^{r}$ (Hom $\left.\left({ }_{Q} Y,{ }_{Q} M\right)\right)$ holds by (3) and $H^{r}\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{Q} Y,{ }_{Q} M\right)\right) \cong H^{r}\left(\Lambda, K, \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{Q} P,{ }_{Q} M\right)\right) \cong H^{r}(\Lambda$, $\left.K, P \otimes_{Q} M\right)$ holds.

Lemma 2.4. Let $0 \rightarrow L \stackrel{f}{\rightarrow} M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$ be $a(P, S)$-exact sequence. Then we have the following long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \rightarrow H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, L) \longrightarrow H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M) \longrightarrow H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, N) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^{r+1}(\Lambda, \Gamma, L) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

where $\partial$ is the connecting homomorphism. We have similar long exact sequences for $H^{*}(\Lambda, K,-)$ and $H^{*}(\Gamma, K,-)$.

Proof. This can be proved by the usual way for short exact sequences.
LEMMA 2.5. Let $0 \rightarrow L \stackrel{f}{\rightarrow} M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$ be a $(P, S)$-exact sequence. Then for the connecting homomorphisms $\partial: H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, N) \rightarrow H^{r+1}(\Lambda, \Gamma, L)$ and $\partial^{\Lambda}: H^{r}(\Lambda$, $K, N) \rightarrow H^{r+1}(\Lambda, K, L)$, (i) $\partial \circ \operatorname{Def}^{r}=\operatorname{Der}^{r+1} \circ \partial^{\Lambda}$ holds for $r \leqq-1$. Let $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f}$ $M \stackrel{g}{\rightarrow} N \rightarrow 0$ be a $(P, K)$-exact sequence. Then for the connecting homomorphisms $\partial^{\Lambda}: H^{r}(\Lambda, K, N) \rightarrow H^{r+1}(\Lambda, K, L)$ and $\partial^{\Gamma}: H^{r}(\Gamma, K, N) \rightarrow H^{r+1}(\Gamma, K, L)$, (ii) $\partial^{A}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cor}^{r}=\mathrm{Cor}^{r+1} \circ \partial^{\Gamma}$ holds for all $r \in \boldsymbol{Z}$.

Proof. We use (4) for the proof. (i) holds for $r \leqq-2$ by the commutativity of (4). Let $\varphi$ denote the isomorphism $\operatorname{Ker} c_{1}{ }^{*} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} d_{1}{ }^{*}$ by which we defined Def ${ }^{0}$. Then $\varphi \cdot\left(\left.f_{*}\right|_{\text {Ker } c_{1} *}\right)=f_{*^{\circ}} \varphi, \varphi \circ c_{0}{ }^{*}=d_{0}{ }^{*} \sigma_{-1} *$ and $\sigma_{-1} * \circ g_{*}=g_{*^{\circ}} \sigma_{-1} *$ hold where $f_{*}$ and $g_{*}$ are homomorphisms induced by $f$ and $g$ respectively with the natural way. Therefore (i) holds for $r=-1$. Let $Z$ be a complete $(S, K)$ resolution of $\Lambda$ with a differentiation $e$. Then Cor is induced by a chain map $\phi: \operatorname{Hom}\left(s Z, s^{-}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} Y,{ }_{P}-\right) . \quad \phi \circ f_{*}=f_{*} \circ \phi, \phi \circ e^{*}=c^{*} \circ \phi$ and $\phi \circ g_{*}=g_{*} \circ \phi$ hold. Therefore (ii) also holds.

Theorem 2.6. Let $M$ be any left P-module and define P-modules $M_{i}(i \geqq 0)$ inductively as $M_{0}=M$ and $M_{i}=P \bigotimes_{Q} M_{i-1}$ for $i \geqq 1$. Then the sequence

$$
0 \longleftarrow H^{-r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M) \stackrel{\operatorname{Def}^{-r}}{\longleftarrow} H^{-r}(\Lambda, K, M) \stackrel{\mathrm{Cor}^{-r}}{\longleftarrow} H^{-r}(\Gamma, K, M)
$$

is exact for $r \geqq 0$ if $H^{-n}\left(\Gamma, K, M_{r-n}\right)=0(0 \leqq n \leqq r-1)$.
Proof. By induction on $r$. The case of $r=0$ is proved by Proposition 2.2. Assume that the case of $r=t$ holds. Consider the case of $r=t+1$. By $M^{\prime}$ we denote the kernel of a $P$-homomorphism $d: M_{1} \rightarrow M$ such that $d(p \otimes m)=p m$. Put $M_{0}^{\prime}=M^{\prime}$ and $M_{i}^{\prime}=P \bigotimes_{Q} M_{i-1}^{\prime}$ for all $i \geqq 1$. Then there holds $s M_{i}^{\prime} \oplus_{S} M_{i+1}$ for all $i \geqq 0$. Therefore $H^{-n}\left(\Gamma, K, M_{t-n}^{\prime}\right)=0$ holds for $0 \leqq n \leqq t$. Hence the following sequence

$$
0 \longleftarrow H^{-t}\left(A, \Gamma^{\prime}, M^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\operatorname{Def}^{-t}}{\longleftarrow} H^{-t}\left(A, K, M^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\operatorname{Cor}^{-t}}{\longleftarrow} H^{-t}\left(\Gamma, K, M^{\prime}\right)
$$

is exact by the assumption of induction. Note that $H^{-t}\left(\Gamma, K, M^{\prime}\right)=0$ holds. The $(P, S)$-, $(P, K)$ - and ( $S, K$ )-exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow M^{\prime} \longrightarrow M_{1} \xrightarrow{d} M \longrightarrow 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

induces the following commutative diagram by Lemma 2.5

where $\partial, \partial^{A}$ and $\partial^{\Gamma}$ are connecting homomorphisms for (6), $\bar{d}$ is a homomorphism induced by $d$ and $\tau$ is the isomorphism of Lemma 2.3. The isomorphism $H^{r}\left(A, K, M_{1}\right) \rightarrow H^{r}\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{Q} Y,{ }_{Q} M\right)\right)$ in the proof of Lemma 2.3 is induced by an
isomorphism $u: \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} Y_{r},{ }_{P} M_{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{Q} Y_{r},{ }_{Q} M\right)$ such that $u(f)=\mu \circ f$ where the $Q$-homomorhism $\mu: M_{1} \rightarrow M$ is defined by $\mu((x \otimes y) \otimes m)=h(x) m y$ for $x \otimes y \in$ $P$ and $m \in M$. Therefore Cor ${ }^{-t-1} \circ \tau=\bar{d}$ holds. $M_{1}$ is $(P, Q)$-injective since $P / Q$ is a Frobenius extension. So by Proposition 1.1, $H^{i}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma^{\prime}, M_{1}\right)=0$ holds for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $\partial$ is an isomorphism. And $\partial^{4}$ is an epimorphism because $H^{-t}\left(\Lambda, K, M_{1}\right) \cong H^{-t}(\Gamma, K, M)$ holds by Lemma 2.3 and $H^{-t}(\Gamma, K, M)=0$ holds by $H^{-t}(\Gamma, K, M) \oplus H^{-t}\left(\Gamma, K, M^{\prime}\right) \cong H^{-t}\left(\Gamma, K, M_{1}\right)=0$. Hence for the middle sequence of the above commutative diagram, Theorem 2.6 holds.

## 3. The cup product on the complete relative cohomology.

The cup product on the complete cohomology of Frobenius algebras is defined in [9]. In this section we will introduce the cup product on the complete relative cohomology of Frobenius extensions. Let $\Lambda / \Gamma$ be a Frobenius extension of $K$-algebras and $P, Q, R, S,\left\{r_{i}\right\},\left\{l_{i}\right\}, h$ and $Z(\Lambda)$ be the same as in section 1. $\Gamma / K$ does not need to be a Frobenius extension.

Definition 3.1. Let $A$ and $B$ be any left $P$-modules and let $r$ and $s$ be any integers. Assume that an element $\alpha \cup \beta \in H^{r+s}\left(A, \Gamma, A \otimes_{A} B\right)$ is defined uniquely for every $\alpha \in H^{r}(A, \Gamma, A)$ and $\beta \in H^{s}(A, \Gamma, B)$. If $\cup$ satisfies the following conditions (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), we will call $\cup$ the cup product on $H^{*}(\Lambda, \Gamma,-)$ and call $\alpha \cup \beta$ the cup product of $\alpha$ and $\beta$.
(i) $\cup$ induces a $Z(\Lambda)$-homomorphism:

$$
H^{*}(\Lambda, \Gamma, A) \otimes_{z(\Lambda)} H^{*}(\Lambda, \Gamma, B) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^{*}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma, A \otimes_{A} B\right) .
$$

(ii) Let $0 \rightarrow A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2} \rightarrow A_{3} \rightarrow 0$ be a ( $P, S$ )-exact sequence and $B$ be a left $P_{-}$ module. If $0 \rightarrow A_{1} \otimes_{A} B \rightarrow A_{2} \otimes_{A} B \rightarrow A_{3} \otimes_{A} B \rightarrow 0$ is also ( $P, S$ )-exact, there holds $\partial(\alpha \cup \beta)=\partial(\alpha) \cup \beta$ for every $\alpha \in H^{r}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma, A_{3}\right)$ and $\beta \in H^{s}(\Lambda, \Gamma, B)$, where $\partial$ denotes the connecting homomorphism.
(iii) Let $0 \rightarrow B_{1} \rightarrow B_{2} \rightarrow B_{3} \rightarrow 0$ be a ( $P, S$ )-exact sequence and $A$ be a left $P$ module. If $0 \rightarrow A \otimes_{A} B_{1} \rightarrow A \otimes_{A} B_{2} \rightarrow A \otimes_{A} B_{3} \rightarrow 0$ is also ( $P, S$ )-exact, there holds $\partial(\alpha \cup \beta)=(-1)^{r} \alpha \cup \partial(\beta)$ for every $\alpha \in H^{r}(A, \Gamma, A)$ and $\beta \in H^{s}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma, B_{3}\right)$, where $\partial$ denotes the conneting homomorphism.
(iv) The diagram

commutes, in which the vertical homomorphisms are isomorphisms by Proposition 1.2 and the homomorphism in the bottom row is defined by

$$
\left(a+N_{A / \Gamma}(A)\right) \otimes\left(b+N_{A / \Gamma}(B)\right) \longrightarrow a \otimes b+N_{A / \Gamma}\left(A \otimes_{A} B\right)
$$

Proposition 3.2. If $\cup$ and $\cup^{\prime}$ satisfy the conditions (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) of Definition 3.1 respectively, then $\cup=\cup^{\prime}$ holds.

Proof. This proposition is proved by the same method as [1, VI, Lemma 5.8], that is, proved inductively by using the following lemma of dimensionshiftings:

Lemma 3.3. Let $M$ be a left P-module. Then we have the following four natural $(P, Q)$ - (or $(P, R)$-) exact sequences for $M$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \phi \longrightarrow P \otimes_{Q} M \xrightarrow{\phi} M \longrightarrow 0,  \tag{7}\\
& 0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \phi^{\prime} \longrightarrow P \otimes_{R} M \xrightarrow{\phi^{\prime}} M \longrightarrow 0, \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{i} \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{Q} P,{ }_{Q} M\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker} i \longrightarrow 0,  \tag{9}\\
& 0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{i^{\prime}} \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{R} P,{ }_{R} M\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker} i^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi(p \otimes m)=p m, \phi^{\prime}(p \otimes m)=p m, i(m)=[p \rightarrow p m]$ and $i^{\prime}(m)=[p \rightarrow p m]$. For any left P-module $N, 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \phi \otimes_{A} N \rightarrow\left(P \otimes_{Q} M\right) \otimes_{A} N \rightarrow M \otimes_{A} N \rightarrow 0$ is also a $(P, Q)$ exact sequence. With this sequence and (7) there hold
(i) $\partial: H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M) \leftrightharpoons H^{r+1}(\Lambda, \Gamma, \operatorname{Ker} \phi)$,

$$
\partial: H^{r}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma, M \otimes_{\Lambda} N\right) \simeq H^{r+1}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma, \operatorname{Ker} \phi \otimes_{\Lambda} N\right)
$$

where $\partial$ is the connecting homomorphism. Similarly there hold
(ii) $\partial: H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M) \simeq H^{r+1}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma, \operatorname{Ker} \phi^{\prime}\right)$,
$\partial: H^{r}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma, N \otimes_{\Lambda} M\right) \simeq H^{r+1}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma, N \otimes_{\Lambda} \operatorname{Ker} \phi^{\prime}\right)$,
(iii) $\partial: H^{r-1}(\Lambda, \Gamma$, Coker $i) \simeq H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$, $\partial: H^{r-1}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma\right.$, Coker $\left.i \otimes_{\Lambda} N\right) \simeq H^{r}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma, M \otimes_{\Lambda} N\right)$,
(iv) $\partial: H^{r-1}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma\right.$, Coker $\left.i^{\prime}\right) \rightrightarrows H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$,

$$
\partial: H^{r-1}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma, N \otimes_{\Lambda} \text { Coker } i^{\prime}\right) \leftrightharpoons H^{r}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma, N \otimes_{\Lambda} M\right)
$$

with (8), (9) and (10) respectively.

Proof. By Proposition 1.1 any complete $(P, S)$-resolution of $\Lambda$ is a $(P, Q)$ exact sequence. $P \otimes_{Q} M$ and $\left(P \otimes_{Q} M\right) \otimes_{A} N \cong P \otimes_{Q}\left(M \otimes_{A} N\right)$ are ( $P, Q$ )-injective since $P / Q$ is a Frobenius extension. Therefore $H^{*}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma, P \otimes_{Q} M\right)=0$ and $H^{*}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma,\left(P \otimes_{Q} M\right) \otimes_{A} N\right)=0$ hold. Hence (i) holds. Similar arguments prove (ii), (iii) and (iv).

Note that the cup product is independent of the choice of complete $(P, S)$ resolutions of $\Lambda$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $(X, d, \varepsilon, \eta)$ be a complete $(P, S)$-resolution of $\Lambda$. Then for any integers $r$ and $s$ there exists a left P-homomorphism $\Delta_{r, s}: X_{r+s} \rightarrow X_{r} \otimes_{A} X_{s}$ which satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $\left(\varepsilon \otimes_{1} \varepsilon\right) \cdot \Delta_{0,0}=\varepsilon$,
(ii) $\Delta_{r, s^{\circ}} d_{r+s+1}=\left(d_{r+1} \otimes_{A} 1_{X_{s}}\right) \cdot \Delta_{r+1, s}+(-1)^{r}\left(1_{X_{r}} \otimes_{\Lambda} d_{s+1}\right) \cdot \Delta_{r, s+1}$.

Proof. This lemma is proved by using the same method as [1, p. 140]: For $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ put $\left(X \hat{\otimes}_{A} X\right)_{n}=\prod_{p+q=n} X_{p} \otimes_{A} X_{q}$ and define $\delta_{n}:\left(X \hat{\otimes}_{A} X\right)_{n} \rightarrow\left(X \hat{\otimes}_{A} X\right)_{n-1}$ by $\delta_{n}=\Pi_{p+q=n} d_{p} \otimes_{A} 1_{X_{q}}+\Pi_{p+q=n}(-1)^{p} 1_{X_{p}} \otimes_{A} d_{q}$. Then $\left(X \widehat{\otimes}_{A} X, \delta\right)$ is a chain complex and has a contracting $S$-homotopy $\Pi_{p+q=n} D_{p}^{Q} \otimes_{A} 1_{X_{q}}:\left(X \widehat{\otimes}_{A} X\right)_{n} \rightarrow$ $\left(X \widehat{\otimes}_{1} X\right)_{n+1}$ where $D^{Q}$ is a contracting $Q$-homotopy of $X$ which exists by Proposition 1.1. Therefore $\left(X \hat{\otimes}_{A} X, \delta\right)$ is $(P, S)$-exact. The direct product of relative injectives is relative injective and the $(P, S)$-projective module $X_{p} \otimes_{A} X_{q}$ is ( $P, S$ )-injective since $P / S$ is a Frobenius extension. So $\left(X \hat{\otimes}_{A} X, \delta\right)$ is dimen-sion-wise $(P, S)$-injective. Therefore if there exists a $P$-homomorphism $\alpha: X_{0} \rightarrow$ $\left(X \hat{\otimes}_{A} X\right)_{0}$ such that $\left(\varepsilon \otimes_{1} \varepsilon\right) \cdot \alpha=\varepsilon$ and $\delta_{0} \circ \alpha \circ d_{1}=0$ holds, $\alpha$ extends to a chain map $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \widehat{\otimes}_{A} X$ which satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Put $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{p}\right)$ where $\alpha_{p}: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{p} \otimes_{1} X_{-p}$. Then since $X_{0}$ is (P,S)-projective, we can take $\alpha$ such that the condition $\left(\varepsilon \bigotimes_{A} \varepsilon\right) \circ \alpha=\left(\varepsilon \otimes_{A} \varepsilon\right) \circ \alpha_{0}=\varepsilon$ holds. Put $\delta_{p q}^{\prime}=d_{p} \otimes_{A} 1_{X_{q}}$ and $\delta_{p q}^{\prime \prime}=$ $(-1)^{p} 1_{X_{p}} \otimes_{\Lambda} d_{q}$. Then the condition $\delta_{0} \circ \alpha \circ d_{1}=0$ is equivalent to a condition (iii) $\delta_{p,-p}^{\prime} \alpha_{p}+\delta_{p-1,1-p}^{\prime \prime} \alpha_{p-1}=0$ on Im $d_{1}$ for all $p \in \mathbf{Z}$. Consider the sequence $\left(X \otimes_{1} X_{q}\right.$, $\left.\delta_{-, q}^{\prime}\right)$ for any fixed $q . X_{q}$ is ( $P, S$ )-projective, that is, ${ }_{p} X_{q} \oplus_{P}\left(\Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} M \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda\right.$ ) holds for an $S$-module $M$, and $X$ has a contracting $R$-homotopy by Proposition 1.1. Therefore ( $X \otimes_{1} X_{q}, \delta_{-, q}^{\prime}$ ) has a contracting $P$-homotopy $H$. Now assume that $p>0$ and that $\alpha_{p-1}$ has been defined. Set $\alpha_{p}=-H \circ \delta_{p-1,1-p}^{\prime \prime} \alpha_{p-1}$. Then $\alpha_{p}$ satisfies the condition (iii). In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{\prime} \circ \alpha_{p}+\delta^{\prime \prime} \circ \alpha_{p-1} & =-\delta^{\prime} \circ H \circ \delta^{\prime \prime} \circ \alpha_{p-1}+\delta^{\prime \prime} \circ \alpha_{p-1} \\
& =H \circ \delta^{\prime} \circ \delta^{\prime \prime} \circ \alpha_{p-1} \quad \text { by the definition of } H \\
& =-H \circ \delta^{\prime \prime} \circ \delta^{\prime} \circ \alpha_{p-1} \quad \text { because } \delta^{\prime} \text { and } \delta^{\prime \prime} \text { anti-commute }
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have ommitted the subscripts on $\delta^{\prime}$ and $\delta^{\prime \prime}$ to simplify the notations. If $p=1$, then $H \circ \delta^{\prime \prime} \circ \delta^{\prime} \circ \alpha_{p-1}=H \circ\left(d_{0} \otimes_{A} d_{0}\right) \circ \alpha_{0}=H \circ\left(\eta \otimes_{\Lambda} \eta\right) \circ\left(\varepsilon \otimes_{\Lambda} \varepsilon\right) \circ \alpha_{0}=H \circ\left(\eta \otimes_{\Lambda} \eta\right) \circ \varepsilon$ $=0$ holds on $\operatorname{Im} d_{1}$. If $p>1$, then by the inductive hypothesis $\delta^{\prime} \circ \alpha_{p-1}+\delta^{\prime \prime} \circ \alpha_{p-2}$ $=0$ holds on $\operatorname{Im} d_{1}$. So $H \circ \delta^{\prime \prime} \circ \delta^{\prime} \circ \alpha_{p-1}=-H \circ \delta^{\prime \prime} \circ \delta^{\prime \prime} \circ \alpha_{p-2}=0$ holds on Im $d_{1}$. A similar argument constructs $\alpha_{p}$ for $p<0$ by descending induction. Thus the proof of this lemma is complete.

By Lemma 3.4 we have the cup product of $\alpha \in H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, A)$ and $\beta \in$ $H^{s}(A, \Gamma, B)$ : Put $\alpha=\bar{f}$ and $\beta=\bar{g}$ where $f$ and $g$ are representatives. Then the cup product is given by $\alpha \cup \beta=\overline{\left(f \otimes_{A} g\right) \cdot \Delta_{r, s}}$. Thus we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 3.5. There is a cup product uniquely on $H^{*}(\Lambda, \Gamma,-)$.
The cup product has the following anti-commutativity:
Theorem 3.6. Let $M$ be a P-module. Then for arbitrary $\alpha \in H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, \Lambda)$ and $\beta \in H^{s}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M), \alpha \cup \beta=(-1)^{r s} \beta \cup \alpha$ holds.

Proof. Let $(X, d, \varepsilon, \eta)$ be (2) in section 1. Put $\varphi_{n}=\left(1_{X_{n}} \otimes_{A} \varepsilon\right)_{\cdot} \Delta_{n, 0}$ and $\phi_{n}=\left(\varepsilon \otimes_{\Lambda} 1_{X_{n}}\right) \cdot \Delta_{0, n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $\Delta$ is the same as in Lemma 3.4. $\varphi$ : $X \rightarrow X$ and $\phi: X \rightarrow X$ are chain maps. Since $\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{\circ} \varphi_{0}=\varepsilon^{\circ} \phi_{0}$ holds, $\varphi$ is homotopic to $\phi$, that is, there exists a $P$-homomorphism $\nu_{n}: X_{n} \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ such that $\varphi_{n}-\phi_{n}=$ $\nu_{n-1} \circ d_{n}+d_{n+1} \circ \nu_{n}$ holds for all $n$. Let $f$ and $g$ be representatives of $\alpha \in H^{r}(\Lambda$, $\Gamma, \Lambda)$ and $\beta \in H^{s}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M)$ respectively. Consider the case of $s=0$. Since $g(1 \otimes 1) \in M^{4}$ holds by Proposition 1.2, there holds $\left(f \otimes_{\Lambda} g\right) \cdot \Delta_{r, 0}=g(1 \otimes 1) f \circ \varphi_{r}=$ $g(1 \otimes 1) f \circ \phi_{r}+g(1 \otimes 1) f \circ \nu_{r-1} \circ d_{r}=\left(g \otimes_{A} f\right) \circ \Delta_{0, r}+g(1 \otimes 1) f \circ \nu_{r-1} \circ d_{r}$. Therefore $\alpha \cup \beta$ $=(-1)^{\circ} \beta \cup \alpha$ holds for any $r \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since $\Lambda$ is flat as a left $\Lambda$-module and as a right $\Lambda$-module, we can use (ii) and (iii) of Definition 3.1. Therefore by using Lemma 3.3 for $H^{s}(\Lambda, \Gamma, M), \alpha \cup \beta=(-1)^{r s} \beta \cup \alpha$ holds for any $r$ and $s$.

The cup product has the following associatitivity:
Theorem 3.7. Let $A, B$ and $C$ be P-modules. Then for $\alpha \in H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, A)$, $\beta \in H^{s}(\Lambda, \Gamma, B)$ and $\gamma \in H^{t}(\Lambda, \Gamma, C),(\alpha \cup \beta) \cup \gamma=\alpha \cup(\beta \cup \gamma)$ holds.

Proof. We can prove this theorem by the method like the proof of Theorem 3.6: Let $(X, d, \varepsilon, \eta)$ be (2) in section 1. Put

$$
\varphi_{n}=\left(\varepsilon \otimes_{A} 1_{X_{n}} \otimes_{A} \varepsilon\right) \circ\left(\Delta_{0, n} \otimes_{A} 1_{X_{0}}\right) \cdot \Delta_{n, 0} \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{n}=\left(\varepsilon \otimes_{A} 1_{X_{n}} \otimes_{A} \varepsilon\right) \circ\left(1_{X_{0}} \otimes_{A} \Delta_{n, 0}\right) \cdot \Delta_{0, n}
$$

for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ where $\Delta$ is the same as in Lemma 3.4. $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$ and $\phi: X \rightarrow X$ are chain maps. Since $\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{\circ} \varphi_{0}=\varepsilon{ }^{\circ} \phi_{0}$ holds, $\varphi$ is homotopic to $\phi$, that is, there exists a $P$-homomorphism $\nu_{n}: X_{n} \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ which satisfies $\varphi_{n}-\phi_{n}=\nu_{n-1} \circ{ }^{\circ} d_{n}+d_{n+1}$ 。 $\nu_{n}$. Let $f, g$ and $k$ be representatives of $\alpha \in H^{r}(A, \Gamma, A), \beta \in H^{s}(A, \Gamma, B)$ and $\gamma \in H^{t}(\Lambda, \Gamma, C)$ respectively. Consider the case of $r=t=0$. Since $f(1 \otimes 1) \in A^{4}$ and $k(1 \otimes 1) \in C^{A}$ hold, there holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(f \otimes_{\Lambda} g\right) \otimes_{A} k\right) \circ\left(\Delta_{0, \delta} \otimes_{\Lambda} 1_{X_{0}}\right) \cdot \Delta_{s, 0}=f(1 \otimes 1) \otimes_{\Lambda} g \circ \varphi_{s} \otimes_{A} k(1 \otimes 1) \\
& \quad=f(1 \otimes 1) \otimes_{A} g \circ \phi_{s} \otimes_{A} k(1 \otimes 1)+\left(f(1 \otimes 1) \otimes_{\Lambda} g \circ \nu_{s-1} \otimes_{A} k(1 \otimes 1)\right) \cdot d_{s} \\
& \quad=\left(f \otimes_{A}\left(g \otimes_{\Lambda} k\right)\right) \circ\left(1_{X_{0}} \otimes_{\Lambda} \Delta_{s, 0}\right) \cdot \Delta_{0, s}+\left(f\left(1 \otimes_{1}\right) \otimes_{\Lambda} g \circ \nu_{s-1} \otimes_{A} k(1 \otimes 1)\right) \circ d_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $(\alpha \cup \beta) \cup \gamma=\alpha \cup(\beta \cup \gamma)$ holds for the case of $r=t=0$. By using Lemma 3.3 for $H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, A)$ and $H^{t}(\Lambda, \Gamma, C)$, we have $(\alpha \cup \beta) \cup \gamma=\alpha \cup(\beta \cup \gamma)$ for any $r, s, t \in \mathbf{Z}$.

By Theorem 3.7 $H^{*}(\Lambda, \Gamma, \Lambda)=\oplus_{r=z} H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, \Lambda)$ is a ring with the identity element which is the image of $\overline{1} \in Z(\Lambda) / N_{\Lambda / \Gamma}(\Lambda)$ on the isomorphism $Z(\Lambda) /$ $N_{\Lambda / \Gamma}(\Lambda) \leftrightharpoons H^{0}(\Lambda, \Gamma, \Lambda)$ of Proposition 1.2.

Now assume that $\Gamma / K$ is also a Frobenius extension. Then since $\Lambda / K$ is a Frobenius extension, we have the cup product $\cup$ on $H^{*}(\Lambda, K,-)$.

Lemma 3.8. For any $(P, S)$-exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \stackrel{f}{\rightarrow} M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$, we have two connecting homomorphisms $\partial: H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, N) \rightarrow H^{r+1}(\Lambda, \Gamma, L)$ and $\partial^{\Lambda}: H^{r}(\Lambda$, $K, N) \rightarrow H^{r+1}(\Lambda, K, L)$ for all $r \in \mathbf{Z}$ by Lemma 2.4. Then we have
(i) $\partial^{A}{ }^{\circ} \operatorname{Inf}^{r}=\operatorname{Inf}^{r+1} \circ \partial$ for $r \geqq 1$,
(ii) $\operatorname{Inf}^{1} \circ \partial \circ \operatorname{Def}^{0}=\partial^{4}$.

Proof. We use (4) in section 2 for the proof. (i) holds by the commutativity of (4). Let $A$ be any left $P$-module. By $K(A)$ and $K^{\prime}(A)$ we denote the kernels of $c_{1}{ }^{*}: \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} Y_{0},{ }_{P} A\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} Y_{1},{ }_{P} A\right)$ and $d_{1}{ }^{*}: \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} X_{0},{ }_{P} A\right) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} X_{1},{ }_{P} A\right)$ respectively. Then the diagram

is commutative where $g_{*}$ is the homomorphism induced by $g$ with the natural way and $K() \rightarrow K^{\prime}()$ is the same isomorphism by which we defined Def ${ }^{0}$ in section 2. $\quad \sigma_{1}{ }^{*} \circ f_{*}=f_{*^{\circ}} \sigma_{1}{ }^{*}$ and $\sigma_{1}{ }^{*} \circ d_{1}{ }^{*}=c_{1}{ }^{*} \circ \sigma_{0}{ }^{*}$ hold. Therefore (ii) holds.

Proposition 3.9. Let $A$ and $B$ be left $P$-modules and let $\alpha, \beta, \alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ be elements of $H^{r}(\Lambda, \Gamma, A), H^{s}(\Lambda, \Gamma, B), H^{r}(\Lambda, K, A)$ and $H^{s}(\Lambda, K, B)$ respectively. Then we have
(i) $\operatorname{Inf}^{r+s}(\alpha \cup \beta)=\operatorname{Inf}^{r}(\alpha) \cup \operatorname{Inf}^{s}(\beta)$ for $r \geqq 1$ and $s \geqq 1$,
(ii) $\operatorname{Def}^{r+s}\left(\alpha^{\prime} \cup \beta^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Def}^{r}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \cup \operatorname{Def}^{3}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ for $r \leqq 0$ and $s \leqq 0$,
(iii-i) $\operatorname{Def}^{r+s}\left(\alpha^{\prime} \cup \operatorname{Inf}^{s}(\beta)\right)=\operatorname{Def}^{r}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \cup \beta$ for $r<0, s \geqq 1$ and $r+s \leqq 0$,
(iii-ii) $\operatorname{Def}^{r+s}\left(\operatorname{Inf}^{r}(\alpha) \cup \beta^{\prime}\right)=\alpha \cup \operatorname{Def}^{s}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ for $r \geqq 1, s<0$ and $r+s \leqq 0$,
(iv-i) $\operatorname{Inf}^{r+s}\left(\operatorname{Def}^{r}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \cup \beta\right)=\alpha^{\prime} \cup \operatorname{lnf}^{s}(\beta)$ for $r \leqq 0, s \geqq 1$ and $r+s \geqq 1$,
(iv-ii) $\operatorname{Inf}^{r+s}\left(\alpha \cup \operatorname{Def}^{s}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Inf}^{r}(\alpha) \cup \beta^{\prime}$ for $r \geqq 1, s \leqq 0$ and $r+s \geqq 1$.
Proof. Let $X$ be (2) in section 1. Then we can take $\Delta$ of Lemma 3.4 such that $\Delta_{0,0}\left(x_{0} \otimes_{\Gamma} x_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0} \otimes_{\Gamma} 1\right) \otimes_{A}\left(1 \otimes_{\Gamma} x_{1}\right)$ and $\Delta_{-1,1}\left(x_{0} \otimes_{\Gamma} x_{1}\right)=\sum_{i}\left(x_{0} r_{i} \otimes_{\Gamma} 1\right) \otimes_{A}$ $\left(1 \otimes_{\Gamma} l_{i} \otimes_{\Gamma} x_{1}\right)$ hold. Since $\Lambda / K$ is a Frobenius extension, we have a complete ( $P, K$ )-resolution $Y$ of $\Lambda$ whose type is (2) in section 1 . Then $\sigma_{r}$ of (4) in section 2 is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{r}\left(x_{0} \otimes_{K} \cdots \otimes_{K} x_{r+1}\right)=x_{0} \otimes_{\Gamma} \cdots \otimes_{\Gamma} x_{r+1} \quad \text { for } r \geqq 0, \\
\sigma_{-r}\left(x_{0} \otimes_{\Gamma} \cdots \otimes_{\Gamma} x_{r}\right)=\sum_{i_{0} \cdots, i_{r-1}} x_{0} r_{i_{0}}^{\prime} \otimes_{K} l_{i_{0}}^{\prime} x_{1} r_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \otimes_{K} \cdots \otimes_{K} l_{i_{r-1}}^{\prime} x_{r} \text { for } r \geqq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\left\{r_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\left\{l_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ are elements of $\Gamma$ with respect to the Frobenius extension $\Gamma / K$ like as $\left\{r_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{l_{i}\right\}$ of $\Lambda$ respectively. Let $\Delta_{r, s}^{1}$ be the $P$-homomorphism of Lemma 3.4 for $Y$. Then $\Delta_{-1,1}^{A}\left(x_{0} \otimes_{K} x_{1}\right)=\sum_{i, j}\left(x_{0} r_{i} r_{j}^{\prime} \otimes_{K} 1\right) \otimes_{A}\left(1 \otimes_{K} l_{j}^{\prime} l_{i} \otimes_{K} x_{1}\right)$ holds. Now we show (iii-i). Put $\alpha^{\prime}=\bar{f}$ and $\beta=\bar{g}$ where $f$ and $g$ are representatives of $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta$ respectively. At first we prove the case of $r+s=0$ by induction on $s$. Since there holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Def}^{0}\left(\bar{f} \cup \operatorname{Inf}^{1}(\bar{g})\right) & \left.=\operatorname{Def}^{0} \overline{\left(\left(f \otimes_{\Lambda} g^{\circ} \sigma_{1}\right) \cdot \Delta_{-1,1}^{A}\right.}\right) \\
& =\overline{\left[x _ { 0 } \otimes _ { \Gamma } x _ { 1 } \longrightarrow \sum _ { i , j } f ( x _ { 0 } r _ { i } r _ { j } ^ { \prime } \otimes _ { K } 1 ) \otimes _ { A } g \left(1 \otimes_{\left.\left.\Gamma_{j}^{\prime} l_{i} \otimes_{\Gamma} x_{1}\right)\right]}\right.\right.} \begin{array}{l}
=\overline{\left(f_{\circ} \sigma_{-1} \otimes_{A} g\right) \cdot \Delta_{-1,1}} \\
\\
\end{array}=\operatorname{Def}^{-1}(\bar{f}) \cup \bar{g},
\end{aligned}
$$

the case of $s=1$ holds. Assume that (iii-i) holds for some $s$ and for any left $P$-modfules $A$ and $B$. Let $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta$ be elements of $H^{-(s+1)}(A, K, A)$ and $H^{s+1}(\Lambda, \Gamma, B)$ respectively. Then with $(7), \partial^{A}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \in H^{-s}(\Lambda, K, \operatorname{Ker} \phi)$ holds where $\partial^{4}$ is the connecting homomorphism. By (iv) of Lemma 3.3 there exists $\beta^{\prime \prime} \in H^{s}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma\right.$, Coker $\left.i^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\partial\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}\right)=\beta$ holds. By the assumption of induction $\operatorname{Def}^{0}\left(\partial^{A}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \cup \operatorname{Inf}^{s}\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Def}^{-s}\left(\partial^{A}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right) \cup \beta^{\prime \prime}$ holds. So we have $\partial\left(\operatorname{Def}^{-1}\left(\alpha^{\prime} \cup\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\operatorname{Inf} f^{s}\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)\right)=\partial\left(\operatorname{Def}^{-(s+1)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \cup \beta^{\prime \prime}\right)$ by Lemma 2.5. Since this $\partial$ is an isomorphism, we can cancel $\partial$. Therefore by Lemmas 2.5 and 3.8 (iii-i) holds for $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta$.

Assume that (iii-i) holds for the case of $r+s=-n(n \geqq 0)$. Consider the case of $r+s=-(n+1)$. By (ii) of Lemma 3.3, $\partial(\beta) \in H^{s+1}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma, \operatorname{Ker} \phi^{\prime}\right)$ holds. So $\operatorname{Def}^{-n}\left(\alpha^{\prime} \cup \operatorname{Inf}^{s+1}(\partial(\beta))\right)=\operatorname{Def}^{r}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \cup \partial(\beta)$ holds. By Lemmas 2.5 and $3.8 \partial\left(\operatorname{Def}^{-(n+1)}\right.$ $\left.\left(\alpha^{\prime} \cup \operatorname{Inf}^{s}(\beta)\right)\right)=\partial\left(\operatorname{Def}^{r}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \cup \beta\right)$ holds. This $\partial$ is an isomorphism. Hence (iii-i) holds. (iii-ii) is shown by the same method. Next we show (iv-i). At first we show the case of $r+s=1$ by induction on $r$. For $r=0$ (iv-i) holds by the computation like (iii-i). Assume that (iv-i) holds for some $r$ and for any left $P$-modules $A$ and $B$. Let $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta$ be elements of $H^{r-1}(\Lambda, K, A)$ and $H^{2-r}(\Lambda$, $\Gamma, B)$ respectively. By (iv) of Lemma 3.3 there exists $\beta^{\prime \prime} \in H^{1-r}\left(\Lambda, \Gamma\right.$, Coker $\left.i^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\partial\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}\right)=\beta$ holds. Then $\operatorname{Def}^{0}\left(\alpha^{\prime} \cup \operatorname{Inf}^{1-\tau}\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Def}^{r-1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \cup \beta^{\prime \prime}$ holds by (iii-i). Therefore by Lemma 3.8,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Inf}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Def}^{r-1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \cup \beta\right) & =\operatorname{Inf}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Def}^{r-1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \cup \partial\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \\
& =(-1)^{r-1} \operatorname{Inf}^{1} \circ \partial\left(\operatorname{Def}^{r-1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \cup \beta^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{r-1} \operatorname{Inf}^{1} \circ \partial \circ \operatorname{Def}^{0}\left(\alpha^{\prime} \cup \operatorname{Inf}^{1-r}\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \\
& =(-1)^{r-1} \partial{ }^{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime} \cup \operatorname{Inf}^{1-r}\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\alpha^{\prime} \cup \operatorname{Inf}^{2-r}(\beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

holds. Next assume that (iv-i) holds for the case of $r+s=n(n \geqq 1)$. Consider the case of $r+s=n+1$. By (iv) of Lemma 3.3 there exists $\beta^{\prime \prime} \in H^{8-1}(\Lambda, \Gamma$, Coker $i^{\prime}$ ) such that $\partial\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}\right)=\beta$ holds. Since $\operatorname{Inf}^{n}\left(\operatorname{Def}^{r}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \cup \beta^{\prime \prime}\right)=\alpha^{\prime} \cup \operatorname{Inf}^{s-1}\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}\right)$ holds, (iv-i) holds for $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta$ by using Lemma 3.8. (iv-ii) is shown by the same method. (i) and (ii) are also shown by induction easier than (iii-i) and (iv-i).
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